



Supplementary Information

Enhanced Photo-Fenton Activity Using Magnetic Cu_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄ Nanoparticles as a Recoverable Catalyst for Degrading Organic Contaminants

Athaphon Angkaew¹, Chainarong Sakulthaew², Matura Nimtim¹, Saksit Imman³, Tunlawit Satapanajaru¹, Nopparat Suriyachai³, Torpong Kreetachat³, Steve Comfort⁴ and Chanat Chokejaroenrat^{1,*}

Section S1. Chemicals

The chemicals used in this research were analytical grade and were purchased from several vendors. Manganese sulfate monohydrate (MnSO4·H2O) was purchased from Loba Chemie Pvt. Ltd. (Mumbai, India). Ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl3·6H2O) and acetic acid were obtained from QRëC (Auckland, New Zealand). Sodium hydroxide (NaOH), hydrogen peroxide (30%, H2O2), copper sulfate (CuSO4), oxytetracycline dihydrate (C22H24N2O9·2H2O; OTC), enrofloxacin (C19H22FN3O3; ENR), sulfadimethoxine sodium salt (C12H13N4O4SNa; SDM) and sulfamethoxazole (C10H11N3O3S; SMX), methyl 4hydroxybenzoate (C₈H₈O₃; methylparaben), propyl 4-hydroxybenzoate (C₁₀H₁₂O₃; propylparaben), butyl 4-hydroxybenzoate (C11H14O3; butylparaben) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Tert-butanol (C4H10O; TBA) was purchased from Carlo Erba (Val-de-Reuil, France). Dimethyl sulfoxide (C₂H₆OS; DMSO), acetonitrile (C₂H₃N; ACN) and methanol (CH₃OH; MeOH) were purchased from RCI Labscan (Bangkok, Thailand). Ethyl alcohol anhydrous (C₂H₅OH; EtOH) was purchased from Daejung (Gyeonggi, Korea). Melamine (C3H6N6), ethyl 4-hydroxybenzoate (C9H10O3; ethylparaben), and p-benzoquinone ($C_6H_4O_2$; pBQ) were purchased from Alfa Aesar (Shanghai, China). Atrazine (C8H14ClN5; ATZ) was purchased from Dr. Ehrenstorfer GmbH (Augsburg, Germany). Alachlor (C14H20ClNO2; ALA) was obtained from Chem Service (Pennsylvania, United States). 2,4 dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (C₈H₆Cl₂O₃; 2,4-D) was obtained from Spectrum (New Jersey; United States). Methylene blue (C16H18ClN3S·2H2O; MB) and methyl orange (C14H14N3NaO3S; MO) were purchased from Ajax Finechem (Auckland, New Zealand). Crystal violet (C25N3H30Cl; CV) was obtained from Vidhyasom (Bangkok, Thailand). Deionized water (DI) was used in all experiments.

Section S2. Chemical analyses

The concentration of antibiotics, herbicides, and parabens were quantified by highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC; 600E Waters, Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a UV detector (2487, Waters, Milford, MA). We used two HPLC C18 analytical columns: (1) Mightysil, RP-18 GP 250 x 4.6 (5 µm) for antibiotic analysis, and (2) Phenomenex, Luna 5u C18(2), 250 x 4.6 mm) (5 µm) for herbicide and paraben analyses. Details of HPLC condition such as mobile phase ratio, flow rate, and the detection wavelength for determining each pollutant were presented in Table S1. The concentration of methylene blue (MB), methyl orange (MO) and crystal violet (CV) were quantified using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (libra S6, Biochrom, England) at the wavelength of 665, 464, and 585 nm. Total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN) concentrations were quantified using a total organic carbon analyzer (TOC-L; H544258, Shimadzu, Japan) equipped with a total nitrogen measuring unit (TNM-L; H564058, Shimadzu, Japan). The concentration of leaching metals (Cu, Mn and Fe) was determined using a flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer (FAAS; 200AA, Agilent). The turbidity and water parameters (pH, total dissolved solids, conductivity and salinity) were measured using a turbidity meter (Turb 430IR, WTW, Weilheim, Germany) and a multi-parameter analyzer (C1020, Consort, Turnhout, Belgium).

Section S3. Material characterization

In this work, the catalysts were characterized by several instruments. We investigated the crystal structure by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD; D2 phaser, Bruker, Berlin, Germany) with Cu K α radiation (l=0.15406 nm). The magnetic properties were determined using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM; custom-made by the Department of Physics, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand). The surface functional groups were analyzed by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR; Tensor 27, Bruker, Berlin, Germany). The surface chemical compositions and chemical state changes were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; Kratos, AXIS ultra DLD, Manchester, England) occupied with the VISION II software. The morphological structures were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JEOL, JSM-6010, Tokyo, Japan) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM; Talos F200X, Thermo Scientific, USA). The optical properties were determined by UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectrophotometer (UV-Vis-DRS; Cary 300, Agilent).

