

The Macroeconomic Policy Content of the PRSPs: How Much Pro-Growth, How Much Pro-Poor?¹

Ricardo Gottschalk
The Institute of Development Studies
University of Sussex
Brighton BN1 9RE, UK
Tel: +44 (0) 1273 606261
Fax: +44 (0) 1273 621202/691647
Email: R.Gottschalk@ids.ac.uk

February 2004

¹ This paper was prepared for The North-South Institute. I would like to thank Rodney Schmidt, Tom Crowards and CIDA for helpful comments and suggestions on an earlier draft. Discussions with, as well ideas and inputs from Xavier Cirera were critical for the development of this paper. The usual caveats apply.

1. Introduction

In the past 25 years or so, the Bretton Woods institutions have encouraged developing countries to undertake economic and liberalisation reforms. The intended outcome was greater economic stability, growth and ultimately poverty alleviation. There is a growing consensus today that, although some countries have made progress in attaining growth and poverty reduction, in many cases the results have been disappointing. Many developing countries have experienced relatively poor growth performance, and, in some cases, the living conditions of the poor have worsened rather than improved.

The reforms have included trade and capital account liberalisation. A number of countries that have liberalised their capital accounts succeeded in attracting international private capital flows – the so called emerging market economies. However, these flows have shown to be highly volatile, and as a result, this group of countries suffered from a high degree of financial volatility, in many cases resulting in financial crises that were developmentally very costly (see, for example, Griffith-Jones and Ocampo, 2000). Unlike the emerging market economies, the poorer countries were unable to attract large amounts of private capital flows, remaining dependent on official flows to finance their balance of payments needs. However, these countries also suffered from high volatility, caused by a variety of exogenous shocks, such as terms of trade shocks and natural disasters. The intended benefits associated with the liberalisation reforms thus failed to materialise, causing sharp disillusionment, especially among the poorer countries.

In face of that, the international thinking seems to be slowly converging towards the recognition that alternative policies are needed, to appropriately deal with the macroeconomic volatility developing countries are subject to in a fairly liberalised, global economy (ECLAC, 2002; Kuczynski and Williamson, 2003; Cagatay et al., 2000). In this new context, a number of alternative macroeconomic policies have been proposed for adoption in developing countries. These are mainly countercyclical policies, aimed at addressing macroeconomic volatility and their negative effects on

growth, employment and the living conditions, particularly of the poor. Even the larger European economies, which are suffering from lack of flexibility due to policies they have to follow under the Stability and Growth Pact, are searching for more room for counter-cyclical actions to deal with their persistently weak macroeconomic conditions.

At the same time, under the HIPC context, the poorer countries have been encouraged to adopt poverty reduction strategies, and in this regard to prepare poverty reduction strategy papers (PRSPs), as a condition for debt relief. The PRSPs have meant putting together a development strategy that should contribute to poverty alleviation and thereby to the achievement of the millennium development goals set by the international community. To this end, they have proposed the adoption of a wide range of economic policies (macro, sectoral, structural) and institutional reforms; and have included innovative elements, such as the engagement of the civil society through the participatory process, the focus on governance issues, and alternative social policies.

Disappointingly, despite a growing interest in alternative macroeconomic policies both in developed and middle-income countries, and a commitment by the PRSP process to innovate, what it seems is that the macroeconomic policies the PRSPs have been formulating have essentially been a continuation of those policies adopted by the majority of the PRSP countries under the Structural Adjustment Programmes (SAPs) during the 1980s and 1990s.

The purpose of this paper is to shed some more light on this important issue, by analysing the macroeconomic content of the PRSPs. The objectives are, first, to assess the PRSPs' macroeconomic policies from a growth and poverty reduction perspective. And second, to see whether the PRSPs have introduced alternative policies into their proposed macroeconomic policy frameworks, thus following trends elsewhere, or whether innovative elements permeating the PRSPs have been limited mainly to the participatory process, governance issues and social policies. By doing so, this paper fills an important research gap, as most work on PRSPs to date has focused on the

participatory process,² with much less effort being devoted to analysing the substance of the PRSPs, particularly their core macroeconomic policies. The existing analysis of the content of PRSPs has focused on budgetary issues, e.g. how resources can be re-directed/increased towards the social sectors and benefit the poor more effectively, or on structural reforms.³

This paper will thus analyse the macroeconomic content of the PRSPs of 15 countries.⁴ The analysis will be carried out on a comparative basis, whereby we aim to identify those macroeconomic policies that have been commonly proposed by the PRSPs, as well as those policies that are country specific. Where it has clearly been the case, it will indicate what policies seem in reality to be in conflict, rather than in accordance, with the PRSP's broad aims. The paper will also identify the main growth targets specified in the PRSPs, and, where these targets look too ambitious (for example, when measured against past performance), it will examine whether specific macroeconomic policies have been designed for the purpose of making these targets feasible. Furthermore, it will try to find out whether (and if so, to what extent) innovative policy/mechanisms have been proposed, especially for dealing with macroeconomic volatility and exogenous shocks. Finally, the paper will discuss the PRSPs' proposed financial reforms. The reason for discussing the financial reforms, but not others, is that we believe these reforms may have important implications for growth and poverty reduction, some of which clearly negative, which have not received enough attention from the PRSP debate.

The paper is organised in 6 sections. Section 2 provides an overview of the PRSP countries' common structural features, as well as the common features shared by their PRSPs. Section 3 analyses the macroeconomic content of the PRSPs, in four subsections under the headings: 1) the growth targets; 2) the monetary framework; 3) the fiscal framework; and 4) the exchange rate policy. Section 4 will discuss the financial

² See, for example, Booth (2001), who analyses the PRSP processes in 8 African countries, and Jenkins and Tsoka (2001), who discusses the PRSP experience in Malawi, both with a focus on participation and institutionalisation issues.

³ Bevan and Adam (2001) may be among the few exceptions on work focusing on PRSP's macroeconomic policies.

⁴ The countries are: Bolivia, Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Honduras, Malawi, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Niger, Rwanda, Senegal, Tanzania, Uganda, Vietnam and Zambia.

reform. Section 5 provides a set of policy recommendations for adoption by the PRSPs, to support growth and poverty reduction. Section 6 concludes.

2. PRSPs: Background Information and an Overview of their Common Elements

This section has two sub-sections. The first sub-section provides an overview of the PRSP countries' structural features. The second sub-section summarises the main common features of the poverty reduction strategies proposed by the PRSPs.

2.1. The Countries' Common Structural Features

Table 1 displays information on the incidence of poverty in the 15 countries under analysis. The indicator used is the population living below the poverty line; the figures are taken from the PRSPs. They are based on national surveys that use different definitions of poverty and methodologies for measuring it. The indicator therefore should not be used for comparative purposes. Yet, it serves well the purpose of showing us that in all countries the poor are quite numerous, corresponding to at least almost half of their total populations. In order to also provide information on poverty from sources outside the PRSPs, column 3 of Table 1 displays an indicator of population living below \$1 a day, taken from the Human Development Report 2003.

Table 1. Incidence of Poverty

	Population living below the poverty line		Population living below \$1 a day % 1990-2001 ¹
	Year	%	
Bolivia	1999	63.0	14.4
Burkina Faso	1998	45.3	61.2
Ethiopia	1999/2000	44.0	81.9
Honduras	1999	66.0	23.8
Malawi	1998	65.3	41.7
Mauritania	1996	50.0	28.6
Mozambique	1996/1997	69.4	37.9
Nicaragua	1998	47.9	82.3
Niger	1993	63.0	61.4
Rwanda	2001	60.3	35.7
Senegal	2001	53.9	26.3
Tanzania	Na	Na	19.9
Uganda	1997	44.0	82.2
Vietnam	1998	37.4	17.7
Zambia	1998	72.9	63.7

Sources: information taken from the countries' PRSPs, based on national surveys.

¹ Data from the Human Development Report 2003; refers to the most recent year available.

The incidence of poverty reflects mainly a very low level of GDP per capita, which is the case of almost all countries (see Table 2). Their average GDP per capita was just 380 US dollars (at constant 1995 prices) in the year 2000. If the Latin American countries are excluded, the average falls to less than 300 US dollars.

