### Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Ivy Yeh, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

REVIEWED BY Chuan-Chao Wang, Xiamen University, China Zheng Wang, Sichuan University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Lan-Hai Wei, ⊠ Ryan.lh.wei@foxmail.com Da Man, ⊠ mandaji@imnu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 21 June 2023 ACCEPTED 14 July 2023 PUBLISHED 24 July 2023

### CITATION

Yu H-X, Ao C, Zhang X-P, Liu K-J, Wang Y-B, Meng S-L, Li H, Wei L-H and Man D (2023), Unveiling 2,000 years of differentiation among Tungusic-speaking populations: a revised phylogeny of the paternal founder lineage C2a-M48-SK1061. Front. Genet. 14:1243730.

doi: 10.3389/fgene.2023.1243730

### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Yu, Ao, Zhang, Liu, Wang, Meng, Li, Wei and Man. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited

and the copying owners) are cleated and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Unveiling 2,000 years of differentiation among Tungusic-speaking populations: a revised phylogeny of the paternal founder lineage C2a-M48-SK1061

Hui-Xin Yu<sup>1†</sup>, Cheligeer Ao<sup>1†</sup>, Xian-Peng Zhang<sup>1†</sup>, Kai-Jun Liu<sup>2†</sup>, Yi-Bing Wang<sup>1</sup>, Song-Lin Meng<sup>3</sup>, Hui Li<sup>4,5</sup>, Lan-Hai Wei<sup>1,4,5,6</sup>\* and Da Man<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Anthropology and Human Sciences, School of Ethnology and Anthropology, Inner Mongolia Normal University, Hohhot, China, <sup>2</sup>Chengdu 23Mofang Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Chengdu, China, <sup>3</sup>School of History and Ethnic Culture, Hulunbuir University, Hulunbuir, China, <sup>4</sup>MOE Key Laboratory of Contemporary Anthropology, School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, <sup>5</sup>B&R International Joint Laboratory for Eurasian Anthropology, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, <sup>6</sup>College of Life Science and Technology, Inner Mongolia Normal University, Hohhot, China

Previous studies demonstrated Y chromosome haplogroup C2a-M48-SK1061 is the only founding paternal lineage of all Tungusic-speaking populations. To infer the differentiation history of these populations, we studied more sequences and constructed downstream structure of haplogroup C2a-M48-SK1061 with better resolution. In this study, we generated 100 new sequences and co-analyzed 140 sequences of C2a-M48-SK1061 to reconstruct a highly revised phylogenetic tree with age estimates. We also performed the analysis of the geographical distribution and spatial autocorrelation of sub-branches. Dozens of new subbranches were discovered, many sub-branches were nearly unique for Ewenki, Evens, Orogen, Xibe, Manchu, Daur, and Mongolian. The topology of these unique sub-branches is the key evidence for understanding the complex evolutionary relationship between different Tungusic-speaking populations. The revised phylogeny provided a clear pattern for the differentiation history of haplogroup C2a-M48-SK1061 in the past 2,000 years. This study showed that the divergence pattern of founder lineage is essential to understanding the differentiation history of populations.

### KEYWORDS

Tungusic-speaking populations, haplogroup C2a-M48-SK1061, founder paternal lineage, phylogeny, human evolution

### 1 Introduction

The Tungusic-speaking population mainly distributed in north Asia, their evolutionary history, unique subsistence patterns, and cultural traditions has long fascinated linguists, archaeologists, and geneticists, and anthropologists. Previous archaeological studies indicated that the rise and prosperity of the Uril, Poltse and Mohe cultures were directly related to the development of Tungusic-speaking populations (Twitchett and Franke, 1994; Elliott, 2001; Feng, 2002; Gorelova, 2002; Derevianko, 2015; Diakova, 2016). Most genetic studies of Asians involve Tungusic speakers. As a result, previous studies indicated that

10.3389/fgene.2023.1243730

haplogroup C2a-M86 was the only founding paternal lineage because it occurred so frequently in paternal makeup of across different Tungusic speakers (Karafet et al., 2001; Lell et al., 2002; Zerjal et al., 2002; Puzyrev et al., 2003; Derenko et al., 2006; Hammer et al., 2006; Pakendorf et al., 2006; Xue et al., 2006; Khar'kov et al., 2008; Malyarchuk et al., 2010; Zhong et al., 2010; Duggan et al., 2013; Malyarchuk et al., 2013; Karmin et al., 2015; Pugach et al., 2016; Karafet et al., 2018; Balinova et al., 2019). Moreover, there is higher diversity of paternal lineage; haplogroup N, Q, R, and O also can be found in Tungusic-speaking populations, which may be attributed to the population admixture in history. In our previous preliminary study of downstream lineages of M86, we found SK1061 is the specific paternal lineage of Tungusic speakers, and revealed multiple important sub-clades of SK1061 (Liu et al., 2020).

