

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications

Agronomy and Horticulture Department

2022

4-Hydroxyphenylpyruvate Dioxygenase (HPPD)-Inhibiting Herbicides: Past, Present, and Future

Amit J. Jhala

Vipan Kumar

Ramawatar Yadav

Prashant Jha

Mithila Jugulam5

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub>



Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agriculture Commons](#), [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#), [Botany Commons](#), [Horticulture Commons](#), [Other Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Plant Biology Commons](#)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agronomy and Horticulture Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

Amit J. Jhala, Vipin Kumar, Ramawatar Yadav, Prashant Jha, Mithila Jugulam⁵, Martin M. Williams II, Nicholas E. Hausman, Franck E. Dayan, Paul M. Burton, Richard P. Dale, and Jason K. Norsworthy

Short Title: HPPD-Inhibiting Herbicides

4-Hydroxyphenylpyruvate Dioxygenase (HPPD)-Inhibiting Herbicides: Past, Present, and Future

Amit J. Jhala¹, Vipin Kumar², Ramawatar Yadav³, Prashant Jha⁴, Mithila Jugulam⁵, Martin M. Williams II⁶, Nicholas E. Hausman⁷, Franck E. Dayan⁸, Paul M. Burton⁹, Richard P. Dale¹⁰, and Jason K. Norsworthy¹¹

¹Associate Professor, Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA; ²Assistant Professor, Agricultural Research Center–Hays, Kansas State University, Hays, KS, USA; ³Postdoctoral Research Associate, Department of Agronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA; ⁴Professor, Department of Agronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA; ⁵Professor, Department of Agronomy, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA; ⁶Ecologist, Global Change and Photosynthesis Research, USDA-ARS, Urbana, IL, USA; ⁷Agricultural Science Technician, Global Change and Photosynthesis Research, USDA-ARS, Urbana, IL, USA; ⁸Professor, Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Department of Biological Sciences and Pest Management, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA; ⁹Herbicide Chemistry and Senior Leader, Syngenta Crop Protection, Syngenta Jealott's Hill International Research Center, Warfield, Bracknell, United Kingdom; ¹⁰Herbicide Molecular Sciences Team Leader, Syngenta Jealott's Hill International Research Center, Warfield, Bracknell, United Kingdom; ¹¹Distinguished Professor and Elms Farming Chair of Weed Science, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA.

Author for correspondence: Amit J. Jhala, Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, 279 Plant Science Hall, P.O. Box 830915, Lincoln, NE 68583.
Email: Amit.Jhala@unl.edu

Abstract

The 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD)-inhibiting herbicides are primarily used for weed control in corn, barley, oat, rice, sorghum, sugarcane, and wheat production fields in the United States. The objectives of this review were to summarize (1) the history of HPPD-inhibitor and their use in the United States, (2) HPPD-inhibitor resistant weeds, their mechanism of resistance, and management, (3) interaction of HPPD-inhibitor with other herbicides, and (4) the future of HPPD-inhibitor-resistant crops. As of 2022, three broadleaf weeds (Palmer amaranth, waterhemp, and wild radish) have evolved resistance to the HPPD-inhibitor. The predominance of metabolic resistance to HPPD-inhibitor was found in aforementioned three weed species. Management of HPPD-inhibitor-resistant weeds can be accomplished using alternate herbicides such as glyphosate, glufosinate, 2,4-D, or dicamba; however, metabolic resistance poses a serious challenge, as the weeds may be cross-resistant to other herbicide sites of action, leading to limited herbicide options. The HPPD-inhibitor is commonly applied with photosystem II (PS II)-inhibitor to increase efficacy and weed control spectrum. The synergism with HPPD-inhibitor arises from depletion of plastoquinones, which allows increased binding of PS II-inhibitor to the D1 protein. New HPPD-inhibitor from azole carboxamides class is in development and expected to be available in the near future. The HPPD-inhibitor-resistant crops have been developed through overexpression of a resistant bacterial HPPD enzyme in plants and the overexpression of transgenes for HPPD and a microbial gene that enhances the production of HPPD substrate. Isoxaflutole-resistant soybean is commercially available, and it is expected that soybean resistant to other HPPD-inhibitor such as mesotrione, stacked with resistance to other herbicides, will be available in the near future.

Nomenclature: Bicyclopyrone; bipyrazone; isoxaflutole; mesotrione; pyroxasulfotole; tembotrione; tolpyralate; topramezone; Palmer amaranth, *Amaranthus palmeri* L.; waterhemp, *Amaranthus tuberculatus* L.; wild radish, *Raphanus raphanistrum* L.; barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.); corn, *Zea mays* L.; oat (*Avena sativa* L.); rice, *Oryza sativa* L.; sorghum, *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench; sugarcane, *Saccharum officinarum* L.; wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.).

Keywords: Azole carboxamides; integrated weed management; herbicide interaction; pyrazolone; resistant crops; resistant weeds; synergism; triketone.

Introduction

Herbicides are used for managing weeds in diverse cropping systems in many countries (Jhala et al. 2014a). The 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD)-inhibiting herbicides represent one of the latest discoveries of a new herbicide site of action that was introduced in the late 1990s (Mitchell et al. 2001). Based on the site of action, HPPD-inhibitor has been classified as group 27 herbicides by the Weed Science Society of America and Herbicide Resistance Action Committee (Mallory-Smith and Retzinger 2017). The HPPD-inhibiting herbicides are broadly classified into chemical families: isoxazole (e.g., isoxaflutole), pyrazolone (e.g., pyrasulfotole, tolypyralate, topramezone), triketone (e.g., bicyclopyrone, mesotrione, and tembotrione) (Figure 1), and isoxazolidinone (e.g., clomazone) (Lee et al. 1997). An additional class, azole carboxamides, has emerged in the patent literature but these molecules are not commercialized as of 2022 (Figure 1).

These herbicides inhibit the HPPD enzyme found in plants and animals that is essential for the synthesis of plastoquinone and tocopherols (Liu and Lu 2016). The plastoquinone is in turn a co-factor in the formation of carotenoids, which protect chlorophyll in plants. Because of the lack of plastoquinone, tocopherols and carotenoid synthesis due to HPPD inhibition, sensitive plants suffer oxidative damage and chlorophyll destruction, turn white without deformation, and eventually die (Mitchell et al. 2001). The HPPD-inhibiting herbicides are mainly used to control annual grass and broadleaf weeds, including herbicide-resistant biotypes primarily in grass crops such as sugarcane and corn (Grossman and Ehrhardt 2007; Pallett et al. 2001). After the evolution and widespread occurrence of weeds resistant to the acetolactate synthase (ALS)-inhibitor, atrazine, and glyphosate, the HPPD-inhibiting herbicides played a key role for their management in agronomic crops primarily in corn (Ganie and Jhala 2017).

The HPPD-inhibitor are low use-rate herbicides for selective preemergence (PRE) and postemergence (POST) weed control primarily in corn, barley, oat, rice, sorghum, sugarcane, and wheat. Mesotrione is labeled in sorghum and sugarcane for PRE weed control. The HPPD- and photosystem (PS) II-inhibiting herbicides are applied in a mixture, as certain herbicides belonging to both sites of action interact synergistically and provide higher efficacy compared with applied alone (Fluttert et al. 2022). For example, field experiments conducted in Nebraska reported that Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* L.) resistant to atrazine and HPPD-inhibitor

was effectively controlled by their mixtures even applied at labeled rates (Chahal and Jhala 2018a).

The scientific literature is not available covering the past, present, and future of the HPPD-inhibitor. Therefore, the objectives of this review were to (1) summarize the history of HPPD- inhibitor and their use in the United States, (2) summarize HPPD-inhibitor resistant weeds, their mechanism of resistance, and management, (3) highlight the interactions of HPPD-inhibitor with other herbicides, and (4) summarize the future of HPPD-inhibitor including herbicide products in the pipeline and HPPD-inhibitor-resistant crops.

History of HPPD-Inhibiting Herbicides

Inhibitors of HPPD (HPPD, EC 1.13.11.27) were the results of several concurrent industry research programs. Pyrazolones were first commercialized by Sankyo in 1980 with pyrazolynate in the United States (Figure 2). Pyrazoxyfen by Ishihara followed in 1985, benzofenap by Mitsubishi and Rhône-Poulenc in 1987, topramezone by BASF in 2006, and pyrasulfotole by Bayer Crop Science in 2007 (Figure 3). Ishihara has commercialized their corn herbicide tolypyralate, which was first registered in 2017 (Tsukamoto et al. 2021).

KingAgroot launched four new pyrazolone herbicides in China in 2020: cyprafluone, bipyrazone, fenpyrozone, and tripyrasulfone. Cyprafluone became KingAgroot's first active ingredient to launch outside of China when granted registration in Pakistan in 2021 (KingAgroot 2021), with a plan for registration in other countries. Cyprafluone controls grass weeds such as Japanese foxtail (*Alopecurus japonicus* Steud.) and littleseed canarygrass (*Phalaris minor* Retz.) in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Wang et al. (2020) reported that bipyrazone applied POST has a potential for broadleaf weed control in wheat in China.

Concurrently, a research group at Stauffer, a legacy company of ICI and now Syngenta, discovered the triketone-type HPPD-inhibitor in 1982 through a chemical ecology approach. Reed Gray observed that few weeds grew under crimson bottlebrush [*Callistemon citrinus* (Curtis) Skeels] in the California chaparral. Bioassay-guided isolation of crimson bottlebrush extracts led to the identification of fractions inducing bleaching in developing seedlings. The active fractions contained the natural product leptospermone—a natural inhibitor of HPPD (Dayan et al. 2007; Owens et al. 2013). The herbicidal activity of leptospermone and a series of synthetic triketone analogues were patented in 1980 (Figure 4). Structure-activity relationship

studies characterized the chemical toxophore responsible for inhibiting HPPD (Ahrens et al. 2013).