Table 2. GDP per capita
constant 1995 US\$

	Year 2000
Bolivia	951.6
Burkina Faso	252.1
Ethiopia	115.9
Honduras	711.1
Malawi	168.6
Mauritania	495.7
Mozambique	191.1
Nicaragua	465.6
Niger	202.8
Rwanda	241.8
Senegal	609.2
Tanzania	190.5
Uganda	348.0
Vietnam	355.7
Zambia	392.4
Average	380.0
Average (excludes LA countries)	297.0

Source: World Development Indicators.

High poverty levels and low income per capita are not the only characteristics these countries have in common. Many face high inequality in its different forms, e.g. of assets, income and rights. Moreover, in these countries inequality has a geographical dimension as well, as in most cases poverty is concentrated in rural areas. Other key common characteristics among the 15 countries include their relatively large agricultural sector and narrow productive base. Partly as a reflection of that, these countries' exports are concentrated on very few primary products. Their export base is therefore weak, which has made them very vulnerable to terms of trade and other external shocks. A further common characteristic these countries share is their low savings rate, large savings-investment gap, large fiscal deficits (before grants) and therefore strong dependence on foreign aid. Finally, most of these countries also have in common weak and fragile economic, legal and political institutions.

2.2. PRSPs: overview of their common features

In recognition that poverty is prevalent and that the resources are limited, all the PRSPs under analysis place sustainable economic growth at the forefront of their poverty reduction strategies. Accordingly, virtually all Papers have clearly set ambitious growth targets. Having established growth as the key factor in contributing to overcoming poverty, the PRSPs recognise that although growth is a necessary condition for poverty reduction, it is not sufficient. In line with the current international thinking in development (World Bank, 2000; DFID, 2000), they argue that growth should be broad based to ensure a rapid decline in poverty levels.

Thus, all PRSPs aim to promote rapid and sustainable economic growth, but stress that growth has to be pro-poor. The key elements the PRSPs propose to accelerate growth are, first, increased investment in human capital, and economic and social infrastructure; second, the promotion of a stable macroeconomic environment; and, third, structural and institutional reforms. The latter two elements are expected to contribute to growth through providing the private sector with the appropriate incentives for investment and by enhancing the level of competitiveness in the economy.

The Papers expect to achieve a stable macroeconomic environment through the adoption of prudent macroeconomic policies, particularly in the monetary and fiscal domains. Typically, the envisaged monetary policy is aimed primarily at guaranteeing low inflation, and, where inflation has been high, at lowering it towards international levels. The advocated policy instrument for achieving these objectives usually is the use of monetary targets. In order to make the targets feasible and credible, emphasis is put on de-linking monetary expansion from fiscal demands and on promoting central bank autonomy. The proposed fiscal policy, in turn, is normally the one based on the adoption of balanced government budgets, although in a few cases the stated objective is limited to ensuring fiscal sustainability, with allowance for fiscal deficits in the short to the medium term.

Strengthening the external sector is a further aim of the macroeconomic policies. Most PRSPs acknowledge that maintaining competitive exchange rates is a key instrument for achieving this objective. The latter is seen as particularly important for supporting export growth and diversification, considered as crucial for generating foreign exchange and providing a major source of demand for the domestic economy.

The proposed structural policies and reforms include mainly (though not only) labour, tax, financial sector and trade reforms. The central objectives of the labour reforms are to make the labour markets flexible and to improve the productivity of the labour force. The importance attached to the latter is based on the assessment that the productivity of labour is very low. This assessment is made especially by the PRSPs of those countries that have experienced poor growth performance in the past. The tax reform, intended to deliver a more rational tax system, is expected to provide incentives to the private sector to produce and invest. Moreover, it is expected to provide additional resources for public capital and social expenditures. Financial reform, in turn, is aimed at ensuring stability of the financial system, contributing to its development and making it an important source of finance for the economy. Finally, the trade reform, though not clearly specified, is aimed at boosting the external competitiveness of the economy. Importance to the trade reform is attached particularly by the PRSPs of those countries that have been slow at adopting structural reforms under the World Bank-led structural adjustment programmes (SAPs) or that have not adopted these programmes at all.

To ensure that the poor can benefit from the growth process, many PRSPs propose a development strategy that prioritises agricultural development. Moreover, a key component of all PRSPs is the distribution of fiscal resources. Budgetary allocation, the Papers stress, should prioritise spending on poverty reduction programmes and basic infrastructure. Further elements aimed at benefiting the poor which can be found in some PRSPs (but not all) include asset redistribution and provision of micro-credit. These elements - asset redistribution, micro-credit and improved infrastructure - put together are expected to enhance the capacity of the poor to produce and generate income, through giving them access to assets, credit and markets.

As mentioned earlier, all PRSP countries are very vulnerable to external shocks. This is amply acknowledged by all PRSPs. However, very few Papers propose policies that can be used to prevent, or at least cope with the immediate economic and social consequences of these shocks and the volatility that they generate in the economy.

Finally, a common factor among the PRSPs is the near absence of targeting capital account liberalisation as part of the package of liberalisation reforms. In our judgement, this is a very positive aspect, which apparently reflects the current consensus among the Bretton Woods' institutions and the international financial community at large around a cautious approach towards full capital account convertibility. The negative aspect of it is that some of the 15 countries have already fully liberalised their capital account, but there is hardly any discussion of the possible negative implications, or how these could be tackled.

How similar are these policies to the structural adjustment programmes supported by the World Bank? SAPs were initially adopted in the 1980s in response to balance of payments (BOP) crises facing developing countries. The diagnosis was that BOP crises reflected not only poor demand management, but also problems of structural nature. Therefore, SAPs' objectives included not just macroeconomic stability, to be achieved through demand management policies, but also long-term supply response, to be engendered through a change in relative prices (to the benefit of tradable and rural sectors), and the reduction of the State in economic activities (Demery, 1994). These intermediate objectives were expected to be achieved through: macroeconomic discipline, trade liberalisation, the liberalisation of product and factor markets, financial sector reforms, and privatisation of state-owned enterprises. These measures were expected to ultimately increase economic efficiency and enhance economic growth.

Thus, both the objectives and the set of policies proposed under SAPs and PRSPs look very similar. The major difference resides in the emphasis they put on their objectives. Under SAPs, the immediate objective was stability. Growth as was an objective to be achieved only in the long-term through structural reforms. Poverty reduction, although also desired, was not explicitly pursued. In contrast, under the PRSPs growth and poverty reduction are direct policy objectives, even though their

policies, this paper argues, have not been sufficiently adapted in response to this change in emphasis.

Having provided an overview of the main common elements contained in the PRSPs' development strategies, in what follows we will discuss in more depth their macroeconomic content, focusing on the core macroeconomic policies and targets. These are the growth targets, and monetary, fiscal and exchange rate policies. The purpose is to identify their main characteristics and shortcomings, with a view to informing the PRSP process.

3. Analysis of the Macroeconomic Content of PRSPs

3.1. The Growth Targets

All PRSPs identify rapid and sustainable growth as key to reduce poverty.

Accordingly, they all have clearly stipulated growth targets. These were set taking into account the countries' growth performance in the 1990s, as the latter can give an indication of how much growth they can realistically aim for.

Table 3. GDP growth in the 1990s and the PRSP growth targets

	Average 1990-99	Average 1995-99	2000	Target
Bolivia	4.0	3.9	2.4	5.0-5.5
Burkina Faso	4.7	5.9	2.2	7.0-8.0
Ethiopia	3.7	5.4	5.4	7.0
Honduras	2.8	2.8	4.8	5.0-6.0
Malawi	4.2	7.0	1.7	5.0
Mauritania	3.4	4.2	5.2	8.0
Mozambique	5.7	8.5	1.6	7.0
Nicaragua	2.9	5.1	4.3	4.5
Niger	1.9	3.7	0.1	4.0
Rwanda	2.1	15.7	5.6	7.0
Senegal	3.3	5.3	5.6	7.0-8.0
Tanzania	3.1	3.8	5.1	5.0-6.0
Uganda	6.9	7.7	3.5	7.0
Vietnam	7.4	7.5	5.5	8.0
Zambia	0.3	1.5	3.5	4.0

Source: World Development Indicators.

