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#### Abstract

For a simple connected graph $\Gamma$ with node set $V(\Gamma)=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ and degree sequence $d_{i}$, the atom-bond connectivity ( $A B C$ ) matrix of $\Gamma$ has an $(i j)$-th entry $\sqrt{\frac{d_{i}+d_{j}-2}{d_{i} d_{j}}}$ if $w_{i}$ is adjacent to $w_{j}$ and 0 , otherwise. The multiset of all eigenvalues of $A B C$ matrix is known as the $A B C$ spectrum and their absolute sum is known as the $A B C$ energy of $\Gamma$. Two graphs of same order are known as $A B C$ equienergetic if they have the same $A B C$ energy but share different $A B C$ spectrum. We describe the $A B C$ spectrum of some special graph operations and as an application, we construct the $A B C$ equienergetic graphs. Further, we give linear regression analysis of $A B C$ index/energy with the physical properties of anticancer drugs. We observe that they are better correlated with ABC-energy.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all graphs will be assumed to be finite, connected and simple. A graph $\Gamma$ consists of node set $V(\Gamma)=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E(\Gamma)$. Furthermore $|V(\Gamma)|$ is the order $n$ and $|E(\Gamma)|$ is the size $m$ of $\Gamma$. We write $w \sim u$ if $w$ is adjacent to $u$. The neighbourhood of $w$ denoted by $N(w)$ is the set of nodes adjacent to $v$. The notation $d_{\Gamma}\left(w_{i}\right)\left(d_{w_{i}}\right.$ or $\left.d_{i}\right)$ denotes the degree of $w_{i}$. A graph $\Gamma$ is $r$-regular if $d_{w}=r$, for every $w$. For other graph terminology and definitions we follow [3].

The adjacency matrix $A(\Gamma)=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ of $\Gamma$ is a square matrix of order $n$, with $a_{i j}=1$, if $w_{i} \sim w_{j}$, and $a_{i j}=0$, otherwise. The adjacency matrix $A(\Gamma)$ is real symmetric, so its eigenvalues are real, denoted by $\zeta_{1}(\Gamma) \geq \zeta_{2}(\Gamma) \geq \cdots \geq \zeta_{n}(\Gamma)$ and is known as the adjacency spectrum (or spectrum) of $\Gamma$. The energy
of $\Gamma$ is defined as

$$
\mathbb{E}(\Gamma)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\zeta_{i}(\Gamma)\right| .
$$

The spectral parameter $\mathbb{E}(\Gamma)$ is widely studied parameter since it is related to the $\pi$-electron energy of hydrocarbons in mathematical chemistry. Two graphs with same order are known as equienergetic (or adjacency equienergetic) if they share the same energy but have the different adjacency spectrum. For more about the energy $\mathbb{E}(\Gamma)$ of $\Gamma$, we refer to [17]. If an eigenvalue $\theta$ of matrix $M$ is repeated $k$ times we say $\theta$ is the eigenvalue of $M$ with multiplicity (order) $k$ and denote it by $\theta^{[k]}$. Further readings about $A(\Gamma)$ can be seen in $[2,8]$.

The $A B C$ index is a topological index defined in [10] as following

$$
A B C=\sum_{w_{i} w_{j} \in E(\mathrm{\Gamma})} \sqrt{\frac{d_{i}+d_{j}-2}{d_{i} d_{j}}}
$$

In [10] the $A B C$ index was shown to be correlated to the heat formation of alkanes. In [15] the authors proved that the $A B C$ index can generate the heat of formation with sound accuracy comparable to that of high-level ab into and DFT (MP2, B3LYP) quantum chemical calculations. More mathematical literature of $A B C$ index can be found in $[4,9,12,16]$. The $A B C$ matrix of graph $\Gamma$ is a square matrix of order $n$ and is defined as

$$
A B C(\Gamma)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sqrt{\frac{d_{i}+d_{j}-2}{d_{i} d_{j}}} & \text { if } w_{i} \sim w_{j} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Estrada [11] introduced an $A B C$ matrix which is related to atom-bond connectivity ( $A B C$ index) of $\Gamma$.
Let $\theta_{1} \geq \theta_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \theta_{n}$ be the $A B C$ eigenvalues of $\Gamma$, where $\theta_{1}$ is the $A B C$ spectral radius of $\Gamma$. The $A B C$ energy $E A B C$ [11] of $\Gamma$ is defined as

$$
E A B C(\Gamma)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\theta_{i}\right| .
$$

The graphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ of order $n$ are said to be $A B C$ equienergetic if $E A B C\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=E A B C\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$ while they share the distinct $A B C$ spectrum. The $A B C$ spectral parameters like energy were studied in [5], $A B C$ spectral radius in [14] and other spectral properties in [6,7,13,21,22].
$K_{n}$ is the complete graph, $K_{a, b}$ is the complete bipartite graph, $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ is the path graph and $C_{n}$ is the cycle graph. For terminology and notations not defined here, we refer the readers to [8,27].

