# Numération : mathématiques et informatique <br> Rencontre organisée par : <br> Boris Adamczewski, Anne Siegel and Wolfgang Steiner 

23-27 mars 2009

```
Bernd Sing
Non-Unimodularity
Vol. 1, nº 1 (2009), p. 69-74.
<http://acirm.cedram.org/item?id=ACIRM_2009__1_1_69_0>
```

Centre international de rencontres mathématiques
U.M.S. 822 C.N.R.S./S.M.F.

Luminy (Marseille) France

## cedram

Texte mis en ligne dans le cadre du

# Non-Unimodularity 

Bernd Sing

## 1. Introduction

In this talk we explain how and why (and that) things known for unimodular $\beta$-integers/Pisotnumbers are also working in the non-unimodular case. In particular, we are interested in the geometric realisation of the $\beta$-integers also known as "Rauzy-fractal". In the non-unimodular case, one has to consider the numbers involved also as $p$-adic numbers. It is our hope that by paralleling the construction in the unimodular and in the non-unimodular case (and by keeping this exposition deliberately informal), we reduce the "fear" of dealing with $p$-adic numbers and even improve the understanding of the unimodular case.

## 2. $\beta$-Substitutions

A number of talks in this conference deal with $\beta$-expansions and $\beta$-substitutions, e.g., $[1,4,6]$. Thus, we keep this section short.

Let $\beta>1$ be a $P V$-number (Pisot number) and consider the greedy expansion to base $\beta$. Then any (nonnegative) number $x$ has such an expansion $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a_{n} \cdot \beta^{-n}$ with coefficients $a_{i} \in$ $\{0,1, \ldots,\lceil\beta\rceil-1\}$. One might be interested in characterising the set of $\beta$-integers

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}=\left\{x \mid x=\sum_{n=m}^{0} a_{n} \cdot \beta^{-n}\right\}=\left\{x \mid x=\sum_{n=0}^{m} a_{-n} \cdot \beta^{n}\right\}
$$

An interesting observation is that $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ can also be obtained using a substitution rule. We note that there are are two classes of substitutions depending on the $\beta$-expansion of 1 being periodic or eventually periodic (i.e., depending on whether $\beta$ is a simple or a non-simple Parry number, see [4]). In the "periodic case", where $\beta$ has minimal polynomial $x^{n}-d_{1} x^{n-1}-\ldots-d_{n}$, this substitution is given by $a \mapsto a^{d_{1}} b, b \mapsto a^{d_{2}} c, \ldots, z \mapsto a^{d_{n}}$ (also note that the (periodic) $\beta$-expansion of 1 is given by $\left.\frac{d_{1}}{\beta}+\ldots+\frac{d_{n}-1}{\beta^{n}}+\frac{d_{1}}{\beta^{n+1}}+\cdots+\frac{d_{n}-1}{\beta^{2 n}}+\frac{d_{1}}{\beta^{2 n+1}}+\ldots\right)$. We call an algebraic integer $\beta$ unimodular if the constant term in its minimal polynomial is $\pm 1$.

## 3. A Unimodular Example

3.1. From Substitutions to Iterated Function Systems. Earlier this afternoon, Fabien Durand $[6,7]$ studied the $\beta$-substitution associated to the polynomial $p(x)=x^{4}-x^{3}-x^{2}-x-1$ which has dominant (Pisot) root $\beta \approx 1.928$. Since this is an irreducible polynomial, $p$ is the minimal polynomial of $\beta$ and the other roots of $p$ are exactly the algebraic conjugates of $\beta$. They are here given by $\lambda_{r} \approx-0.775$ and $\lambda_{c}, \bar{\lambda}_{c} \approx-0.076 \pm i \cdot 0.815$. The $\beta$-substitution is explicitly given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \mapsto a b, \quad b \mapsto a c, \quad c \mapsto a d, \quad d \mapsto a . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Geometrically, we can describe such a symbolic substitution as a tile substitution: Choose $\ell_{a}=1$, $\ell_{b}=\beta-1, \ell_{c}=\beta^{2}-\beta-1$ and $\ell_{d}=\beta^{3}-\beta^{2}-\beta-1$ (these are the components of the PF-eigenvector $\underline{\ell}$ of the substitution/Abelianization matrix of the substitution). Then inflate and subdivide, and the set of left endpoints of the intervals yields $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$.
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Figure 1: Two views of a polyhedral approximation to the geometric realisation (the "Rauzy fractal") of the $\beta$-integers $\mathrm{cl} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{\star}=\Omega_{a} \cup \Omega_{b} \cup \Omega_{c} \cup \Omega_{d}$ associated to the substitution given in Eq. (1).