As can be seen in Table 3, some countries experienced rapid growth in the 1990s. For example, Vietnam, Uganda and Mozambique exhibited an average growth of 7.4%, 6.9% and 5.7%, respectively. Other countries grew less rapidly over the decade, but still growth was moderately high. This was the case of Burkina Faso, Bolivia and Malawi, all the three countries experiencing growth rates of or above 4%. In other cases, growth picked up in the second half of the 1990s, i.e. Ethiopia, Mauritania, Nicaragua, Rwanda, Senegal and Tanzania. Finally, growth was disappointing throughout the decade for Honduras, Niger and Zambia.

In 2000, the picture changes, with some moderate and strong performers in the 1990s experiencing a decline in growth (e.g. Bolivia, Burkina Faso, Malawi, Mozambique and Uganda), due to terms of trade shocks and natural disasters. In contrast, Honduras witnessed accelerated growth in 2000 after a decade of relatively poor performance.

Because the recent growth patterns in the 15 countries were somewhat dissimilar, the proposed targets among countries are different as well, varying between annual average growth of 4% and 8% (Table 3). However, as Table 3 shows, for almost all countries the growth targets were set above (or well above) the average growth of the 1990s (or even the second half of the 1990s when growth speeded up for some countries). In some cases, the target is close to the picks of the trends observed in the 1990s, which differ considerably from the average trend due to large variations in growth rates over the whole period. The question that then arises is: are these targets feasible?

Two factors seem to stand in the countries' way to meet these targets. The first refers to lack of clearly quantifiable additional sources of finance needed to support more rapid growth. The second refers to growth volatility and the lack of instruments to make it less volatile.

As regards the source of financing, crude calculations show that the investment rates required for achieving the growth targets are well above those rates observed in the second half of the 1990s or even in 2000 (Mozambique, Nicaragua, Niger and Rwanda are exceptions to that; see Table 4). However, no clear additional financing sources have been identified in quantifiable ways to support the higher investment needed for higher growth. Higher investment is expected to come mainly from the private sector, as a response of the intended structural reforms. A further channel by which private investment is expected to increase thus contributing to partially filling the gap between current and required investment is through fiscal tightening. The rationale is that the latter would result in lower interest rates and less financial crowding-out, therefore releasing resources for the private sector to invest. These are not quantified, however.

Public investment, in turn, is expected to be higher, as an increase in public capital expenditure is predicted. But additional resources needed to support higher public investment would have to come from the HIPC initiative or made available through an increase in external official assistance (other than HIPC). However, the HIPC resources are intended mainly to finance recurrent expenditure, e.g. health, education, while an increase in external assistance beyond HIPC, though possible, is not guaranteed. An additional envisaged financing source of public investment is increased government revenues, as a result of tax reform. Some countries project the expected increase in tax revenues, but these are based on very optimistic assumptions on growth and on the capacity of specific taxes, e.g. VAT, to provide increased revenues.

Table 4. Level of Investment Needed to Meet the Growth Targets

	Growth Targets	Investment/GDP (average 1996-2000)	Investment/GDP Year 2000	Investment/GDP Required to Meet the Growth Targets¹
Bolivia	5.0-5.5	19.1	17.2	23.0-25.4
Burkina Faso	7.0-8.0	27.6	25.5	42.9-49.0
Ethiopia	7.0	16.5	15.3	18.4
Honduras	5.0-6.0	32.4	32.5	41.7-50.0
Malawi	5.0	12.9	12.5	15.5
Mauritania	8.0	20.6	30.3	35.4
Mozambique	7.0	28.6	39.6	17.6
Nicaragua	4.5	30.8 ¹	24.22 ²	25.4
Niger	4.0	10.6	10.78	8.92
Rwanda	7.0	15.5	17.53	12.6
Senegal	7.0-8.0	18.8	19.8	23.9-27.3
Tanzania	5.0-6.0	15.7	17.6	20.1-24.1
Uganda	7.0	17.7	19.8	22.3
Vietnam	8.0	28.5	29.6	35.7
Zambia	4.0	16.0	18.7	19.5

Source: World Development Indicators.

¹ Calculated using the countries' average incremental-capital output ratios over the 1996-2000 period, with years marked by deep recession (often caused by exogenous shocks) being taken out.

² Average 1996-1998.

³ Refers to the year 1998.

In these countries, the productivity of their factors of production is admittedly low; an increase in it could partially compensate for lack of higher investment. This increase is expected to take place as a result of the intended structural reforms (tax, trade liberalisation, etc.). However, even if executed as planned, these reforms would have their effects on the productivity of the factors of production only fully felt in the medium to long term. Moreover, these effects may be smaller than expected.

Growth volatility may represent a second deterrent to more rapid growth. It is already high among this group of countries, and may become more intense as the economies become more open. It can affect growth, especially its long-term trend, through uncertainty it creates among private investors, both foreign and domestic; and secondly, by causing a decline in government revenues, which can in turn reinforce the initial decline in growth.

Unfortunately, although the PRSPs stress the need for macroeconomic stability, the focus is on price stability and fiscal balance, believed to be the key elements to underpin overall macroeconomic stability; as a consequence, both the proposed monetary and fiscal frameworks are designed to support these two objectives only (see below). These frameworks do not contain any monetary devices or counter-cyclical fiscal elements, to prop up domestic demand when it declines. They therefore lack any meaningful mechanisms that can help smooth out aggregate demand.

Even where counter-cyclical elements exist (see below), a further problem is that more often than not the decline in growth may not be related to the downturn phase of the business cycle, but, rather, caused by external shocks. These shocks are so frequent and the volatility they cause so deep – and even disruptive -, that in the face of this, the volatility associated with the business cycles may look as a problem of secondary importance. However, the PRSPs lack countervailing mechanisms that can be activated when the economy is hit by these shocks.

The majority of the Papers acknowledge that the growth targets may be rather ambitious. In response to that, a few of them propose alternative targets, based on less optimistic scenarios.⁵ This was the case of the PRSPs for Niger, whose growth performance at the time of the PRSP formulation was rather weak due to unfavourable weather conditions; Senegal, which acknowledges the impact of external shocks on growth and even provides simulations of this impact on growth performance (although it does not elaborate on how to deal with this impact); and Uganda, where growth slowed down in 2000 after strong growth performance in the

⁵ The IMF progress assessments of the PRSPs reach similar conclusions (see IMF PRSP Annual Progress Report, various issues).

1990s; in fact, in 2001 the target was not met, due to a deterioration in the country's terms of trade (coffee and oil prices), drought and EU ban on fish exports.

The reason for setting growth targets so high is that by only growing very fast these countries will be able to reduce poverty significantly, as pointed out earlier.

According to calculations provided by Hanmer et al. (1999), to meet the millennium goal of halving extreme poverty by 2015, Sub-Saharan countries would have to grow at over 8% per annum.⁶ This figure is higher than the growth targets set by the eleven Sub-Saharan countries of our sample (except for Mauritania; see Table 3). This indicates that, although already high, the current growth targets may not be sufficient to meet the poverty reduction targets for 2015. This further indicates that lower growth targets, although more realistic, may leave poor countries even farther away from the millennium development goals, unless, of course, growth is accompanied with better income distribution.

In sum, the growth targets set by the PRSPs look quite ambitious (even if still not sufficient to meet the poverty reduction goals), first because possible additional sources of finance seem improbable to become available in the short term, and are not even appropriately quantified. Second, because the volatility of growth, which has strongly marked the PRSP countries in the past, if exacerbated may lower these countries' growth trends.

In recognition that volatility in growth (and other economic variables) caused by terms of trade shocks and natural disasters is a major problem facing poor countries, the IMF has put forward a proposal to increase the external financial assistance to countries facing external shocks (IMF, 2003). At the national level, however, the countries' macroeconomic frameworks include very few mechanisms to deal with shocks (see below).

⁶ It should be noted that the authors reach this figure under the assumption that there will be no improvement in income distribution.

3.2. The Monetary Framework

Nearly all Papers propose a monetary policy that is focused on price stability. Accordingly and to show firm commitment to this policy goal, the Papers set quantitative targets for inflation. Table 5 shows that the targets for annual inflation are very low, ranging between 3% and 5%. Honduras is the only country outside this range, with a target of 9%. At the same time, it is possible to see from Table 5 that current inflation is already at, or below, the established targets for many countries.⁷ In these circumstances the objective is to ensure that inflation is kept at the current levels, or even to bring it further down to a very low level.