Ramane et al. [26] established several results concerning the equienergetic graphs. Li et al. [22] introduced the $A B C$ matrix index of graphs and related with the $A B C$ energy. Gao et al. [13] characterized the extremal ABC energy of trees. Chen [5, 6] obtained results about distinct $A B C$ eigenvalues and results about $A B C$ spectral radius of trees.

The present report is organized as follows: In Section 2 we discuss the $A B C$ spectrum of the $\Gamma$-join of regular graphs and the lexicographic product of graphs. In Section 3 we presents results on the $A B C$ equienergetic graphs. In Section 4 we discusses applications of $A B C$ energy/index to physical properties of anticancer drugs and gives their linear regression analysis.

## 2. The $A B C$ eigenvalues of the $\Gamma$-join of regular graphs

The $\Gamma$-join $\Gamma\left[\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}\right]$ of graphs $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}$ with underlying graph $\Gamma$ is the graph $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}$ together with the edges from each node of $\Gamma_{i}$ to every node of $\Gamma_{j}$ when $w_{i} \sim w_{j}$ in $\Gamma$. Thus, the join of two graphs $\Gamma_{1} \sqcup \Gamma_{2}$ is the $\Gamma$-join $K_{2}\left[\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right]$.

Let $M$ be a partitioned block matrix and $Q$ be the matrix with entries as the average row sums (column sums) of the blocks of $M . Q$ is know as the quotient matrix and if the row sums (columns sums) of each block in $M$ are some constants then the partition is regular (equitable) and we say $Q$ is regular (equitable) quotient matrix (see [2]). The eigenvalue of $M$ interlace the eigenvalues of $Q$. However, for regular partitions the spectrum (see [2,8]) of $Q$ is contained in the spectrum of $M$.

Our first result gives the $A B C$ spectrum of $\Gamma\left[\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}\right]$ where $\Gamma_{i}$ are regular graphs in terms of the adjacency spectrum of $\Gamma_{i}$ and the spectrum of an auxiliary matrix.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph with nodes $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ and let $\Gamma_{i}$ be an $r_{i}$ regular graphs of orders $n_{i}$ having adjacency eigenvalues $\zeta_{i, 1}=r_{i} \geq \zeta_{i, 2} \geq \cdots \geq \zeta_{i, n_{i}}$. Then, the ABC spectrum of $\Gamma\left[\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}\right]$ consists of the eigenvalues $\frac{\sqrt{2 r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}} \zeta_{i, k}$ where $k=2,3, \ldots, n_{i}$ and $r_{i}^{\prime}=r_{i}+\sum_{w_{j} \in N_{\Gamma}\left(w_{i}\right)} n_{j}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. The other $A B C$ eigenvalues of $\Gamma\left[\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}\right]$ are the eigenvalues of the following regular quotient matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{r_{1}}{r_{1}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{1}^{\prime}-2} & n_{2} q_{1,2} & \cdots & n_{n} q_{1, n}  \tag{2.1}\\
n_{1} q_{2,1} & \frac{r_{2}}{r_{2}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{2}^{\prime}-2} & \cdots & n_{n} q_{2, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
n_{1} q_{n, 1} & n_{2} q_{n, 2} & \cdots & \frac{r_{n}}{r_{n}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{n}^{\prime}-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $q_{i j}=q_{j i}=\sqrt{\frac{r_{i}^{\prime}+r_{j}^{\prime}-2}{r_{i}^{\prime} r_{j}^{\prime}}}$ if $w_{i}$ is adjacent to $w_{j}$ and 0 otherwise.
Proof. Let $V\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)=\left\{w_{i 1}, \ldots, w_{i n_{i} i}\right\}$ be the node set of $\Gamma_{i}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Let $\mathbb{H}=\Gamma\left[\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}\right]$ be the $\Gamma$-join of $r_{i}$ regular graphs $\Gamma_{i}$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Since $\Gamma_{i}$ is $r_{i}$ regular, the degree of nodes $w_{i j} \in V(\mathbb{H})$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n_{i}$ is equal to the degree of $j$-th node in $\Gamma_{j}$ plus the sum of order of $\Gamma_{j}$ 's in $\mathbb{H}$ which neighbours the node $w_{j}$ in $\Gamma$, that is, $d_{\mathbb{H}}\left(w_{i j}\right)=r_{i}+\sum_{w_{j} \in N_{\Gamma}\left(w_{i}\right)} n_{i}$. Labelling the nodes of $\mathbb{H}$ from $\Gamma_{1}$ to $\Gamma_{n}$, the $A B C$ matrix can be put as