We denote the set of left endpoints of the $i$-type intervals (i.e., all intervals that correspond to a letter $i$ in the symbolic sequence) by $\Lambda_{i}$. We thus have $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}=\Lambda_{a} \cup \Lambda_{b} \cup \Lambda_{c} \cup \Lambda_{d}$. Note that the substitution rule yields a point set equation for the sets $\Lambda_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{a}=\lambda \cdot \Lambda_{a} \cup \lambda \cdot \Lambda_{b} \cup \lambda \cdot \Lambda_{c} \cup \lambda \cdot \Lambda_{d} \\
& \Lambda_{b}=\lambda \cdot \Lambda_{a}+1 \\
& \Lambda_{c}=\lambda \cdot \Lambda_{b}+1 \\
& \Lambda_{d}=\lambda \cdot \Lambda_{c}+t 1
\end{aligned}
$$

In the next step, we are looking for a geometric realisation of these $\beta$-integers in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ using the algebraic conjugates of $\beta$. To this end, we replace $\beta^{k}$ in the expansion of $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ by

$$
\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{c}^{k}\right), \operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{c}^{k}\right), \lambda_{r}^{k}\right)^{\top}
$$

and thus get an object in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3}$. In the theory of model sets (see remarks in Section 5 ), this map is called the star-map $(\cdot)^{\star}$.

We are now interested in the image $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{\star}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ under the star-map, more precisely, the closure of $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{\star}$ is of interest. Let $\Omega_{i}$ be the closure of $\Lambda_{i}^{\star}$; then we have

$$
\operatorname{cl} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{\star}=\Omega_{a} \cup \Omega_{b} \cup \Omega_{c} \cup \Omega_{d}
$$

We note that the above substitution rule yields an iterated function system (IFS), for which the sets $\Omega_{i}$ are the unique compact solutions: Using the notations

$$
\lambda^{\star}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{c} & -\operatorname{Im} \lambda_{c} & 0 \\
\operatorname{Im} \lambda_{c} & \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{c} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda_{r}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad t=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{a} & =\lambda^{\star} \Omega_{a} \cup \lambda^{\star} \Omega_{b} \cup \lambda^{\star} \Omega_{c} \cup \lambda^{\star} \Omega_{d} \\
\Omega_{b} & =\lambda^{\star} \Omega_{a}+t \\
\Omega_{c} & =\lambda^{\star} \Omega_{b}+t  \tag{2}\\
\Omega_{d} & =\lambda^{\star} \Omega_{c}+t
\end{align*}
$$

3.2. A Measure Calculation. The sets $\Omega_{i}$ are compact and for their Lebesgue measure $\mu$ we find (using the subadditivity, behaviour under linear transformations and translation-invariance of the Lebesgue measure):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu\left(\Omega_{a}\right)=\mu\left(\lambda^{\star} \Omega_{a} \cup \lambda^{\star} \Omega_{b} \cup \lambda^{\star} \Omega_{c} \cup \lambda^{\star} \Omega_{d}\right) \\
& \text { subadd. } \\
& \quad \leq\left(\lambda^{\star} \Omega_{a}\right)+\mu\left(\lambda^{\star} \Omega_{b}\right)+\mu\left(\lambda^{\star} \Omega_{c}\right)+\mu\left(\lambda^{\star} \Omega_{d}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { lin. trafo }}{=}\left|\lambda_{c}\right|^{2} \cdot\left|\lambda_{r}\right| \cdot\left(\mu\left(\Omega_{a}\right)+\mu\left(\Omega_{b}\right)+\mu\left(\Omega_{c}\right)+\mu\left(\Omega_{d}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, with $\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \in\{(b, a),(c, b),(d, c)\}$,

$$
\mu\left(\Omega_{j_{1}}\right)=\mu\left(\lambda^{\star} \Omega_{j_{2}}+t\right) \stackrel{\text { trans. inv. }}{=} \mu\left(\lambda^{\star} \Omega_{j_{2}}\right) \stackrel{\text { lin. trafo }}{=}\left|\lambda_{c}\right|^{2} \cdot\left|\lambda_{r}\right| \cdot \mu\left(\Omega_{j_{2}}\right)
$$

