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#### Abstract

Radio interference from the Middle East is one of the most significant problems plaguing the local radio services in Cyprus today. The issue is particularly noticeable on the highway, where it affects in-car tuners in all coastal areas of the island when the weather is hot and humid. In this work, the problem of interference from the Middle East was explored in the context of field strength variations versus the type of propagation mechanism favouring the radio waves in Band II, allowing them to travel from the Middle East to beyond the horizon in Cyprus. This problem was significant, since no line of sight exists between the two regions. After in-depth analysis adhering to the ITU (International Telecommunications Union) Recommendations, it was demonstrated that interference is caused by "Tropospheric Ducting", i.e., trapping of the overseas transmitted signals between two layers of the troposphere at different heights. The upper air data were obtained using the Weather Research Forecasting (WRF-ARW version 3.4) model. The results yielded by the present study confirm that this model provides accurate prediction of interference for up to five days in advance. The interference problem is widely recognized, and therefore many attempts have been made to explicate its causes and provide solutions. The aim of the present study was to present a robust solution based on an innovative receiving antenna design. The antenna is a receiver's component that collects electromagnetic waves from various directions. The rationale behind focusing on a circular array topology is that its tuning ensures that the receiver processes the desired signal only, while rejecting the unwanted interference. This can presently only be achieved by a large directional external antenna that must be steered mechanically in the desired direction. As this arrangement is not practical, an innovative smart antenna was proposed as an alternative. A circular phased array is a very compact antenna that produces a predicted radiation pattern, whereby it receives maximum energy from the desired direction without


the need for mechanical control. Circular arrays exhibit high gain as well as immunity to interference, making them ideal for use in high interference environments. This combination allows the antenna to be incorporated into a commercial deck receiver or installed on vehicles.

## The Professional Elements of the Project

The project "Refraction Interference Elimination Employing Smart Arrays at VHF" is closely linked to my professional background as a chartered radio engineer. I gained extensive experience in the field while working in the US and European industry in the capacity of radio transmitter and antenna designer. This is evidenced by the two separately funded research projects, which I have successfully implemented while also conducting my research for the doctorate degree. Particularly, the first research project was endorsed by the Research Promotion Foundation, with the goal of optimizing Digital Video Terrestrial Transmitters (DVB-T) in terms of efficiency and linearity of the final stage. The second project was initiated by the Ministry of Communication and Works, as a part of which I undertook the design and development of a dual-band solid state laterally diffused metal oxide semiconductor (LDMOS) amplifier that enables operation in Band II (87.5-108 MHz) by analog FM, Digital Radio Mondiale (DRM+) exciters and in band III (174240 MHz ) for Digital Audio Broadcasting (DAB+) radio. Both projects commenced in October 2013 and were successfully completed in June of 2015. I have reported on the outcomes of these projects in international conferences and publications, the details of which are given in the appendices.

As a part of these initiatives, I was involved in many practical of engineering tasks, one of which pertained to the testing of RF apparatus in order to ascertain compliance with European standards, namely the Low Voltage Directive (LVD), Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) and Radio and Telecommunication Terminal Equipment (R\&TTE). The main objective was to ensure that radio transmitters and receivers are certified with the European CE conformity for marking purpose. In addition to these activities, I utilized the laboratory equipment of ET Broadcast to conduct experimental research pertaining to my doctorate degree.

The rationale behind my doctorate research is based on my professional experience and the gaps in the knowledge I could glean through my activities and practical expertise. Going forward, I will benefit from not only the skills gained working as an engineer, but also my study findings. As the director of ET Broadcast LTD, I am confident that I can provide significant contribution to the field, as I will develop and realize in practice many FM radio transmitting projects aimed at mitigating the problem of interference from the overseas Middle East radio services. My appreciation of these issues has prompted me to, in
collaboration with the Cyprus Government, embark on this journey of academic research. The ultimate aim of my doctorate dissertation was to assist in finding the most optimal solution to the overseas interference, and use the results for the current and future radio plans in terms of the Middle East radio station frequency allocation. Furthermore, in the world of communication engineering, the implementation of a smart antenna that blocks the interference is valuable practical contribution to the field of engineering with a wide scope of applications. The project was also endorsed by my mentor, Dr. John Howard, the President of ET Industries INC, a firm that specializes in the design and manufacturing of phased arrays and intelligence antennas. With his full support, I was successful in developing this type of antenna ensuring that it operates in Band II. Hence, I envisage that the same solution can be applied by other practitioners in other sectors benefiting from commercial and military communication systems that can be compromised by interference. Its utilization will result in enhanced system performance, as discussed in the future work and conclusion chapters.

## Chapter 1: Introduction

### 1.1. Introduction to the proposed system

Radio interference is presently the most serious issue affecting the operation of terrestrial radio stations in Cyprus. This problem has become particularly acute in recent years because of the high demand for new local and national radio services internationally. The interference examined in the present study is caused by a plethora of radio services that broadcast from the Middle East. Although VHF radio waves propagate under line of sight conditions, when the temperature rises, i.e., during the summer months, numerous and very strong Middle Eastern radio signals reach Cyprus and thus conflict with the local radio services, resulting in a complete loss of the desired signal. While the cause of this problem is presently not well understood, various attempts to mitigate it have been proposed over the years. As a solution, the Cypriot government mandates that the radio station owners install repeaters along the southern coast of Cyprus, where this issue is most prominent. However, introduction of new repeaters necessitates having a broader spectrum due to which the commercial band FM has become saturated. The worsening interference problem, which is expected to escalate further in the future, has motivated this doctorate dissertation, the aim of which is to analyze the problem of radio interference along the southern coast of Cyprus and offer a solution that can be utilized both in Cyprus and elsewhere in the world, as this issue is becoming prevalent in other regions. For example, in 2002, an abnormal propagation case was reported in South Korea by Son and Lee (2012), who analyzed the interference caused by 'CPS' (Cellular Phone System) in the 'TRS' (Trunked Radio System) due to the non-line overseas radio signals from Japan. In their comprehensive work, Sim and Warrington (2003) examined abnormal atmospheric conditions that enable VHF/UHF signals to travel beyond the horizon and cause interference in the Channel Islands. In Japan, Shin, Nishi and Yoshida (2011) conducted a study during 2005-2010, aiming to elucidate the causes of abnormal VHF-UHF signal propagation, which caused interference in the local radio and TV services. These and similar cases are discussed in more detail in the following chapters. They confirm that abnormal interference is an international problem, recognized by the International Telecommunications Union (ITU), prompting introduction of standards that can guide identification and assessment of abnormal propagation mechanisms arising due to meteorological conditions, which is the focus of the present study.

More specifically, the aim of this research was to investigate the characteristics of overseas signals arriving to Cyprus in terms of propagation mechanisms defined by the ITU, such as
the tropospheric refraction of radio waves and ducting propagation, along with the radio refractive index, its formulae and refractivity data, as well as the prediction procedures for the evaluation of microwave interference between stations on the Earth's surface at frequencies above 0.7 GHz which can be applied also for the VHF band as it has been used successfully by Son and Lee (2002). In order to achieve these objectives, real world measurements in Band II ( $87.5-108 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) were utilized in analyses, based on current overseas radio transmissions monitored in the southern coast of Cyprus beyond the horizon in a clear interference free spectrum during the hot dry summer months. The focus was specifically on the field strength variations in terms of the types of ducts that enhance the radio waves from the Middle East in Band II, allowing them to travel beyond the horizon and reach Cyprus.

The Department of Meteorology in Cyprus was instrumental in this research, as it provided all the upper air data needed to evaluate the problem on specific dates and times along the points that were selected based on the path of the selected radio signals arriving from the Middle East to the coast of Limassol. A particularly important contribution of the present study stems from the innovative calculations performed using the Weather Research Forecasting (WRF-ARW Version 3.4) model that, based on this implementation, enables accurate forecast of the interfering signal strength for the future period of up to five days.

Although the interference problem is widely recognized, and many attempts have been made to explicate its causes and provide solutions, at present the focus is on tuning the receiving antenna. The antenna is a receiver's component that collects electromagnetic waves from various directions. The rationale behind focusing the solution on the antenna is that its tuning ensures that the receiver processes the desired signal only, while rejecting the unwanted interference. This can only be achieved by a directional antenna that, when operating in VHF Band II, is large in size and must be steered mechanically in the desired direction. As this arrangement is not practical, smart antennas have been proposed as an alternative. These phased arrays have been used for years in radars. A phased array can produce a predicted radiation pattern, whereby it radiates its maximum energy in the desired direction (Malahias and Zagos, 1998) without the need for mechanical control. Owing to these characteristics, phased arrays exhibit high gain as well as immunity to interference, making them ideal for use in high interference environments. Howard and Fung have also developed the Clever Dumb Antenna that enables dividing up to $360^{\circ}$ cell site into several high gain sectors. Benefiting from the phased array principles, the aim of the present study was to apply this technology in Band II by overcoming the physical
constraints imposed by the long signal wavelength characterizing this band. One of the most significant problems that have been addressed in this research is the size of the antenna, which must be relatively small to be employed in practice, while not compromising its performance. This combination would ensure that it can be incorporated into a commercial deck receiver or installed on vehicles. This aim was achieved by investigating the performance of popular small antennas operating in Band II, such as the small dipole, the short stub, as well as the Normal Mode Helical Antenna (NMHA), whereby the latter was shown to provide the best performance. Although NMHA is a narrow band antenna, its performance was optimized in this work, ensuring that it provides the best possible VSWR response across the entire Band II while keeping its dimensions small. Finally, the topology of the phased array designed as a part of this study is based on the circular array, as it can operate with a very small diameter and provide a directional pattern without distortion near the end-fire direction as explained in chapter 4. This design choice has been justified in practice, since the circular array can detect signals in all directions, successfully rejecting the interference coming from the Middle East coast.

### 1.2. Brief Thesis Outline:

Historical Overview and Critical Analysis: The thesis begins with a comprehensive literature review, which helps place the present study in the context of extant work in the field, as well as identify gaps in the current knowledge of interference, abnormal interference in particular. The studies reviewed in this chapter pertain to special cases of abnormal interference recorded around the world and the causes of radio interference, such as tropospheric refraction and ducting. This is followed by the discussion of methodologies that have been adopted to date in the assessment of interference. In addition, the historical development of antennae is briefly discussed, elucidating the key differences between conventional and smart antennae in the treatment of interference. The chapter ends with the discussion of the existing smart antenna designs, along with their application in telecommunications sectors as a means of mitigating the interference issue.

Analysis of VHF Propagation Mechanisms that Cause Interference within the Southern Coastal Regions of Cyprus: This chapter describes the methodology adopted in the present study in order to detect and evaluate the interference affecting the Southern coast of Limassol, which is caused by the Middle Eastern radio services. Furthermore, it discusses the measurements performed on the existing Middle Eastern radio services that arrive to Cyprus with strong signal density during the summer months, as well as the variation in these signals based on specific meteorological changes. In this evaluation, the ITU
standards were followed, as explained in this chapter. As previously noted, close collaboration with the Department of Meteorology was instrumental to the success of this project, since it has provided all meteorological data needed to meet the ITU Recommendations. Thus obtained meteorological data were combined with intensity measurements pertaining to the interference, allowing detailed examination of all propagation mechanisms permitting the electromagnetic waves to travel beyond the horizon.

Phased Arrays - A Robust Solution to the Problem of Interference: This chapter provides justification for using a phased array is the solution to the interference problem in Cyprus and other regions of the world. It commences with a discussion of key antenna theory principles pertinent to the implementation of the smart antenna and its specifications. This is followed by an examination of the behaviour of small antennas, such as the Hertzian dipole, the short stub and the Normal Mode Helical Antenna (NMHA), in order to justify performing laboratory experiments using individual array elements. In this evaluation, great emphasis was placed on the NMHA because of its superior performance and very small physical dimensions, allowing it to be modified in order to overcome the narrow band response issues and low efficiency of all small antennas. As a result, in this work, the bandwidth of the NMHA was optimized by testing several new topologies in order to obtain the best VSWR response and gain with the smallest permissible size. In addition, according to phased array theory, circular array is the best topology for this application, which was designed in this study by employing four modified NMHA. Because the array must be interfaced with a commercial receiver, a compatible receiver was also designed and constructed successfully in order to support the project.

Conclusion and future work: In this chapter, the most important findings and contributions yielded by the present study are summarized, along with the directions in which the research presented here can be expanded in the future. For instance, by applying additional measurements of the overseas transmissions, it will be possible to determine the probability of enhanced VHF ( $30-300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) signals reaching the coast of Cyprus as a function of season, month, and time of day. Furthermore, applications of circular arrays in other commercial bands, such as Band III ( 174 to 240 MHz ) for Digital Audio Broadcasting (DAB+), and digital video broadcasting terrestrial TV (DVB-T) that broadcasts in UHF Band in the channels 21-69 (i.e., in the $512-800 \mathrm{MHz}$ frequency range) should also be investigated.

## Chapter 2: Historical overview

### 2.1 Basic Interference Concepts

The problem of interference in radio communications, as indicated below, has been considered a significant global issue since the establishment of the first generation wireless technology. According to Marriott (1923), the first case of interference was reported in the USA, in 1901. In his view, 'at the time, there was unintentional and intentional interference between radio stations in New York harbour'. This was when the Marconi Company, the American Wireless Telephone, Telegraph Company, and the De Forest Company tried to report the International "Yacht Races" at the same time. The above mentioned, was an object lesson that proved the need for avoiding radio interference and interference fights, and caused experiments and development in tune and in etheric diplomacy' (P.375-388).

Jackson (1987) commented on case of interference, which was appointed in 1933 by the Council of the IEE. 'The representative committee has reviewed the matters of interference to radio reception in order to make recommendations on methods of suppression and incorporation of suppression requirements in specifications for electrical equipment and also on the need for legislation' (P.244-250).

Since then, as the incidences of interference became more prevalent, the global research interest on the issue grew, focusing mainly on ways to overcome the problem of interference by exploring new properties of electromagnetic waves. More specifically, the propagation properties in different wavelengths, new and/or upgraded modulation schemes and antenna topologies were explored, and some of these efforts are discussed below.

The first radio modulation scheme was designed with the focus on amplitude modulation, whereby a constant carrier was employed, the amplitude of which changed with respect to the modulated signal. Since the noise usually affects the amplitude of the received signal, it becomes an integral part of the demodulated information and is thus perceived as a product of interference (Greb et al 2003:220-221).

However, in 1930, Armstrong invented FM radio, which had superior performance compared to the AM, in terms of interference and noise immunity. FM radio utilizes the frequency modulation scheme, whereby its carrier frequency changes according to the amplitude of the modulation signal. As a result, the FM radio scheme is less susceptible to noise, as it demodulates only the frequency variations, without affecting the noise, typically treated an amplitude modulation component.

On the other hand, interference in terms of the antenna properties has been investigated by Dr. John Kraus, the inventor of the helical antenna and the corner reflector. In his work, Kraus (1988) presents crucial antenna parameters, such as the directive gain, as well as the front to back ratio, both of which play a key role in the enhancement of the signal to noise ratio (SNR). These factors are analyzed in his book "Antennas", where the author also discusses the benefits of the phased arrays and their performance with respect to specific geometric shapes.

Owing to the considerable research effort in this field, the approaches in dealing with the problem of interference have been significantly improved since these early initiatives. However, it has not yet been eliminated, perhaps because of the growing demand for new radio services. In this regard, the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) has proposed specific regulations pertaining to certain technical aspects of radio communications manufacturing that cause interference. For example, recommendation "ITU-R BS.412-9" titled 'Planning standards for terrestrial FM sound broadcasting at VHF' governs the design and development of commercial Band FM radio transmitters. Its objective is to suggest the optimal technical specifications, targeting the maximum level of harmonic and spurious emissions, as well as the deviation limits, which prevents introducing interference to other radio services within the service area.

Although VHF/UHF electromagnetic waves travel on a straight line, under certain conditions, this may not be the case. These special mechanisms of propagation have been also demonstrated by the recommendation "ITU-R P.452-11" that designates the special properties of VHF/UHF electromagnetic waves that enable the transmitted signal to travel above high obstacles by diffraction or beyond the horizon by refraction or scattering. According to Recommandation ITU-RP.844-1* (1994) 'Sporadic-E ionization appears as intensification in ionization in the form of a horizontal sheet of about 1 km average thickness and a horizontal dimension of the order of 100 km . The height is commonly 100 to 120 km . Such sporadic-E layers can cause abnormal VHF propagation for periods lasting for several hours. The occurrence of sporadic-E propagation decreases with increasing frequency, but can be a significant cause of interference at frequencies up to about 135 MHz '. Consequently, under non-line of sight conditions, the aforementioned issues could cause interference between two different radio service areas, if the radio planning service has not been designed properly.

[^0]In this regard, beyond the Cyprus problem of interference, which concerns the Middle East radio services and was discussed in detail in chapter 1, several other non-line of sight special cases of interference have been registered throughout the world and are discussed as a part of the literature review. Due to the fact that they were introduced by signals transmitted beyond the horizon, every individual case is considered as an abnormal propagation. This phenomenon has been reported in several regions, including Japan, Korea, Channel Islands, and the UK. These individual cases are examined in more detail in subsequent sections.

### 2.2 Special Cases of Abnormal Radio Signals Propagation

### 2.2.1 Japan

Shin, Nishi and Yoshida (2011:1-4) present the 'Classification method for reflection and duct propagation of FM radio waves observed at Hiroshima and Aso in Japan'. In their work, the authors focus on the issues of non-line of sight signals that caused interference to local radio and television services. The study lasted for five years, spanning the 2005-2010 period, and its primary aim was to detect the main cause of this abnormal propagation, as well as to examine its behaviour during specific seasons and weather conditions. Furthermore, the research was extended to identify a specific point of time at which the phenomenon reached its peak levels. The classification method the authors applied for the detection of the FM signal was implemented in frequencies in the $76-90 \mathrm{MHz}$ range that, according to the ITU, it is the permissible frequency allocation of the commercial FM band in Japan. Furthermore, a Phased Locked Loop (PLL) synthesized receiver and an UDAYAGI antenna were used for reception purposes, providing data acquisition during the day and night. The research findings, however, indicated that the interference was caused by two principal components, which have been reported as the 'Reflection' and 'Ducting'. The Modelling of Tropospheric ducting effects on VHF/UHF propagation issues has also been reported by Slingsby (1991), who conducted a study that explored the extensive range in VHF/UHF transmissions, due to anomalous propagation conditions. The impact of such phenomenon, in the author's view, 'causes volatile problems of inter-service interference'. The author stated that 'A reliable prediction of such anomalous propagation effects is therefore important in the planning of broadcasting services'. Furthermore, in publishing the paper, the author aimed to introduce to the broadcasting community 'an effective
technique for determining the effects of troposphere ducts on signal coverage which is known as the 'parabolic equation met Concepts hod'(P.25-34).

### 2.2.2 South Korea

In 2002, an abnormal propagation case was reported as a study focusing on the coastal region of South Korea (Son and Lee, 2002). This case pertained to the interference of 'CPS' (Cellular Phone System) and 'TRS’ (Trunked Radio System) due to the non-line of sight interference, which was caused in 1994 by overseas radio signals from Japan. The analysis performed as a part of the study focused on the field strength variations of the interference signal. The required data were collected from March to November in order to facilitate the path loss calculations pertaining to an assigned reference signal. The study findings suggest that the interference arose due to 'Ducting' which is discussed later. In this regard, the authors presented a new method (not relying on the parabolic equation) to predict the propagation loss in the lower atmosphere, which they termed the 'APM' (Advanced Propagation Model). The method is based on real measurements of field strength that are subsequently compared to a calculated model based on the 'predicted propagation loss values'. In addition, as a part of this investigation, the authors also explored the reception antenna parameters that reduce the effect of interference. According to their report, 'the technique, method which can protect from harmful interference are antennas tilt angle adjustment or antennas height adjustment or antennas diversity or base station position, displacement, etc'. Furthermore, the authors noted 'As an effective way to reduce interference is to tilt an antenna main beam pattern downward at a certain angle, but this method reduces the service coverage region'. They concluded that 'The radio ducting signal is varied as duct height, transmitting antenna height, receiving antenna height. 'Thus, interference can be mitigated by adjusting the antenna height' (P.502-505).

### 2.2.3 Channel Islands

In their renowned work, Sim and Warrington (2003:800-803) demonstrate 'Measurements of the propagation characteristics of VHF/UHF radio waves over two over-sea paths in the Channel islands'. Their research focus on the atmospheric conditions, as well as the propagation principles that enable the VHF/UHF signals to travel beyond the horizon. According to the authors, 'special propagation characteristics are defined as diffraction around the earth's curvature, refraction within the atmosphere, and scattering within the troposphere'. Another crucial aim of the study was to determine the characteristics of antenna height, which was, according to their findings, 'appropriate to inter-ship communications on VHF and UHF frequencies at ranges beyond the direct line of sight'.

The experiment was performed over a period of 17 months and 248 and 341 MHz were the selected frequencies under investigation.

In order to explore the aforementioned phenomena, two different sets of transmitting/ receiving equipment were used to detect field strength variations over specific weather and non-line of sight conditions. In conclusion, the authors highlighted the significance of the outcome of their investigation, in particular the 'enhancement of the interference field strength during warm summer days'.

### 2.2.4 United Kingdom

More recently, in his work titled 'Statistics of Anomalous Atmospheric Propagation at UHF Frequencies', Rudd (2009:3862-3864) explored short-term interference across the English Channel and the North Sea. The study was performed due to the lack of information on the short-term interference, given that most data was outdated and was provided by 'the broadcasters in the decade of 1950-1960'. According to the author, 'although the past studies provided a very good understanding of the annual statistics of the path loss between frequencies from 40 MHz to 40 GHz , have not, however, provided detailed statistical data about seasonal, diurnal and night time broadcast measurements'. In this respect, the new approach was necessary, in order to extend the knowledge of the short-term interference, in particular the phenomena affecting the terrestrial Digital TV services in the United Kingdom. One of the study objectives was to better understand the nature of the short-term interference, which has been considered as a 'major destructive factor in reception quality for the terrestrial services in coastal regions, due to ducting overseas paths which do not exist over land'. Therefore, the investigation focused on the area encompassing the English Channel, including the French coast, as well as parts of the North Sea, from Belgium to Holland.

In this work, the field strength data collection technique from Holland and Belgium was implemented by the use of one receiver, and a log periodic antenna, which was installed in the coast of Southwold, where it was employed as a 24 -hour monitoring system, as well as for annual measurements. Furthermore, the experiments conducted as a part of this investigation yielded results suggesting that, during the ducting event, 'the power received for every individual transmitter were very stable'. In contrast, in the case of a 'single frequency network (SFN) a fast fading pattern of +2 dB was detected'. It is worth noting here that propagation predictions have also been calculated on theoretical basis by utilizing the ITU-R Recommendations (P.370) and (P.452), which aim to contribute to the frequency planning and coordination. According to the author, 'The algorithm of the new
revised ITU-R models (P.1546) has been revised to the ITU-R P.1812. The statistical results were found to be identical in both ways, like utilizing ITU-R recommendation models as well as from real measurements'.

Based on the models of propagation discussed above, it can be concluded that all investigations conducted in this field thus far have utilized antenna measurements in order to determine the field strength variations over the interference under specific conditions. As a result, it can be concluded that antenna likely plays a key role in understanding the entire propagation issue. It is therefore vital to examine the role of antennas in terms of interference and reception quality. This is achieved by exploring the past researchers' work, in the literature review presented in the subsequent chapters.

### 2.2.5 Nigeria

In 2007, Adediji and Ajewole (2008) examined the vertical radio refractivity profile in Akure (southwestern Nigeria) at a height of 0, 50, 100, 150 and 200 m , because all previous studies conducted in the area aimed to investigate the surface refractivity gradient only. The meteorological data were obtained using five Wireless weather stations (Integrated Sensor Suite, ISS) installed at the aforementioned heights. In contrast, authors of previous studies conducted in Nigeria utilized radiosonde to obtain extrapolated data that lack the spatial and temporal resolutions necessary for the measurement of small-scale variations, particularly in the lower atmosphere. Furthermore, according to Adediji and Ajewole (2008) 'radiosonde data do not provide a sufficiently high degree of accuracy to be completely acceptable for use in observing changes in the degree of stratification of the very lowest layers of the atmosphere'. The authors conducted the study between January and December 2007 and plotted the data in 30 -minute intervals during this period. The obtained results indicated the occurrence of sub-refractive conditions observed to be prevalent between January and July, while super-refraction and ducting were observed mostly between August and December.

Because the aforementioned stations cannot obtain radiosonde data based on the coordinates in Figure 30, and the data are observed only once a day, the prognostic model WRF-ARW Version 3.4 was used in the present study because it is a special weather forecasting software that enables upper air data simulation at any fixed coordinates and hour in the day.

### 2.3 The Role of Antennas in Terms of Interference

### 2.3.1 Basic Concepts and Theorems

According to Balanis (2012:5-7), 'antennas are the eyes and ears of wireless radio communications'. Moreover, 'antennas dated back to Marconi's days in 1901'. However, historically, antennas have primarily been used as an integrated part of every wireless communication system. According to Straw (2000), an antenna consists of at least one active element, which acts as the source of a resonant circuit, and it is known as the driven element. When operating as a transmitting source, the antenna radiates electromagnetic energy to the free space. In contrast, when used as a receiving source, it operates in exactly the opposite manner, as it collects electromagnetic energy radiated by the transmitters into the free space. For the aforementioned modes of operation, the reciprocity in antennas has been also demonstrated by John Giannopoulos (1963) in his work focusing on the antennas for TV reception'. As the author stated, antennas exhibit equal properties, whether operating as transmitters or receivers, in terms of the radiation pattern, impedance, and bandwidth. The above reciprocity theorems have been justified by Lorentz RayleighCarson in the 19th century, and later by Green, who demonstrated the relation between the 'interchange of electric potential and electric charge density'.

Antennas are sources of electromagnetic energy and thus play a very significant role in the determination of the SNR value in wireless communication systems. This is discussed by Olsson, Brostrom and Craig (2004:1968-1702), who presented the method of elimination pertaining to interference as the 'single antenna interference rejection in GSM/EDGE Networks'.

Furthermore, Mouhamadou et al (2006:251-265) provide additional information regarding the importance of the antennas in wireless radio and mobile cellular networks, by demonstrating 'the Interference Suppression of the Linear Antenna Arrays controlled by phase with the use of the SQP algorithm'. In their view, 'the performance of mobile cellular radio networks is limited by the level of co channel interference'. Therefore, 'the use of antenna arrays is very helpful in enhancing the performance and capacity of the wireless communication system'.

### 2.3.2 Conventional Antennas

Before proceeding with an in-depth literature review regarding the advanced antenna topologies, which are described later, it is important to demonstrate why a simple antenna unit has been developed in other superior models and how this improvement has
contributed to interference control. For instance, according to Lee (1984), in theory, in its simplest form, an antenna is a short-sized (hertzian) dipole. However, the author also presented a literature review regarding the antenna design development, indicating that the hertzian dipole is not adequate for being used in real world applications, mainly because of its low efficiency and inadequate matching impedance response. In practice, however, the monopole antenna has been considered adequate, and is presently used by most of the commercial radio receivers in stationary and mobile applications, as indicated below.

According to Khan, Azim and Islam (2014:339-342), a monopole antenna provides an omnidirectional pattern and its performance depends strictly on the ground plane's conductivity. This claim was justified by applying the method of images. However, over the years, the antenna research has explored the combination of active and passive elements, as well as complete antenna systems in various geometric shapes, in order to achieve superior specifications over the traditional monopole. For example, the main focus on the research conducted by Kraus (1988) was the compromise among the crucial antenna's parameters, such as the radiation pattern, gain, efficiency, matching and bandwidth. As a part of the study, he assessed the effect of the antenna's physical size. It was evaluated as a function of the antenna's efficiency versus the operating wavelength, as well as its construction conductive material. More recently, Gorbachev et al (2010:177179) presented a printed Yagi, directional antenna with significant lower physical size operating at a frequency of 1.8 GHz . In this, the main objective was to develop and explore the antenna, via a two-phase methodology. First, the research team designed and implemented the antenna based on the traditional approach, after which it was enhanced using a thick ' $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ ', substrate material in order to compare the characteristics and performance of the two designs. It has been reported that the use of a thick substrate beyond the antenna's size affects the antenna's matching and directivity.

In relation to this study, it is worth noting that the Yagi is a linear polarized antenna comprising one active element as well as a number of passive elements defined as reflectors and directors (Yeo and Lee, 2016). Empirical evidence indicates that Yagi antennas provide high gain as well as a directional radiation pattern in order to operate as a lens. According to extant research, they are capable of increasing the power density of a selected signal within a specific angle defined as the beam width, whereas their performance degrades when operating outside the aforementioned range. As a result, Yagi antennas are mostly used in stationary receiving applications, where the desired signal and the interference are arriving from two different directions (Neelgan and Raju, 2011:115-
130). According to Kraus (1988), among other directional antennas commonly used in VHF/UHF wireless communications, most notable are the Corner Reflector, the Helical, as well as the log-periodic models. Under special circumstances, the dish antennas are also used in some UHF link wireless applications.

### 2.3.3 Advanced Antenna Topologies, Collinear \& Phased Arrays

Literature review conducted as a part of this study has revealed that a single antenna is unable to provide unlimited high gain. For instance, according to Lee (1984), the maximum gain that can be provided by a single Yagi antenna is currently 15 dBi . Thus, two or more antennas, mounted side by side or stacked, must be used, in order to increase the total gain of the system. Such an arrangement is known as collinear arrays. According to the extant data, collinear broadside and end-fire active radiators provide high performance specifications in advanced applications, such as terrestrial broadcasting services. For example, Mappatao (2010:222-225) reports that 'FM antennas manufactured by most antenna manufacturers have an omnidirectional pattern in the horizontal plane, radiating signals equally well in all directions'. The author further states that 'These antennas, when used by radio stations, commonplace in high rise structures to obtain full coverage of the desirable areas within the service area of the station'. In such applications, 'Several bays are often side mounted along the top portion of a tower to achieve a higher gain' (Mappatao, 2010:222-225). Furthermore, according to Straw (2002), a collinear array is one-dimensional phased array that provides the benefit of the beam tilt in the desired direction of the incident wave. This arrangement is achieved by providing the required space and phase parameters of the active radiators. Other important aspects of collinear arrays have also been investigated by Delfino, Procopio and Rossi (2004:1480-1483), who assessed the effects of mutual coupling between the bays comprising the array. Thus, the current research has filled the gap in the extant knowledge in this field. In particular, it has contributed useful technical information on 'Phased Arrays, which beyond cellular communications are used in areas such as satellite communications and radars'.

Furthermore, Phased Arrays consist of a certain number of active radiators, side mounted vertically and horizontally, forming geometric shapes that resemble squares, rectangles or circles (Ndt.net, 2017). Among the many benefits of this system, the most notable one is that it provides very high gain that depends on the single antenna parameters, its architecture as well as the total number of active radiators that constitute the aforementioned array system. As a result, by ensuring the correct spacing among the active radiators, as well as the desired phase and amplitude, a Phased Array can produce a
predicted radiation pattern, whereby radiates its maximum energy in the desired direction (Malahias and Zagos, 1998:161-164). According to these characteristics, Phased Arrays exhibit high gain as well as immunity performance within a high interference environment. These advantages are due to the ability to program the main beam's directivity and Frond to Back Ratio in advance. Howard and Fung conducted their study, focusing on an antenna, which they labelled 'Clever Dumb Antenna' in reference to its ability to derive the great benefits of the multi-beam antennas interfaced by a beamformer. According to the authors, 'the great benefit of such antennas enables dividing up to 360 degree cell site into several high gain sectors'. It is important to note that a beamformer the authors refer to is a signal processing technique based on a network comprised by phase shifters that enable programming of the adequate phase shift of the radiators in order to produce an optimum radiation pattern as well as beam tilt. In a different study, Vedula Paladuga and Prithvi, (2015) present a circular phased array, referring to it as a smart antenna, due to its ability to provide a low side lobe response for scanning purposes. As the side lobes are minor beams, scanning antennas enable reception from different angles of the main beam. Owing to these properties, low side-lobe response arrays are primarily aimed for use in a high interference environment. Winters (1998) and Gil (2005) extended the aforementioned research by examining the parameters of the two major categories of smart antennas, namely the phased and adaptive arrays. The adaptive arrays' radiation pattern, as the author's state, 'it is auto adjusted according to the move of interference, whereas the phased arrays' radiation pattern are not. They further explained that 'it is steered or different beams are selected as the desired user moves'. According to this view, smart antennas are highly recommended for new generation wireless communications. Consequently, they are presently being extensively researched in 'Europe in order to be applied in GSM networks as well as in Japan for satellite communications'. In this regard, the use of smart antennas is justified, given that they provide great benefits against interference. Presently, they are being applied experimentally in $4 \mathrm{G} / 5 \mathrm{G}$ wireless radio communication systems and it is likely that their range of applications will expand in the future, given that this promising area of research will be explored for many years to come.

### 2.3.4 Chapter Conclusion

The literature reviewed in this chapter has confirmed that the problem of interference has influenced the global research of wireless radio communications. In this work, this phenomenon will be explored in two main phases. The first phase concerns the detection as well as the scientific analysis of the interference, whereas the second phase pertains to
upgrading the existing hardware technology in order to improve the operations of wireless communications, enabling quality operations within an interference environment. The review of the extant literature has further indicated that the interference is caused by the interaction of unwanted wireless radio signals with the useful ones. Moreover, while it typically occurs in direct line of sight, under certain circumstances, it can arise in abnormal propagation conditions. For example, in several regions of the world, including Japan, South Korea, Channel Islands, and the UK, the abnormal propagation has been investigated due to the fact that it has caused serious interference problems in different sectors of local wireless services.

For instance, in Japan interference primarily affected radio and television services, whereas in South Korea, cellular phone services suffered the greatest effects. In addition, in the Channel Islands, the investigation focused on non-line of sight signals in VHF/UHF bands, as this was the phenomenon that caused interference. This was also the case in the UK, where the interference was explored on behalf of terrestrial digital television services due to short-term interference affecting their performance. In order to model the behaviour of the interference, many studies have been conducted so far. However, most such investigations have been based on statistical analysis and data acquisition techniques, along with different data fitting methods, such as the parabolic equation and the 'Advanced Propagation Models'. As was shown in the discussions presented in previous sections, abnormal propagation is based mainly on refraction, diffraction or scattering, and it strictly depends on weather conditions prevalent in the region under investigation. In this respect, no scholarly articles have been published about abnormal propagation that caused the interference in Cyprus, or more generally the Eastern Mediterranean Sea.

Furthermore, based on Kraus (1988) and Balanis (2012:5-7), the findings reported in the reviewed literature have indicated that antenna is still the most effective weapon against interference. Due to the fact that antennas are the eyes and ears of wireless communications, they can be designed to control interference. Recent studies have indicated that the advanced antenna topologies, such as the phased and adaptive arrays, can be utilized as smart antenna configurations capable of auto-adjusting the main beam's direction according to the interference movements. The aforementioned technology has been applied experimentally in GSM, beyond satellite and radar systems. However, no scientific or scholarly articles have been identified as a part of this literature review, where the authors provide information on the application of smart antennas in either FM radio or the Digital Audio Broadcasting (D.A.B). In particular, no work has been conducted on
smart antennas that operate in Band II and Band III, perhaps because of the significant physical size requirements in the aforementioned frequency range which is discussed in chapter 4.

# Chapter 3: Analysis of VHF Propagation Mechanisms that Cause Interference within the Southern Coastal Regions of Cyprus 

### 3.1 Statement of the Problem

This chapter explores the type of propagation mechanism in the VHF Band II (87.5108 MHz ), which favours the overseas transmissions from the Middle East, allowing them to cause a strong destructive interference in the local radio services along the southern coast of Cyprus. The co-channel and adjacent-channel interference degrades the reception quality in major service areas within the cities of Paphos, Limassol, Larnaca and their suburbs, as illustrated on the map presented in Figure 1.


Figure 1: The area affected by Interference from the Middle East
The interference also adversely affects the "in car listening" quality across the main highway that connects the aforementioned cities. This phenomenon can be better understood by noting that, according to preliminary measurements in Band II, the national radio services' field strength intensity in Limassol ( $34^{\circ} 42^{\prime 2} 26.66^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 33^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 24.35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) lies between 55 and $60 \mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}$, as indicated by the spectrum analyzer readings shown in Figure 2. Furthermore, measurements during motion, across the highway, have indicated that the field of the national services fluctuates in the $35-52 \mathrm{dBuV} / \mathrm{m}$ range, as confirmed by the spectrum analyzer readings shown in Figure 3. (These measurements were conducted by the use of a 0 dB monopole antenna at one meter height above the ground and at a speed of $75 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ). Thus, the Carrier-to-Interference-Ratio (SIR) ${ }^{1}$ of the local radio services to the undesired overseas transmissions determines the cochannel interference ${ }^{2}$ at any random point of reception within the southern coast of Cyprus. The co-channel and adjacent channel interference only occur when the level of these

[^1]unwanted signals exceeds that of the local services. This occurs at random locations where the automobile receiver demodulates an unwanted signal, rather than the desired program to which it has been tuned. Empirical evidence indicates that this phenomenon is more pronounced in motion due to multipath fading (K. Chy, 2015).

According to the Cyprus government, the monthly average field strength intensity of unwanted overseas transmissions fluctuates. However, under the conditions investigated in this study, these effects, must exceed the free space level of the local radio transmissions in order to produce interference in band II. Furthermore, the field strength intensity of these unwanted transmissions may depend on the weather conditions, and thus varies with the season and the time of reception. For instance, the phenomenon appears weak during the spring and peaks during the hot, dry summer months.


Figure 2 : Spectrum analyzer readings of the local radio services in Limassol
During the autumn, the effect weakens again and vanishes completely in the winter. The extant Tropospheric case studies conducted in Korea, Nigeria, Japan, etc. (presented in the literature review chapter) revealed that a non-line of sight interference is attributed to abnormal mechanisms of propagation. Hence, one of the aims of the present study is to reveal the propagation mechanisms affecting the signal quality in Cyprus.


Figure 3: Spectrum analyzer readings during motion
The focus is specifically on those favouring the radio waves in Band II, allowing them to travel from the Middle East beyond the horizon in Cyprus, since line of sight conditions do not exist between the two regions as it will be investigated in the following chapters. The aims and objectives of the study are presented below in this chapter and in the remaining chapters.

### 3.2 Interference Testing Methodology

The methodology adopted in this study for determining the type of interference propagation mechanism is based on experimental research (real condition measurements) as well as applied theory of propagation mechanisms. In performing the measurements, the goal was to plot the field intensity variations of the unwanted overseas signals versus various weather parameters. Furthermore, the aim was to investigate their characteristics in terms of all types of propagation mechanisms. A vital part of the research has been based on the implementation of a path profile analysis, which focuses on the detection of overseas radio waves as they have been monitored in Cyprus in clear spectrum during the summer of 2015. They arrive to Cyprus from two different directions, Israel and Lebanon, and will be discussed thoroughly later. This assertion is confirmed by the measurements that were conducted from June to September 2015 by utilizing dedicated test equipment, described in the subsequent sections. A vital part of the path profile analysis was based on the Recommendations ITU-R 452, 453, and 834. These recommendations provide the testing procedures and mathematical expressions incorporating the meteorological parameters that affect the radio refractivity of the Troposphere that permits the overseas radio waves to travel beyond the horizon and cause interference (more details on this phenomenon are provided below).

Specifically, in this work, the Recommendation ITU-R P. 834 was adopted, as it pertains to the effects of tropospheric refraction on radio wave propagation' and Tropospheric Ducting propagation. For the same purpose, the ITU-R P. 453 was also considered in order to demonstrate the radio refractive index, its formulae and refractivity data. Finally, the ITUR P. 452 pertains to the prediction procedures for the evaluation of microwave interference between stations on the surface of the Earth at frequencies above about 0.7 GHz . It has been utilized in this study, as it pertains to all possible cases of propagation mechanisms that enable interference.

The main objective of this research is to explore each type of these propagation mechanisms on individual basis by considering the two major categories, as demonstrated by the Recommendation ITU-R 452, which indicates that the long-term mechanisms incorporate the line of sight, diffraction and tropospheric scatter. The short-term mechanisms, on the other hand, include ducting, elevated layer reflection, as well as hydrometeor scatter (Son, 2002). More details pertaining to the scientific properties of each of the aforementioned propagation mechanisms are defined by the ITU and are presented in the following paragraphs, because they constitute the foundation of this study.

### 3.2.1 Line of Sight

'The most straightforward interference propagation case occurs when a line-of-sight transmission path exists under normal (i.e. well-mixed) atmospheric conditions. However, an additional complexity is introduced when sub-path diffraction causes a slight increase in the signal level above that normally expected. In addition, on all but the shortest paths (i.e. paths longer than about 5 km ), signal levels can often be significantly enhanced for short periods of time by multipath and focusing effects resulting from atmospheric stratification’

### 3.2.2 Diffraction

'Beyond line-of-sight and under normal conditions, diffraction effects generally dominate wherever significant signal levels exist. For services that are unaffected by anomalous short-term problems, the accuracy to which diffraction can be modelled generally determines the density of systems that can be achieved. However, the diffraction prediction capability must have sufficient utility to cover smooth-Earth, discrete obstacle and irregular (unstructured) terrain situations'.

### 3.2.3 Tropospheric Scatter

'This mechanism defines the "background" interference level for longer paths (e.g. those exceeding 100-150 km in length) where the diffraction field becomes very weak. However,
except for a few special cases involving sensitive Earth-based stations or very high power interferers (e.g. radar systems), interference arising from troposcatter will be at a level that it too low to be of practical significance'.

### 3.2.4 Surface Ducting

'This is the most important short-term interference mechanism over water and in flat coastal land areas, and can give rise to high signal levels over long distances over the sea (exceeding 500 km ). Such signals can exceed the equivalent "free-space" level under certain conditions'.

### 3.2.5 Elevated Layer Reflection and Refraction

'The treatment of reflection and/or refraction from layers at heights up to a few hundred meters is of major importance, as these mechanisms enable signals to overcome the diffraction loss arising from the terrain very effectively under favourable path geometry conditions. Again, the impact can be significant over quite long distances (up to 250-300 $\mathrm{km})^{\prime}$.

### 3.2.6 Hydrometeor Scatter

'Hydrometeor scatter can be a potential source of interference between terrestrial link transmitters and Earth-based stations because it may act virtually omnidirectional, and can therefore have an impact in directions off the great-circle interference path. However, the interfering signal levels are quite low and do not usually represent a significant problem’.

### 3.3 Location and Instrumentation Set Up

The equipment described in this section was used for monitoring the field strength intensity of the undesirable signals from the Middle East in order to study their properties. However, it is not practically possible to conduct field strength measurements at every single reception point within the southern coast of Cyprus. Consequently, it was important to identify a reference point that can serve as a permanent and reliable source of measurements of field strength intensity of the unwanted overseas transmissions on a daily basis. This was achieved by utilizing the testing equipment of the company "ET Broadcast LTD", located in the northern part of Limassol ( $34^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 37.14 " \mathrm{~N}, 33^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 15.26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ). The location is at 313 ft above sea level (asl) and has an absolute line of sight with the coast of Limassol. For reception purposes, a broadband response, circular polarized dipole antenna has been installed outdoors, on a mast, one meter above the ground in order to represent the height of a typical commercial receiver's antenna. The testing equipment arrangement is illustrated in Figure 4 and all its components are described below.


Figure 4: Testing equipment arrangement
The Advantest U3751 is a professional spectrum analyzer that is used to provide field strength measurements of the monitored unwanted transmissions. The YEASU receiver VR5000 was employed in the present study in order to provide the audio information of the unwanted signals during testing. Furthermore, the circularly polarized dipole antenna was used as the major receiving antenna of the testing chain. The low noise amplifier was included into the equipment chain in order to compensate for the signal losses arising from impedance mismatch, as well as insertion losses due to the wiring among the testing components.