It is generally accepted that the diversification of language is closely related to the differentiation of populations (Bellwood and Renfrew, 2002). While previous studies indicated multiple specific paternal lineages could be found in populations from different linguistic groups (Karafet et al., 2001), Tungusic-speaking populations all share only one founding paternal lineage (SK1061). Therefore, we speculated that the differentiation of founding paternal lineage would be directly associated with diversity of Tungusic speakers, and the topology structure of founding paternal lineage is consistent with the diversified structure of the Tungusic language (Wei and Li, 2017). However, no existing studies confirm our hypothesis.

In this study, we analyzed 140 samples belonging to haplogroup SK1061, and we had three goals: 1) to build a higher-resolution revised phylogenetic tree, 2) to investigate whether there are specific paternal haplogroups in different Tungusic speakers, and if so, to chart the topological structure of these sub-branches, and 3) to explore the relationship between the phylogenetic structure of the founding paternal lineage and patterns of population diversification, shifts of archaeological culture, and development of language genealogy.

# 2 Materials and methods

Samples and Sequencing Saliva samples were collected from unrelated healthy males in East Asian populations over the last few decades. All the participants provided written informed consent prior to participating. The study and sample collection process were reviewed and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Fudan University and Inner Mongolian Normal University, and complied with the ethical principles of the 2013 Helsinki Declaration of the World Medical Association. Genomic DNA was extracted using the DP-318 Kit (Tiangen Biotechnology, Beijing) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Firstly, Routine Y-SNP tests were done to determine the general haplogroup of all male samples. And then DNA specimens extracted from C2a-M48-SK1061 samples were sent for next-generation sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq2000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States).

**Data Analysis** In total, 140 sequences of SK1061 individuals were analyzed (Supplementary Table S1). We used the procedure that we described previously for the other steps prior to next-generation sequencing, i.e., for DNA shearing, adding an adaptor, and gel electrophoresis (Sun et al., 2021). Read mapping and SNP

calling from next-generation sequencing data were conducted using standard procedures (BWA and Samtools) and the human reference genome sequence, hg38 (Li and Durbin, 2009; Li et al., 2009; Li and Durbin, 2010). To obtain a confident Y-SNP dataset for reconstruction of phylogenetic tree and age estimation, we applied a series of strict filters on the original variants file, including: 1, restriction to variants that are single nucleotide polymorphisms (Y-SNP); 2, removal of all positions with call rate <80% on all samples with sequences; 3, removal of position with heterozygosis call rate >5% on all samples; 4, base coverage  $\geq$ 3, base quality >20, and distance between SNPs >10 bp; 5, removal of recurrent or triadic mutations. The regulations proposed by the YCC were followed to revise the phylogenetic tree with respect to new variants in the non-recombining region of the Y chromosome (Y Chromosome Consortium, 2002). Since there is the phylogenetic tree of C2a-M48-SK1061 in previous studies, the revised phylogenetic tree was constructed based on previous studies, public resources, and the genetic genealogy community. Bayesian evolutionary analyses were conducted using BEAST (v.2.0.0) (Bouckaert and Drummond, 2017). To calculate divergence times in the phylogenetic tree, a point mutation rate of  $0.74 \times 10-9$  per site per year (Karmin et al., 2015), inferred from the ~12,000-year-old Anzick-1 male infant genome (Rasmussen et al., 2014), was applied. New haplogroup names for sub-branches of C2a-M48-SK1061 are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

# **3** Results

A schematic representation of the revised phylogeny of haplogroup C2a-M48-SK1061 with age estimation is shown in Figure 1. The detailed tree can be found in Supplementary Table S1. We detected a complex downstream structure and identified numerous new SNPs and sub-branches. The geographic distribution of eight main clades of SK1061 is shown in Figure 2. Additionally, we found dozens of new downstream clades and provided a chronology for each of these clades (Figure 1; Supplementary Table S1). Overall, the differentiation time of SK1061 in Tungusic-speaking populations is about 2,000 years ago (kya). In the past 2,000 years (ky), haplogroup SK1061 expanded continually and generated many sub-branches, including several that developed independently and formed specific paternal lineage in different populations.

