

Volume 18 Issue 10 November 2018	ISSN 1746-1391
Same and Sam	Euro
European Jo of Sport Scie	ence
The Official Journal of the European Colley	e of Sport Science
D Routledge	

opean Journal of Sport Science

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tejs20

Prevalence of Disordered Eating, Eating Disorders and Risk of Low Energy Availability in Professional, **Competitive and Recreational Female Athletes** based in the United Kingdom

Francis Robert Jose Sharps, Laura Jane Wilson, Catherine Anna-Marie Graham & Christopher Curtis

To cite this article: Francis Robert Jose Sharps, Laura Jane Wilson, Catherine Anna-Marie Graham & Christopher Curtis (2021): Prevalence of Disordered Eating, Eating Disorders and Risk of Low Energy Availability in Professional, Competitive and Recreational Female Athletes based in the United Kingdom, European Journal of Sport Science, DOI: <u>10.1080/17461391.2021.1943712</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2021.1943712



Accepted author version posted online: 13 Jun 2021.



Submit your article to this journal 🕑

Article views: 31



View related articles 🗹



則 View Crossmark data 🗹

Publisher: Taylor & Francis & European College of Sport ScienceJournal: European Journal of Sport ScienceDOI: 10.1080/17461391.2021.1943712

Section: Original Research

Article Title: Prevalence of Disordered Eating, Eating Disorders and Risk of Low

Check for updates

Energy Availability in Professional, Competitive and Recreational Female Athletes

based in the United Kingdom

Authors: Francis Robert Jose Sharps¹, Laura Jane Wilson¹, Catherine Anna-Marie Graham², Christopher Curtis^{1*}

Affiliations: ¹London Sports Institute, Middlesex University, London, United Kingdom. ²Oxford Brookes Centre for Nutrition and Health, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Department of Sport, Health and Social Work, Oxford Brookes University, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom

Running Head: Prevalence of eating disorders in UK female athletes

Journal: European Journal of Sport Sciences

Corresponding Author: Christopher Curtis, London Sport Institute, Middlesex University, Hendon, London, NW4 1RL Telephone: (+44) 20 8411 4491 Email: <u>c.curtis@mdx.ac.uk</u>

Abstract: 218

Word Count: 2948

Number of Figures: 0

Number of Tables: 3

Abstract

Eating disorders (ED), disordered eating (DE) and low energy availability (LEA) can be detrimental to health and performance. Previous studies have independently investigated prevalence of ED, DE or LEA, however few combined methods have identified risk within female athletes. The aim of this study was to identify prevalence of ED, DE and LEA in UK-based female athletes and investigate whether associations exist between age, competition level and primary sport. The Female Athlete Screening Tool (FAST) and Low Energy Availability in Females Questionnaire (LEAF-Q) were used in a cross-sectional study design. A total of 112 responses eligible for analysis were received. A total of 16%, 44% and 53% of female athletes were at risk from ED (FAST: >94), DE and LEA, respectively. Competition level (recreational, competitive or professional athletes; Fishers, p≤0.05), influenced, and was a predictor of FAST (R^2 = 0.076, $F_{(1,110)}$ = 10.067, p≤0.05, variance inflation value; VIF = 1.0) whereas age influenced (Age: $H_{(2)} = 13.128$, p≤0.05), and was a predictor ($R^2 = 0.144$, $F_{(2,109)} =$ 9.170, p ≤ 0.05 , VIF = 1.0) of LEAF-Q. A positive correlation was observed between FAST and LEAF-Q scores (R = 0.496, p≤0.05). Age and competition level may be predicting risk factors of ED/DE and LEA within female athletes, however further research is required to support the findings of this present study.