But $\left|\lambda_{c}\right|^{2} \cdot\left|\lambda_{r}\right|=1 / \beta$ and we have component-wise

$$
\beta \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu\left(\Omega_{a}\right) \\
\mu\left(\Omega_{b}\right) \\
\mu\left(\Omega_{c}\right) \\
\mu\left(\Omega_{d}\right)
\end{array}\right) \leq\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu\left(\Omega_{a}\right) \\
\mu\left(\Omega_{b}\right) \\
\mu\left(\Omega_{c}\right) \\
\mu\left(\Omega_{d}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

By construction, $\beta$ is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of the transpose of the Abelianization matrix on the right, thus equality holds. But this means that all unions on the right-hand side of the IFS in Eq. (2) are disjoint in measure. From the first line of that IFS we deduce that the sets $\Omega_{i}$ are therefore disjoint in measure, and we have a "well-behaved" geometric realisation in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ of the $\beta$-integers in questions. A figure of this "Rauzy-fractal" is shown in Fig. 1.

## 4. A Non-Unimodular Example

4.1. Substitution and Measure Calculation. As a non-unimodular example, we will study the $\beta$-substitution given via the polynomial $p(x)=x^{3}-3 x^{2}-x-\mathbf{2}$, which has dominant (Pisot) root $\beta \approx 3.457$. The algebraic conjugates of $\beta$ are $\lambda_{c}, \bar{\lambda}_{c} \approx-0.228 \pm i \cdot 0.726$, and the associated substitution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \mapsto a a a b, \quad b \mapsto a c, \quad c \mapsto a a \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can again describe the $\beta$-integers geometrically using a tile substitution. In this case, we choose the interval lengths $\ell_{a}=1, \ell_{b}=\beta-3$ and $\ell_{c}=\beta^{2}-3 \beta-1$.

If we proceed as before, we would now define a map, say $(\cdot)^{\circledast}$, that maps $\beta^{k}$ to $\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{c}^{k}\right), \operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{c}^{k}\right)\right)^{\top}$. Then, we would look at sets $\Omega_{i}^{\prime}=\operatorname{cl} \Lambda_{i}^{\circledast}$ that are also given by an IFS on $\mathbb{C}$. However, due to the non-unimodularity, the measure calculation goes wrong (note that $\beta\left|\lambda_{c}\right|^{2}=\mathbf{2}$ ); using $\mu^{\prime}$ for the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}$, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\mathbf{2}} \beta \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{a}^{\prime}\right) \\
\mu^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{b}^{\prime}\right) \\
\mu^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right) \leq\left(\begin{array}{lll}
3 & 1 & 2 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{a}^{\prime}\right) \\
\mu^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{b}^{\prime}\right) \\
\mu^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

This additional factor $\frac{1}{2}$ destroys our previous argument using the Perron-Frobenius theorem that established measure-disjointness of the sets in question.

We now make a little detour to the realm of $p$-adic numbers; our goal is to establish that one has to consider the geometric realisation in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ and not just $\mathbb{C}$ for this non-unimodular example.
4.2. $p$-adic Fields $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. The $p$-adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ are a complete discrete valuation ring. An element $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ can be written as Taylor series in powers of $p$, i.e.,

$$
x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_{n} p^{n}=. s_{0} s_{1} \ldots \quad \text { with } s_{n} \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}
$$

The $p$-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ are the field of fractions of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. An element $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ can be written as Laurent series

$$
x=\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} s_{n} p^{n}=s_{m} \ldots s_{-1} \cdot s_{0} s_{1} \ldots \quad \text { with } s_{n} \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}
$$



Figure 2: A "tree-picture" for the 3 -adic integers, the digits in the 3 -adic expansion determine the path starting from the root at the top. This leads to an "embedding" of the $p$-adic numbers into the reals (respectively, of the $p$-adic integers into the interval $[0,1])$ that lines up with the $p$-adic metric: closed balls $\bar{B}_{r}(x)$ of radius $r$ around $x$ correspond to closed intervals of length $r$ (containing the image of the 3 -adic number $x$ ). Details and references may be found in [13, Chapter 3c].