$$
A B C(\mathbb{H})=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\sqrt{2 r_{1}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{1}^{\prime}} A\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & \frac{\sqrt{2 r_{2}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{2}^{\prime}} A\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) & \ldots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \ldots & \frac{\sqrt{2 r_{n}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{n}^{\prime}} A\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $a_{i j}=\sqrt{\frac{r_{i}^{\prime}+r_{j}^{\prime}-2}{r_{i}^{\prime} r_{j}^{\prime}}} J_{n_{i} \times n_{j}}$ if $w_{i}$ is adjacent to $w_{j}$ in $\Gamma$ and 0 otherwise. $J$ is the matrix with all entries equal to 1 and $r_{i}^{\prime}=r_{i}+\sum_{w_{j} \in N_{\mathrm{N}}\left(w_{i}\right)} n_{j}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.

For a regular graph $\Gamma_{i}$, the vector $\mathcal{E}_{n_{i}}=(\underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1}_{n_{i}})^{T}$ is the eigenvector of the eigenvalue $r_{i}$ of $A\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ and the remaining eigenvectors of $A\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ are orthogonal to it. Let $\zeta_{i k}, 2 \leq k \leq n_{i}$ be an arbitrary eigenvalue of $A\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ with the eigenvector $\mathcal{X}=\left(b_{i 1}, b_{i 2}, \ldots, b_{i n_{i}}\right)^{T}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{n_{i}}^{T} X=0$. Thus, $\mathcal{X}$ can be considered as a mapping defined on $V\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ relating $w_{i j}$ to $b_{i j}$, that is, $\mathcal{X}\left(w_{i j}\right)=b_{i j}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, n_{i}$. Consider the new vector $\mathcal{Y}=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)^{T}$, where

$$
c_{j}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
b_{i j} & \text { if } w_{i j} \in V\left(\Gamma_{i}\right) \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_{n_{i}}^{T} \mathcal{X}=0$ the coordinates of $\boldsymbol{y}$ related to nodes in $\bigcup_{j \neq i} w_{j}$ of $\mathbb{H}$ are zeros.

$$
A B C(\mathbb{H}) \mathcal{Y}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{\frac{r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{i}^{\prime}-2}{r_{1}^{\prime} r_{i}^{\prime}}} J_{n_{1} \times n_{i}} X \\
\vdots \\
\sqrt{\frac{r_{i-1}^{\prime}+r_{i}^{\prime}-2}{r_{i-1}^{\prime}}} J_{n_{i-1} \times n_{i}} X \\
\frac{\sqrt{\frac{r_{1}^{\prime}}{r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}}{r_{i}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\Gamma_{i}\right) X,\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\vdots \\
\sqrt{\frac{r_{i+1}^{\prime}+r_{i}^{\prime}-2}{r_{i+1}^{\prime} r_{i}^{\prime}}} J_{n_{i+1} \times n_{i}} X \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
\sqrt{\frac{r_{n}^{\prime}+r_{i}^{\prime}-2}{r_{n}^{\prime} r_{i}^{\prime}}} J_{n_{n} \times n_{i}} X
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\sqrt{r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}} \\
r_{i k} X \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{2 r_{1}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{1}^{\prime}} \zeta_{i k}\right) y .
$$

This proves that $\mathcal{Y}$ is the eigenvector of $A B C(\mathbb{H})$ related to the eigenvalue $\frac{\sqrt{2 r_{1}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{1}^{\prime}} \zeta_{i k}$ for each eigenvalue $\zeta_{i k}, 2 \leq k \leq n_{i}$, of $A\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$. So, it implies that with $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $2 \leq k \leq n_{i}, \frac{\sqrt{2 r_{1}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{1}^{\prime}} \zeta_{i k}$ is an eigenvalue of $A B C(\mathbb{H})$. Thus, we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i}-n$ eigenvalues of $A B C(\mathbb{H})$. The other $n, A B C$ eigenvalues of $\mathbb{H}$ are the eigenvalues of the following regular quotient matrix.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{r_{1}}{r_{1}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{1}^{\prime}-2} & n_{2} q_{1,2} & \cdots & n_{n} q_{1, n} \\
n_{1} q_{2,1} & \frac{r_{2}}{r_{2}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{2}^{\prime}-2} & \cdots & n_{n} q_{2, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
n_{1} q_{n, 1} & n_{2} q_{n, 2} & \cdots & \frac{r_{n}}{r_{n}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{n}^{\prime}-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