Triketones were first introduced to growers in 1991 by Zeneca (now Syngenta) with sulcotrione. Since then, a steady stream of triketones have been launched: benzobicyclon (by SDS Biotech in 2001), mesotrione (by Syngenta in 2002), tembotrione (by Bayer Crop Science in 2007), tefuryltrione (by Bayer in 2009), and bicyclopyrone (by Syngenta in 2015) (Beaudegnies et al. 2009). Kumiai Chemical registered fenquinotrione (Figure 4), trademarked as Effeeda, to control broadleaf weeds and sedges in rice in Japan. The molecule's 4-methoxyphenyl group confers resistance to rice while maintaining weed control efficacy via selective metabolism (Yamamoto et al. 2021). Kumiai collaborated with Certis to register fenquinotrione in the European Union for weed control in cereals and rice. Certis submitted a registration package in 2021. If successful, it is expected that it will be available around 2025. Ishihara launched lancotrione-sodium in 2019 for control of broadleaf weeds and sedges in rice, including weeds that are resistant to sulfonylurea herbicides. Originally invented at Central China Normal University, benquitrione is the first in a series of quinazoline-2,4-diones triketone herbicides from Guang-Fu Yang's laboratory co-developed with Shandong Cynda (Figure 4; Wang et al. 2015).

The first HPPD-inhibitor was discovered serendipitously by Japanese companies, with pyrazolynate discovered by Sankyo and commercialized in 1980 and pyrazoxyfen discovered by Ishihara in 1985 (Figure 4). Both were commercialized for weed control in rice before their site of action was understood. It is now known that these compounds were pro-herbicides that are bio-activated into free hydroxypyrazole active pharmacophore inhibiting HPPD.

Studies carried out by Rhone-Poulenc (now Bayer Crop Science) in the late 1980s led to the discovery of isofluxatole, an isoxazole heterocyclic proherbicide that is bio-activated to a diketone nitrile by soil and plant enzymes (Pallett et al. 2001; Figure 4). The bleaching caused by HPPD-inhibitor is similar to that observed with inhibitor of phytoene desaturase (PDS), but the mechanism by which this bleaching occurred eluded researchers. The link between triketone molecules and their inhibition of HPPD was first elucidated using mammalian systems related to tyrosine metabolism. Subsequent investigations in plant systems established that HPPD catalyze a key step in plastoquinone and tocopherol synthesis (Schultz et al. 1985), and further studies demonstrated that plastoquinone was an essential cofactor for phytoene desaturase (Norris et al.

1995). This established the link between inhibition of HPPD and the bleaching symptoms that can be observed in the foliage of treated plants. In brief, plants treated with HPPD-inhibitor accumulate tyrosine and are depleted in plastoquinone. Without plastoquinone, PDS cannot function, which halts carotenoid biosynthesis, resulting in bleaching of the new growth—known as "triketone effect" (Lee et al. 1997).

Use of HPPD-Inhibitor in the United States

In a survey conducted by the United States Department of Agriculture-National Agricultural Statistics Service (USDA-NASS) in 2018, the use of HPPD-inhibiting herbicides, including isoxaflutole, tembotrione, mesotrione, bicyclopyrone, and topramezone was estimated at about 193, 214, 1898, 102, and 41 thousand kg, respectively (Figure 5).

Mesotrione

Mesotrione belongs to the triketone family of HPPD-inhibitor and represents one of the most used active ingredients in corn (applied to about 42% of planted corn in 2018) with an average of one application (75 to 150 g ha⁻¹) per year (Figure 6; USDA-NASS 2018). The Midwestern states, including Iowa, Illinois, Nebraska, Minnesota, and Kansas, led the use of mesotrione with an average annual use of > 10,000 kg in 2018 at the rate of > 1.27 kg mesotrione per square mile in each state (Figure 6). Increased use of mesotrione in recent years is primarily attributed to increasing demand for controlling glyphosate-resistant weeds (Chahal and Jhala 2018b; Ganie et al. 2015; Ganie and Jhala 2017). Mesotrione is a systemic herbicide applied alone or in mixture for selective PRE and POST control of grass and broadleaf weeds in field corn, seed corn, yellow popcorn, sweet corn, and grain sorghum (Abit et al. 2010; Armel et al. 2003; Currie and Geier 2018; Janak and Grichar 2016; Stephenson et al. 2004; Williams et al. 2005).

Mesotrione in a pre-mixture or tank-mixture with other herbicides can provide effective control of acetolactate synthase (ALS)-, PS II-, and glyphosate-resistant weeds (Chahal and Jhala 2018a; Ganie et al. 2015). In addition to corn and grain sorghum, the use of mesotrione applied PRE in spring cereals, including barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), durum wheat, oats (*Avena sativa* L.), and spring wheat has been found safe and provides adequate selective control of broadleaf weeds, including common lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album* L.), common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.), and wild buckwheat (*Polygonum convolvulus* L.) in a study conducted in

Ontario, Canada (Soltani et al. 2011; Soltani et al. 2014); however, mesotrione use in those crops is limited (Walsh et al. 2021).

Tembotrione

Tembotrione is a member of the triketone family of HPPD-inhibitor that is used for selective POST control of grass and broadleaf weeds in corn (Stephenson et al. 2015). Tembotrione is the second-highest used HPPD-inhibitor in the United States, with an annual use rate of > 200,000 kg (Figure 7; USDA-NASS 2018). Minnesota, Illinois, Nebraska, Indiana, and Iowa were leading states for annual use (> 20,000 kg) of tembotrione in corn production in 2018 (Figure 7). Tembotrione (Laudis, Bayer Crop Science, St Louis, MO) is applied alone or in a mixture from field corn emergence to the V8 growth stage or V7 (sweet corn). More recently, metabolic-based resistance (CYP-mediated metabolism) to tembotrione has been identified in several grain sorghum lines (Pandian et al. 2020), indicating a future increase in tembotrione use in other crops.

Isoxaflutole

Isoxaflutole (the first member of the isoxazole class of HPPD-inhibitor; common brand names: Balance Flexx[™] and Corvus[™]) was the first HPPD-inhibiting herbicide introduced in North America in 1996 (Figure 1; Pallett et al. 1998). It is a selective herbicide primarily used for PRE control of grass and broadleaf weeds in corn, and recently in isoxaflutole-resistant soybean (Mausbach et al. 2021). Isoxaflutole is commonly mixed with photosystem (PS) II-inhibiting herbicides (e.g., atrazine) to improve weed control efficacy and spectrum (Benoit et al. 2019; Chahal and Jhala 2018a; Fluttert et al. 2022). As per the survey conducted by the USDA-NASS (2018), isoxaflutole was the third-highest used HPPD-inhibitor in corn (used in about 8% of planted corn) with an average of one application (72 g ha⁻¹) per year (Figure 8). Iowa, Illinois, and Nebraska were the leading states for isoxaflutole use among various corn-producing states in 2018 (Figure 8). Isoxaflutole has been widely used as a part of herbicide-resistant weed management strategies (including ALS-, PS II-, and glyphosate-resistant) in corn (Benoit et al. 2019; Chahal et al. 2015; Stephenson and Bond 2012).

Isoxaflutole can also be used for weed control in fallow fields depending on the subsequent rotational crop (Currie and Geier 2016; Kumar and Jha 2015). In this context,

isoxaflutole-resistant soybean has recently been developed where isoxaflutole can be used as a part of the herbicide strategies to control ALS- and glyphosate-resistant weeds, including Palmer amaranth, waterhemp, and Canada fleabane (*Erigeron canadensis* L.) (Ditschun et al. 2016; Mausbach et al. 2021; Smith et al. 2019a).

Bicyclopyrone and Topramezone

Bicyclopyrone and topramezone are two other HPPD-inhibitor (active ingredient of an individual product or various pre-mixtures) that are commonly used for grass and broadleaf weed control in field corn, seed corn, silage corn, yellow popcorn, sweet popcorn, and sugarcane (Sarangi and Jhala 2018). Topramezone belongs to the pyrazolone family with an annual use of > 41,000 kg, while bicyclopyrone belongs to the triketone family with an annual use of > 100,000 kg in corn (Figure 9).

As per the USDA-NASS (2018) survey, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, and Wisconsin were the leading states for annual use of bicyclopyrone with an estimate of > 5,000 kg in corn, whereas Illinois and Iowa were the top states in the annual use of topramezone (> 5,000 kg) for weed control in corn (Figure 9). In addition to corn, topramezone and bicyclopyrone are known to provide effective weed control in other crops, including turf, sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.], wheat, chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), and rice (Brosnan and Breeden 2013; Lindley et al. 2020; Moore 2019).

Pyrasulfotole and Tolpyralate

Pyrasulfotole is a member of the pyrazolone family of HPPD-inhibitor and registered for use on cereal grains, including wheat, barley, rye, triticale, and grain sorghum (Kumar et al. 2014; Reddy et al. 2013; Torbiak et al. 2021). Pyrasulfotole is an active ingredient of Huskie™ (a premixture of pyrasulfotole and bromoxynil, Bayer Crop Science, Saint Louis, MO) that is used for broadleaf weed control in sorghum. In contrast, tolpyralate is a new HPPD-inhibitor that came to the market in 2020 and controls several annual grass and broadleaf weed species with a low use rate (30 to 50 g ha⁻¹) in corn (Tsukamoto et al. 2021; Willemse et al. 2021c).

Benzobicyclon

Benzobicyclon is a pro-herbicide that is a member of the triketone family of HPPD-inhibitor. It was first registered in rice in 2021 under the tradename Rogue® (Gowan Company, Yuma, AZ), mainly for control of aquatic weeds, sprangletop species (*Leptochloa* spp.), and suppression of weedy rice biotypes when applied post-flood. This is the only HPPD-inhibiting herbicide available in rice production in the United States.

HPPD-Inhibitor-Resistant Weeds and Their Mechanisms of Resistance

Although HPPD-inhibitor has been in use for more than two decades, the evolution of HPPD-inhibitor-resistant weeds is relatively less widespread and slower than some other herbicide sites of action (Jhala et al. 2014b; Kaundun 2021). As of 2022, three broadleaf weeds (Palmer amaranth, waterhemp, and wild radish) have evolved resistance to HPPD-inhibitor across the globe (Heap 2022). Several populations of HPPD-inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth and waterhemp have evolved across the Midwestern United States (Jhala et al. 2014b; Hausman et al. 2011), while HPPD-inhibitor-resistant wild radish has been documented in Western Australia. The first case of resistant to these herbicides was reported in a population of waterhemp from a corn field in Illinois that had a history of repeated HPPD-inhibitor use (Hausman et al. 2011). The resistance in Palmer amaranth (Thompson et al. 2012) and wild radish (Lu et al. 2020) were not selected with HPPD-inhibitor; rather, these populations exhibited cross-resistance with the mechanisms that bestow resistance to different herbicide sites of action (Lu et al. 2020; Nakka et al. 2017).