Keywords: Eating disorders, female athletes, nutrition, sport, RED-S

INTRODUCTION:

Eating disorders (ED), disordered eating (DE) and low energy availability (LEA) can impact on physiological and psychological function ¹. ED are categorised as a group of psychological conditions characterised by an obsession with body mass/shape and behaviours with food that may lead to purging, starvation, fasting and excessive exercise ². Individuals with DE display similar behaviours but do not necessarily meet full criteria to be diagnosed with an ED³. Both ED and DE can have negative effects on exercise and sporting performance with an increased risk of overuse injuries, bone fractures and cardiac complications ⁴. Energy availability is defined as the amount of energy remaining for physiological processes and bodily functions after energy required for exercise is subtracted ^{5, 6}. LEA occurs when energy expenditure exceeds energy intake, with insufficient energy available for normal physiological functioning ^{6,} ⁷. LEA has previously been categorised at <30 kcal (125 kJ)/kg fat-free mass (FFM) per day ^{5, 8} although, it should be noted this is an estimation for such a threshold and may be influenced by a number of factors. Moreover, LEA with or without ED or DE can occur due to intentional attempts to modify body composition, intentional (or unintentional) increased energy expenditure via excessive exercise, and/or reduced energy intake, resulting in a failure to match energy intake with energy expenditure ^{1,} 9, 10, 11

Female athletes are considered a high-risk population for ED, DE or LEA, with research suggesting between 6% - 45% of female athletes have an ED, in comparison to 5% - 9% of females within general population ². Prevalence of ED, DE and LEA varies among female athletes, with athletes competing in weight dependent and aesthetic sports often showing higher prevalence ¹², however ED, DE and LEA have

also been observed in female team sport athletes ^{13, 14, 15}. Several studies ^{16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22} have implemented ED/DE-related questionnaires developed and validated for general populations, however such tools may be considered unsuitable for identifying ED/DE within female athlete cohorts ²². Use of validated screening tools to evaluate female athletes such as the Female Athlete Screening Tool (FAST) and Low Energy Availability in Females Questionnaire (LEAF-Q) is recommended ^{1, 5}. FAST differentiates between healthy athletes and respondents with ED or DE ^{22, 23}. Whereas LEAF-Q is designed to detect athletes at risk of symptoms associated with LEA and has shown high sensitivity (78%) and specificity (90%) in detection ¹. The combining of LEAF-Q and FAST has been utilised previously by Folscher *et al.* ²⁴ to investigate prevalence of ED and risk of female athlete triad within ultra-marathon runners, however this approach has not been previously used in differing sport disciplines.

Identification of ED, DE and LEA is important within female athlete populations to allow practitioners and clinicians to support athletes at risk of either performance decrements ^{25, 26} or health-related consequences of female athlete triad, or relative energy deficiency (RED-S) ^{6, 11}. With this in mind, the aims of the present study were to a) identify prevalence of ED, DE and LEA within professional, competitive and recreational female athletes based in the United Kingdom (UK) by using both FAST and LEAF-Q, b) determine whether FAST and LEAF-Q scores differ based on age category or competition level (COMP), c) determine the relationship between FAST and LEAF-Q.

METHODS:

Participants:

A cross-sectional descriptive study design (via anonymous, online questionnaire) was utilised to ascertain prevalence of ED, DE and risk of LEA in female athletes aged between 18 - 40 years who participate in sport at a recreational (*n*=68), competitive (n=35), or professional (n=9) level. Post-hoc power analyses were undertaken, with ES calculated from LEAF-Q means of each group (team, individual; ES: 0.64) with a = 0.05 (two-tailed), which determined beta at 0.91. Professional athletes were defined as any athlete undertaking ≥10 hours of training per week whose athletic performance has achieved the highest level of competition (e.g. Olympics, international/national representation) and receiving a full-time wage for sport undertaken ²⁷. Competitive athletes were defined as any athlete undertaking ≥6 hours of training per week with a view to participate in official competitions (e.g. university athletes) and whose full-time job is not that of a full-time athlete ²⁷. Recreational athletes were defined as those undertaking ≥4 hours of training per week who do not receive any money for partaking in sport and participate for enjoyment ²⁷. Age categories of 18 – 24 years, 25 – 30 years and 31 – 40 years were utilised due to the expectation that female athletes within this age range would be eumenorrheic ²⁸. Primary sport undertaken was reported and then categorised based on VO_{2MAX} intensity as per the methods of Logue et al. ²⁶ and Mitchell et al.²⁹. The study received institutional ethical approval and all participants provided informed consent prior to completing the survey.

