

Modifying a Non-Clog Pump Experiencing **Multiple Natural Frequency issues Based on Field** Vibration Testing and **FEA Analysis** by Paul A. Boyadjis **Mechanical** Solutions, Inc.

Test 
Analyze 
Solve 
Design 
Products

# Paul A. Boyadjis

- Mechanical Solutions, Inc. Director of Structural Engineering
- B.S. and M.S. in Mechanical Engineering from Lehigh University
- Has Over 37 years of Diverse Experience in Pump & Turbo Analysis/ Design/ Test
- Lead Analytical Engineer for Major Compressor and Pump Manufacturers
- Member of the API Machinery Standards Committee and a Standards Partner of the HI
- Co-Author Pump Vibration Chapter, McGraw-Hill Pump Handbook

## Abstract

In order to fully understand the true causes of the elevated vibration of a non-clog pump, driven by a long drive-shaft, a detailed FEA analysis was used to simulate the entire pump system (foundation and piping) to identify not only the structural modes but shaft lateral critical speed modes as well. This case study will provide the detailed vibration data as well as the FEA modeling that was used to provide solutions to this complex structural and rotordynamic resonance issues.

TURBOMACHINERY & PUMP SYMPOSIA

## **General Pump Information**

# Pump:<br/>TDH:69 ftCapacity:17360 gpmSpeed Range:600 rpm (10.0 Hz) to 714 rpm (11.9 Hz) with VFDNo. Vanes:3-vane impeller (6.0" solids passage)Vane Pass Range:30 Hz to 35.7 HzPump Tag Name:1B

#### Driver:

Induction Motor:

400 HP mounted on separate floor

## **General Pump Assembly Layout**



# Drive Shaft "Bump Test" Natural Frequencies





Drive Shaft Parallel

PUMP SYMPOSIA

**Drive Shaft Perpendicular** 

**Note:** Red lines are to highlight the natural frequencies at ~17 Hz & ~65 Hz

# Bearing Tower / Pump Casing "Bump Test" Natural Frequencies





**Bearing Tower Parallel** 

URBOMACHINERY Pump symposia **Bearing Tower Perpendicular** 

**Note:** Red lines are to highlight the natural frequencies

# Experimental Modal Analysis (EMA) Test Pump 1B Typical Pump Casing Modes





Typical global rocking mode at 31.5 Hz in the perpendicular direction

Typical global rocking mode at 33.5 Hz in the parallel direction

URBOMACHINER Pump Symposi

## **Pump 1B ODS Animations**



Pump casing rocking mode

PUMP SYMPOSI

Pump casing rocking mode

×

# **Pump 1B Top of Bearing Overall Vibration**



Time

MACHINERY

PUMP SYMPOSIA

# Pump 1B Top of Bearing FFT at 600 RPM

Pump 1B Top of Bearing FFTs @ 3:43:00 PM 1/17/2017 (T8)



TURBOMACHINERY

## **Finite Element Model Results**



Driveshaft mode predicted at ~17 Hz similar to test data

URBOMACHINERY Pump symposia

## Finite Element Model Results – cont.



Casing rocking mode predicted at 31.2 Hz Casing rocking mode predicted at 32.4 Hz

These structural modes fall within the VPF range of 30 Hz to 35.7 Hz

## Finite Element Model Results – cont.



Shaft lateral mode predicted at 36.5 Hz

#### Casing rocking mode predicted at 38.2 Hz

These shaft lateral modes fall ~2% to 7% above VPF range of 30 Hz to 35.7 Hz

## **Potential Fixes Evaluated**

- 1. Bracing of the discharge nozzle to raise the structural rocking modes
- 2. Bracing the top of the bearing tower to the neighboring walls
- 3. Potential change to a heavier 4 vane impeller



## Bracing the discharge nozzle



Bracing the discharge nozzle raised the lowest casing rocking mode to over 40 Hz

# Bracing the discharge nozzle



#### Shaft lateral dropping to 32.2 Hz

#### Shaft lateral dropping to 34.4 Hz



While bracing the discharge nozzle raised the casing rocking modes outside of the VPF range, the shaft lateral modes actually dropped into the VPF range

# Bracing the top of the bearing tower to the wall



Braci Braci URBOMACHINERY & PUMP S YMPOSIA

Bracing the bearing tower raised the lowest casing rocking mode to over 52 Hz!