Mechanisms of Resistance to HPPD-Inhibitor

Palmer amaranth

The first case of Palmer amaranth resistant to the HPPD-inhibitor (also found resistant to PS II and ALS-inhibitor) was confirmed in a field in central Kansas where there was no history of HPPD-inhibitor use, though there was a long history of PS II and ALS-inhibiting herbicide use (Jhala et al. 2014b; Thompson et al. 2012). This population was originally found resistant to Huskie®, a premix of pyrasulfotole (HPPD-inhibitor) and bromoxynil (PS II-inhibitor). Further, this Palmer amaranth biotype was resistant to several HPPD-inhibitor, including mesotrione, tembotrione, and topramezone (Thompson et al. 2012). Later, a Palmer amaranth population

from a corn field in Nebraska that had a history of HPPD- inhibitor use was found resistant to these herbicides (Jhala et al. 2014b). Kansas and Nebraska populations of Palmer amaranth exhibited up to 18-fold resistance to mesotrione, tembotrione, or topramezone (Jhala et al. 2014b; Nakka et al. 2017; Thompson et al. 2012). In both populations, the mechanism of resistance to HPPD-inhibitor was due to neither differential herbicide uptake/translocation nor mutations or amplification of the HPPD gene (Küpper et al. 2018; Nakka et al. 2017). The Kansas Palmer amaranth biotype metabolized more than 90% of mesotrione at 24 h after treatment compared to sensitive plants (Nakka et al. 2017). Additionally, a 4- to 14-fold higher HPPD gene expression was found in this population (Nakka et al. 2017). Similarly, the rapid metabolism of tembotrione was attributed to the resistance in Palmer amaranth population from Nebraska (Küpper et al. 2018). Although 4-hydroxylation of tembotrione followed by glycosylation was identified in both resistant and sensitive plants, the time taken to form metabolites was shorter in resistant plants compared to sensitive plants (Küpper et al. 2018). More recently, a population of Palmer amaranth from Kansas (Riley County) was resistant to mesotrione and tembotrione (Shyam et al. 2021). The mechanism of resistance in this population is being investigated.

Waterhemp

Resistance to HPPD-inhibitor has been documented in several populations of waterhemp across the Midwestern United States, including Illinois, Nebraska, and Iowa (Heap 2022). A biotype of waterhemp known as MCR (McLean County resistant) from Illinois was the first reported case of resistance to the HPPD-inhibitor (Hausman et al. 2011). This biotype was previously confirmed to be resistant to atrazine and ALS-inhibiting herbicides. MCR waterhemp had 10- and 35-fold resistance to mesotrione compared to two susceptible populations from Illinois (Hausman et al. 2011). The mechanism of mesotrione resistance in MCR waterhemp was not due to reduced herbicide absorption/translocation nor because of alterations in HPPD gene sequence or expression. However, compared to sensitive plants, MCR waterhemp rapidly metabolized mesotrione via hydroxylation of the cyclohexanedione ring of mesotrione (Ma et al. 2013). Importantly, the time required to metabolize 50% of the absorbed mesotrione was ~11.7 h in MCR compared to 25.4 to 27.8 h in the susceptible plants. Application of the cytochrome P450 (P450)-inhibitor (malathion) increased the susceptibility of MCR plants to mesotrione,

suggesting that the metabolism of mesotrione was mediated via P450 activity in this population (Ma et al. 2013).

The HPPD-inhibitor-resistant waterhemp from Nebraska known as NEB showed a 2.4-fold and 45-fold level of resistance to mesotrione applied PRE and POST, respectively, compared to a known susceptible population (Kaundun et al. 2017). Similar to MCR waterhemp, mesotrione resistance in Nebraska population was primarily due to higher levels of mesotrione metabolism via 4-hydroxylation (Kaundan et al., 2017). Further, the metabolites of mesotrione were identified as 4-hydroxymesotrione and AMBA [2-amino-4-(methylsulfonyl) benzoic acid] (Kaundan et al. 2017). No duplication, alteration, or over-expression of the HPPD gene that can confer resistance was found in this population (Kaundan et al. 2017). Moreover, mesotrione-resistant waterhemp population from Illinois and Nebraska were also resistant to topramezone, which belongs to the pyrazolone subfamily of HPPD-inhibitor. Both populations rapidly metabolized topramezone and the metabolic profiles indicated two different putative hydroxylated forms of topramezone (hydroxytopramezone-1 and hydroxytopramezone-2), although hydroxytopramezone-1 was more abundant in Illinois waterhemp population (Lygin et al. 2018). When metabolic profiles at 48 h after treatment were compared with naturally tolerant corn, waterhemp population from Illinois had more hydroxylated metabolites, whereas corn plants produced desmethyl and benzoic acid metabolites of topramezone, suggesting that waterhemp initially metabolizes topramezone differently than corn (Lygin et al. 2018).

More recently, the mechanism of resistance to syncarpic acid-3, a nonselective, noncommercial HPPD-inhibitor, was investigated in an Illinois population of waterhemp (Concepcion et al. 2021). Although the Phase I metabolite, likely produced due to P450-mediated hydroxylation was detected in this population, this metabolite was not found responsible for resistance; rather, the glutathione-syncarpic acid conjugate formed as a result of Phase II metabolism was associated with resistance to syncarpic acid in waterhemp population (Concepcion et al. 2021).

Wild Radish

A population of wild radish from a Western Australian grain field with no prior history of HPPD-inhibitor use is resistant to these herbicides (Lu et al. 2020). This population is also resistant to other herbicides such as PS II-inhibitor, ALS-inhibitor, and synthetic auxin (Lu et

al. 2020). This wild radish population exhibited 4- to 6.5-fold resistance to mesotrione, tembotrione, and isoxaflutole (Lu et al. 2020). The resistant plants were able to metabolize mesotrione approximately 8-fold faster than the sensitive plants (Lu et al. 2020). It was also confirmed that the resistance was not due to reduced uptake/translocation of mesotrione, and no target site alterations were detected (Lu et al. 2020).

Although resistance to HPPD-inhibitor has currently been reported in three weed species across the globe, if selection pressure continues, new cases of resistance evolution to HPPD-inhibitor will increase. More importantly, the predominance of metabolic resistance to HPPD-inhibitor was found in all three weed species (Jugulam and Shyam 2019; Yu and Powles 2014). Therefore, prudent strategies, including integration of non-chemical methods, need to be designed for sustainable weed management.

Management of HPPD-Inhibitor-Resistant Weeds

As of 2022, Palmer amaranth and waterhemp are the only two weed species in the United States that have evolved resistance to HPPD-inhibitor (Heap 2022; Jhala et al. 2014b). Therefore, strategies described here focus on the management of HPPD-inhibitor resistant Palmer amaranth and waterhemp primarily in corn and soybean production systems. Although the evolution of herbicide resistance in weed species cannot be completely averted, it can possibly be delayed by implementing diversified weed management practices (Norsworthy et al. 2012). Mixing herbicides with different sites of action is often recommended to delay the evolution of herbicide-resistant weeds (Diggle et al. 2003; Evans et al. 2016). In corn, HPPD-inhibiting herbicides are mixed with PS II-inhibitor due to their synergistic activity for controlling triazine-resistant weeds (Chahal et al. 2019; Hugie et al. 2008; Woodyard et al. 2009). However, continued use of this mixture to control atrazine-resistant weeds in corn has resulted in the evolution and widespread occurrence of Palmer amaranth and waterhemp populations resistant to PS II and HPPD-inhibitor (Jhala et al. 2014b).

Herbicide options to control Palmer amaranth and waterhemp in corn and soybean include inhibitor of ALS, PS II, HPPD, protoporphyrinogen oxidase (PPO), very long chain fatty acid (VLCFA), glyphosate, glufosinate, and synthetic auxins. However, Palmer amaranth and waterhemp populations with multiple resistance to ALS, PS II, HPPD, and PPO-inhibitor, and glyphosate are increasingly common in the Midwestern United States (Heap 2022; Jhala et al.

2014b; Schultz et al. 2015; Shyam et al. 2021; Varanasi et al. 2018). This has reduced herbicide options to control them in corn and soybean production systems (Sarangi et al. 2019). Therefore, herbicide programs containing diverse herbicide sites of action and detoxification pathways are required to manage HPPD-inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth and waterhemp.

Fortunately, waterhemp biotypes with metabolic resistance to atrazine, a resistance mechanism present in a majority of populations in the Midwest (Tranel 2021), are sensitive to other PS II-inhibitor such as metribuzin (Jacobs et al. 2020). Therefore, metribuzin mixed with HPPD-inhibitor can control populations that are resistant to HPPD-inhibitor and atrazine. For example, atrazine at 4.48 kg ha^{-1} applied PRE provided 26% control of PS II and HPPD-inhibitor-resistant waterhemp at four weeks after treatment (WAT), whereas metribuzin 560 g ha^{-1} provided 95% control of the same population (Evans et al. 2019). Similarly, in a greenhouse study, waterhemp resistant to PS II and HPPD-inhibitor had a synergistic response to metribuzin at 191 g ha^{-1} + mesotrione at 53 g ha^{-1} applied POST, indicating a viable option for controlling atrazine- and HPPD-inhibitor-resistant waterhemp in corn (O'Brien et al. 2018).

PRE herbicides serve as a foundation for herbicide-resistant weed management; however, using a PRE and a POST herbicide from the same site of action is not a recommended strategy, as it can potentially lead to an increase in the frequency of resistance over time (Hausman et al. 2013; Wuerffel et al. 2015). Therefore, herbicides from alternative sites of action should be included in herbicide programs when HPPD-inhibitor-resistant weed present in the field (Chahal and Jhala 2018b). For example, a PRE application of isoxaflutole at 105 g ha^{-1} plus mesotrione 210 g ha^{-1} provided < 65% control of HPPD-inhibitor-resistant waterhemp at 4 WAT compared with > 85% control by acetochlor $1,680 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ applied PRE in corn (Hausman et al. 2013). In a similar study conducted in soybean, flumioxazin at 70 g ha^{-1} , sulfentrazone 280 g ha^{-1} , metribuzin 420 g ha^{-1} , or pyroxasulfone 210 g ha^{-1} applied PRE provided > 85% control of HPPD-inhibitor-resistant waterhemp (Hausman et al. 2013). This strategy would potentially reduce the number of survivors, thereby delaying the selection of resistance alleles in the population (Wuerffel et al. 2015).