Online Questionnaire:

Both FAST and LEAF-Q questionnaires were uploaded manually to an online survey platform (Qualtrics; Provo, Utah, USA, 2019), the survey links were distributed via social media and email advertisement. The questionnaire required data on participant age, sport and level of competition (COMP). Participants were asked to manually list the primary sport they participated in, which was then categorised and used for analysis.

Female Athlete Screening Tool (FAST):

FAST is a validated screening tool to identify eating pathology in female athletes, consisting of 33 questions.. Participants were required to select a response from four possible answers (4 points (pts)= Frequently, 3pts= Sometimes, 2pts= Rarely, 1 point = Never) with a reverse scoring system used for questions 15, 28 and 32. Responses were totaled to give an overall score indicating risk of DE/ED. A score of 74–94 indicates risk of subclinical DE whilst a score of >94 indicates risk of clinical ED ²³.

Low Energy Availability in Females Questionnaire (LEAF-Q):

The LEAF-Q is a validated screening tool that consists of 25 questions on injury history, gastrointestinal function, menstrual function and oral contraceptive use. Injury and gastrointestinal discomfort were assessed by ordinal scales and an open category to specify the types of injury/illness etc. Menstrual function and oral contraceptive use were assessed by dichotomous and ordinal scales. Participants were considered at risk of LEA if a score of ≥ 8 was attained.

Statistical Analysis:

All data were analysed via SPSS (IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Normality was assessed via Shapiro-Wilks test. A one-way ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis was used to identify differences in FAST and LEAF-Q means between questionnaire scores and age category, COMP, and VO_{2max}, respectively. Post-hoc testing was conducted where appropriate. Chi Squared or Fishers Exact tests were used to determine if the percentage of those above/below FAST and LEAF-Q cut-offs differed based on age, comp level, and VO_{2MAX} category. Bonferroni corrections were applied where appropriate. Following this, a stepwise regression analysis was carried out to determine the contribution of age category and COMP to final questionnaire scores (both FAST and LEAF-Q). A variance inflation value (VIF) of less than 5 was considered acceptable ³⁰. Finally, a Spearman's rank correlation was conducted to determine the relationship between FAST and LEAF-Q. An alpha level of p≤0.05 denoted significance.

RESULTS:

Participant Characteristics:

Participant age and COMP demographics can be seen in Table 1. A total of 129 responses were received. Of these, 17 incomplete questionnaires were excluded from analysis (total responses eligible for analysis; n=112). Sports represented within the survey were: soccer n=12; 19%, rugby union n=44; 70%, hockey n=3; 5%, netball n=2; 3%, cricket and Gaelic football both n=1; 2%, running n=18; 37%, powerlifting n=14; 29%, cycling n=4; 8%, Olympic weightlifting n=3; 6%, boxing, acrobatics, kickboxing, MMA, competitive yoga, swimming, tennis, golf, athletics and climbing all n=1; 2%.

****INSERT TABLE 1 NEAR HERE****

FAST Questionnaire scores:

Results from FAST can be seen in Table 2. A total of n=49 athletes (44%) were at risk from DE and n=18 athletes (16%) were at risk of ED. FAST scores differed based on COMP (COMP: $F_{(2,109)} = 3.081$, p≤0.05). Tukey's *post-hoc* tests showed significantly lower scores in COMP_{Rec} compared to COMP_{Comp} (p≤0.05), whereas FAST scores did not differ based on age or VO_{2MAX} (both p>0.05). No difference of FAST score category between age (Fishers, p≥0.05), whereas FAST category differed based on comp level (Fishers, p≤0.05). *Post-hoc* testing highlighted fewer recreational athletes were at risk of clinical ED FAST category (29.4%), when compared to risk of subclinical ED (64%) and no risk of ED (71%; p≤0.05). More competitive athletes were

at risk of clinical ED FAST category (65%), when compared to risk of subclinical ED (26%) and no risk of ED (22%; p≤0.05). Stepwise multiple regression demonstrated COMP modestly predicted FAST scores (R^{2}_{adj} = 0.076, $F_{(1,110)}$ = 10.067, p≤0.05, VIF = 1.0).