This completes the proof.
The lexicographic product $\Gamma\left[H_{1}\right]$ of two graphs $\Gamma$ and $H_{1}$ is the graph with node set $V(\Gamma) \times V\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $(c, x)(d, y) \in E\left(\Gamma\left[H_{1}\right]\right)$ whenever $c d \in E(\Gamma)$ or $c=d$ and $x y \in E\left(H_{1}\right)$. Clearly, the lexicographic product $\Gamma\left[H_{1}\right]$ of $\Gamma$ and $H_{1}$ is the $\Gamma$-join $\Gamma\left[H_{1}, H_{1}, \ldots, H_{1}\right]$. That is, $\Gamma\left[H_{1}\right]=\Gamma\left[H_{1}, H_{1}, \ldots, H_{1}\right]$. Taking, $\Gamma_{i}=H_{1}$ for all $i$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result which gives the $A B C$ spectrum of the lexicographic product $\Gamma\left[H_{1}\right]$.

Corollary 2.2. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph of order $n$ and let $H_{1}$ be a connected $r$ regular graph of order $n_{1}$. Let $\zeta_{1}\left(H_{1}\right) \geq \zeta_{2}\left(H_{1}\right) \geq \ldots \geq \zeta_{n_{1}}\left(H_{1}\right)$ be the eigenvalues of $A\left(H_{1}\right)$. The ABC spectrum
of the lexicographic product $\Gamma\left[H_{1}\right]=\Gamma\left[H_{1}, \ldots, H_{1}\right]$ consists of the eigenvalues $\frac{\sqrt{2 r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}} \zeta_{k}\left(H_{1}\right)$, where $r_{i}^{\prime}=r+n_{1} \sum_{v \in N_{\Gamma}\left(w_{i}\right)}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $k=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}-1$. The other $n$ eigenvalues of $\Gamma\left[H_{1}\right]$ are the eigenvalues of the following regular quotient matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{r}{r_{1}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{2}^{\prime}-2} & n_{2} q_{1,2} & \cdots & n_{n} q_{1, n}  \tag{2.2}\\
n_{1} q_{2,1} & \frac{r}{r_{1}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{1}^{\prime}-2} & \cdots & n_{n} q_{2, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
n_{1} q_{n, 1} & n_{2} q_{n, 2} & \cdots & \frac{r}{r_{n}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{n}^{\prime}-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$


As an application of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the $A B C$ eigenvalues of the join of regular graphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$.

Corollary 2.3. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ be $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ regular graphs with orders $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$, respectively. Let $\zeta_{1}=r_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \ldots, \zeta_{n_{1}}$ and $\mu_{1}=r_{2}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{n_{2}}$ be the adjacency eigenvalues of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, respectively. Then the $A B C$ spectrum of $\Gamma_{1} \sqcup \Gamma_{2}$ consists of eigenvalues $\frac{\sqrt{2 r_{1}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{1}^{\prime}} \zeta_{i}$ and $\frac{\sqrt{2 r_{2}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{2}^{\prime}} \mu_{j}$ with multiplicities $n_{1}-1$ and $n_{2}-1$ respectively, where $r_{1}^{\prime}=r_{1}+n_{2}$ and $r_{2}^{\prime}=r_{2}+n_{1}$. The remaining two ABC eigenvalues of $\Gamma_{1} \sqcup \Gamma_{2}$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix given below

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{r_{1}}{r_{1}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{1}^{\prime}-2} & n_{2} \sqrt{\frac{r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}-2}{r_{1}^{\prime} r_{2}^{\prime}}}  \tag{2.3}\\
n_{1} \sqrt{\frac{r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}-2}{r_{1}^{\prime} r_{2}^{\prime}}} & \frac{r_{2}}{r_{2}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{2}^{\prime}-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From Corollary 2.3, we obtain the ABC spectrum of $K_{a, n-a}=\bar{K}_{a} \sqcup \bar{K}_{n-a}$, the complete split graph $C S_{\omega, n-\omega}=K_{\omega} \sqcup \bar{K}_{n-\omega}$, the graph $K_{n}-e=K_{n-2} \sqcup \bar{K}_{2}$ obtained from $K_{n}$ by deleting an edge and many other graphs.

The double star $D(\alpha, \beta)$ is the tree of order $n=\alpha+\beta+2$, obtained by adding an edge between the nodes of maximum degrees of the stars $K_{1, \alpha}$ and $K_{1, \beta}$. The complement of $\Gamma$ is denoted by $\bar{\Gamma}$. As an application of Theorem 2.1, we can find the $A B C$ spectrum of the double star and its complement.