In addition to these measurements, additional data was obtained from the Department of Electronic Communications, which is the official body of the Cyprus Government responsible for radio signal measurements and monitoring. The department has official access to the sophisticated system "THALIS" that enables field strength data from all major regions of Cyprus to be displayed on a continuous basis for a long period of time. The system comprises of a chain of omnidirectional antennas that provide the field strength information to a central computer unit in Nicosia. Finally, the radiosonde data required for the present study were sourced from the Meteorological Department of Cyprus.

### 3.4 The Importance of the RX Antenna Polarization Sense

Monitoring antenna polarization sense ${ }^{3}$ is essential for obtaining accurate readings of the unwanted transmissions' detection. The importance of this key issue in radio communications discipline stems from the fact that the air interface between the transmitting and receiving antennae must exhibit an identical polarization sense in order to achieve a maximum Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) of the received signals (Wang, Lv and

[^2]$\mathrm{Li}, 2014)$. The aforementioned case is described by two principal equations representing the electric field's vector orientation of the radiated or received electromagnetic waves (Kraus, 1988):
$E x=E_{1}(\sin (\omega t-\beta z))$
$E y=E_{2}(\sin (\omega t-\beta z+\delta))$

Where:
$\mathrm{E}_{1}=$ amplitude of the wave in x direction
$\mathrm{E}_{2}=$ amplitude of the wave in y direction
$\beta=2 \pi / \lambda$
$\delta=$ time phase angle by which $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{y}}$ precedes $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$

Considering these equations, the two major antenna polarizations utilized by radio industry in Band II are discussed below.

### 3.5 Vertical Polarization

A linearly polarized antenna Electric field phasor is only in one plane, which can be either vertical or horizontal. Hence, utilizing a linear vertically polarized antenna ensures that the electric field vector (E) is perpendicular to the Earth's surface, whereas the magnetic field $(\mathrm{H})$ vector oscillates at 90 degrees angle compared to the Electric field as illustrated in Figure 5. However, despite its horizontal polarization, this arrangement is not applied in FM radio services, which rely on a linear horizontal polarization antenna, and thus benefits from vertical polarization. In practice, the polarization state of linearly polarized antennae is determined by the orientation of the antenna's wire with respect to the Earth's surface. In theory, these arrangements are described by Eq. 1 and 2, i.e. when E1 $=0$, the wave is linearly polarized in the Y direction and vice versa (Kraus, 1988).

### 3.5.1 Advantages and Disadvantages of Linear Vertical Polarization in the Context of FM Broadcasting

Vertical antenna polarization is predominantly used in FM radio broadcasting, as it provides many benefits. For example, a vertically polarized monopole antenna is found in most automobiles for reception purposes (Fanning, 2009). As a result, a vertically
polarized transmitting antenna will provide the maximum possible SNR in the received signals during driving.


Figure 5: Linear vertical and horizontal incident waves
Another important factor that has prompted the broadcasting industry to adopt linear vertical polarization antennae in many applications is the omnidirectional radiation pattern ${ }^{4}$ that is obtained by a vertically polarized half-wave dipole (Straw, 2002). In this respect, the E and H plane patterns of a linear vertically polarized half-wave dipole antenna have been simulated by the use of EZNEC software, as demonstrated in Figure 6. The magnetic field (H) represents the horizontal plane (Azimuth), whereas the electric field (E) is perpendicular, i.e. lies in the vertical plane (Elevation). The expression describing the halfwave dipole's electric field is given by (Kraus, 1988):
$E=\frac{\cos \left[\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \cos \theta\right]}{\sin \theta}$
Where $\theta$ is the angle of direction of the E Field
Despite the aforementioned benefits of linear polarization, its major drawback arises from the reflections of a linear polarized wave that occur from buildings in densely built urban areas, such as cities, or from the hills in rough terrain. This phenomenon results in a change from the linear, vertical polarization to a random polarization state.

[^3]

Figure 6: Azimuth and elevation planes of $E$ field of a half-wave linearly polarized dipole
In case of a receiver's vertically polarized antenna, as installed on an automobile, signal will not be received properly due to the random polarization, and will cause nulls.

### 3.5.2 Discussion of Circular Polarization Antennas in FM Broadcasting

Although dual linear polarization was considered, the processing of the signal was more complex and therefore circular polarization was chosen for ease of signal processing. A circular polarized antenna enables radiation or reception equally well, in all planes, and across 360 degrees, as it contains both vertical and horizontal linear components. However, it should be noted that the planes are always out of phase by $1 / 4 \lambda$ (denoting signal wavelength). Consequently, there is always a 3 dB loss when a circularly polarized incident wave is received by a linear polarization antenna and vice versa (Lee, 1984). Based on the Eq. 1 and 2, the circular polarization (CP) state occurs when E1 = E2 and $\delta=$ $\pm 90$. The CP ability to operate in all planes equally well is defined as the axial ratio of a circularly polarized antenna, which becomes a crucial factor when the linear polarization of an incident wave is unknown. In this respect, the electric field of a circularly polarized antenna rotates perpendicular to the axis, and according to the sign of the time phase angle $\delta$, it rotates clockwise or counter clockwise, as illustrated in Figure 7.


Figure 7: The rotation of a circular polarization incident wave
One important benefit of a circular polarization incident wave is that, although its rotation changes direction due to reflections from clockwise to counter clockwise and vice versa, it always incorporates the linear polarization components in any rotational direction. Thus, from the perspective of a receiver's linear monopole antenna, this change will not be noticeable in terms of the received signal's field intensity (Green, 1983).However; an important disadvantage of the circular polarized antennas concerns the 3 dB loss that occurs in reception when the receiver has been installed with a linear polarization antenna.

### 3.5.3 Linear Versus Circular Polarization

The interaction of linear and circular polarization antennae is illustrated in Table 1.

|  | Horizontal |  | Vertical | RHCP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Horizontal | 0 | 30 | 3 | 3 |
| Vertical | 30 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| RHCP | 3 | 3 | 0 | 30 |
|  | LHCP | 3 | 3 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1: The interaction of linear and circular polarization antennas

Based on the above, the following conclusions can be reached:

1. As a circularly polarized receiving antenna enables reception in all planes, it will provide a superior performance in cases where the incident wave is affected by a random linear polarization.
2. When the receiving antenna is LHCP, a circularly polarized RHCP wave will introduce significant losses, which are typically in the order of 30 dB .
3. A vertically polarized receiving antenna will provide a maximum SNR when the incident wave is only vertically polarized; however, due to reflections, polarization may be random and exhibit losses.
4. A vertically polarized antenna enables a good reception when the incident wave is circularly polarized in either RHCP or LHCP mode and vice versa.

Considering the above parameters, in this study, a circularly polarized antenna was employed for monitoring the unwanted transmissions under the condition that they utilize linearly polarized antennas. This is elaborated on in the subsequent chapters. Briefly, circular polarized dipole provides a better SNR than a linearly polarized one, i.e. it eliminates the need to align the antenna.

The specifications of the selected circular polarized dipole are presented in Table 2.

| Freq. range: $87.5-108 \mathrm{MHZ}$ |
| :--- |
| Impedance: 50 Ohm |
| V.S.W.R.: <1.4:1 |
| Gain: -1.5 db |
| Bandwidth: 300 KHz |
| Connector: "N" |
| Weight: 5 Kg |
| Max Power: 500 W |
| Polarization: Circular |
| Dimension: $580 \times 350 \times 850$ <br> mm |



Figure 8: The testing antenna

### 3.6 Rigorous Analysis of the Voltage Standing Wave Ratio (VSWR) and

 Insertion Loss of the Testing Equipment TopologyThe true operating conditions of the testing equipment's topology should be computed before commencing the monitoring of the unwanted transmissions arriving from the Middle East. This task must be accomplished in order to avoid inaccurate results in measurements concerning the field intensity of the monitored signals, especially those pertaining to the weak ones. In this regard, the SNR of the received signals at the input port
of the "Advantest spectrum analyzer" (Fig. 4) is determined according to their signal density, the receiving antenna's "Gain" and from the Voltage Standing Wave Ratio (VSWR), as well as the "insertion losses" of each element in the test equipment chain, as expressed by Eq. 4 and 5 below (S.Laverghetta, 1996):
$\operatorname{SNR}(d B)=\left(\frac{P(\text { signl })}{P(\text { Noise })}\right)=10 \log _{10}($ signal $)-10 \log _{10}($ Noise $)$
$P d B($ signal $)=$ Antenna $($ Gaind $B)-\left\{\sum(V S W R+\right.$ Insertion Losses $\left.)\right\}$

Based on Eq. 5, the antenna is considered the most vital component of the chain, as it determines the SNR of the entire system. Furthermore, the reflection losses from the antenna's VSWR and the transmission line's insertion losses will be combined, resulting in a reduction of the antenna's Gain, as expressed by equation 5 . Therefore, the field intensity readings of the received signals will not be accurate. Consequently, the reflection and insertion losses must be compensated for, properly, by the use of a Low Noise Amplifier, as will be discussed at the end of this chapter. The major loss of the system is expected to be caused by the transmission Line RG213, the computation of which is described below.

## Step 1: Calculations of the Coaxial Cable Length Insertion Losses

The attenuation in dB per unit length of the transmission line RG213 can be derived from the factory specifications given in table 3. It is computed based on a simple crossmultiplication using Eq. 6 below:

Loss $(d B) 15$ meters $=\frac{6.89}{100} \times 15=1.0335 d B$ or $21.18 \%$

| 1. | Inner Conductor Material and Plating: Copper |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Dielectric Type: PE |
| 3. | Shield Material: Copper Braid |
| 4. | Impedance: 50 ohm |
| 5. | Velocity of Propagation: 0.66 |
| 6. | Length: 15 meters |
| 7. | Testing Frequency: 100 MHz |
| 8. | Attenuation $(\mathrm{dB} / 100 \mathrm{~m})=6.89$ |

Table 3: The RG213 specifications

## Step 2: Calculations of Antenna's VSWR Losses

The VSWR losses of the antenna arise due to the impedance mismatch between the coaxial cable characteristic impedance ( $50 \Omega$ ), the source and the antenna's impedance arising due to the change from purely resistive function to complex impedance. This is a very important consideration in practical measurements,


Figure 9: Scattering parameters of one port system
as the antenna has been installed on a metallic mast, very close to the Earth's surface. Thus, the mutual coupling of the surrounding objects, including the mast and the Earth's surface, will ultimately affect the $50 \Omega$ default impedance indicated by the antenna's factory specifications, changing it to an unknown value that must be established based on new measurements (Hon Tat Hui, 2010). The VSWR measurements are conducted at the input of the 15 -meter-long transmission line RG213, as illustrated in Figure 10. The VSWR can be expressed via scattering parameters in terms of one port system (e.g. S11), as illustrated in Figure 10 (HEWLETT PACKARD, APPLICATION NOTE 95-1, 1995)


Figure 10: VSWR analyzer
The mathematical expression describing the VSWR in one port system is given by:
VSWR $=\frac{1+\mathrm{S} 11}{1-\mathrm{S} 11}$
$\mathrm{S}_{11}=$ Reflection coefficient given by S parameters

The return loss characteristics can thus be calculated using the expression below (Eq. 8) with the results provided in Figure 11.

Return Loss $(d B)=20 . \log \frac{V S W R+1}{V S W R-1}$


Figure 11: Return loss versus frequency

### 3.6.1 True Antenna Gain-Overall Signal Losses-Compensation

The transmission line RG213 losses based on its 15-meter length were calculated at 1.0335 dB using Eq. 6. The information pertinent to the return loss characteristics of the antenna response were illustrated in Figure 11 as well. Thus, the overall losses comprise of two components-the VSWR and insertion losses-which are combined. These phenomena are described by Eq. 9 and 10, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V S W R \operatorname{Loss}(d B)=20 \cdot \log \left[\frac{V S W R+1}{V S W R-1}\right]-1.0335 d B  \tag{9}\\
& P(\text { signal })=\text { Antenna }(\text { GaindB })-\left[\sum(V S W R+\text { Insertion Losses })\right] \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the true signal losses are given in Figure 12, which indicates that the losses range between 1 and 1.5 dB , according to the testing frequency. Furthermore, these additional losses will reduce the circularly polarized antenna's gain by -2.5 to -3 dB . However, these losses can be compensated by the use of a Low Noise Amplifier, which is installed on the mast in a close proximity to the antenna, in order to restore the gain of the antenna back to -1.5 dB . The amplifier has a variable gain $(0-10 \mathrm{~dB})$, and illustrated in Figure 4.

The VSWR and insertion losses of the splitter and the connectors are negligible and have thus been excluded from the above calculations.


Figure 12: Overall signal losses versus frequency

### 3.7 Monitoring Unwanted Overseas Transmissions

This section focuses on the behaviour of the overseas signals arriving from the Middle East in clear spectrum. It also provides their technical specifications, followed by the long- and short-term field strength intensity measurements made by the 30 kW main transmitter of the Cyprus Broadcasting Corporation (CYBC) that is installed on Olympus Mountain, Troodos. The CYBC's field intensity measurements in Limassol are also included, as they are adopted in the present study as the reference values for all other national radio services in Cyprus because they all exhibit similar specifications. It should, however, be noted that, although many unwanted overseas transmissions have been monitored in Band II, as they overlap with the local radio services, their behaviour could not be studied. Nonetheless, two overseas signals could be detected in a very clear spectrum in Limassol, namely The Lebanon "Radio Libran Libre" 102.5 FM, broadcast from Lebanon, and the "Tel Aviv" 95.5 MHz, broadcast from Jerusalem, Israel. In this work, these two signals served as the reference overseas transmissions, i.e. their behaviour represents all other unwanted transmissions in Band II that arrive from the Middle East to Cyprus. This decision was made as all incoming unwanted signals arriving from that direction are transmitted from the same region and exhibit similar technical properties. The technical specifications of the aforementioned overseas signals, as well as the national radio "CYBC" have been obtained by the I.T.U. and are illustrated in table 4.

### 3.7.1 The Path Length Calculations of the Detected Signals

The path length from the aforementioned regions to the coast of Limassol must be determined, as it is subsequently utilized for the line-of-sight calculations, performed in the next chapter. Therefore, by the use of Google Earth professional software tools, the path length between Israel and Limassol, as well as Lebanon and Limassol, has been calculated based on the coordinates given in Figure 13. The transmitting point's altitude of the 95.5

MHz signal from Israel is 860 m , and the path length to Limassol is 376 km . Similarly, the path length of the Lebanese 102.5 MHz signal to Limassol is illustrated in Figure 14, and is measured at 271 km , whereby the transmitter point is located at 2295 m asl. Finally, the path length between Limassol and Olympus Mountain, as it concerns the service area of the national radio station CYBC 94.8 MHz signal, is illustrated in Figure 15 and is measured at 29 km only, with the transmitting point altitude of 1549 m (i.e. the transmitting point has absolute line of sight with Limassol).

| ITU country code | LBN | ITU country code | ISR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITU country } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | CYP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Farayar/Mzaar | Location | Jerusalem/Eitanim | Location | Mount Olympos |
| Coordinates | 35e50/33n58 | Coordinates | 35e06/31n47 | Coordinates | 32e52/34n56 |
| Longitude | $33^{\circ} 50$ '24.80" | Longitude | $35^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 50.40^{\prime \prime}$ | Longitude | $32^{\circ} 51 \prime 38.15^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Latitude | $33^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 55.80^{\prime \prime}$ | Latitude | $35^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 39.60$ " | Latitude | $34^{\circ} 56{ }^{\prime} 18.70^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Longitude decimal | 35.840222 | Longitude decimal | 35.097333 | Longitude decimal | 32.860597 |
| Latitude decimal | 33.965389 | Latitude decimal | 31.777667 | Latitude decimal | 34.938528 |
| Coordinates precision | 3 | Coordinates precision | 3 | Coordinates precision | 3 |
| Frequency | 102.5000000 | Frequency | 95.500000 | Frequency | 94.800000 |
| Language | ar | Language | He | Language | El |
| Program | Loubnan alHorr=R.Liban Libre | Program | IBA 2 Reshet Bet | Program | CyBC-RIK Trito Prog. |
| Modulation | m | Modulation | S | Modulation | S |
| Power | 50.000000 | Power | 40.000000 | Power | 30.000000 |
|  |  | Directional | D |  |  |
| Polarisation | v | Polarisation | V | Polarisation | c |
|  |  | Antenna relative height | 90 |  |  |
|  |  | Effective relative height | 860 |  |  |
| RDS-PS | noRDS | RDS-PS | BET_E_- | RDS-PS | AKOYTE_TO_TPITO TOY_PIK_94,__MHz 94.0_MHz 96.0_MHz 106.7MHZ HH:MM:SS |
| RDS-PI | noPI | RDS-PI | 4202 | RDS-PI | 2203 |
|  |  | Remarks | May/June 2010 at Balaton/Hungary | PTY | 99 |

Table 4: Technical specifications of the monitored transmissions


Figure 13: Path length between Limassol and Israel, 376 km, height 860 m


Figure 14: Path length between Limassol and Lebanon, 271 km, height 2995 m


Figure 15: Path length between Limassol and Olympus, 29 km, height 1549 m
The crucial technical specifications of the signals under consideration are demonstrated in table 5, as they are used in the analysis of the propagation mechanisms that cause the interference in Cyprus, which is provided in the next chapter.

| Frequency | Location | Path Length | Height | ERP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 102.5 MHz | Lebanon | 271 km | 2995 m | 50 kW |
| 95.5 MHz | Israel | 376 km | 860 m | 40 kW |
| 94.8 MHz | Cyprus | 29 km | 1549 m | 30 kW |

Table 5: The technical specifications of the transmitting points
3.7.2 Measurements of $102.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{9 5 . 5 \mathrm { MHz }}$ and 94.8 MHz performed at $\mathbf{1 : 0 0} \mathbf{P M}$ between June $17^{\text {th }}$ and September $2^{\text {nd }}, 2015$

The short-term field strength variations in the 102.5 MHz signal from Lebanon are demonstrated in Figure 16. The measurements have been conducted from June $17^{\text {th }}$ until September $2^{\text {nd }}, 2015$, at 1:00 PM. During this period, the average field strength intensity of 102.5 MHz was measured at 30 dBuV , and ranged from 10 dBuV to 48 dBuV , with the fluctuations essentially comprising of noise. The field strength variation of the aforementioned signal was in the order of 38 dBuV .


Figure 16: The field strength variations in the 102.5 MHz signal
Similarly, the field strength intensity of the 95.5 MHz signal arriving from Israel was measured between June $17^{\text {th }}$ and September $2^{\text {nd }}, 2015$, at 1:00 PM, with the data illustrated in Figure 17. The average field strength was 45 dBuV , with 10 dBuV and 71 dBuV denoting the minimum and maximum, respectively. The ripple in the signal was measured at 61 dB .


Figure 17: The field strength variations in the 95.5 MHz Signal
The measurements pertaining to the local national radio CYBC signal were performed within the same period and are illustrated in Figure 18. The graph is almost linear and the ripple is only 2 dB .


Figure 18: The field strength variations in the local CYBC 94.8 MHz radio signal
The field strength variations of the three signals under study are merged on the graph depicted in Figure 19. The green colour represents the field strength intensity of the local 94.8 MHz radio signal, whereas the red and blue lines correspond to the overseas signals at 102.5 MHz and 95.5 MHz , respectively. According to Figure 19, the field strength of the 95.5 MHz prevails over the local radio 94.8 MHz on the specific dates depicted on the graph. Therefore, the cause of co-channel interference has been established, and is evident from Figure 19, which reveals that the peaks of the 95.5 MHz signal are above the green line that represents the field strength of the local services.


Figure 19: The field strength Intensity of the three signals under Study

### 3.7.3 Measurements of the 95.5 MHz and 94.8 MHz Signals Between June $17^{\text {th }}$ and

 September 2th, 2015, made at 1:00 PM \& 9:00 PMFigure 20 illustrates field strength variations of the 95.5 MHz signal arriving from Israel and the local CYBC 94.8 MHz radio signal, noted on specific dates. The measurements were conducted at 1:00 PM and 9:00 PM. According to Figure 20, the overseas signal's field intensity is unstable relative to the local channel CYBC radio signal. Another important observation is that the average field of the overseas 95.5 MHz signal was higher in the evening compared to the levels measured during the day.

Figure 20 illustrates the variations in this phenomenon and elucidates the cause of cochannel interference, which would occur in the evening on the given dates, provided that the local radio services used the same spectrum as that adopted by the overseas signals.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95.5 MHz (dBuV) 1:00 PM } \\ & \text { Israel } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95.5 MHz (dBuV) 9:00 PM } \\ & \text { Israel } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94.8 MHz (dBuV) 9:00 PM } \\ & \text { Cyprus } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/8/2015 | 40 | 68 | 58 |
| 7/8/2015 | 61 | 61 | 58 |
| 8/8/2015 | 10 | 51 | 58 |
| 10/8/2015 | 10 | 41 | 58 |
| 11/8/2015 | 12 | 43 | 58 |
| 12/9/2015 | 38 | 45 | 58 |
| 13/8/2015 | 61 | 67 | 58 |
| 14/8/2015 | 61 | 56 | 58 |
| 16/8/15 | 35 | 10 | 58 |
| 17/8/2015 | 45 | 53 | 58 |
| 18/8/2015 | 48 | 65 | 58 |
| 20/8/2015 | 50 | 67 | 58 |
| 21/8/2015 | 44 | 70 | 58 |
| Average | 39.61538462 | 53.61538462 | 58 |
| Maximum | 61 | 70 | 58 |
| Minimum | 10 | 10 | 58 |

Table 6: The field strength variations in the 95.5 MHz and 94.8 MHz signals, as measured at 1:00 and 9:00 PM


Figure 20: The field strength variations in the 95.5 MHz and 94.8 MHz signal, as measured at 1:00 and 9:00 PM

### 3.7.4 Short Term Measurements of the $\mathbf{9 5 . 5 M H z}$ and 94.8 MHz Signals Conducted between June 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and September 2th, 2015, from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM

The field strength variations in the Israel radio service 95.5 MHz signal between 11:00 AM and 7:00 PM, based on the measurements conducted on August $24^{\text {th }}$ and $25^{\text {th }}, 2015$ is illustrated in figure 21. These measurements revealed that the field strength intensity of the overseas signal was sporadic, i.e. comprised of various values. An important observation is that its intensity measured on August $24^{\text {th }}$ at 4:00 PM exceeded the free space value of the local national radio services CYBC, whereas the values were below the reference value at all other times.


Figure 21: The field strength variations of the 95.5 MHz and 94.8 MHz signals, as measured between 11:00 and 7:00 PM

### 3.7.5 Discussion and Results of measurements

The measurements described in preceding sections have elucidated the manner in which the field strength intensity of the overseas transmissions could cause co-channel interference in Limassol during the summer months of 2015. It could be established that this phenomenon occurs as the monitored signals' field strength intensity varies with the month, day and time of the reception, causing the unwanted signals to prevail over the local radio services. The average field intensity measurements of the monitored 95.5 MHz signal from Israel during the summer months (June, July and August) are illustrated in Figure 22. According to the data, the average signal strength was $33 \mathrm{dBuV} / \mathrm{m}$ in June, increasing to $53 \mathrm{dBuV} / \mathrm{m}$ in July, before declining to $42 \mathrm{dBuV} / \mathrm{m}$ in August. Therefore, the signal is weakest in July. Furthermore, the strength of the 95.5 MHz signal arriving from Israel exceeded that of the local CYBC radio service in certain periods of summer, as well as during some parts of the day, despite the difference in the frequency designated for these two signals. Moreover, as the propagation losses increase with distance, it would be expected that signals arriving from Jerusalem (located is 376 km away from Limassol) would be weaker than those arriving from Olympus (at only 29 km distance). As a result, the expected field strength intensity of the 95.5 MHz signal should be considerably lower than that of the CYBC signal, as justified by the path loss equation (Eq. 11) given by (Debus, 2006) .

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{b f}=32.4+20 \log f+20 \log d \quad d B \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Particularly, under line of sight conditions, the path loss between Jerusalem and Limassol can be estimated using the following data and Eq. 12:

Distance from Jerusalem to Limassol: 370 km
Frequency: 95.5 MHz
Altitude: 860 m asl
ERP: 40 kW
Path Loss $=32.4+20 \log (95.5)+20 \log (370) \rightarrow 123 d B$


Figure22: The average strength of the 95.5 MHz signal arriving from Israel during the summer Months
According to Eq. 12, the path loss between Jerusalem and Limassol is 123 dB. Since the effective radiated power of the 95.5 MHz signal is 40 kW (table 5), it corresponds to the signal strength in Limassol of approximately 0.02 uW or 60 dBuV at a 50 ' $\Omega$ antenna. However, according to the measurements, the maximum intensity of the 95.5 MHz signal was $71 \mathrm{dBuV} / \mathrm{m}$, exceeding its free space value by 10 dB .

It should also be noted that the field strength intensity of the national radio CYBC signal was almost constant in all measurements.

On the other hand, the path loss calculations of the CYBC 94.8 MHz signal are presented below:

Distance from Olympus to Limassol: 29 km
Frequency: 94.8 MHz
Altitude: 1549 m asl
ERP: 30 kW
Path Loss $=32.4+20 \log (94.8)+20 \log (29) \rightarrow 101 d B$
As can be seen from the above, the loss is 101 dB . Since the power is 30 kW , the attenuation of 101 dB corresponds to 2.38 uW or 80 dBuV at a 50 ' $\Omega$ antenna. According to the measurements, the maximum field strength of the CYBC signal was $58 \mathrm{dBuV} / \mathrm{m}$, most likely due to the fact that the monitored antenna is installed on a 1-meter mast, adversely affecting the line of sight to the point of transmission.

However, it is noteworthy that the average field intensity of the 102.5 MHz signal arriving from Lebanon (illustrated in Figure 23) is different from the 95.5 MHz signal. The field has an average intensity of 13 dBuV in June, after which it increases to 31 dBuV in July,
before declining to 30 dBuV in August. The path loss calculations pertaining to the path from Lebanon Limassol are given below.


Figure 23: The average signal strength of the 102.5 MHz signal arriving from Lebanon during summer months
Distance from Lebanon to Limassol: 271 km
Frequency: 102.5 MHz
Altitude: 2995 m asl
ERP: 50 kW
Path Loss $(d B)=32.4+20 \log (102.5)+20 \log (270) \rightarrow 121 d B$
According to Eq. 14, the path loss between Lebanon and Limassol is 121 dB . However, although Lebanon is closer to Limassol than Jerusalem, according to the measurements, the maximum field intensity for the signal arriving from Lebanon was $49 \mathrm{dBuV} / \mathrm{m}$, corresponding to $13 \mathrm{dBuV} / \mathrm{m}$ below its maximum free space value.

The measurement instability of the overseas signals under study indicated that the signal propagation in Limassol is affected by an abnormal propagation mechanism. In order to understand the behaviour of the monitored overseas signals, a scientific analysis was performed, as described in the next section.

### 3.8 Analysis of the Monitored Signals

In order to better understand the phenomena affecting foreign radio signals that can be detected in Limassol, the propagation mechanism of the 95.5 MHz signal broadcast from Israel and 102.5 MHz signal arriving to Cyprus from Lebanon.

### 3.8.1 Line of Sight Interference Analysis

Line of sight interference occurs when a transmitted electromagnetic wave travels in a straight line from the transmitting antenna to the receiver, i.e. there are no obstructions that
could block the propagation. In the case of interest for this study, the line-of-sight analysis pertains to the transmitting origin of the signals monitored in Limassol. As no obstructions (other than the Mediterranean Sea) exist between Limassol and the Middle Eastern regions considered in this work, the line-of-sight analysis is limited to the radio horizon illustrated in Figure 24. The radio horizon is given as a function of the effective Earth radius, the elevation of the transmitting and receiving points, and the distance between them. In this analysis, it is essential to distinguish between the visual and radio horizon, due to the refraction of the radio waves, discussed below.


Figure 24: Radio versus visual horizon

The geometric horizon is defined as the visual field limit imposed by the Earth's curvature. However, under normal atmospheric conditions, the path length of the radio horizon in the VHF/UHF frequency domains appears to extend beyond the visual horizon, as the incident wave bends downwards. This phenomenon is described in the Recommendation ITU-834 and can be expressed by Eq. 15 below:
$\frac{1}{\rho}=-\frac{\cos \varphi}{n} \frac{d n}{d h}$
Where:
$\rho=$ radius of the ray path curvature
$\mathrm{n}=$ refractive index of the atmosphere
$\mathrm{dn} / \mathrm{dh}=$ vertical gradient of the refractive index
$\mathrm{h}=$ the point elevation above the Earth surface in km
$\varphi=$ angle of the ray path measured from the horizontal plane

Under normal conditions, the refractive index is equal to unity and the angle $\varphi$ is equal to zero. Thus, Eq. 15 can be simplified, as shown in Eq. 16 below:
$\frac{1}{\rho}=-\frac{d n}{d h}$
In practice, Eq. 16 is used for terrestrial line of sight calculations, whereby Earth is considered to be perfectly spherical.

Thus, based on the Earth's geometric radius of 6370 km , its effective radius can be then calculated by taking into account a constant coefficient $k^{\prime}$ which is approximately 1.33 under normal atmospheric conditions. Incorporating this into Equation 17 yields:

Effective Earth Radius $=\sqrt{(K R+H 1)^{2}-(K R)^{2}}$
Where $K R=6370 K M x \frac{4}{3}=8500 \mathrm{~m}$

The radio horizon between the Middle Eastern regions and Limassol can be then calculated by applying the Pythagorean Theorem on the right-angled triangle, as shown in Figure 28 and presented below.

Paths Lengths:

1) Jerusalem - Limassol: Distance $=376 \mathrm{~km}$, Height $=860 \mathrm{~m}$ Frequency: 95.5 MHz

Radio Horizon $($ Jerusalim - Limassol $)=\sqrt{(8500000+860)^{2}-(8500000)^{2}}=$ 120 km

According to the results yielded by Equation 18, the path length between Limassol and Jerusalem is 376 km , thereby the 95.5 MHz signal is not arriving to Limassol by a line-ofsight propagation mechanism.
2) Beirut-Limassol: Distance 271 km, Height $=2995 \mathrm{~m}$ Frequency: 102.5 MHz

Height $(\mathrm{H})=3083$ Meters

Radio Horizon $($ Beirut - Limassol $)=\sqrt{(8500000+2995)^{2}-(8500000)^{2}}=224$ km

Equation 19 reveals that the path length between Beirut and Limassol is 271 km . Thereby the 102.5 MHz signal is not arriving to Cyprus by a line-of-sight propagation mechanism.

It should be noted that, beyond the radio horizon, the signals will either attenuate rapidly or propagate away from the Earth (Castel, 1965).

### 3.8.2 Diffraction Interference

The diffraction can cause a long-term interference in the VHF band. This is especially the case if a portion of the incident wave passes over a tall sharp obstacle, like the top of a mountain, or a building, and penetrates into the shadow area of that obstacle (Matthew, 1965). This phenomenon has been explained by Huygens-Fresnel principle in 1678, which states that a strong incident wave enables an obstacle to behave akin to a number of secondary point sources that produce a spherical radiation pattern at the near-field region. The signal density of the diffraction in the shadow area depends on the amplitude and phase of the secondary radiation sources. This phenomenon is also described in the Recommendation ITU-526-8, which provides diffraction equations, along with the Fresnel zones. The chart in Figure 29 demonstrates the diffraction's distance attenuation over the sea of a vertically polarized incident wave according to the ITU-526-8.

According to Figure 25, the diffraction is very efficient at low propagation frequencies relative to the VHF Band II. In other words, the lower the frequency, the more the wave is diffracted because the wavelength becomes longer relative to the Earth's radius. In Band II frequencies, the wavelength is approximately 3 m , which is negligible compared to the Earth's dimensions; thus, not much energy can be diffracted. The diffraction effects can be negligible where the propagation path is very long (> 200 km ) at VHF bands (Son, 2002). Therefore, in this work, there is no need to consider the diffraction effect as a principal propagation mechanism that causes interference between Jerusalem and Lebanon and the coast of Limassol because the distances between these transmitted signals exceed 200 km .


Figure 25: Diffraction by spherical earth -effect of Distance

### 3.8.3 Tropospheric Scattering

Tropospheric scattering is a long-range propagation mechanism used for microwave radio link communications between terrestrial stations beyond the horizon (Tropospheric-scatter observations, 1961). The system requires very high-gain antennas steered at very low angles compared to the horizon, whereby microwave signals are randomly scattered as they pass through the upper layers of the troposphere. Thus, such arrangement is used in high-frequency applications where the wavelength is short due to the high antenna gain requirements. As the troposphere is turbulent, and is characterized by a high proportion of moisture, the radio signals exhibit affected by tropospheric scatter exhibit great losses. Thus, troposcatter communications require amplifiers ranging from 1 kW to 50 kW and antennae with gain in the $40-60 \mathrm{~dB}$ range, in order to establish a successful link interface.

The methods for evaluating the path loss of the troposcatter communications are provided in the Recommendation ITU-R P.617-1, and are based on Eq. 20 below:
$L(d B)=M+30 \log (f)+10 \log (d)+30 \log (\theta)+L_{N}+L_{c}-G_{t}-G_{r}$
Where:
f denotes frequency in $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}$ is the distance in $\mathrm{km}, \theta$ is the scatter angle (milliradians), $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{N}}$ accounts for the height of the common volume, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is the aperture-medium coupling loss, and $G_{t}$ and $G_{r}$ are the antennae gains.

Based on Eq.20, the loss increases dramatically with the angle $\theta$; thus, the lowest losses are obtained when $\theta=0$, i.e. when the transmitting and receiving antennae are steered at the horizon.

The angle $\theta$ can be calculated using Equation 21 as follows:
$\Theta=\theta_{e}+\theta_{t}+\theta_{r}$
where $\theta_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{r}}$ are the transmitter and receiver horizon angles, respectively, and
$\theta_{e}=1000 \mathrm{~d} / R_{e}$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ effective earth radius $\sim 4 / 3 \times 6370 \mathrm{~km}$.
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{N}}$ represents the transmission loss variation with the height of the common volume, as given by Equation 23 below.
$L_{N}=20 \log (5+\gamma H)+4.34 \gamma H$
Where:
$\mathrm{H}=10^{-3} \theta \mathrm{~d} / 4, \mathrm{~h}=10^{-6} \theta^{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}} / 8$, and $\gamma$ is a climatological parameter $\sim 0.27 \mathrm{~km}^{-1}$, based on the region, where Lc described by Equation 24.
$L_{C}=0.07 e^{0.055(G t+G r)}$

Based on the calculations presented above, the troposcatter communication in Band II, between Limassol and Lebanon or Israel, will exhibit losses exceeding 160 dB . These losses correspond to a field intensity in Limassol of no more than 20 dBuV . According to the measurements presented in the previous section, the average intensity of the monitored signals is at least 45 dBuV and exceeds the free space value of the local channels on certain days. Therefore, the troposcatter propagation mechanism cannot cause the interference in the southern coastal regions of Cyprus and will not be explored further.

### 3.8.3 Refraction Interference

According to the fundamental properties of propagation, radio waves in the VHF band travel in a straight line, provided that the refractive index of the media through which the signal is passing is unity (Thayer, 1974). Essentially, the refractive index denotes the ratio of the speed of light in the free space to the incident wave velocity. Under normal atmospheric conditions, the actual value of the refractive index is about 1.0003, which causes a small refraction in the incident waves, as previously discussed. For this reason, the I.T.U. considers the radio horizon (under normal conditions) to be extended to the visual horizon by $4 / 3$ times. However, under non-standard atmospheric conditions, when an incident wave is travelling through two atmospheric layers characterized by different dielectric constants, it will be refracted or bent at a certain angle, whose direction will be determined by the gradient of the refractive index. The Recommendation ITU-R P 453 provides the meteorological parameters that determine the radio refractive index $n$, in terms of the radio refractivity $N$, as shown in Eq. 25 below:
$n=(1+N) x 10^{-6}$
However, the radio refractivity $N$ can be also expressed by Eq. 26 , as follows:
$N=N d r y+N w e t=\frac{77.6}{T}\left[P+4810 \frac{e}{T}\right] N-$ Units
Where:
P is atmospheric pressure (hpa)
e represents water vapour pressure (hpa)

T denotes absolute temperature (K)
Radio refractivity can be otherwise expressed in terms of relative humidity in \%, as given by ITU-R P 453 in Eq. 27 below:
$N=(n-1) \times 10^{-6}=77.6 \frac{P}{(T+273)}+22.79 \times 10^{-3} \frac{R H}{(T+273)^{2}} \exp \frac{17.502 \times T}{(T+240.97)}$
Where:
$P$ is atmospheric pressure in $\mathrm{hPa}, T$ is temperature in C , and $R H$ is relative humidity in $\%$.

The refractivity $N$ within the atmosphere varies with height and is defined as the vertical refractivity gradient ( $\mathrm{dN} / \mathrm{dh}$ ). Thus, depending on the weather conditions, radio waves can be classified based on refraction as normal, sub-refraction, super-refraction or ducting, as
illustrated in Figure 26. The criteria for the occurrence of these refraction types, along with their properties, are discussed below.


Figure26: The four Classifications of refraction

### 3.8.5 Normal Conditions

Under normal meteorological conditions, the refractive index value declines uniformly with height, as moisture, temperature, and pressure decrease with altitude. This exponential function, within 1 km of Earth's surface, is sufficiently regular to be approximated by a linear function, i.e. be defined as the standard gradient (Son, 2002). In this respect, the propagating wave will bend downwards and extend beyond the visual horizon by additional $4 / 3$ times. The standard gradient is characterized by the decrease in refractivity $N$ of 39 units per km of distance, i.e. $-39 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{km}$. Similarly, the normal gradients will bend the radio waves downwards, whereby $N$ will range from 0 to $-79 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{km}$ (or between 78 and 157 M -units per km, as will be explained later).

### 3.8.6 Sub-Refraction

Sub-refraction forces the propagated wave to be refracted less than normal. As a result, a wave travels upwards and away from the Earth's surface. The sub-refraction occurs when the temperature and humidity distribution increase the refractivity gradient. Therefore, when a non-line of sight overseas signal arrives in the coastal region of Cyprus, this phenomenon is not produced by sub-refraction.

### 3.8.7 Super Refraction

Super refraction occurs when refractivity decreases from the standard gradient due to temperature inversion within the troposphere, whereby the temperature increases (rather than decreases) with height. Water vapour content can also cause super refraction, when the moisture decreases with height. In this case, the $N$ gradient lies between $-157 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{km}$ and approximately $-79 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{km}$. As a result, the propagated wave will bend downwards more than it normally does, and its radius will eventually approach the radius of the Earth. Provided
that the propagated wave's and the Earth's radius are equal, the wave will continue to travel at a fixed height, maintaining the trajectory parallel to the Earth's surface.

### 3.8.8 Trapping

Trapping (Ducting) occurs when the $N$ gradient exceeds $-157 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{km}$. However, the same meteorological conditions cause trapping and super refraction interference. In this regard, the difference between trapping and super refraction pertains to the radius of the propagated wave, which becomes smaller than the Earths' radius as it decreases beyond the critical gradient. In such a case, the electromagnetic waves are trapped within a thin layer of the troposphere, denoted as duct. When the wave is trapped in this tropospheric channel, its energy can propagate over great ranges. Furthermore, according to the Rec. ITU-R P.453-8, ducts can be described in terms of modified refractivity $M$ (h) defined by Eq. 30:
$M(h)=N(h)+157 h(M-U n i t s)$
Where $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{km})$ is the height.

Three types of ducts are encountered in nature, and can be classified as surface-based, elevated-surface, and elevated ducts, as illustrated in Figure 27.


Figure 27: The three types of ducts: (a) Surface, (b) Surface-based, and (c) Elevated ducts.
When the air aloft is very warm compared to the temperature of the Earth or the sea, surface-based ducts occur. For example, they can arise due to the hot air masses that pass over the cool water surface of the Mediterranean Sea as presented in the next chapter. On
the other hand, elevated ducts occur when the meteorological conditions are favourable for such phenomena to occur aloft above the Earth's surface.

Since none of the propagation mechanisms discussed previously could cause the interference in Cyprus, refraction is likely the only contributor to the measurements obtained in this study. However, to fully elucidate the cause of the interference problem in Cyprus, meteorological analysis of the area was conducted, as discussed below.

### 3.9 The Climate of the Southern Coast of Cyprus

The analysis of the climatic conditions in Cyprus is based on the data sourced from the Meteorological Department of Cyprus, which provides information pertinent to the weather conditions on the island (Moa.gov.cy, 2016). Since the present study is limited to the southern coast of Cyprus, only the climatic conditions prevalent in Limassol will be considered.

Limassol is a coastal town with a Mediterranean climate. Its geographical coordinates are $34^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ North, $33^{\circ} 2^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ East. The area is affected by four distinct seasons, namely winter, spring, summer, and autumn. Winter is the rainiest season of the year, with the average temperature of $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On the other hand, Spring is characterized by very little rain and the average temperature of $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Summer in Limassol is very humid and the average temperature reaches $28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During the summer months, the island is mainly under the influence of a shallow trough of low pressure extending from the great continental depression located over southwest Asia. High temperatures and almost cloudless skies make this season mostly dry and sunny. Although rainfall is almost negligible, isolated thunderstorms sometimes occur, which contribute to the average precipitation of less than $5 \%$.

### 3.9.1 Air Temperature

'While, in general, Limassol enjoys hot summers and mild winters, when the effects of altitude are taken into consideration, the findings reveal that air temperature declines by about $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ of elevation. Marine influences are also noteworthy, as they result in cooler summers and warmer winters in the vicinity of most of the coastline, and especially on the west coast. The seasonal differences between mid-summer and midwinter temperatures are significant, averaging at $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ inland and about $14{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the coasts. The mean monthly air temperature in Limassol is presented in Figure 28.


Figure 28: Mean monthly air temperature in Limassol
Differences between maximum day and minimum night temperatures are also significant, especially inland in summer. In winter, 8 to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ difference is measured in the lowlands, with somewhat lower range of 5 to $6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ observed in the mountains, increasing in summer to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the central plain and 9 to $12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ elsewhere. In July and August, the mean daily temperature ranges between $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the central plain, reducing to $22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the Troodos mountains, with the average maximum temperature of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ measured in these areas, respectively. The mean daily temperature in January ranges from $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the central plain, to $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the higher parts of Troodos mountains, with the average minimum temperature in these areas declining to $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. While rarely severe, frosts are nonetheless frequent in winter and spring, especially inland, and can thus adversely affect the economically important production of early vegetable and main citrus crops'.

### 3.9.2 Sea Temperature

'At the open sea, temperatures increase to $27{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in August, declining to $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ between November and June. In addition, during each of the three coolest months (January, February and March), the average sea temperature declines further, to 16 or $17{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Throughout the year, near all coasts, at the water depth of 3-4 m, temperatures are very similar to those measured at the open sea, ranging from 15 to $17{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in February, and 23 to $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in August. With the exception of the very shallow waters (under 1 m depth) near coast, seawater temperature does not exhibit significant daily changes'.


Figure 29: Mean monthly sea temperature near Limassol

### 3.9.3 Soil Temperature

'Seasonal change in mean soil temperature measured at 10 cm depth ranges from about 10 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in January to $33{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in July, reducing to $14-28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ variation at 1 m depth. On the mountains (at $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ asl), these mean seasonal values are reduced by about $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Even at the highest elevations, penetration of frost into the ground is insufficient to cause problems for flora and fauna. On the other hand, a wide variation in the soil temperature is noted during summer, due to large amounts of solar energy being absorbed into the soil during the day, combined with high radiation losses in clear skies at night. The temperature at the soil surface measured in the lowlands on a typical July day varies between $15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ near dawn to near $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the mid-afternoon. However, this variation is reduced to $24-42{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at only 5 cm , with no perceptible daily temperature change at 50 cm depth'.