# Bracing the top of the bearing tower to the wall



#### Shaft lateral at 32.1 Hz

#### Shaft lateral at 33.2 Hz

IPS MACHINERY & P SYMPOSIA

While bracing the bearing tower raised the casing rocking modes well outside of the VPF range, the shaft lateral modes actually dropped into the VPF range similar to when the discharge nozzle was braced.

# Switching to a 4-vane impeller

- 1. After discussions with the OEM and end user, it was decided that the best approach would be to switch to 4-vane impeller, which would shift the VPF excitation range from 30.0 Hz 37.5 Hz up to 40 Hz 47.6 Hz. The OEM determined that the hydraulics would still be satisfactory in this application.
- 2. This modification would provide over 20% separation margin from the low end of the vane pass range and casing rocking modes. However, the shaft lateral pendulum mode (38.5Hz) would potentially be still fall within 5% of the low end of the VPF range of 40 Hz.
- 3. To help lower the shaft lateral modes, the proposed 4 vane impeller was made heavier (thicker shrouds) to help shift the frequencies down. Analysis with the new impeller indicated the shaft laterals would be less than 10% below the low end of VPF range, which could still result in vibrations above the 0.27 in/s RMS limit, but the plant indicated that the low end speed could be shifted to 625 RPM instead of 600 RPM if needed.

## **Actual Vibration Results with 4-Vane Impeller**

**Top Bearing Peak Vibration Amplitudes** 

Displacement data is provided for reference, and is not used for severity assessment.

The pump vibrations were within the 80% HI 9.6.4 limits and just met it at 609 RPM as was expected (vibration data taken by others).

Inches per Second - rms (In/s)										mils - pk-pk						
Axial									[	Axial						
RPM	VFD Hz	1X	2X	4X	8X	Overall		Exceeds 80% of	[	Hz	RPM	1X	2X	4X	8X	Overall
597.4	50	0.008	0.011	0.136	0.025	0.295	*	ANSI/HI 9.6.4 at 597.4	_ [	50	597.4	0.44	0.04	1.59	0.13	3.87
509.0	51	0.011	0.005	0.144	0.025	0.269		RPM (50 Hz VFD) pump	- [	51	609.0	0.42	0.22	1.02	0.10	2.90
620.9	52	0.011	0.013	0.066	0.014	0.232		All other measurements		52	620.9	0.45	0.28	0.67	0.09	3.06
633.0	53	0.014	0.008	0.043	0.016	0.187		All other measurements	- [	53	633.0	0.53	0.07	0.53	0.08	2.34
645.1	54	0.011	0.006	0.036	0.018	0.168		0.27 In/sec ms	- [	54	645.1	0.56	0.16	0.41	0.08	2.05
656.7	55	0.015	0.004	0.019	0.017	0.168		0.27 11/360 1113.		55	656.7	0.55	0.20	0.15	0.08	2.16
668.3	56	0.016	0.008	0.021	0.018	0.148			. [	56	668.3	0.61	0.19	0.14	0.08	2.10
681.0	57	0.014	0.006	0.028	0.018	0.182			L	57	681.0	0.40	0.27	0.20	0.08	2.25
692.8	58	0.017	0.011	0.018	0.016	0.204				58	692.8	0.67	0.17	0.17	0.07	2.24
704.9	59	0.018	0.019	0.018	0.018	0.173			L	59	704.9	0.79	0.29	0.26	0.09	2.11
715.6	60	0.020	0.019	0.020	0.017	0.178			- I	60	715.6	0.65	0.43	0.22	0.09	2.23
Vertical									- [	Vertical						
RPM	VFD Hz	1X	2X	4X	8X	Overall			[	Hz	RPM	1X	2X	4X	8X	Overall
597.4	50	0.005	0.004	0.195	0.021	0.243			1	50	597.4	0.13	0.05	2.27	0.11	2.90
609.0	51	0.005	0.003	0.160	0.011	0.204			1	51	609.0	0.17	0.04	1.72	0.06	2.38
620.9	52	0.006	0.007	0.060	0.006	0.139			1	52	620.9	0.16	0.03	1.29	0.05	1.95
633.0	53	0.006	0.004	0.071	0.012	0.127				53	633.0	0.17	0.07	0.64	0.06	1.67
645.1	54	0.004	0.004	0.078	0.021	0.139			- [	54	645.1	0.22	0.06	0.80	0.11	1.40
656.7	55	0.007	0.001	0.054	0.024	0.125			L	55	656.7	0.28	0.11	0.70	0.15	1.59
668.3	56	0.006	0.004	0.062	0.016	0.113			I	56	668.3	0.23	0.07	0.49	0.08	1.41
681.0	57	0.008	0.003	0.056	0.063	0.128			L	57	681.0	0.24	0.08	0.55	0.10	1.46
692.8	58	0.008	0.006	0.037	0.014	0.122			I	58	692.8	0.31	0.10	0.35	0.06	1.53
704.9	59	0.007	0.011	0.045	0.023	0.117			I	59	704.9	0.40	0.17	0.41	0.09	1.47
715.6	60	0.009	0.013	0.044	0.014	0.119				60	715.6	0.34	0.19	0.40	0.08	1.49
Perpendicular									_ [			Perpendicular				
RPM	VFD Hz	1X	2X	4X	8X	Overall			[	Hz	RPM	1X	2X	4X	8X	Overall
597.4	50	0.011	0.002	0.020	0.067	0.184		Largest Magnitude		50	597.4	0.53	0.10	0.61	0.38	2.40
509.0	51	0.011	0.002	0.073	0.045	0.189			L	51	609.0	0.43	0.08	0.74	0.25	2.30
620.9	52	0.013	0.008	0.057	0.051	0.185		The color gradient scale used is	а	52	620.9	0.59	0.03	0.55	0.27	2.53
633.0	53	0.016	0.016	0.049	0.031	0.169		relative measure of the magnitud	e i	53	633.0	0.71	0.05	0.51	0.18	2.32
545.1	54	0.013	0.003	0.041	0.040	0.182		to the largest value in the data se		54	645.1	0.71	0.10	0.40	0.22	2.03
656.7	55	0.022	0.004	0.018	0.043	0.146		It is intended to be a quick visual	i l	55	656.7	0.72	0.15	0.23	0.21	1.91
668.3	56	0.021	0.006	0.009	0.030	0.133		guide to the largest and smallest	t l	56	668.3	0.80	0.14	0.07	0.14	1.93
581.0	57	0.021	0.008	0.008	0.035	0.149		vibration amplitudes.	ļ	57	681.0	0.76	0.26	0.06	0.21	1.94
692.8	58	0.022	0.009	0.006	0.033	0.151			I	58	692.8	0.48	0.18	0.08	0.15	1.87
704.9	59	0.018	0.017	0.023	0.043	0.149		Smallest Magnitude		59	704.9	0.74	0.26	0.20	0.16	1.78
715.6	60	0.022	0.005	0.025	0.040	0.149				60	715.6	0.83	0.44	0.23	0.11	2.03