Proposition 2.4. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be the positive integers such that $\alpha+\beta=n-2$. Then, the following holds.
(i) The $A B C$ eigenvalues of $D(\alpha, \beta)$ are 0 with order $n-2$ and the zeros of the following polynomial

$$
x^{4}-x^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\alpha+1}+\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}+\frac{\beta}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\beta+1}\right)+\frac{\alpha^{2} \beta^{2}}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)} .
$$

(ii) The $A B C$ eigenvalues of $\bar{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ are $-\sqrt{\frac{2 n-6}{n-2}}$ with order $n-2$ and the eigenvalues of matrix (2.4).

Proof. It is clear that $D(\alpha, \beta)=\mathcal{P}_{4}\left[K_{1}, \bar{K}_{\alpha}, \bar{K}_{\beta}, K_{1}\right]$. By using Theorem 2.1 and the adjacency spectrum of $\bar{K}_{n}$ is $\left\{0^{n}\right\}$ we obtain the $A B C$ eigenvalue 0 with order $\alpha+\beta-2$. The other four $A B C$ eigenvalues of $D(\alpha, \beta)$ are the eigenvalues of the following matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}} & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}} & 0 & \beta \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \\
0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and its characteristic polynomial is

$$
x^{4}-x^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\alpha+1}+\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}+\frac{\beta}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\beta+1}\right)+\frac{\alpha^{2} \beta^{2}}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)} .
$$

Similarly, $\bar{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ can be presented as $\bar{D}(\alpha, \beta)=\mathcal{P}_{4}\left[K_{1}, K_{\alpha}, K_{\beta}, K_{1}\right]$. Now by applying Theorem 2.1 and the spectrum of $K_{\eta}$ is $\left\{\eta-1,(-1)^{[\eta-1]}\right\}$, the $A B C$ eigenvalues of $\bar{D}(a, b)$ are the eigenvalue $-\frac{\sqrt{2(\alpha+\beta)-2}}{\alpha+\beta}$ with order $\alpha+\beta-2$ and the eigenvalues of the matrix presented below

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \alpha \sqrt{\frac{2 \alpha+\beta-2}{\alpha \alpha(\alpha+\beta)}} & 0 & 0  \tag{2.4}\\
\sqrt{\frac{2 \alpha+\beta-2}{\alpha(\alpha+\beta)}} & \frac{(\alpha-1) \sqrt{2 \alpha+2 \beta-2}}{\alpha+\beta} & \frac{\beta \sqrt{2 \alpha+2 \beta-2}}{\alpha+\beta} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\alpha \sqrt{2 \alpha+2 \beta-2}}{\alpha+\beta} & \frac{(\beta-1) \sqrt{2 \alpha+2 \beta-2}}{\alpha+\beta} & \sqrt{\frac{\alpha+2 \beta-2}{\beta(\alpha+\beta)}} \\
0 & 0 & \beta \sqrt{\frac{\alpha+2 \beta-2}{\beta(\alpha+\beta)}} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The graph operation $\Gamma$-join is known by several names in the literature, like generalized composition, generalized join graph operation and $\Gamma$-join graph operation. Among the graph operations, $\Gamma$-join is an interesting operation because almost all connected graphs can be written in terms of $\Gamma$-join. More interestingly, the graphs defined on algebraic structures like power graphs of finite groups, commuting graphs of finite groups, zero divisor graphs of finite rings (modules and lattices), unit graphs or rings and many other algebraic graphs can be written in terms of the $\Gamma$-join of graphs. For some applications of the spectrum of the $\Gamma$-join of graphs with different types of graph matrices the reader is referred to $[18,23,25,27-30]$ and the references therein.

## 3. $A B C$ equienergetic graphs

In this section, we construct the $A B C$ equienergetic graphs using Theorem 2.1 and the operation $\Gamma$-join of graphs.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph with nodes $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ and for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, let $\Gamma_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ be $r_{i}$ regular adjacency equienergetic graphs of orders $n_{i}$ having adjacency eigenvalues $\zeta_{i, 1}=r_{i} \geq \zeta_{i, 2} \geq \cdots \geq \zeta_{i, n_{i}}$. Then,

$$
\operatorname{EABC}\left(\Gamma\left[\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}\right]\right)=\operatorname{EABC}\left(\Gamma\left[H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right]\right)
$$

Proof. As $\Gamma_{i}$ 's and $H_{i}$ 's are of same order $n_{i}$ (same degree $r_{i}$ ), for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. So, the regular quotient matrices of $\Gamma\left[\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}\right]$ and $\Gamma\left[H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right]$ are same