### 3.9.4 Relative Air Humidity

'Elevation above the mean sea level and the distance from the coast also have considerable effects on the relative air humidity, which is to a large extent a reflection of temperature differences. In Limassol, at 65 to $95 \%$ during winter days, and at night throughout the year, humidity may be characterized as average or slightly low. It is even lower near midday in summer, with the values measured on the central plain usually not exceeding $30 \%$, and occasionally declining to about $15 \%$.

Fog is infrequent and usually confined to dawn; however, on maintains in winter season, when cloud envelopes the highest peaks, it can persist for longer periods. Visibility is generally very good or excellent. Still, on a few days each spring, the atmosphere is very hazy due to dust brought from the Arabian and African deserts'.

### 3.9.5 Wind

'Over the eastern Mediterranean, surface winds are mostly westerly or south-westerly in winter and north-westerly or northerly in summer. They are usually of light or moderate strength, and rarely reach gale force. Over the island of Cyprus, however, wind direction varies considerably with orography that, along with local heating effects, is the main contributor in the determination of local wind direction and strength. Differences in temperature measured at sea and inland, which vary daily, cause considerable sea and land breezes in summer, when dry weather and clear skies predominate. Whilst these effects are mostly confined to the coastal areas, winds regularly penetrate far inland in summer, reaching the capital, Nicosia, bringing a welcome reduction of temperature, as well as increase in humidity. As noted above, gales are infrequent over Cyprus, but may occur occasionally on exposed coasts with winter depressions. Small whirlwinds are common in summer, appearing mostly near midday, in the form of so-called "dust devils" that can arise on the hot dry central plain. While vortices also occur very rarely, when they do, they take shape of waterspouts at sea and small tornadoes on land, with the diameter extending up to 100 m . They typically occur in thundery weather conditions. While localized damage caused by these winds has been reported on a few occasions, in general, Cyprus suffers relatively little wind damage'.

### 3.10 Results and Discussion

This section presents the upper air data obtained by the Meteorological Department of Cyprus during periods of very strong, medium and low field strength intensity of the overseas monitored signals transmitted at the frequencies of 95.5 MHz and 102.5 MHz , discussed in Section 3.11. In the Eastern Mediterranean, only two stations (Radiosonde) measure meteorological parameters relative to specific height-the Athalassa in Cyprus (WMO code 17607) and Bet Dagan in Israel (WMO code 40179). These stations obtain radiosonde data once a day at 1200 UTC. Because the aforementioned stations cannot obtain radiosonde data based on the coordinates in Figure 30, and the data are observed only once a day, for the present study the prognostic model WRF-ARW, Version 3.4 was used in the present study because it is a special weather forecasting software that enables upper air data simulation at any fixed coordinates and hour in the day.

The relevant data was obtained at the computing time $\mathrm{T}+10$ (i.e., 10 hours after the initial time $\mathrm{T}+0$ corresponding to 0000 UTC ). Thus, the time $\mathrm{T}+10$ pertains to 13:00 PM and allows simultaneously obtaining meteorological data and field strength measurements at a fixed time point. The calculations pertained to 18 km distance grid, corresponding to the
boundary data from the Global Forecasting System (GFS) with a resolution of 0.5 degrees and time step boundary conditions set at 3 h . The step integration was adaptive / dynamic, rather than fixed, based on the CFL criterion. The number of vertical planes (eta levels / terrain following) was 60 . The configurations used are given below:

1. Micro-physics: WRF Single-moment 3-class scheme
2. Radiation longwave: Rapid Radiative Transfer Model
3. Radiation shortwave: Dudhia Scheme
4. Surface layer: MM5 / Monin-Obukhov Scheme
5. Boundary layer: Yonsei University Non-local-K scheme
6. Cumulus / convection: Kain-Fritsch scheme

The main goal is to provide evidence-based explanation for the radio interference observed along the southern coast of Cyprus. As a result, the refractivity $N$ can be obtained by applying Eq. 26 below:
$N=N d r y+N w e t=\frac{77.6}{T}\left[P+4810 \frac{e}{T}\right] N-$ Units
where $P$ denotes atmospheric pressure (hpa), $e$ represents water vapour pressure (hpa), $T$ is absolute temperature ( K ), and $R H$ is relative humidity expressed in \%.

Given that the focus of this investigation is on the overseas signals from Jerusalem (95.5 MHz ) and Lebanon ( 102.5 MHz ), radiosonde data required for analyses have been obtained by examining three major points at the coordinates presented in Figure 30. The results are discussed in subsequent sections.


Figure 30: The coordinates under investigation for the radio signals emitted at frequencies of 95.5 and 102.5 MHz

### 3.11 Very Strong Signal Intensity

Table 7 presents the field strength measurements obtained on selected dates, when the strength of the 95.5 MHz signal was particularly strong. The tabulated information also includes the measurements of the 102.5 MHz signal for comparison. All measurements were obtained at 1:00 PM.

| DATE | $\mathbf{9 5 . 5 M H z}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 . 5 M H z}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $18 / 6 / 15$ | 61 dB | 38 dB |
| $22 / 6 / 15$ | 61 dB | 48 dB |
| $7 / 7 / 2015$ | 64 dB | 25 dB |
| $11 / 7 / 2015$ | 71 dB | 35 dB |
| $21 / 7 / 15$ | 66 dB | 40 dB |
| $28 / 7 / 15$ | 62 dB | 37 dB |
| Table 7: Very strong field strength intensity |  |  |

Table 7: Very strong field strength intensity

### 3.11.1 Results pertaining to measurements made on June $18{ }^{\text {th }} \mathbf{2 0 1 5}$

The simulation of the absolute temperature versus height as well as the N -units versus height at Point $3\left(34^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 11.12^{\prime \prime N}, 33^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 8.877^{\prime E}\right)$ are presented in Figure 31 and 32, respectively. As can be seen from the graph shown in Figure 31, temperature inversion occurs at the 250 m height.


Figure 31: Temperature versus height measured on 18-06-2015 at Point 3


Figure 32: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 18-06-2015 at Point 3

The results pertaining to the temperature inversion versus height and the N -units measurements versus height at Point $2\left(33^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 17.48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 33^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 51.56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$, are presented in figures 33 and 34 respectively. Once again, temperature inversion can be noted at the 250 m height, as illustrated in Figure 33.


Figure 33: Temperature versus height measured on 18-06-2015 at Point 2.


Figure 34: $\mathbf{N}$-units per meter versus height measured on 18-06-2015 at Point 2.

The relationship between temperature and height at Point 1 ( $32^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 49.68^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $34^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 42.44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), reveals a temperature inversion at 350 meters height presented in figure 35. The refractivity N in relation to the height of the measuring point is illustrated in Figure 36.


Figure 35: Temperature versus height measured on 18-06-2015 at Point 1


Figure 36: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 18-06-2015 at Point 1.

### 3.11.2 Results pertaining to the measurements performed on July $\boldsymbol{7}^{\text {th }} \mathbf{2 0 1 5}$

The simulation of the absolute temperature in relation to height at Point 3 ( $34^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 11.12^{\prime \prime N}$, $33^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 8.87^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), the location of which is shown in Figure 30, reveals a temperature inversion at the 400 m height, as illustrated in Figure 37. The refractivity N noted at different heights is illustrated in Figure 38.


Figure 37: Temperature versus height measured on 7-72015 at Point 3.


Figure 38: $\mathbf{N}$-units per meter versus height measured on 7-7-2015 at Point 3.

The relationship between temperature and height at the measurement point is presented in figure 39 while the N -units measurements in relation to height are shown in Figure 40. At Point $2\left(33^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 17.48^{\prime \prime N}, 33^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 51.566^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$ shown in Figure 30, the temperature inversion occurs at the 600 m height, as shown in Figure 39.


Figure 39: Temperature versus height measured on 07-072015 at Point 2.


Figure 40: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 07-07-2015 at Point 2.

The results pertaining to the link between temperature and measurement point height at Point $1\left(32^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 49.68^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 34^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 42.44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$ are illustrated in Figure 41, revealing a temperature inversion at 650 m . The refractivity N relative to the height at which it is obtained at Point 1 is illustrated in Figure 42.


Figure 41: Temperature versus height measured on 07-07-2015 at Point 1.


Figure 42: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 07-07-2015 at Point 1.

### 3.11.3 Results pertaining to the measurements performed on July $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }} \mathbf{2 0 1 5}$

Based on Figure 43 and 44, inversion occurs at 200 m .


The relationship between temperature and height is presented in figure 45 while the N units measurements obtained at Point $2\left(33^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 17.48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 33^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 51.56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$ are shown in Figure 46. As can be seen, temperature inversion occurs at 350 m .


Figure 45: Temperature versus height measured on 11-07-2015 at Point 2.


Figure 46: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 11-07-2015 at Point 2.

The results pertaining to the temperature and refractivity N versus height at Point 1 $\left(32^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 49.68^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 34^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 42.44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}\right.$ ) are presented in figures $47 \& 48$ respectively. According to the data, in this case, temperature inversion takes place at 500 m height.


Figure 47: Temperature versus height measured on 11-07-2015 at Point 1.


Figure 48: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 11-07-2015 at Point 1.

### 3.11.4 Results pertaining to the measurements performed on July $21{ }^{\text {st }} 2015$

The temperature inversion versus height at Point 3 is presented in figure 49, which occurs at 250 meters, while the refractivity curve is illustrated in Figure 50.


Figure 49: Temperature versus height measured on 21-072015 at Point 3.


Figure 50: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 21-07-2015 at Point 3.

The results pertaining to the changes in temperature with height are presented in figure 51, while figure 52 depicts those related to the N -units measurements at Point 2 ( $33^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 17.48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 33^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 51.566^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ). As can be seen, the temperature inversion commences at 400 meters.


Figure 51: Temperature versus height measured on 21-07-2015 at Point 2.


Figure 52: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 21-07-2015 at Point 2.

The findings pertaining to the temperature changes at different heights at Point 1 ( $32^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 49.68^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 34^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 42.44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) are illustrated in Figure 53, which denotes a temperature inversion at 500 m . The refractivity N findings are shown in Figure 54.


Figure 53: Temperature versus height measured on 21-07-2015 at Point 1.


Figure 54: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 21-07-2015 at Point 1.

### 3.12 Results pertaining to Medium Field Intensity

Field strength measurements obtained on selected dates presented on table 8, when the strength of the 95.5 MHz and 102.5 MHz signal was moderate (average). All measurements were obtained at 1:00 PM.

| DATE | 95.5MHz | 102.5 MHz |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $12 / 7 / 2015$ | 42 dB | 42 dB |
| $18 / 7 / 15$ | 42 dB | 30 dB |
| $23 / 7 / 15$ | 42 dB | 35 dB |
| $24 / 7 / 15$ | 45 dB | 20 dB |
| $31 / 7 / 15$ | 40 dB | 40 dB |
| $6 / 8 / 15$ | 40 dB | 35 dB |
| $16 / 8 / 15$ | 35 dB | 20 dB |
| Table 8: Medium field strength intensity |  |  |

### 3.12.1. Results pertaining to the measurements performed on July $12{ }^{\text {th }} \mathbf{2 0 1 5}$

Results obtained at Point 3 are depicted in Figures 55 and 56, revealing temperature inversion at 350 m .


Figure 55: Temperature versus height measured on 12-07-2015 at Point 3.

Results obtained at Point 2 are presented in Figures 57 and 58 where inversion begins at 900 meters.


Figure 57: Temperature versus height measured on 12-07-2015 at Point 2.

Figure 58: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 12-07-2015 at Point 2.

Results obtained at Point 1 are presented in figures 59 and 60, where no inversion is noted.


### 3.12.2 Results pertaining to the measurements performed on July $3{ }^{\text {st }} 2015$

Results obtained at Point 3 are presented in figures 61 and 62, where inversion begins at 350 meters.


Figure 61: Temperature versus height measured on 31-07-2015 at Point 3.


Figure 62: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 31-07-2015 at Point 3.

Results obtained at Point 2 are presented in figures 63 and 64, where inversion begins at 500 meters.


Figure 63: Temperature versus height measured on 31-07-2015 at Point 2.


Figure 64: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 31-07-2015 at Point 2.

### 3.13 Results pertaining to Low Field Intensity

Field strength measurements obtained on the dates characterized by low field strength of the 95.5 MHz and 102.5 MHz signal are presented on Table 9. All measurements were obtained at 1:00 PM.

| DATE | $\mathbf{9 5 . 5 M H z}$ | 102.5MHz |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $20 / 6 / 15$ | 15 dB | 15 dB |
| $24 / 6 / 15$ | 15 dB | 15 dB |
| $30 / 6 / 15$ | 12 dB | 10 dB |
| $8 / 8 / 2015$ | 10 dB | 10 dB |
| $10 / 8 / 2015$ | 10 dB | 15 dB |
| $11 / 8 / 2015$ | 12 dB | 12 dB |
| $30 / 8 / 15$ | 10 dB | 20 dB |
| Table $9:$ Low field strength intensity |  |  |

### 3.13.1 Results pertaining to the measurements performed on June $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }} \mathbf{2 0 1 5}$

Results obtained at Point 3 are presented in figure 65 and 66, where no temperature inversion was noted.


Figure 65: Temperature versus height measured on 20-06-2015 at Point 3.


Figure 66: $\mathbf{N}$-units per meter versus height measured on 20-06-2015 at Point 3.

Results obtained at Point 2 are presented in figures 67 and 68, where no temperature inversion was noted either.


Figure 67: Temperature versus height measured on 20-06-2015 at Point 2.


Figure 68: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 20-06-2015 at Point 2.

Results obtained at Point 1 are presented in figures 69 and 70, revealing, once again, no temperature inversion.


### 3.13.2 Results pertaining to the measurements performed on June $\mathbf{3 0}^{\text {th }} 2015$

Results obtained at Point 3 are presented in figures 71 and 72, revealing no temperature inversion.


Figure 71: Temperature versus height measured on 30-06-2015 at Point 3.


Figure 72: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 30-06-2015 at Point 3.

Results obtained at Point 2 are presented in figures 73 and 74, where no temperature inversion was noted once again.


Figure 73: Temperature versus height measured on 30-06-2015 at Point 2.


Figure 74: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 30-06-2015 at Point 2.

Results presented in Figures 75 and 76 provide pertain to measurements made at Point 1, revealing no temperature inversion.


Figure 75: Temperature versus height measured on 30-06-2015 at Point 1.

### 3.13.3 Results pertaining to the measurements performed on August $\mathbf{3 0}^{\text {th }} \mathbf{2 0 1 5}$

Results presented in Figures 77 and 78 pertain to measurements made at Point 3, revealing that temperature inversion occurs at the height of 900 m .


Figure 77: Temperature versus height measured on 30-08-2015 at Point 3.


Figure 78: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 30-08-2015 at Point 3.

Results obtained at Point 2 are presented in figures 79 and 80, where no temperature inversion was noted.


Figure 79: Temperature versus height measured on 30-082015 at Point 2.


Figure 80: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 30-08-2015 at Point 2.

Results obtained at Point 1 are presented in figures 81 and 82, where no temperature inversion was noted once again.


Figure 81: Temperature versus height measured on 30-082015 at Point 1.


Figure 82: $N$-units per meter versus height measured on 30-08-2015 at Point 1.

### 3.14 The Type of Ducts along the Limassol Coast



Figure 83: Surface Based Duct measurements made on 18-06-15 at Point 3.


Figure 84: Surface Based Duct measurements made on 24-06-15 at Point 3


Figure 85: Surface Based Duct measurements made on 07-07-15 at Point 3.


Figure 87: Surface Based Duct measurements made on 12-07-15 at Point 3.


Figure 88: Surface Based Duct measurements made on 23-07-15 at Point 3


Figure 89: Elevated Duct measurements made on 24-07-15 at Point 3.


Figure 90: Surface Based Duct measurements made on 28-07-15 at Point 3.


Figure 91: Surface Duct measurements made on 31-07-15 at Point 3.


Figure92: Surface Based Duct measurements made on 06-08-15 at Point 3


Figure 93: Surface Based Duct measurements made on 08-08-15 at Point 3


Figure 94: Surface Duct measurements made on 16-08-15 at Point 3.

The surface temperature inversion observed during the summer months in the Eastern Mediterranean region results from the movement of warm air masses from the mainland to the south or southeast of Cyprus, where the sea is colder. Thus, upon contact with the colder surface water, the hot air mass is cooled at the bottom, leading to surface temperature inversion. Consequently, the area is characterized by high humidity, low cloud and fog in some cases. When the air mass that affects the Cyprus region comes from west-
northwest, it is colder than the sea temperature and leads to surface inversion. In addition to the surface inversion, during summer, inversion arising from cathode currents (subsidence) is observed in the middle atmosphere. Its extent typically ranges from 3000 to 8000 m .

### 3.15 Chapter Conclusion

The problem of radio interference in Limassol was analysed in detail in this chapter by applying real field strength intensity measurements obtained by monitoring behaviours of two existing overseas radio signals transmitted at 95.5 MHz and 102.5 MHz , respectively, in various meteorological conditions, expressed through the parameters provided by the Meteorological Department of Cyprus during June, July and August of 2015. The findings presented here revealed that the radio interference experienced along the southern coast of Cyprus is caused by three major types of ducts, presented in Section 3.14.. Presence of these ducts has been verified close to the coast of Limassol, whereby they were classified as surface (i.e., Fig. 86), surface based (i.e., Fig. 84) and elevated ducts (i.e., Fig. 89). The analyses performed as a part of this study also demonstrated that the field strength of the monitored overseas signals ( 95.5 MHz from Jerusalem, and 102.5 MHz from Lebanon) is directly proportional i.e. related to the temperature inversion curves, which were discussed in detail in Sections 3.11-3.13.3, with the greatest effects noted close to the coast of Limassol. According to the interference assessment performed on specific dates, it can be posited that strong temperature inversion is directly proportional to strong radio interference in close proximity to the coast of Limassol. Moreover, the study results have shown the electromagnetic waves in Band II can travel through the ducts with stronger field strength intensity than the free space value when the elevation of the duct approaches the Earth's surface. Particularly, according to the findings presented in Section 3.7, the field strength intensity exceeds the free space value by approximately 15 dB . On the other hand, when there is no temperature inversion, a very low interference effect or its complete absence was noted.

Because the radio interference in Band II is caused by anomalous propagation conditions, which is governed by the climatic conditions of the Mediterranean Sea, it will never cease completely. In other words, it will continue to affect the local radio services during the hot and dry summer months.

The outcomes of this study are crucial not only for the assessment of the existing radio services, but also for utilization of the latest technology, state of the art digital radio
switchover, as the same problem of interference will affect any new Digital Radio Scheme. For example, interference will affect Band III signals, which is designated for Digital Audio Broadcasting (DAB+), as well as Band II utilized by Digital Radio Mondiale (DRM+) applications. In this regard, the overseas transmissions from the Middle East will arrive to the southern coast of Cyprus with the field strength intensity equivalent to that presented in Section 3.11, even after the switchover to digital radio.

In order to mitigate the aforementioned problem to a significant level, the radio interference must be blocked by the use of a new generation smart antennae, which is the topic of the following chapters.

## Chapter 4: Phased Arrays-The solution to the Problem of Interference

The aim of this chapter is to present the implementation of a Band II smart receiving antenna, which was designed as a part of the present study based on the phased array topology. As noted in the previous chapter, tropospheric ducting radio interference arrives at the coast of Limassol from the direction of the Southern Mediterranean Sea, whereas the desired signals of the local terrestrial radio services arrive from the opposite direction, as the radio transmitting points are always installed on top of hills in order to ensure line of sight conditions within the service area.

The commercial traditional receivers employ vertically polarized telescopic whip antennas, which receive signals from all directions with equal density since a monopole antenna provides an omnidirectional radiation pattern (Khan, Azim and Islam, 2014). As a result, a telescopic whip antenna cannot distinguish the desired signal from the interference, as both penetrate into the receiver despite arriving from different directions. Moreover, once combined, these signals cause co-channel interference. In contrast, a phased array can minimize the reception strength of unwanted signals (Stuckman and Hill, 1990). Based on this concept, a phased array utilized for reception purposes can minimize the level of interference very efficiently as its main beam steers in the desired direction in order to disable penetration of other incoming (undesired) signals transmitted from the side and back positions. This effect can be achieved even if omnidirectional elements are used. The main beam's tilt direction of a phased array depends on the phase shift as well as the amplitude difference among the single elements that constitute the system (Rabinovich, 2011), as discussed in detail later in this thesis. The array's main beam steers in the desire direction by setting the phase among the radiators of the array through the use of electronic phase shifters, which are excited by a control system instructing the main beam to steer in the direction of the strongest signal.

As a result, the greatest advantage of this approach stems from dispensing with the need for mechanical movement, as the main beam of a phased array steers toward the desired direction (Lee and Kim, 2009). According to the pattern multiplication theorem, the single element radiation pattern (assuming that all array elements are identical) is multiplied by the array factor's pattern (Eq. 32), producing the final radiation pattern of the array, as shown below (Rabinovich and Alexandrov, 2013):
$A F=\left[1+e^{j(\Delta 2+\beta d \cos \theta)}+e^{j(\Delta 3+2 \beta d \cos \theta)}+\cdots+e^{j(\Delta N+(N-1)(\beta d \cos \theta))}\right]$

Where $\beta=2 \pi / \lambda$
$\Delta=$ It is the phase variation of each individual element

Therefore, the geometry of the array and the single element properties are the two key factors determining the success of this implementation. Furthermore, the physical size of the radiators versus their performance, as well as the number of elements, is an important trade-off in the response of the entire system. Thus, they are determined in this research. As will be shown later in this work, applying phased array technology in the design of the future commercial receivers can be a powerful solution to the problem of interference, not only in Cyprus but in other regions of the world as well. As a result, the aim of the present study was to design a smart antenna that is small enough to allow incorporation in a deck receiver or installation on vehicles. Another important goal was to keep the smart antenna's design as simple as possible, without compromising on its performance, as this would ensure that the solution is cost-effective and widely applicable.

Furthermore, given several types of phased array topologies presently in use-such as linear, circular, planar and conformal arrays-it is essential to identify the one that is most suited for this application. In order to meet all the aforementioned goals, the present study comprised of (a) examining small antenna characteristics for optimizing the individual element of the array and (b) determining the array factors that would result in the most optimal radiation pattern.

The first step necessitated considering all crucial fundamental parameters of a stand-alone antenna in order to propose the design of an individual array element. The second step comprised of examining the phased array topology, as a means of identifying the most optimal specifications for suppressing the interference from a given direction most effectively. The concepts and parameters pertinent to these steps are discussed in subsequent sections.

### 4.1. Directivity, Gain \& Effective Aperture

The polar diagram that presents the direction of the maximum power density of an individual array element within a given area in the far field is defined as "Directivity" and is provided without taking into account system efficiency, i.e. the radiated power versus the input power, which is discussed further below (Pozar, 1993). In this context, directivity is given in spherical coordinates as a function of electromagnetic energy radiated by a very short length isotropic radiator the directivity of which equals one (i.e. the energy is radiated to all directions at a uniform density). Directivity was expressed mathematically by Kraus as shown in Eq. 33 below:
$\mathrm{D}(\Theta, \Phi)=\frac{U(\theta, \Phi) \max }{\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} U(\theta, \Phi) \sin \theta d \theta d \Phi}$
Where $\mathrm{U}(\Theta, \Phi)$ is the radiation intensity
$\Theta=$ Azimuth Angle
$\Phi=$ Polar Angle
From the expression above, it is evident that the smaller the beam solid angle the greater the directivity. As high directivity antennae are characterized by approximately equal elevation and azimuth angles $\left(\Theta_{\mathrm{HP}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{HP}}\right)$, directivity can be approximated by Eq. 34 as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \approx \frac{4 \pi}{\theta_{H P} \Phi_{H P}} \approx \frac{41000}{\theta_{H P} \Phi_{H P}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another key parameter related to the array's performance, is "Gain", which determines the net radiated or received power (compared to the source) in the far field within a certain area. As a result, "Gain" is provided in terms of the radiation efficiency, denoting how much power is radiated or received in real conditions compared to a small isotropic radiator (Panchenko, 1984). Crucial factors that degrade radiator efficiency are related to insertion heat dissipation and reflection losses caused by the radiator construction materials. For instance, the antenna substrate properties and the need for matching between the source and the load can cause serious issues. Thus, antenna size is a very important design consideration, especially its impedance characteristics, as discussed later in this thesis. Furthermore, the aforementioned parameters should be considered very carefully, since low-efficiency antennae provide a very poor gain (Wheeler, 1975). More specifically, an antenna characterized by poor gain will degrade receiver sensitivity, preventing it from receiving the desired radio signals accurately. Thus, optimizing the individual array elements to ensure maximum gain is one of the most important objectives of the present study. This is achieved by a small-sized antenna, as discussed later in this work. In this context, it is also essential to define the "Effective Aperture" as a function of "Gain" (defined as the maximum energy absorption achieved by the antenna versus an incident wave), as given by Eq. 35 below (Whites, 1989):
$\frac{A_{e f f}}{\lambda^{2} / 4 \pi}=$ Gain

Where:

## $\mathrm{A}_{\text {eff }}=$ Effective Aperture

From the expression above, it is evident that a large-aperture antenna exhibits high gain characteristics and thus a small angular beam width. The physical size of the individual array elements will be discussed in the next chapter.

### 4.2. Radiation Pattern

The polar diagram of the field strength intensity that an antenna receives or transmits per unit angle is presented in three dimensions in a diagram known as the radiation pattern (Martin, 1967). The aim of the radiation pattern diagram is to present the crucial angles in terms of the direction in which the main beam has the maximum intensity. This beam width is determined by the angles at which the power density decreases to $50 \%(3 \mathrm{~dB})$, denoted as the half-power beam width. Additionally, the radiation pattern presents the level and the direction of the side lobes. Side lobes are particularly important in reception antennae, as they are weak beams that allow the unwanted signal to travel through them into the receiver, even though the main beam is steered in a different direction (Sharma and Calla, 1988). Thus, in the present study, the radiation pattern is considered as an essential specification parameter, as it will determine the overall antenna performance. Since the radiation pattern is given over an isotropic area (i.e. a sphere), it is always provided in three dimensions based on two principal components, such as the electric field (E) and he magnetic field (H) planes, respectively. These assertions can be substantiated by the fact that electromagnetic waves are treated as Poynting vectors (S) representing the directional energy flux density (in the direction of energy propagation), while oscillating in magnitude. They can be expressed mathematically in Abraham's form given below (Jackson, 2006):
$S=E \times H$

Where E is the electric field and H the magnetic field.

It is noteworthy that, in order to block the interference, the radiation pattern in this project must be directional with very high rejection in the back lobe.

### 4.3. The Effect of Physical Dimensions on the Antenna Performance

An antenna is a resonance circuit; therefore, its impedance is determined by the voltage to current ratio at the input of the antenna's terminal. As will be discussed below, the physical size of an antenna is directly related to its radiation resistance, which is one of the key parameters considered in this implementation, since the individual elements' physical size
constrain the practical utility of an antenna. For instance, the physical size of a halfwavelength dipole that operates at 100 MHz is approximately 1.5 m . Although these physical dimensions are impractical for incorporation into commercial receivers, its benefit stems from its ability to operate at resonance, i.e. it exhibits at its centre (feed point) a conjugate impedance of approximately $73 \Omega$ (Bailey, 1984). The same principle is also applied to a quarter-wavelength monopole, which exhibits a radiation resistance equivalent to the half of that measured for the dipole, i.e. $37 \Omega$. The radiation resistance of a resonance antenna is crucial for most applications, because it can be easily matched to a $50-\Omega$ port without significant losses, making the antenna very efficient. In other words, the benefit of a high efficiency resonance antenna is that it matches directly to a commercial receiver's input impedance, which is usually $50 \Omega$ unbalanced. In this respect, the source energy (antenna) will provide maximum power transfer to the load (receiver), enhancing the signal to noise ratio. This assertion is justified by the maximum power transfer theorem, which states that absolute matching leads to maximum power transfer (Li, Chen and $\mathrm{Xu}, 2010$ ).

In this respect, it is obvious that the radiation resistance versus the physical size of the individual element design is a crucial factor, as it determines its efficiency. In other words, owing to this factor, the physical size of most antennas in the market is chosen in order to allow them to operate in resonance mode. In this context, full wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) dimensions (in m ) are given by the quotient of the electromagnetic wave speed, travelling into the antenna constructive material (in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) over the operating frequency ( MHz ). Because most traditional antennas are constructed using aluminium or copper rods, they behave as air insulated lines, e.g. transmission lines with the air dielectric constant $\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ equal to unity. As a result, the physical size of the antenna in terms of free space wavelength becomes the reference physical size for shorter resonance or non-resonance antennae, which is discussed below.


Figure 95: Dielectric loss tangent diagram

An antenna is usually considered small when its size is less than $\frac{\lambda}{10}$ (Shackelford, Kai-Fong Lee and Luk, 2003). A small-sized antenna can be constructed as a coaxial line, whereby the physical length of a radiator can be reduced in terms of free space wavelengths by the use of high $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ (> 1) dielectric materials, while still achieving resonance. Dielectric materials are nonconductive or semi-conductive materials, such as water, Teflon, polyethylene, etc., which are commonly applied as insulators in PCBs or coaxial lines. In this design, it is essential to consider a very important drawback of a dielectric PCB small antenna, stemming from the substrate's propensity to absorb a significant proportion of energy from the incident electromagnetic waves. As a result, it behaves as an attenuator in series with the input impedance of the antenna. Furthermore, the dielectric loss tangent, tan ( $\delta$ ), produces losses, as it is the angle between the capacitor's impedance vector and the negative reactive axis, as illustrated in fig. 95. When the speed of the plane wave declines due to a dielectric material, the wavelength becomes shorter, resulting in high losses the extent of which depends on the properties of the material used. Based on the transmission line theory, this can be justified as the velocity factor of the antenna's dielectric material that will eventually determine the antenna's physical size in terms of free space wavelengths but also a great amount of losses. This is best elucidated through an example based on a quarter-wavelength 100 MHz dielectric monopole antenna using highly dielectric material such as alumina with substrate characterized by $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}=10$. Despite the high losses incurred by the substrate, its physical size is relatively small, calculated as 25 cm . However, this is still excessive for most practical applications. In addition, it is essential to take into account the great losses due to the high ' ' $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ ' , arising from substrate's absorption. Owing to these factors, in the present study, individual array elements were not based on a dielectric material.

An alternative approach to constructing a small-sized antenna is based on the use of a nondielectric material, such as a copper wire. In this case, the issue of absorption from the substrate's dielectric material is eliminated; however, the short antenna will exhibit a very low radiation resistance and very high reactive impedance. As a result, this type of smallsized antenna will be characterized by unacceptably low efficiency response due to its low radiation resistance and high reactance, i.e. it will exhibit very high losses due to VSWR. This design also introduces the issue of very narrow band response, which is expected in the performance of such small antennae. The bandwidth will also be limited due to the high impedance transformation ratio of the matching network, which must cancel the high reactive component. In order to confirm these assertions, as a part of the present study,
three small antenna topologies-the short dipole (Hertzian), short monopole, and the normal mode helical antenna-were investigated in real conditions. These antenna types were chosen because they exhibit omnidirectional radiation pattern and are capable of receiving vertically polarized waves.

### 4.4. The Short Dipole Antenna

The short dipole antenna consists of two rods of equal size, as illustrated in Figure 96. The advantage of the short dipole is that it does not require a perfect ground plane to operate properly, as does the short stub (monopole) discussed in the next chapter. However, since the feed point of the short dipole is symmetrical, it requires a special designed balun in order to be converted into asymmetrical configuration to match with the receiver's input that is unbalanced. Due to its low radiation resistance, a matching network must also be matched with the input of a commercial receiver, which is $50 \Omega$.


## Figure 96: The short dipole

According to Breed (2007), using the Nec 2 numerical modeling, the input impedance of a short dipole with $\lambda / 10$ length is calculated as $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {feed }}=1.96-\mathrm{j} 1758 \Omega$, with the maximum gain of 1.77 dBi . Due to the above mentioned impedance response, the small dipole is very inefficient. This can be confirmed, according to Kulms (2015), based on equivalent circuit, which presents the input impedance of the small dipole, as illustrated in Figure 97.


Figure 97: Equivalent circuit of the small dipole's impedance network

Where $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is the radiation resistance, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is the loss resistance, and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is the capacitive component.

The radiation resistance of the short dipole is the real part of the impedance and is given by Breed (2007) in Eq. 36, 37 below:
$\mathrm{R}=80 \Pi^{2} \frac{h^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}$
The dissipation resistance is given by Eq. 37:
$\mathrm{R}=\frac{1}{3 \pi r \delta \sigma}$

Where $r$ is the conductor radius, $\delta$ is the skin depth, and $\sigma$ is the metal conductivity.
Because of the low radiation resistance of the short dipole, the loss resistance and the reactive component become very important factors, as they degrade its efficiency. According to Kulms (2015), the feed point impedance of a short dipole with $\lambda / 100$ length using a balun is given at a single frequency as $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {feed }}=7.5-\mathrm{j} 994 \Omega$. Short dipole antennas have also been discussed by Evjen and Jonsrud (n.d.) in Texas Instruments application note AN003. In this respect, the report has revealed that the most crucial issue in the operation of the short dipole is the design of a low-loss matching network, which is characterized by a very narrow band as well. Particularly, given that the impedance of the short dipole is dominated by a high capacitive reactance, in order for it to be cancelled, a narrow band matching network with extremely high Q inductance is required. The aforementioned matching network will, however, introduce significant degradation in the antenna performance due to the insertion loss characterizing the high Q inductor. The Q of a short dipole described in the Texas Instruments application note AN003 is illustrated in Figure 98.


Figure 98: The $\mathbf{Q}$ factor of a small dipole
In this work, the impedance of a short dipole antenna with physical dimensions of $\lambda / 30$ was simulated by EZNEC, as illustrated in Figure 99. The rod diameter is 1 mm and the dipole height is 10 cm . According to Figure 98, the impedance of the short dipole at 100 MHz is given as $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {feed }}=0.2561-\mathrm{j} 4337$.


Figure 99: The impedance of the short dipole-the curve indicates infinite VSWR

As this ultra-low radiation resistance and the high reactive component make the short dipole antenna inappropriate for use in this application, it is investigated further.

### 4.5. The Short Monopole Antenna

The short monopole antenna, when mounted above a perfect ground plane, works similarly to the short dipole in terms of radiation pattern properties. In this respect, because the short monopole antenna provides an omnidirectional linearly polarized radiation pattern, as well as an unbalanced feed point that matches to a commercial's receiver input without the need of a balun, it was investigated in this project as a potential individual array element.


Figure 100: The monopole
The impedance response of the short monopole is analyzed in line with the evaluation of the short dipole. The low radiation resistance of the short monopole in number of wavelengths is given according to Texas Instruments application note AN003 in Figure 101, which indicates that low radiation resistance implies very poor efficiency. This relationship makes its application in this project inappropriate, as justified by Lee (1984) and discussed in the next section.


Figure 101: Radiation resistance as a function of wavelength

According to Lee (1984), a small monopole made of a copper conductor ( $\sigma=$ $5.7 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{ohm}^{-1}$ and $\mu=4 \pi \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{Hm}^{-1}$ ) with radius $4.06 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}$ is considered as a Hertzian dipole since its length corresponds to $0.0066 \lambda$ only. In this application, the use of small monopole is not justified, due to its very poor efficiency of $14.59 \%$, according to Lee (1984) numerical analysis below:

Efficiency $=\frac{R_{r}}{R_{r+R_{L}}}$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\mu \mathrm{X} 2 \pi X F}{2 \sigma}=\frac{4 \pi X 10^{-7}}{2 \times 5.7 \times 10^{7}} \cdot 2 \pi \cdot 10^{6}=2.63 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{ohm}$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}=}=\frac{R_{s}}{2 \pi \alpha} \Delta L_{=} \frac{2 \times 2.63 \times 10^{-4}}{2 \pi \times 4.06 \times 10^{-4}}=0.206 \mathrm{ohm}$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}=789}\left(\frac{\Delta L}{\lambda}\right)^{2}=0.0352$ ohm

Hence:
Efficiency $=\frac{R_{r}}{R_{r+R_{L}}}=\frac{0.0352}{0.0352+0.206}=14.59 \%$
Due to this issue, the small stub will not be investigated further in this thesis.

### 4.6. The Normal Mode Helical Antenna (NMHA)

A helical antenna is an antenna consisting of a conducting wire wound in the form of a spring (Monich and Littmann, 1990). When the Helix antenna diameter is much smaller than $\lambda$ (for example, $\leq 0.1 \lambda$ ), it operates in a Normal Mode and is therefore defined as a Normal Mode Helical Antenna (NMHA). An NMHA can be mounted either vertically above a ground plane, or directly on a connector without grounding. The NMHA radiation pattern is identical to that of a monopole antenna (it is an omnidirectional side-fire radiation pattern, which is the desired radiation pattern in this application). In contrast to the NMHA, a Monofilar Axial Mode helix's pattern is directional and the antenna operates as a telescope, i.e. it must be pointed in the direction of the desired signal. As this is the issue the present study aimed to eliminate, this design is inappropriate and will not be investigated further.

The many advantages of the NMHA relative to the short stub or the Hertzian dipole (which have been examined previously) were discussed by Kraus (2000). According to Kraus, the NMHA resonates at a much shorter physical length than does the monopole. In theory, the NMHA resonates at $0.06 \lambda$ of a $\frac{\lambda}{4}$ stub as a substitute of a quarter-wavelength stub. In this respect, the axial ratio of the NMHA is given by Kraus (2000) in Eq. 38 below:
$\mathrm{AR}=\frac{E \theta}{E \Phi}=\frac{S \lambda}{2 \pi A}=\frac{2 S \lambda}{\pi^{2 D^{2}}}=\frac{2 S \lambda}{C \lambda^{2}}$
Where:

C : it is the circumference
$S_{\lambda}$ : spacing between turns in wavelengths

The three special cases pertaining to the NMHA polarization sense are given as follows:

1) $\mathrm{E}_{\Phi}=0$ Linear Vertical Polarization
2) $E_{\Theta}=0$ Linear Horizontal Polarization
3) $\mathrm{E}_{\Phi}=\mathrm{E}_{\Theta}$ Circular Polarization

Wheeler's relation for circular polarization is given by Eq. 39:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\lambda}=\sqrt{2 S_{\lambda}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for vertically polarized reception, the normal mode helical antenna (figure 106) is investigated in order to determine whether it can be utilized as an individual element of the phased array. Since in this application a small-sized vertically polarized antenna is required, the most important benefit of the NMHA over the Hertzian dipole or the monopole is its much higher radiation resistance relative to that of a straight conductor of the same size, as discussed in the next chapter.

### 4.7. Real Conditions measurements of the NMHA

An NMHA depicted in Figure 102 was constructed as a part of this study in order to test its performance in real conditions and compare it with that of the quarter-wavelength monopole, the construction details of which are discussed in the next section.


Figure 102: The Normal Mode Helical Antenna (NMHA)
The three vital parameters of the NMHA under investigation are the radiation resistance, the VSWR response and the "Gain" at the operating frequency of the commercial band FM (88-108 MHz).

The construction details of the NMHA are given below:

Diameter $=3.3 \mathrm{~cm}(0.01 \lambda)$
$\mathrm{N}=9$ turns
$\mathrm{S}=4 \mathrm{~mm}$

Height $=4 \mathrm{~cm}(0.013 \lambda)$

Wire Diameter $=2 \mathrm{~mm}$
In order to determine the Axial Ratio (AR) of the antenna, Equation 40 can be used:
$\mathrm{AR}=\frac{E \theta}{E \Phi}=\frac{S \lambda}{2 \pi A}=\frac{2 S \lambda}{\pi^{2 D^{2}}}=\frac{2 S \lambda}{C \lambda^{2}}$
$\rightarrow \frac{2 \times 4 \times 3000}{33^{2} \pi^{2}}=2.23$

The radiation resistance (the real part of the impedance) is illustrated in Figure 103. As can be seen from the graph, at 93 MHz , the radiation resistance is close to 50 ' At other frequencies within the Band II, the radiation resistance becomes very low; thus, the antenna has a very narrow band response.


Figure 103: The radiation resistance of the NMHA
This is further illustrated by the VSWR response curve shown in Figure 104, which takes into account the imaginary part of the impedance.


Figure 104: The VSWR response of the NMHA
According to the graph shown in Figure 104, the VSWR response of the NMHA makes it usable in the $90-96 \mathrm{MHz}$ range, equivalent to $5: 1$ VSWR. Moreover, as the VSWR response exceeds 25:1 in the beginning and at the end of the Band II, the antenna cannot be used for broadband applications. The poor VSWR response of the NMHA also affects the gain response, examined below.

### 4.8. The Quarter-wavelength Monopole versus the NMHA

The ''Gain'' of the given NMHA relative to the quarter-wavelength monopole must be determined, as monopole whip antennae are presently used by almost all commercial receivers. In order to accomplish this, a monopole antenna was constructed using the same
wire diameter as that for the NMHA ( 2 mm width and 80 cm height). The response of the quarter-wavelength dipole versus the NMHA is discussed below.

The quarter-wavelength monopole's radiation resistance response, when mounted above the ground plane, is illustrated in Figure 105, while the VSWR curve is shown in Figure 106 below.


Figure 105: The radiation resistance of the quarter-wavelength monopole antenna
According to figure 105, the radiation resistance of the quarter-wavelength monopole antenna across the commercial FM band ( $87.5-108 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) is in the $13-36 \Omega$ range. As shown in figure 105, the radiation resistance of the monopole is much flatter than that of the NMHA across the entire band. As a result, the maximum VSWR response does not exceed 3.5:1, compared to $25: 1$ for the NMHA, at the beginning and the end of the Band II, as discussed previously. The VSWR response of the monopole is illustrated in Figure 106.


Figure 106: The VSWR response of the monopole antenna
Thus, the reception performance of the quarter-wavelength monopole versus the NMHA is investigated in the following chapter.

### 4.9. The Gain of the NMHA versus that of the Quarter-wavelength Monopole Antenna

The reception performance of the NMHA in real conditions versus a quarter-wavelength vertically polarized monopole antenna is presented in Table 10 and Figure 107. In order to perform the test, each antenna was mounted at the same point on a ground plane and the results were obtained via the Rover DL1 spectrum analyzer. According to the data reported in Table 10, the NMHA has an average gain of -4.7 dB relative to that of the quarterwavelength monopole.

| Frequency (MHz) | Helix (dBuV) | Monopole (dBuV) | $\Delta \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{dBuV})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 88.2 | 28 | 35 | 7 |
| 93.3 | 34 | 37 | 3 |
| 94.8 | 33 | 35 | 2 |
| 98.6 | 38 | 40 | 2 |
| 103.7 | 45 | 50 | 5 |
| 104.3 | 34 | 41 | 7 |
| 105.6 | 31 | 38 | 7 |
| 107.6 | 28 | 33 | 5 |
| Min | 28 | 53 | 2 |
| Max | 45 | 39.2 | 7 |
| Average | 34.4 |  | 7.7 |

Table 10: Field strength of the NMHA and the monopole

The gain difference ranged from -2 dB to -7 dB . The poor NMHA performance is attributed to the high VSWR response, as previously discussed.