LEAF-Q scores:

Results from LEAF-Q can be seen in Table 2. A total of *n*=59 athletes (53%) were considered at risk of LEA. LEAF-Q scores differed based upon age (Age: $H_{(2)}$ = 13.128, p≤0.05). Mean LEAF-Q score differed between VO_{2MAX} groups (p≤0.05). *Posthoc* pairwise comparisons indicated those who were categorised as high VO_{2MAX} had lower LEAF-Q score vs. medium VO_{2MAX} (p≤0.05). LEAF-Q category differed based on age (Fishers, p≤0.05). *Posthoc* testing indicated fewer 31-40 year olds were in LEA risk group (14.5%) vs. LEA no risk group (41.5%; p≤0.05). LEAF-Q category also differed based on VO_{2MAX} (Fishers, p≤0.05). *Posthoc* testing revealed a lower percentage in the high VO_{2MAX} group had LEA risk (27%) vs. LEA no risk (55%). A higher percentage in medium VO_{2MAX} group had a risk of LEA (53%) vs. LEA no risk (26%). COMP did not influence LEAF-Q (COMP: $H_{(2)}$ = 2.196, p≥0.05). Stepwise multiple regression demonstrated age modestly predicted LEAF-Q scores (R^2_{adj} = 0.144, $F_{(2,109)}$ = 9.170, p≤0.05, VIF = 1.0).

INSERT TABLE 2 NEAR HERE*

****INSERT TABLE 3 NEAR HERE****

FAST and LEAF-Q questionnaires:

A positive moderate correlation between FAST and LEAF-Q scores was observed (R = 0.496, p≤0.05) indicating a relationship between DE/ED and LEA.

DISCUSSION:

The primary aim of this study was to determine prevalence of ED, DE and LEA within professional, competitive and recreational female athletes in the UK across a range of ages and sports. A combined approach of using FAST and LEAF-Q was implemented to ascertain eating pathology and areas related to LEA. The primary findings were: 1) FAST indicates 16% and 44% of female athletes were at risk of ED and DE respectively, 2) LEAF-Q indicates 53% of female athletes were considered at risk of LEA, and 3) a moderate positive correlation between FAST & LEAF-Q scores indicates a relationship between DE/ED and LEA.

To the authors' knowledge, only one previous study by Folscher *et al.* ²⁴ has implemented both LEAF-Q and FAST concurrently to ascertain prevalence of ED/DE and LEA within female athletes. Folscher *et al.* ²⁴ found 5%, 27% and 44% of participants at risk of ED, DE, and LEA respectively. The present study demonstrates a higher prevalence of ED, DE and LEA, despite having a smaller sample (*n*=112 vs Folscher *et al.*, ²⁴; *n*=306). These differences could be explained due to Folscher *et al.* ²⁴ utilising a participant group comprised solely of endurance runners. Folscher *et al.* ²⁴ reported participants were made up of COMP_{Rec} and COMP_{Pro} however unlike the present study, no sub-group analyses were conducted to identify differences in FAST and LEAF-Q with COMP. Previous self-report studies have described higher rates of

both LEA ³⁰ and DE in control groups compared to athletic cohorts ^{19, 31}. However, Martinsen & Sundgot-Borgen ³² investigated prevalence using both self-report measures and clinical interviews in female and male adolescent elite athletes and nonathletic controls. After self-report measures, non-athletes had a higher prevalence of ED (Athlete: 25%, control: 51%, p≤0.001) yet, after clinical interview adolescent athletes were seen to have higher prevalence (Athlete: 7%, control: 2%, p≤0.001). This suggests that self-report measures alone are potentially inaccurate as adolescent athletes may under report their symptoms. Within the present study, participants aged 25 – 30 years demonstrated the highest rates of ED and LEA (32% and 61% respectively) with participants between 18 - 24 years showing highest prevalence of risk of DE (56%).