Relatively fewer POST herbicide options are available to control HPPD-inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth and waterhemp in corn and soybean (Jhala et al. 2014a). Glufosinate, 2,4-D, or dicamba are among the few POST herbicides that provide more than 80% control: for example, Jhala et al. (2014b) reported that glufosinate (450 g ha^{-1}), 2,4-D ester (560 g ha^{-1}), or

dicamba (560 g ha^{-1}) provided $> 80\%$ control of HPPD-inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth 3 WAT. Similarly, glufosinate 740 g ha^{-1} or dicamba 280 g ha^{-1} provided $> 90\%$ control of HPPD inhibitor-resistant waterhemp at 3 WAT (Sarangi et al. 2019). Glufosinate 595 g ha^{-1} applied alone or mixed with dicamba 280 g ha^{-1} provided $\geq 79\%$ control of HPPD inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth 4 WAT in corn (Chahal and Jhala 2018a). Additionally, Oliveira et al. (2017) reported that mixing metribuzin (210 g ha^{-1}) to a premix of mesotrione + atrazine (650 g ha^{-1}) applied POST in corn, controlled HPPD inhibitor-resistant waterhemp by $> 90\%$ at 3 WAT.

Although herbicides such as metribuzin, pyroxasulfone, glufosinate, or dicamba applied alone can control early- to mid-season cohorts of Palmer amaranth and waterhemp, a season-long control of these weed species is rarely achieved due to their extended period of emergence (Hager et al. 1997; Keeley et al. 1987). Therefore, multiple herbicide applications (PRE followed by a POST), specifically overlapping residual herbicides, are recommended to achieve a season-long control of HPPD-inhibitor-resistant weeds. For example, a premix of acetochlor + clopyralid + flumetsulam ($1,190 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$), or saflufenacil + dimethenamid-*P* (780 g ha^{-1}) applied PRE provided $> 90\%$ control of HPPD-inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth for 3 weeks; however, control was reduced to $< 70\%$ later in the season (Chahal and Jhala 2018b). In the same study, glyphosate (870 g ha^{-1}) + dicamba (280 g ha^{-1}) was needed to obtain $> 96\%$ control. Similarly, overlapping residual herbicide programs, including pyroxasulfone (110 g ha^{-1}) + saflufenacil (75 g ha^{-1}), or saflufenacil + dimethenamid-*P* (586 g ha^{-1}) applied PRE followed by glyphosate (870 g ha^{-1}) + diflufenzopyr + dicamba (157 g ha^{-1}) + pyroxasulfone (91 g ha^{-1}), or glyphosate + dicamba + diflufenzopyr (157 g ha^{-1}) + pendimethalin ($1,060 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$) applied POST controlled HPPD-inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth $> 95\%$ at corn harvest (Chahal et al. 2018a). In a study conducted in conventional corn, herbicide programs including acetochlor ($2,130 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$), or mesotrione + *S*-metolachlor + atrazine ($2,780 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$) applied PRE followed by dicamba + diflufenzopyr (196 g ha^{-1}) applied POST controlled HPPD-inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth $> 95\%$ (Chahal et al. 2018b). Similarly, dicamba + thien carbazon-methyl + atrazine or dicamba + ABMS (acetochlor + bicyclopyrone + mesotrione + *S*-metolachlor) applied PRE followed by ABMS alone or in a mixture with atrazine, *S*-metolachlor, or mesotrione applied early POST provided 85–96% control of glyphosate and mesotrione-resistant Palmer amaranth at 2 week after early POST and 2 and 7 week after late POST in glyphosate/glufosinate-resistant corn in central Kansas (Liu et al. 2021).

While sequential applications of PRE fb POST herbicides with multiple sites of action can control HPPD-inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth and waterhemp, relying on a single control tactic would potentially enhance selection pressure for the evolution of multiple-herbicide-resistant weeds. Therefore, diversified weed management strategies, including cultural, biological, mechanical, and chemical weed management (with multiple sites of action), are needed to manage herbicide-resistant weed seed banks. More specifically, multi-tactic strategies targeting multiple life-stages of the weed, including understanding reproductive biology and potential for pollen-mediated gene flow, are required (Jhala et al. 2021a; Jhala et al. 2021b). This can be accomplished by utilizing an effective multiple sites of action herbicide program, using cover crops, planting corn or soybean in narrow rows, using a harvest weed seed control method, and adopting diversified crop rotations (Mohler et al. 2021; Striegel and Jhala 2022). The increasing use of HPPD-inhibitor in agronomic crops requires research on herbicide interactions and alternative herbicides or methods for controlling multiple herbicide-resistant weeds.

Interactions of HPPD-Inhibitor with Other Herbicides

The HPPD-inhibiting herbicides are commonly mixed with other herbicides, particularly PS II-inhibiting herbicides, to increase weed control and spectrum. The assumption of an herbicide combination is that each herbicide acts independently when applied together (i.e., additive); however, that is not always the case. Weed control from a mixture of two herbicides may be a greater (synergistic) or less than (antagonistic) the combined effect of the herbicides applied alone (Colby 1967; Hatzios and Penner 1985).

Efficacy

The HPPD-inhibiting herbicides applied PRE with PS II-inhibitor can have both additive and synergistic effect. In greenhouse studies, atrazine + mesotrione applied PRE were additive for control of velvetleaf (*Abutilon theophrasti* Medik.) and ivyleaf morningglory (*Ipomoea hederacea* Jacq.); however, several rate combinations indicated synergistic control (Bollman et al. 2006). In field experiments, isoxaflutole + metribuzin applied PRE exhibited additive or synergistic control of Canada fleabane, common lambsquarters, *Amaranthus spp.*, common ragweed, velvetleaf, *Setaria spp.*, barnyardgrass [*Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) Beauv.], and fall panicum (*Panicum dichotomiflorum* Michx.) (Ditschun et al. 2016; Smith et al. 2019b). In

contrast, control of a population of HPPD and PS II-inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth with mesotrione or topramezone applied PRE with atrazine was additive (Chahal and Jhala 2018a).

The literature is replete with observations of additive or synergistic weed control when HPPD-inhibiting herbicides are applied POST with a PS II-inhibitor. Mesotrione + atrazine (Abendroth et al. 2006; Armel et al. 2005; Creech et al. 2004), mesotrione + bromoxynil or metribuzin (Abendroth et al. 2006) were consistent for controlling several annual weeds as well as Canada thistle [*Cirsium arvense* (L.) Scop.] compared to mesotrione applied alone. Mixing atrazine with topralate improved control (Metzger et al. 2018) or reduced the biologically effective dose of topralate for control of weeds commonly found in corn production fields in Nebraska (Osipitan et al. 2018). In research plots throughout North America, mixing atrazine with tembotrione reduced variability in weed control and sweet corn yield variation (Williams et al. 2011a, 2011b). Similar findings were observed with atrazine + isoxaflutole, mesotrione, topramezone, tembotrione, or topralate for waterhemp control in field corn (Willemse et al. 2021a). Furthermore, atrazine improved the efficacy of pyrasulfotole + bromoxynil for weed control in grain sorghum (Reddy et al. 2013).

Synergism between HPPD and PS II-inhibitor applied POST can be observed in herbicide-resistant weed populations. Synergistic control with mesotrione + atrazine has been observed in PS II-inhibitor-resistant redroot pigweed (*Amaranthus retroflexus* L.) (Hugie et al. 2008; Sutton et al. 2002) and PS II-inhibitor-resistant wild radish (Walsh et al. 2012), including temporally separated herbicide applications (e.g., atrazine PRE followed by mesotrione POST; Woodyard et al. 2009a). Palmer amaranth, including a PS II-inhibitor-resistant population, exhibited synergistic control with atrazine + mesotrione or tembotrione, but not atrazine with topralate or topramezone (Kohrt and Sprague 2017). Synergistic control of multiple-herbicide-resistant waterhemp was observed with mesotrione + bromoxynil or bentazon; and topralate + bromoxynil (Willemse et al. 2021b). In contrast, activity of isoxaflutole or mesotrione applied POST with metribuzin on waterhemp populations varying in herbicide resistance traits was mostly additive (O'Brien et al. 2018).

Mixing HPPD-inhibitor with a PS II-inhibitor does not always result in synergistic weed control. Volunteer potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) control with mesotrione, tembotrione, or topramezone applied POST was not improved when mixed with atrazine, bentazon, or bromoxynil (Koepke-Hill et al. 2010). Advances have been made in understanding the

mechanisms that account for synergistic weed control from mixing HPPD and PS II-inhibitor. Armel et al. (2005) reported that uptake, translocation, and metabolism of mesotrione did not account for improved control of Canada thistle with mesotrione + atrazine. Mesotrione absorption in Palmer amaranth increased when it was mixed with atrazine, partially accounting for observed synergism (Chahal et al. 2019). PS II-inhibitor compete with plastoquinones for the D1 protein binding site, disrupting electron transfer in PS II. The inability to transfer electrons creates triplet chlorophyll and singlet oxygen that destroy plant membranes (Hess 2000). Armel et al. (2007) showed that carotenoid biosynthesis-inhibitor increased the binding efficiency and efficacy of PS II inhibitor by reducing the reformation of the D1 protein following initiation of photo inhibition.

Several factors influence the synergism of HPPD-inhibitor applied in a mixture with a PS II- inhibitor. For both PRE and POST applications, the herbicide rate influences the extent of synergistic weed control (Bollman et al. 2006; Hugie et al. 2008). In addition to the application rate of HPPD-inhibitor, synergistic weed control was observed more frequently with triketone herbicides (mesotrione and tembotrione) compared to pyrazolone herbicides (topramezone and tolypyralate) (Kohrt and Sprague 2017). Adverse environmental conditions (e.g., inadequate rainfall) influence plant response to a mixture of HPPD and PS II-inhibitor applied PRE (Smith et al. 2019b) as well as POST (Woodyard et al. 2009b). Moreover, the time of POST herbicide application can influence the plant response to HPPD and PS II-inhibitor (O'Brien et al. 2018).