Figure 107: The field strength intensity of the NMHA versus the monopole

### 4.10. Optimization of the Normal Mode Helical Antenna

According to the previous measurements pertaining to the NMHA, it can be ascertained that its performance is limited due to the very high VSWR response across Band II. As a result, the antenna cannot be used as an individual array element unless this problem is eliminated by investigating a new method of optimizing the VSWR. Thus, a modified NMHA was designed, and its characteristics and implementation are presented in this section. The new antenna consists of two sections. It is a planar helix in series with a wirewounded helix, as illustrated in Figure 108. The modified NMHA's response relative to that of an unmodified helix in terms of bandwidth and gain was also investigated. For the purpose of this investigation, the planar helix was constructed on a PCB using FR-4 substrate. Its longest side was only 5 cm in length. It also comprised of a coil consisting of 5 turns, with line width of 1.5 mm and line spacing of 2 mm . The specifications of the NMHA are presented below:

1) $\mathrm{N}=26$ turns
2) Diameter $=10 \mathrm{~mm}(0.003 \lambda)$
3) Wire Diameter $=2 \mathrm{~mm}$
4) Height $=105 \mathrm{~mm}(0.035 \lambda)$


Figure 108: The NMHA mounted in series with the planar helix
The VSWR response of the modified helix is illustrated in Figure 109, which indicates its superior performance compared to that of the previously discussed unmodified helix. Particularly, the maximum VSWR of the modified helix was measured at 7:1, compared to 25:1 obtained for the unmodified helix. In order to confirm the improved performance of the modified helix, field strength measurements were carried out and the findings were
compared to those pertaining to the unmodified helix of the same specifications. The results are illustrated in Table 11.


Figure 109: The VSWR response of the modified helix

| Frequency (MHz) | Modified (dBuV) | Unmodified (dBuV) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 88.2 | 32 | 22 |
| 93.3 | 38 | 28 |
| 94.8 | 33 | 22 |
| 98.6 | 40 | 38 |
| 103.7 | 48 | 43 |
| 104.3 | 38 | 34 |
| 105.6 | 37 | 34 |
| 107.6 | 33 | 33 |
| Average | 37.375 | 31.75 |
| Max | 48 | 43 |
| Min | 32 | 22 |

Table 11: The field strength intensity of the modified and unmodified helix

According to the data reported in Table 11, the modified helix has approximately 6 dB better performance across the $87.5-108 \mathrm{MHz}$ band relative to the unmodified one.


Figure 110: The field strength intensity of the modified and unmodified helix
Despite the improved performance of the modified helix, it is still affected by the issue of unacceptably large dimensions for this application. Hence, to mitigate this problem, a new modified helix with lower height was constructed. In order to compensate for the small height, 13 turns have been incorporated into the new design in the form of a printed helix. Its construction details and performance are discussed below.

### 4.11. The Final Design of the NMHA

This section is dedicated to the discussion of the performance of the new modified NMHA, which was constructed with smallest physical dimensions possible in order to be used as the individual element of the phase array, discussed in the next chapter. The modified NMHA is shown in Figure 111. As can be seen from the image, the antenna consists of two sections-a planar printed helix and a NMHA mounted in series with the printed planar helix, constructed on a PCB Fr-4 substrate material. The longest turn's side dimension of the planar helix is 80 mm and the total number of turns is 13 , as noted above.


Figure 111: The modified NMHA

The NMHA's construction details are given below:

1. Diameter $=33 \mathrm{~mm}(0.011 \lambda)$
2. $\mathrm{N}=5$ turns
3. Height: $=50 \mathrm{~mm}(0.01 \lambda)$
4. Wire Diameter $=3 \mathrm{~mm}$
5. Space $=4 \mathrm{~mm}$

The modified NMHA incorporates 5 turns, allowing it to resonate at 101 MHz . Its height is $5 \mathrm{~cm}(0.01 \lambda)$ and its diameter is 3.3 cm . The the new modified NMHA's VSWR response in the $87.5-110 \mathrm{MHz}$ range is presented in Figure 112. According to the experiments performed, the advantage of this topology stems from eliminating the need for a complex matchning network, as only a simple 50 ' $\Omega$ quarter-wavelength transformer is required. According to the graph shown in Figure 112, performance of the new modified NMHA is superior to that of both the modified NMHA and the unmodified NMHA discussed in the previous sections. The maximum VSWR in the $87-110 \mathrm{MHz}$ range does not exceed 5:1, whereas the average VSWR value is only 2.3:1.


Figure 112: The VSWR response of the modified NMHA
In order to further confirm the superior performance of the new antenna, real conditions measurements were conducted with "on air" existing radio services and the results are reported in Table 12.

| Frequency | Field |
| ---: | ---: |
| 88.2 | Strength |
| 93.3 | 34 |
| 94.8 | 39 |
| 98.6 | 39 |
| 103.7 | 45 |
| 104.3 | 60 |
| 105.6 | 46 |
| 107.6 | 45 |
| Minimum | 39 |
| Maximum | 34 |
| Average | 60 |

Table 12: Real conditions field strength measurements of the modified NMHA

According to the data presented in Table 12, the new modified NMHA enables an average field strength intensity of 44.1 dBuV across Band II, which exceeds the performance of all previously tested antennas.


Figure 113: Real conditions field strength measurements of the modified NMHA
In this respect, the new modified NMHA antenna presented in this section, despite having the smallest permissible physical size, has been confirmed to exhibit the greatest efficiency
when compared to all other antennas examined in this work. As a result, its physical size, as well as its performance, fulfils the criteria for use as the individual element of the phased arrays, discussed in the next section.

### 4.12. Uniform Linear Arrays

One-dimensional linear phased arrays, also known as collinear arrays, are driven elements whose axes are located on a single straight line. The radiation pattern of a collinear array depends on the number of elements, the spacing, the phase and amplitude between the elements, and the radiation pattern of the individual elements under the condition that all elements are identical. When the array consists of equidistant identical elements aligned along the straight line, it is referred to as a uniform linear array. For example, a threeelement uniform linear array with an element separation $d$ and at the plane meeting the condition $\Theta=\pi / 2$ is illustrated in Figure 114.


Figure 114: A three-element uniform antenna array with an element separation $d$ and at the plane meeting the $\boldsymbol{O}=$ $\pi / 2$ criterion

According to Kraus, the maximum value of the electric field ' $E$ ' can be calculated using Equation 41 below:
$\mathrm{E}=\frac{1}{n} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{n \psi}{2}\right)}{\sin \left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right)}$
where $\psi=\beta \cdot \mathrm{d} \cdot \cos (\Phi)+\Delta$
In this respect, the maximum value of E in terms of the angle $\Phi$ is given as follows:
$\Phi \max =\cos ^{-1}\left[\frac{\lambda}{2 \pi d}(-\Delta \pm 2 \mathrm{~m} \pi)\right]$
where $\pm \mathrm{m} \pi=0.5(\beta \mathrm{~d} \cos (\Phi)+\Delta)=0.5 \psi$
According to the above analysis, when the spacing between the adjacent arrays is $\leq \lambda$, no grating lobes can be formed for any value of the excitation phase $\Delta$.

On the other hand, when the spacing between the arrays is $\geq \lambda$, grating lobes are formed for any value of the excitation phase $\Delta$. Finally, when the spacing between the individual elements lies between $\lambda / 2$ and $\lambda$, the formation of grating lobes depends strictly on the excitation phase $\beta$. The angles of null of the array factor occur based on Eq. 42 below:
$\Phi_{\text {null }}=\cos ^{-1}\left[\frac{\lambda}{2 \pi d}\left(-\Delta \pm \frac{2 n}{N} \pi\right)\right]$
Although uniform arrays can be used for scanning for certain angles, equation 42 indicates that a closely spaced one-dimensional linear array will not provide the desired radiation pattern in all directions, as well as the desired null towards the interference, unless is rotated mechanically. In order to demonstrate this visually, the radiation pattern of a $0.2 \lambda$ isotropic array is plotted for $\theta=\pi / 2$. The two isotropic sources presented in circular form are illustrated in Figure 115.


Figure 115: Two-element phased array
Using trigonometry, the path difference between the two elements can be obtained via

Eq. 43 below:
$2 *$ Radius $\cdot \sin (\theta / 2) \cdot \sin (\Phi-\theta / 2-\alpha)$
where $\theta$ is the angle between the individual element and the reference, $\alpha$ is the angle between the $x$ axes and the reference and $\Phi$ is the angle of radiation. Applying Equation 43 results, Equation 44 is obtained:
$\Delta \Phi=72 \cdot \sin 90 \cdot \sin (\Phi-90)-\Delta=72 \cdot \sin (\Phi-90)-\Delta$


Figure 116: Radiation pattern of two-element phased array
The radiation pattern of the array with zero excitation phase is illustrated in Figure 116. As can be seen, the main lobe's maximum radiation is at 90 and 270 degrees. In order to create a null at the opposite direction of the main beam, the excitation phase must be equal to $2 \times 0.1 \lambda$, i.e. $108^{\circ}$. In this case, the radiation pattern is illustrated in Figure 117.


Figure 117: The radiation pattern of two-element phased array with the excitation phase of $108^{\circ}$
The radiation pattern in figure has a null at $-90^{\circ}$. By switching the phase between the individual elements, the null will reverse at $0^{\circ}$. However, the two-element isotropic array, as a broad-side array, will not be able to create a null in any other direction without mechanical control.

### 4.13. Application of Circular Arrays

Circular arrays, as demonstrated in the previous analysis, are one-dimensional linear arrays in a circular form. The major advantage of circular arrays relative to linear arrays stems from their ability to scan horizontally across the entire $360^{\circ}$ without distortion near the end-fire direction (Davies and Rizk, 1977). Therefore, circular arrays present the ideal topology for this application and will be investigated in detail in this section. Another great advantage of circular arrays is that the mutual coupling affects all individual elements of the array with equal density. Therefore, eliminating it is easier than in any other topology (Hon Tat Hui, 2007). The geometry of circular arrays is illustrated in Figure 118 below. The array factor of circular arrays is the sum of the far-field intensity of every individual element of the array and is given by Eq. 45 (Hon Tat Hui, 2007):
$\sum_{n=1}^{N}$ In. $e^{j[\beta a \sin \theta \cos (\phi-\Phi n)+\Delta n]}$
Where $B n$ is the excitation phase of every individual element (in this application, the modified helix antenna).


Figure 118: The circular array geometry
The maximum radiation angle $\left(\Theta_{\max }, \Phi_{\max }\right)$ can be achieved based on Eq. 46,47 , and 48 :
$\beta \alpha \sin \left(\Theta_{\max }\right) \cos \left(\Phi_{\max }-\frac{2 \pi}{N}\right)+\mathrm{B}_{1}= \pm 2 \mathrm{q} \pi$
$\beta \alpha \sin \left(\Theta_{\max }\right) \cos \left(\Phi_{\max }-\frac{4 \pi}{N}\right)+\mathrm{B}_{2}= \pm 2 \mathrm{q} \pi$
$\beta \alpha \sin \left(\Theta_{\max }\right) \cos \left(\Phi_{\max }-2 \pi\right)+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}}= \pm 2 \mathrm{q} \pi$
Where $\mathrm{q}=1,2,3$
The maximum radiation direction in terms of the excitation phase is given by Eq. 49 below:
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}}=+2 \mathrm{q} \pi-\beta \alpha \sin \left(\Theta_{\max }\right) \cos \left(\Phi_{\max -} \frac{2 \pi n}{N}\right) \mathrm{n}=1,2,3$

### 4.14. The Smart Antenna Design

Based on the preceding discussions, the performance of a four-element circular array, illustrated in Figure 119, is analyzed to ensure that it operates effectively in the 87.5-108 MHz range.


Figure 119: The circular array design
The circular array shown above comprises of four modified normal mode helical antennae discussed in the previous section. The antennae are mounted at a $90^{\circ}$ distance. The phase of every individual helical antenna is controlled by an electronic phase shifter (MiniCircuits JSPHS-150+) in order to create the desired radiation pattern in a given direction. The radius of the circle is 7.5 cm , which corresponds to $0.025 \lambda$. In this respect, the radiation pattern of the four-element circular array in the end-fire direction will be
analyzed below, according to the phase shift of every individual element. The weights are presented in Tables 13-16.

| Antennas | Phase (degrees) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Helix1 | 0 |
| Helix2 | 167 |
| Helix3 | 167 |
| Helix4 | 0 |

Table 13: The weights of the circular array at $270^{\circ}$


Figure 120: Cardioid radiation pattern of the four-element circular array at $270^{\circ} \mathrm{HPBW}=90^{\circ}$

| Antennas | Phase (degrees) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Helix1 | 167 |
| Helix2 | 167 |
| Helix3 | 0 |
| Helix4 | 0 |



Figure 121: Cardioid radiation pattern of the four-element circular array at $90^{\circ}, \mathrm{HPBW}=90^{\circ}$

| Antennas | Phase (degrees) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Helix1 | 0 |
| Helix2 | 0 |
| Helix3 | 167 |
| Helix4 | 167 |

Table 15: The weights of the circular array at $180^{\circ}$


Figure 122: Cardioid radiation pattern of the four-element circular array at $180^{\circ}, \mathrm{HPBW}=90^{\circ}$

| Antennas | Phase (degrees) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Helix1 | 167 |
| Helix2 | 0 |
| Helix3 | 0 |
| Helix4 | 167 |

Table 16: The weights of the circular array at $0^{\circ}$


Figure 123: Cardioid radiation pattern of the four-element circular array at $0^{\circ}$, HPBW $=90^{\circ}$

### 4.15. Final Construction and Assessment of the Smart Antenna

The circular array has been constructed based on the NMHA discussed in section 3.11. The array consists of four NMHA, constructed on an FR-4 PCB, according to Figure 111, while the array is shown in Figure 124. In order to evaluate the array performance, the VSWR (caused by the mutual coupling between the elements and compensated for by rotating the helix) was first minimized for every individual helix.


Figure 124: Four-element circular array

In the next step, a simple zero-degree four-port Wilkinson splitter was constructed, as illustrated in Figure 125. The isolation between the ports of the splitter is important, as unequal splitting will affect the array radiation pattern, as the amplitude at every helix will
be different. To mitigate this issue, the splitter was terminated with $50-\Omega$ load before verifying that it splits with equal amplitude to all ports before connecting it to the array. The VSWR of the splitter did not exceed the 1.5:1 across Band II, precluding the addition of the second section.


Figure 125: Four-port zero-degree splitter
Before testing the four-element circular array, the performance of a typical telescopic whip antenna of 53 cm length was tested in terms of the local radio services. This evaluation provided a reliable reference value of the field strength intensity for later comparison with the performance of the four-element circular array. The test was performed using the spectrum analyzer ROVER-DL1, as illustrated in Figure 126.


Figure 126: The quarter-wavelength monopole under test
In order to perform the test, a local radio service broadcasted at 93.3 MHz from the northern part of Limassol was selected. The telescopic whip antenna was calibrated to provide the maximum field strength at 93.3 MHz , which is 35.5 dBuV as illustrated in Figure 127.


Figure 127: The reception of the quarter-wavelength monopole antenna
After measuring the field of the whip telescopic antenna, the circular array was tested in terms of gain and front to back ratio at a fixed phase, as illustrated in Table 17.

| Antennae | Phase (degrees) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Helix1 | 0 |
| Helix2 | 0 |
| Helix3 | 167 |
| Helix4 | 167 |

Table 17: The phase arrangement

The aim of this test was to ensure that the array is capable of satisfactory performance in terms of ''Gain'" and can create null towards all desired directions. This test should be performed in order to verify the array's response before connecting it to the voltage controlled phase shifters and the control circuit. The phase shift among the individual helixes is illustrated in Table 17. It has been arranged by settling the length of the coaxial cable RG-316 at every individual helix of the array. The array under investigation is illustrated in Figure 128.


Figure 128: The circular Array under test
The gain of the four-element circular array relative to the performance of the whip telescopic antenna was evaluated by primarily steering manually the array towards the direction of the maximum radiation of the incident waves monitored via the spectrum analyzer shown in Figure 129. The monitored radio services are three local channels utilizing 91.6 MHz , 93.3 MHz , and 93.7 MHz frequencies. All monitored radio services are broadcasting from the same direction. The spectrum analyzer readings were obtained by connecting the input of the four-port Wilkinson splitter to the input of the spectrum analyzer ROVER-DL1. The maximum radiation is illustrated in Figure 129, which reveals the field strength of 93.3 MHz is 33.7 dBuV versus 33.5 dBuV obtained for the whip telescopic antenna. As a result, the gain of the four-element array in Band II is very satisfactory. The next highly important test performed as a part of this work aimed to determine the null, created by the arranged phase shift by rotating the array by $180^{\circ}$ in the opposite direction of the transmitting point. As a result of this adjustment, the field strength of the strongest radio service (which is 33.7 dBuV ) declined to 9.9 dBuV , as illustrated in Figure 130. It is important to note that the field strength of the other two adjacent channels (91.6 MHz and 93.7 MHz , illustrated in the spectrum analyzer in Figure 129), broadcasting from the same transmitting point, was also reduced significantly. As a result, it can be asserted that the four-element circular array has enabled a reduction in gain of around 24 dB in the opposite direction of the transmitting point, which complies with the radiation patterns discussed in the previous section.


Figure 129: Monitoring "on air" radio services using the circular array
According to the graph shown in Figure 130, field strength of the remaining two radio services has been reduced by 20 dB .


Figure 130: The spectrum analyzer readings of the null
Based on the findings noted above, it can be stated with certainty that the antenna is capable of rejecting signals arriving from undesired directions and operates as intended. The antenna was further tested at the upper frequencies of Band II and similar results were obtained. The next section discusses the interface of the smart antenna with a typical commercial receiver, which automatically steers the main beam towards the desired direction.

### 4.16. Interface between the Array and a Dedicated Commercial Receiver

In order to meet the study objectives, the circular array designed, implemented and tested as a part of this project must be interfaced with a commercial receiver. However, no commercial receiver that can be readily connected to the smart antenna is presently available. As a result, a dedicated commercial receiver must be constructed in order to provide the appropriate infrastructure. More specifically, the design must incorporate a
control system capable of steering the array electronically in the direction of maximum density.


Figure 131: Receiver circuit diagram

This design goal can be achieved by utilizing the field strength voltage of the tuned signal detected by the receiver's demodulator circuitry illustrated in Figure 131. The output voltage can thus be interfaced with the control circuit, which is discussed later. In this case, the receiver was constructed around the TDA-1576, which is a typical FM demodulator that provides field strength voltage output at Pin 13. The schematic of the receiverdemodulator assembly is also illustrated in Figure 131, and the receiver circuit board is shown in Figure 132.


Figure 132: The receiver circuit board

The Mini Circuits MAR-8+ serves as a front-end amplifier that amplifies the signal of the circular array. It was selected because of its low cost, simplicty of its design, and a typical gain of 30 dB at 0.1 GHz . Thus, it improves the sensitivity of the receiver.

### 4.16.1. The Phase Locked Loop (PLL)

The tuned frequency of the receiver is determined by the Mini Curcuits POS-150+ that operates as a Voltage Controlled Oscillator (VCO) when mixed with the array's signal. The output of the mixer is filtered by two 10.7 MHz ceramic filters and is amplified via a common emitter transistor amplifier using the 2 N 4427 in order to be connected at the demodulator's input. It provides a corner frequency of 150 KHz , in line with the FM broadcasting specifications. In order to avoid frequency drift caused by the free run oscillator, POS-150+, the frequency of the VCO was programmed by a typical single modulus pre-scaler phase-locked loop circutry as illustrated in Figure 133.


Figure 133: Circuit diagram of the phase-locked loop circuitry


Figure 134: The PLL board

The PLL (Figure 134) was constructed by utilizing a few simple CMOS integrated circuits such as the CD 4046, which is a phase-locked loop circuit consisting of a linear VCO and two different phase comparators-the CD 4059 (a programmable devide by N counter) and the CD 4060 (an a14 stage ripple carry binary counter). In order to program the PLL with 100 KHz steps required in FM broadcasting, the reference frequency of the PLL was set at 390.625 Hz . This was achieved by dividing a 6.4 MHz crystal oscillator output by $2^{14}$ using the CD 4060 as a divider. The reference frequency was subsequently connected to the digital phase detector input of the CD 4046, at Pin 14. The second input of the phase detector is Pin 3 and was connected to the output of the CD 4059 in order to enable programming the receiver at the desired frequency by the use of rotary switches. In addition, the input of the CD 4059 was connected to a pre-scaler that divides the VCO frequency by 256 . When the VCO frequency is equal to the reference frequency at the two inputs of the phase detector of the CD 4046 (i.e. both are 390.625 Hz ), the system locks. When the system locks, Pin 1 becomes high and turns on a comparator, which has been constructed with the op-amp LM-741. The output of the comparator is important, as it is connected to the control circutry descirbed in the next page. The error voltage that tunes the varactors of the POS-150+ that operates as a VCO is the output of the phase detector at Pin 13. The error voltage is filtered by an RC low-pass filter with corner frequency of 0.03 Hz . The resulting graph is illustrated in Figure 135.


Figure 135: The PLL low-pass filter response

### 4.16.2. The Liquid Crystal Display (LCD) Monitor Circuit

In order to ensure that the smart antenna is steered in the desired direction, the receiver must display the tuned frequency, the field strength in dBuV , and the deviation in KHz using a four-character LCD. In this work, this was achieved by utilizing the monitor circuitry (illustrated in Figure 136) which drives a $16 \times 4$ character LCD. The circuit was designed around the Freescale 8-Bit HCS08 Central Processor Unit (CPU) M9SO8QG8. The circuit also employs a 16 MHz clock Colipitts oscillator and a CD 4060, which operates as a frequency divider. In order to display the tuned frequency, the signal from the PLL is amplified by a common emitter amplifier in order to be divided by 1024 by the CD 4060.


Figure 136: The monitor LCD circuit diagram

### 4.16.3. The Control Circuit

One of the most important parts in the receiver design is the control circuit because it is responsible for steering the array towards the direction of the maximum signal density based on the field strength of the tuned radio service, as illustrated in Figure 128. In order to ensure the proper phase shift in the array's individual helix, the Mini Circuits phase shifters JSPHS-150+ have been selected for this study, as they operate in Band II, as illustrated in Figure 137.
Narrow Band
Phase Shifter
$50 \Omega \quad 180^{\circ}$ Voltage Variable 100 to 150 MHz

## Maximum Ratings

Operating Temperature $\quad-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Storage Temperature $\quad-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ RF Input Power $\quad 20 \mathrm{dBm}$ max. Control Voltage Permanent damage may occur if any of these ints are exceeded.
Pin Connections
 Aproper operation is achieved with pins 1 or 7 or both
connected to $B$ IAS.

Outline Drawing


Features

- low insertion loss, 1.0 dB typ.
- solder-plated J-leads for excellent solderability
and strain relief
Applications
- aircraft communication
- delay for feed-forward amplifier

Phase Shifter Electrical Specifications

| FREQUENCY$(\mathrm{MHz})$ | PHASE RANGE (Degrees) Min. | INSERTION LOSS (dB) |  | CONTROL VOLTAGE (V) | CONTROL BANDWIDTH (kHz) Typ. | vSWR <br> (:1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Typ. | Max. |  |  | Typ. | Max. |
| 100-150 | 180 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 0-12 | DC-30 | 1.2 | 1.7 |

Figure 137: The JSPHS-150+ data sheet
Because the phase shifter JSPHS-150+ is a voltage controlled unit, the appropriate phase at every individual helix is arranged according to the voltages, as illustrated in Table 18 below.

Typical Performance Data

| Control Voltage (V) | Phase Shift* (Degrees) |  |  | VSWR (:1) |  |  | Insertion Loss (dB) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \mathrm{MHz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & \mathrm{MHz} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & \mathrm{MHz} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \mathrm{MHz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 125 \\ \mathrm{MHz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & \mathrm{MHz} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \mathrm{MHz} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & \mathrm{MHz} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & \mathrm{MHz} \end{aligned}$ |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.47 | 1.23 | 1.19 | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.83 |
| 2.00 | 17.45 | 9.44 | 5.29 | 1.43 | 1.22 | 1.19 | 1.06 | 0.89 | 0.86 |
| 3.00 | 30.77 | 16.64 | 9.21 | 1.39 | 1.21 | 1.19 | 1.09 | 0.92 | 0.88 |
| 5.00 | 79.43 | 44.98 | 24.15 | 1.29 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.17 | 1.07 | 0.97 |
| 7.00 | 164.59 | 129.35 | 76.74 | 1.27 | 1.25 | 1.20 | 0.92 | 1.26 | 1.31 |
| 9.00 | 210.43 | 209.45 | 181.98 | 1.28 | 1.08 | 1.31 | 0.72 | 0.81 | 1.22 |
| 11.00 | 223.27 | 232.18 | 225.01 | 1.31 | 1.03 | 1.25 | 0.69 | 0.70 | 0.93 |
| 12.00 | 226.44 | 237.51 | 234.67 | 1.32 | 1.02 | 1.22 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.87 |

* Normalized at control voltage $=0 \mathrm{~V}$

Table 18: The JSPHS-150+ performance data

The phase arrangement is classified into the four major modes illustrated in tables 13,14 , 15,16 . Every mode steers the beam towards a certain direction at one point of the horizon, such as east, west, north, or south. Since the HPBW of the array is $90^{\circ}$, it was not deemed important to steer the array's main beam at intermediate angles, as the field strength would exhibit very little change. In order to control the beam, PICAXE28A microprocessor with 28 pins was employed.


Figure 138: Control system under test

The PICAXE28A microprocessor was selected because it employs four analogue inputs at Pin $2,3,4$, and 5 . This enables a comparison among four inputs to determine the strongest signal, and arrange the proper phase shift in order to steer the array towards the direction of maximum intensity by repeating this procedure at 5 s intervals.


Figure 139: The control system circuit diagram

## PLL SVNTTHESIZED FM RECE



Figure 140: The four-character LCD
Particularly, the field strength voltage of the demodulator's TDA 1576 (Pin 13) is connected in parallel to the common port of the integrated circuit TS5A3157, comprising of solid-state switches that are connected to every individual helix. The control voltage that arranges the phase shift is controlled by the PICAXE28A outputs at Pin 21, 22, 23, and 24. The task of the PICAXE28A is to switch on every input


Figure 141: The complete receiver with the circular array
of the TS5A3157 by scanning across $360^{\circ}$ every 5 seconds. By comparing the field strength at the four inputs, the output of the PICAXE28A remains "on" only at the ports of the maximum signal in order to supply the required phase shift voltage to the JSPHS-150+. This is achieved by activating a transistor switch, since the output of the PICAXE28A is 5 V and, according to table 13 the JSPHS-150+ requires up to 12 V .

The laboratory that served as the research facility is shown in Figure 142, with the main testing equipment shown in Figure 143. A complete list of the equipment used is given in the next page.


Figure 142: The laboratory in which the research was conducted


Figure 143: The key testing equipment employed in the present study
4.17. Key Equipment Used for the Research

## Spectrum Analyzers:

| Rover DL1-DIGILINE |
| :--- |
| ADVANTEST U 3751 |
| TTi-TSA 1000 |
| TTi-PSA 1301T |
| VSWR Analyzers |
| MFJ-259B HF/VHF Analyzer |
| Bird |
| Function Generators |
| Rover TS1-CNG |
| LODESTAR FG-2102 AD |

## Frequency Counters

Radioshack

TTi-PFM 1300

Multimeters

PHILIPS PM 2521

UNIGOR A4

## Chapter 5: Conclusion and Future Work

The main goal of this work was to mitigate the radio interference along the southern coast of Cyprus caused by the Middle Eastern radio services during the hot dry summer months. According to the research findings, interference is caused by three major duct types-the surface, the surface-based, and the elevated duct. When the air aloft is very warm compared to the temperature at the Earth's surface or the sea, the surface-based ducts occur. For example, they can arise due to the hot air masses that pass over the cool water surface of the Mediterranean Sea. On the other hand, elevated ducts occur when the meteorological conditions are favourable for such phenomena to manifest aloft above the Earth's surface. In order to be able to analyze the overseas signals based on the ITU Recommendations, the upper air data required for the present study (Figure 30) was obtained using WRF-ARW Version 3.4 at a fixed time simultaneously with the measurement of the field strength intensity of the radio signals under consideration. The prediction error of this arrangement is small. Moreover, it is outweighed by the fact that radiosonde is inherently inaccurate as a data collection instrument, as it does not remain at a fixed point, but rather moves depending on the wind speed. In this regard, the results reported here confirm that the aforementioned program can be employed to accurately predict intensity of any interference signal in VHF-UHF Bands for the period of up to five days in advance. Thus, the findings reported in this study can be utilized for future planning of new radio services and schemes beyond FM radio technology, such as DAB+, Digital Radio Mondiale (DRM+) and Digital Video Broadcasting Terrestrial (DVB-T). As this matter is very important for future research, it has been discussed with the Department of Meteorology and was ascertained that summer weather conditions have been observed during autumn, winter and spring. However, as historical data is not retained for periods exceeding three months (except upon request), analyses pertaining to other seasons were not possible in this work. In this respect, the current research can be expanded by performing measurements throughout the year for a period of five years in order to obtain a comprehensive longitudinal data set that can be subjected to statistical analysis, with the aim of determining the probability of enhanced VHF signals arriving to Cyprus as a function of season, month, and time of day. The aforementioned project can be executed in collaboration with the "Department of Electronic Communications" and the "Department of Meteorology" of the Cyprus Government, whereby the author of this thesis would act as a research coordinator. In addition, the implementation of the smart antenna based on
circular array topology was confirmed as successful project, even though its performance was tested in FM Band II, characterized by a large wavelength. One of the major problems that had to be overcome as a part of the present study arose due to the need to construct a very compact and efficient antenna with a broadband response. As this has been successfully achieved, the study has provided a valuable contribution to the field, stemming primarily from the optimization of the NMHA that, despite its small dimensions, exhibits a very satisfactory performance in Band II. This methodology for optimizing the NMHA performance was beneficial, as it enables the application of this research in High Frequency HF band ( $3-30 \mathrm{MHz}$ ), where short antennas are a vital aspect of research design, as well as in Ultra High Frequency UHF band (300-3000 MHz), where short antennas are requisite for commercial applications. Particularly, applying the circular array as it has been implemented in this study in Band III ( 174 to 240 MHz ) for Digital Audio Broadcasting ( $\mathrm{DAB}+$ ) will enhance the SNR of digital receivers during motion. More specifically, the $\mathrm{DAB}+$ receiver requires field strength of 55 dBuV in order to provide a good reception without interruptions during motion. Thus, the DAB+ terrestrial radio technology requires many gap fillers within the service area in order to achieve robust reception. Because the circular array steers in the direction of the maximum signal, a fruitful goal for future research in this field would be to determine a new DAB+ radio plan with minimum gap filler requirements due to the enhancement of the receiver's SNR (provided that it employs a circular array smart antenna).

Circular arrays can also be a valuable addition to the future digital video broadcasting terrestrial (DVB-T) technology that broadcasts television in UHF Band between the channels 21 and 69 (i.e., in the 512-800 MHz frequency range). One of the drawbacks of the terrestrial television relative to the radio is that it cannot be viewed in motion, thus limiting its usage to stationary reception only. In order to ensure good visual signal reception, the TV sets are usually utilizing an external Yagi directional antenna that steers mechanically at the transmitting point. Because the UHF band has a small wavelength, for future research, the aim will be to design and construct a circular array smart antenna of very small dimensions that will employ a greater number of individual elements than presently incorporated into the commercial band FM, due to the physical constraints associated with the VHF band. A greater number of elements ensures higher "Gain" and fine scanning across the entire $360^{\circ}$ range. This design would ensure robust TV reception, as the circular array smart antenna will be steering at multiple TV transmitting points automatically during motion. As an alternative, the circular array can be incorporated into the future TV sets, thus eliminating the need for an external TV antenna.

In closing, it should be noted that one of the limitations of the present study was lack of testing equipment, particularly a UHF vector/network analyzer, which would have allowed expanding the research by experimenting with the NMHA performance and the circular array at higher frequencies. Another important issue that should be addressed pertains to the design of the control circuit that steers the array automatically in the direction of the maximum signal density. This task involves two distinct engineering sectors, radio and Digital Signal Processing (DSP). Although the control system was tested in this work and was shown to exhibit satisfactory performance, in future applications, it can be optimized by using digital signal processing in order to enhance its performance. As a result, for future expansion of the work presented in this thesis, the antenna array algorithms developed as a part of this study can be provided to a team of DSP (Digital Signal Processing) engineers who can enhance the control system utilizing DSP Technology.
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## APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1：Government Measurements of Lebanon 92．1 MHz from 1／9／14 to 14／9／14

## ГTAӨMO乏 METPH乏H乏 APA


乞YXNOTHTA 92．1 MHz AПО＾IBANO

$\beta \rho \alpha ́ \delta u$.
Пعрі́оסоৎ Мєтрท́бєшv 1／9／2014－14／9／2014

| DATE－TIME | FREQUENCY （Hz） | $\begin{gathered} \text { LEVEL } \\ (\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409011500 | 92099945 | 94.1 |
| 1409011501 | 92100128 | 94.2 |
| 1409011502 | 92100750 | 94.3 |
| 1409011502 | 92100555 | 96.1 |
| 1409011504 | 92100120 | 93.8 |
| 1409011504 | 92100405 | 93.6 |
| 1409011504 | 92100171 | 93.5 |
| 1409011504 | 92100020 | 93.4 |
| 1409011505 | 92099998 | 93.5 |
| 1409011506 | 92100033 | 92.8 |
| 1409011506 | 92100107 | 92.8 |
| 1409011506 | 92099942 | 92.8 |
| 1409011506 | 92099893 | 93.7 |
| 1409011507 | 92100094 | 92.6 |
| 1409011507 | 92100181 | 93.5 |
| 1409011508 | 92100046 | 91.4 |
| 1409011508 | 92099989 | 92.5 |
| 1409011509 | 92099840 | 92.1 |
| 1409011511 | 92100022 | 92.2 |
| 1409011511 | 92100078 | 92 |
| 1409011511 | 92099928 | 92.1 |
| 1409011511 | 92099760 | 92.1 |
| 1409011512 | 92099918 | 92.3 |
| 1409011512 | 92099977 | 93.4 |
| 1409011513 | 92100194 | 93.5 |
| 1409011514 | 92100193 | 92.7 |
| 1409011515 | 92099332 | 93.2 |
| 1409011516 | 92100000 | 92.6 |
| 1409011517 | 92100225 | 94.5 |
| 1409011518 | 92099913 | 93.8 |
| 1409011518 | 92100009 | 93.8 |
| 1409011518 | 92099999 | 93.9 |
| 1409011519 | 92099919 | 93.9 |
| 1409011520 | 92100050 | 94 |
| 1409011520 | 92099198 | 93.7 |


| 1409011520 | 92100090 | 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409011521 | 92099804 | 94.3 |
| 1409011523 | 92099965 | 94.7 |
| 1409011524 | 92100470 | 94.7 |
| 1409011525 | 92099959 | 95.2 |
| 1409011526 | 92100547 | 93.6 |
| 1409011527 | 92100251 | 93 |
| 1409011527 | 92100393 | 92.7 |
| 1409011529 | 92099983 | 92.7 |
| 1409011530 | 92100101 | 92.2 |
| 1409011530 | 92100039 | 92.7 |
| 1409011530 | 92100208 | 92.4 |
| 1409011531 | 92100125 | 92.3 |
| 1409011531 | 92100942 | 93.4 |
| 1409011532 | 92100589 | 93.3 |
| 1409011532 | 92099946 | 93 |
| 1409011533 | 92099959 | 92.9 |
| 1409011535 | 92100227 | 91 |
| 1409011535 | 92099921 | 92.5 |
| 1409011536 | 92100052 | 93.1 |
| 1409011536 | 92101064 | 93.2 |
| 1409011537 | 92100419 | 93 |
| 1409011538 | 92099707 | 93.1 |
| 1409011538 | 92099446 | 94.1 |
| 1409011538 | 92098908 | 93.3 |
| 1409011539 | 92099961 | 94.1 |
| 1409011540 | 92100296 | 92.7 |
| 1409011542 | 92099964 | 95.3 |
| 1409011542 | 92100400 | 95.5 |
| 1409011542 | 92099958 | 94.6 |
| 1409011543 | 92100070 | 94.2 |
| 1409011543 | 92099988 | 94.9 |
| 1409011544 | 92099981 | 95 |
| 1409011544 | 92100095 | 94.1 |
| 1409011544 | 92098690 | 95.1 |
| 1409011544 | 92099887 | 96.1 |
| 1409011545 | 92099989 | 95.3 |
| 1409011546 | 92099820 | 96 |
| 1409011546 | 92099979 | 95.1 |
| 1409011546 | 92099768 | 94.8 |
| 1409011546 | 92100033 | 95.2 |
| 1409011547 | 92100424 | 95 |
| 1409011547 | 92100001 | 93.9 |
| 1409011548 | 92098937 | 95.2 |
| 1409011548 | 92099951 | 96.1 |
| 1409011549 | 92100020 | 94 |
| 1409011550 | 92100161 | 94.8 |
| 1409011551 | 92100167 | 94.7 |


| 1409011551 | 92100275 | 95.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409011551 | 92100163 | 94.7 |
| 1409011552 | 92100192 | 94.5 |
| 1409011553 | 92100130 | 95.5 |
| 1409011554 | 92100073 | 94.5 |
| 1409011555 | 92100334 | 96.6 |
| 1409011556 | 92100073 | 93.4 |
| 1409011557 | 92099318 | 95.4 |
| 1409011558 | 92097778 | 95.4 |
| 1409011558 | 92099003 | 94.6 |
| 1409011559 | 92098346 | 95.3 |
| 1409011600 | 92099695 | 94.3 |
| 1409011601 | 92100057 | 94.3 |
| 1409011602 | 92099952 | 94.7 |
| 1409011602 | 92099834 | 93.6 |
| 1409011603 | 92100015 | 96.9 |
| 1409011605 | 92100097 | 95.5 |
| 1409011606 | 92100020 | 95.5 |
| 1409011606 | 92100200 | 93.5 |
| 1409011606 | 92100364 | 94.4 |
| 1409011608 | 92100195 | 95.5 |
| 1409011608 | 92100282 | 95.5 |
| 1409011608 | 92100045 | 95.9 |
| 1409011608 | 92099990 | 94.9 |
| 1409011608 | 92100154 | 94.9 |
| 1409011609 | 92099396 | 95.8 |
| 1409011609 | 92099582 | 95.6 |
| 1409011609 | 92099601 | 95.7 |
| 1409011609 | 92099796 | 95.6 |
| 1409011610 | 92099968 | 95.7 |
| 1409011611 | 92099842 | 93.7 |
| 1409011611 | 92099969 | 95.6 |
| 1409011611 | 92099593 | 94.6 |
| 1409011612 | 92099902 | 94.5 |
| 1409011613 | 92100094 | 94.4 |
| 1409011613 | 92099999 | 94.4 |
| 1409011614 | 92100105 | 94.4 |
| 1409011614 | 92100133 | 94.2 |
| 1409011615 | 92100267 | 94.4 |
| 1409011615 | 92100082 | 95.4 |
| 1409011615 | 92100020 | 94.4 |
| 1409011615 | 92099987 | 93.7 |
| 1409011616 | 92100411 | 95.6 |
| 1409011617 | 92099829 | 93.5 |
| 1409011617 | 92100414 | 94.6 |
| 1409011617 | 92099938 | 94.5 |
| 1409011617 | 92099945 | 95.5 |
| 1409011618 | 92099304 | 95.5 |


| 1409011618 | 92100775 | 94.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409011618 | 92100057 | 93.5 |
| 1409011618 | 92100681 | 95.6 |
| 1409011619 | 92100000 | 95.6 |
| 1409011619 | 92099886 | 94.4 |
| 1409011619 | 92099974 | 93.5 |
| 1409011619 | 92099801 | 95.5 |
| 1409011619 | 92100088 | 93.5 |
| 1409011620 | 92100144 | 94.7 |
| 1409011620 | 92100425 | 94.6 |
| 1409011620 | 92099497 | 93.4 |
| 1409011620 | 92100344 | 95.4 |
| 1409011621 | 92100268 | 94.4 |
| 1409011621 | 92099697 | 94.4 |
| 1409011621 | 92100018 | 94.3 |
| 1409011622 | 92099871 | 95.2 |
| 1409011622 | 92099953 | 93.9 |
| 1409011623 | 92099956 | 95.2 |
| 1409011623 | 92100066 | 96.5 |
| 1409011623 | 92099856 | 93 |
| 1409011624 | 92099952 | 93.3 |
| 1409011625 | 92099495 | 93.1 |
| 1409011627 | 92100009 | 94.1 |
| 1409011627 | 92100349 | 95.2 |
| 1409011627 | 92100645 | 94.1 |
| 1409011628 | 92100169 | 95.3 |
| 1409011629 | 92100525 | 95.2 |
| 1409011631 | 92099822 | 93.1 |
| 1409011632 | 92099889 | 95.2 |
| 1409011632 | 92099966 | 95.5 |
| 1409011632 | 92101383 | 94.5 |
| 1409011632 | 92099167 | 94.4 |
| 1409011634 | 92099927 | 95.3 |
| 1409011634 | 92099952 | 94.5 |
| 1409011634 | 92099618 | 95.4 |
| 1409011634 | 92099105 | 95.4 |
| 1409011635 | 92100136 | 95.4 |
| 1409011635 | 92099973 | 95.6 |
| 1409011635 | 92100087 | 95.6 |
| 1409011635 | 92100255 | 93.4 |
| 1409011636 | 92099994 | 94.6 |
| 1409011637 | 92100087 | 93.4 |
| 1409011637 | 92100253 | 94.4 |
| 1409011637 | 92100086 | 95.5 |
| 1409011638 | 92099868 | 95.6 |
| 1409011639 | 92100005 | 95.7 |
| 1409011640 | 92099918 | 95.7 |
| 1409011640 | 92100086 | 94.4 |


| 1409011640 | 92099970 | 94.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409011640 | 92100046 | 94.4 |
| 1409011641 | 92099974 | 94.4 |
| 1409011641 | 92100149 | 94.4 |
| 1409011641 | 92100020 | 93.4 |
| 1409011641 | 92099429 | 94.7 |
| 1409011642 | 92099702 | 94.6 |
| 1409011642 | 92099649 | 94.5 |
| 1409011643 | 92100225 | 94.5 |
| 1409011643 | 92100067 | 95.5 |
| 1409011644 | 92100085 | 94.4 |
| 1409011645 | 92100147 | 94.2 |
| 1409011646 | 92099905 | 95.4 |
| 1409011646 | 92100085 | 94.7 |
| 1409011647 | 92099983 | 94.5 |
| 1409011648 | 92099906 | 94.5 |
| 1409011649 | 92099918 | 94.5 |
| 1409011651 | 92099596 | 93.2 |
| 1409011652 | 92100087 | 95.4 |
| 1409011653 | 92100474 | 95.4 |
| 1409011653 | 92100036 | 95.5 |
| 1409011653 | 92100097 | 95.5 |
| 1409011654 | 92099920 | 95.5 |
| 1409011655 | 92099975 | 94.9 |
| 1409011655 | 92099978 | 93.8 |
| 1409011655 | 92100019 | 93.8 |
| 1409011656 | 92100069 | 95.8 |
| 1409011656 | 92099982 | 94.9 |
| 1409011656 | 92099996 | 95.8 |
| 1409011656 | 92100020 | 94.9 |
| 1409011656 | 92099452 | 95.5 |
| 1409011657 | 92099824 | 95.5 |
| 1409011657 | 92100283 | 94.8 |
| 1409011657 | 92099797 | 95.7 |
| 1409011658 | 92100184 | 94.5 |
| 1409011700 | 92099909 | 95.6 |
| 1409011700 | 92099843 | 94.5 |
| 1409011700 | 92100120 | 95.7 |
| 1409011700 | 92099789 | 95.6 |
| 1409011701 | 92100508 | 94.7 |
| 1409011702 | 92100046 | 94.5 |
| 1409011703 | 92099975 | 94.5 |
| 1409011704 | 92100106 | 94.7 |
| 1409011704 | 92100385 | 93.5 |
| 1409011704 | 92100737 | 94.4 |
| 1409011705 | 92101174 | 95.4 |
| 1409011705 | 92099989 | 94.6 |
| 1409011706 | 92100772 | 94.6 |