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{r_{1}}{r_{1}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{2}^{\prime}-2} & n_{2} q_{1,2} & \cdots & n_{n} q_{1, n} \\
n_{1} q_{2,1} & \frac{r_{1}}{r_{1}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{1}^{\prime}-2} & \cdots & n_{n} q_{2, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
n_{1} q_{n, 1} & n_{2} q_{n, 2} & \cdots & \frac{r_{n}}{r_{n}^{\prime}} \sqrt{2 r_{n}^{\prime}-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $q_{i j}=q_{j i}=\sqrt{\frac{r_{i}^{\prime}+r_{j}^{\prime}-2}{r_{i}^{\prime} r_{j}^{\prime}}}$, if $w_{i}$ is adjacent to $w_{j}$ and 0 , otherwise. Thus, the spectrum of regular quotient matrix adds the same quantity say $\mathbb{E}_{1}$ to the $A B C$ energy of $\Gamma$-joins. Now, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, using the fact that $\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left|\zeta_{i, j}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)\right|=\mathbb{E}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ and $A B C$ spectrum of $\Gamma\left[\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}\right]$ consists of the eigenvalues $\frac{\sqrt{2 r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}} \zeta_{i, k}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{n_{i}} \frac{\sqrt{2 r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}}\left|\zeta_{i, j}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{2 r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}} \sum_{j=2}^{n_{i}}\left|\zeta_{i, j}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{2 r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)-r_{i}\right),
$$

where $\mathbb{E}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ is the energy of $\Gamma_{i}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
Similarly, using the fact that the $A B C$ eigenvalues of $\Gamma\left[H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right]$ are of the form $\frac{\sqrt{2 r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}} \zeta_{i, k}\left(H_{i}\right)$ we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{n_{i}} \frac{\sqrt{2 r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}}\left|\zeta_{i, j}\left(H_{i}\right)\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{2 r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}} \sum_{j=2}^{n_{i}}\left|\zeta_{i, j}\left(H_{i}\right)\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{2 r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(H_{i}\right)-r_{i}\right)
$$

where $\mathbb{E}\left(H_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\zeta_{i}\left(H_{i}\right)\right|$ is the energy of $H_{i}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Therefore, by the equienergetic properties of $\Gamma_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{EABC}\left(\Gamma\left[\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}\right]\right) & =\mathbb{E}_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{2 r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)-r_{i}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{2 r_{i}^{\prime}-2}}{r_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(H_{i}\right)-r_{i}\right)=\operatorname{EABC}\left(\Gamma\left[H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will illustrate Theorem 3.1 with the help of a example.
Example 3.2. Let $\Gamma=K_{2}\left[C_{6}, C_{6}\right]$ and $H=K_{2}\left[C_{6},\left(K_{3} \cup K_{3}\right)\right]$ be the two graphs. We will show that $E A B C(\Gamma)=E A B C(H)$. Since the eigenvalues of $A\left(C_{6}\right)$ are $\left\{2,-2,(-1)^{2}, 1^{2}\right\}$ and by Theorem 2.1, the $A B C$ eigenvalues of $\Gamma$ are

$$
\left.\left\{(0.46774)^{4},(-0.46774)^{4},(-0.93541)^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

the remaining two ABC eigenvalues of $\Gamma$ are the eigenvalues of the following matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{14} & \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{14}  \tag{3.1}\\
\frac{3}{4} \sqrt{14} & \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{14}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and its eigenvalues are 3.74166 and -1.87083 . Thus, by using Theorem 3.1 the $A B C$ energy of $\Gamma$ is

$$
E A B C(\Gamma)=3.74166+1.87083+2(0.93541)+8(0.46774)=11.22497
$$

Similarly, noting that the adjacency spectrum of $K_{3}$ is $\{2,-1,-1\}$, the $A B C$ spectrum of $H$ consists of the eigenvalues $\left\{0.935414,-0.935414,(0.467707)^{2},(-0.467707)^{6}\right\}$ and the eigenvalues of (3.1). Now, it is easy to verify that $\operatorname{EABC}(H)=11.22497=E A B C(\Gamma)$.

From Theorem 3.1, we see that $\Gamma\left[\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}\right]$ and $\Gamma\left[H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right]$ share the same regular quotient matrix and have equal part in their $A B C$ energy. Thus, it is necessary for $A B C$ equienergetic graphs that the set of the union of adjacency spectra $A\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)-\left\{r_{i}\right\}$ is different from the set of the union of adjacency spectrum $A\left(H_{i}\right)-\left\{r_{i}\right\}$.

