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## FOREWORD

This report presents the results of a State Planning and Research (SP\&R) pooled-fund study to develop safer bridge rail and transition designs. This pooled-fund study was sponsored by the Federal Highway Administration, 23 States, and the District of Columbia. A panel of representatives from those agencies selected the designs to be studied. Ten bridge rails and two transitions were designed and crash tested in accordance with the recommendations for the various Performance Levels in the 1989 AASHTO Guide Specifications for Bridge Railings. Acceptable performance was demonstrated for all of the crash tested designs.

Detailed drawings are presented for documentation and to facilitate implementation.
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SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS
APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS
APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS

| Symbol | When You Know | Multiply By | To Find | Symbol | Symbol | When You Know | Nultiply By | To Find Sy | Symbol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in <br> t <br> yd <br> mi | Inches feet yards miles | LENGTH | millimeters <br> meters <br> meters <br> kilometers | mm <br> m <br> m <br> km | mm <br> m <br> m <br> km | millimeters <br> meters <br> meters <br> kilometers | LENGTH | inches feot yards miles | in <br> ft <br> yd <br> mi |
|  |  | 25.4 |  |  |  |  | 0.039 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.305 |  |  |  |  | 3.28 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.914 |  |  |  |  | 1.09 |  |  |
|  |  | 1.61 |  |  |  |  | 0.621 |  |  |
|  |  | AREA |  |  |  |  | AREA |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & i n^{2} \\ & f^{2} \\ & y d^{2} \\ & a c \\ & m i^{2} \end{aligned}$ | square inches square feet square yards acres square miles | 645.2 | square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers | $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ <br> $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ <br> $m^{2}$ <br> ha <br> $\mathbf{k m}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{m} m^{2} \\ & \mathbf{m}^{2} \\ & \mathbf{m}^{2} \\ & \mathrm{ha} \\ & \mathrm{~km}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers | 0.0016 | square inches square feet square yards acres square miles | $i n^{2}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{n}^{2}$ <br> $y d^{2}$ <br> ac <br> $\mathrm{mi}^{2}$ |
|  |  | 0.093 |  |  |  |  | 10.764 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.836 |  |  |  |  | 1.195 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.405 2.59 |  |  |  |  | 2.47 0.386 |  |  |
|  |  | VOLUME |  |  |  |  | VOLUME |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { foz } \\ & \text { gal } \\ & \mathrm{ft}^{\mathbf{p}} \\ & \text { ydr} \end{aligned}$ | fluid ounces gallons cubic feot cubic yards | 29.57 | milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters $\mathrm{m}^{3}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mL} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \\ & \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\ & \mathrm{~m}^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mL} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \\ & \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\ & \mathrm{~m}^{3} \end{aligned}$ | milliliters <br> liters cubic meters cubic meters | 0.034 | fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards | $\begin{aligned} & \text { floz } \\ & \text { gal } \\ & \text { ft } \\ & \text { yos } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 3.785 |  |  |  |  | $0.264$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.028 \\ & 0.765 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 35.71 \\ & 1.307 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | NOTE: Volumes greater then 1000 I shall be shown in $\mathrm{m}^{3}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{g} \\ & \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{Mg} \\ & \text { (or "t") } \end{aligned}$ | MASS | ounces <br> pounds <br> short tons (2000 lb) | OZlb$\mathrm{b})$T |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 02 \\ & 102 \\ & T \end{aligned}$ | ounces pounds | 28.35 0.454 |  |  |  |  | grams <br> kilograms megagrams (or "metric ton") |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{g} \\ & \mathbf{k g} \\ & \mathbf{M g} \\ & \text { (or "t") } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | grams <br> kilograms | $\begin{aligned} & 0.035 \\ & 2.202 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | kilograms megagrams |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (or "metric ton") |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TEMPEP | RATURE (exac |  | TEMP | RATURE (ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Fahrenheit temperature | $\begin{aligned} & 5(F-32) / 9 \\ & \text { or }(F-32) 1.8 \end{aligned}$ | Celcius temperature | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Celcius temperature | $1.8 C+32$ | Fahrenheit temperature | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |  |
|  | ILLU | MINATION |  |  |  | ILLUMINATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ic } \\ & \text { fil } \end{aligned}$ | foot-candles foot-Lamberts | $\begin{aligned} & 10.76 \\ & 3.426 \end{aligned}$ | lux candela/m ${ }^{2}$ | $1 \times$ $\mathrm{cd} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | Ix $\mathrm{cd} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | lux candela/m ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0929 \\ & 0.2919 \end{aligned}$ | foot-candles foot-Lamberts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fe } \\ & \mathrm{fl} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS |  |  |  |  | FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS |  |  |  |  |  |
| lbf $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | poundforce poundforce per square inch | $\begin{aligned} & 4.45 \\ & 6.89 \end{aligned}$ | nowtons kilopascals | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{kPa} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{kPa} \end{aligned}$ | newtons kilopascals | $\begin{aligned} & 0.225 \\ & 0.145 \end{aligned}$ | poundforce poundforce per square inch | lbf $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{Fin}^{2}$ |  |  |