The HPPD-inhibitor can interact with herbicides other than PS II-inhibitor. The synthetic auxin triclopyr improved foliar uptake of mesotrione and control of smooth crabgrass (Yu and McCullough 2016). Conversely, a mixture of HPPD- and ALS-inhibitor can be antagonistic. For example, reduced efficacy of sulfonylurea herbicides applied with mesotrione + atrazine for control of *Setaria spp.* (Schuster et al. 2008) was due to decreased absorption, and in some cases reduced translocation of nicosulfuron (Schuster et al. 2007). Not only can HPPD-inhibitor antagonize ALS-inhibitor for annual grass control, but ALS-inhibitor can also antagonize the HPPD-inhibitor (Kaastra et al. 2008).

Crop Tolerance

Field corn production systems rely extensively on a mixture of HPPD- and PS II-inhibitor. Considerable field research demonstrates excellent crop tolerance with their mixtures (Johnson et al. 2002; Osipitan et al. 2018; Stephenson et al. 2004; Whaley et al. 2006; Willemse

et al. 2021a). Additional research shows that the synergistic effect of HPPD- and PS II-inhibitor for weed control was not observed on sweet corn response (Choe et al. 2014). Sweet corn injury from tembotrione was influenced by the safener isoxadifen and the genotypic class at a P450 locus (*Nsf1*) (Williams and Pataky 2010). The extent to which crops other than corn respond to a mixture of HPPD-inhibitor and other herbicides has been studied. In an herbicide carryover study, a mixture of atrazine and mesotrione accentuated crop injury and yield losses in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*), carrot (*Daucus carota* L.), cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.), and onion (*Allium cepa* L.) (Robinson 2008). Grain sorghum was not injured by pyrasulfotole + bromoxynil applied alone or with synthetic auxin; however, the mixing of carfentrazone, a PPO-inhibitor, increased phytotoxicity (Besançon et al. 2016). Isoxaflutole + metribuzin applied PRE on isoxaflutole-resistant soybean injured the crop in environments with the most rainfall, and injury was often synergistic (Smith et al. 2019b). Sugarcane displayed transient injury symptoms when topramezone was mixed with ametryn or metribuzin compared with topramezone applied alone (Negrisoli et al. 2020).

Future of HPPD-Inhibiting Herbicides

HPPD-inhibitor continue to be researched, patented, and commercialized by agrochemical companies. Benquitrione is currently in development for use in sorghum. A new class of HPPD-inhibitor, azole carboxamides, were first disclosed by Bayer Crop Science in 2011 (Koehn et al. 2011). While no molecules have yet been commercialized, azole carboxamides have come to dominate the HPPD-inhibitor patent literature, with contributions from Syngenta, BASF, Nissan, KingAgroot, Nippon Soda, and SSARD, in addition to follow-up inventions from Bayer Crop Science. There are over 120 international patent applications for azole carboxamides, which represent applications of over 50% of all HPPD-inhibiting herbicides since 2012. Herbicides from this class are in development that are expected to be available commercially around 2030. Azole carboxamides have different physical properties compared to the previously described classes with a different metabolism, which could potentially overcome non-target-site resistance (Jugulam and Shyam 2019). Patent applications that describe new herbicidal active ingredients will typically include thousands of compounds. However, companies will typically include a low number, often one, of these molecules in additional patent applications for use in mixtures with other active ingredients, for use in herbicide-resistant crops,

or for inventions in the synthetic process. These additional patents hint to these specific molecules being of particular interest and likely candidates for further development (Figure 10).

Future of HPPD-Inhibitor Resistant Crops

Research and development of HPPD-inhibitor-resistant crop traits has a long history dating back to the early 2000s. Traits were initially created that were useful in PRE weed control. Due to the commercial success of glyphosate-resistant crops, the impact of the early HPPD-inhibitor-resistant crop traits were not as large as anticipated. In the last decade, however, interest in developing HPPD-inhibitor-resistant crop traits has re-emerged and is being driven by the impact of wide scale occurrence of glyphosate-resistant weeds. This has led to the need for alternatives, and new HPPD-inhibitor crop traits are actively being developed across the crop protection industry, primarily for use in soybean and cotton.

Certain grass crop species such as corn are resistant to the most HPPD-inhibitor (Mitchell et al. 2001); therefore, HPPD-inhibitor such as mesotrione can be applied PRE as well as POST in corn, POST in oats and sugarcane, but it is labeled only for PRE weed control in sorghum. Nonetheless, HPPD-inhibitor-resistant lines in dicot species [e.g., tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) and soybean that would be otherwise highly sensitive to these herbicides] have been developed. Tobacco transformed with an HPPD gene from wheat showed resistance to mesotrione (Hawkes et al. 2001; Hawkes et al. 2019). Siehl et al. (2014) developed transgenic soybean resistant to isoxaflutole, mesotrione, and tembotrione with increased selectivity and a wide spectrum of weed control. In addition, isoxaflutole-resistant soybean has been developed and available for commercial cultivation in the United States; however, its adoption is limited due to restriction in use of isoxaflutole (Alite 27) in certain counties in states such as Nebraska (Mausbach et al. 2021).

Bayer Crop Science has a long history of involvement in the development of HPPD-inhibitor-resistant crop traits, with efforts mainly focused on the expression of an herbicide insensitive bacterial HPPD enzyme from *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. A mutated form of the gene that carries a mutation at amino acid position (G336W) had increased tolerance to isoxaflutole. This gene is used in the FG72 soybean in commercial use (Matringe et al. 2005). Work initiated by the former Monsanto business (now part of Bayer Crop Science) also focused on development of an HPPD trait and a planned launch of this trait (HT4) in soybean is expected in the late 2020s. Details of the trait gene used are unknown at the time of writing. This trait is expected to

be stacked with 2,4-D, glyphosate, glufosinate, and dicamba. A further development known as HT5 is expected to launch later, adding resistance to PPO-inhibiting herbicides (Reither 2021).

BASF acquired much of Bayer Crop Science's HPPD-inhibitor-resistant crop technology during the crop protection industry consolidation period in the mid-2010s. As such, BASF is now bringing to market products containing the HPPD trait from FG72 soybean into other crops such as cotton (Steadman 2021). A further development is the HPPD trait known as pfHPPD-4, which is expressed in the GMB151 soybean line. This is a *Pseudomonas* HPPD gene that carries four mutations compared to a single mutation seen in the FG72 trait (Olson and Weeks 2020).

Syngenta's involvement with HPPD inhibitor-resistant crop traits dates to 2000, when several HPPD target genes, including the wild oat (*Avena sativa* L.) gene were characterized. The *Avena sativa* HPPD gene was later used to develop a soybean event (SYT-0H2), which is resistant to mesotrione applied PRE (Hawkes et al. 2019). Syngenta has recently disclosed the invention of a series of further evolved *Avena* HPPD target site genes that have much enhanced resistance to a broad range of HPPD inhibitor including mesotrione and bicyclopyrone (Hawkes et al. 2019). These genes are capable of providing resistance to POST applications of these herbicides (Hawkes et al. 2019). Plant Arc Bio have applied for an exemption for an HPPD-inhibitor trait based on a fungal (*Trichoderma harzianum* spp) HPPD gene. The target crops are soybean and cotton (PlantArcBio 2022; Shatlin 2021), although the spectrum of herbicide resistance of this trait is unknown as of 2022. An alternative technology has been described by the NARO Institute in Japan, which involves the metabolic degradation of certain HPPD-inhibitor such as mesotrione. The *His-1* gene was discovered as a part of a project studying the differences in herbicide sensitivity among rice cultivars. The metabolic nature of the gene means that this trait is likely to be narrower in the resistance spectrum compared with target-site based approaches (Maeda et al. 2019). Given the renewed investment in the development of HPPD inhibitor-resistant crops, it is expected that HPPD-inhibiting herbicides will play an important role in weed control programs in soybean and cotton from the late 2020s onwards. If such traits can be combined with the next generation of HPPD-inhibitor, their usefulness will likely be extended into the future. Management of multiple herbicide-resistant crop volunteers might be challenging and future research should focus on this topic (Jhala et al. 2021c)

Acknowledgments

This project was partially supported by the Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station with funding from the Hatch Act through the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) National Institute of Food and Agriculture Project No. NEB-22-396. This project was also supported by the US Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture's Nebraska Extension Implementation Program. No conflicts of interest have been declared.

References

- Abendroth JA, Martin AR, Roeth FW (2006) Plant response to combinations of mesotrione and photosystem II inhibitors. *Weed Technol* 20:267–274
- Abit MJM, Al-Khatib K, Currie RS, Stahlman PW, Geier PW, Gordon BW, Olson BLS, Claassen MM, Regehr DL (2010) Effect of postemergence mesotrione application timing on grain sorghum. *Weed Technol* 24:85–90
- Ahrens H, Lange G, Müller T, Rosinger C, Willms L, van Almsick A (2013) 4-Hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase inhibitors in combination with safeners: Solutions for modern and sustainable agriculture. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 52:9388–9398
- Armel, GR, Wilson, HP, Richardson, RJ, Hines, TE (2003) Mesotrione combinations in no-till corn (*Zea mays*). *Weed Technol* 17:111–116
- Armel GR, Hall GJ, Wilson HP, Cullen N (2005) Mesotrione plus atrazine mixtures for control of Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*). *Weed Sci* 53:202–211
- Armel GR, Rardon PL, McComrick MC, Ferry NM (2007) Differential response of several carotenoid biosynthesis inhibitors in mixtures with atrazine. *Weed Technol* 21:947–953
- Beaudegnies R, Edmunds AJ, Fraser TE, Hall RG, Hawkes TR, Mitchell G, Schaetzer J, Wendeborn S, Wibley J. (2009) Herbicidal 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase inhibitors--a review of the triketone chemistry story from a Syngenta perspective. *Bioorg Med Chem* 17:4134–4152
- Benoit L, Soltani N, Hooker DC, Robinson DE, Sikkema PH (2019) Efficacy of HPPD-inhibiting herbicides applied preemergence or postemergence for control of multiple herbicide resistant waterhemp [*Amaranthus tuberculatus* (Moq.) Sauer]. *Can J Plant Sci* 99:379–383
- Besançon TE, Riar R, Heiniger RW, Weisz R, Everman WJ (2016) Weed control and crop tolerance with pyrasulfotole plus bromoxynil combinations in grain sorghum. *Int J Agron Crop Sci* 1:14–27
- Bollman SL, Kells JJ, Penner D (2006). Weed response to mesotrione and atrazine applied alone and in combination preemergence. *Weed Technol* 20:903–907
- Brosnan JT, Breeden GK (2013) Bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*) control with topramezone and triclopyr. *Weed Technol* 27:138–142