As in Example 3.2, we have the following collection of $A B C$ equienergetic graphs.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{n}\left[C_{6}, C_{6}, \ldots, C_{6}, C_{6}\right], \\
& \mathcal{P}_{n}\left[C_{6}, C_{6}, \ldots, C_{6}, C_{3} \cup C_{3}\right], \\
& \mathcal{P}_{n}\left[C_{6}, C_{6}, \ldots, C_{3} \cup C_{3}, C_{3} \cup C_{3}\right], \\
& \vdots \\
& \mathcal{P}_{n}\left[C_{6}, C_{3} \cup C_{3}, \ldots, C_{3} \cup C_{3}, C_{3} \cup C_{3}\right], \\
& \mathcal{P}_{n}\left[C_{3} \cup C_{3}, C_{3} \cup C_{3}, \ldots, C_{3} \cup C_{3}, C_{3} \cup C_{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above manner, for any pair of adjacency equienergetic graphs say $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, we get at least three ABC equienergetic graphs $K_{2}\left[\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right], K_{2}\left[\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right]$ and $K_{2}\left[\Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{2}\right]$. Therefore, we construct infinite class of $A B C$ equienergetic graphs by using the graph operation $\Gamma$-join.

## 4. Anti-cancer drugs

Topological indices are numeric descriptors that are obtained from molecular graphs to describe their chemical system. Degree-based topological indices are of great importance and particularly in mathematical chemistry. The use of graph invariant in QSPR and QSAR studies has been of key interest in recent years. Topological indices have application in the non-empirical Quantitative StructureProperty Relationships (QSPR) and Quantitative Structure -Activity Relationships (QSAR) [1, 19, 20, 24, 31]. These psychochemical qualities are being studied because they have direct impact on bioactivity and drug transit in the human body and thereby help in designing better drugs. We compute $A B C$ index and $A B C$ energy of certain cancer drugs. We carry the linear regression and study their correlation and coefficient of determinations $R^{2}$. We consider the following drugs (see chemical structures Figure 1) for our QSPR study.


Azacitidine

Tioguanine

Melphalan


Carboplatin


Busulfan


Nelarabine


Dexamethasone


Mercaptopurine

Cytarabine


Doxorubicin


Bosutinib

Figure 1. Molecular structure of certain drugs.

Azacytidine is used as an antineoplastic agent and its chemical formula is $C_{8} H_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. Busulfan is an antineoplastic alkylating agent commonly used for several types of cancer. They prevent tumor development by cross linking guanine bases in DNA double-helix strands, directly attacking DNA. Thus strands are unable to separate and uncoil which is necessary in DNA replication and cells are
no longer divided. The molecular formula for bulasan is $C_{8} H_{14} N_{6} S_{2}$. Mercaptopurine ( $C_{5} H_{4} N_{4} S$ ) is s series of purine analogues that interfere with nucleic acid biosynthesis and is active against human leukemias. Tioguanine $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ is an antineoplastic compound which also has antimetabolite action and is used in the therapy of acute leukemia. Nelarabine ( $C_{11} H_{15} N_{5} O_{5}$ ) is a purine nucleoside analog and antineoplastic agent used for the treatment of acute T-cell lymphoblastic leukemia and T cell lymphoblastic lymphoma with inadequate clinical response to prior chemotherapeutic treatments. Cytarabine $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ is an antineoplastic antimetabolite used in the treatment of various forms of leukemia, including acute myelogenous leukemia and meningeal leukemia. Melphala is ( $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) is an antineoplastic alkylating agents and is used in the treatment of cancers. Alkylating agents are known for their ability to add alkyl groups to many electronegative groups under conditions present in cells. They stop tumor growth by crosslinking guanine bases in DNA. Dexamethasone ( $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{FO}_{5}$ ) is a glucocorticoid available in various modes of administration that is used to prevent various inflammatory conditions, including bronchial asthma, endocrine and rheumatic disorders. Doxorubicine ( $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NOD}$ ) is an antineoplastic in the anthracycline class. Anthracyclines are the most important anti tumor drugs available. Carbopalatin $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Pt}\right)$ is a alkylating agent used to treat advanced ovarian cancer. Bosutinib $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ is used to treat chronic myeloid leukemia (a type of cancer of white blood cells). Dasatinib $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{7} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ is a tyrosine kinase inhibitor used for the treatment of lymphoblastic or chronic myeloid leukemia.

The physical properties values of these anticancer drugs are taken from Chem Spider. Properties include molar volume (MV), refractive index (R), complexity (C) and flash point (FP). The $A B C$ index and $A B C$ energy are calculated by mathematical software. From Table 1 , it is clear that these data values are normally distributed. So, linear regression model is most suitable to test and adopt an analysis for the same.