Table 5. Inflation in the 1990s and the PRSP inflation targets
%

	Average 1990-99	Average 1995-99	2000	Target
Burkina Faso	4.5	4.0	-0.3	3.0
Bolivia	10.4	7.4	4.6	4.0
Ethiopia	7.8	3.2	-0.04	5.0
Honduras	19.7	19.8	10.1 ¹	9.0
Malawi	31.0	40.9	29.5	4.0
Mauritania	6.4	5.6	3.3	5.0
Mozambique	34.1	22.1	Na	3.0
Nicaragua	1053.7	11.2	9.9 ¹	4.0
Niger	4.3	4.2	2.9	3.0
Rwanda	8.6	5.8	3.9	3.0
Senegal	4.4	2.8	0.7	3.0
Tanzania	23.1	17.2	5.9	4.0
Uganda	15.9	5.8	2.8	5.0
Vietnam	Na	5.1	-1.7	Na
Zambia	Na	Na	Na	Na

Source: World Development Indicators.

¹ ECLAC.

Furthermore, Table 5 shows that inflation is on a declining trend in those countries that have not met their targets yet.⁸ Malawi and Zambia (figures are not displayed for the latter) have been exceptions to that.

Low inflation levels have been an appreciable achievement for all these countries, especially the Latin American ones, which have suffered from very high inflation in the past. Aiming for price stability is clearly consistent with the objective of poverty

⁷ The countries are: Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Mauritania, Niger, Senegal and Uganda.

⁸ The countries that fall into this situation are: Bolivia, Honduras, Nicaragua, Rwanda and Tanzania.

reduction, as high inflation tends to hurt the poor most, given their lack of access to remunerated bank accounts or other protection mechanisms against inflation.⁹ However, the design of their monetary policy is narrowly focused on price stability, with limited reference to other objectives. A few countries have additional objectives, such as the need to support the exchange rate policy and an increase in international reserves. But no references are found in the PRSPs to two objectives that are very important, from the poverty reduction perspective: growth and employment. Mauritania's PRSP is perhaps the only exception to that, as it states it intends to promote monetary easing to support economic growth.

To maintain inflation low or to bring them to low levels, the Papers essentially propose the adoption of prudent monetary policy. However, they do not explain in detail through what mechanisms and rules a prudent policy might be adopted. A few Papers make reference to the adoption of money base targets and Central Bank autonomy. Box 1 summarises the proposed monetary policy for each of the 15 countries.

Box 1. Monetary Policy (and Objectives)

	Measures proposed in the PRSPs
Burkina Faso	WAEMU member country
Bolivia	Not specified
Ethiopia	Monetary targeting based on monitoring reserve and broad money
Honduras	To maintain an effective monetary policy; prudent liquidity management through open-market operations, mainly by auctioning Monetary Absorption Certificates; policy consistent with interest rates favourable to investment and inflation no higher than one digit.
Malawi	Tight monetary policy and Central Bank autonomy for avoiding financing pressure
Mauritania	Rigorous monetary policy to support exchange rate policy and low inflation.
Mozambique	To avoid monetisation of the fiscal deficit
Nicaragua	Inflation targeting: to reduce inflation rate to 4.0% by 2005.
Niger	WAEMU member country
Rwanda	Broad money targeting
Senegal	WAEMU member country
Tanzania	Prudent monetary policy (not further specified)
Uganda	Monetary targeting: growth rate of M2 of 15%.
Vietnam	Prudent monetary policy; introduction of monetary and credit controls aimed at improving the effectiveness of policy monitoring and meeting the targeted objectives.
Zambia	To make open market operations more transparent and to grant the Central Bank greater legal and operational autonomy

Sources: countries' PRSPs.

⁹ See Gottschalk (2003) for a discussion of high inflation and its implications for poverty and inequality, in the Latin American context.

The vagueness in the specification of the monetary policy may be explained mainly by the countries' lack of monetary instruments to control liquidity in an effective way. Moreover, the countries lack technical and monitoring capacity for implementing effectively a carefully designed monetary policy. In some cases, liquidity has been excessive at times even when prudent fiscal policy is being pursued, due to a boom in foreign investment and the release of resources by the HIPC initiative. This has posed a challenge for the monetary authorities, and in recognition to that, no specific targets have been stipulated.¹⁰

Burkina Faso, Niger and Senegal are WAEMU member countries.¹¹ They therefore differ from the other countries for sharing a common currency, the CFA Franc, and for not having their own monetary policy, as this was handed over to the Central Bank of West African States (BCEAO).

In light of the above, it is possible to identify two main facts. First, almost all PRSP countries have already achieved price stability, but have not adapted their monetary policy to this new reality, by broadening its focus to encompass the growth and employment objectives. Moreover, it is important to note that in many countries inflation is already at very low levels; aiming for an even lower inflation may not be cost-effective. Second, the countries have suffered from lack of instruments for an effective monetary policy. In recognition of that, the PRSPs place a major emphasis on fiscal discipline to ensure macroeconomic stability.

3.3 The Fiscal Framework

The fiscal policy is presented in the PRSPs as key for ensuring macroeconomic stability. The Papers affirm that it should be prudent to ensure a balanced budget (after grants) or at least be sustainable over time. The commitment to prudent fiscal policy is a common feature underlying all Papers. Other common features in the countries' fiscal frameworks include their commitment to generating higher public revenues through tax reform (discussed further below); rationalisation of public

¹⁰ Tanzania has been a case in point - see Gottschalk and Griffith-Jones (2002).

¹¹ WAEMU stands for West African Economic and Monetary Union. It comprises the following countries: Benin, Burkina Faso, Cote d'Ivoire, Guinea-Bissau, Mali, Niger, Senegal and Togo.

expenditure; and, above all, reallocation of public expenditure towards poverty-reduction programmes. Indeed, at the budgetary level, the proposed frameworks have an important pro-poor bias. Moreover, they have been formulated with the increasing degree of participation of the civil society, which will certainly improve the transparency and effectiveness of implementation of programmes, particularly the social ones.

Table 6. Overall Fiscal Surplus (Incl. Grant) as % GDP

	Average 1990-94	Average 1995-99	2000	2001
Bolivia	-1.9	-2.3	-3.4	-6.8
Burkina Faso	-3.8	-3.0	-4.3	-4.3
Ethiopia	-7.8	-5.1	-11.4	-5.0
Honduras	Na	Na	Na	Na
Malawi	-8.4	-5.0	-4.9	-7.3
Mauritania	-4.9	4.4	-1.6	-2.8
Mozambique	-4.2	-2.5	-4.5	-4.9
Nicaragua	-7.3	-1.1 ¹	Na	Na
Niger	-5.2	-3.0	-2.0	-2.4
Rwanda	-7.6	-3.5	0.1	-1.1
Senegal	-1.6	0.9	0.3	-1.9
Tanzania	1.8	-0.6	-1.2	-0.7
Uganda	-4.5	-1.5	-8.7	-3.0
Vietnam	-1.4 ²	-0.8	-2.8	-2.9
Zambia	-5.6	-2.1	-5.0	-7.2

Sources: World Bank Africa Database for African countries; and WDI 2003 for the others.

¹ 1995-98. ² Year 1994.

Looking at Table 6, which displays figures of the overall fiscal performance of the countries under analysis, it is possible to notice that for a number of countries the budgetary situation worsened rather than improved around the time the PRSPs were being designed. This was the case after a decade during which nearly all countries had made clear strides towards small fiscal deficits. Also, by comparing Table 6 with Table 3, which displays information on growth performance, it is possible to observe that the fiscal trends had a counter-cyclical pattern in nearly all countries. That is, as growth accelerated from the first to the second half of the 1990s, their fiscal deficits declined, and when growth slowed down in 2000, the deficits increased again.

This trend analysis should be seen with caution, as it is based on 5-year averages, therefore possibly hiding a different yearly pattern. Moreover, the averages are based on figures that vary significantly across different sources. However, if a counter-

cyclical pattern in fiscal trends really exists, the PRSPs in their general commitment to budget balance do not seem to recognise either its past existence or its importance as a means of dealing with economic downturns. Yet, despite this, at a more detailed level of analysis some degree of variation can be found across the proposed frameworks.

At one extreme, one can find Burkina Faso, Niger and Senegal. These are WAEMU member countries that have agreed to the WAEMU Convergence, Stability, Growth and Solidarity Pact. The key elements of the Pact include meeting the following convergence criteria: nominal fiscal balance, the ceiling of 35% for the ratio of the wage bill to total tax revenue, a debt to GDP ratio not higher than 70%, and annual inflation not higher than 3% (see Box 2 for more details on the Pact).