- Chahal PS, Aulakh JS, Jugulam M, Jhala AJ (2015) Herbicide resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* S. Wats.) in the United States—Mechanisms of resistance, impact, and management. In Price A, ed, Herbicides, agronomic crops, and weed biology. In Tech, Rijeka. DOI:10.5772/61512
- Chahal PS, Ganie ZA, Jhala AJ (2018a) Overlapping residual herbicides for control of photosystem (PS) II- and 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD)-inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* S. Watson) in glyphosate-resistant maize. Front Plant Sci 8: 2231
- Chahal PS, Irmak S, Gaines T, Amundsen K, Jugulam M, Jha P, Travlos IS, Jhala AJ (2018b) Control of photosystem II–and 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase inhibitor–resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) in conventional corn. Weed Technol 32:326–335
- Chahal PS, Jhala AJ (2018a) Interaction of PS II- and HPPD-inhibiting herbicides for control of Palmer amaranth resistant to both herbicide sites of action. Agron J 110:2496–2506
- Chahal PS, Jhala AJ (2018b) Economics of management of photosystem II and HPPD inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth in corn. Agron J 110:1905–1914
- Chahal PS, Jugulam M, Jhala AJ (2019) Basis of atrazine and mesotrione synergism for controlling atrazine- and HPPD inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth. Agron J 111:3265–3273
- Choe E, Williams MM II, Boydston RA, Huber JL, Huber SC, Pataky JK (2014) Photosystem II-inhibitors play a limited role in sweet corn response to 4-hydroxyphenyl pyruvate dioxygenase-inhibiting herbicides. Agronomy J 106:1317–1323
- Colby SR (1967) Calculating synergistic and antagonistic responses of herbicide combinations. Weeds 15:20–22
- Concepcion JCT, Kaundun SS, Morris JA, Hutchings S-J, Strom SA, Lygin AV, Riechers DE (2021) Resistance to a nonselective 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase-inhibiting herbicide *via* novel reduction–dehydration–glutathione conjugation in *Amaranthus tuberculatus*. New Phytologist 232:2089-2105
- Creech JE, Monaco TA, Evans JO (2004) Photosynthetic and growth responses of *Zea mays* L and four weed species following post-emergence treatments with mesotrione and atrazine. Pest Manag Sci 60:1079–1084

- Currie RS, Geier P (2016) Fallow weed control with preemergence applications of Balance Pro, Corvus, Banvel, Atrazine, and Authority MTZ. Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports: Vol 2 (7) DOI: 0.4148/2378-5977.1263
- Currie RS, Geier P (2018) Efficacy of mesotrione-based tank mixtures and application timings compared to standards in irrigated corn. Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports: Vol 4, Iss 8 DOI: 10.4148/2378-5977.7640
- Dayan FE, Duke SO, Sauldubois A, Singh N, McCurdy CR, Cantrell CL (2007) p-Hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase is a herbicidal target site for β -triketones from *Leptospermum scoparium*. *Phytochemistry* 68:2004–2014
- Diggle AJ, Neve PB, Smith FP (2003) Herbicides used in combination can reduce the probability of herbicide resistance in finite weed populations. *Weed Res* 43:371–382
- Ditschun S, Soltani N, Robinson DE, Tardif FJ, Kaastra AC, Sikkema PH (2016) Control of glyphosate-resistant Canada fleabane (*Conyza canadensis* L. Cronq.) with isoxaflutole and metribuzin tank mix. *Can J Plant Sci* 96:72–80
- Evans CM, Strom SA, Riechers DE, Davis AS, Tranel PJ, Hager AG (2019) Characterization of a waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*) population from Illinois resistant to herbicides from five site-of-action groups. *Weed Technol* 33: 400–410
- Evans JA, Tranel PJ, Hager AG, Schutte B, Wu C, Chatham LA, Davis AS (2016) Managing the evolution of herbicide resistance. *Pest Manag Sci* 72:74–80
- Evans JP, Hawkes TR (2019) Hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD): The herbicide target. Pages 241-244 in Jeschke P, Witschel M, Krämer W, Schirmer U, eds., *Modern Crop Protection Compounds*, 3rd ed., Weinheim: Wiley-VCH
- Fluttert JC, Soltani N, Galla M, Hooker DC, Robinson DE, Sikkema PH (2022) Additive and synergistic interactions of 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD)- and photosystem II (PSII)-inhibitors for the control of glyphosate-resistant horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) in corn. *Weed Sci* 70:319–327
- Ganie ZA and Jhala AJ (2017) Confirmation of glyphosate-resistant common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) in Nebraska and response to postemergence corn and soybean herbicides. *Weed Technol* 31:225–237

- Ganie ZA, Stratman G, Jhala AJ (2015) Response of selected glyphosate-resistant broadleaved weeds to premix of fluthiacet-methyl and mesotrione (Solstice™) applied at two growth stages. *Can J Plant Sci* 95:861–869
- GM Approval Database (2020) GM Crop Events List: Event Name: SYHT0H2. <https://www.isaaa.org/gmapprovaldatabase/event/default.asp?EventID=358&Event=SYHT0H2> Accessed: May 22, 2022
- Grossmann K and Ehrhardt T (2007) On the mechanism of action and selectivity of the corn herbicide topramezone: a new inhibitor of 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase. *Pest Manag Sci* 63:429–439
- Hager AG, Wax LM, Simmons FW, Stoller EW (1997) Waterhemp management in agronomic crops. Champaign, IL: University of Illinois Bull. X855. 12 p
- Hatzios KK, Penner D (1985) Interactions of herbicides with other agrochemicals in higher plants. *Rev Weed Sci* 1:1–63
- Hausman NE, Singh S, Tranel PJ, Riechers DE, Kaundun SS, Polge ND, Thomas DA, Hager AG (2011) Resistance to HPPD-inhibiting herbicides in a population of waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*) from Illinois, United States. *Pest Manag Sci* 67:258–261
- Hausman NE, Tranel PJ, Riechers DE, Maxwell DJ, Gonzini LC, Hager AG (2013) Responses of an HPPD inhibitor-resistant waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*) population to soil residual herbicides. *Weed Technol* 27:704–711
- Hawkes TR, Langford MP, Viner R, Blain RE, Callaghan FM, Mackay EA, Hogg BV, Singh S, Dale RP (2019) Characterization of 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenases, inhibition by herbicides and engineering for herbicide tolerance in crops. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 156:9–28
- Hawkes TR, Holt DC, Andrews CJ, Thomas PG, Langford MP, Hollingworth S, Mitchell G (2001) Mesotrione: mechanism of herbicidal activity and selectivity in corn. In *The BCPC Proceedings - Weeds*, British Crop Protection Council, Brighton, UK, Vol 2. pp 563–568
- Heap I (2022) The International Herbicide-Resistant Weed Database. <http://www.weedscience.org>. Accessed: March 11, 2022
- Hess FD (2000) Light-dependent herbicides: an overview. *Weed Sci* 48:160–170

- Hugie JA, Bollero GA, Tranel PJ, Riechers DE (2008) Defining the rate requirements for synergism between mesotrione and atrazine in redroot pigweed (*Amaranthus retroflexus*). *Weed Sci* 56:265–270
- Jacobs KE Jr, Butts-Wilmsmeyer CJ, Ma R, O’Brien SR, Riechers DE (2020) Association between metabolic resistances to atrazine and mesotrione in a multiple-resistant waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*) population. *Weed Sci* 68:358–366
- Janak TW, Grichar WJ (2016) Weed control in corn (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by preemergence herbicides. *Int J Agron* 2016 Article ID 2607671:1-9
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/2607671> Accessed: May 2, 2022
- Jhala AJ, Beckie HJ, Mallory-Smith C, Jasieniuk M, Busi R, Norsworthy JK, Bagavathiannan MV, Tidemann BD, Geddes CM (2021a) Transfer of resistance alleles from herbicide-resistant to susceptible grass weeds via pollen-mediated gene flow. *Weed Technol* 35:869–885
- Jhala AJ, Beckie HJ, Peters T, Culpepper S, Norsworthy J (2021c) Interference and management of herbicide-resistant crop volunteers. *Weed Sci* 69:257–273
- Jhala AJ, Knezevic SZ, Ganie ZA, Singh M (2014a) Integrated weed management in corn (*Zea mays* L.). Pages 177–196 in Chauhan B, G Mahajan G, eds. *Recent Advances in Weed Management*. New York: Springer
- Jhala AJ, Norsworthy JK, Ganie ZA, Sosnoskie LM, Beckie HJ, Mallory-Smith CA, Liu J, Wei W, Wang J, Stoltenberg DE (2021b) Pollen-mediated gene flow and transfer of resistance alleles from herbicide-resistant broadleaf weeds. *Weed Technol* 35:173-187
- Jhala AJ, Sandell LD, Rana N, Kruger GR, Knezevic SZ (2014b) Confirmation and control of triazine and 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase-inhibiting herbicide-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) in Nebraska. *Weed Technol* 28:28–38
- Johnson BC, Young BG, Matthews JL (2002) Effect of postemergence application rate and timing of mesotrione on corn (*Zea mays*) response and weed control. *Weed Technol* 16:414–420
- Jugulam M, Shyam C (2019) Non-target site resistance to herbicides: Recent developments. *Plants* 8 (10): 417. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8100417> Accessed: June 04, 2022