In this study, we found a number of specific paternal lineages and haplogroup classifications (Figure 1; Supplementary Table S1). Haplogroup B81 and B471 are the specific paternal lineages of Evens, haplogroup F31676 is the specific paternal lineage of Ewenki and Oroqen, MF247928 is the specific paternal lineage of Oroqen, and FTA16345 is the specific paternal lineage of Ewenki. The divergence time of Ewenki and Oroqen is about 1.2 kya, and the divergence time between Evens and Ewenki and Oroqen is around 1.5 kya which we determined by observing the revised phylogenetic tree with an age estimate. We found the paternal lineage of a common ancestor group of Evens, Ewenki and Oroqen is Z32870, which can be considered the northern branch of SK1061, and the differentiation time of Z32870 is about 1.6 kya.

Based on the phylogenetic structure, we found a dramatic expansion in paternal lineage B87 about 1.4 kya and generated eight main sub-branches (Figure 1; Supplementary Table S1).



Evens, Ewenki and Oroqen share the paternal lineage B89, Mongolian and Daur share FT411763 and F20822, and B89, FT411763 and F20822 are the sub-clades of Z32853. Interestingly, we found a more prosperous paternal lineage in Mongolic speakers from eastern China: ACT654. The differentiation time of this branch is about 1.0 kya including a



#### FIGURE 2

Geographic distribution of eight main sub-branches of C2a-M48-SK1061 (A): paternal lineage B80, which is unique for Even, Ewenki, and Oroqen, mainly distributed in high altitude in northern Asia. (B) Z232853 distributed mainly in the border zone between Northeast China and Russia. (C) ACT654 occurred mainly in Mongolic speakers in southwestern region of Northeast China. (D) MF284070 and MF172346 mainly distributed in Northeast China and Beijing).

large-scale expansion about 0.8 kya. However, ACT654 is unique for Mongolic speakers in modern populations.

As shown on Figure, MF172364 and MF284070 are two large clades that can be found in Manchu, Hezhen and Xibe, and the differentiation time of these branches is 1.4 kya. Based on the phylogenetic tree, we found some Han people appeared on the sub-clades that are nearly specific to Manchu, Hezhen and Xibe. In general, we proposed that these two clades may be key paternal lineages of Wuji, Mohe, Jurchen, and Manchu populations.

# 4 Discussion

# 4.1 Relationship between topological structure of SK1061 and population differentiation

Our study exhibits the divergence and expansion pattern of SK1061 in the past 2 ky (Figure 3). We found that the topological structure of SK1061 is related to the differentiation pattern of Tungusic speakers and proposed that differentiation pattern of SK1061 is consistent with the diversification history of Tungusic language. We also identified the specific paternal lineage of different

Tungusic speakers. For example, B80, which is unique to the Evens, Ewenki and Oroqen, diverged from SK1061 about 2 kya. By contrast, B87, which is the main paternal lineage of southern Tungusic speakers such as the Manchu, Hezhen and Xibe, is generated concurrently. In general, the phylogenetic structure supported the north-south structure of Tungusic-speaking populations.

According to history records and investigation of anthropology, the differentiation between Evens and Ewenki is about 1.5 kya (Shirokogoroff, 1924, 1966). In this study, we found the differentiation time of B80 is 1.5 kya, and there is a consistency between diverged time in memory of Evens and Ewenki and differentiation time of paternal lineage (Figures 1, 3). In addition, the Oroqen, who inhabited the eastern region of the Zeya River Basin since at least the 15th century, be considered as an eastern group of Ewenki in the historical record (Shirokogoroff, 1966). We found MF247928 is not only unique for the Oroqen, but also that it is close with FTA16345 which can be found in the Ewenki, and further confirmed historical record.