These criteria are very stringent, especially for Niger. Consequently, these countries' room for action on fiscal matters is extremely limited, as their commitment to converging towards the WAEMU criteria takes away any flexibility for adapting their fiscal framework to their particular circumstances and needs.

Box 2. WAEMU.s Convergence, Stability, Growth, and Solidarity Pact

The WAEMU.s Convergence, Stability, Growth, and Solidarity Pact is a formal agreement adopted in 1999 by a group of countries of the Franc of the African Financial Community (CFA), concretely Benin, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Guinea-Bissau, Mali, Niger, Senegal and Togo. This agreement is aimed at strengthening monetary and fiscal convergence, reinforcing macroeconomic stability, accelerating economic growth and enhancing solidarity amongst member countries. The Pact entered into force in 2003.

The primary convergence criteria are:

- Ratio of the basic fiscal balance to nominal GDP must be 0% or more.
- Ratio of outstanding domestic and foreign debt to nominal GDP must not exceed 70%.
- Average annual inflation rate cannot be more than 3% per year.
- Non-accumulation of domestic and external payments arrears in the current financial period.

The secondary criteria are as follows:

- Ratio of the wage bill to tax revenue cannot exceed 35%.
- Ratio of domestically financed public investment to tax revenue must be at least 20%.
- Ratio of the current external deficit (excluding grants) to nominal GDP cannot exceed 5%.
- Tax to GDP ratio must be 17% or more.

There is a mechanism of sanctions specified in case of no compliance by WAEMU member countries.

Vietnam's Paper stands at the other extreme of the spectrum for focusing on revenue increase rather than on expenditure cuts to achieve fiscal balance. As the Paper puts it,

their main objective is to 'improve the fiscal policy, implement reforms in the taxation system, and expand the tax base to ensure a healthy state budget balance' (Vietnam's PRSP, 2000). It moreover stands apart from the other Papers for introducing the higher number of progressive elements in its proposed fiscal framework. These include their reference to the adoption of instruments to mobilise capital, including the use of preferential taxes targeted at new investment and production expansion, the acknowledgment of the need to ensure a balance between capital expenditure and recurrent expenditure and, in the area of tax reforms, the expectation that the proposed reform of the tax system should result in an increase in the share of revenues from direct (rather than indirect) taxes in total revenues.

The latter point is a particularly important one, as nearly all other countries that intend to undertake tax reforms emphasise the need for widening the tax base, mainly through the strengthening of the VAT, while promising to alleviate the corporate sector from a heavy tax burden. Clearly the objective of widening the tax base is an important one for countries where the tax collection system is weak and the level of tax revenues low. But it is also important to bear in mind that some of the proposed mechanisms to achieve higher tax revenue collection are clearly regressive, and even more so in countries where poverty is so deep and widespread. Unfortunately, this fact is not acknowledged in the PRSPs.

As regards the remaining PRSPs, a few interesting elements can also be found in their proposed fiscal frameworks. That is, it is possible to identify a number of specific measures and mechanisms some of which innovative, aimed among other things at supporting growth and the most vulnerable. These can be summarised as follows.

First, whilst supporting fiscal balance on principle, a few countries' fiscal frameworks foresee the increase in the fiscal deficits, a development seen as necessary in the short term to deal with terms of trade shocks and other unexpected events. Uganda and Zambia are two cases in point. Second, Mauritania proposes an easing in its budgetary policy to finance investment to support economic growth; in addition, it states clearly that additional expenditure is PRSP related, thereby making it clear that these are planned ex-ante and justified from a poverty reduction strategy perspective. And third, Rwanda proposes an increase in public expenditure in social infrastructure and

services to avoid their erosion or even collapse, therefore ensuring long-term sustainability. Also, it envisages the possibility of an increase in capital expenditure above long-term levels, if additional funds are made available. Furthermore, it proposes the creation of new financial mechanisms to smooth out expenditures in times of revenue fluctuations.

All these proposed initiatives show the countries cited above introduced a few elements in their fiscal frameworks that allow for some flexibility. Because increased deficits are predicted, intended to have either a counter-cyclical role (as in the cases of Uganda and Zambia), or a link to long-term sustainability of basic services (as in the case of Rwanda) or of the growth process more broadly (as in the case of Mauritania), they do not undermine, but rather reinforce, the credibility of their fiscal regimes.

Increased flexibility in fiscal policy is important to enable countries to deal with volatility either associated with the business cycle or caused by exogenous shocks, so that its negative effects on growth and poverty can be reduced. As regards the effects on the latter, case studies conducted by the IMF on Cambodia, Honduras, Zimbabwe, Mali and Uganda show that in nearly all cases poverty increased in these countries following exogenous shocks (IMF, 2003, p. 10, Box 1). Moreover, according to Ferranti et al. (2000, cited by Fiess, 2002), social expenditures, and in particular targeted expenditure, tend to fall during the downswing of the business cycle.

A further reason for dealing with economic volatility is that both their growth and poverty impacts are asymmetric - that is, the negative effects are larger than the positive ones following recovery.¹²

Other interesting initiatives in the fiscal frameworks concern new modalities and sources of funding, and safety nets. As regards sources of funding, Honduras suggests the creation of a poverty reduction fund, with resources coming from debt relief and privatisation. And Senegal proposes the use of alternative sources of finance like regional markets for public debt bonds. As regards safety nets, a few countries propose the inclusion in their fiscal frameworks of safety nets to deal with shocks and

¹² See Collier and Dehn (2001) for evidence on the first form of asymmetry, and the IMF (2003) on the latter.

their effects, and other mechanisms to deal with unexpected events. For example, Mozambique proposes programmes to reduce vulnerability to natural disasters; and Tanzania, the provision of safety nets and the undertaking of special initiatives to prevent the collapse of crop production.

Finally, Uganda proposes the creation of an equalisation grant, to tackle gender and other inequalities; Box 2 summarises the countries' main fiscal features and their innovative elements.

Box 2. Fiscal Policy: Main Elements (and Objectives)

	Measures proposed in the PRSPs
Burkina Faso	Prudent budgetary policy; commitment to the WAEMU convergence criteria.
Bolivia	Sustainable fiscal deficits based on non-inflationary sources of finance; deficit expected to continue in the short- to medium term due to the cost of structural reforms such as pension reform; therefore need to finance priority social programmes with other resources, to be generated domestically (tax collection from VAT and import consumption tax) and to come from foreign sources like the HIPC initiative.
Ethiopia	Fiscal discipline based on reducing the fiscal deficit to sustainable levels and reorienting expenditure, especially towards agriculture, health and education; increase in tax revenue from 14.3% to 17.7% of GDP through tax reform; moving forward towards fiscal federalism. Acknowledgement that macroeconomic discipline is dependent on structural factors and external shocks.
Honduras	Low fiscal deficit through firm control over fiscal expenditures; fiscal revenue strengthening through enlarging sales tax, improving the customs valuation system, and modifying the Income Tax Law; efforts to improve tax administration; rationalisation of public expenditure should give priority to poverty-reduction programmes; creation of a poverty reduction fund (with resources coming from debt relief and privatisation).
Malawi	Prudent fiscal policy; reduction of fiscal deficit aimed at reducing interest rates and crowding out, so that incentives are provided and resources made available for private investment; improving public expenditure policy management and parastatals' expenditure; more autonomy to treasury and budget to avoid pressure for financing the budget.
Mauritania	Sound budgetary policy in the long term; in the short- to medium term, controlled easing of budgetary policy with a view to supporting economic growth; projected medium-term budget deficit with an upward trend (from 1.5% in 2000 to 3% in 2004) as a reflection of increased public investment and other additional expenditure related to PRSP projects; increase in tax revenue through new tax reform (incl. VAT management improvement); prioritisation in the allocation of public expenditure.
Mozambique	Limiting budgetary expenditure; increase in fiscal revenue from 15% to 17% through income growth and tax reform; mobilising budgetary resources; dynamic approach to resource allocation, by acknowledging rapid growth is the best way of creating resources for essential public services; greater co-ordination and transparency of public expenditure; rationalisation of tax services and costumes; ensuring flow of international finance; adoption of programmes to reduce vulnerability to natural disasters.
Nicaragua	Rationalisation of public investment programmes (based on a bottom-up approach); increase in government spending on poverty-related outlays to 62% of the budget.
Niger	Strict budgetary policy; commitment to the WAEMU convergence criteria.
Rwanda	Programme for reduction, prioritisation and rationalisation of expenditure; tax reform,