| 1409011706 | 92100638 | 94.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409011707 | 92100081 | 94.7 |
| 1409011707 | 92100003 | 95.4 |
| 1409011707 | 92099926 | 95.5 |
| 1409011707 | 92099461 | 94.7 |
| 1409011707 | 92100582 | 94.6 |
| 1409011709 | 92099625 | 95.5 |
| 1409011710 | 92100328 | 95.4 |
| 1409011710 | 92100115 | 94.5 |
| 1409011710 | 92099742 | 94.6 |
| 1409011711 | 92099766 | 95.4 |
| 1409011712 | 92100437 | 93.4 |
| 1409011712 | 92099954 | 94.7 |
| 1409011712 | 92099801 | 95.4 |
| 1409011712 | 92100184 | 95.4 |
| 1409011713 | 92099878 | 94.6 |
| 1409011714 | 92100008 | 94.4 |
| 1409011714 | 92100129 | 94.5 |
| 1409011714 | 92099793 | 95.4 |
| 1409011714 | 92099991 | 96.5 |
| 1409011715 | 92100039 | 95.4 |
| 1409011715 | 92100069 | 94.5 |
| 1409011715 | 92100148 | 95.4 |
| 1409011715 | 92100085 | 94.5 |
| 1409011717 | 92100142 | 94.9 |
| 1409011717 | 92099842 | 94.4 |
| 1409011717 | 92100486 | 93.3 |
| 1409011718 | 92099558 | 95.4 |
| 1409011719 | 92100161 | 95.4 |
| 1409011720 | 92100019 | 94.6 |
| 1409011720 | 92100191 | 95.3 |
| 1409011720 | 92099828 | 95.3 |
| 1409011720 | 92100092 | 94.8 |
| 1409011721 | 92100910 | 94.6 |
| 1409011721 | 92100015 | 94.5 |
| 1409011721 | 92100399 | 95.4 |
| 1409011721 | 92100895 | 94.4 |
| 1409011721 | 92100858 | 94.4 |
| 1409011723 | 92100186 | 95.5 |
| 1409011723 | 92100374 | 95.3 |
| 1409011723 | 92100434 | 94.4 |
| 1409011723 | 92100169 | 94.5 |
| 1409011725 | 92099946 | 95.6 |
| 1409011725 | 92100173 | 94.6 |
| 1409011725 | 92099846 | 95.7 |
| 1409011726 | 92099737 | 95.5 |
| 1409011726 | 92099967 | 94.6 |
| 1409011727 | 92099992 | 95.8 |


| 1409011727 | 92100008 | 95.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409011727 | 92100070 | 94.5 |
| 1409011727 | 92100312 | 95.4 |
| 1409011728 | 92099826 | 94.7 |
| 1409011729 | 92100122 | 94.3 |
| 1409011729 | 92100134 | 95.3 |
| 1409011729 | 92100099 | 94.3 |
| 1409011729 | 92100278 | 95.4 |
| 1409011730 | 92100159 | 95.3 |
| 1409011730 | 92100284 | 94.3 |
| 1409011730 | 92100245 | 94.3 |
| 1409011730 | 92100434 | 94.4 |
| 1409011731 | 92100208 | 95.3 |
| 1409011732 | 92099477 | 94.6 |
| 1409011732 | 92099157 | 94.7 |
| 1409011732 | 92099242 | 94.6 |
| 1409011732 | 92100042 | 95.7 |
| 1409011734 | 92099911 | 94.6 |
| 1409011734 | 92100254 | 94.5 |
| 1409011734 | 92099911 | 96.6 |
| 1409011734 | 92100062 | 95.5 |
| 1409011735 | 92099609 | 94.2 |
| 1409011735 | 92099791 | 95.3 |
| 1409011736 | 92099782 | 95.4 |
| 1409011737 | 92099962 | 94.9 |
| 1409011737 | 92100001 | 94.6 |
| 1409011738 | 92099731 | 94.5 |
| 1409011738 | 92100046 | 95.5 |
| 1409011739 | 92099897 | 95.5 |
| 1409011740 | 92099829 | 93.4 |
| 1409011741 | 92099527 | 95.5 |
| 1409011743 | 92099959 | 94.9 |
| 1409011743 | 92100014 | 94.8 |
| 1409011743 | 92099242 | 95.6 |
| 1409011744 | 92099972 | 95.8 |
| 1409011745 | 92099964 | 94.7 |
| 1409011746 | 92100007 | 94.9 |
| 1409011746 | 92099971 | 94.9 |
| 1409011746 | 92100001 | 94.9 |
| 1409011747 | 92100002 | 94.9 |
| 1409011748 | 92100009 | 94.7 |
| 1409011749 | 92100071 | 95.6 |
| 1409011749 | 92100178 | 94.6 |
| 1409011750 | 92099966 | 95.6 |
| 1409011751 | 92099959 | 94.8 |
| 1409011752 | 92099992 | 94.9 |
| 1409011753 | 92099995 | 94.8 |
| 1409011755 | 92100030 | 94.9 |


| 1409011755 | 92099977 | 94.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409011755 | 92099975 | 94.7 |
| 1409011755 | 92100003 | 94.9 |
| 1409011757 | 92100500 | 94.6 |
| 1409011757 | 92100021 | 95.6 |
| 1409011757 | 92099538 | 95.6 |
| 1409011758 | 92099565 | 94.5 |
| 1409011759 | 92099625 | 95.6 |


| 1409012100 | 92100036 | 95.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409012101 | 92100316 | 96 |
| 1409012101 | 92099991 | 96.1 |
| 1409012102 | 92099997 | 94.9 |
| 1409012103 | 92099895 | 94.8 |
| 1409012103 | 92100124 | 94.8 |
| 1409012104 | 92100277 | 95.9 |
| 1409012104 | 92099846 | 96 |
| 1409012105 | 92100116 | 95.9 |
| 1409012107 | 92100010 | 95.9 |
| 1409012108 | 92099861 | 94.9 |
| 1409012109 | 92099843 | 96.3 |
| 1409012110 | 92100205 | 96.3 |
| 1409012110 | 92100205 | 96.1 |
| 1409012111 | 92099996 | 96.2 |
| 1409012111 | 92099901 | 97.1 |
| 1409012111 | 92099996 | 95.1 |
| 1409012111 | 92100064 | 94.9 |
| 1409012112 | 92099926 | 96.3 |
| 1409012113 | 92100098 | 95.3 |
| 1409012114 | 92099952 | 96.4 |
| 1409012114 | 92100094 | 95.2 |
| 1409012115 | 92099647 | 96.4 |
| 1409012116 | 92099878 | 96.2 |
| 1409012117 | 92099841 | 96.3 |
| 1409012117 | 92099985 | 96.3 |
| 1409012117 | 92100084 | 96.3 |
| 1409012117 | 92100080 | 95.4 |
| 1409012118 | 92100107 | 96.4 |
| 1409012118 | 92100049 | 95.2 |
| 1409012118 | 92100023 | 95.2 |
| 1409012118 | 92099763 | 96.5 |
| 1409012119 | 92099818 | 95.4 |
| 1409012119 | 92099766 | 95.3 |
| 1409012119 | 92099705 | 95.4 |
| 1409012119 | 92099983 | 96.5 |
| 1409012120 | 92099991 | 95.7 |
| 1409012120 | 92100043 | 95.2 |
| 1409012120 | 92100166 | 95.5 |


| 1409012120 | 92100213 | 95.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409012121 | 92100091 | 96.5 |
| 1409012122 | 92100277 | 96.3 |
| 1409012123 | 92100568 | 95.2 |
| 1409012124 | 92101147 | 95.3 |
| 1409012124 | 92100089 | 96.6 |
| 1409012124 | 92100100 | 96.7 |
| 1409012125 | 92101424 | 97.5 |
| 1409012125 | 92100931 | 96.5 |
| 1409012126 | 92099932 | 96.8 |
| 1409012127 | 92099927 | 95.7 |
| 1409012128 | 92101113 | 96.7 |
| 1409012129 | 92101238 | 96.7 |
| 1409012129 | 92101127 | 96.7 |
| 1409012129 | 92100615 | 95.6 |
| 1409012130 | 92100536 | 96.6 |
| 1409012131 | 92100711 | 95.4 |
| 1409012131 | 92100610 | 95.3 |
| 1409012131 | 92100141 | 97.7 |
| 1409012132 | 92099970 | 96.4 |
| 1409012132 | 92099861 | 95.3 |
| 1409012134 | 92100397 | 96.5 |
| 1409012134 | 92100396 | 95.6 |
| 1409012134 | 92100052 | 97.7 |
| 1409012135 | 92099333 | 95.5 |
| 1409012136 | 92100262 | 95.7 |
| 1409012138 | 92099634 | 96.7 |
| 1409012138 | 92099765 | 96.7 |
| 1409012138 | 92099814 | 97 |
| 1409012138 | 92100306 | 95.8 |


| 1409020300 | 92100202 | 95.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409020301 | 92100079 | 95 |
| 1409020303 | 92099997 | 94.2 |
| 1409020304 | 92099987 | 95.3 |
| 1409020305 | 92100086 | 94.2 |
| 1409020306 | 92099893 | 95.5 |
| 1409020307 | 92100032 | 93.4 |
| 1409020308 | 92100031 | 96.5 |
| 1409020310 | 92100027 | 94.5 |
| 1409020311 | 92100201 | 94.5 |
| 1409020312 | 92100298 | 94.4 |
| 1409020313 | 92100005 | 94.2 |
| 1409020315 | 92100076 | 94.3 |
| 1409020316 | 92100187 | 94 |
| 1409020317 | 92100125 | 95.3 |
| 1409020318 | 92100417 | 95 |
| 1409020320 | 92100039 | 93.8 |


| 1409020321 | 92099995 | 95.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409020322 | 92100333 | 93.2 |
| 1409020323 | 92100517 | 94 |
| 1409020324 | 92100101 | 95.2 |
| 1409020326 | 92100080 | 95.1 |
| 1409020327 | 92099700 | 95.3 |
| 1409020328 | 92100133 | 95.1 |
| 1409020329 | 92099968 | 93.2 |
| 1409020331 | 92100195 | 95.3 |
| 1409020332 | 92099681 | 95.3 |
| 1409020333 | 92100045 | 95.2 |
| 1409020335 | 92099902 | 94.1 |
| 1409020336 | 92100070 | 94.1 |
| 1409020337 | 92100041 | 94.9 |
| 1409020338 | 92099930 | 94.8 |
| 1409020340 | 92100291 | 94.5 |
| 1409020341 | 92100062 | 94.6 |
| 1409020342 | 92100069 | 93.8 |
| 1409020343 | 92099982 | 93.8 |
| 1409020344 | 92099380 | 93.8 |
| 1409020345 | 92099813 | 95 |
| 1409020347 | 92099339 | 94.9 |
| 1409020348 | 92100005 | 94 |
| 1409020349 | 92101061 | 95 |
| 1409020351 | 92099996 | 95 |
| 1409020352 | 92100173 | 95.3 |
| 1409020353 | 92100289 | 95 |
| 1409020354 | 92100122 | 94 |
| 1409020355 | 92100979 | 93.1 |
| 1409020356 | 92100635 | 93.2 |
| 1409020357 | 92099907 | 95.1 |
| 1409020359 | 92099961 | 95.3 |
| 1409020400 | 92100048 | 94.3 |
| 1409020401 | 92099945 | 95.2 |
| 1409020402 | 92100552 | 93.9 |
| 1409020404 | 92100151 | 94.9 |
| 1409020405 | 92100092 | 94 |
| 1409020406 | 92099922 | 94.9 |
| 1409020407 | 92100117 | 94.7 |
| 1409020408 | 92100072 | 93.8 |
| 1409020409 | 92099725 | 93.6 |
| 1409020410 | 92099637 | 94.4 |
| 1409020411 | 92099997 | 93.6 |
| 1409020412 | 92099926 | 94.6 |
| 1409020413 | 92099786 | 93.6 |
| 1409020415 | 92100150 | 94.5 |
| 1409020416 | 92100233 | 94.1 |
| 1409020417 | 92100170 | 93 |


| 1409020419 | 92100042 | 93.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409020420 | 92099982 | 93.5 |
| 1409020421 | 92100008 | 93.5 |
| 1409020422 | 92099988 | 94.6 |
| 1409020423 | 92099997 | 93.7 |
| 1409020424 | 92099984 | 93.5 |
| 1409020425 | 92099998 | 92.4 |
| 1409020426 | 92099982 | 94.4 |
| 1409020427 | 92099996 | 93.5 |
| 1409020428 | 92099656 | 92.8 |
| 1409020429 | 92100220 | 94 |
| 1409020430 | 92100358 | 94.1 |
| 1409020432 | 92099951 | 94.6 |
| 1409020433 | 92099972 | 94.7 |
| 1409020434 | 92099874 | 94.7 |
| 1409020435 | 92099934 | 94.8 |
| 1409020436 | 92099956 | 94.7 |
| 1409020437 | 92099727 | 94.7 |
| 1409020438 | 92099951 | 94.5 |
| 1409020439 | 92099760 | 93.6 |
| 1409020440 | 92099963 | 94.5 |
| 1409020441 | 92100677 | 94.6 |
| 1409020442 | 92100443 | 94.6 |
| 1409020443 | 92100525 | 93.5 |
| 1409020444 | 92100080 | 93.7 |
| 1409020445 | 92100241 | 93.4 |
| 1409020447 | 92099903 | 93.7 |
| 1409020448 | 92099719 | 93.6 |
| 1409020448 | 92099947 | 93.6 |
| 1409020449 | 92100007 | 93.8 |
| 1409020450 | 92100063 | 94.6 |
| 1409020451 | 92100139 | 93.4 |
| 1409020453 | 92099951 | 94.8 |
| 1409020453 | 92100155 | 94.5 |
| 1409020455 | 92099910 | 94.6 |
| 1409020455 | 92099955 | 94.8 |
| 1409020456 | 92100147 | 93.7 |
| 1409020457 | 92099985 | 94.5 |
| 1409020458 | 92099801 | 93.5 |
| 1409020459 | 92100065 | 94.4 |
| 1409020500 | 92100007 | 93.5 |
| 1409020501 | 92099909 | 94.6 |
| 1409020502 | 92100128 | 93.7 |
| 1409020503 | 92100393 | 94.6 |
| 1409020504 | 92100007 | 95 |
| 1409020505 | 92099836 | 93.8 |
| 1409020505 | 92099874 | 94.5 |
| 1409020506 | 92100032 | 93.8 |


| 1409020507 | 92100163 | 94.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409020508 | 92099728 | 93.7 |
| 1409020509 | 92099994 | 94.7 |
| 1409020510 | 92100138 | 93.4 |
| 1409020511 | 92100040 | 94.4 |
| 1409020512 | 92099872 | 93.7 |
| 1409020513 | 92099976 | 93.7 |
| 1409020514 | 92100414 | 94.4 |
| 1409020515 | 92100507 | 93.5 |
| 1409020516 | 92100222 | 94.7 |
| 1409020517 | 92100001 | 96.1 |
| 1409020518 | 92100025 | 94.8 |
| 1409020519 | 92099919 | 93.5 |
| 1409020519 | 92100057 | 93.5 |
| 1409020520 | 92100026 | 93.7 |
| 1409020521 | 92100076 | 94.3 |
| 1409020522 | 92100122 | 92.6 |
| 1409020523 | 92100165 | 94.5 |
| 1409020524 | 92100029 | 93.7 |
| 1409020524 | 92100001 | 94.5 |
| 1409020525 | 92100086 | 93.7 |
| 1409020526 | 92100014 | 94 |
| 1409020527 | 92100003 | 96.2 |
| 1409020528 | 92099992 | 94 |
| 1409020529 | 92100189 | 95 |
| 1409020531 | 92099997 | 95 |
| 1409020532 | 92100385 | 95.1 |
| 1409020533 | 92100096 | 95.2 |
| 1409020534 | 92100173 | 94.2 |
| 1409020535 | 92099981 | 95.4 |
| 1409020536 | 92099618 | 94.2 |
| 1409020537 | 92100225 | 94.2 |
| 1409020538 | 92100018 | 94 |
| 1409020539 | 92100155 | 95.3 |
| 1409020540 | 92099762 | 95.2 |
| 1409020541 | 92099984 | 95.4 |
| 1409020543 | 92100194 | 95.4 |
| 1409020544 | 92100115 | 95.5 |
| 1409020545 | 92100029 | 94.6 |
| 1409020546 | 92099825 | 94.3 |
| 1409020547 | 92099942 | 94.5 |
| 1409020548 | 92100152 | 94.4 |
| 1409020550 | 92099756 | 95.3 |
| 1409020551 | 92099986 | 95.6 |
| 1409020552 | 92100082 | 95.4 |
| 1409020553 | 92099997 | 95.4 |
| 1409020554 | 92099604 | 95.3 |
| 1409020556 | 92100080 | 95.5 |


| 1409020557 | 92100071 | 96.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1409020558 | 92100159 | 94.1 |
| 1409020559 | 92100101 | 93.9 |


| 1409021200 | 92100063 | 94.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409021201 | 92099856 | 94.2 |
| 1409021202 | 92100321 | 95.1 |
| 1409021203 | 92100000 | 94.1 |
| 1409021204 | 92100000 | 95 |
| 1409021206 | 92099781 | 95 |
| 1409021207 | 92100073 | 95.1 |
| 1409021208 | 92099919 | 94.1 |
| 1409021209 | 92100080 | 94.2 |
| 1409021210 | 92099956 | 94 |
| 1409021212 | 92099963 | 94.3 |
| 1409021213 | 92099954 | 94.3 |
| 1409021214 | 92099849 | 95.2 |
| 1409021215 | 92100041 | 94.3 |
| 1409021216 | 92100261 | 94.1 |
| 1409021217 | 92099966 | 94.3 |
| 1409021219 | 92099774 | 93.9 |
| 1409021220 | 92099801 | 93.8 |
| 1409021221 | 92099371 | 92.9 |
| 1409021222 | 92100094 | 94 |
| 1409021223 | 92099982 | 94 |
| 1409021224 | 92100139 | 94 |
| 1409021226 | 92099939 | 95.3 |
| 1409021227 | 92099892 | 95.2 |
| 1409021228 | 92099974 | 94.3 |
| 1409021229 | 92099998 | 93.2 |
| 1409021230 | 92099910 | 94 |
| 1409021231 | 92100752 | 93.9 |
| 1409021232 | 92099769 | 94.2 |
| 1409021233 | 92100148 | 94.9 |
| 1409021234 | 92100295 | 94.1 |
| 1409021235 | 92099982 | 94 |
| 1409021236 | 92099939 | 95.9 |
| 1409021238 | 92099836 | 94.2 |
| 1409021239 | 92100280 | 94.2 |
| 1409021240 | 92099234 | 94.2 |
| 1409021241 | 92099730 | 95 |
| 1409021242 | 92099735 | 94.2 |
| 1409021243 | 92099443 | 94.2 |
| 1409021243 | 92100107 | 94.4 |
| 1409021245 | 92100198 | 94.1 |
| 1409021246 | 92100497 | 95.1 |
| 1409021247 | 92100043 | 93.8 |
| 1409021248 | 92099844 | 93.8 |


| 1409021249 | 92100710 | 94.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409021250 | 92099533 | 95.3 |
| 1409021251 | 92097878 | 95.2 |
| 1409021252 | 92099953 | 95.1 |
| 1409021253 | 92099780 | 94.2 |
| 1409021254 | 92099838 | 95.1 |
| 1409021254 | 92100566 | 94.2 |
| 1409021256 | 92099933 | 94 |
| 1409021257 | 92100065 | 94.1 |
| 1409021257 | 92100111 | 94.2 |
| 1409021258 | 92099996 | 93.9 |
| 1409021259 | 92099702 | 95 |
| 1409021300 | 92100294 | 94.2 |
| 1409021301 | 92100179 | 94.2 |
| 1409021302 | 92099932 | 94 |
| 1409021304 | 92100211 | 94.1 |
| 1409021305 | 92100463 | 94.2 |
| 1409021305 | 92100136 | 94.1 |
| 1409021307 | 92100377 | 94.1 |
| 1409021308 | 92100687 | 94.2 |
| 1409021309 | 92100603 | 94.3 |
| 1409021310 | 92099883 | 94.1 |
| 1409021311 | 92100242 | 94.2 |
| 1409021312 | 92100328 | 95 |
| 1409021313 | 92099557 | 94.2 |
| 1409021314 | 92100063 | 95.2 |
| 1409021315 | 92099743 | 94.2 |
| 1409021317 | 92100079 | 93.8 |
| 1409021318 | 92100222 | 94.1 |
| 1409021319 | 92100082 | 95.1 |
| 1409021320 | 92100156 | 94.2 |
| 1409021321 | 92100179 | 94.2 |
| 1409021322 | 92100106 | 94.2 |
| 1409021323 | 92100121 | 94.2 |
| 1409021324 | 92099913 | 94.2 |
| 1409021325 | 92102175 | 94.4 |
| 1409021326 | 92099894 | 94.5 |
| 1409021328 | 92099933 | 94.1 |
| 1409021329 | 92100033 | 94.3 |
| 1409021330 | 92100132 | 94.1 |
| 1409021331 | 92099895 | 95.1 |
| 1409021332 | 92100371 | 95 |
| 1409021333 | 92100990 | 94.2 |
| 1409021335 | 92099993 | 94.2 |
| 1409021336 | 92101187 | 94.3 |
| 1409021337 | 92100258 | 94.3 |
| 1409021338 | 92100005 | 94.3 |
| 1409021339 | 92100286 | 94.3 |


| 1409021340 | 92099985 | 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409021342 | 92100029 | 94 |
| 1409021343 | 92099909 | 95 |
| 1409021344 | 92099994 | 94.2 |
| 1409021345 | 92099986 | 94.2 |
| 1409021346 | 92099921 | 95.2 |
| 1409021347 | 92099970 | 94.3 |
| 1409021349 | 92100005 | 94.1 |
| 1409021350 | 92099929 | 94.2 |
| 1409021351 | 92099919 | 93 |
| 1409021352 | 92099889 | 94.2 |
| 1409021353 | 92100095 | 95 |
| 1409021355 | 92100235 | 94.3 |
| 1409021356 | 92099996 | 94 |
| 1409021357 | 92099267 | 94.4 |
| 1409021358 | 92099958 | 94.3 |
| 1409021359 | 92099930 | 92.8 |
| 1409021400 | 92099886 | 94.3 |
| 1409021402 | 92100382 | 95 |
| 1409021403 | 92100085 | 94.2 |
| 1409021404 | 92099895 | 94.9 |
| 1409021405 | 92098813 | 94.1 |
| 1409021406 | 92100595 | 95.1 |
| 1409021407 | 92099859 | 95 |


| 1409030820 | 92099984 | 97.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409030821 | 92100190 | 96.3 |
| 1409030822 | 92100476 | 96.1 |
| 1409030823 | 92100002 | 97.6 |
| 1409030825 | 92100039 | 96.4 |
| 1409030826 | 92100326 | 97.5 |
| 1409030827 | 92099968 | 96.5 |
| 1409030828 | 92099404 | 97.2 |
| 1409030830 | 92099961 | 96.4 |
| 1409030831 | 92099914 | 96 |
| 1409030832 | 92100113 | 95.8 |
| 1409030833 | 92100118 | 95.7 |
| 1409030835 | 92099891 | 96.7 |
| 1409030836 | 92099803 | 95.5 |
| 1409030837 | 92100066 | 96.7 |
| 1409030838 | 92100211 | 96.4 |
| 1409030839 | 92100061 | 96.6 |
| 1409030840 | 92099921 | 95.3 |
| 1409030842 | 92100257 | 96.4 |
| 1409030843 | 92100767 | 96.6 |
| 1409030844 | 92099914 | 96.5 |
| 1409030845 | 92100272 | 96.3 |
| 1409030847 | 92100552 | 96.1 |


| 1409030848 | 92099968 | 95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409030849 | 92100184 | 96 |
| 1409030850 | 92100408 | 96.1 |
| 1409030851 | 92100190 | 95.1 |
| 1409030853 | 92100190 | 94.7 |
| 1409030854 | 92099991 | 95.1 |
| 1409030855 | 92100018 | 96.2 |
| 1409030856 | 92099960 | 96.2 |


| 1409031300 | 92100071 | 94.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409031301 | 92100155 | 94 |
| 1409031302 | 92099988 | 94 |
| 1409031303 | 92100411 | 94 |
| 1409031304 | 92100093 | 94.1 |
| 1409031305 | 92099850 | 94.1 |
| 1409031306 | 92100063 | 94.9 |
| 1409031307 | 92099939 | 93.9 |
| 1409031308 | 92099692 | 93.9 |
| 1409031309 | 92099793 | 94.8 |
| 1409031310 | 92099661 | 92.8 |
| 1409031311 | 92100060 | 94.9 |
| 1409031312 | 92099986 | 94 |
| 1409031313 | 92100155 | 94.9 |
| 1409031314 | 92100288 | 94.1 |
| 1409031315 | 92100022 | 94.2 |
| 1409031316 | 92100070 | 95.1 |
| 1409031317 | 92100083 | 95.2 |
| 1409031318 | 92100168 | 94.2 |
| 1409031319 | 92100117 | 95.2 |
| 1409031320 | 92100097 | 94.3 |
| 1409031321 | 92100194 | 94.2 |
| 1409031322 | 92099945 | 95.1 |
| 1409031323 | 92100091 | 95 |
| 1409031325 | 92100138 | 94.2 |
| 1409031325 | 92099767 | 95.2 |
| 1409031326 | 92099849 | 95.2 |
| 1409031327 | 92100035 | 94.3 |
| 1409031328 | 92100072 | 95.2 |
| 1409031329 | 92099506 | 94.3 |
| 1409031329 | 92100087 | 94.3 |
| 1409031330 | 92099688 | 94.5 |
| 1409031331 | 92100004 | 94.3 |
| 1409031332 | 92100469 | 94.4 |
| 1409031333 | 92100047 | 94.5 |
| 1409031334 | 92099869 | 94.4 |
| 1409031335 | 92100899 | 95.2 |
| 1409031336 | 92101019 | 94.3 |
| 1409031337 | 92100144 | 94.2 |


| 1409031338 | 92100002 | 95.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409031339 | 92099996 | 94.4 |
| 1409031340 | 92100015 | 94.4 |
| 1409031341 | 92100002 | 94.2 |
| 1409031342 | 92099985 | 95.2 |
| 1409031343 | 92100119 | 94.3 |
| 1409031344 | 92100067 | 95.1 |
| 1409031345 | 92100084 | 93 |
| 1409031346 | 92099851 | 94.1 |
| 1409031346 | 92099976 | 93.9 |
| 1409031347 | 92100070 | 95.1 |
| 1409031348 | 92100061 | 95 |
| 1409031349 | 92100278 | 93.9 |
| 1409031350 | 92100158 | 94.9 |
| 1409031351 | 92100201 | 94.1 |
| 1409031352 | 92100319 | 94 |
| 1409031353 | 92099809 | 94.3 |
| 1409031355 | 92100014 | 95.3 |
| 1409031355 | 92099827 | 95.1 |
| 1409031356 | 92099874 | 94.2 |
| 1409031358 | 92100328 | 94.3 |
| 1409031359 | 92100167 | 94.1 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 9 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 9 |


| 1409032200 | 92099762 | 97.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409032201 | 92100334 | 99.1 |
| 1409032202 | 92100122 | 97.9 |
| 1409032203 | 92099635 | 97.8 |
| 1409032204 | 92099845 | 97.9 |
| 1409032206 | 92099980 | 99 |
| 1409032207 | 92099764 | 98.8 |
| 1409032208 | 92100426 | 99 |
| 1409032209 | 92100105 | 99 |
| 1409032210 | 92099787 | 98.9 |
| 1409032211 | 92099351 | 98.9 |
| 1409032213 | 92099620 | 98.8 |
| 1409032214 | 92100052 | 97.9 |
| 1409032215 | 92100454 | 98.6 |
| 1409032216 | 92099949 | 99.1 |
| 1409032217 | 92100483 | 98.9 |
| 1409032218 | 92100555 | 97.7 |
| 1409032220 | 92100001 | 99.1 |
| 1409032221 | 92099313 | 98.9 |
| 1409032222 | 92100116 | 100.1 |
| 1409032223 | 92100449 | 98.8 |
| 1409032224 | 92100523 | 98.8 |
| 1409032226 | 92099618 | 97.2 |
| 1409032227 | 92099951 | 97.7 |
| 1409032228 | 92100297 | 98.5 |


| 1409032229 | 92100032 | 97.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409032230 | 92100047 | 97.3 |
| 1409032231 | 92099746 | 97.4 |
| 1409032233 | 92099983 | 99.8 |
| 1409032234 | 92099823 | 97.5 |
| 1409032235 | 92099972 | 98.6 |
| 1409032236 | 92099968 | 97.5 |
| 1409032237 | 92100059 | 97.3 |
| 1409032238 | 92099995 | 98.5 |
| 1409032240 | 92099962 | 98.6 |
| 1409032241 | 92099992 | 97.5 |
| 1409032242 | 92099682 | 97.5 |
| 1409032243 | 92099957 | 97.5 |
| 1409032244 | 92099803 | 97.5 |
| 1409032245 | 92099563 | 97.3 |
| 1409032247 | 92099107 | 97.4 |
| 1409032248 | 92099996 | 98.8 |
| 1409032249 | 92099968 | 98.5 |
| 1409032250 | 92099666 | 97.4 |
| 1409032251 | 92099998 | 98.7 |
| 1409032252 | 92100138 | 99.5 |
| 1409032254 | 92099929 | 98.5 |
| 1409032255 | 92100036 | 98.5 |
| 1409032256 | 92099914 | 97.5 |
| 1409032257 | 92100122 | 98.6 |
| 1409032258 | 92100117 | 97.3 |
| 1409032259 | 92099983 | 98.7 |


| 1409040700 | 92100099 | 100.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409040701 | 92100080 | 99.5 |
| 1409040702 | 92099956 | 100.4 |
| 1409040703 | 92100107 | 100.4 |
| 1409040704 | 92100019 | 100.2 |
| 1409040706 | 92099818 | 101.1 |
| 1409040707 | 92099986 | 99.3 |
| 1409040708 | 92100051 | 99.3 |
| 1409040709 | 92099948 | 99.4 |
| 1409040710 | 92099721 | 100.9 |
| 1409040711 | 92099791 | 100 |
| 1409040713 | 92100163 | 100.1 |
| 1409040714 | 92099676 | 99.7 |
| 1409040715 | 92099911 | 99.7 |
| 1409040716 | 92099366 | 99.6 |
| 1409040717 | 92099932 | 99 |
| 1409040719 | 92099920 | 100.8 |
| 1409040720 | 92100093 | 100.8 |
| 1409040721 | 92100043 | 99 |
| 1409040722 | 92099948 | 100 |


| 1409040723 | 92099886 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409040724 | 92099852 | 100 |
| 1409040726 | 92100248 | 100.1 |
| 1409040727 | 92100228 | 99.9 |
| 1409040728 | 92099919 | 99.9 |
| 1409040729 | 92100389 | 98.9 |
| 1409040730 | 92100158 | 100 |
| 1409040731 | 92099815 | 100 |
| 1409040733 | 92099852 | 100.2 |
| 1409040734 | 92100038 | 99.9 |
| 1409040735 | 92100042 | 99.8 |
| 1409040736 | 92100160 | 99.8 |
| 1409040737 | 92099962 | 100.1 |
| 1409040738 | 92099997 | 100.1 |
| 1409040740 | 92099963 | 100.1 |
| 1409040741 | 92099948 | 99.1 |
| 1409040742 | 92099949 | 100.1 |
| 1409040743 | 92099885 | 100.1 |
| 1409040744 | 92100668 | 100 |
| 1409040745 | 92099961 | 98.1 |
| 1409040747 | 92099991 | 100.1 |
| 1409040748 | 92099834 | 98.8 |
| 1409040749 | 92099931 | 99.9 |
| 1409040750 | 92100010 | 98.8 |
| 1409040751 | 92100091 | 98.5 |
| 1409040752 | 92100054 | 98.7 |
| 1409040754 | 92099971 | 98.5 |
| 1409040755 | 92099858 | 98.2 |
| 1409040756 | 92099911 | 99.3 |
| 1409040757 | 92099595 | 99.4 |
| 1409040758 | 92100483 | 98.3 |
| 1409040759 | 92100023 | 99.6 |


| 1409041300 | 92100381 | 95.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409041301 | 92100027 | 95 |
| 1409041302 | 92099951 | 94.1 |
| 1409041303 | 92100007 | 94.1 |
| 1409041304 | 92100309 | 93.9 |
| 1409041306 | 92100567 | 94 |
| 1409041307 | 92100392 | 94.9 |
| 1409041308 | 92100078 | 93.9 |
| 1409041309 | 92100154 | 94 |
| 1409041310 | 92100176 | 94 |
| 1409041311 | 92099983 | 95 |
| 1409041313 | 92099908 | 94.1 |
| 1409041314 | 92099983 | 94.1 |
| 1409041315 | 92100037 | 93.9 |
| 1409041316 | 92099821 | 94.1 |


| 1409041317 | 92100072 | 94.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409041318 | 92100394 | 93.8 |
| 1409041320 | 92100147 | 93.9 |
| 1409041321 | 92100521 | 94.8 |
| 1409041322 | 92100392 | 93.8 |
| 1409041323 | 92099915 | 93.9 |
| 1409041324 | 92099999 | 94 |
| 1409041325 | 92100159 | 93.9 |
| 1409041327 | 92100093 | 93.9 |
| 1409041328 | 92099793 | 94 |
| 1409041329 | 92099997 | 94 |
| 1409041330 | 92099411 | 94 |
| 1409041331 | 92099722 | 94.2 |
| 1409041333 | 92099922 | 94.1 |
| 1409041334 | 92100268 | 94.1 |
| 1409041335 | 92100161 | 94 |
| 1409041336 | 92100324 | 94 |
| 1409041337 | 92099968 | 94.9 |
| 1409041338 | 92099833 | 95 |
| 1409041340 | 92100196 | 94.1 |
| 1409041341 | 92100034 | 94.2 |
| 1409041342 | 92100026 | 94.1 |
| 1409041343 | 92100179 | 94.1 |
| 1409041344 | 92099859 | 94.2 |
| 1409041345 | 92100185 | 94 |
| 1409041347 | 92100074 | 94 |
| 1409041348 | 92099987 | 94.1 |
| 1409041349 | 92100107 | 94 |
| 1409041350 | 92100367 | 94.1 |
| 1409041351 | 92100220 | 94.9 |
| 1409041352 | 92100123 | 94.9 |
| 1409041354 | 92100415 | 95.1 |
| 1409041355 | 92100312 | 94.2 |
| 1409041356 | 92100000 | 94.2 |
| 1409041357 | 92100117 | 94.2 |
| 1409041358 | 92100153 | 94.1 |
| 1409041359 | 92100013 | 94.3 |


| 1409042200 | 92100179 | 96.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409042201 | 92100014 | 97.6 |
| 1409042202 | 92100000 | 97.7 |
| 1409042203 | 92099931 | 97.1 |
| 1409042204 | 92100087 | 96.9 |
| 1409042206 | 92100022 | 95.4 |
| 1409042207 | 92100046 | 96.6 |
| 1409042208 | 92099851 | 96.3 |
| 1409042209 | 92100109 | 96.1 |
| 1409042210 | 92099958 | 95 |


| 1409042211 | 92099949 | 95.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409042213 | 92100011 | 96.6 |
| 1409042214 | 92100067 | 95.4 |
| 1409042215 | 92100214 | 95.4 |
| 1409042216 | 92100039 | 95.4 |
| 1409042217 | 92100345 | 95.4 |
| 1409042218 | 92099986 | 95.5 |
| 1409042220 | 92099926 | 94.7 |
| 1409042221 | 92099910 | 95.7 |
| 1409042222 | 92099900 | 95.8 |
| 1409042223 | 92100112 | 94.9 |
| 1409042224 | 92099997 | 96.1 |
| 1409042225 | 92100007 | 96 |
| 1409042227 | 92100002 | 96.1 |
| 1409042228 | 92100062 | 96.2 |
| 1409042229 | 92099989 | 96.4 |
| 1409042230 | 92099751 | 95.2 |
| 1409042231 | 92099626 | 95.3 |
| 1409042232 | 92099527 | 97.2 |
| 1409042234 | 92100178 | 96.2 |
| 1409042235 | 92100154 | 95.7 |
| 1409042236 | 92099959 | 95.8 |
| 1409042237 | 92099851 | 95.8 |
| 1409042238 | 92099933 | 97.1 |
| 1409042240 | 92099831 | 96.2 |
| 1409042241 | 92100004 | 97.2 |
| 1409042242 | 92100324 | 95.8 |
| 1409042243 | 92099831 | 96 |
| 1409042244 | 92100113 | 95.9 |
| 1409042245 | 92100110 | 96.2 |
| 1409042247 | 92100315 | 97.4 |
| 1409042248 | 92100186 | 96.2 |
| 1409042249 | 92099974 | 97.6 |
| 1409042250 | 92099971 | 96.7 |
| 1409042251 | 92100025 | 96.9 |
| 1409042252 | 92100240 | 96.7 |
| 1409042254 | 92100170 | 96.8 |
| 1409042255 | 92099931 | 97 |
| 1409042256 | 92099936 | 97.2 |
| 1409042257 | 92100070 | 97.4 |
| 1409042258 | 92099848 | 98.3 |
| 1409042259 | 92100086 | 97.5 |


| 1409050700 | 92100075 | 96.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409050701 | 92099875 | 95.5 |
| 1409050702 | 92100312 | 95.7 |
| 1409050703 | 92100050 | 95.8 |
| 1409050705 | 92100819 | 96.9 |


| 1409050706 | 92100023 | 97.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409050707 | 92099910 | 96.1 |
| 1409050708 | 92100100 | 96.1 |
| 1409050709 | 92100647 | 96.1 |
| 1409050711 | 92100873 | 97.3 |
| 1409050712 | 92099981 | 96.3 |
| 1409050713 | 92100065 | 95.2 |
| 1409050714 | 92099951 | 96 |
| 1409050715 | 92100041 | 97.1 |
| 1409050717 | 92099914 | 95.7 |
| 1409050718 | 92099959 | 96.8 |
| 1409050719 | 92099945 | 95.8 |
| 1409050720 | 92100156 | 95.8 |
| 1409050721 | 92099832 | 97.7 |
| 1409050723 | 92099979 | 96.4 |
| 1409050724 | 92099944 | 96.6 |
| 1409050725 | 92100269 | 96.4 |
| 1409050726 | 92099888 | 96.3 |
| 1409050727 | 92099789 | 96.2 |
| 1409050728 | 92100001 | 96.1 |
| 1409050730 | 92099846 | 96.4 |
| 1409050731 | 92099758 | 95.1 |
| 1409050732 | 92099986 | 96.1 |
| 1409050733 | 92099784 | 95.3 |
| 1409050734 | 92099833 | 95.3 |
| 1409050736 | 92100215 | 94.1 |
| 1409050737 | 92100254 | 96.2 |
| 1409050738 | 92100631 | 95.3 |
| 1409050739 | 92099816 | 95.2 |
| 1409050740 | 92099620 | 95.5 |
| 1409050741 | 92100109 | 95.3 |
| 1409050743 | 92100052 | 95.3 |
| 1409050744 | 92100430 | 95.6 |
| 1409050745 | 92100253 | 96.5 |
| 1409050746 | 92099941 | 95.5 |
| 1409050747 | 92100102 | 96.4 |
| 1409050748 | 92100031 | 96.4 |
| 1409050750 | 92099563 | 95.3 |
| 1409050751 | 92100006 | 96 |
| 1409050752 | 92099890 | 96 |
| 1409050753 | 92100053 | 95 |
| 1409050754 | 92100399 | 96.2 |
| 1409050756 | 92099644 | 95.2 |
| 1409050757 | 92100074 | 96.1 |
| 1409050758 | 92100344 | 96 |
| 1409050759 | 92100433 | 95.3 |
| 1409051300 | 92100074 | 94.1 |


| 1409051301 | 92099964 | 95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409051302 | 92099942 | 94 |
| 1409051303 | 92100351 | 94.1 |
| 1409051305 | 92100428 | 94.9 |
| 1409051306 | 92100260 | 94 |
| 1409051307 | 92099992 | 93.9 |
| 1409051308 | 92099941 | 94 |
| 1409051309 | 92099948 | 94.1 |
| 1409051310 | 92100014 | 94.1 |
| 1409051312 | 92100244 | 92.9 |
| 1409051313 | 92100313 | 94.2 |
| 1409051314 | 92100156 | 95.2 |
| 1409051315 | 92100340 | 95.2 |
| 1409051316 | 92100113 | 93.1 |
| 1409051317 | 92100152 | 93.9 |
| 1409051319 | 92100322 | 95 |
| 1409051320 | 92099886 | 94.1 |
| 1409051321 | 92100013 | 95.1 |
| 1409051322 | 92099958 | 94.1 |
| 1409051323 | 92099794 | 94.1 |
| 1409051325 | 92099931 | 94.2 |
| 1409051326 | 92099994 | 94.1 |
| 1409051327 | 92100026 | 94.1 |
| 1409051328 | 92100293 | 95.1 |
| 1409051329 | 92100256 | 94.1 |
| 1409051330 | 92100079 | 94.1 |
| 1409051332 | 92099995 | 95.3 |
| 1409051333 | 92100182 | 95.1 |
| 1409051334 | 92099815 | 93 |
| 1409051335 | 92100066 | 94.1 |
| 1409051336 | 92100059 | 94.2 |
| 1409051338 | 92099865 | 93.7 |
| 1409051339 | 92099363 | 94 |
| 1409051340 | 92100340 | 94.9 |


| 1409052200 | 92099969 | 95.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409052201 | 92100044 | 96.6 |
| 1409052202 | 92099885 | 95.8 |
| 1409052204 | 92100038 | 95.6 |
| 1409052205 | 92100005 | 93.7 |
| 1409052206 | 92099989 | 95.6 |
| 1409052207 | 92099963 | 95.7 |
| 1409052208 | 92099972 | 95.8 |
| 1409052210 | 92100040 | 95.6 |
| 1409052211 | 92099965 | 94.4 |
| 1409052212 | 92100125 | 94.4 |
| 1409052213 | 92100092 | 94.3 |
| 1409052214 | 92099978 | 94.4 |


| 1409052216 | 92099974 | 96.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409052217 | 92100177 | 95.8 |
| 1409052218 | 92099984 | 95.7 |
| 1409052219 | 92099865 | 94.5 |
| 1409052220 | 92100184 | 96.8 |
| 1409052222 | 92100002 | 95.6 |
| 1409052223 | 92099996 | 95.8 |
| 1409052224 | 92099990 | 94.7 |
| 1409052225 | 92100001 | 95.8 |
| 1409052226 | 92099912 | 94.6 |
| 1409052228 | 92100189 | 94.7 |
| 1409052229 | 92100224 | 95.7 |
| 1409052230 | 92099966 | 94.6 |
| 1409052231 | 92099920 | 95.8 |
| 1409052232 | 92100013 | 95.9 |
| 1409052234 | 92100124 | 94.6 |
| 1409052235 | 92100139 | 95.8 |
| 1409052236 | 92100038 | 95.7 |
| 1409052237 | 92100064 | 93.8 |
| 1409052238 | 92099972 | 95.7 |
| 1409052239 | 92100221 | 96.7 |
| 1409052241 | 92099991 | 94.8 |
| 1409052242 | 92099934 | 94.7 |
| 1409052243 | 92099970 | 95.4 |
| 1409052244 | 92099881 | 95.6 |
| 1409052245 | 92099962 | 95.7 |
| 1409052247 | 92100150 | 94.4 |
| 1409052248 | 92100009 | 93.5 |
| 1409052249 | 92100688 | 94.3 |
| 1409052250 | 92099984 | 94.8 |
| 1409052251 | 92100166 | 95.5 |
| 1409052253 | 92100042 | 95.5 |
| 1409052254 | 92100256 | 94.5 |
| 1409052255 | 92100195 | 95.6 |
| 1409052256 | 92100013 | 94.5 |
| 1409052257 | 92099914 | 95.7 |
| 1409052259 | 92100058 | 93.7 |