Section S4. Role of melamine addition

Based on XRD results, we did not observe the existence of melamine and/or graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄; a polymer resulted from melamine polymerization under high temperatures) in the Cu_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄ XRD spectra (e.g., at $2\theta = 13.1^{\circ}$ and 27.4°), which was possibly due to the minimal melamine content used in the process. Despite this disappearance, we believed that the g-C₃N₄ was formed and acted as a coordinating agent during the calcination process and may further decompose at 550°C, which was consistent with results reported by [1,2].

To elucidate the melamine role, we used FTIR to determine the surface chemical composition and compare the catalyst spectral changes with and without melamine addition. Both FTIR spectra showed absorption peaks around 400-600 cm⁻¹ which can be ascribed to the metal-oxygen bonds (Fe-O, Mn-O, and Cu-O) [3,4] (Figure S2a). Without melamine addition, there were high-intensity peaks at 1395, 1530, and 1641 cm⁻¹, which can be attributed to C-O, C=O, and C=C bonds [5,6]. While with melamine addition, we observed a stronger M-O absorption peak (540 cm⁻¹), the C-O and C=O bond disappearance, and no nitrogen-containing bond peaks. This was due to the coprecipitated particle-melamine complex sublimation occurred during the calcination process. Once melamine was heated with the coprecipitated particles, it provided a platform for homogeneous distribution of nanoparticles, and coordinated to the transition metals, resulting in the metal-melamine complex formation [7,8]. Under the high calcining temperature (550°C), this complex can further decompose into spinel ferrite structure and volatile gases (i.e., COx, NOx, and NH₃) [9]. This confirmed the melamine role as a coordinating agent that can improve the Cu_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄ crystallinity and successfully prevent the $-Fe_2O_3$ formation.

Following the Cu_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄ catalytic treatment, we measured the catalyst elemental composition and metal oxidation states using XPS. The survey scan XPS spectra verified the C, O, Mn, Fe, and Cu presence at the 285, 530, 641, 711, and 935 eV binding energy (Figure S2b). In the C 1s spectra, the peaks at 282.8 and 283.8 eV were ascribed to M-C bonds, and the other peaks at 284.9, 286.3, and 288.4 eV were attributed to C-C/C=C, C-O, and O=C-O (Figure S2c) [3,5]. Although the g-C₃N₄ character was not present in the XRD, FTIR, and XPS results, the C 1s spectra showed the M-C and C-C/C=C bonds (Figure S2c). The absence of g-C₃N₄ (i.e., C-N) can be explained from the small melamine content during calcination and the high ferrite dispersion, which may overshadow the g-C₃N₄ [2]. Furthermore, the g-C₃N₄ decomposition temperature (~630°C) may shift to <550°C, causing the particle-melamine complex and g-C₃N₄ that conjugated with metal oxide to decompose. However, the carbon content remnant from melamine could still be decorated on the catalyst, corresponding to the M-C and C-C/C=C bond formation. Like carbonbased material doping, these carbons could act as an electron acceptor, forming a heterojunction structure with Cu_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄ which could enhance the light absorption capability and suppress photo-excited electron-hole recombination, thereby improving Cu_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄ photocatalytic activity [10,11].

Table S1. HPLC conditions for quantifying the concentrations of antibiotics, herbicides, and parabens.

	Mobile phase (%)			Flow rate	Wayolongth	Potention time	
Pollutants	Acetonitrile	Acetic acid (0.1% v/v)	H₂O	(mL/min)	(nm)	(min)	
Oxytetracycline	20	80	-	1	354	~4.6	
Enrofloxacin	20	80	-	1	270	~4.5	
Sulfadimethoxine	60	40	-	1	270	~3.8	
Sulfamethoxazole	60	40	-	1	270	~3.5	
Alachlor	75	-	25	1	200	~7	
Atrazine	75	-	25	1	220	~4.5	
2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid	75	-	25	1	200	~1.9	
Methyl-paraben	60	-	40	1	254	~3.9	
Ethyl-paraben	60	-	40	1	254	~4.6	
Propyl-paraben	60	-	40	1	254	~5.7	
Buthyl-paraben	60	-	40	1	254	~7.5	

Table S2. Physical and chemical characteristics of the water samples.

Parameter	Unit	Influent wastewater	Effluent wastewater	Wastewater Station #1	Wastewater Station #2	Canal water	Wastewater Station #3						
pН	-	7.0	6.9	7.2	7.0	7.0	7.1						
Conductivity	µS/cm	550.0	535.0	448.0	462.0	329.0	491.0						
TDS	mg/L	289.0	280.0	239.0	246.0	175.0	259.0						
Salinity	PSU	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2						
Turbidity	NTU	5.00	12.00	3.50	6.00	15.50	12.2						
UV ₂₅₄	Absorbance	0.1550	0.1740	0.1370	0.3600	0.2710	-						
тс	mg/L	53.33	50.71	43.88	53.72	40.36	53.17						
TOC	mg/L	8.79	7.98	8.41	11.68	8.95	13.74						
TN	mg/L	7.24	7.36	6.07	2.62	1.78	5.86						
Before treating (adding OTC)													
Turbidity	NTU	5.00	11.50	3.75	6.20	15.70	-						
тс	mg/L	73.35	71.84	66.53	76.49	63.36	-						
TOC	mg/L	36.06	33.94	35.43	37.85	34.65	-						
TN	mg/L	9.94	9.86	8.85	5.48	4.60	-						
After treating													
Turbidity	NTU	0.01	2.00	0.50	0.17	4.00	-						
тс	NTU	40.40	46.27	44.29	42.07	41.61	-						
TOC	mg/L	22.79	23.59	23.44	27.62	26.03	-						
TN	mg/L	5.409	5.622	6.36	3.802	3.812	-						