	aimed at reducing corporate tax, increasing VAT and introducing new taxes; short-run increase in capital expenditure above long-term level, if funds are made available; temporary increases in public expenditure required in the short run to ensure long-term sustainability; the development of a range of financial instruments so that expenditure can be smoothed out during periods of revenue fluctuations.
Senegal	Commitment to the convergence criteria of the WAEMU; simplification of taxation and broadening of the tax base; public expenditure closely monitored in order to obtain a positive budget balance; use of alternative sources of finance like the regional market for public debt bonds.
Tanzania	Prudent fiscal policy; improvement of expenditure planning; expected increase in revenue and expenditure due to tax reform; rationalisation of the tax system; provision of additional safety nets and the undertaking of special initiatives to prevent the collapse of crop production.
Uganda	Prioritisation of expenditure towards the poor; overall fiscal deficit expected to increase in 1999/2000 and 2000/2001 to 8.1% and 9.7%, and to decline later to 8.2% in 2002/2003; equalisation grants.
Vietnam	Appropriate fiscal policy to safeguard medium-term sustainability; strengthening of the tax system; increase in the tax base through new sources of tax revenue and the efficiency of the tax payment system (while ensuring it remains pro-poor); increase in expenditures on basic social services and rural infrastructure; public expenditure bias in favour of poor provinces; increase in the budget transparency so as to improve the information base for decision-making and target setting; balance between capital investment and recurrent expenditure; adoption of preferential taxes for new investment and production expansion, technology innovation, new product development, etc.
Zambia	Balanced budget in 2003 and 2004; but increase in the budget deficit in the short run; need for policies to target the losers resulting from the adjustments caused by the growth process.

Sources: countries' PRSPs.

The elements just described are important because they can have a counter-cyclical role, for example in helping attenuate an economic downturn. Unfortunately, these elements are too few and not sufficiently widespread across the various proposed fiscal frameworks. This is a reason for concern, because the fiscal policy should be seen as a key one, not just for supporting stability, but also growth and poverty reduction.

It should be recognised that the room for adopting these elements may be extremely limited. As seen in Table 6, although a few countries are close to a balanced fiscal position, a number of them are not. To the latter group of countries, pursuing a counter-cyclical fiscal policy would mean distancing themselves even further from their commitment to fiscal balance. A more fundamental problem is that, given the level of their public debt (still extremely high despite the enhanced-HIPC), pursuing large fiscal deficits may not be sustainable.

A further issue in relation to the proposed frameworks concerns lack of sufficient acknowledgement of potential conflicts. A key one, likely to arise in all cases, is the conflict between the need for budgetary balance and the numerous demands associated with a development strategy that aims to support growth and combat poverty. Conflicts may also arise between different areas of the budget. For example, the resource conflict between capital expenditure, required for growth, and recurrent expenditure, to support social programmes. Acknowledging this conflict is important given the gap between the resources needed to meet the very ambitious targets (both in terms of growth and social expenditures) set in the Papers, and the resources available in reality, which are very limited. Unfortunately, the failure to sufficiently acknowledge these conflicts, or to discuss the potential trade-offs can create expectations that may not be fulfilled.

3.4. The Exchange Rate Policy

The majority of the PRSPs explicitly identify the exchange rate policy as key to support their objectives of growth and poverty reduction. In this regard, they intend to adopt a competitive exchange rate, so as to improve the country's overall level of competitiveness and the export sector in particular. Prioritising the export sector implies in most cases benefiting the rural sector, where the export activities are concentrated.

A competitive exchange rate also provides some degree of effective protection to the countries' import-competing industries. This is particularly important in a context in which trade barriers may be reduced, as a result of trade liberalisation programmes.

Counter-acting the effects of a reduction in trade barriers is important, because, contrary to what one might think, many PRSP countries do have import-competing activities of sizeable importance, both in rural and urban areas, which are labour intensive. These activities may not just suffer from external competition, but disappear altogether if the levels of protection fall too rapidly and intensively. The poverty impact can be enormous, given the lack of social insurance and of employment alternatives in urban areas. Migration to the rural sector that may result would at least in the short term seriously compound poverty problems in the sector,

given its inability to absorb additional labour productively in an already saturated labour market, which tends to be the case in most countries. The ultimate outcome would be a sharp increase in extreme poverty, as the newly unemployed, both urban and rural, would end up engaging themselves in the most primitive forms of subsistence.

Of course, a competitive exchange rate would affect negatively urban consumers, those rural consumers that are wage earners, and capital-importing activities. There are, therefore, important dilemmas around the exchange rate policy. But there are no general prescriptions in this case. The dilemmas facing policy makers should be addressed with policy actions tailored to the specific structural characteristics and circumstances of each country concerned.

To ensure enhanced competitiveness, many PRSPs suggest that a flexible exchange rate may be the most appropriate regime to adopt. A flexible exchange rate regime seems in fact appropriate, not only to ensure competitiveness, but also to serve as a hedging mechanism against terms of trade and other external shocks.

A few countries like the WAEMU ones, however, are committed to a fixed exchange rate instead (see Box 3 for a summary of the proposed exchange rate regimes). They therefore do not have the ability to use the exchange rate as an instrument to support their export sectors, or as a defence mechanism against terms of trade shocks.

Box 3. The Exchange Rate

	Exchange rate policy/regimes proposed in the PRSPs
Burkina Faso	Fixed (WAEMU member country)
Bolivia	Crawling peg (aimed at ensuring a competitive exchange rate).
Ethiopia	Stable exchange rate
Honduras	Competitive exchange rate and the avoidance of exchange rate appreciation.
Malawi	Not specified.
Mauritania	Not specified.
Mozambique	Maintaining a competitive exchange rate.
Nicaragua	Not specified.
Niger	Fixed exchange rate regime (WAEMU member country).
Rwanda	Policy based on intervention in the exchange rate to smooth short-term fluctuations while allowing it to adjust to export price movements.
Senegal	Fixed regime (WAEMU member country).
Tanzania	Not specified.
Uganda	Market determined with intervention to avoid excessive volatility and to maintain net international reserves.
Vietnam	Increased flexibility and transparency of the foreign exchange regime.
Zambia	Not specified.

Sources: countries' PRSPs.

Of course, the choice of a flexible exchange rate is not problem-free either, although clearly it seems far more appropriate than a fixed one. In countries subject to frequent terms of trade shocks, a fully flexible exchange rate regime may imply excessive exchange rate variability, which could hurt long-term growth through uncertainty it creates among exporters, affecting in particular those that are risk averse. In the PRSP countries, risk-averse exporters tend to be the majority, given their lack of access to hedging instruments, which could be used against exchange rate risk. A further issue concerns the problem of possible excessive currency depreciation. This could cause inflationary pressures and major shifts in the currency asset-liability balances of the financial and other sectors of the economy. Those countries experiencing a high degree of dollarisation, such as Bolivia and Uganda, may be particularly vulnerable to excessive currency depreciation, as Argentina's recent crisis demonstrates.

An additional problem countries may face is not that of excessive currency depreciation, but of appreciation. A few PRSP countries are experiencing this problem, due to a combination of export earnings, FDI and HIPC-released resources (e.g. Tanzania). Their response has been to intervene in the foreign exchange market, which has implied the accumulation of international reserves. The latter can be seen as a positive development in itself, to the extent it can serve as an important cushion to be used in times of difficulties. However, it may also imply excessive liquidity

expansion. The response to liquidity expansion may take the form of sterilisation operations, but this can be fiscally costly and difficult for the monetary authorities to manage in light of the limited number of monetary/financial instruments at their disposal.

As can be seen in Box 3, a number of PRSPs recognise some of the potential problems linked to a flexible exchange rate regime. They therefore propose a pragmatic approach, based on casual interventions in the foreign exchange markets to avoid excessive exchange rate variability; in addition, some of the proposed regimes are intended to ensure a reasonable level of international reserves.