| 1409060700 | 92099941 | 95.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409060701 | 92100759 | 95.9 |
| 1409060702 | 92100024 | 95.9 |
| 1409060703 | 92100103 | 95.2 |
| 1409060704 | 92099904 | 96.5 |
| 1409060705 | 92100160 | 95.4 |
| 1409060706 | 92100156 | 96.4 |
| 1409060707 | 92099639 | 96.3 |
| 1409060707 | 92100212 | 96.4 |
| 1409060708 | 92100302 | 96.3 |


| 1409060709 | 92099666 | 97.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409060711 | 92099623 | 95 |
| 1409060712 | 92100183 | 95.1 |
| 1409060713 | 92099502 | 94.9 |
| 1409060714 | 92100329 | 95.7 |
| 1409060715 | 92100131 | 95.7 |
| 1409060716 | 92102415 | 96.1 |
| 1409060717 | 92099824 | 95.3 |
| 1409060717 | 92100019 | 94.1 |
| 1409060719 | 92099675 | 95.4 |
| 1409060720 | 92100402 | 96.5 |
| 1409060721 | 92100864 | 95.3 |
| 1409060722 | 92100059 | 96.6 |
| 1409060723 | 92100330 | 96.7 |
| 1409060724 | 92099973 | 97.1 |
| 1409060725 | 92100373 | 96.1 |
| 1409060726 | 92099883 | 96.9 |
| 1409060727 | 92100217 | 94.9 |
| 1409060727 | 92100077 | 96.9 |
| 1409060728 | 92100558 | 95.8 |
| 1409060729 | 92100046 | 96 |
| 1409060730 | 92100443 | 95.9 |
| 1409060731 | 92100186 | 96.1 |
| 1409060732 | 92100299 | 97.1 |
| 1409060733 | 92100093 | 96.1 |
| 1409060734 | 92100122 | 96.1 |
| 1409060735 | 92100003 | 97.2 |
| 1409060736 | 92099685 | 97.2 |
| 1409060737 | 92099824 | 95.9 |
| 1409060738 | 92100032 | 96.2 |
| 1409060739 | 92098556 | 96.3 |
| 1409060741 | 92099967 | 97.6 |
| 1409060742 | 92100178 | 98.5 |
| 1409060743 | 92100082 | 97.6 |
| 1409060744 | 92099833 | 97.5 |
| 1409060745 | 92099988 | 97.5 |
| 1409060746 | 92100015 | 96.8 |
| 1409060747 | 92099911 | 96.7 |
| 1409060748 | 92099267 | 97.7 |
| 1409060749 | 92099154 | 97.7 |
| 1409060750 | 92100283 | 96.7 |
| 1409060751 | 92100135 | 96.6 |
| 1409060752 | 92100096 | 97.8 |
| 1409060753 | 92100136 | 97.6 |
| 1409060755 | 92099106 | 96.8 |
| 1409060755 | 92100256 | 97 |
| 1409060756 | 92099697 | 96.9 |
| 1409060758 | 92098580 | 98.1 |


| 1409060758 | 92099978 | 98.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409060759 | 92099964 | 98.2 |


| 1409061300 | 92100263 | 94.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409061301 | 92100099 | 95.1 |
| 1409061302 | 92100130 | 94.1 |
| 1409061303 | 92099617 | 94.2 |
| 1409061305 | 92099941 | 94.3 |
| 1409061306 | 92100033 | 94.3 |
| 1409061307 | 92099983 | 94.3 |
| 1409061308 | 92099919 | 94.2 |
| 1409061309 | 92100024 | 94.1 |
| 1409061310 | 92099982 | 94.1 |
| 1409061312 | 92100079 | 93.2 |
| 1409061313 | 92100236 | 95.1 |
| 1409061314 | 92100122 | 94.2 |
| 1409061315 | 92100308 | 94.2 |
| 1409061316 | 92099877 | 94.1 |
| 1409061318 | 92100353 | 94.2 |
| 1409061318 | 92099880 | 94.9 |
| 1409061320 | 92099998 | 95 |
| 1409061321 | 92099954 | 94.3 |
| 1409061322 | 92099942 | 95.2 |
| 1409061323 | 92100022 | 94.4 |
| 1409061324 | 92099995 | 94.3 |
| 1409061325 | 92100015 | 92.8 |
| 1409061326 | 92100018 | 94.3 |
| 1409061327 | 92100186 | 93.2 |
| 1409061329 | 92099972 | 94.4 |
| 1409061330 | 92099919 | 94.1 |
| 1409061331 | 92099853 | 94.2 |
| 1409061332 | 92100555 | 94.2 |
| 1409061333 | 92099644 | 94.3 |
| 1409061334 | 92099751 | 94.2 |
| 1409061335 | 92099788 | 94.3 |
| 1409061336 | 92100035 | 95.6 |
| 1409061337 | 92099926 | 94.3 |
| 1409061338 | 92099764 | 95.2 |
| 1409061339 | 92100108 | 94.3 |
| 1409061340 | 92100048 | 95.3 |
| 1409061342 | 92099794 | 94.3 |
| 1409061342 | 92100090 | 95.2 |
| 1409061344 | 92099942 | 95.1 |
| 1409061345 | 92099600 | 94.1 |
| 1409061346 | 92099732 | 94.4 |
| 1409061347 | 92099992 | 94.2 |
| 1409061348 | 92099950 | 94.4 |
| 1409061349 | 92099966 | 94.6 |


| 1409061351 | 92100491 | 94.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409061352 | 92100463 | 94.7 |
| 1409061353 | 92100264 | 95.6 |
| 1409061354 | 92100358 | 94.6 |
| 1409061355 | 92099998 | 94.7 |
| 1409061356 | 92100151 | 95.7 |
| 1409061357 | 92100648 | 94.5 |
| 1409061358 | 92100000 | 94.5 |
| 1409061359 | 92100029 | 94.8 |


| 1409062200 | 92100079 | 95.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409062201 | 92100096 | 96.8 |
| 1409062202 | 92100030 | 96.7 |
| 1409062203 | 92100070 | 96.7 |
| 1409062205 | 92100053 | 95.9 |
| 1409062206 | 92099939 | 94.9 |
| 1409062207 | 92100061 | 97 |
| 1409062208 | 92099957 | 97.1 |
| 1409062209 | 92099940 | 96.1 |
| 1409062210 | 92099828 | 96.1 |
| 1409062212 | 92099967 | 97.2 |
| 1409062213 | 92099811 | 96.4 |
| 1409062214 | 92100024 | 96.3 |
| 1409062215 | 92099980 | 97.2 |
| 1409062216 | 92099893 | 97.2 |
| 1409062217 | 92099925 | 96.3 |
| 1409062219 | 92100228 | 95.1 |
| 1409062220 | 92099996 | 97.3 |
| 1409062221 | 92100074 | 97.3 |
| 1409062222 | 92100035 | 96.4 |
| 1409062223 | 92099834 | 97.3 |
| 1409062225 | 92099974 | 96.5 |
| 1409062226 | 92100268 | 97.4 |
| 1409062227 | 92100101 | 97.5 |
| 1409062228 | 92099861 | 96.3 |
| 1409062229 | 92100119 | 96.6 |
| 1409062230 | 92100074 | 96.2 |
| 1409062232 | 92100003 | 96.3 |
| 1409062233 | 92099936 | 97.4 |
| 1409062234 | 92099992 | 96.5 |
| 1409062235 | 92099928 | 96.5 |
| 1409062236 | 92099949 | 97.3 |
| 1409062237 | 92100026 | 97.3 |
| 1409062239 | 92099964 | 96.8 |
| 1409062240 | 92099761 | 96.9 |
| 1409062241 | 92099968 | 98.1 |
| 1409062242 | 92100012 | 96.9 |


| 1409070700 | 92099811 | 98.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409070701 | 92099918 | 96.7 |
| 1409070702 | 92099969 | 98 |
| 1409070703 | 92099964 | 98.1 |
| 1409070705 | 92100025 | 98.9 |
| 1409070706 | 92100034 | 97.8 |
| 1409070707 | 92100012 | 98.8 |
| 1409070708 | 92099971 | 97.6 |
| 1409070709 | 92100003 | 97.6 |
| 1409070711 | 92100049 | 97.4 |
| 1409070712 | 92100051 | 98.1 |
| 1409070713 | 92100113 | 98 |
| 1409070714 | 92099940 | 97.1 |
| 1409070715 | 92100033 | 98.3 |
| 1409070716 | 92099964 | 97.2 |
| 1409070718 | 92099450 | 99.3 |
| 1409070719 | 92100043 | 98.2 |
| 1409070720 | 92100333 | 96.8 |
| 1409070721 | 92100485 | 97.5 |
| 1409070722 | 92100049 | 98.4 |
| 1409070724 | 92099968 | 95.2 |
| 1409070725 | 92100158 | 96.8 |
| 1409070726 | 92099904 | 98 |
| 1409070727 | 92100483 | 96.9 |
| 1409070728 | 92100180 | 95.3 |
| 1409070729 | 92100406 | 94.6 |
| 1409070731 | 92100801 | 96.7 |
| 1409070732 | 92099925 | 95.6 |
| 1409070733 | 92099154 | 96.6 |
| 1409070734 | 92099949 | 96.5 |
| 1409070735 | 92100048 | 95.4 |
| 1409070737 | 92100349 | 94.5 |
| 1409070738 | 92100004 | 95.4 |
| 1409070739 | 92099830 | 95.3 |
| 1409070740 | 92099907 | 95.4 |
| 1409070741 | 92099886 | 96.3 |
| 1409070742 | 92099757 | 96.3 |
| 1409070744 | 92099977 | 96.3 |
| 1409070745 | 92099971 | 96.6 |
| 1409070746 | 92099871 | 95.4 |
| 1409070747 | 92100330 | 96.2 |
| 1409070748 | 92099820 | 95.3 |
| 1409070750 | 92099014 | 95.4 |
| 1409070751 | 92099866 | 95.3 |
| 1409070752 | 92099641 | 96.1 |
| 1409070753 | 92099775 | 95.2 |
| 1409070754 | 92100539 | 95.1 |
| 1409070755 | 92100003 | 95.3 |


| 1409070757 | 92099683 | 94.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409070758 | 92100255 | 96 |
| 1409070759 | 92099921 | 95.1 |


| 1409071300 | 92099966 | 94.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409071301 | 92098734 | 95.1 |
| 1409071302 | 92099980 | 94.9 |
| 1409071303 | 92100650 | 94.1 |
| 1409071305 | 92099625 | 94.2 |
| 1409071306 | 92099892 | 94 |
| 1409071307 | 92100258 | 94 |
| 1409071308 | 92100181 | 95 |
| 1409071309 | 92098611 | 95 |
| 1409071310 | 92099968 | 95 |
| 1409071312 | 92099687 | 94.1 |
| 1409071313 | 92099614 | 94.1 |
| 1409071314 | 92099962 | 93.8 |
| 1409071315 | 92100027 | 95.1 |
| 1409071316 | 92100101 | 94.9 |
| 1409071317 | 92100058 | 94.3 |
| 1409071319 | 92100095 | 95 |
| 1409071320 | 92100403 | 94.2 |
| 1409071321 | 92100658 | 95.2 |
| 1409071322 | 92099966 | 93.1 |
| 1409071323 | 92099981 | 94.2 |
| 1409071324 | 92100689 | 94.4 |
| 1409071326 | 92099067 | 94.5 |
| 1409071327 | 92100137 | 94.3 |
| 1409071328 | 92099868 | 93 |
| 1409071329 | 92100229 | 93 |
| 1409071330 | 92099716 | 94.1 |
| 1409071331 | 92099905 | 92.8 |
| 1409071333 | 92100108 | 94 |
| 1409071334 | 92100653 | 94.2 |
| 1409071335 | 92100657 | 94.3 |
| 1409071336 | 92100284 | 94.9 |
| 1409071337 | 92100397 | 94.3 |
| 1409071339 | 92099769 | 94.4 |
| 1409071340 | 92099847 | 94.3 |
| 1409071341 | 92099963 | 94.2 |
| 1409071342 | 92101306 | 94.2 |
| 1409071343 | 92100232 | 94 |
| 1409071344 | 92100239 | 94.1 |
| 1409071346 | 92100256 | 93.9 |
| 1409071347 | 92099957 | 94.3 |
| 1409071348 | 92100148 | 94 |
| 1409071349 | 92099904 | 94.1 |
| 1409071350 | 92100038 | 93 |


| 1409071351 | 92100130 | 94.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409071353 | 92099080 | 94.4 |
| 1409071354 | 92100081 | 94.3 |
| 1409071355 | 92100193 | 94 |
| 1409071356 | 92099918 | 94.2 |
| 1409071357 | 92099836 | 94.5 |
| 1409071358 | 92099309 | 94.4 |


| 1409072200 | 92099840 | 99.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409072201 | 92100256 | 99.2 |
| 1409072202 | 92100042 | 99.1 |
| 1409072203 | 92099781 | 98.9 |
| 1409072205 | 92099651 | 97.8 |
| 1409072206 | 92099255 | 98 |
| 1409072207 | 92099703 | 97.9 |
| 1409072208 | 92100497 | 99 |
| 1409072209 | 92099725 | 99 |
| 1409072210 | 92100018 | 98.6 |
| 1409072212 | 92099605 | 97.9 |
| 1409072213 | 92099992 | 97.8 |
| 1409072214 | 92099860 | 97.6 |
| 1409072215 | 92099949 | 97.5 |
| 1409072216 | 92099598 | 98.7 |
| 1409072218 | 92100146 | 97.3 |
| 1409072219 | 92099921 | 97.6 |
| 1409072220 | 92099901 | 97.6 |
| 1409072221 | 92099933 | 97.6 |
| 1409072222 | 92099916 | 97.7 |
| 1409072223 | 92100201 | 97.7 |
| 1409072225 | 92100069 | 97.7 |
| 1409072226 | 92100129 | 97.4 |
| 1409072227 | 92099985 | 97.3 |
| 1409072228 | 92100534 | 99.5 |
| 1409072229 | 92100125 | 98.4 |
| 1409072231 | 92099757 | 97.1 |
| 1409072232 | 92100148 | 96.8 |
| 1409072233 | 92100048 | 98.2 |
| 1409072234 | 92100180 | 98.5 |
| 1409072235 | 92098685 | 97.5 |
| 1409072236 | 92100139 | 97.6 |
| 1409072238 | 92099846 | 98.9 |
| 1409072239 | 92099948 | 97.6 |
| 1409072240 | 92100773 | 97.7 |
| 1409072241 | 92100180 | 98.5 |
| 1409072242 | 92099411 | 97.7 |
| 1409072243 | 92100022 | 98.8 |
| 1409072245 | 92100148 | 98.6 |
| 1409072246 | 92099818 | 97.4 |


| 1409072247 | 92099221 | 100.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409072248 | 92100847 | 98.9 |
| 1409072249 | 92099976 | 100.3 |
| 1409072251 | 92100108 | 100 |
| 1409072252 | 92100022 | 97.8 |
| 1409072253 | 92100079 | 98 |
| 1409072254 | 92099850 | 98 |
| 1409072255 | 92100076 | 99 |
| 1409072256 | 92099587 | 97.8 |
| 1409072258 | 92100353 | 97.7 |
| 1409072259 | 92100210 | 97.4 |


| 1409080700 | 92100133 | 96.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409080701 | 92100093 | 96.4 |
| 1409080702 | 92100117 | 97.3 |
| 1409080703 | 92100248 | 97.5 |
| 1409080705 | 92100089 | 97.3 |
| 1409080706 | 92099773 | 97.3 |
| 1409080707 | 92100167 | 97.2 |
| 1409080708 | 92100088 | 96.6 |
| 1409080709 | 92099636 | 97.7 |
| 1409080710 | 92100062 | 97.4 |
| 1409080712 | 92099969 | 96.4 |
| 1409080713 | 92100115 | 96.4 |
| 1409080714 | 92100116 | 96.5 |
| 1409080715 | 92099550 | 97.5 |
| 1409080716 | 92100314 | 97.5 |
| 1409080718 | 92100241 | 97.5 |
| 1409080719 | 92100047 | 96.2 |
| 1409080720 | 92100031 | 96.9 |
| 1409080721 | 92100054 | 95 |
| 1409080722 | 92099983 | 97.1 |
| 1409080723 | 92099946 | 96.3 |
| 1409080725 | 92099737 | 96.6 |
| 1409080726 | 92100034 | 96.8 |
| 1409080727 | 92100239 | 97.3 |
| 1409080728 | 92099840 | 97.4 |
| 1409080729 | 92100403 | 97.3 |
| 1409080731 | 92100173 | 98.1 |
| 1409080732 | 92100032 | 96.1 |
| 1409080733 | 92100083 | 97.1 |
| 1409080734 | 92099929 | 97.3 |
| 1409080735 | 92100352 | 97.5 |
| 1409080736 | 92100249 | 97.6 |
| 1409080738 | 92100095 | 97.2 |
| 1409080739 | 92099869 | 95 |
| 1409080740 | 92099232 | 96.7 |
| 1409080741 | 92099795 | 95.8 |


| 1409081300 | 92099902 | 94.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409081301 | 92099872 | 93.5 |
| 1409081302 | 92100135 | 93.4 |
| 1409081303 | 92100180 | 93.5 |
| 1409081305 | 92100124 | 92.5 |
| 1409081306 | 92100197 | 93.4 |
| 1409081307 | 92098798 | 93.4 |
| 1409081308 | 92099910 | 94.4 |
| 1409081309 | 92099920 | 92.4 |
| 1409081310 | 92100136 | 94.6 |
| 1409081312 | 92100200 | 93.5 |
| 1409081313 | 92100018 | 93.6 |
| 1409081314 | 92100357 | 93.4 |
| 1409081315 | 92100000 | 93.6 |
| 1409081316 | 92099957 | 93.6 |
| 1409081318 | 92100145 | 93.6 |
| 1409081319 | 92099949 | 93.5 |
| 1409081320 | 92100073 | 93.7 |
| 1409081321 | 92099540 | 93.6 |
| 1409081322 | 92099862 | 93.6 |
| 1409081324 | 92100057 | 94.6 |
| 1409081325 | 92099944 | 94.7 |
| 1409081326 | 92099806 | 94.5 |
| 1409081327 | 92099853 | 93.5 |
| 1409081328 | 92099944 | 93.7 |
| 1409081329 | 92099833 | 93.5 |
| 1409081331 | 92099838 | 93.3 |
| 1409081332 | 92099952 | 93.3 |
| 1409081333 | 92100027 | 93.6 |
| 1409081334 | 92099938 | 93.5 |
| 1409081335 | 92100073 | 94.6 |
| 1409081337 | 92100016 | 93.3 |
| 1409081338 | 92100703 | 94.5 |
| 1409081339 | 92100215 | 94.6 |
| 1409081340 | 92100043 | 94.7 |
| 1409081341 | 92099993 | 94.6 |
| 1409081342 | 92100035 | 93.5 |
| 1409081344 | 92099949 | 93.5 |
| 1409081345 | 92100073 | 93.5 |
| 1409081346 | 92100109 | 93.4 |
| 1409081347 | 92100023 | 93.6 |
| 1409081348 | 92098799 | 92.5 |
| 1409081350 | 92099893 | 93.6 |
| 1409081351 | 92100304 | 93.7 |
| 1409081352 | 92100012 | 93.8 |
| 1409081353 | 92099824 | 94.8 |
| 1409081354 | 92099596 | 95.8 |


| 1409081356 | 92100039 | 93.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409081357 | 92099998 | 94.8 |
| 1409081358 | 92099869 | 93.6 |
| 1409081359 | 92100040 | 94.8 |


| 1409082200 | 92099853 | 96.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409082201 | 92099890 | 97 |
| 1409082202 | 92097859 | 96.8 |
| 1409082203 | 92100151 | 96.9 |
| 1409082205 | 92099796 | 97 |
| 1409082206 | 92099882 | 96.9 |
| 1409082207 | 92100000 | 97.3 |
| 1409082208 | 92099996 | 97.4 |
| 1409082209 | 92100006 | 98.3 |
| 1409082210 | 92099857 | 96.1 |
| 1409082212 | 92099644 | 97.3 |
| 1409082213 | 92100585 | 97.1 |
| 1409082214 | 92100047 | 97.2 |
| 1409082215 | 92099591 | 97.5 |
| 1409082216 | 92099885 | 98.5 |
| 1409082217 | 92099831 | 98.4 |
| 1409082219 | 92100412 | 97.4 |
| 1409082220 | 92101071 | 98 |
| 1409082221 | 92100259 | 97.2 |
| 1409082222 | 92100196 | 97.3 |
| 1409082223 | 92100035 | 98.3 |
| 1409082225 | 92099886 | 97.2 |
| 1409082226 | 92100430 | 96.9 |
| 1409082227 | 92099889 | 94.8 |
| 1409082228 | 92100314 | 97 |
| 1409082229 | 92100439 | 97.8 |
| 1409082230 | 92100145 | 96.7 |
| 1409082232 | 92100291 | 97.3 |
| 1409082233 | 92100076 | 97.7 |
| 1409082234 | 92100239 | 98 |
| 1409082235 | 92099965 | 97.8 |
| 1409082236 | 92101126 | 96.9 |
| 1409082237 | 92100094 | 97.6 |
| 1409082239 | 92100453 | 97.5 |
| 1409082240 | 92100226 | 98 |
| 1409082241 | 92101326 | 96.4 |
| 1409082242 | 92100021 | 98.9 |
| 1409082243 | 92100889 | 96.9 |
| 1409082245 | 92100311 | 97.1 |
| 1409082246 | 92100543 | 98.2 |
| 1409082247 | 92099875 | 97.6 |
| 1409082248 | 92100712 | 96.4 |
| 1409082249 | 92100770 | 97.8 |


| 1409082250 | 92101134 | 97.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409082252 | 92100530 | 97.6 |
| 1409082253 | 92100025 | 97.9 |
| 1409082254 | 92100276 | 97.8 |
| 1409082255 | 92099817 | 97.6 |
| 1409082256 | 92100128 | 97.5 |
| 1409082257 | 92100139 | 97.6 |
| 1409082259 | 92100362 | 97.6 |


| 1409090700 | 92099978 | 95.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409090701 | 92100119 | 95.5 |
| 1409090702 | 92100111 | 96.9 |
| 1409090703 | 92100327 | 96.7 |
| 1409090705 | 92100819 | 96 |
| 1409090706 | 92100126 | 95.9 |
| 1409090707 | 92100133 | 96.9 |
| 1409090708 | 92099937 | 96.8 |
| 1409090709 | 92100188 | 95.6 |
| 1409090710 | 92100103 | 96.7 |
| 1409090712 | 92100000 | 95.8 |
| 1409090713 | 92099783 | 95.8 |
| 1409090714 | 92099802 | 96.9 |
| 1409090715 | 92099829 | 95.7 |
| 1409090716 | 92099966 | 96.9 |
| 1409090718 | 92100165 | 96.7 |
| 1409090719 | 92100078 | 96.8 |
| 1409090720 | 92099938 | 96.7 |
| 1409090721 | 92100138 | 96.5 |
| 1409090722 | 92099877 | 96.4 |
| 1409090723 | 92099996 | 95.4 |
| 1409090725 | 92100118 | 95.2 |
| 1409090726 | 92100027 | 95.5 |
| 1409090727 | 92099791 | 96.4 |
| 1409090728 | 92100185 | 96.4 |
| 1409090729 | 92100048 | 95.4 |
| 1409090730 | 92100024 | 95.7 |
| 1409090732 | 92099995 | 95.8 |
| 1409090733 | 92100646 | 95.3 |
| 1409090734 | 92100094 | 96.5 |
| 1409090735 | 92100043 | 96.7 |
| 1409090736 | 92099541 | 96.8 |
| 1409090738 | 92099787 | 96.8 |
| 1409090739 | 92099956 | 97 |
| 1409090740 | 92099622 | 97.1 |
| 1409090741 | 92099955 | 96.1 |
| 1409090742 | 92099953 | 97.1 |
| 1409090743 | 92100468 | 97.2 |
| 1409090745 | 92100225 | 97.2 |


| 1409090746 | 92100192 | 97.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409090747 | 92099987 | 97.3 |
| 1409090748 | 92100137 | 96.3 |
| 1409090749 | 92099866 | 96.1 |
| 1409090751 | 92100002 | 95.9 |
| 1409090752 | 92099876 | 96.7 |
| 1409090753 | 92101062 | 94.6 |
| 1409090754 | 92100101 | 96.8 |
| 1409090755 | 92099892 | 96.9 |
| 1409090756 | 92099997 | 95.9 |
| 1409090758 | 92100059 | 96.9 |
| 1409090759 | 92099756 | 97 |


| 1409091300 | 92100001 | 94.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409091301 | 92100003 | 92.5 |
| 1409091302 | 92100039 | 93.5 |
| 1409091303 | 92100008 | 94.6 |
| 1409091305 | 92100115 | 94.4 |
| 1409091306 | 92099990 | 93.3 |
| 1409091307 | 92100059 | 93.3 |
| 1409091308 | 92099940 | 93.3 |
| 1409091309 | 92099880 | 93.2 |
| 1409091310 | 92099394 | 93.3 |
| 1409091312 | 92100078 | 93.3 |
| 1409091313 | 92100135 | 93.4 |
| 1409091314 | 92100126 | 94.4 |
| 1409091315 | 92099842 | 93.1 |
| 1409091316 | 92099753 | 94.3 |
| 1409091317 | 92100127 | 93.1 |
| 1409091319 | 92100329 | 94.1 |
| 1409091320 | 92099904 | 94.4 |
| 1409091321 | 92099889 | 94.5 |
| 1409091322 | 92099060 | 93 |
| 1409091323 | 92099972 | 94.1 |
| 1409091325 | 92099791 | 93 |
| 1409091326 | 92100003 | 94.5 |
| 1409091327 | 92099969 | 94.5 |
| 1409091328 | 92100308 | 94.3 |
| 1409091329 | 92099991 | 93.3 |
| 1409091330 | 92100404 | 94 |
| 1409091332 | 92100141 | 93.1 |
| 1409091333 | 92100068 | 93 |
| 1409091334 | 92099762 | 94.5 |
| 1409091335 | 92100145 | 94.4 |
| 1409091336 | 92100207 | 92.5 |
| 1409091338 | 92099937 | 93.4 |
| 1409091339 | 92100712 | 95.4 |
| 1409091340 | 92100160 | 95.3 |


| 1409091341 | 92100013 | 94.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1409092200 | 92100026 | 96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409092201 | 92099936 | 96 |
| 1409092202 | 92099843 | 94.8 |
| 1409092203 | 92096027 | 94.6 |
| 1409092205 | 92099816 | 94.9 |
| 1409092206 | 92099807 | 94 |
| 1409092207 | 92099919 | 96 |
| 1409092208 | 92099996 | 95.9 |
| 1409092209 | 92099430 | 94.7 |
| 1409092211 | 92099993 | 95.9 |
| 1409092212 | 92099731 | 96 |
| 1409092213 | 92099813 | 96.2 |
| 1409092214 | 92099544 | 94.8 |
| 1409092215 | 92099211 | 95.1 |
| 1409092216 | 92099601 | 95 |
| 1409092218 | 92100012 | 96.3 |
| 1409092219 | 92099919 | 94.1 |
| 1409092220 | 92099928 | 96.2 |
| 1409092221 | 92099857 | 96.1 |
| 1409092222 | 92100039 | 95 |
| 1409092224 | 92100067 | 94.9 |
| 1409092225 | 92099704 | 94.9 |
| 1409092226 | 92099768 | 94 |
| 1409092227 | 92100075 | 93.8 |
| 1409092228 | 92099849 | 95.9 |
| 1409092230 | 92098581 | 94.8 |
| 1409092231 | 92099995 | 95.8 |
| 1409092232 | 92100052 | 94.6 |
| 1409092233 | 92100000 | 94.7 |
| 1409092234 | 92099992 | 94.8 |
| 1409092235 | 92099589 | 95.4 |
| 1409092237 | 92099075 | 94.3 |
| 1409092238 | 92099614 | 94.2 |
| 1409092239 | 92100251 | 94.3 |
| 1409092240 | 92100368 | 95.4 |
| 1409092241 | 92100054 | 94.6 |
| 1409092243 | 92099536 | 94.4 |
| 1409092244 | 92099982 | 94.2 |
| 1409092245 | 92099781 | 94.5 |
| 1409092246 | 92100211 | 94.4 |
| 1409092247 | 92100180 | 95.3 |
| 1409092249 | 92100200 | 94.3 |
| 1409092250 | 92100124 | 94.2 |
| 1409092251 | 92099773 | 94.3 |
| 1409092252 | 92099871 | 94.3 |
| 1409092253 | 92099995 | 95.2 |


| 1409092254 | 92099836 | 94.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409092256 | 92099989 | 94.2 |
| 1409092257 | 92100003 | 94.1 |
| 1409092258 | 92099817 | 94.1 |
| 1409092259 | 92100208 | 94.1 |


| 1409100700 | 92100027 | 93.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409100701 | 92100078 | 93.9 |
| 1409100702 | 92100000 | 95.1 |
| 1409100703 | 92100138 | 92.2 |
| 1409100705 | 92100740 | 94.7 |
| 1409100706 | 92100131 | 93.6 |
| 1409100707 | 92100616 | 93.5 |
| 1409100708 | 92100006 | 94 |
| 1409100709 | 92100006 | 93.9 |
| 1409100711 | 92100084 | 94.8 |
| 1409100712 | 92100060 | 92.6 |
| 1409100713 | 92100261 | 94.8 |
| 1409100714 | 92100726 | 95 |
| 1409100715 | 92100109 | 95 |
| 1409100717 | 92100431 | 93.8 |
| 1409100718 | 92100052 | 95 |
| 1409100719 | 92099929 | 93.7 |
| 1409100720 | 92100244 | 94 |
| 1409100721 | 92099975 | 95.1 |
| 1409100722 | 92099630 | 94 |
| 1409100724 | 92099816 | 93.9 |
| 1409100725 | 92100287 | 94.9 |
| 1409100726 | 92099693 | 94.8 |
| 1409100727 | 92099805 | 94.9 |
| 1409100728 | 92099885 | 93.7 |
| 1409100730 | 92100219 | 92.8 |
| 1409100731 | 92100173 | 93.5 |
| 1409100732 | 92100171 | 94.7 |
| 1409100733 | 92100225 | 93.4 |
| 1409100734 | 92100055 | 94.6 |
| 1409100736 | 92100331 | 93.5 |
| 1409100737 | 92099994 | 93.4 |
| 1409100738 | 92099761 | 93.6 |
| 1409100739 | 92100345 | 94.8 |
| 1409100740 | 92099864 | 95 |
| 1409100741 | 92099381 | 95 |
| 1409100743 | 92099822 | 94.8 |
| 1409100744 | 92099541 | 96 |
| 1409100745 | 92100299 | 94.7 |
| 1409100746 | 92100329 | 93.5 |
| 1409100747 | 92100207 | 93.5 |
| 1409100749 | 92100002 | 93.3 |


| 1409100750 | 92099732 | 94.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409100751 | 92100260 | 93.4 |
| 1409100752 | 92100326 | 93.3 |
| 1409100753 | 92100002 | 93.5 |
| 1409100755 | 92100066 | 93.4 |
| 1409100756 | 92100092 | 92.3 |
| 1409100757 | 92099742 | 93.4 |
| 1409100758 | 92100275 | 93.3 |
| 1409100759 | 92100581 | 93.3 |


| 1409101300 | 92099834 | 94.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409101301 | 92100279 | 94.5 |
| 1409101302 | 92100230 | 93.1 |
| 1409101303 | 92100260 | 94.3 |
| 1409101305 | 92100096 | 93.3 |
| 1409101306 | 92100332 | 93.5 |
| 1409101307 | 92098892 | 93.4 |
| 1409101308 | 92100230 | 94.5 |
| 1409101309 | 92100257 | 94.4 |
| 1409101310 | 92100546 | 94.5 |
| 1409101312 | 92100289 | 93.4 |
| 1409101313 | 92100155 | 94.5 |
| 1409101314 | 92099999 | 93.4 |
| 1409101315 | 92099816 | 94.7 |
| 1409101316 | 92099956 | 93.2 |
| 1409101317 | 92100035 | 93.1 |
| 1409101319 | 92099832 | 93.4 |
| 1409101320 | 92100341 | 95.7 |
| 1409101321 | 92101090 | 93.5 |
| 1409101322 | 92100163 | 93.2 |
| 1409101323 | 92099865 | 93.2 |
| 1409101325 | 92100192 | 93.2 |
| 1409101326 | 92100304 | 93.5 |
| 1409101327 | 92100080 | 93.6 |
| 1409101328 | 92100083 | 93.6 |
| 1409101329 | 92100006 | 94.7 |
| 1409101330 | 92100598 | 93.4 |
| 1409101332 | 92099773 | 94.7 |
| 1409101333 | 92100461 | 94.6 |
| 1409101334 | 92099871 | 94.8 |
| 1409101335 | 92100169 | 93.5 |
| 1409101336 | 92099798 | 94.7 |
| 1409101338 | 92099525 | 93.5 |
| 1409101339 | 92100159 | 92.6 |
| 1409101340 | 92099893 | 94.6 |
| 1409101341 | 92099967 | 93.5 |
| 1409101342 | 92100100 | 93.6 |
| 1409101343 | 92099995 | 93.6 |


| 1409101345 | 92100478 | 95.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409101346 | 92099996 | 93.6 |
| 1409101347 | 92101286 | 92.5 |
| 1409101348 | 92100079 | 94.6 |
| 1409101349 | 92099272 | 93.6 |
| 1409101350 | 92100223 | 93.7 |
| 1409101352 | 92099856 | 93.8 |
| 1409101353 | 92099825 | 93.7 |
| 1409101354 | 92100004 | 93.7 |
| 1409101355 | 92100666 | 93.7 |
| 1409101356 | 92100014 | 93.7 |
| 1409101358 | 92100018 | 93.5 |
| 1409101359 | 92099358 | 93.6 |


| 1409102200 | 92099743 | 95.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409102201 | 92099998 | 92.2 |
| 1409102202 | 92100109 | 94.2 |
| 1409102203 | 92099846 | 94.5 |
| 1409102205 | 92099948 | 94.5 |
| 1409102206 | 92100026 | 92 |
| 1409102207 | 92099964 | 94.5 |
| 1409102208 | 92099907 | 95.3 |
| 1409102209 | 92099985 | 95.6 |
| 1409102211 | 92100053 | 94.5 |
| 1409102212 | 92099972 | 93.5 |
| 1409102213 | 92100062 | 94.7 |
| 1409102214 | 92099952 | 95.5 |
| 1409102215 | 92099621 | 94.4 |
| 1409102216 | 92099564 | 94.5 |
| 1409102218 | 92098855 | 95 |
| 1409102219 | 92099867 | 94.6 |
| 1409102220 | 92099870 | 93.3 |
| 1409102221 | 92099111 | 94.2 |
| 1409102223 | 92099743 | 95.2 |
| 1409102224 | 92100064 | 95 |
| 1409102225 | 92099654 | 94.4 |
| 1409102226 | 92099761 | 95.6 |
| 1409102227 | 92099799 | 93.6 |
| 1409102229 | 92100051 | 94.9 |
| 1409102230 | 92100181 | 94.6 |
| 1409102231 | 92100002 | 94.9 |
| 1409102232 | 92100038 | 94.7 |
| 1409102233 | 92099891 | 94.8 |
| 1409102235 | 92099834 | 95.9 |
| 1409102236 | 92099860 | 93.7 |
| 1409102237 | 92100047 | 95.5 |
| 1409102238 | 92100002 | 95.4 |
| 1409102239 | 92099931 | 94.3 |


| 1409102240 | 92099995 | 95.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409102242 | 92099951 | 94.1 |


| 1409110700 | 92099999 | 96.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409110701 | 92099868 | 94.8 |
| 1409110702 | 92099882 | 96 |
| 1409110703 | 92100327 | 96 |
| 1409110705 | 92100423 | 95.9 |
| 1409110706 | 92100026 | 97.2 |
| 1409110707 | 92099933 | 95 |
| 1409110708 | 92100241 | 96.2 |
| 1409110709 | 92099825 | 96.1 |
| 1409110710 | 92100055 | 96.2 |
| 1409110712 | 92099737 | 95.1 |
| 1409110713 | 92100077 | 96.3 |
| 1409110714 | 92100241 | 95.2 |
| 1409110715 | 92099987 | 95.3 |
| 1409110716 | 92100426 | 96.2 |
| 1409110718 | 92099960 | 96.2 |
| 1409110719 | 92099937 | 95 |
| 1409110720 | 92100022 | 95 |
| 1409110721 | 92099929 | 95.1 |
| 1409110722 | 92099918 | 95.3 |
| 1409110724 | 92099881 | 96.1 |
| 1409110725 | 92099890 | 96.1 |
| 1409110726 | 92100200 | 95.1 |
| 1409110727 | 92100015 | 95 |
| 1409110728 | 92100373 | 97.1 |
| 1409110729 | 92099999 | 94.9 |
| 1409110731 | 92100174 | 95.8 |
| 1409110732 | 92099888 | 94.8 |
| 1409110733 | 92099845 | 95.7 |
| 1409110734 | 92100319 | 94.6 |
| 1409110735 | 92100127 | 94.7 |
| 1409110737 | 92100307 | 94.6 |
| 1409110738 | 92099973 | 95.9 |
| 1409110739 | 92100301 | 95.8 |
| 1409110740 | 92099982 | 95 |
| 1409110741 | 92100033 | 96 |
| 1409110743 | 92099766 | 94.8 |
| 1409110744 | 92099670 | 94.8 |
| 1409110745 | 92099651 | 94.6 |
| 1409110746 | 92100037 | 94.7 |
| 1409110747 | 92100218 | 95.9 |
| 1409110748 | 92099963 | 94.7 |
| 1409110750 | 92099935 | 95.7 |
| 1409110751 | 92100508 | 95.6 |
| 1409110752 | 92099598 | 94.4 |


| 1409110753 | 92099724 | 94.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409110754 | 92099598 | 95.4 |
| 1409110756 | 92099764 | 94.4 |
| 1409110757 | 92099565 | 94.3 |
| 1409110758 | 92099831 | 96.5 |
| 1409110759 | 92099895 | 95.1 |


| 1409111416 | 92100184 | 94.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409111417 | 92100275 | 94 |
| 1409111418 | 92099898 | 93.9 |
| 1409111419 | 92100175 | 95 |
| 1409111420 | 92100092 | 93.9 |
| 1409111422 | 92099998 | 93.8 |
| 1409111423 | 92099987 | 93.9 |
| 1409111424 | 92099401 | 94 |
| 1409111425 | 92099996 | 96 |
| 1409111426 | 92099879 | 94.7 |
| 1409111427 | 92100205 | 94 |
| 1409111429 | 92100885 | 94.1 |
| 1409111430 | 92100619 | 94 |
| 1409111431 | 92100271 | 94.2 |
| 1409111432 | 92100511 | 95 |
| 1409111433 | 92099913 | 94.1 |
| 1409111435 | 92099942 | 94.1 |
| 1409111436 | 92100096 | 94.2 |
| 1409111437 | 92100000 | 94.2 |
| 1409111438 | 92099713 | 93.2 |
| 1409111439 | 92100058 | 94.2 |
| 1409111440 | 92099786 | 95.1 |
| 1409111442 | 92099981 | 95 |
| 1409111443 | 92099857 | 95.1 |
| 1409111444 | 92099928 | 94.4 |
| 1409111445 | 92100178 | 94.4 |
| 1409111446 | 92100178 | 94.4 |
| 1409111447 | 92100136 | 94.2 |
| 1409111449 | 92099741 | 94.2 |
| 1409111450 | 92099294 | 93.2 |
| 1409111451 | 92099930 | 95.3 |
| 1409111452 | 92100156 | 94.3 |
| 1409111453 | 92099848 | 94.4 |
| 1409111455 | 92100422 | 93.1 |
| 1409111456 | 92100012 | 94.3 |
| 1409111457 | 92101090 | 94.4 |
| 1409111458 | 92101502 | 94.3 |
| 1409111459 | 92099369 | 94.3 |


| 1409112200 | 92100396 | 97.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409112201 | 92100022 | 98 |


| 1409112202 | 92100071 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409112203 | 92099972 | 98.8 |
| 1409112204 | 92100457 | 98.6 |
| 1409112206 | 92100122 | 99.7 |
| 1409112207 | 92100634 | 97.8 |
| 1409112208 | 92100711 | 97.5 |
| 1409112209 | 92100273 | 98.3 |
| 1409112210 | 92100230 | 99.2 |
| 1409112212 | 92100046 | 98.1 |
| 1409112213 | 92100200 | 98.2 |
| 1409112214 | 92100130 | 97.3 |
| 1409112215 | 92099978 | 97.1 |
| 1409112216 | 92099928 | 98.5 |
| 1409112217 | 92100118 | 98.6 |
| 1409112219 | 92100366 | 98.7 |
| 1409112220 | 92100283 | 98.7 |
| 1409112221 | 92100332 | 98 |
| 1409112222 | 92099955 | 99 |
| 1409112223 | 92100057 | 98.9 |
| 1409112224 | 92100164 | 96.9 |
| 1409112226 | 92100053 | 98.3 |
| 1409112227 | 92100126 | 98.4 |
| 1409112228 | 92100483 | 99 |
| 1409112229 | 92100160 | 98.3 |
| 1409112230 | 92100014 | 98.2 |
| 1409112231 | 92099961 | 98.1 |
| 1409112233 | 92099802 | 98.3 |
| 1409112234 | 92099882 | 99.3 |
| 1409112235 | 92100392 | 99 |
| 1409112236 | 92100181 | 98.5 |
| 1409112237 | 92099726 | 98.5 |
| 1409112239 | 92100215 | 98.5 |
| 1409112240 | 92100018 | 99.6 |
| 1409112241 | 92100132 | 99.3 |
| 1409112242 | 92100997 | 98.3 |
| 1409112243 | 92100463 | 100.1 |
| 1409112244 | 92100167 | 98.5 |
| 1409112246 | 92100718 | 98.7 |
| 1409112247 | 92100039 | 98.3 |
| 1409112248 | 92099914 | 98.4 |
| 1409112249 | 92099971 | 99.4 |
| 1409112250 | 92100070 | 98.8 |
| 1409112251 | 92099960 | 98.7 |
| 1409112253 | 92100186 | 99.4 |
| 1409112254 | 92100233 | 98.1 |
| 1409112255 | 92099987 | 98.7 |
| 1409112256 | 92099912 | 98.5 |
| 1409112257 | 92099793 | 98.4 |


