

Table 1: CRs, AVEs, AVEs' Roots, Inter correlation between constructs

Con/Dim	AVE	\sqrt{AVE}	Composite Reliability	IBP	COM1	COM2	M	NRCPA	PBC	R.M	RA	RB	SN	TRADI	U.A
IBP	0.39	0.62	0.94	1.00											
COM1	0.84	0.92	0.92	0.12	1.00										
COM2	0.68	0.80	0.81	0.10	-0.27	1.00									
M	0.56	0.75	0.95	0.94	0.12	0.13	1.00								
NRCPA	0.69	0.82	0.89	0.23	0.51	-0.40	0.18	1.00							
PBC	0.58	0.82	0.85	0.22	0.48	-0.30	0.20	0.62	1.00						
R.M	0.62	0.79	0.89	0.62	0.08	-0.01	0.43	0.22	0.13	1.00					
RA	0.80	0.89	0.92	0.21	0.61	-0.29	0.19	0.58	0.52	0.17	1.00				
RB	0.50	0.75	0.83	0.15	0.21	-0.18	0.14	0.40	0.41	0.07	0.29	1.00			
SN	0.69	0.83	0.87	0.19	0.41	-0.34	0.16	0.57	0.59	0.10	0.50	0.38	1.00		
TRADI	0.73	0.85	0.93	0.57	0.10	0.00	0.35	0.21	0.19	0.28	0.18	0.11	0.16	1.00	
U.A	0.72	0.85	0.88	0.70	-0.06	0.12	0.63	0.02	0.06	0.34	0.03	0.08	0.11	0.27	1.00

Note: Islamic Brand personality 'IBP', Religious beliefs 'RB', Subjective norms 'SN', Perceived behavioural control 'PBC', Relative advantages 'RA', Compatibility 'COM1', Complexity 'COM2' and New religious compliant products adoption 'NRCPA'.

Table 2: Path Coefficients and the Hypothesised Relationships Testing of Conceptual Model

Hypothesis	Relationship/Direction	Path Coefficients/Sign	T-tests	Decision
H1	RB → IBP	(+) 0.091	1.362	Not supported
H2	RB → NRCPA	(+) 0.101**	2.068	Supported
H3a	IBP → NRCPA	(+) 0.086**	2.164	Supported
H4	RB → RA	(+) 0.260***	4.790	Supported
H5a	RA → NRCPA	(+) 0.190***	3.222	Supported
H6	IBP → RA	(+) 0.171***	4.790	Supported
H7	RB → COM1	(+) 0.214***	3.998	Supported
H8a	COM1 → NRCPA	(+) 0.123*	2.151	Supported
H9	RB → COM2	(-) 0.185***	3.642	Not supported
H10a	COM2 → NRCPA	(-) 0.169***	3.316	Not supported
H11	RB → SN	(+) 0.381***	7.463	Supported
H12a	SN → NRCPA	(+) 0.166**	2.252	Supported
H13	SN → IBP	(+) 0.155***	2.714	Supported
H14	RB → PBC	(+) 0.409***	8.390	Supported
H15a	PBC → NRCPA	(+) 0.259***	4.589	Supported

Note: Islamic Brand personality ‘IBP’, Religious beliefs ‘RB’, Subjective norms ‘SN’, Perceived behavioural control ‘PBC’, Relative advantages ‘RA’, Compatibility ‘COM1’, Complexity ‘COM2’, New religious compliant products adoption ‘NRCPA’ Significance level ***p<0.01 (t= 2.326), **p<0.05 (t= 1.96) and t= *p<0.10 (1.64) and two-tailed probability test. Degree of freedom (5000). Sign changes (no sign changes).

Table 3: Hypothesised Mediation Results via PLS algorithm, PLS bootstrapping and Sobel test

Hypothesis	Relationship/Direction/ (Mediation)	Significance	Z-value	Decision
H3b	RB →(IBP)→ NRCPA	No	1.194	Not Supported
H5b	RB →(RA)→NRCPA	Yes	4.706	Supported
H8b	RB →(COM1)→NRCPA	Yes	3.768	Supported
H10b	RB →(COM2)→NRCPA	Yes	3.286	Supported
H12b	RB→(SN)→NRCPA	Yes	5.955	Supported
H15b	RB→(PBC)→NRCPA	Yes	6.237	Supported

Note: Islamic Brand personality ‘IBP’, Religious beliefs ‘RB’, Subjective norms ‘SN’, Perceived behavioural control ‘PBC’, Relative advantages ‘RA’, Compatibility ‘COM1’, Complexity ‘COM2’, New religious compliant products adoption ‘NRCPA’. Sobel test (z) Significance level > 1.96 and two-tailed probability test <0.05. Degree of freedom (5000). Sign changes (no sign changes).