4. The Financial Reforms

Practically all PRSPs strongly emphasise their commitment to undertaking financial reforms, seen as necessary for the development of the financial sector and growth enhancement. These reforms include strengthening the banking system via more competition (and its increased internationalisation, though this latter aspect is not made explicit in the PRSPs), and improving prudential banking regulation and supervision, in line with the Basle core principles. Box 4 summarises the main reforms proposed by each PRSP, as well as their specific aims.

Box 4. Financial Reform

	Specific Measures and Aims
Burkina Faso	Not specified.
Bolivia	Not specified.
Ethiopia	Increase banking competition and improve banking system.
Honduras	Ensure strict implementation of prudential regulations in accordance with international standards, and take quick action to liquidate financial institutions when necessary; reform legal procedures that affect financial transactions, in order to facilitate financial intermediation in favour of the productive sectors; promote the merger of small financial institutions; implement the governing laws: Stock Markets, Insurance and Re-Insurance Institutions, and Deposit Insurance; and achieve prompt approval of the law to regulate pension funds.
Malawi	Not specified.
Mauritania	Creation of a viable and solid financial system; development of a financial sector strategy, allowing for the entry of new banks and increasing competition.
Mozambique	Minimise the risk of crises; promote the development of the financial services and micro-credit, and create conditions for a reduction in domestic interest rates; strengthening supervision according to the Basle principles.
Nicaragua	Strengthening the financial system, including the implementation of prudential norms of capital adequacy, credit risk assessment, provisioning, and on-lending limits to related parties; plan to strengthen superintendency of banks and the supervision process.
Niger	Increase financial deepening.
Rwanda	Financial sector reform based on restructuring and auditing of existing banks; strengthening regulation and supervision by the Central Bank.
Senegal	Improve surveillance and prudential regulation of the banking system.
Tanzania	Strengthening of the banking system.
Uganda	Reforms in the banking and financial system.
Vietnam	Reform the banking sector and financial organisations to mobilise domestic capital; build a system and measures to control the volatility of foreign capital flows, especially of short-term flows.
Zambia	Measures for improving regulation, supervision and adoption of international standards.

Sources: countries' PRSPs.

These measures are very important to ensure the stability of the financial system, especially in view of its vulnerability to the volatility of key macroeconomic variables, particularly the exchange rate.

Very few PRSPs make reference, however, to the link between the liberalisation of the external account and the stability of the domestic financial system. This is an important aspect to highlight, because some of the countries under analysis, e.g. Bolivia, Uganda, Zambia, have promoted full capital account convertibility, while a few others have liberalised their capital accounts considerably, though not entirely. Thus, these countries have increased their vulnerability to private capital flows; of course, it is true that they may not face the problem of excessive private capital inflows and their reversal, like so many emerging economies do. But they may face the opposite problem of capital outflows, mainly by residents. Although many residents can take their capital out of the country through illegal channels, the big holders of capital - institutional investors among a few others - cannot. It is important, therefore, that countries that have not liberalised their capital account yet, wait for a very long time to do so; as regards those that have already, it is important that they adopt measures to reduce the vulnerability of their banking systems to the opening of their capital accounts.

A more fundamental problem in relation to the proposed financial reforms, is that, aimed at ensuring the stability of the financial system, they are not designed to support growth, and even less pro-poor growth. For example, due to the need to meet capital adequacy levels, selective credit policies in support of specific groups or sectors which otherwise would not have access to credit, may end up being drastically reduced or even totally eliminated. This is already happening in countries that have started implementing these reforms.

Tanzania is a case in point. There, international banks, which are increasingly dominating the domestic markets, tend to adopt cherry-picking strategies, which mean lending to foreign companies and big domestic companies. Domestic banks are the ones that still lend to small - and medium-size domestic companies, but to the extent that they have to comply with strict capital requirements, they will reduce lending to SMEs (Gottschalk and Griffith-Jones, 2002). Moreover, as these banks are

encouraged to adopt more sophisticated risk assessment systems, they may face constraints to lend to poor customers, as these customers are unlikely to be able to provide the information banks need to feed their new assessment systems. Furthermore, in response to pressures to gain efficiency, these banks have been closing their agencies in areas of lower population density, which tend to be the rural ones where the poor are concentrated. The overall trend would be therefore of less, rather than more, credit to the poor - and the SMEs, which are important employment-generating sources.

Unfortunately, this potential conflict is being overlooked by the PRSPs, but should be addressed, as credit to the poor is recognised by the Papers to have a strategic role in poverty reduction, as it can directly affect the earning capacity of the poor.

5. Policy Recommendations

The purpose of this section is to suggest a set of policy recommendations on how macroeconomic policies can be improved so as to support more rapid and stable growth, and poverty reduction. Recommendations will be made for each of the macroeconomic policies discussed above, in addition to the financial reform: monetary, fiscal and exchange rate policies.

1) Monetary policy

Price stability is very important to long-term growth, and therefore should be a main objective of monetary policy. However, once price stability is achieved, supporting growth and employment should also be included among the objectives of monetary policy, as indeed they are in the US and other developed countries.

How could that be done? Admittedly, PRSP countries have few monetary instruments that permit them to pursue an effective monetary policy to address multiple objectives, which sometimes are difficult to accommodate. This requires some room for manoeuvre.

In this regard, it seems important that inflation targeting, if adopted, does not have an excessively low target, nor too a narrow band, for inflation. Also, too a low inflation target may not be appropriate for these countries as they may be subject to higher price variability when compared to developed countries, given the price shocks they are subject to, and their relatively weaker production and distribution systems.

To support growth directly, a few ideas already proposed in some PRSPs, such as a regional public bond market, could be taken forward. For example, WAEMU member countries could create a common bond market without facing major institutional or economic barriers. The fact these countries have a Monetary Union and a common Central Bank would greatly facilitate the creation of such a market. Of course, other sub-regions, such as the Southern African Development Community (SADC), where

steps towards regional capital market liberalisation are being taken, could also consider the creation of a common market for public bonds at the sub-regional level.

2) Fiscal policy

PRSP countries are correct in pursuing a prudent fiscal policy. This policy stance gives it credibility thereby contributing to macroeconomic stability and long-term growth. However, prudence should be based on realistic fiscal targets, and should not preclude flexibility. As regards the latter, it should mean allowing for counter-cyclical fiscal policy. Chile, for example, has adopted a counter-cyclical element in its fiscal policy framework. In this framework, a structural fiscal surplus of 1% should be met. The structural fiscal balance is the difference between the actual fiscal balance and the cyclical component of the balance. Having a structural fiscal target rather than actual target implies that the government will be able to increase public expenditure during the downswing phase of the business cycle, and decrease it during the upswing phase (Fiess, 2002). This mechanism gives the government room for fiscal policy that can be used to stimulate demand and counter-act the negative poverty effects associated with economic recession. This is a rules-based policy, which therefore does not undermine government credibility (ECLAC, 2002).

Flexibility in the fiscal framework should be allowed for, not only to deal with the downturn of the business cycle, but also to deal with the effects of external shocks. PRSP countries are particularly affected by the latter, due to their narrow economic structures and heavy reliance of a few primary commodities as sources of fiscal revenues. A very appropriate initiative for countries with these characteristics is the creation of funds as Chile's Cooper Compensation Fund, Colombia's Oil Stabilisation Fund and, among the PRSP countries, Burkina Faso's Cotton Support Fund.¹³ These funds, which are not sufficiently discussed in the PRSPs, could be incorporated into the countries' fiscal frameworks. Of course, these countries should attempt to diversify their economic structure and export base, but this will only be achieved in the long term. In the meantime, alternative measures should be considered.

¹³ For a reference to Burkina Faso's Cotton Support Fund, and the role it played in 2001-2002, when cotton prices fell sharply, see IMF (2002).

To cope with exogenous shocks and their consequences, countries should in addition follow Mozambique and Tanzania in having safety nets, as well as programmes to reduce vulnerability to natural disasters and to prevent the collapse of crop production.

Specifically as regards the WAEMU member countries, which are committed to the convergence criteria of their Stability and Growth Pact, it is important that more room be created for counter-cyclical policies. Also, it is important provisions are made for their main sources of volatility, such as fluctuations in their main commodity prices. Of course, provisions linked to commodity price fluctuations can be equally adopted by those countries not committed to a stability pact, but that still have self-imposed fiscal targets. It should be noted that flexibility in fiscal policy makes it even more credible.