| 1409112259 | 92100120 | 99.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1409120700 | 92099873 | 94.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409120701 | 92100034 | 95.9 |
| 1409120702 | 92100274 | 96.1 |
| 1409120704 | 92100202 | 96.5 |
| 1409120705 | 92099638 | 96.4 |
| 1409120706 | 92099948 | 95.5 |
| 1409120707 | 92100079 | 96.4 |
| 1409120708 | 92100081 | 96.6 |
| 1409120709 | 92099872 | 94.7 |
| 1409120711 | 92100025 | 96 |
| 1409120712 | 92099995 | 95.6 |
| 1409120713 | 92099885 | 95.9 |
| 1409120714 | 92100536 | 95.8 |
| 1409120715 | 92100090 | 97 |
| 1409120716 | 92100018 | 95.9 |
| 1409120718 | 92100058 | 96.2 |
| 1409120719 | 92100003 | 96.2 |
| 1409120720 | 92100020 | 96.2 |
| 1409120721 | 92100545 | 98.5 |
| 1409120722 | 92100420 | 96.4 |
| 1409120724 | 92100297 | 97.6 |
| 1409120725 | 92099874 | 96.6 |
| 1409120726 | 92100131 | 96 |
| 1409120727 | 92100186 | 97.2 |
| 1409120728 | 92100230 | 97.2 |
| 1409120729 | 92100510 | 96.2 |
| 1409120731 | 92099871 | 96.3 |
| 1409120732 | 92100634 | 97.5 |
| 1409120733 | 92099872 | 96.4 |
| 1409120734 | 92100071 | 96.1 |
| 1409120735 | 92100270 | 96.9 |
| 1409120736 | 92100042 | 97.3 |
| 1409120738 | 92099956 | 96.1 |
| 1409120739 | 92099426 | 97.4 |
| 1409120740 | 92100055 | 96.4 |
| 1409120741 | 92100369 | 96.4 |
| 1409120742 | 92099970 | 97.6 |
| 1409120744 | 92099979 | 97.7 |
| 1409120745 | 92099891 | 97.7 |
| 1409120746 | 92099706 | 97.7 |
| 1409120747 | 92100106 | 97.8 |
| 1409120748 | 92101111 | 97.9 |
| 1409120749 | 92100583 | 98.9 |
| 1409120751 | 92100169 | 97.7 |
| 1409120752 | 92099892 | 96.7 |
| 1409120753 | 92100234 | 96.7 |


| 1409120754 | 92100167 | 96.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409120755 | 92100071 | 96.6 |


| 1409121300 | 92100025 | 94.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409121301 | 92100211 | 94.9 |
| 1409121302 | 92100074 | 94 |
| 1409121303 | 92100063 | 94 |
| 1409121304 | 92100022 | 94.9 |
| 1409121306 | 92100099 | 94 |
| 1409121307 | 92099942 | 95.1 |
| 1409121308 | 92099989 | 95.1 |
| 1409121309 | 92100011 | 94 |
| 1409121310 | 92099954 | 95 |
| 1409121312 | 92100070 | 94.9 |
| 1409121313 | 92100160 | 93.8 |
| 1409121314 | 92100169 | 94 |
| 1409121315 | 92100236 | 93.6 |
| 1409121316 | 92100052 | 93.9 |
| 1409121317 | 92100148 | 94.9 |
| 1409121319 | 92099986 | 94.2 |
| 1409121320 | 92099702 | 94.1 |
| 1409121321 | 92099970 | 94.1 |
| 1409121322 | 92099872 | 94.9 |
| 1409121323 | 92100244 | 93.8 |
| 1409121324 | 92100247 | 94.1 |
| 1409121326 | 92100061 | 94.4 |
| 1409121327 | 92100329 | 95.1 |
| 1409121328 | 92100274 | 94.1 |
| 1409121329 | 92099962 | 93.9 |
| 1409121330 | 92100178 | 95 |
| 1409121331 | 92100142 | 94 |
| 1409121333 | 92100068 | 93.9 |
| 1409121334 | 92100295 | 94.1 |
| 1409121335 | 92100043 | 94 |
| 1409121336 | 92100087 | 94.2 |
| 1409121337 | 92099901 | 94.2 |
| 1409121338 | 92099674 | 94.3 |
| 1409121340 | 92099544 | 94.5 |
| 1409121341 | 92100746 | 95.3 |
| 1409121342 | 92100959 | 94.5 |
| 1409121343 | 92101281 | 95.5 |
| 1409121344 | 92099986 | 95.4 |
| 1409121345 | 92100666 | 94.5 |
| 1409121347 | 92099707 | 94.3 |
| 1409121348 | 92100003 | 94.5 |
| 1409121349 | 92099980 | 94.5 |
| 1409121350 | 92099876 | 94.5 |
| 1409121351 | 92099916 | 94.2 |


| 1409121352 | 92099692 | 94.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409121354 | 92099647 | 95.3 |
| 1409121355 | 92099742 | 94.3 |
| 1409121356 | 92100485 | 95.2 |
| 1409121357 | 92099937 | 94.3 |
| 1409121358 | 92099519 | 94.3 |
| 1409121359 | 92100068 | 94.3 |


| 1409122200 | 92099977 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409122201 | 92099790 | 96.9 |
| 1409122202 | 92100156 | 96.9 |
| 1409122203 | 92100024 | 97.9 |
| 1409122204 | 92100099 | 97.8 |
| 1409122206 | 92099899 | 96.7 |
| 1409122207 | 92100008 | 96.5 |
| 1409122208 | 92100236 | 96.4 |
| 1409122209 | 92100227 | 96.1 |
| 1409122210 | 92100475 | 96.7 |
| 1409122211 | 92100121 | 96.4 |
| 1409122213 | 92099645 | 96.3 |
| 1409122214 | 92099788 | 96.5 |
| 1409122215 | 92100333 | 96.1 |
| 1409122216 | 92100117 | 97 |
| 1409122217 | 92100224 | 96 |
| 1409122218 | 92100056 | 96.7 |
| 1409122220 | 92100113 | 97.1 |
| 1409122221 | 92099836 | 96.5 |
| 1409122222 | 92100025 | 95 |
| 1409122223 | 92100024 | 94.7 |
| 1409122224 | 92100178 | 96.5 |
| 1409122225 | 92099956 | 95.3 |
| 1409122227 | 92100322 | 96.5 |
| 1409122228 | 92099915 | 96.6 |
| 1409122229 | 92099783 | 95.4 |
| 1409122230 | 92100038 | 95.2 |
| 1409122231 | 92100169 | 95.5 |
| 1409122233 | 92100259 | 95.7 |
| 1409122234 | 92100058 | 95.8 |
| 1409122235 | 92099983 | 95.8 |
| 1409122236 | 92099971 | 96.3 |
| 1409122237 | 92099860 | 96.4 |
| 1409122238 | 92098827 | 96 |
| 1409122240 | 92099722 | 96.7 |
| 1409122241 | 92100026 | 96 |
| 1409122242 | 92100103 | 95.7 |
| 1409122243 | 92099957 | 95.9 |
| 1409122244 | 92099898 | 96 |
| 1409122245 | 92100071 | 96.1 |


| 1409122247 | 92100097 | 96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409122248 | 92099937 | 95 |
| 1409122249 | 92100101 | 96.3 |
| 1409122250 | 92100003 | 96.5 |
| 1409122251 | 92100111 | 96.2 |
| 1409122252 | 92100335 | 96.6 |
| 1409122254 | 92099913 | 97.6 |
| 1409122255 | 92099928 | 96.7 |
| 1409122256 | 92100613 | 97.7 |
| 1409122257 | 92100044 | 96.7 |
| 1409122258 | 92099907 | 96.8 |
| 1409122259 | 92100500 | 97.4 |


| 1409130700 | 92099834 | 97.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409130701 | 92100027 | 97.5 |
| 1409130702 | 92100010 | 97.8 |
| 1409130703 | 92099916 | 95.5 |
| 1409130705 | 92101014 | 97.7 |
| 1409130706 | 92100177 | 97.4 |
| 1409130707 | 92100403 | 97.5 |
| 1409130708 | 92099973 | 96.6 |
| 1409130709 | 92100058 | 96.4 |
| 1409130710 | 92100338 | 98.3 |
| 1409130712 | 92099942 | 97.4 |
| 1409130713 | 92100171 | 97.1 |
| 1409130714 | 92100185 | 97 |
| 1409130715 | 92100115 | 96.1 |
| 1409130716 | 92100024 | 97.5 |
| 1409130718 | 92100488 | 97.3 |
| 1409130719 | 92099629 | 98.3 |
| 1409130720 | 92100135 | 97.3 |
| 1409130721 | 92100214 | 97.2 |
| 1409130722 | 92099880 | 96.1 |
| 1409130723 | 92099970 | 95.1 |
| 1409130725 | 92099987 | 96.1 |
| 1409130726 | 92100347 | 95.6 |
| 1409130727 | 92100127 | 95.7 |
| 1409130728 | 92099930 | 95.6 |
| 1409130729 | 92100216 | 95.6 |
| 1409130730 | 92100157 | 95.7 |
| 1409130732 | 92099998 | 95.3 |
| 1409130733 | 92100354 | 96.2 |
| 1409130734 | 92100401 | 97 |
| 1409130735 | 92099976 | 96.4 |
| 1409130736 | 92100051 | 96.4 |
| 1409130738 | 92099874 | 95.3 |
| 1409130739 | 92099643 | 95.9 |
| 1409130740 | 92100066 | 96.8 |


| 1409130741 | 92099247 | 96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409130742 | 92099671 | 94.9 |
| 1409130743 | 92100429 | 95 |
| 1409130745 | 92100526 | 96.7 |
| 1409130746 | 92100663 | 94.8 |
| 1409130747 | 92100145 | 94.7 |
| 1409130748 | 92100067 | 95.7 |
| 1409130749 | 92100222 | 94.7 |
| 1409130750 | 92100097 | 95.5 |
| 1409130752 | 92099833 | 96 |
| 1409130753 | 92100156 | 96 |
| 1409130754 | 92100352 | 95.8 |
| 1409130755 | 92100094 | 95.7 |
| 1409130756 | 92101113 | 94.9 |
| 1409130757 | 92100026 | 96.2 |
| 1409130759 | 92100995 | 95.1 |
|  |  |  |


| 1409131300 | 92100084 | 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409131301 | 92100011 | 94.1 |
| 1409131302 | 92100031 | 94.7 |
| 1409131303 | 92099032 | 93.8 |
| 1409131305 | 92099365 | 93.8 |
| 1409131306 | 92100037 | 94.8 |
| 1409131307 | 92100097 | 94 |
| 1409131308 | 92100047 | 94.9 |
| 1409131309 | 92099641 | 93.9 |
| 1409131310 | 92099873 | 95 |
| 1409131312 | 92099684 | 93.8 |
| 1409131313 | 92099898 | 94.8 |
| 1409131314 | 92099986 | 93.7 |
| 1409131315 | 92100027 | 94 |
| 1409131316 | 92099979 | 95 |
| 1409131317 | 92100033 | 94 |
| 1409131319 | 92100035 | 95 |
| 1409131320 | 92100081 | 93.9 |
| 1409131321 | 92101147 | 93.7 |
| 1409131322 | 92100504 | 93.9 |
| 1409131323 | 92100440 | 93.8 |
| 1409131324 | 92100306 | 94 |
| 1409131326 | 92100122 | 93.8 |
| 1409131327 | 92099994 | 94 |
| 1409131328 | 92099927 | 94.2 |
| 1409131329 | 92099832 | 93.8 |
| 1409131330 | 92100131 | 95 |
| 1409131332 | 92101127 | 93.9 |
| 1409131333 | 92099985 | 94.8 |
| 1409131334 | 92099738 | 94 |
| 1409131335 | 92098982 | 94.8 |


| 1409131336 | 92100010 | 94.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409131337 | 92100093 | 94.2 |
| 1409131339 | 92100110 | 95.1 |
| 1409131340 | 92099977 | 95.2 |


| 1409132200 | 92100215 | 98.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409132201 | 92100463 | 97.6 |
| 1409132202 | 92099975 | 98.9 |
| 1409132203 | 92099918 | 98.7 |
| 1409132204 | 92100113 | 98.8 |
| 1409132206 | 92099941 | 100.6 |
| 1409132207 | 92100047 | 98.7 |
| 1409132208 | 92099988 | 99.8 |
| 1409132209 | 92099833 | 99 |
| 1409132210 | 92100007 | 99.8 |
| 1409132212 | 92099966 | 100.2 |
| 1409132213 | 92100023 | 100 |
| 1409132214 | 92100335 | 99.8 |
| 1409132215 | 92099797 | 99.4 |
| 1409132216 | 92099889 | 99 |
| 1409132217 | 92100294 | 98.6 |
| 1409132219 | 92100031 | 99 |
| 1409132220 | 92099934 | 99.7 |
| 1409132221 | 92099959 | 98.6 |
| 1409132222 | 92099725 | 99.8 |
| 1409132223 | 92100010 | 99.7 |
| 1409132224 | 92099960 | 99.5 |
| 1409132226 | 92099951 | 98.9 |
| 1409132227 | 92100010 | 97.9 |
| 1409132228 | 92100026 | 99.7 |
| 1409132229 | 92100349 | 99.7 |
| 1409132230 | 92100362 | 99.4 |
| 1409132232 | 92100923 | 99.3 |
| 1409132233 | 92100078 | 99.3 |
| 1409132234 | 92100227 | 98.1 |
| 1409132235 | 92100067 | 99 |
| 1409132236 | 92100143 | 97.9 |
| 1409132237 | 92100065 | 98.7 |
| 1409132239 | 92100164 | 99.9 |
| 1409132240 | 92100109 | 100.1 |
| 1409132241 | 92100106 | 98 |
| 1409132242 | 92100014 | 99.4 |
| 1409132243 | 92100020 | 99 |
| 1409132244 | 92099600 | 98.9 |
| 1409132246 | 92100003 | 98.9 |
| 1409132247 | 92100061 | 98.9 |
| 1409132248 | 92100069 | 99 |
| 1409132249 | 92100050 | 99.2 |


| 1409132250 | 92099974 | 99.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409132252 | 92099971 | 98.4 |
| 1409132253 | 92100056 | 99.2 |
| 1409132254 | 92100032 | 98.3 |
| 1409132255 | 92100215 | 98.1 |
| 1409132256 | 92099933 | 100.4 |
| 1409132257 | 92099837 | 98.2 |
| 1409132259 | 92100188 | 99.2 |


| 1409140700 | 92099981 | 97.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409140701 | 92100004 | 97.3 |
| 1409140702 | 92100237 | 97 |
| 1409140703 | 92100217 | 95.7 |
| 1409140705 | 92099904 | 97.5 |
| 1409140706 | 92100263 | 96 |
| 1409140707 | 92100038 | 97.5 |
| 1409140708 | 92100630 | 96.3 |
| 1409140709 | 92099785 | 97.4 |
| 1409140710 | 92100142 | 97.3 |
| 1409140712 | 92099636 | 97.2 |
| 1409140713 | 92100258 | 96.1 |
| 1409140714 | 92099735 | 97.2 |
| 1409140715 | 92100060 | 97.6 |
| 1409140716 | 92099963 | 97.7 |
| 1409140718 | 92099985 | 97.9 |
| 1409140719 | 92099921 | 96.7 |
| 1409140720 | 92100084 | 96.5 |
| 1409140721 | 92100604 | 96.4 |
| 1409140722 | 92099367 | 96.6 |
| 1409140723 | 92099959 | 97.7 |
| 1409140725 | 92099988 | 96.6 |
| 1409140726 | 92099999 | 97.6 |
| 1409140727 | 92099296 | 97.4 |
| 1409140728 | 92100082 | 97.3 |
| 1409140729 | 92099884 | 96.2 |
| 1409140731 | 92099572 | 96.1 |
| 1409140732 | 92099835 | 97.1 |
| 1409140733 | 92100046 | 96.8 |
| 1409140734 | 92100172 | 96.9 |
| 1409140735 | 92100036 | 96.8 |
| 1409140737 | 92099983 | 96.3 |
| 1409140738 | 92100165 | 96.9 |
| 1409140739 | 92100006 | 97.1 |
| 1409140740 | 92099991 | 96.3 |
| 1409140741 | 92099935 | 97 |
| 1409140743 | 92100232 | 98 |
| 1409140744 | 92099078 | 97.2 |
| 1409140745 | 92099817 | 96 |


| 1409140746 | 92099909 | 97.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409140747 | 92100000 | 96 |
| 1409140749 | 92099811 | 97 |
| 1409140750 | 92100054 | 97.1 |
| 1409140751 | 92100039 | 96.9 |
| 1409140752 | 92100808 | 96.7 |
| 1409140753 | 92099975 | 96.6 |
| 1409140755 | 92100448 | 94.3 |
| 1409140756 | 92101127 | 96.5 |
| 1409140757 | 92100136 | 95 |
| 1409140758 | 92099332 | 94.3 |
| 1409140759 | 92099946 | 96.5 |


| 1409141300 | 92099982 | 94.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409141301 | 92100098 | 93.9 |
| 1409141302 | 92099866 | 93.8 |
| 1409141303 | 92099897 | 93.9 |
| 1409141304 | 92099636 | 94.8 |
| 1409141306 | 92100246 | 93.7 |
| 1409141307 | 92099835 | 93.6 |
| 1409141308 | 92100112 | 93.8 |
| 1409141309 | 92100072 | 94 |
| 1409141310 | 92099957 | 94.1 |
| 1409141312 | 92100176 | 94.8 |
| 1409141313 | 92099986 | 94 |
| 1409141314 | 92099930 | 94 |
| 1409141315 | 92099975 | 94.6 |
| 1409141316 | 92099976 | 93.7 |
| 1409141317 | 92099738 | 93.7 |
| 1409141319 | 92099928 | 94.8 |
| 1409141320 | 92099900 | 93.6 |
| 1409141321 | 92100130 | 94.7 |
| 1409141322 | 92099730 | 92.5 |
| 1409141323 | 92099758 | 93.8 |
| 1409141324 | 92100005 | 94.1 |
| 1409141326 | 92099825 | 94.1 |
| 1409141327 | 92100334 | 94.1 |
| 1409141328 | 92100003 | 93.7 |
| 1409141329 | 92100311 | 94.1 |
| 1409141330 | 92100342 | 93.9 |
| 1409141332 | 92099696 | 92.9 |
| 1409141333 | 92100289 | 93.9 |
| 1409141334 | 92100456 | 94 |
| 1409141335 | 92099884 | 94.7 |
| 1409141336 | 92100073 | 94 |
| 1409141337 | 92100572 | 93.8 |
| 1409141339 | 92100470 | 93.8 |
| 1409141340 | 92100510 | 93.8 |


| 1409141341 | 92100304 | 93.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409141342 | 92099952 | 94.8 |
| 1409141343 | 92099862 | 93.8 |
| 1409141344 | 92100033 | 92.7 |
| 1409141346 | 92100148 | 94.7 |
| 1409141347 | 92099841 | 94 |
| 1409141348 | 92099950 | 93.9 |
| 1409141349 | 92099823 | 94.8 |
| 1409141350 | 92099651 | 94.8 |
| 1409141351 | 92100097 | 93.9 |
| 1409141353 | 92099880 | 93.7 |
| 1409141354 | 92100316 | 93.8 |
| 1409141355 | 92100025 | 93.8 |
| 1409141356 | 92099834 | 94.8 |
| 1409141357 | 92099515 | 95 |
| 1409141359 | 92100004 | 93.8 |
|  |  |  |


| 1409142200 | 92100041 | 98.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409142201 | 92100115 | 100.4 |
| 1409142202 | 92099779 | 100.4 |
| 1409142203 | 92099680 | 100.5 |
| 1409142205 | 92099985 | 100.5 |
| 1409142206 | 92100483 | 99.6 |
| 1409142207 | 92099732 | 100.6 |
| 1409142208 | 92099131 | 98.6 |
| 1409142209 | 92100215 | 99.4 |
| 1409142210 | 92100032 | 99.4 |
| 1409142212 | 92099617 | 99.4 |
| 1409142213 | 92100120 | 99.3 |
| 1409142214 | 92100134 | 100.6 |
| 1409142215 | 92100019 | 101 |
| 1409142216 | 92099970 | 99.6 |
| 1409142217 | 92101501 | 101.6 |
| 1409142219 | 92099467 | 101 |
| 1409142220 | 92101740 | 99.6 |
| 1409142221 | 92099527 | 100.9 |
| 1409142222 | 92098598 | 101 |
| 1409142223 | 92099647 | 100.8 |
| 1409142225 | 92100082 | 100.9 |
| 1409142226 | 92100358 | 100.6 |
| 1409142227 | 92100900 | 100.7 |
| 1409142228 | 92099767 | 98.3 |
| 1409142229 | 92100295 | 99.5 |
| 1409142230 | 92099824 | 101.3 |
| 1409142232 | 92100066 | 99.2 |
| 1409142233 | 92100316 | 99.3 |
| 1409142234 | 92099904 | 99.8 |
| 1409142235 | 92100334 | 100.5 |


| 1409142236 | 92100983 | 99.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409142237 | 92100223 | 100.7 |
| 1409142239 | 92099982 | 101 |
| 1409142240 | 92100019 | 100.1 |
| 1409142241 | 92099978 | 100 |

## APPENDIX 2: UPPER AIR DATA OBTAINED BY WRF-ARW VERSION

## 3.4

POINT ONE

| Date/Time | $\begin{aligned} & : 2015- \\ & 06 \end{aligned}$ | $18$ | 9 | :00UTC | (T+9) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 34 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 24 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 12s | N, 33d | 18m 8.87sE |  |  |
| Height | Pressure | V | apP | ressur | Temperature | RH | N |
| m | hPa | h | Pa |  | K | \% |  |
| 2 | 1006.7 |  |  | 27.1 | 297.8 | 88.9 | 376.5 |
| 35 | 1002.6 |  |  | 26 | 297.5 | 86.7 | 371.1 |
| 40 | 1002 |  |  | 25.9 | 297.4 | 86.8 | 370.8 |
| 45 | 1001.5 |  |  | 25.9 | 297.4 | 86.9 | 370.6 |
| 50 | 1000.9 |  |  | 25.9 | 297.3 | 87 | 370.3 |
| 75 | 998.1 |  |  | 25.6 | 297.1 | 87.4 | 369 |
| 100 | 995.2 |  |  | 25.4 | 296.9 | 87.9 | 367.7 |
| 125 | 992.4 |  |  | 25.1 | 296.6 | 87.9 | 366 |
| 150 | 989.5 |  |  | 24.8 | 296.5 | 87.8 | 364.2 |
| 175 | 986.7 |  |  | 24.5 | 296.3 | 87.7 | 362.4 |
| 200 | 983.9 |  |  | 24.2 | 296.1 | 87.6 | 360.7 |
| 225 | 981.1 |  |  | 23.2 | 296.1 | 84.1 | 355.6 |
| 250 | 978.3 |  |  | 22.1 | 296.2 | 79.7 | 350 |
| 275 | 975.5 |  |  | 21 | 296.3 | 75.4 | 344.6 |
| 300 | 972.7 |  |  | 20 | 296.4 | 71.1 | 339.4 |
| 325 | 969.9 |  |  | 19 | 296.5 | 66.8 | 334.4 |
| 350 | 967.1 |  |  | 18.1 | 296.7 | 63.4 | 329.9 |
| 375 | 964.4 |  |  | 17.3 | 296.8 | 60.4 | 325.6 |
| 400 | 961.6 |  |  | 16.6 | 296.9 | 57.3 | 321.4 |
| 425 | 958.9 |  |  | 15.8 | 297.1 | 54.2 | 317.3 |
| 450 | 956.1 |  |  | 15.1 | 297.2 | 51.2 | 313.4 |
| 275 | 975.5 |  |  | 21 | 296.3 | 75.4 | 344.6 |
| 500 | 950.7 |  |  | 13.9 | 297.4 | 45.9 | 306.5 |
| 525 | 948 |  |  | 13.5 | 297.4 | 44.8 | 304.3 |
| 550 | 945.3 |  |  | 13.1 | 297.4 | 43.7 | 302.1 |
| 575 | 942.6 |  |  | 12.8 | 297.3 | 42.5 | 299.9 |
| 600 | 940 |  |  | 12.4 | 297.3 | 41.4 | 297.7 |
| 625 | 937.3 |  |  | 12.1 | 297.3 | 40.3 | 295.6 |
| 650 | 934.6 |  |  | 11.7 | 297.3 | 39.1 | 293.5 |
| 675 | 931.9 |  |  | 11.4 | 297.3 | 38 | 291.5 |
| 700 | 929.2 |  |  | 11.2 | 297.2 | 37.2 | 289.8 |
| 725 | 926.6 |  |  | 11 | 297.1 | 36.9 | 288.5 |
| 750 | 924 |  |  | 10.9 | 297 | 36.6 | 287.3 |
| 775 | 921.4 |  |  | 10.7 | 296.9 | 36.4 | 286.1 |
| 800 | 918.7 |  |  | 10.6 | 296.8 | 36.1 | 284.9 |
| 825 | 916.1 |  |  | 10.4 | 296.7 | 35.8 | 283.8 |


$\left.$| 850 | 913.5 |  | 10.3 | 296.6 | 35.5 | 282.6 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 875 | 910.9 |  | 10.1 | 296.6 | 35.2 | 281.4 |
| 900 | 908.2 |  | 10 | 296.5 | 34.9 | 280.2 |
| 925 | 905.6 |  | 9.9 | 296.4 | 34.7 | 279.1 |
| 950 | 903 |  | 9.8 | 296.2 | 34.6 | 278.2 |
| 975 | 900.4 |  | 9.7 | 296.1 | 34.8 | 277.5 |
| 1000 | 897.9 |  | 9.7 | 295.9 | 34.9 | 276.7 |
| 1050 | 892.7 |  | 9.6 | 295.7 | 35.2 | 275.3 |
| 1100 | 887.6 |  | 9.5 | 295.4 | 35.6 | 273.9 |
| 1150 | 882.4 |  | 9.4 | 295.1 | 35.9 | 272.5 |
| 1200 | 877.3 |  | 9.4 | 294.7 | 36.6 | 271.5 |
| 1250 | 872.3 |  | 9.4 | 294.4 | 37.4 | 270.5 |
| 1300 | 867.3 |  | 9.4 | 294.1 | 38.2 | 269.6 |
| 1350 | 862.2 |  | 9.5 | 293.7 | 39 | 268.7 |
| 1400 | 857.3 |  | 9.5 | 293.3 | 40.3 | 268 |
| 1450 | 852.3 |  | 9.6 | 292.9 | 41.6 | 267.4 |
| 1500 | 847.4 |  | 9.6 | 292.5 | 42.9 | 266.7 |
| 1550 | 842.5 |  | 9.7 | 292.1 | 44.1 | 266 |
| 1600 | 837.6 |  | 9.6 | 291.7 | 45.2 | 265.1 |
| 1650 | 832.7 |  | 9.6 | 291.3 | 46.3 | 264.2 |
| 1700 | 827.9 |  | 9.6 | 290.9 | 47.5 | 263.2 |
| 1750 | 823 |  |  | 9.5 | 290.5 | 47.9 | 261.8 \right\rvert\,

## POINT TWO

| Date/Time | $\begin{aligned} & : 2015- \\ & 08 \end{aligned}$ | $30$ | 9 | :00UTC | (T+9) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location: | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 33 \mathrm{~d} \\ 47 \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 17 | 48s | N, 33d | 45m 51.56sE |  |  |
| Height | Pressure | V | apP | ressur | Temperature | RH | N |
| m | hPa | h | Pa |  | K | \% |  |
| 2 | 1010.5 |  |  | 23.2 | 302.1 | 58.6 | 354.3 |
| 35 | 1006.4 |  |  | 18.7 | 301.8 | 47.9 | 335.4 |
| 40 | 1005.8 |  |  | 18.6 | 301.7 | 47.8 | 335.1 |
| 45 | 1005.3 |  |  | 18.6 | 301.7 | 47.8 | 334.8 |
| 50 | 1004.7 |  |  | 18.5 | 301.6 | 47.8 | 334.4 |
| 75 | 1001.9 |  |  | 18.2 | 301.4 | 47.7 | 332.8 |
| 100 | 999.1 |  |  | 17.9 | 301.2 | 47.6 | 331.2 |
| 125 | 996.3 |  |  | 17.8 | 300.9 | 47.7 | 330.1 |
| 150 | 993.5 |  |  | 17.6 | 300.7 | 47.9 | 328.9 |
| 175 | 990.7 |  |  | 17.4 | 300.5 | 48.1 | 327.8 |
| 200 | 987.8 |  |  | 17.2 | 300.2 | 48.3 | 326.7 |
| 225 | 985.1 |  |  | 17 | 300 | 48 | 325 |
| 250 | 982.3 |  |  | 16.7 | 299.8 | 47.8 | 323.3 |
| 275 | 979.5 |  |  | 16.4 | 299.6 | 47.5 | 321.7 |
| 300 | 976.7 |  |  | 16.1 | 299.4 | 47.2 | 320 |
| 325 | 973.9 |  |  | 15.8 | 299.2 | 46.9 | 318.4 |
| 350 | 971.1 |  |  | 15.2 | 299.1 | 45.7 | 315.6 |
| 375 | 968.4 |  |  | 14.7 | 298.9 | 44.4 | 312.6 |
| 400 | 965.6 |  |  | 14.1 | 298.8 | 43 | 309.7 |
| 425 | 962.9 |  |  | 13.6 | 298.7 | 41.7 | 306.9 |
| 450 | 960.1 |  |  | 13.1 | 298.6 | 40.3 | 304.2 |
| 275 | 979.5 |  |  | 16.4 | 299.6 | 47.5 | 321.7 |
| 500 | 954.7 |  |  | 12.2 | 298.3 | 38 | 299.3 |
| 525 | 952 |  |  | 11.9 | 298.2 | 37.4 | 297.6 |
| 550 | 949.3 |  |  | 11.6 | 298.1 | 36.8 | 295.8 |
| 575 | 946.6 |  |  | 11.3 | 297.9 | 36.2 | 294.1 |
| 600 | 943.9 |  |  | 11 | 297.8 | 35.6 | 292.4 |
| 625 | 941.2 |  |  | 10.8 | 297.7 | 35 | 290.7 |
| 650 | 938.5 |  |  | 10.5 | 297.5 | 34.4 | 289 |
| 675 | 935.8 |  |  | 10.2 | 297.4 | 33.8 | 287.4 |
| 700 | 933.2 |  |  | 10 | 297.3 | 33.2 | 285.8 |
| 725 | 930.5 |  |  | 9.7 | 297.1 | 32.6 | 284.1 |
| 750 | 927.9 |  |  | 9.5 | 297 | 32.1 | 282.5 |
| 775 | 925.3 |  |  | 9.2 | 296.9 | 31.5 | 280.9 |
| 800 | 922.6 |  |  | 9 | 296.7 | 30.9 | 279.4 |
| 825 | 920 |  |  | 8.8 | 296.6 | 30.4 | 277.8 |
| 850 | 917.4 |  |  | 8.5 | 296.5 | 29.8 | 276.3 |


| 875 | 914.7 |  | 8.3 | 296.3 | 29.2 | 274.8 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 900 | 912.1 |  | 8.1 | 296.2 | 28.7 | 273.3 |
| 925 | 909.4 |  | 7.9 | 296.1 | 28.1 | 271.9 |
| 950 | 906.8 |  | 7.7 | 295.9 | 27.7 | 270.6 |
| 975 | 904.2 |  | 7.6 | 295.8 | 27.4 | 269.5 |
| 1000 | 901.7 |  | 7.4 | 295.6 | 27.2 | 268.3 |
| 1050 | 896.5 |  | 7.1 | 295.3 | 26.7 | 266.1 |
| 1100 | 891.3 |  | 6.9 | 295 | 26.1 | 263.9 |
| 1150 | 886.1 |  | 6.6 | 294.7 | 25.6 | 261.7 |
| 1200 | 881 |  | 6.5 | 294.4 | 25.6 | 260.1 |
| 1250 | 875.9 |  | 6.3 | 294 | 25.7 | 258.6 |
| 1300 | 870.9 |  | 6.2 | 293.6 | 25.8 | 257 |
| 1350 | 865.8 |  | 6.1 | 293.2 | 25.9 | 255.6 |
| 1400 | 860.8 |  | 6 | 292.8 | 26.1 | 254.2 |
| 1450 | 855.8 |  | 5.9 | 292.5 | 26.4 | 252.9 |
| 1500 | 850.8 |  | 5.8 | 292.1 | 26.6 | 251.5 |
| 1550 | 845.8 |  | 5.8 | 291.7 | 27 | 250.3 |
| 1600 | 840.9 |  | 5.7 | 291.2 | 27.7 | 249.3 |
| 1650 | 836 |  | 5.7 | 290.8 | 28.3 | 248.3 |
| 1700 | 831.1 |  | 5.7 | 290.4 | 29 | 247.3 |
| 1750 | 826.3 |  | 5.8 | 290 | 30.2 | 246.7 |
| 1800 | 821.5 |  | 5.9 | 289.5 | 31.7 | 246.2 |
| 1850 | 816.7 |  | 5.9 | 289.1 | 33.2 | 245.8 |
| 1900 | 811.9 |  | 6 | 288.6 | 34.7 | 245.4 |
| 1950 | 807.1 |  | 6.1 | 288.1 | 36.2 | 244.9 |
| 2000 | 802.3 |  | 6.2 | 287.7 | 37.7 | 244.5 |
| 2100 | 793 |  | 6.4 | 286.7 | 41.6 | 243.6 |
| 2200 | 783.6 |  | 6.5 | 285.7 | 45.6 | 242.7 |
| 2300 | 774.3 |  | 6.7 | 284.8 | 49.5 | 241.8 |
| 2400 | 765 |  | 6.7 | 283.8 | 52.6 | 240.3 |
| 2500 | 755.9 |  | 6.5 | 283 | 53.4 | 237.4 |
| 2600 | 746.9 |  | 6.2 | 282.1 | 54.3 | 234.5 |
| 2700 | 737.8 |  | 5.9 | 281.3 | 55.2 | 231.6 |
| 2800 | 728.9 |  | 5.4 | 280.5 | 53.4 | 227.5 |
| 2900 | 720.2 |  | 4.8 | 279.9 | 49.8 | 222.7 |
| 3000 | 711.4 |  | 4.3 | 279.2 | 46.2 | 218.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

POINT THREE

| Date/Time | $\begin{aligned} & : 2^{2015-} \\ & 07 \end{aligned}$ | $12$ | 9 | :00UTC | (T+9) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 30 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 49 | 68s | N, 34d | 37 m 42.44 sE |  |  |
| Height | Pressure | V | apP | ressur | Temperature | RH | N |
| m | hPa | h | Pa |  | K | \% |  |
| 2 | 1006.8 |  |  | 25.4 | 298.5 | 79.5 | 368 |
| 35 | 1002.8 |  |  | 23.7 | 298.1 | 76.2 | 360.7 |
| 40 | 1002.2 |  |  | 23.7 | 298 | 76.2 | 360.4 |
| 45 | 1001.6 |  |  | 23.6 | 298 | 76.3 | 360.2 |
| 50 | 1001 |  |  | 23.6 | 297.9 | 76.4 | 359.9 |
| 75 | 998.2 |  |  | 23.4 | 297.7 | 76.9 | 358.7 |
| 100 | 995.4 |  |  | 23.2 | 297.4 | 77.4 | 357.5 |
| 125 | 992.5 |  |  | 23.1 | 297.2 | 78.1 | 356.6 |
| 150 | 989.7 |  |  | 23 | 296.9 | 78.8 | 355.7 |
| 175 | 986.9 |  |  | 22.8 | 296.7 | 79.6 | 354.9 |
| 200 | 984.1 |  |  | 22.7 | 296.5 | 80.3 | 354 |
| 225 | 981.3 |  |  | 22.6 | 296.2 | 81.2 | 353.2 |
| 250 | 978.5 |  |  | 22.5 | 296 | 82 | 352.4 |
| 275 | 975.7 |  |  | 22.4 | 295.7 | 82.9 | 351.6 |
| 300 | 972.9 |  |  | 22.3 | 295.5 | 83.7 | 350.9 |
| 325 | 970.1 |  |  | 22.2 | 295.3 | 84.6 | 350.1 |
| 350 | 967.3 |  |  | 22.1 | 295 | 85.6 | 349.3 |
| 375 | 964.5 |  |  | 22.1 | 294.8 | 86.5 | 348.6 |
| 400 | 961.7 |  |  | 22 | 294.5 | 87.5 | 347.9 |
| 425 | 959 |  |  | 21.9 | 294.3 | 88.5 | 347.2 |
| 450 | 956.2 |  |  | 21.8 | 294 | 89.5 | 346.4 |
| 275 | 975.7 |  |  | 22.4 | 295.7 | 82.9 | 351.6 |
| 500 | 950.7 |  |  | 21.3 | 293.6 | 89.7 | 343.4 |
| 525 | 948 |  |  | 20.7 | 293.5 | 87.8 | 340.2 |
| 550 | 945.2 |  |  | 20.1 | 293.4 | 85.9 | 337 |
| 575 | 942.5 |  |  | 19.5 | 293.2 | 84 | 333.9 |
| 600 | 939.8 |  |  | 18.9 | 293.1 | 82.1 | 330.9 |
| 625 | 937.1 |  |  | 18.3 | 293 | 80.2 | 327.9 |
| 650 | 934.4 |  |  | 17.8 | 292.9 | 78.3 | 325 |
| 675 | 931.7 |  |  | 17.3 | 292.7 | 76.4 | 322.1 |
| 700 | 929 |  |  | 16.8 | 292.6 | 74.9 | 319.5 |
| 725 | 926.4 |  |  | 16.4 | 292.6 | 73.4 | 317.1 |
| 750 | 923.7 |  |  | 16 | 292.5 | 72 | 314.7 |
| 775 | 921.1 |  |  | 15.6 | 292.4 | 70.6 | 312.4 |
| 800 | 918.4 |  |  | 15.2 | 292.3 | 69.2 | 310.1 |
| 825 | 915.8 |  |  | 14.8 | 292.2 | 67.8 | 307.8 |
| 850 | 913.2 |  |  | 14.4 | 292.1 | 66.4 | 305.6 |
| 875 | 910.5 |  |  | 14 | 292 | 65 | 303.3 |
| 900 | 907.9 |  |  | 13.7 | 291.9 | 63.6 | 301.2 |


| 925 | 905.2 |  | 13.3 | 291.8 | 62.2 | 299 |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 950 | 902.6 |  | 12.8 | 291.8 | 60.3 | 296.2 |
| 975 | 900 |  | 12.3 | 291.7 | 58.2 | 293.3 |
| 1000 | 897.4 |  | 11.8 | 291.6 | 56.2 | 290.4 |
| 1050 | 892.1 |  | 10.8 | 291.5 | 52.1 | 285 |
| 1100 | 886.9 |  | 9.9 | 291.4 | 48 | 279.8 |
| 1150 | 881.6 |  | 9.1 | 291.3 | 43.9 | 274.9 |
| 1200 | 876.5 |  | 8.2 | 291.2 | 40.1 | 269.6 |
| 1250 | 871.4 |  | 7.3 | 291.1 | 36.3 | 264.6 |
| 1300 | 866.3 |  | 6.6 | 290.9 | 32.5 | 260 |
| 1350 | 861.2 |  | 5.8 | 290.9 | 28.9 | 255.4 |
| 1400 | 856.2 |  | 5 | 290.8 | 25.5 | 250.6 |
| 1450 | 851.2 |  | 4.3 | 290.8 | 22.1 | 246.2 |
| 1500 | 846.2 |  | 3.7 | 290.7 | 18.7 | 242.3 |
| 1550 | 841.3 |  | 3.3 | 290.6 | 16.4 | 239 |
| 1600 | 836.3 |  | 2.9 | 290.6 | 14.7 | 236.1 |
| 1650 | 831.4 |  | 2.5 | 290.5 | 13.1 | 233.3 |
| 1700 | 826.5 |  | 2.2 | 290.4 | 11.4 | 230.8 |
| 1750 | 821.8 |  | 2.1 | 290.2 | 10.8 | 229 |
| 1800 | 817 |  | 2 | 290 | 10.4 | 227.4 |
| 1850 | 812.2 |  | 1.9 | 289.8 | 10 | 225.8 |
| 1900 | 807.5 |  | 1.8 | 289.5 | 9.6 | 224.3 |
| 1950 | 802.7 |  | 1.7 | 289.3 | 9.1 | 222.8 |
| 2000 | 798 |  | 1.6 | 289.1 | 8.7 | 221.1 |
| 2100 | 788.7 |  | 1.2 | 288.8 | 7.3 | 217.4 |
| 2200 | 779.5 |  | 0.9 | 288.4 | 5.9 | 213.9 |
| 2300 | 770.2 |  | 0.7 | 288.1 | 4.5 | 210.7 |
| 2400 | 761.1 |  | 0.5 | 287.8 | 3.4 | 207.6 |
| 2500 | 752.2 |  | 0.3 | 287.5 | 2.6 | 204.6 |
| 2600 | 743.3 |  | 0.2 | 287.1 | 1.8 | 201.8 |
| 2700 | 734.4 |  | 0.1 | 286.8 | 1 | 199.3 |
| 2800 | 725.7 |  | 0.1 | 286.5 | 0.7 | 197 |
| 2900 | 717.2 |  | 0.1 | 286 | 0.6 | 194.9 |
| 3000 | 708.6 |  | 0.1 | 285.6 | 0.5 | 192.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX 3: L.C.D MONITOR PROGRAMMING CODE