Lineage Z32853 is a distinctive sub-branch of B87. Based on sample information of other sub-clades of B87, we believed B87 may be a key paternal lineage of the Mohe people distributed across the middle and lower parts of the Amur River Basin (Figure 3).



#### FIGURE 3

Possible diffusion pattern of C2a-M48-SK1061. (A): possible diffusion pattern of C2a-M48-SK1061 (~2-1.4 kya) and F6379 (~2.7-1.4 kya). (B): possible diffusion pattern of C2a-M48-SK1061 since 1.4 kya.

However, Z32853 mainly appeared in the Mongolian and Daur people in northeast China, and the expansion time of this lineage is less 1.3 kya (Figures 1, 3). Therefore, we propose the formation of

Z32853 to be related to the historical northwestern movement of Tungusic speakers around that time. After that point, the Z32853 became continually differentiated in the upper and middle Amur River Basin. Serval lineages flowed into Evens, Ewenki, and Oroqen, but FT411763 integrated into the Daur and other populations in the Hulunbuir region while F22082 appeared only in Mongolians. In general, the differentiation and distribution patterns of the Z32853 revealed the admixture history between Mongolic speakers and the Tungusic-speaking populations who migrated into the upper Amur River Basin.

We also found that ACT654 mainly occurred in Mongolian people in northeast China as well as Manchu people who may have mixed with Mongolians (Figure 3). Based on our findings, we also believe that lineage ACT654 may be the major paternal lineage of western part of the ancient Wuji and Mohe populations. These peoples had previously settled in the middle and lower parts of the Amur River Basin before migrating to western regions of northeastern China such as Daxing'anling and Nenjiang River during the Song and Jin dynasties. The large-scale and continuous expansion of ACT654 occurred around the same time 0.8 kya as the rise of the Mongolian empire and the establishment of the Yuan dynasty. During this history period, ancient people with ACT654 may had become parts of Mongolian. Overall, the appearance of ACT654 in eastern parts of Mongolian may be results of population admixture in the past one thousand years.

Furthermore, we propose that MF172364 and MF284070 are the key paternal lineages of the Wuji, Mohe, Jurchen, and Manchu people (Figure 3). These branches maybe generated from B87 after people whose main paternal lineage is B87 migrated southward from the middle Amur River Basin. Moreover, several small sublineages were formed simultaneously including MF338216, Y1831, and MF352392. MF172364 and MF284070 expanded continually from 1.4 ky, which is consistent with the demographic history of the Mohe people, and these lineages also appeared in modern Manchu peoples, thus supporting the historical development from Wuji to Mohe to Jurchen to Manchu. In addition, we found that there are several specific surnames of Manchu people who belonged to either MF172364 or MF284070 (Figure 3). According to the historical record, after Mohe empire was established, they accepted the rule of the Tang dynasty (Liu, 2009; Derevianko, 2015). This historical account coincides with the differentiation time and geographic distribution of B87, MF172364, and MF284070. A previous study has indicated Mohe people contributed up to 75%-81.2% genetic ancestry to modern Manchu people inferred from genome-wide data (Zhang et al., 2021), and ancient Mohe individual had an estimated  $43\% \pm$ 15% Amur River Basin Neolithic ancestry (Wang et al., 2021). In general, we believe that B87 may be the paternal lineage of a leader of the Heishui Mohe. Finally, we explored the diffusion process of the mostly close clade of SK1061, F6379. Based on topological structure and geographic distribution, we believe the origin of F6379 is in the Transbaikal region, and that it expanded toward the central region of the Mongolian plateau in later period.

# 4.2 Correlation between patrilineal differentiation and archaeological culture

The differentiation time of SK1061 is about 2.0 kya, which is after the period when the Uril culture (~3,300-2,400 BP) existed

(Feng, 2002). We speculated that the Uril culture might have been established by the ancestors of Tungusic speakers. The Poltse culture distributed in the middle and lower parts of the Amur River Basin, and the Talakan culture distributed in the middle and upper parts of the Amur River Basin (Feng, 2002). These two ancient cultures showed an east-west dichotomy pattern. Therefore, we believe that the distribution of the two cultures corresponded to the north-south structure of two sub-branches of SK1061 (Z32870 vs B87). As a result, we propose B87 as the dominant paternal lineage of the Wuji and Mohe peoples, though further evidence of ancient DNA is needed to confirm our hypothesis.