To increase room for counter-cyclical fiscal policy, it seems crucial that the PRSP countries' public debts are further reduced through a re-enhanced HIPC. Alternatively, the international community should contribute to a substantial increase in aid flows, through innovative mechanisms such as the International Finance Facility (IFF), as proposed by the UK Treasury. The latter proposes to double the current level of aid flows to poor countries until 2015.¹⁴ It should be noted that this initiative would be important not just as a means of increasing aid flows, but of providing a stable stream of finance. The stability in aid flows would permit them to have a clearer counter-cyclical role, thereby helping reduce macroeconomic instability.

Finally, it is important that the potential conflict among the numerous demands for public expenditure be appropriately addressed. It would particularly desirable that minimum thresholds be established for certain types public expenditure, such as health and education, but particularly capital expenditure, which in times of recession or crisis tends to be disproportionately reduced. A special fund could be created with the proceeds of privatisation, thus similar to the poverty fund proposed by the Honduras PRSP, to be activated when the minimum thresholds are hit.

¹⁴ For technical details of the proposal, see HM Treasury and DFID (2003).

3) Exchange rate policy

A flexible exchange rate regime should be preferable to a fixed one, as it maximises the degree of freedom of macroeconomic policy and contributes to the strengthening of the country's external sector. Nevertheless, countries should be careful with excessive volatility of the exchange rate, as this volatility may have a negative impact on foreign trade, domestic prices and the balance-sheets of banks and non-financial companies. They should therefore be prepared to intervene in the foreign exchange market to avoid excessive volatility, and for that purpose, be able to accumulate a sizeable amount of international reserves.

4) Financial sector reform

Efforts to develop the financial system and ensure it is stable through prudential supervision and regulation are essential, as crises in the domestic financial system are extremely costly and disruptive, both in economic and social terms. However, it is also important to find a balance between ensuring the stability of the financial system, and development finance. As regards the latter, thought should be put on new and creative institutional mechanisms to support credit to the poor and to job-generating activities. Both public and private mechanisms (such as public guarantees) should be considered for that purpose. Unfortunately, among the PRSPs under analysis, the evidence is that few identify how new financing mechanisms in support of the poor can be provided. Bolivia's PRSP is among the few ones that in acknowledging the role of micro-finance can play in reducing poverty, identifies what institutions and mechanisms should be promoted or created in order to ensure the poor have access to finance. Other PRSPs should do the same, as this effort helps make certain trade-offs explicit and therefore less difficult to overcome.

Finally, still in regard to the stability of the financial system, some PRSP countries have already largely liberalised their capital accounts. They thus may be vulnerable to the volatility of capital flows, and therefore should adopt measures to reduce this volatility, or at least to deal with their effects. The latter tend to affect in particular the

stability of the financial system, due to the sudden changes they cause in the banks' balance sheets, mainly through their impact on the exchange rate.

6. Conclusion

It is important to recognise that the PRSPs have had very positive aspects. These include the participation of the civil society in the design, implementation and monitoring processes; transparency; and appreciable progress in budgetary planning and social policies. Moreover, the PRSPs have provided a unique opportunity for poor countries to have an integrated, national development strategy, something that had been missing since the late 1970s and early 1980s, when these countries embarked on market-oriented reforms.

There is a strong agreement among the PRSPs that broad-based growth should be at the centre of a development strategy. Taking that as a starting point, this paper focused on possible links between the PRSP's proposed macroeconomic policies and the PRSP's claimed objective of achieving pro-poor growth. Throughout, the evidence found was that the macroeconomic frameworks as currently designed do not really support in a direct, clear way, economic growth and poverty reduction.

The paper initially shows that most PRSPs have set quite ambitious growth and poverty reduction targets, but that the sources of growth and/or resources required to meet these targets are not always clearly identified. Moreover, the macroeconomic policies that could support growth are specified in ways to support mainly macroeconomic stability. The underlying assumption being that, provided a stable macroeconomic environment is in place, enhanced growth will ensue automatically, and ignoring the fact that in the past the same policies have not been enough to guarantee growth and poverty reduction.

The paper further shows that the macroeconomic frameworks proposed by the PRSPs have very few embedded mechanisms that can be activated to counteract the effects of macroeconomic volatility, and in particular to deal with major exogenous shocks, which tend to affect most strongly the poorest. This represents a serious problem, as virtually all countries adopting PRSPs face a situation of extreme vulnerability to shocks such as terms of trade and natural disasters.

The lack of elements in the macroeconomic frameworks that can support growth and reduce macroeconomic volatility should be seen with concern. Nowadays both developed and middle-income countries are searching for alternative macroeconomic policies, so as to appropriately address the problems they are facing in an increasingly integrated and unstable world economy. At the same time, the poorer countries are being encouraged to stick with the sort of policies that may have been useful in the past to bring about macroeconomic stability and balance of payments equilibrium, but that nonetheless have failed to support growth and reduce poverty.

Structural policies have a vital role in supporting long-term growth. Likewise, macroeconomic policies should support growth in the long term through ensuring stability. However, in the new context in which macroeconomic stability has been assured, the priorities have changed from stability to growth and poverty reduction, and it is important that the PRSPs adapt their policies to these new priorities. That is, macroeconomic policies should support growth in the short term as well, and ensure it becomes less volatile.

Today, the PRSPs carry with them a high degree of optimism. It would very frustrating if, as with the structural adjustment policies in the past, they failed to deliver what is being promised. This could cause a tremendous loss of credibility in the whole process, thereby making it even harder to meet the millennium development goals.

References

Bevan, D. L. and Adam, C. (2001) 'Guidance Note: Poverty Reduction Strategies and the Macroeconomic Policy Framework', paper prepared for the UK Department of International Development, January.

Booth, D. (2001) 'PRSP Processes in 8 African Countries: Initial Impacts and Potential for Institutionalisation', paper presented to the WIDER Development Conference on Debt Relief, Helsinki, 17-18 August.

Cagatay, N., Keklik, M., Lal, R. and Land, J. (2000) 'Budgets As If People Mattered: Democratizing Macroeconomic Policies', SEPED Conference Paper Series 4, UNDP/SEPED, May.

Collier, P. and Dehn, J. (2001) 'Aid, Shocks, and Growth', World Bank Working Paper 2688, World Bank, Washington.

Demery, L. (1994) 'Structural Adjustment: Its Origins, Rationale and Achievements', in Cornia, G. A. and Helleiner, G.K (eds), *From Adjustment to Development in Africa: Conflict, Controversy, Convergence, Consensus?*, St. Martin's Press, Chapter 2, pp. 25-48.

DFID (2000) 'Eliminating World Poverty: Making Globalisation Work for the Poor', White Paper on International Development, December.

ECLAC (2002) 'Globalisation and Development', study prepared by the ECLAC secretariat for the twenty-ninth session of the Commission, May, Brasilia.

Fiess, N. (2002) 'Chile's new fiscal rule', mimeo, April.

Gottschalk, R. (2003) 'Inequality and the macro-economy in Latin America', paper prepared for the UK Department of International Development, February.

Gottschalk, R. and Griffith-Jones, S. (2002) 'Capital Account Liberalisation in Tanzania', report prepared for the Central Bank of Tanzania, October.

Griffith-Jones, S. and Ocampo, J. A. (2000) 'Facing the Volatility and Concentration of Capital Flows', in Teunissen, J. (ed.) *Reforming the International Financial System: Crisis Prevention and Response*, FONDAD.

Hanmer, L., Jong, N.D., Kurian, R. and Mooij, J. (1999) 'Are the DAC targets achievable?: Poverty and human development in the year 2015', Journal of International Development, 11, no. 4, pp. 547-63.

HM Treasury and DFID (2003) 'International Finance Facility: a technical note, HM Treasury, February.

IMF (2003) 'Fund Assistance for Countries Facing Exogenous Shocks', Document prepared for the members of the Executive Board, SM/03/288, August.

IMF (2002) 'Burkina Faso: Joint Staff Assessment of the Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper', Annual Progress Report, October.

Jenkins, R. and Tsoka, M. (2001) 'Institutionalising the PRSP approach in Malawi', paper submitted to the Strategic Partnership with Africa, October.

Kuczynski, P. and Williamson, J., eds., (2003) 'After the Washington Consensus: Restarting Growth and Reform in Latin America' Institute for International Economics, March.

World Bank (2000a) 'World Development Report 2000/2001: Attacking Poverty', September.