# Conclusions

- 1. The original 3-vane pump design had not only structural natural frequencies within the operating vane pass frequency range of 30 Hz to 35.7 Hz, but also has shaft lateral natural frequencies that fell with less than 10% above maximum speed vane pass operating range. Unfortunately, the natural frequencies could have been adequately predicted with up-front finite element analysis (FEA) by the OEM to avoid these issues being installed in the field.
- 2. Via the analysis conducted, the foundation in this installation was found to be essentially rigid and did not adversely affect the casing rocking modes.
- 3. While bracing options would certainly have shifted the structural rocking modes outside of the vane pass operating range, the behavior of the shaft laterals dropping into the vane pass operating range would most likely have led to elevated vibrations and potential seal failures. This phenomenon was replicated using different analysis software and is related to how the masses interact with each other in the free state and being held by the bracing.

## **Conclusions – cont.**

- 4. The success of the 4-vane impeller to shift the vane pass excitation frequencies away from the structural modes resulted in the bearing tower overall vibrations reducing from over 1.75 in/s RMS down to 0.17 in/s RMS, clearly indicating that the elevated vibrations were due to structural resonance and not inlet flow conditions.
- 5. With the 3-vane impeller design, the vibrations (> 0.45 in/s RMS) exceeded limits at full speed since the pump at full speed was operating within 10% of the shaft laterals that were on the fringe of becoming fully resonant. With the 4-vane design, the vibrations were less than 0.18 in/s RMS easily meeting the vibration criteria as the separation margins were greatly increased. However, as predicted, the vibration at the extreme low end were just meeting or slightly exceeding the 0.27 in/s RMS limit due to the shaft laterals now being within -10% of the low end of the 4-vane VPF range (40 Hz to 47.6 Hz). The increase in the minimum speed to 625 RPM made this a non issue.