# 4.3 Correlation between patrilineal differentiation and language genealogy

In this study, we claim that the phylogenetic tree of SK1061, which is the founding paternal lineage of Tungusic speakers, is helpful for exploring differentiation, kinship, and genealogical relationship of sub-groups of the Tungusic language group. Our findings in this study confirm the consensus opinion among linguists that the Tungusic language can be divided into northern and southern branches (Doerfer, 1978; Vovin, 2009). In addition, the Manchu language has been considered distinct from other Tungusic languages and thus that Manchu speaker divided separately into the southern branch of the Tungusic language (Doerfer, 1978). However, this view was incorrect and has been corrected by linguists (Vovin, 2009). In this study, we found the main paternal lineage of Manchu people belonged to B87, the manjor paternal lineage of all southern Tungusic speakers. Overall, our results support the conclusion of linguists: Manchu and Jurchen speakers cannot be grouped separately into the southern branch of the Tungusic language. Instead, they form a southern branch with Ulchi and Udihe people. Interestingly, we found that a sample from the Xibe people showed a close relationship with Manchu. Furthermore, the phylogenetic structure of a Hezhen sample supported divergent structure from the southern branch of the Tungusic language. Nevertheless, we cannot make a conclusion due to the limited number of samples. In addition, in this work, the people who distributed in the lower Amur River Basin, such as the Negidal, Oroch and Orok peoples, are not included. Therefore, further study is needed.

In this study, we constructed a higher-resolution phylogenetic tree of C2a-M48-SK1061 by using more DNA sequences. We identified many specific paternal lineages of different Tungusic-speaking peoples and demonstrated the topological structure in the revised phylogenetic tree. In addition, we explored the 2,000-year differentiated history of Tungusic-speaking populations and established a relationship between different paternal lineages and archaeological cultures. Finally, we discussed the relationship between paternal topological structure and linguistic structure within Tungusic speakers. In conclusion, we propose differentiation, topological structure, and divergent patterns of founding paternal lineage to be related with population evolution and developmental history. However, further study is needed to show a more detailed relationship between the peoples.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

### **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Medical Ethics Committee of Fudan University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

### Author contributions

Conceptualization, H-XY, CA, X-PZ, K-JL, Y-BW, S-LM, HL, L-HW, and DM; literature search, H-XY, CA, X-PZ, and K-JL; writing—original draft preparation, H-XY, CA, X-PZ, K-JL, L-HW, and DM; writing—review and editing, H-XY, CA, X-PZ, K-JL, L-HW, and DM. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### Funding

This study was supported by the Key projects of strategic international scientific and technological innovation cooperation of the Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology (2020YFE0201600), Fundamental Research Funds for the Universities of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (2022JBBJ004 to LHW), and Research Founds of Inner Mongolia Normal University (2023MZJD01 to LHW). The funders had no

### References

Balinova, N., Post, H., Kushniarevich, A., Flores, R., Karmin, M., Sahakyan, H., et al. (2019). Y-chromosomal analysis of clan structure of Kalmyks, the only European Mongol people, and their relationship to Oirat-Mongols of Inner Asia. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 27 (9), 1466–1474. doi:10.1038/s41431-019-0399-0

Bellwood, P., and Renfrew, C. (2002). *Examining the farming/language dispersal hypothesis*. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research.

Bouckaert, R. R., and Drummond, A. J. (2017). bModelTest: Bayesian phylogenetic site model averaging and model comparison. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 17 (1), 42. doi:10.1186/s12862-017-0890-6

Chromosome Consortium, Y. (2002). A nomenclature system for the tree of human Y-chromosomal binary haplogroups. *Genome Res.* 12 (2), 339–348. doi:10.1101/gr.217602

Derenko, M., Malyarchuk, B., Denisova, G. A., Wozniak, M., Dambueva, I., Dorzhu, C., et al. (2006). Contrasting patterns of Y-chromosome variation in south siberian populations from baikal and altai-sayan regions. *Hum. Genet.* 118 (5), 591–604. doi:10. 1007/s00439-005-0076-y

Derevianko, E. I. (2015). Mohe - tunguses. Probl. arkheologii, Etnogr. Antropol. Sib. i sopredel'nykh Territ. территорий 21, 224–227.