S104FFBDE05F

S104FFBFC07D

S11FFDD0E200E21FE232E269E28BE2BDE2C8E2D8E2EAE33CE35DE372E387E399F B

S123E2004F4C87F6AF019EE10686270D4D2708410D05410A0220EA99814A9EE70598 819E28

S123E220E605270C4A270987F6E70186AF014BF798819EE605271AF6898B9EFE0BF1 8A88CE

S123E24026104A270CAF019E6C0A26EB9E6C0920E6988587A487879EE606A4789EE 706860C

S123E2609EEA059EE705868481F6898B9EFE0BFA8A8827DDF6898B9EFE0BF18A88 26D3AFDB

S123E280019E6C0A26E39E6C0920DEF6898B9EFE0BFA8A8827BBF6CDED8F898B9 EFE0B872B

S123E2A0F6CDED8F879EE6029EE10186868A8826A1AF019E6C0A26D39E6C0920C EF62716B8S104FFBDE05F

S104FFBFC07D

S11FFDD0E200E21FE232E269E28BE2BDE2C8E2D8E2EAE33CE35DE372E387E399F B

S123E2004F4C87F6AF019EE10686270D4D2708410D05410A0220EA99814A9EE70598 819E28

S123E220E605270C4A270987F6E70186AF014BF798819EE605271AF6898B9EFE0BF1 8A88CE

S123E24026104A270CAF019E6C0A26EB9E6C0920E6988587A487879EE606A4789EE 706860C

S123E280019E6C0A26E39E6C0920DEF6898B9EFE0BFA8A8827BBF6CDED8F898B9 EFE0B872B

S123E2A0F6CDED8F879EE6029EE10186868A8826A1AF019E6C0A26D39E6C0920C EF62716B8

S123E2C0CDED8FF7AF0120F5F6270BAF0187F6CDED8FF7864BF598814F7D27094C 2704AF9F

S123E2E00120F699819EE70598819EE6059E6D0927489EE6094A87899EEB018897240 58BF6

S123E3009E6C018A86F6271187E601879EE602E70186A701AF014D26EFE7019EEE03 898A83

S123E3209EEE069EE6094A87899EEB01889724058B9E6C018A869EE605F798819EE6 05279F

S123E3401A4A87899EEB01889724058B9E6C018A867D2707E601F7AF0120F698819E E605DC

S123E360F12706A601830920F44D27EFA601830998819EE6057D270D879EE60AF186 260198

S123E380F7AF0120F098819EE605270B87F69EE806F786AF014BF59881830725424D2 73 FBB

S123E3A087898B87899EEB01889724058B9E6C018A86AFFF898B449EE7059EFE03F 6879EEC

S123E3C0FE02F69EFE04F7869EFE01F7AFFF9EFF019EFE03AF019EFF039E6B05DD A7059828

S104E3E081B7

S107FDECE3E1E43F28

S123E3E10E11FDA7FC6EF916A6409EE7039E6F019E6F026E1A100F10FDBE12B613 9EEB0279

S123E4019EE7029F9EE9019EE7019E6B03E54500409EE601529EE7019EE602529EE7 029EF3

S123E421E602A0EF9EE7029EE601A2009EE7019E6802A6199EE002A7049EE7059881 9E6F8A

S123E441069EE6052A0140AE0942879F4500055286529E6D052A01409E6D052A039E 63066B

S116E461AB209EE7059EE606A9009EE706859EE70498816A

S123FDF0E499E53AE474E4C9E523E527E628E62DE55DE602E484E490E66BE65EE6 62E65AF6

S119FE10E653E645E64CE632E635E63DE641E56EE571E575E57964

S123E474A601AD044500607FAD4D4500028345814500607C9EE605CDE57D2063450 0607C01

S123E4949EE605205AAD7945002D8345A628AD5CAD2F4500058345AD1ECDE62DA DC2AD1560

S123E4B445E687831F45E698832045E6A9832145E6B9832281A60CCDE677AD30AD0 3CCE6ED

S123E4D477AD3DAD05180287200418028762A40F87B602A4F09EEA01B7028686190 281CD0C

S123E4F4E677AD05ADDBCCE6771C02811D028187C61842AA0FC71842B603A4F0B 703868176

S123E51487B603AA50B703B603AA0FB7038681A60D20A4A60E20A0A43FAA40209 AA1402544

S123E53404A040AA80819EE605A107221A484848ADE5A60887F6CDE677A41FADA 2AF019EA8

S123E5546B01F2864F200599819EE6054D2A02AB40A47FB760AA80CCE4CB4F20EF A68020C1

S123E574EBA61020E7A69020E3898B45E592710AAF0165E60225F78A8881F68A8881 804161

S123E594814282D483008445855A864887D68849894B8AD78B4D8C4E8DD88E4F8FD 99050B2

S123E5B491DA9254935994DC955896DD97DE98DF99E09AF29BE29CE39DE49EE59 FE6A0E739

S123E5D4A1E8A2E9A3EAA4EBA5ECA66FA7EDA8EEA9EFAA01ABF0ACF1AD02 AE03AFF3E0F4D2

S123E5F4E1DFE2E3E5A1E6A2E7A3E48BE89AAD73B66087A43FA1102302A01040A B10270DA0

S123E6142B0B87A620CDE4F39E6B01F98686CDE531CCE560A604CCE4CBA606CC E4CB4F20FD

S123E63402A640CDE560831981A61020F6A69020F2A610CDE5602012A690CDE5602 00BA684

S123E65440CDE56020044FCDE560AD0220D8F62710831AAF0120F79EFE07ADF2AF 019EFF04

S123E674079881898B450032AFFF65000026F98A88998141535069535953204669726D 7708

S123E694617265004F532D38204C434420447269766572200020417468656E732020477 208

S118E6B465656365002863292032303038207620322E303400A3

S10BFE26E6C9E6E8E6FAE6EDA0

S123E6C99EFE07832D831D832E4C87899EEB01889724058B9E6C018A869EFF078319 818371

S123E6E92D831D819E6F057D27169E6C05AF0120F6832E40AB1044270797A620831 A5BFCAF

S123E7099881A60587A60145E71E83114CAF089E6B01F7868110101010101010101010 145D

S123E7291414141010141414141414141414141515151514141515151515151515898B87 E9 ED33EB54E7

S10BFE4EEBDEEC5AEC45EC601C

S123E77899819EE60527F97FAF014BFB98819EE60527ED874FFAAF019E6B01F94D8 626E033

S123E79898819EE60527D987898B9EAE898B9EFE039ECE02898B9EFE03F6AF019EF F039E1C

S123E7B8FE01F7AF019EFF019E6B07EAA7079881A800859EE7049EE60527A587898 B9EAE46

S123E7D8898B9EFE039ECE02898B9EFE03F6AF019EFF039EFE01F185AF019EFF018 42604F4

S123E7F89E6B07E6A7079EE70484819EE60573AF014BFB9EE6058799AFFFF6A900F 79E6BE3

S123E81801F68698819EE604A4FE9EE704200C9EE604AA019EE704200299819EE605 27F9C6

S123E838A7EE9EFF099EAE87899EEB01889724058B9E6C018A86AFFF9EFF079EFE 099ECE4A

S123E8580287899EEB01889724058B9E6C018A86AFFF9EFF059EFE099ECE0487899E EB0113

S123E878889724058B9E6C018A86AFFF9EFF039EE701989EFE07F6AFFF9EFF079EF E05870F

S123E8989EE617A501862603F92001F2AFFF9EFF059EFE03F7AFFF9EFF039E6B01D 5A71294

S123E8B88199819EE60527F9A7EE9EE70B9EFF099EAE87899EEB01889724058B9E6 C018ADF

S123E8D8869EFF079EFE099ECE049EFF037F6F01AF024BF9C718009EFE07AFFF9E FF079EE7

S123E8F8FE099ECE029EE6179EE70C87899EEB01889724058B9E6C018A869EFF059 EFE0590

S123E918AFFF9EFF05F69EFE07FE429EEF0D9EE70E9EFE039EE60B9EEB0C9EE701 87899E8E

S123E938EB01889724058B9E6C018A86AFFE9EE60EEB01E7019EE60DF9F79E6A01 9E6A013B

S123E958270AAFFFF6A900F79E6B01F69E6B0CAD9E6B0B80A7129881A7129981A7 EE9EE612

S123E9781727F59EFF099ECE06832F9EFE099ECE04832F9EFE099EAE83302503CCE B4E9E48

S123E998FE099ECE02833024CF898B9EFE0B9EAE898B958332A704271924329EFE0 99ECE89

S123E9B806898B9EFE0B9EAE898B958331A704CCEB4E9EFE099ECE04AFFF87899 EEB01883C

S123E9D89724058B9E6C018A867CCCEB4EAE08429EEF019EE702C718009EE6179E FE099E6A

S123E9F8CE02898B9EFE0B9ECE06898B958332A704245C9EFE099ECE06833A9EFE 099EAEB0

S123EA18F6A4804849879EFE0A9ECE069EE6184A87899EEB01889724058B9E6C018 A86F62C

S123EA38A4FE9EEA01F7869EE6179EFE099ECE08898B9EFE0B9EAE898B958331A7 049EFEB3

S123EA58099EAE833A9EFE01AFFF9EFF0120879EE6179EFE099EAE898B9EFE0B9E CE0889B4

S123EA788B958331A7049EFE099ECE06833B9EFE01AF019EFF01C718009EFE01260 3CCEBDF

S123EA984EAFFF9EFF019EE6179EFE099ECE06833A9EFE099EAEF6A4804849879E FE0A9EEA

S123EAB8CE069EE6184A87899EEB01889724058B9E6C018A86F6A4FE9EEA01F786 9EE617BF

S123EAD89EFE099ECE08898B9EFE0B9ECE02898B9EFE0D9ECE06898B958335A70 69EE61700

S123EAF89EFE099EAE833A9EFE099ECE08F6A880A4804849879EE6189EFE0A9EC E04833A6A

S123EB184A87899EEB01889724058B9E6C018A86F6A4FE9EEA01F7864100189EE61 79EFED9

S123EB38099ECE06898B9EFE0B9ECE08898B958331A704CCEA8EA712988199819E E6052727

S123EB58F9A7EE9EFF099EAE9EFF079EFF119EFE099ECE02898BAE0C42898AAE0 5528A887A

S123EB784C9EE70287899EEB01889724058B9E6C018A867FAFFF9EFF0D9EE6179EE 7019E88

S123EB98FE079EFF1145000AC718009EFF0B9EFE11F69EFE0B529EFF0B9EFE11F7 AF019E9A

S123EBB8FF119EFE0B9E6B01DF8B869EFF0B9EFE0DAB30F7AFFF9EFF0D9EFE0B 9E6B02B9A2

S123EBD8A712988199819EE60527F9A7EE9EFF099EAE9EFF077FAF014BFB9EFE09 9ECE02D1

S123EBF89EFF03C718009EFE079EE61783379EE6179EE7019EFE03F6AF019EFF0341 002912

S123EC18879EFE089EE6184A87899EEB01889724058B9E6C018A8686A030F9F74FA FFF9E5E

S123EC386B01F79EFE037D26BAA7129881C71800A630F1260B6D012707A620F7AF0 120ED9A

S123EC589881898BADE78A88A620F12613898BC718007D2707E601F7AF0120F38A8 820E87C

S123EC789881A60A879EE6068338868199819EE60527F987899EEB01889724058B9E6 C01A1

S123EC988A86874F878787C71800AFFF89F69EEE0E429EEB039EEF03974FA900879 F889EFE

S123ECB8EB049EEF0488F74F9EE9039EE7039E6B04D59EE6029EEB03A7049EE705 988199FD
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APPENDIX 4: CONTROL SYSTEM PROGRAMMING CODE


## APPENDIX 5: BASIC CONVERTED FROM LOGICATOR FOR PICAXE

 FLOWCHART```
;Symbols
{
Symbol varA = b0
Symbol varB = b1
Symbol varC = b2
Symbol varD = b3
Symbol varE = b4
Symbol varF = b5
Symbol varG = b6
Symbol varH = b7
}
Main:
label_9: let pins = 3 '%000000011
    readadc 0,b8 'read A0 into b8
    let varA = b8 'Expression command
    let pins = 6 '%00000110
    readadc 1,b9 'read A1 into b9
    let varB = b9 'Expression command
    let pins = 12 '%00001100
    readadc 2,b10 'read A2 into b10
    let varC = b10 'Expression command
    let pins =24 '%00011000
```

readadc 3,b11 'read A3 into b11
let $\operatorname{varD}=\mathrm{b} 11 \quad$ 'Expression command gosub prc_AO'Do Procedure gosub prc_A1 'Do Procedure gosub prc_A2 'Do Procedure gosub prc_A3 'Do Procedure pause 5000 'Wait command goto label_9
prc_A3:
let pins $=0 \quad$ ' \%00000000 if varD > varA then label_66 'Compare command if varD > varB then label_66 'Compare command if varD > varC then label_66 'Compare command
label_67: return 'Return
label_66: let pins $=24 \quad$ ' $\% 00011000$
goto label_67
prc_A2:
let pins $=0 \quad$ ' \%00000000
if varC > varA then label_59 'Compare command if varC > varB then label_59 'Compare command if $\operatorname{varC}>$ varD then label_59 'Compare command
label_59: let pins $=12 \quad$ ' $\% 00001100$ goto label_60
prc_A1:
let pins $=0 \quad ' \% 00000000$
if varB > varA then label_51 'Compare command if $\operatorname{varB}>$ varC then label_51 'Compare command if $\operatorname{varB}>\operatorname{varD}$ then label_51 'Compare command label_52: return 'Return
label_51: let pins $=6 \quad$ ' $\% 00000110$ goto label_52
prc_AO:
let pins $=0 \quad ' \% 00000000$
if varA > varB then label_39 'Compare command if varA > varC then label_39 'Compare command if varA > varD then label_39 'Compare command
label_44: return 'Return
label_39: let pins $=3 \quad$ ' $\% 00000011$
goto label_44
\#no_data 'reduce download time
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# Analysis of VHF Propagation Mechanisms that Cause Interference from the Middle East within the Southern Coastal Regions of Cyprus 

Antonis Constantinides, Panayiotis Michael


#### Abstract

Interference is a very important factor in the planning of digital and analog VHF terrestrial radio services. The most common cause of interference in band II \& III occurs under line of sight conditions and thus many times can be skipped. Nevertheless, a more complicated case of interference occurs when an unwanted signal travels beyond the horizon due to atmospheric refraction based on specific weather conditions. A case of such abnormal propagation mechanism has been examined in the Mediterranean Sea during the months June, July and August 2015 due to the radio interference which plaguing the southern coast of Cyprus for years. The model based on which calculations were made is the Weather Research Forecasting (WRF-ARW version 3.4). Furthermore, this study utilizes real world measurements in Band II based on current overseas radio transmissions monitored beyond the horizon in clear spectrum during the hot dry months of the summer. The focus was specifically on the field strength variations versus the type of duct favouring the radio waves in Band II, allowing them to travel between the Middle East to beyond the horizon in Cyprus, since line of sight conditions do not exist between the two regions.


Keywords: Abnormal Interference, Propagation Mechanism, Tropospheric Ducting, Refraction.

## 1. INDRODUCTION

THIS PAPER presents the types of tropospheric ducting that favours the overseas transmissions from the Middle East, allowing them to cause a strong destructive interference in the local radio services along the southern coast of Cyprus during the hot dry months of the summer. The research has been executed as the co-channel and adjacent-channel radio interference degrades the reception quality in major service areas within the cities of Limassol, Paphos, Larnaca and their suburbs, as illustrated on the map presented in Figure 1.


Figure 1: The Area Affected by Interference from the Middle East
For example, the interference adversely affects the "in car listening" quality across the main highway that connects the aforementioned cities. Under severe interference conditions, at random locations within the affected area, an automobile receiver demodulates unwanted signals, rather than the desired program to which it has been tuned. Empirical evidence indicates that this phenomenon is more pronounced in motion due to multipath-induced fading. [1] According to the study, the monthly average field strength intensity of the unwanted overseas transmissions fluctuates. However, the graphs
demonstrated in this report, indicate that sometimes these effects, exceeds the free space level of the local radio transmissions even in the order of 10 dB with duration of few hours when a surface duct causing trapping conditions. Furthermore, the field strength intensity of these unwanted transmissions depends on the weather conditions, and thus varies with the season and the time of reception. For instance,
the phenomenon appears weak during the spring and peaks during the hot, dry summer months. During the autumn, the effect weakens again and vanishes completely in the winter. In contrast with other extant propagation mechanism case studies conducted in other regions of the world such as Korea, Nigeria, Japan[2][3][4][8], the model based on which calculations were made in this study is the Weather Research Forecasting (WRFARW version 3.4). Furthermore, real world measurements in Band II ( 87.5 to 108.0 MHz ) have been carried out based on existing overseas radio transmissions monitored beyond the horizon in clear spectrum which are given below. The aim was to investigate their characteristics in terms of propagation mechanisms provided by the following ITU Recommendations (ITU-RP.452, 453, and 834) [5][6][7]. These recommendations provide the testing procedures and mathematical expressions incorporating the meteorological parameters that affect the radio refractivity of the Troposphere that permits the overseas radio waves to travel beyond the horizon and cause interference (more details on this phenomenon are provided below).

[^5]It was not practically possible to conduct field strength measurements at every single reception point within the southern coast of Cyprus. Consequently, it was important to identify a reference point that can serve as a permanent and reliable source of measurements of field strength intensity of the unwanted overseas transmissions on a daily basis. This was achieved in the northern part of Limassol ( $34^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 37.14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 33^{\circ}$ $1^{\prime} 15.26$ "E E $^{\prime}$. The location is at 393 ft above sea level (asl) and has an absolute line of sight with the coast of Limassol. For reception purposes, a broadband response, -1.5 dB gain circular polarized dipole antenna has been installed outdoors, on a mast, one meter above the ground in order to represent the height of a typical commercial receiver's antenna. The testing equipment arrangement is illustrated in Figure 2.

## 3. MONITORING THE UNWANTED TRANSMISSIONS

During the study many unwanted overseas transmissions have been monitored in Band II, to overlap with the local radio services, thus, their behaviour could not be studied.

| Frequency | Location | Path Length | Height | ERP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 102.5 MHz | Lebanon | 271 km | 2995 m | 50 kW |
| 95.5 MHz | Israel | 376 km | 860 m | 40 kW |
| 94.8 MHz | Cyprus | 29 km | 1549 m | 30 kW |

Table 1: The Technical Specifications of the Transmitting Signals under study
Nonetheless, two overseas signals could be detected in a very clear spectrum in Limassol, namely the Lebanon "Radio Libran Libre" 102.5 FM, broadcast from Beirut Lebanon, and the 95.5 MHz , broadcast from Jerusalem, Israel. The technical specifications of the aforementioned overseas signals, versus the national radio Cyprus Broadcasting Corporation "CYBC" are illustrated in table1.

## 4. THE PATH LENGTH CALCULATIONS OF THE DETECTED SIGNALS

The path length from the aforementioned regions to the coast of Limassol has been determined, by the use of Google Earth professional software tools. The path length between Israel and Limassol, as well as Lebanon and Limassol, has been calculated based on the coordinates given in Figures 3\&4. The transmitting point's altitude of the 95.5 MHz signal from Jerusalem is 860 m , and the path length to Limassol is 376 km . Similarly, the path length of the Lebanese 102.5 MHz signal from Beirut measured at 271 km , whereby the transmitting point is located at 2295 m asl.
5. MEASUREMENTS OF $102.5 \mathrm{MHz}, 95.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, AND 94.8 MHz , PERFORMED AT 1:00 PM BETWEEN JUNE $17{ }^{\text {th }}$ AND SEPTEMBER $2^{\text {nd }}, 2015$

The field strength variations in the 102.5 MHz signal from Beirut (Lebanon) are demonstrated in Figure 5. The measurements have been conducted from June $17^{\text {th }}$ until September $2^{\text {nd }}, 2015$, at 1:00 PM. During this period, the average field strength intensity of 102.5 MHz was measured at

30 dBuV , and ranged from 10 dBuV to 48 dBuV , with the fluctuations essentially comprising of noise.


Figure 2: Testing Equipment Arrangement
The field strength variation of the aforementioned signal was in the order of 38 dBuV . Similarly, the field strength intensity of the 95.5 MHz signal arriving from Israel was measured between June $17^{\text {th }}$ and September $2^{\text {nd }}, 2015$, at 1:00 PM, with the data
illustrated in Figure 6. The measurements pertaining to the local national radio CYBC signal were performed within the same period as well. The field strength variations of the three signals under study are merged on the graph depicted in Figure 7. The green colour represents the field strength intensity of the local 94.8 MHz radio signal, whereas the red and blue lines correspond to the overseas signals at 102.5 MHz and 95.5 MHz , respectively. According to Figure 7, the field strength of the 95.5 MHz prevails over the local radio 94.8 MHz on the specific dates depicted on the graph. Thus, the Carrier-tointerference ratio will determine the interference's density. The worse case occurs when the level of these unwanted signals exceeds that of the local services [8]. Therefore, the worst case scenario of co-channel interference has been established, and is evident from Figure 7, which reveals that the peaks of the overseas 95.5 MHz signal can exceed by approximately 10 dBuV the field strength of the CYBC national radio.


Figure 3: Path Length between Limassol and Israel, 376 km, Height 860 m


Figure 4: Path Length between Limassol and Lebanon, 271 km, Height 2995 m
Oncea Day Field Strength Measurments of 102.5MHz-
Lebanon



Duration
Figure 5: The Field Strength Intensity of 102,5 MH


Figure 6: The Field Strength Intensity of 95.5 MHz

Figure 8 illustrates the variations in this phenomenon and elucidates the cause of a severe interference, which would occur in the evening on the given dates, provided that the local radio services would share the same spectrum as that adopted by the overseas signals.


Figure 7: The Field Strength Intensity of the Three Signals under Study
6. SHORT-TERM MEASUREMENTS OF THE
95.5 MHz AND 94.8 MHz SIGNALS CONDUCTED BETWEEN JUNE $17^{\text {th }}$ TO SEPTEMBER $2^{\text {nd }}, 2015$, FROM 11:00 AM TO 7:00 PM

Figure 9 illustrates the field strength variations of 95.5 MHz signal between 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM, based on the measurements conducted on August $24^{\text {th }}$ and $25^{\text {th }}, 2015$.

. Figure 8: The Field Strength Variations in the 95.5 MHz and 94.8 MHz Signal, as Measured at 1:00 and 9:00 PM

These measurements revealed that the field strength intensity of the overseas signal was sporadic, i.e. comprised of various values. An
important observation is that its intensity measured on August $24^{\text {th }}$ at $4: 00$ PM exceeded the free space value of the local national radio services CYBC, whereas the values were below the reference value at all other times.


Figure 9: The Field Strength Variations of the 95.5 MHz and 94.8 MHz Signals, as measured between 11:00 and 7:00 PM

The average field intensity measurements of the monitored 95.5 MHz signal from Israel during the summer months (June, July and August) are illustrated in Figure 10 below.


Figure 10: Average Field Intensity of 95.5 MHz during summer 2015

However, it is noteworthy that the average field intensity of the 102.5 MHz signal arriving from Lebanon (illustrated in Figure 11) is different from the 95.5 MHz signal. The field has an average intensity of 13 dBuV in June, after which it increases to 31 dBuV in July, before declining to 30 dBuV in August


Figure 11: Average Field Intensity of 102.5 MHz during summer 2015

## 7. THE TYPE OF DUCTS ALONG THE LIMASSOL COAST

This chapter presents the results obtained by the Meteorological Department of Cyprus during the periods of very strong, medium and low field strength intensity of the overseas monitored signals discussed before. The coordinates under investigation for the radio signals transmitted at frequencies of 95.5 and 102.5 MHz are illustrated in fig. 10


Figure 10: The coordinates under investigation for the radio signals transmitted at frequencies of 95.5 and 102.5 MHz

The model based on which calculations were made is the WRF-ARW, version 3.4. The calculations were made to 18 km distance grid initially / boundary data from the Global Forecasting System (GFS) with a resolution of 0.5 degrees and time step boundary conditions three hours. The step integration was not fixed but adaptive / dynamic, based on the criterion CFL. The number of vertical planes (eta levels / terrain following) was 60 . The configurations used are given below:

1. Micro-physics: WRF Single-moment 3-class scheme
2. Radiation longwave: Rapid Radiative Transfer Model
3. Radiation shortwave: Dudhia Scheme
4. Surface layer: MM5 / Monin-Obukhov Scheme
5. Boundary layer: Yonsei University Non-local-

K scheme
6. Cumulus / convection: Kain-Fritsch scheme.

For the aforementioned, the main goal is to provide evidence-based explanation for the radio interference observed along the southern coast of Cyprus. As a result, the refractivity $N$ can be obtained based on the Recommendation ITU-R P.453-8 by applying Equation 1 below:
$\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {dry }}+\mathrm{N}_{\text {wel }}=\frac{77.6}{\mathrm{~T}}\left[\mathrm{P}+4810 \frac{\mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{T}}\right] \mathrm{N}$-Units Eq. (1)

Where $P$ denotes atmospheric pressure (hpa), $e$ represents water vapour pressure (hpa), $T$ is absolute temperature $(\mathrm{K})$, and $R H$ is relative humidity expressed in \%.


Figure 12: Temperature inversion on 06-Aug-15
at Point 3

Based on equation 1, trapping occurs when the $N$ gradient exceeds $-157 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{km}$. However, the same meteorological conditions cause trapping and super refraction interference. In this regard, the difference between trapping and super refraction pertains to the radius of the propagated wave, which becomes smaller than the Earths' radius as it decreases beyond the critical gradient. In such case, the electromagnetic waves are trapped within a thin layer of the troposphere, denoted as duct. When the wave is trapped in this tropospheric channel, its energy can propagate over great ranges. Furthermore, according to the Rec. ITU-R P.453-8, ducts can be described in terms of modified refractivity which is expressed by the equation below:

M(h) defined by Equation 2 :
$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{h})=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{h})+157 \mathrm{~h}$ (M-units)
Eq. (2)
where $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{km})$ is the height.
Applying equation 2 denotes an elevated duct in fig. 12 a surface based duct in fig. 13 and a surface duct in figure 14. This phenomenon can be
explained as the air aloft is very warm compared to the temperature of the sea. Thus, the surfacebased ducts occur. For example, they can arise due to the hot air masses that pass over the cool water surface of the Mediterranean Sea. On the other hand, elevated ducts occur when the meteorological conditions are favourable for such phenomena to occur aloft above the Earth's surface.


Figure 13: Surface Based Duct measurements made on 11-Aug-15 at Point 3.


Figure 14: Surface Duct measurements made on 08-Jul-15 at Point 3.

## I. CHAPTER CONCLUSION

From this study, it has emerged that with the aid of the WRF-ARW, version 3.4 may predict the level of interference of at least 10 hours foregoing without needing other meteorological complicated procedures. This is verified by the intersection of the results of signal intensity with the meteo conditions that create the ducts. Within this context, it has also emerged that the type and amount of Duck plays a very important role in signal intensity.

The findings presented in this paper revealed that the radio interference experienced along the southern coast of Cyprus is caused by three major types of ducts. Presence of these ducts has been verified close to the coast of Limassol, whereby they were classified as surface, surface based and elevated ducts. According to the interference assessment performed on specific dates, it can be posited that strong temperature inversion is
directly proportional to strong radio interference in close proximity to the coast of Limassol. Moreover, the study results have shown the electromagnetic waves in Band II can travel through the ducts with stronger field strength intensity of the free space value when the elevation of the duct approaches the Earth's surface. Particularly, according to the findings presented in this paper, the field strength intensity exceeds the free space value by approximately 10 dB . On the other hand, when there is no temperature inversion, a very low interference effect or its complete absence was noted.
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#### Abstract

The physical size of an antenna becomes an important characteristic when receiving signals in bands with long wavelengths. Size determines two important aspects of antenna performance; impedance and efficiency. For example, the VHF antennas installed on radio sets that intended to receive FM or the latest technology Digital Audio Broadcasting (DAB) radio signals in Bands II, III respectively. Antennas that are installed on mobile platforms (i.e. portable receivers) require a receiver that utilizes a whip telescopic antenna with adjustable length which can operate as a $\lambda / 4$ monopole antenna. Whereas, non-portable applications like a deck commercial receiver has no built in antenna due to the large size of the radiator needed and so must be connected with an external antenna. This paper presents a new design of a very small size Normal Mode Multiloop Helical Antenna (NMMHA) with superior performance developed for commercial receivers operate in band II, III. The major drawback which has been overcome with this design is the very narrow bandwidth of the Normal Mode Helical Antenna, which originally was optimized to provide the minimum Voltage Standing Wave Ratio VSWR response across Band II (87.5-108 MHz ). The NMMHA's size allows it to be a build in block of a deck commercial receiver.
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## 1. Introduction

A helical antenna is an antenna consisting of a conducting wire wound in the form of a spring [1] [2] [3]. When the Helix antenna diameter is much smaller than $\lambda$ (for example, $\leq 0.1 \lambda$ ), it operates in a Normal Mode and is therefore defined as a Normal Mode

Helical Antenna (NMHA). An NMHA can be mounted either vertically above a ground plane, or directly on a connector without grounding. The NMHA radiation pattern is identical to that of a monopole antenna (it is an omnidirectional side-fire radiation pattern, which is the desired radiation pattern in this application) [3]. The many advantages of the NMHA relative to the short stub or the Hertzian dipole were discussed by Kraus [3]. According to Kraus, the NMHA resonates at a much shorter physical length than does the monopole but in a very narrow bandwidth. In this respect, the axial ratio of the NMHA is given by Kraus (1988) in Equation (1) below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AR}=\frac{\mathrm{E} \theta}{\mathrm{E} \Phi}=\frac{\mathrm{S} \lambda}{2 \pi \mathrm{~A}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~S} \lambda}{\pi^{2} \mathrm{D}^{2}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~S} \lambda}{\mathrm{C} \lambda^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
C: it is the circumference
$\mathrm{S} \lambda$ : spacing between turns in wavelengths
The three special cases pertaining to the NMHA polarization sense are given as follows:
$E \Phi=0$ Linear Vertical Polarization
$\mathrm{E} \Theta=0$ Linear Horizontal Polarization
$\mathrm{E} \Phi=\mathrm{E} \Theta$ Circular Polarization
Wheeler's relation for circular polarization is given by Equation (2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C} \lambda=\sqrt{2 \mathrm{~S}_{\lambda}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because a NMHA has very small dimensions, and therefore, it is an important antenna in all wireless communication engineering sectors where the physical size of the radiator plays a very significant role [4]. As a result, over the years, many researchers have attempted to increase the bandwidth of the NMHA by consisting of two strips [5], applying the properties of a Log-Periodic arrays [6], or constructing the antenna of two flat wire strips [7]. This paper presents a new design of a very compact Normal Mode Multiloop Helical Antenna (NMMHA) with superior performance developed to operate in the VHF band II. The antenna consists of two sections-a multiloop antenna constructed on a PCB Fr-4 substrate material and a NMHA mounted in series as will be discussed in the following sections.

## 2. Measured and Simulated Results of the NMHA

An NMHA depicted in Figure 1 was constructed as a part of this study in order to test its performance in real conditions and compare it with that of the quarter-wavelength monopole, the construction details of which are discussed in the next section.

The three vital parameters of the NMHA under investigation are the radiation resistance, the Voltage Standing Wave Ratio (VSWR) response and the "Gain" at the operational frequency of the commercial band FM ( $87.5-108 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).

The construction details of the NMHA are given below:
Diameter $=33 \mathrm{~mm}(0.01 \lambda)$
$\mathrm{N}=9$ turns

## $\mathrm{S}=4 \mathrm{~mm}$

Height $=40 \mathrm{~mm}(0.013 \lambda)$
Wire Diameter $=2 \mathrm{~mm}$
Pitch Angle: 7 Degrees
In order to determine the Axial Ratio (AR) of the antenna, Equation (3) can be used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AR}=\frac{\mathrm{E} \theta}{\mathrm{E} \Phi}=\frac{\mathrm{S} \lambda}{2 \pi \mathrm{~A}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~S} \lambda}{\pi^{2} \mathrm{D}^{2}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~S} \lambda}{\mathrm{C} \lambda^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{2 \mathrm{X} 4 \mathrm{X} 3000}{33^{2} \pi^{2}}=2.23 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The radiation resistance (Rs, the real part of the impedance) has been measured by the use of the VSWR analyzer MFJ 269C and the results are illustrated in Figure 2. As can be seen from the graph, at 93 MHz , the radiation resistance is close to $50 \Omega$. At other frequencies within the Band II, the radiation resistance becomes very low; thus, the antenna has a very narrow band response [8] [9] [10] [11].

This is further illustrated by the VSWR response curve shown in Figure 3 which has been measured experimentally by the MFJ 269 C as well, that takes into account the imaginary part of the impedance.


Figure 1. The normal mode helical antenna.


Figure 2. The radiation resistance of the NMHA.


Figure 3. The VSWR response of the NMHA.

According to the graph shown in Figure 3, the VSWR response of the NMHA makes it usable in the $90-96 \mathrm{MHz}$ range, equivalent to 5:1 VSWR. Moreover, as the VSWR response exceeds $25: 1$ in the beginning and at the end of the Band II, the antenna cannot be used for broadband applications. The poor VSWR response of the NMHA also affects the gain response, examined below.

## 3. Measured and Simulated Results of the NMHA over the Quarter Wavelength Monopole Antenna

The reception performance of the NMHA in real conditions (with "on air" existing radio services) versus a quarter-wavelength vertically polarized monopole antenna has been measured experimentally and simulated with the Excel program as presented in Table 1. In order to perform the test, each antenna was mounted at the same point on a ground plane and the results were obtained via the Advantest U3751 spectrum analyzer. According to the data reported in Table 1, the NMHA has an average gain of -4.7 dB relative to that of the quarter-wavelength monopole.

The gain ranged from -2 dB to -7 dB . The poor NMHA performance is attributed to the high VSWR response, as previously discussed.

## 4. Discussion-The Performance of the NMMHA

This section is dedicated to the discussion of the performance of the Normal Mode Multiloop Helical antenna (NMMHA), which was constructed with smallest physical dimensions possible. The NMMHA is shown in Figure 4. As can be seen from the image, the antenna consists of two sections-a multiloop antenna constructed on a PCB Fr-4 substrate material and a NMHA mounted in series. The longest turn's side dimension of the planar helix is 80 mm and the total number of turns is 13 .

Table 1. The gain of the NMHA versus that of the quarter-wavelength monopole antenna.

| Frequency (MHz) | Helix (dBuV) | Monopole (dBuV) | $\Delta \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{dBuV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 88.2 | 28 | 35 | 7 |
| 93.3 | 34 | 37 | 3 |
| 94.8 | 33 | 35 | 2 |
| 98.6 | 38 | 40 | 2 |
| 103.7 | 45 | 50 | 5 |
| 104.3 | 34 | 41 | 7 |
| 105.6 | 31 | 38 | 7 |
| 107.6 | 28 | 33 | 5 |
| Min | 28 | 33 | 2 |
| Max | 45 | 39.2 | 7.7 |
| Average | 34.4 |  | 7 |



Figure 4. The normal mode multiloop helical antenna.

The NMMHA's construction details are given below:
Diameter $=33 \mathrm{~mm}(0.011 \lambda)$
$\mathrm{N}=5$ turns
Height: $=50 \mathrm{~mm}(0.01 \lambda)$
Wire Diameter $=3 \mathrm{~mm}$
Space $=4 \mathrm{~mm}$
Pitch Angle: 7 Degrees
Standing alone, the NMHA incorporates 5 turns, allowing it to resonate at 101 MHz . Its height is $5 \mathrm{~cm}(0.01 \lambda)$ and its diameter is 3.3 cm .

The NMMHA's VSWR response has been measured by the MFJ 269C in the 87.5 110 MHz range and is presented in Figure 5. According to the experiments performed, the advantage of this topology stems from eliminating the need for a complex matching network, as only a simple $50^{\prime} \Omega$ quarter-wavelength transformer is required. According to the graph shown in Figure 5, performance of the new NMMHA is superior to that of a NMHA discussed in the previous sections. The maximum VSWR in the $87-110 \mathrm{MHz}$ range does not exceed 5:1, whereas the average VSWR value is only 2.3:1.

In order to further confirm the superior performance of the new NMMHA, real conditions measurements by the use of the Advantest U3751 spectrum analyzer were conducted with "on air" existing radio services and the results are reported in Table 2.

According to the data presented in Table 2, the NMMHA enables an average field strength intensity of 44.1 dBuV across Band II over 34.4 dBuV of a NMHA and 39.2 dBuV of the monopole (Table 1). In this respect, the NMMHA antenna presented in this section, despite having the smallest possible physical size, has been confirmed to exhibit the greatest efficiency when compared to all other antennae examined in this work.

## 5. Conclusion

The Normal Mode Multiloop Helical Antenna has been demonstrated in this research is capable of providing excellent specifications as a stand-alone antenna in VHF Band. The novelty of the modified NMHA stems from its small dimensions relative to other


Figure 5. The VSWR response of the NMMHA

Table 2. Real conditions field strength measurements of the modified NMHA.

| Frequency MHz | Field Strength dBuV |
| :---: | :---: |
| 88.2 | 34 |
| 93.3 | 39 |
| 94.8 | 39 |
| 98.6 | 45 |
| 103.7 | 60 |
| 104.3 | 46 |
| 105.6 | 45 |
| 107.6 | 39 |
| Minimum | 34 |
| Maximum | 60 |
| Average | 44.1 |

small antennas, such as the Herzian dipole or the short stub, without requiring complicate matching networks with higher efficiency. As a result, it would be highly important for future research pertaining to the NMHA to apply this topology across Band I or in lower frequency applications, i.e. the HF band where antennas must be constructed with large physical dimensions.
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#### Abstract

The antenna is a receiver's component that collects electromagnetic waves from various directions. The rationale behind focusing on the circular array is that its tuning ensures that the receiver processes the desired signal only, while rejecting the unwanted interference. This can only be achieved by a directional external antenna that is large in size and must be steered mechanically in the desired direction. As this arrangement is not practical, smart antennae have been proposed as an alternative. A circular phased array can produce a predicted radiation pattern, whereby it receives maximum energy from the desired direction without the need for mechanical control. Owing to these characteristics, phased arrays exhibit high gain as well as immunity to interference, making them ideal for use in high interference environments. This combination would ensure that it could be incorporated into a commercial deck receiver or installed on vehicles.
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## 1. Introduction

Today, the commercial car's receivers employ vertically polarized antennae, which receive signals from all directions with equal density. As a result, a traditional vertically polarized antenna cannot distinguish the desired signal from the interference, as both penetrate into the receiver, despite arriving from different directions. Based on this concept, a phased array utilized for reception purposed in mobile communications can minimize the level of interference very efficiently as its main beam steers in the desired direction in order to disable penetration of all other incoming (undesired) signals transmitted from the side and back positions. The main beam's tilt direction of a phased array depends on the phase
shift as well as the amplitude difference among the single elements that constitute the system [1]. As a result, the greatest advantage of this approach stems from dispensing with the need for mechanical movement, as the main beam of a phased array steers toward the desired direction [2]. For instance, Howard and Fung [3] conducted their study, focusing on an antenna, which they labelled "Clever Dumb Antenna" in reference to its ability to derive the great benefits of the multi-beam antennas interfaced by a beamformer. According to the authors, "the great benefit of such antennas enables dividing up to 360 degree cell site into several high gain sectors". It is important to note that a beamformer the authors refer to is a signal processing technique based on a network comprised by phase shifters that enable programming of the adequate phase shift of the radiators in order to produce an optimum radiation pattern as well as beam tilt. In a different study, Panduro [4] presented a non-uniform circular phased array, referring to it as a smart antenna, due to its ability to provide a low side lobe response for scanning purposes. As the side lobes are minor beams, scanning antennas enable reception from different angles of the main beam. Owing to these properties, low side-lobe response arrays are primarily aimed for use in a high interference environment. Furthermore, Winters and Gil [5] extended the aforementioned research by examining the parameters of the two major categories of smart antennas, namely the phased and adaptive arrays. The adaptive arrays' radiation pattern, as the authors' state, "It is auto adjusted according to the move of interference, the phased arrays" radiation pattern are not. They further explained that "it is steered or different beams are selected as the desired user moves". According to this view, the smart antennas are highly recommended for new generation wireless communications. Presently, they are being applied experimentally in $4 \mathrm{G} / 5 \mathrm{G}$ wireless radio communication systems and it is likely that their range of applications will expand in the future, given that this promising area of research will be explored for many years to come.

## 2. The Adaptive Array Topology

Circular arrays are one-dimensional linear arrays in a circular form. The major advantage of circular arrays relative to linear arrays stems from their ability to scan horizontally across the entire $360^{\circ}$ without distortion near the end-fire direction [6]. Therefore, circular arrays present the ideal topology for this application and will be investigated in detail in this section. Another great advantage of circular arrays is that the mutual coupling affects all individual elements of the array with equal density. Therefore, eliminating it is easier than in any other topology [7]. The array factor of circular arrays is the sum of the far-field intensity of every individual element of the array and is given by Equation (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{n=1}^{N} e^{j[\beta \alpha \sin \theta \cos (\Phi-\Phi n)+\Delta n]} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta n$ is the excitation phase of every individual element (in this application, the normal mode helix antenna). The maximum radiation angle $\left(\Theta_{\max }\right.$, $\Phi_{\max }$ ) can be achieved based on Equations (2), (3), and (4):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta a \sin \left(\Theta_{\max }\right) \cos \left(\Phi_{\max }-\frac{2 \pi}{N}\right)+B_{1}=_{-}^{+} 2 q \pi  \tag{2}\\
& \beta a \sin \left(\Theta_{\max }\right) \cos \left(\Phi_{\max }-\frac{4 \pi}{N}\right)+B_{2}=_{-}^{+} 2 q \pi  \tag{3}\\
& \beta a \sin \left(\Theta_{\max }\right) \cos \left(\Phi_{\max }-2 \pi\right)+B_{N}={ }_{-}^{+} 2 q \pi \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The maximum radiation direction in terms of the excitation phase is given by Equation (5) below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{N}=+2 q \pi-\beta a \sin \left(\Theta_{\max }\right) \cos \left(\Phi_{\max }-\frac{2 \pi n}{N}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## $N=1,2,3$.

Based on the preceding discussions, the performance of a four-element circular array, illustrated in Figure 1, is analyzed to ensure that it operates effectively in the $87.5-108 \mathrm{MHz}$ range. The circular array shown above comprises of four modified normal mode helical antennae. The antennae are mounted at a 900 distance. The phase of every individual helical antenna is controlled by an electronic phase shifter (Mini-Circuits JSPHS-150+) in order to create the desired radiation pattern in a given direction. The radius of the circle is 7.5 cm , which corresponds to $0.025 \lambda$. In this respect, the radiation pattern of the four-element circular array in the end-fire direction will be analyzed below, according to the phase shift of every individual element. The weights and radiation patters are presented in Figure 2.


Figure 1. The smart Antenna's architecture.

| Antennae | Phase (degrees) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Helix1 | 0 |
| Helix2 | 167 |
| Helix3 | 167 |
| Helix4 | 0 |


| Antennae | Phase (degrees) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Helix1 | 167 |
| Helix2 | 0 |
| Helix3 | 0 |
| Helix4 | 167 |



| Antennae | Phase (degrees) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Helix1 | 167 |
| Helix2 | 167 |
| Helix3 | 0 |
| Helix4 | 0 |


| Antennae | Phase (degrees) |
| ---: | ---: |
| Helix1 | 0 |
| Helix2 | 0 |
| Helix3 | 167 |
| Helix4 | 167 |



Figure 2. The smart Antenna phase shifts simulation and radiation patterns.

## 3. Test and Results

The array consists of four NMHA, constructed on an FR-4 PCB, while the array is shown in Figure 3. In order to evaluate the array performance, the Voltage Standing Wave Ratio VSWR (caused by the mutual coupling between the elements and compensated for by rotating the helix) was first minimized for every individual helix. The gain of the four-element circular array relative to the performance of the whip telescopic antenna was evaluated by primarily steering electronically the array towards the direction of the maximum radiation of the incident waves monitored via the spectrum analyzer shown in Figure 4. The monitored radio services are three local channels utilizing $91.6 \mathrm{MHz}, 93.3 \mathrm{MHz}$ and 93.7 MHz frequencies. All monitored radio services are broadcasting from the same direction. The spectrum analyzer readings were obtained by utilizing the spectrum analyzer ROVER-DL1. The maximum radiation is illustrated in Figure 4, which reveals the field strength of 93.3 MHz is 33.7 dBuV versus 33.5


Figure 3. The four-element circular array.


Figure 4. Real conditions spectrum analyzer readings of the circular array.


Figure 5. Real conditions spectrum analyzer readings of the circular array.
dBuV obtained for the traditional whip telescopic antenna has been tested previously. As a result, the gain of the four-element array in Band II is very satisfactory. The next highly important test performed as a part of this work aimed to determine the null, created by the arranged phase shift by electronically rotating the array by $180^{\circ}$ in the opposite direction of the transmitting point. As a result of this adjustment, the field strength of the strongest radio service (which is 33.7 dBuV ) declined to 9.9 dBuV , as illustrated in Figure 5. It is important to note that the field strength of the other two adjacent channels ( 91.6 MHz and 93.7 MHz , illustrated in the spectrum analyzer in Figure 5), broadcasting from the same transmitting point, was also reduced significantly.

As a result, it can be asserted that the four-element circular array has enabled a reduction in gain of around 24 dB in the opposite direction of the transmitting point, which complies with the radiation patterns simulated in the previous section.

## 4. Conclusion

Applying the circular array as it has been implemented in this study in Band III ( 174 to 240 MHz ) for Digital Audio Broadcasting (DAB+) will enhance the SNR of digital receivers during motion. Circular array can also be a very important technology for the future mobile digital video broadcasting terrestrial (DVB-T) service that broadcasts television in UHF Band between the channels 21 and 69 (i.e. in the 512-800 MHz frequency range).
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[^0]:    * Radiocommunication Study Group 3 made editorial amendments to this Recommendation in 2000 in accordance with Resolution ITU-R 44.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is the quotient between the average received modulated carrier power to the average received co-channel interference power.
    ${ }^{2}$ Co-channel interference is crosstalk from two different radio transmitters using the same frequency

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The polarization of an antenna is the direction of the radiated fields produced by the antenna.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The radiation pattern is a graphical depiction of the relative field strength transmitted from or received by the antenna.

[^4]:    * Radiocommunication Study Group 3 made editorial amendments to this Recommendation in 2000 in accordance with Resolution ITU-R 44.

[^5]:    2. LOCATION AND INSTRUMENTATION SETUP