Our findings suggest COMP_{Pro} athletes have higher rates of LEA risk (67%) and DE (44%) while COMP_{Comp} athletes were found to have higher rates of ED risk (32%), these findings are further supported by our multiple regression analyses, which indicate that FAST scores increase with COMP. The small number of professional athletes in the present study makes it difficult to generalise the findings, however, studies by Sundgot-Borgen ¹² and Sundgot-Borgen & Torstveit ³³ found lower rates of DE in female athletes at 18% and 20% respectively. Additionally, Logue *et al.* ²⁶ observed a higher risk of LEA among females who participated competitively in sport compared with those who were recreationally active (77% vs. 23%, *p*=0.01), with LEA risk 1.7 - 1.8 times more likely among participants who reported competing in sport at international (45%) or provincial/inter-county level (47%), compared to those who were recreationally active ²⁶. These results present a much lower rate of risk than reported in the present study (COMP_{Pro}: 67%, COMP_{Comp}: 65%, COMP_{Rec}: 45%). However, the

present results are comparable with Slater *et al.* ⁷ who reported 45% of COMP_{Rec} to be at risk of LEA according to LEAF-Q. Despite this, both Logue *et al.* ²⁶ and the current study discovered higher level athletes (COMP_{Comp} and COMP_{Pro}) to have increased risk of LEA than COMP_{Rec} athletes. Logue *et al.* ²⁶ proposed higher level athletes are more prone to LEA due to generally higher training intensity and duration than COMP_{Rec}. These findings suggest higher rates of LEA (and possible consequent DE) are likely due to energy demands in COMP_{Comp} and COMP_{Pro} not being met. While all COMP levels may be at risk of DE and LEA, the reasoning behind such risks is not fully clear. For instance, COMP_{Rec} are unlikely to have nutritional support when compared to COMP_{Comp} and COMP_{Pro}, therefore COMP_{Rec} may be at greater risk of unintentional DE and LEA ⁷. Conversely training and demands of competitive sport are higher in COMP_{Comp} and COMP_{Pro}, meaning a higher energy demand is required – if these are not met, COMP_{Comp} and COMP_{Pro} may also be at risk of DE and LEA ²⁶

Although not analysed independently in the current investigation, endurance athletes are often suggested to be at greatest risk of LEA ^{24, 34}. This could be associated with excessive energy expenditure as highlighted by Logue *et al.* ²⁶ who found that participants had an increased risk (odds ratio of 1.06) of suffering from LEA for each additional hour of exercise per week. Our findings indicate that COMP is a modest predictor of FAST (accounting for a proportion of 3%), whereas age is modest predictors of LEAF-Q (accounting for a proportion of 14%). These novel observations add to work conducted by Abbott *et al.* ³⁵ who, despite adopting differing validated questionnaires; clinical perfectionism questionnaire (CPQ-12) and eating attitudes test (EAT-26), observed athletic status (player vs. control) and perfectionism were

significant predictors of DE, accounting for 21% of variation (p=0.001) in female athletes. These findings indicate that COMP/athlete status may be a risk factor of ED/DE for female athletes. Our multiple regression findings indicate that despite COMP being a predictor of FAST, this accounted for ~3%, therefore additional variables may be influencing factors and warrant further investigation. Information gathered from athlete screening could be utilised to monitor progression of ED/DE and implement preventative strategies such as nutritional education/interventions before ED, DE or LEA occurs ^{36, 37}.

The present study is not without limitations. The study aimed to recruit female athletes from a range of differing COMP levels however, only 8% of respondents were COMP_{Pro.} Therefore, despite contributing to the findings, these data should be interpreted with caution in professional/elite female athlete cohorts. Additionally, because all participants were from the UK, findings may not be representative of female athletes from differing countries and cultures. It is also important to highlight that this study only assessed the risk of ED, DE and LEA via an anonymous, selfreport questionnaire. Although FAST and LEAF-Q have been widely used and provide clinical sensitivity, they can only detect individuals who may be at risk of developing ED, DE, or LEA and would require a clinical follow-up. Subsequently, follow-up investigations into prevalence of ED, DE or LEA may wish to consider implementing clinical interviews, biochemical and/or exercise testing to further support findings from survey data. Thus, findings from this study are limited to prevalence estimations of the general risk for ED, DE and LEA within these populations. Finally, the aims of this study were to observe prevalence of DE, ED and LEA within female athletes within the UK, as a result no control group was implemented for comparison against this cohort.