Diakova, O. V. (2016). Koryo-Jurchen's borderlands: Contacts and conflicts. Moscow: Russian Acad Sciences, Inst Far Eastern Studies.

Doerfer, G. (1978). "Classification problems of tungus," in *Tungusica, Band 1: Beiträge zur Nordasiatischen Kulturgeschichte, 1–26.* Editors G. Doerfer and M. Weiers (Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz).

Duggan, A. T., Whitten, M., Wiebe, V., Crawford, M., Butthof, A., Spitsyn, V., et al. (2013). Investigating the prehistory of Tungusic peoples of Siberia and the Amur-Ussuri region with complete mtDNA genome sequences and Y-chromosomal markers. *PLoS One* 8 (12), e83570. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083570

role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

# Acknowledgments

We thank all donors for providing DNA samples and/or DNA sequences.

# Conflict of interest

Author K-JL was employed by the company Chengdu 23Mofang Biotechnology Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2023.1243730/ full#supplementary-material

Elliott, M. C. (2001). The Manchu way: The eight banners and ethnic identity in late imperial China. Redwood: Stanford University Press.

Feng, E.-X. (2002). Archaeology in Russian far east and eastern siberia (in Chinese). Changchun: Jinlin University Press.

Gorelova, L. M. (2002). Handbook of Oriental studies. Section 8 Uralic & central asian studies, Manchu grammar. Leiden: Brill.

Hammer, M. F., Karafet, T. M., Park, H., Omoto, K., Harihara, S., Stoneking, M., et al. (2006). Dual origins of the Japanese: Common ground for hunter-gatherer and farmer Y chromosomes. *J. Hum. Genet.* 51 (1), 47–58. doi:10.1007/s10038-005-0322-0

Karafet, T. M., Osipova, L. P., Savina, O. V., Hallmark, B., and Hammer, M. F. (2018). Siberian genetic diversity reveals complex origins of the Samoyedic-speaking populations. *Am. J. Hum. Biol.* 30 (6), e23194. doi:10.1002/ajhb.23194

Karafet, T. M., Xu, L., Du, R., Wang, W., Feng, S., Wells, R. S., et al. (2001). Paternal population history of east Asia: Sources, patterns, and microevolutionary processes. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 69 (3), 615–628. doi:10.1086/323299

Karmin, M., Saag, L., Vicente, M., Wilson Sayres, M. A., Jarve, M., Talas, U. G., et al. (2015). A recent bottleneck of Y chromosome diversity coincides with a global change in culture. *Genome Res.* 25 (4), 459–466. doi:10.1101/gr.186684.114

Khar'kov, V. N., Stepanov, V. A., Medvedev, O. F., Spiridonova, M. G., Maksimova, N. R., Nogovitsyna, A. N., et al. (2008). The origin of Yakuts: analysis of Y-chromosome haplotypes. *Mol. Biol. (Mosk)* 42 (2), 226–237. doi:10.1134/S0026893308020040

Lell, J. T., Sukernik, R. I., Starikovskaya, Y. B., Su, B., Jin, L., Schurr, T. G., et al. (2002). The dual origin and Siberian affinities of Native American Y chromosomes. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 70 (1), 192–206. doi:10.1086/338457 Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2010). Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 26 (5), 589–595. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/ btp698

Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25 (14), 1754–1760. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/ btp324

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., et al. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25 (16), 2078–2079. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

Liu, B. L., Ma, P. C., Wang, C. Z., Yan, S., Yao, H. B., Li, Y. L., et al. (2020). Paternal origin of Tungusic-speaking populations: Insights from the updated phylogenetic tree of Y-chromosome haplogroup C2a-M86. *Am. J. Hum. Biol.* 33 (2), e23462. doi:10.1002/ajhb.23462

Liu, X. D. (2009). A preliminary study of the Mohe culture. North. Cult. Relics 4, 6–15.