- Kaastra AC, Swanton CJ, Tardif FJ, Sikkema PH (2008) Two-way performance interactions among *p*-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase- and acetolactate synthase-inhibiting herbicides. *Weed Sci* 56:841–851
- Kaundun SS (2021) Syngenta's contribution to herbicide resistance research and management. *Pest Manag Sci* 77:1564–1571
- Kaundun SS, Hutchings S-J, Dale RP, Howell A, Morris JA, Kramer VC, Shivrain VK, McIndoe E (2017) Mechanism of resistance to mesotrione in an *Amaranthus tuberculatus* population from Nebraska, USA. *Plos ONE* 12(6): e0180095. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0180095
- Keeley PE, Carter CH, Thullen RJ (1987) Influence of planting date on growth of Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*). *Weed Sci* 35:199–204
- KingAgroot (2021). Cypyrfluone was approved and registered by Pakistan, KingAgroot officially entered the overseas market. <https://www.kingagroot.com/En/html/news-21Dec07.html> Accessed: March 3, 2022
- Koepke-Hill RM, Armel GR, Wilson HP, Hines TE, Vargas JJ (2010) Herbicide combinations for control of volunteer potato. *Weed Technol* 24:91–94
- Kohrt JR, Sprague CL (2017) Response of a multiple-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) population to four HPPD-inhibiting herbicides applied alone and with atrazine. *Weed Sci* 65:534–545
- Kumar V, Jha P, Reichard N (2014) Occurrence and characterization of kochia (*Kochia scoparia*) accessions with resistance to glyphosate in Montana. *Weed Technol* 28:122–130
- Kumar V, Jha P (2015) Effective preemergence and postemergence herbicide programs for kochia control. *Weed Technol* 29:24–34
- Koehn A, Tiebes J, Van Almsick A, Ahrens H, Heinemann I, Braun R, Schmitt MH, Willms L, Feucht D, Rosinger CH (2011) Preparation of N-(1,2,5-oxadiazol-3-yl) benzamides as herbicides. World Intellectual Property Organization. International Patent Application # WO2011035874. https://patentscope.wipo.int/search/en/detail.jsf?docId=WO2011035874&_cid=P20-L3ZT1G-82733-1 Accessed: June 4, 2022

- Küpper A, Peter F, Zöllner P, Lorentz L, Tranel PJ, Beffa R, Gaines TA (2018) Tembotrione detoxification in 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD) inhibitor-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* S. Wats.). *Pest Manag Sci* 74: 2325-2334
- Lee DL, Prisbylla MP, Cromartie TH, Dagarin DP, Howard SW, Provan WM, Ellis MK, Fraser T, Mutter LC (1997) The discovery and structural requirements of inhibitors of p-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase. *Weed Sci* 45:601-609
- Lindley JJ, Jennings KM, Monks DW, Chaudhari S, Schultheis JR, Waldschmidt M, Brownie C (2020) Effect of bicyclopyrone herbicide on sweetpotato and Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*). *Weed Technol* 34:552–559
- Liu M, Lu S (2016) Plastoquinone and ubiquinone in plants: Biosynthesis, physiological function, and metabolic engineering. *Front Plant Sci* 7:1898
<https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2016.01898/full> Accessed: July 20, 2022
- Liu R, Kumar V, Jhala A, Jha P, Stahlman PW (2021) Control of glyphosate- and mesotrione-resistant Palmer amaranth in glyphosate- and glufosinate-resistant corn. *Agron J* 113:5362–5372
- Lu H, Yu Q, Han H, Owen MJ, Powles SB (2020) Evolution of resistance to HPPD-inhibiting herbicides in a wild radish population via enhanced herbicide metabolism. *Pest Manag Sci* 76:1929–1937
- Lygin AV, Kaundun SS, Morris JA, McIndoe E, Hamilton AR, Riechers DE (2018) Metabolic pathway of topramezone in multiple-resistant waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*) differs from naturally tolerant maize. *Front Plant Sci* 9:1644 DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01644
- Ma R, Kaundun SS, Tranel PJ, Riggins CW, McGinness DL, Hager AG, Hawkes T, McIndoe E, Riechers DE (2013) Distinct detoxification mechanisms confer resistance to mesotrione and atrazine in a population of waterhemp. *Plant Physiol* 163:363–377
- Maeda H, Murata K, Sakuma N, Takei S, Yamazaki A, Karim MR, Kawata M, Hirose S, Kawagishi-Kobayashi M, Taniguchi Y, Suzuki S, Sekino K, Ohshima M, Kato H, Yoshida H, Tozawa Y (2019) A rice gene that confers broad-spectrum resistance to β -triketone herbicides. *Science* 365:393–396

- Mallory-Smith C, Retzinger EJ (2017) Revised classification of herbicides by site of action for weed resistance management strategies. *Weed Technol* 17:605–619
- Matringe M, Sailland A, Pelissier B, Rolland A, Zink O (2005) Hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase inhibitor-resistant plants. *Pest Manag Sci* 61:269–76
- Mausbach J, Irmak S, Sarangi D, Lindquist J, Jhala AJ (2021) Control of acetolactate synthase inhibitor/glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) in isoxaflutole/glufosinate/glyphosate-resistant soybean. *Weed Technol* 35:779–785
- Metzger BA, Soltani N, Raeder AJ, Hooker DC, Robinson DE, Sikkema PH (2018) Tolpyralate efficacy: Part 1. Biologically effective dose of tolpyralate for control of annual grass and broadleaf weeds in corn. *Weed Technol* 32:698–706
- Mitchell G, Bartlett DW, Fraser TEM, Hawkes TR, Holt DC, Townson JK, Wichert RA (2001) Mesotrione: a new selective herbicide for use in maize. *Pest Manag Sci* 57:120–128
- Mohler CL, Teasdale JR, DiTommaso A (2021) Manage weed on your farm- A guide to ecological strategies. SARE handbook series # 16.
<https://www.sare.org/resources/manage-weeds-on-your-farm/>. Accessed: April 4, 2022
- Moore (2019) Evaluation of topramezone for use in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) production. MS thesis. University of Arkansas. <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/etd/3436> Accessed: April 14, 2022
- Nakka S, Godar AS, Wani PS, Thompson CR, Peterson DE, Roelofs J, Jugulam M (2017) Physiological and molecular characterization of hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD)-inhibitor resistance in Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* S.Wats.). *Frontiers Plant Sci* 8:555 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00555>. Accessed: April 18, 2022
- Negrisoni RM, Odero DC, MacDonald GE, Sellers BA, Laughinghouse HD (2020) Sugarcane response and fall panicum (*Panicum dichotomiflorum*) control with topramezone and triazine herbicides. *Weed Technol* 34:241–249
- Norris SR, Barrette TR, DellaPenna D (1995) Genetic dissection of carotenoid synthesis in *Arabidopsis* defines plastoquinone as an essential component of phytoene desaturation. *Plant Cell* 7:2139–2149

- Norsworthy JK, Ward SM, Shaw DR, Llewellyn RS, Nichols RL, Webster TM, Bradley KW, Frisvold G, Powles SB, Burgos NR, Witt WW, Barrett M (2012) Reducing the risks of herbicide resistance: Best management practices and recommendations. *Weed Sci* 60(SPI):31–62
- O'Brien SR, Davis AS, Riechers DE (2018) Quantifying resistance to isoxaflutole and mesotrione and investigating their interactions with metribuzin POST in waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*). *Weed Sci* 66:586–594
- Oliveira MC, Jhala AJ, Gaines T, Irmak S, Amundsen K, Scott JE, Knezevic SZ (2017) Confirmation and control of HPPD-inhibiting herbicide-resistant waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*) in Nebraska. *Weed Technol* 31:67–79
- Olson A, Weeks M (2020) Petition for a determination of nonregulated status for a plant-parasitic nematode-protected and herbicide tolerant GMB151 soybean. https://www.aphis.usda.gov/brs/aphisdocs/19_31701p.pdf Accessed: May 18, 2022
- Osipitan OA, Scott JE, Knezevic SZ (2018) Tolpyralate applied alone and with atrazine for weed control in corn. *J Agric Sci* 10:32–39
- Owens DK, Nanayakkara NPD, Dayan FE (2013) In planta mechanism of action of leptospermone: Impact of its physico-chemical properties on uptake, translocation, and metabolism. *J Chem Ecol* 39:262–270
- Pallett KE, Cramp SM, Little JP, Veerasekaran P, Crudace AJ, Slater AE (2001) Isoxaflutole: the background to its discovery and the basis of its herbicidal properties. *Pest Manage Sci* 57:133–142
- Pallett KE, Little JP, Sheekey M, Veerasekaran P (1998) The mode of action of isoxaflutole: I. physiological effects, metabolism, and selectivity. *Pest Biochem Physiol* 62:113–124
- Pandian BA, Varanasi A, Vannapusa AR, Sathishraj R, Lin G, Zhao M, Tunnell M, Tesso T, Liu S, Prasad PVV, Jugulam M (2020) Characterization, genetic analyses, and identification of QTLs conferring metabolic resistance to a 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase inhibitor in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). *Front Plant Sci* 11:596581. DOI:10.3389/fpls.2020.596581
- PlantArcBio (2022) PlantArcBio Receives USDA/APHIS Regulatory Exemption in the US for its Proprietary HPPD Herbicide-Tolerant Gene for Soybean and Cotton. CISION PR

- Newswire. <https://www.prnewswire.com/il/news-releases/plantarcbio-receives-usdaaphis-regulatory-exemption-in-the-us-for-its-proprietary-hppd-herbicide-tolerant-gene-for-soybean-and-cotton-301454516.html> Accessed: July 20, 2022
- Reddy SS, Stahlman PW, Geier PW, Thompson CR, Currie RS, Schlegel AJ, Olson BL, Lally NG (2013) Weed control and crop safety with premixed pyrasulfotole and bromoxynil in grain sorghum. *Weed Technol* 27:664–670
- Reither B (2021) R&D pipeline update: The beginning of what’s next. https://www.bayer.com/sites/default/files/BayerCMD2021_CropScience_RandD_Presentation.pdf Accessed: July 20, 2022
- Robinson DE (2008) Atrazine accentuates carryover injury from mesotrione in vegetable crops. *Weed Technol* 22:641–645
- Sarangi D, Jhala AJ (2018) Comparison of a premix of atrazine, bicyclopyrone, mesotrione, and s-metolachlor with other preemergence herbicides for weed control and corn yield in no-tillage and reduced-tillage production systems in Nebraska, USA. *Soil Tillage Res* 178:82–91
- Sarangi D, Stephens T, Barker AL, Patterson EL, Gaines TA, Jhala AJ (2019) Protoporphyrinogen oxidase (PPO) inhibitor-resistant waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*) from Nebraska is multiple herbicide resistant: confirmation, mechanism of resistance, and management. *Weed Sci* 67:510–520
- Schultz JL, Chatham LA, Riggins CW, Tranel PJ and Bradley KW (2015) Distribution of herbicide resistances and molecular mechanisms confer-ring resistance in Missouri waterhemp (*Amaranthus rudis* Sauer) populations. *Weed Sci* 63:336–345
- Schuster CL, Al-Khatib K, Dille JA (2007) Mechanism of antagonism of mesotrione on sulfonylurea herbicides. *Weed Sci* 55:429–434
- Schuster CL, Al-Khatib K, Dille JA (2008) Efficacy of sulfonylurea herbicides when tank mixed with mesotrione. *Weed Technol* 22:222–230
- Schultz C, Soll J, Fiedler E, Schultze-Siebert D (1985) Synthesis of prenylquinones in chloroplasts. *Physiologia Plantarum* 64:123–129
- Shatlin D (2021) Request 21-264-01cr: 7 CFR § 340.1(e) Request for confirmation that PlantArcBio’s HPPD herbicide tolerant soy is exempt from regulation under