Future research may wish to utilise this to make comparisons between female athletes of varying demographics and the corresponding sedentary female cohorts.

Overall, 16% of female athletes were at risk of ED, 44% were likely to have DE and 53% had LEA. Nevertheless, the risk of DE, ED and LEA was evident in all subgroups and highlights the need for regular screening in order to aid early interventions to prevent potential decrements in performance and health. Additionally, nutrition education strategies may need to be considered to inform female athletes and (where appropriate) interdisciplinary practitioners of potential negative effects of ED, DE and LEA on performance and health. This statement may be particularly pertinent in situations where female athletes may be aiming to manipulate energy intake to elicit training adaptation (e.g. modify body composition, increase in training load). Future research could further investigate potential ED/DE issues using a combined approach of the methods adopted within the present study, clinical interviews and detailed athlete screening to clarify these findings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

The authors would like to thank all participants for taking part in this research. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

FUNDING:

No funding was received as part of this research.

DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:

The authors report no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES:

- Melin A, Tornberg, ÅB, Skouby S, Faber J, Ritz, C, Sjödin A, Sundgot-Borgen, J. The LEAF questionnaire: a screening tool for the identification of female athletes at risk for the female athlete triad. *Br J Sports Med.* 2014:48(7): 540-545
- Bratland-Sanda S, Sundgot-Borgen J. Eating disorders in athletes: overview of prevalence, risk factors and recommendations for prevention and treatment. *Eur J of Sport Sci.* 2013:13(5): 499-508
- 3. Vo M, Accurso EC, Goldschmidt AB, Le Grange D. The impact of DSM-5 on eating disorder diagnoses. *Int J Eat Disord*. 2017;50(5): 578-581
- El Ghoch M, Soave F, Calugi S, Dalle Grave R. Eating disorders, physical fitness and sport performance: A systematic review. *Nutrients*. 2013:5(12): 5140-5160
- Logue D, Madigan SM, Delahunt E, Heinen M, McDonnell SJ, Corish CA. Low energy availability in athletes: a review of prevalence, dietary patterns, physiological health, and sports performance. *Sports Med.* 2018:48(1): 73-96
- Mountjoy M, Sundgot-Borgen J, Burke L, Carter S, Constantini N, Lebrun C, Meyer N, Sherman R, Steffen K, Budgett R, Ljungqvist A. The IOC consensus statement: beyond the female athlete triad—Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S). *Br J Sports Med*. 2014:48(7): 491-497
- Slater J, Brown R, McLay-Cooke R, Black K. Low energy availability in exercising women: historical perspectives and future directions. *Sports Med.* 2017:47(2): 207-220

- Mountjoy M, Sundgot-Borgen JK, Burke, LM, Ackerman KE, Blauwet C, Constantini N, Lebrun C, Lundy B, Melin AK, Meyer NL, Sherman RT. IOC consensus statement on relative energy deficiency in sport (RED-S): 2018 update. *Br J Sports Med.* 2018:52: 687 –697
- 9. Sundgot-Borgen J. Risk and trigger factors for the development of eating disorders in female elite athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1994:26(4): 414-419
- 10. Gibbs JC, Williams NI, De Souza MJ. Prevalence of individual and combined components of the female athlete triad. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2013:45(5): 985-996
- 11. De Souza MJ, Williams NI, Nattiv A, Joy E, Misra M, Loucks AB, Rauh MJ.
 Misunderstanding the female athlete triad: Refuting the IOC consensus statement on relative energy deficiency in sport (red-s). *Br J Sports Med.* 2014:48(20): 1461–1465
- 12. Sundgot-Borgen, J. Prevalence of eating disorders in elite female athletes. *Int J Sport Nutr Exe*. 1993:3(1): 29-40
- 13. Sundgot-Borgen J, Torstveit MK. The female football player, disordered eating, menstrual function and bone health. *Br J Sports Med*. 2007:41(Supp 1): i68-72