Malyarchuk, B. A., Derenko, M., Denisova, G., Khoyt, S., Wozniak, M., Grzybowski, T., et al. (2013). Y-chromosome diversity in the Kalmyks at the ethnical and tribal levels. *J. Hum. Genet.* 58 (12), 804–811. doi:10.1038/jhg.2013.108

Malyarchuk, B. A., Derenko, M., Denisova, G., Wozniak, M., Grzybowski, T., Dambueva, I., et al. (2010). Phylogeography of the Y-chromosome haplogroup C in northern eurasia. *Ann. Hum. Genet.* 74 (6), 539–546. doi:10.1111/j.1469-1809.2010.00601.x

Pakendorf, B., Novgorodov, I. N., Osakovskij, V. L., Danilova, A. P., Protod'jakonov, A. P., and Stoneking, M. (2006). Investigating the effects of prehistoric migrations in siberia: Genetic variation and the origins of yakuts. *Hum. Genet.* 120 (3), 334–353. doi:10.1007/s00439-006-0213-2

Pugach, I., Matveev, R., Spitsyn, V., Makarov, S., Novgorodov, I., Osakovsky, V., et al. (2016). The complex admixture history and recent southern origins of siberian populations. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33 (7), 1777–1795. doi:10.1093/molbev/msw055

Puzyrev, V. P., Stepanov, V. A., Golubenko, M. V., Puzyrev, K. V., Maksimova, N. R., Khar'kov, V. N., et al. (2003). MtDNA and Y-chromosome lineages in the Yakut population. *Genetika* 39 (7), 975–981.

Rasmussen, M., Anzick, S. L., Waters, M. R., Skoglund, P., DeGiorgio, M., Stafford, T. W., Jr., et al. (2014). The genome of a Late Pleistocene human from a Clovis burial site in Western Montana. *Nature* 506 (7487), 225–229. doi:10.1038/nature13025

Shirokogoroff, S. M. (1924). Social organization of the manchus: A study of the Manchu clan organization. Shanghai, China: Royal Asiatic Society.

Shirokogoroff, S. M. (1966). Social organization of the northern Tungus: With introductory chapters concerning geographical distribution and history of these groups. Oosterhout: Anthropological Publications.

Sun, J., Li, Y. X., Ma, P. C., Yan, S., Cheng, H. Z., Fan, Z. Q., et al. (2021). Shared paternal ancestry of Han, Tai-Kadai-speaking, and Austronesian-speaking populations as revealed by the high resolution phylogeny of O1a-M119 and distribution of its sublineages within China. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 174 (4), 686–700. doi:10.1002/ajpa. 24240

Twitchett, D., and Franke, H. (1994). *The cambridge history of China: Volume 6, alien regimes and border states, 907-1368.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Vovin, A. (2009). "Tungusic languages," in *Concise encyclopedia of languages of the World*. Editors K. Brown and S. Ogilvie (New York: Elsevier), 1103–1105.

Wang, C. C., Yeh, H. Y., Popov, A. N., Zhang, H. Q., Matsumura, H., Sirak, K., et al. (2021). Genomic insights into the formation of human populations in East Asia. *Nature* 591 (7850), 413–419. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03336-2

Wei, L. H., and Li, H. (2017). "Genetic perspective on language replacement in siberia," in *Languages and genes in northwestern China and adjacent regions*. Editors D. Xu and H. Li (Singapore: Springer Singapore), 37–53.

Xue, Y., Zerjal, T., Bao, W., Zhu, S., Shu, Q., Xu, J., et al. (2006). Male demography in East Asia: A north-south contrast in human population expansion times. *Genetics* 172 (4), 2431–2439. doi:10.1534/genetics.105.054270

Zerjal, T., Wells, R. S., Yuldasheva, N., Ruzibakiev, R., and Tyler-Smith, C. (2002). A genetic landscape reshaped by recent events: Y-Chromosomal insights into central Asia. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 71 (3), 466–482. doi:10.1086/342096

Zhang, X., He, G., Li, W., Wang, Y., Li, X., Chen, Y., et al. (2021). Genomic insight into the population admixture history of tungusic-speaking Manchu people in Northeast China. *Front. Genet.* 12, 754492. doi:10.3389/fgene.2021.754492

Zhong, H., Shi, H., Qi, X. B., Xiao, C. J., Jin, L., Ma, R. Z., et al. (2010). Global distribution of Y-chromosome haplogroup C reveals the prehistoric migration routes of African exodus and early settlement in East Asia. *J. Hum. Genet.* 55 (7), 428–435. doi:10. 1038/jhg.2010.40