As an example of this $p$-adic numeration, we consider some 3 -adic integers:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=. \overline{0}, \quad 1=.1 \overline{0}, \quad 8=.22 \overline{0}, \quad-1=. \overline{2}, \quad-13=.211 \overline{2}, \\
\frac{3}{4}=.01 \overline{20}, \quad \sqrt{7}=.2112022 \ldots \quad \text { and } / \text { or } \quad .1110200 \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

A nice way to think these 3 -adic numbers and "visualise" them in a way that (kind of) lines up with the 3 -adic metric, is shown in Fig. 2 using a tree-picture that leads to an "embedding" into the real line.
4.3. Geometric Realisation in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Q}_{2}$. We now consider the polynomial $p(x)=x^{3}-3 x^{2}-x-2$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ ! This polynomial has a ${ }^{1} 2$-adic root $\lambda_{2}=.010111 \ldots$ of 2-adic absolute value $\frac{1}{2}$ Moreover, the Haar measure $\mu_{H}$ on $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ has the property

$$
\mu_{H}\left(\lambda_{2} A\right)=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mu_{H}(A)
$$

for any Haar-measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ (the Haar measure is the generalisation of the Lebesgue measure in a locally compact topological group).

So, if we define a star-map $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ by replacing each power $\beta^{k}$ by $\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{c}^{k}\right), \operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{c}^{k}\right), \lambda_{2}^{k}\right)^{\top}$, then one can check that the measure calculation (using the Haar measure on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ ) works out! Concluding as in the previous unimodular example, we therefore obtain a "well-behaved" geometric realisation in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ of the $\beta$-integers in questions. A figure of this "Rauzy-fractal" is depicted in Fig. 3; as before in Fig. 1, the sets $\Omega_{i}$ yield "nice" tiles.

[^1]but they are of 2 -adic absolute value 1 .


Figure 3: Two views of a polyhedral approximation to the geometric realisation (the "Rauzy fractal") in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ of the $\beta$-integers $\mathrm{cl} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{\star}=\Omega_{a} \cup \Omega_{b} \cup \Omega_{c}$ associated to the substitution given in Eq. (3).


Figure 4: A further example: The geometric realisation in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{3}$ for the $\beta$ substitution given by $a \mapsto a a a a b, b \mapsto a b$.

## 5. Remarks

One is often (or usually) interested whether the sets $\Omega_{j}$ are the prototiles of a specific aperiodic tiling since this implies that the underlying dynamical system is pure point, see the remarks in the talk by Akiyama [1] and compare [5, 8, 13]. This condition can be checked algorithmically by making use of the above mentioned measure-disjointness on the right-hand side of the associated IFS, compare [12] (also see [13, Sections $6.9 \& 6.10]$ ). Another way to phrase this is by so-called coincidence conditions and/or (weak) finiteness conditions, see Akiyama's talk [1] and [5, 8, 13] and references therein.

We also mention that behind "geometric realisation" the concepts of a cut and project scheme and model sets are hidden that played an important part in understanding diffractive properties of "quasicrystals". See $[10,9,2]$ (or [13, Chapter 5] and references therein) on more on these concepts.

Also, one has to mention that this method at looking at additional $p$-adic components has its predecessors in $[3,11]$. That and how behind this all actually the ring of adeles is working can be found in [13, Section 6.5].

We conclude this article with the picture of a further example, see Fig. 4.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ It also has two roots in the extension field $\mathbb{Q}_{2}(\sqrt{-3}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_{2}(\sqrt{5})$, namely

    $$
    1.001001 \ldots+\sqrt{5} \cdot 1.010001 \ldots \quad \text { and } \quad 1.001001 \ldots+\sqrt{5} \cdot 1.101110 \ldots ;
    $$