Prather H, Hunt D, McKeon K, Simpson S, Meyer B, Yemm T, Brophy R. Are
 Elite Female Soccer Athletes at Risk for Disordered Eating Attitudes,
 Menstrual Dysfunction, and Stress Fractures? *PM & R*. 2016:8(3): 191 – 296

15. Condo D, Lohman R, Kelly M, Carr A. Nutritional Intake, Sports Nutrition Knowledge and Energy Availability in Female Australian Rules Football Players. *Nutrients*. 2019:11(5): 971

- 16. Toro J, Galilea B, Martinez-Mallén E, Salamero M, Capdevila L, Mari J,
 Mayolas J, Toro E. Eating disorders in Spanish female athletes. *Int J Sports Med.* 2005:26(8): 693-700
- 17. Nichols JF, Rauh MJ, Lawson MJ, Ji M, Barkai HS. Prevalence of the female athlete triad syndrome among high school athletes. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2006:160(2): 137-142.
- 18. Nichols JF, Rauh MJ, Barrack MT, Barkai HS, Pernick Y. Disordered eating and menstrual irregularity in high school athletes in lean-build and non-lean build sports. *Int J Sport Nutr Exe*. 2007:17(4): 364-377
- Rosendahl J, Bormann B, Aschenbrenner K, Aschenbrenner F, Strauss B.
 Dieting and disordered eating in German high school athletes and nonathletes. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports.* 2009:19(5): 731-739
- 20. Schtscherbyna A, Soares EA, de Oliveira FP, Ribeiro BG. Female athlete triad in elite swimmers of the city of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Nutrition*. 2009:25(6): 634-639

 Martinsen M, Bratland-Sanda S, Eriksson AK, Sundgot-Borgen J. Dieting to win or to be thin? A study of dieting and disordered eating among adolescent elite athletes and non-athlete controls. *Br J Sports Med.* 2010:44(1): 70-76
 Knapp J, Aerni G, Anderson J. Eating disorders in female athletes: use of screening tools. *Curr Sports Med.* 2014:13(4): 214-218

23. McNulty KY, Adams CH, Anderson JM, Affenito SG. Development and
Validation of a Screening Tool to Identify Eating Disorders in Female Athletes. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2001:101(8): 886–892

- 24. Folscher LL, Grant, CC, Fletcher L, van Rensberg DCJ Ultra-Marathon
 Athletes at Risk for the Female Athlete Triad. *Open Access J Sports Med*.
 2015:1: 29
- 25. Kong P, Harris LM. The Sporting Body: Body Image and Eating Disorder Symptomatology Among Female Athletes From Leanness Focused and Nonleanness Focused Sports. *J Psychol.* 2015:149(1-2): 141 – 160
- 26. Logue DM, Madigan SM, Heinen M, McDonnell SJ, Delahunt E, Corish CA. Screening for risk of low energy availability in athletic and recreationally active females in Ireland. *Eur J of Sport Sci.* 2019:19(1): 112-122
- 27. McKinney J, Velghe J, Fee J, Isserow S, Drezner, JA. Defining Athletes and Exercisers. *Am J Cardiol*. 2019:123(3): 532–535
- 28. Pokoradi AJ, Iversen L, Hannaford PC. Factors associated with age of onset and type of menopause in a cohort of UK women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*.
 2011:205(1): 34.e1-13
- 29. Mitchell JH, Haskell W, Snell P, Van Camp SP. Task Force 8: Classification of Sports. *Amer J Cardiol*. 2005:45(8):1364–1367
- 30. Ruengvirayudh P, Brooks GP. Comparing Stepwise Regression Models to the best-Subsets Models, or, the Art of Stepwise. *Gen. Linear Model J.* 2016:41(1):1-14

31. Hoch AZ, Pajewski NM, Moraski L, Carrera GF, Wilson CR, Hoffmann RG, Schimke JE, Gutterman DD. Prevalence of the female athlete triad in high school athletes and sedentary students. *Clin J Sport Med*. 2009:19(5): 421-428