Figure S1. (a) scanning electron microscopy (SEM)image, X-ray mapping of (b) C, (c) O, (d) Mn, (e) Fe, (f) Cu and (g) spectrum of Cu_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄ nanoparticles.



Figure S2. The Cu_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄ nanoparticle (a) FTIR, (b) XPS survey, (c) C 1s XPS spectra.



Figure S3. (a) UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS), (b) $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ vs. photon energy ($h\nu$) curves of the Cu_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄ nanoparticles.



Figure S4. Photo-Fenton catalytic degradation of MB with different composition ratios (Conditions: $[H_2O_2] = 97.89 \text{ mM}$, catalyst loading = 0.5 g/L, $[MB]_0 = 80 \text{ mg/L}$).



Figure S5. (a) Adsorption of various organic pollutants by $Cu_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}Fe_2O_4$ nanoparticles (Conditions: catalyst dose = 4 g/L, [pollutant]₀ = 0.1 mM or [HA]₀ = 100 mM) and (b) pH_{pzc} analysis of $Cu_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}Fe_2O_4$ nanoparticles.

References

- 1. Huang, L.; Xu, H.; Li, Y.; Li, H.; Cheng, X.; Xia, J.; Xu, Y.; Cai, G. Visible-light-induced WO₃/g-C₃N₄ composites with enhanced photocatalytic activity. *Dalton Transactions* **2013**, *42*, 8606–8616. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3DT00115F.
- Huang, S.; Xu, Y.; Xie, M.; Xu, H.; He, M.; Xia, J.; Huang, L.; Li, H. Synthesis of magnetic CoFe₂O₄/g-C₃N₄ composite and its enhancement of photocatalytic ability under visible-light. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* 2015, 478, 71–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2015.03.035.
- Wang, Z.; Lai, C.; Qin, L.; Fu, Y.; He, J.; Huang, D.; Li, B.; Zhang, M.; Liu, S.; Li, L.; et al. ZIF-8-modified MnFe₂O₄ with high crystallinity and superior photo-Fenton catalytic activity by Zn-O-Fe structure for TC degradation. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 2020, 392, 124851. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124851.
- Ghassemi, N.; Davarani, S.S.H.; Moazami, H.R. Cathodic electrosynthesis of CuFe₂O₄/CuO composite nanostructures for high performance supercapacitor applications. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics* 2018, 29, 12573–12583. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-018-9374-8.

- Lai, C.; Huang, F.; Zeng, G.; Huang, D.; Qin, L.; Cheng, M.; Zhang, C.; Li, B.; Yi, H.; Liu, S. Fabrication of novel magnetic MnFe₂O₄/bio-char composite and heterogeneous photo-Fenton degradation of tetracycline in near neutral pH. *Chemosphere* 2019, 224, 910–921. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.02.193.
- Ghobadi, M.; Gharabaghi, M.; Abdollahi, H.; Boroumand, Z.; Moradian, M. MnFe₂O₄-graphene oxide magnetic nanoparticles as a high-performance adsorbent for rare earth elements: Synthesis, isotherms, kinetics, thermodynamics and desorption. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 2018, 351, 308–316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.03.011.
- M. L. Goodgame, D.; Hussain, I.; J. P. White, A.; J. Williams, D. Synthesis and structure of a copper(II) melamine complex, [Cu(C₃H₆N₆)(μ-OCH₃)(ONO₂)(HOCH₃)]₂, with direct Cu-melamine coordination. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions* 1999, 2899-2900. https://doi.org/10.1039/A905576B.
- 8. Wiles, A.B.; Bozzuto, D.; Cahill, C.L.; Pike, R.D. Copper (I) and (II) complexes of melamine. *Polyhedron* 2006, 25, 776–782. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poly.2005.08.022.
- 9. Doddamani, J.S.; Hodlur, R.M.; Rabinal, M.K. Melamine assisted large-scale and rapid synthesis of porous copper oxide nanostructures. *Emergent Materials* **2021**. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42247-021-00250-1.
- Ansari, S.A.; Ansari, S.G.; Foaud, H.; Cho, M.H. Facile and sustainable synthesis of carbon-doped ZnO nanostructures towards the superior visible light photocatalytic performance. *New Journal of Chemistry* 2017, 41, 9314–9320. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6NJ04070E.
- 11. Wang, X.; Wang, A.; Ma, J. Visible-light-driven photocatalytic removal of antibiotics by newly designed C₃N₄@MnFe₂O₄graphene nanocomposites. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **2017**, *336*, 81–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.04.012.