§340.1(c)(2). <https://www.aphis.usda.gov/biotechnology/downloads/confirmation-response/21-264-01cr-a1.pdf> Accessed: July 20, 2022

Shyam C, Borgato EA, Peterson DE, Dille JA, Jugulam M (2021) Predominance of metabolic resistance in a six-way-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) population. *Front Plant Sci* 11:614618

Siehl DL, Tao Y, Albert H, Dong Y, Heckert M, Madrigal A, Lincoln-Cabatu B, Lu J, Fenwick T, Bermudez E, Sandoval M, Horn C, Green JM, Hale T, Pagano P, Clark J, Udranszky IA, Rizzo N, Bourett T, Howard RJ, Johnson DH, Vogt M, Akinsola G, Castle LA (2014) Broad 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase inhibitor herbicide tolerance in soybean with an optimized enzyme and expression cassette. *Plant Physiol* 166(3):1162–1176

Smith A, Soltani N, Kaastra AJ, Hooker DC, Robinson DE, Sikkema PH (2019a) Annual weed management in isoxaflutole-resistant soybean using a two-pass weed control strategy. *Weed Technol* 33:411–425

Smith A, Soltani N, Kaastra AC, Hooker DC, Robinson DE, Sikkema PH (2019b) Isoxaflutole and metribuzin interactions in isoxaflutole-resistant soybean. *Weed Sci* 67:485–496

Soltani N, Shropshire C, Sikkema PH (2011) Response of spring planted barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), oats (*Avena sativa* L.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to mesotrione. *Crop Prot* 30:849–853

Soltani N, Shropshire C, Cowan T, Sikkema PH (2014) Weed management in spring planted cereals with mesotrione. *Am J Plant Sci* DOI:10.4236/ajps.2014.51020

Steadman J (2021) Inside cotton's pipeline: What's coming from BASF. *Cotton Grower*. <https://www.cottongrower.com/crop-inputs/inside-cottons-pipeline-whats-coming-from-basf/> Accessed: July 20, 2022

Stephenson DO, Bond JA, Walker ER, Bararpour MT, Oliver LR (2004) Evaluation of mesotrione in Mississippi Delta corn production. *Weed Technol* 18:1111–1116

Stephenson DO, Bond JA (2012) Evaluation of thien carbazole-methyl- and isoxaflutole-based herbicide programs in corn. *Weed Technol* 26:37–42

- Stephenson DO, Bond JA, Landry RL, Edwards HM (2015) Weed management in corn with postemergence applications of tembotrione or thiencazone: tembotrione. *Weed Technol* 29:350–358
- Striegel A, Jhala AJ (2022) Economics of reducing Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* S. Watson) seed production in dicamba/glufosinate/glyphosate-resistant soybean. *Agron J* 114:2518–2540
- Sutton P, Richards C, Buren L, Glasgow L (2002) Activity of mesotrione on resistant weeds in maize. *Pest Manag Sci* 58:981–984
- Thompson CR, Peterson ED, Nathan GL (2012) Characterization of HPPD-Resistant Palmer amaranth. Weed Science Society of America Annual Meetings. Hawaii, USA. Abstract # 413
- Torbiak AT, Blackshaw RE, Brandt RN, Hamman B, Geddes CM (2021) Herbicide strategies for managing glyphosate-resistant and -susceptible kochia (*Bassia scoparia*) in spring wheat. *Can J Plant Sci* 101:607–620
- Tranel PJ (2021) Herbicide resistance in *Amaranthus tuberculatus*. *Pest Manag Sci* 77:43–54
- Tsukamoto M, Kikugawa H, Nagayama S, Suganuma T, Okita T, Miyamoto H (2021) Discovery and structure optimization of a novel corn herbicide, tolpyralate. *J Pestic Sci* 46:152–159
- United States Department of Agriculture-National Agricultural Statistics Service (USDA-NASS 2018) Agricultural chemical use. <https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov> Accessed: June 13, 2022
- Varanasi VK, Brabham C, Norsworthy JK, Nie H, Young BG, Houston M, Barber T, Scott RC (2018) A statewide survey of PPO-inhibitor resistance and the prevalent target-site mechanisms in Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) accessions from Arkansas. *Weed Sci* 66:149–158
- Walsh MJ, Stratford K, Stone K, Powles SB (2012) Synergistic effects of atrazine and mesotrione on susceptible and resistant wild radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum*) populations and the potential for overcoming resistance to triazine herbicides. *Weed Technol* 26:341–347
- Walsh MJ, Newman P, Chatfield P (2021) Mesotrione: a new preemergence herbicide option for wild radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum*) control in wheat. *Weed Technol* 35:924–931

- Wang DW, Lin HY, Cao RJ, Ming ZZ, Chen T, Hao GF, Yang WC, Yang GF (2015) Design, synthesis and herbicidal activity of novel quinazoline-2,4-diones as 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase inhibitors. *Pest Manag Sci* 71:1122–1132
- Wang H, Liu W, Jin T, Peng X, Zhang L, Wang J (2020) Bipyrazone: a new HPPD inhibiting herbicide in wheat. *Scientific Reports* 10:5521
- Whaley CM, Armel GR, Wilson HP, Hines TE (2006) Comparison of mesotrione combinations with standard weed control programs in corn. *Weed Technol* 20:605–611
- Willemse C, Soltani N, Benoit L, Jhala AJ, Hooker DC, Robinson DE, Sikkema PH (2021a) Is there a benefit of adding atrazine to HPPD-inhibiting herbicides for control of multiple-herbicide-resistant, including group 5-resistant, waterhemp in corn? *J of Ag Sci* 13:21–31
- Willemse C, Soltani N, David CH, Jhala AJ, Robinson DE, Sikkema PH (2021b) Interaction of 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD) and atrazine alternative photosystem II (PS II) inhibitors for control of multiple herbicide-resistant waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*) in corn. *Weed Sci* 69:492–503
- Willemse C, Soltani N, Metzger B, Hooker DC, Jhala AJ, Robinson DE, Sikkema PH (2021c) Biologically-effective-dose of tolpyralate and tolpyralate plus atrazine for control of multiple-herbicide-resistant waterhemp [*Amaranthus tuberculatus* (Moq.) J. D. Sauer] in corn. *Agricul Sci* 12: 424–443
- Williams MM II, Pataky JK, Nordby JN, Riechers DE, Sprague CL, Masiunas JB (2005) Cross-sensitivity in sweet corn to nicosulfuron and mesotrione applied postemergence. *HortSci* 40:1801–1805
- Williams MM II, Pataky JK (2010) Factors affecting differential sensitivity of sweet corn to HPPD-inhibiting herbicides. *Weed Sci* 58:289–294
- Williams MM II, Boydston RA, Peachey RE, Robinson D (2011a) Performance consistency of reduced atrazine use in sweet corn. *Field Crops Res* 121:96–104
- Williams MM II, Boydston RA, Peachey RE, Robinson D (2011b) Significance of atrazine as a tank-mix partner with tembotrione. *Weed Technol* 25:299–302
- Woodyard AJ, Hugie JA, Riechers DE (2009a) Interactions of mesotrione and atrazine in two weed species with different mechanisms for atrazine resistance. *Weed Sci* 57:369–378

- Woodyard AJ, Bollero GA, Riechers DE (2009b) Broadleaf weed management in corn utilizing synergistic postemergence herbicide combinations. *Weed Technol* 23:513–518
- Woodyard AJ, Hugie JA, Riechers DE (2009) Interactions of mesotrione and atrazine in two weed species with different mechanisms for atrazine resistance. *Weed Sci* 57:369–378
- Wuerffel RJ, Young JM, Tranel PJ, Young BG (2015) Soil-residual protoporphyrinogen oxidase-inhibiting herbicides influence the frequency of associated resistance in waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*). *Weed Sci* 63:529–538
- Yamamoto S, Tanetani Y, Uchiyama C, Nagamatsu A, Kobayashi M, Ikeda M, Kawai K (2021) Mechanism of action and selectivity of a novel herbicide, fenquinothione. *J Pest Sci* 46:249–257
- Yu J, McCullough PE (2016) Triclopyr reduces foliar bleaching from mesotrione and enhances efficacy for smooth crabgrass control by altering uptake and translocation. *Weed Technol* 30:516–523
- Yu Q, Powles S (2014) Metabolism-based herbicide resistance and cross resistance in crop weeds: A threat to herbicide sustainability and global crop production. *Plant Physiol* 166:1106–1118




Figure 1. Chemical structures of some 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD) inhibiting herbicides.




Figure 2. Chemical structures of pyrazolone herbicides, a chemical family of 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD)-inhibiting herbicides.




Figure 3. Timeline of commercialization of 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD)-inhibiting herbicides in the United States, their respective chemical classes, and associated manufacturer.




Figure 4. Chemical structures of some triketone herbicides, a chemical family of 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD)-inhibiting herbicides.




Figure 5. Annual use of major HPPD-inhibitor in corn production in the United States in 2018 (Source: USDA-NASS 2018).




Figure 6. Mesotrione use in agricultural land across the United States in 2018 (adapted from US Geological Survey by US Department of the Interior).




Figure 7. Tembotrione use in major corn-producing states in the United States (Source: USDA-NASS 2018).




Figure 8. Isoxaflutole use in major corn-producing states in the United States (Source: USDA-NASS 2018).




Figure 9. Bicyclopyrone and topramezone use in various corn-producing states in the United States (Source: USDA-NASS 2018).




Figure 10. Examples of recently submitted patents of 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD)-inhibiting herbicides from Bayer Crop Science, Nissan, and KingAgroot.