- 32. Reinking MF, Alexander LE. Prevalence of disordered-eating behaviors in undergraduate female collegiate athletes and nonathletes. *J Athl Train*. 2005:40(1): 47-51
- 33. Martinsen M, Sundgot-Borgen J. Higher prevalence of eating disorders among adolescent elite athletes than controls. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
 2013:45(6): 1188-1197
- 34. Pollock N, Grogan C, Perry M, Pedlar C, Cooke K, Morrissey D, Dimitriou L. Bone-mineral Density and Other Features of the Female Athlete Triad in Elite Endurance Runners: A Longitudinal and Cross-Sectional Observational Study. Int J Sport Nutr Exe. 2010: 20(5): 418–426
- 35. Abbott W, Brett A, Brownlee TE, Hammond KM, Harper LD, Naughton RJ, Anderson L, Munson EH, Sharkey JV, Randell RK, Clifford T. The prevalence of disordered eating in elite male and female soccer players. *Eat Weight Disord*. 2020: [Online ahead of print]. DOI: 10.1007/s40519-020-00872-0
- 36. Joy E, Kussman A, Nattiv A. A 2016 update on eating disorders in athletes: A comprehensive narrative review with a focus on clinical assessment and management. *Br J Sports Med.* 2016:*50*(3): 154-162

37. Harrington BC, Jimerson M, Haxton C, Jimerson DC. Initial Evaluation, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Anorexia Nervosa and Bulimia Nervosa. *Am Fam Physician*. 2015:91(1): 46–52

APPENDIX:



Table 1. Descriptive statistics from all eligible questionnaire responses

	Α	Age (years	s)		COMP	
	18 - 24	25 - 30	31 - 40	COMP _{Rec}		
Responses (<i>n</i> =)	50	31	31	69	34	9
Category (%)	45	28	28	62	30	8
					3)	
					$\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{$	
			\land		>	
			\sim	\mathbb{N}		
			K	$\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{v}}$		
			$\langle \rangle$			
		$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$)			
		$\langle \rangle > \langle \rangle$				
/	\wedge					
			/			

Table 2. Results of FAST and LEAF-Q with response scores *n*= and percentages (%) of participants at risk of ED, DE and LEA and chi-square cross tabulation analysing Age and COMP against FAST and LEAF-Q scores

		FAST			LEAF-Q		
	Questionnaire Scoring	< 74	74 - 94	> 94	< 8	> 8	
	Total Scores <i>n</i> = (%)	45 (40%)	49 (44%)	18 (16%)	53 (47%)	59 (53%)	
	18 – 24	17 (34%)	28 (56%)	5 (10%)	19 (38%)	31 (62%)	
Age (years)	25 – 30	12 (39%)	9 (29%)	10 (32%)	12 (39%)	19 (61%)	
	31 – 40	16 (52%)	12 (39%)	3 (9%)	22 (71%)	9 (29%)	
	Recreational	32 (46%)	31 (45%)	6 (9%)	38 (55%)	31 (45%)	
COMP	Competitive	10 (30%)	13 (38%)	11 (32%)	12 (35%)	22 (65%)	
	Professional	3 (33%)	5 (56%)	1 (11%)	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	
		()					
		\mathbf{i}					

Table 3. Results from regression analysis of independent predictors on dependent variables, FAST and LEAF-Q

	Predictor – FAST	В	SE (<i>B</i>)	β	R ²	
	COMP	4.780	2.198	.203*	0.041	
	Predictor – LEAF-Q					$(\bigcirc)^{\vee}$
	Age (years)	-1.744	.550	290*	0.084	
	* indicates statistic	cal differe	ences at p	o≤0.05 le	vel	
				$\langle \rangle \rangle$	\mathcal{I}	
				$\langle \rangle$		
			\square	\searrow		
	<	\square	\mathcal{Y}^{V}			
		$\backslash \square$				
		Ť				
	$)$ \checkmark					
	>					
	/					
$(\bigcirc \uparrow)$						
\sim (\bigcirc)						