Table 1. Molar volume MV, refractive index RI, complicity C , flash point $\mathrm{FP}, A B C$ index and $A B C$ energy of drugs given in Figure 1.

| Drug | $\mathrm{MV}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{RI}\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ | C | $\mathrm{FP}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $A B C$ | $E A B C$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Azacitidine | 117.10 | 54.10 | 384.00 | 277.00 | 22.0424 | 25.7008 |
| Busulfan | 182.40 | 50.90 |  | 234.40 | 21.4787 | 22.8048 |
| Mercaptopurine | 94.20 | 41.00 | 19.00 | 250.50 | 10.9109 | 12.7935 |
| Tioguanine | 80.20 | 46.89 | 225.00 | 232.00 | 12.3941 | 14.5517 |
| Nelarabine | 149.90 | 65.80 | 377.00 | 389.90 | 27.9857 | 31.9586 |
| Cytarabine | 128.40 | 52.60 | 383.00 | 283.80 | 22.778 | 26.8256 |
| Melphalan | 231.20 | 78.23 | 265.00 | 239.00 | 28.4535 | 31.5644 |
| Dexamethasone | 296.20 | 100.20 | 805.00 | 298.00 | 43.9525 | 49.7114 |
| Doxorubicin | 336.60 | 134.59 | 977.00 | 443.80 | 53.0933 | 60.3944 |
| Carboplatin |  | 60.04 | 177.00 |  | 19.819 | 21.178 |
| Bosutinib | 388.30 | 142.12 | 734.00 | 346.70 | 51.1774 | 56.4559 |
| Dasatinib | 366.40 | 133.08 | 642.00 |  | 46.3232 | 52.3302 |

We carry the regression analysis for the drugs listed in Figure 1. Linear regression model is tested with the help of equation:

$$
P=a+b \cdot *,
$$

where $P$ is a physicochemical property of a drug, $*$ is either $A B C$ or $A B C$ energy, $a$ is a constant and $b$ represents regression coefficient.

Table 2 gives the correlation coefficients of the physiochemical properties of drugs given in Figure 1 with the graph invariants $A B C$ index and the $A B C$ energy. From the table, we observe that RI is highly correlated with $A B C$ index while FP is least related in both cases.

Table 2. Correlation coefficients of MV, RI, C and FP with $A B C$ index and $A B C$ energy of drugs given in Figure 1.

| Invariant | $\mathrm{MV}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{RI}\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ | C | $\mathrm{FP}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A B C$ | 0.957399 | 0.968961 | 0.940544 | 0.735575 |
| $E A B C$ | 0.949354 | 0.964731 | 0.950077 | 0.752718 |

Table 3 gives the coefficient of determinations $R^{2}$ of the physiochemical properties with $A B C$ and $E A B C$. We see that RI achieves maximum $R^{2}$ in both case of $A B C$ and $E A B C$.

Table 3. $R^{2}$ of MV, RI, C and FP with $A B C$ index and $A B C$ energy of drugs given in Figure 1.

| Invariant | $\mathrm{MV}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{RI}\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ | C | $\mathrm{FP}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A B C$ | 0.8442 | 0.9389 | 0.8385 | 0.0609 |
| $E A B C$ | 0.8405 | 0.9307 | 0.867 | 0.0 .0699 |

Figure 2 shows the pictorial representation of linear regression of $A B C$ and $E A B C$ with MV. The approximated regression equations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M V=7.7609 \cdot A B C-35.517 \text { with } R^{2}=0.8442 \\
& M V=6.9126 \cdot E A B C-36.449 \text { with } R^{2}=0.8405
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 2. Linear regression of $A B C$ index and $A B C$ energy with molar volume (MV).
Figure 3 shows the pictorial representation of linear regression of $A B C$ and $E A B C$ with RI. The approximated regression equations are:

$$
R I=2.4579 \cdot A B C+6.158 \text { with } R^{2}=0.9389
$$

$$
R I=6.9126 \cdot E A B C+6.0212 \text { with } R^{2}=0.9307 .
$$



Figure 3. Linear regression of $A B C$ index and $A B C$ energy with Refractive Index (RI).

Figure 4 shows the pictorial representation of linear regression of $A B C$ and $E A B C$ with C . The approximated regression equations are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
C=19.264 \cdot A B C-162.9 \text { with } R^{2}=0.8385 . \\
C=17.486 \cdot E A B C-176.31 \text { with } R^{2}=0.867 .
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 4. Linear regression of $A B C$ index and $A B C$ energy with Complexity (C).

Figure 5 shows the linear regression of $A B C$ and $E A B C$ with $F P$. The approximated regression equations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F P=2.2249 \cdot A B C+182.77 \text { with } R^{2}=0.0609 . \\
& F P=0.0699 \cdot E A B C+177.55 \text { with } R^{2}=0.0699
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 5. Linear regression of $A B C$ index and $A B C$ energy with Flash Point (FP).

The significant observation is that molar volume (MV), refractive index (R) and complexity (C) are better related with the $A B C$ index and the $A B C$ energy and thereby may help in designing better drugs. With this study, we can better understand the structure of these drugs and increase their efficiency against cancer related diseases.
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