- SI is the symbol for the Intemational System of Units. Appropriate
rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E38O.
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## CHAPTER 1. DESIGN OF RAILING

This railing was designed to meet performance level two of the 1989 Guide Specifications for Bridge Railings. ${ }^{(1)}$ The design force of 56 kips ( 249 kN ) was a uniformly distributed line force 42 in ( 1.07 m ) long located at least 29 in ( 737 mm ) above the roadway surface.

A cross section of the railing design is shown in figure 1. Total height of the parapet is $32 \mathrm{in}(813 \mathrm{~mm})$. It is 8 in ( 203 mm ) thick over most of the height with a 10 -in ( $254-\mathrm{mm}$ ) thickened section at the top. This thickened top portion serves to increase the longitudinal distribution of force within the parapet and allow more length of parapet and deck to resist the collision force.

Eight \#4 longitudinal bars were used in the parapet. The vertical steel was \#4 bars at 4 -in ( $102-\mathrm{mm}$ ) spacing in the traffic side face and \#4 bars at 8 -in (203-mm) spacing in the field side face. This was accomplished by alternating two types of vertical steel bars. Specified concrete strength was $3,600 \mathrm{psi}(24804 \mathrm{kPa})$ at 28 days and specified steel yield was $40,000 \mathrm{psi}(275600 \mathrm{kPa})$. The cantilevered deck was supported on a foundation so that the deck overhang was 39 in ( 991 mm ).

The strength of this railing was computed using yieldline analysis procedures. ${ }^{(2)}$ These computations are presented in chapter 4. The analysis predicts the length of failure mechanism to be $7.6 \mathrm{ft}(2.3 \mathrm{~m})$ and the total ultimate load capacity to be $61 \mathrm{kips}(271 \mathrm{kN})$. The analysis also shows that the yield lines are confined to the parapet rather than extending into the deck.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{in}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& 1 \mathrm{psi}=6.89 \mathrm{kPa} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 1. Cross section of $32-\mathrm{in}(813-\mathrm{mm})$ concrete parapet.

## CHAPTER 2. CRASH TEST PROCEDURES


#### Abstract

This railing was tested to performance level two requirements. ${ }^{(1)}$ The following nominal test conditions were used: $1,800-\mathrm{lb}(817-\mathrm{kg})$ passenger car $|60 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 20$ degrees (test 7069-5) $5,400-\mathrm{lb}(2452-\mathrm{kg})$ pickup $|60 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 20$ degrees (test 7069-6) 18,000-lb ( $8172-\mathrm{kg}$ ) single-unit truck $|50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(80.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 15$ degrees (test 7069-16)


Each vehicle was instrumented with three solid-state angular rate transducers to measure yaw, pitch, and roll rates, and a triaxial accelerometer mounted near the center-ofgravity. In addition, on the pickup and $18,000-\mathrm{lb}(8172-\mathrm{kg})$ truck, two sets of biaxial accelerometers were mounted--one set forward of the center-of-gravity and one set in the rear of the vehicles. The accelerometers were strain gauge type with a linear millivolt output proportional to acceleration. The electronic signals for the accelerometers and transducers were transmitted to a base station by means of constant bandwidth FM/FM telemetry link for recording on magnetic tape and displaying on a real-time strip chart. Provisions were made for the transmission of calibration signals before and after each test, and an accurate time reference signal was simultaneously recorded with the data.

Pressure sensitive contact switches on the bumper of each vehicle were actuated just prior to impact by wooden dowels to indicate the elapsed time over a known distance and provide a measurement of impact velocity. Each initial contact also produced an "event" mark on the data record to establish the instant of impact. The multiplex of data channels transmitted on one radio frequency was received at a data acquisition station and demultiplexed into separate tracks of Intermediate Range Instrumentation Group (I.R.I.G.) tape recorders. After the test, the data were played back from the tape machines, filtered with an SAE J211 filter, and digitized using a microcomputer for analysis and evaluation of performance.

Alderson Research Laboratories Hybrid II, 50th percentile anthropomorphic dummies were used in the passenger car and pickup tests. One uninstrumented dummy was placed in the driver's position of the passenger car and two dummies were placed in the pickup--one in the driver's position and one in the passenger's position. The dummies were restrained with standard restraint equipment. No dummies were used in the $18,000-\mathrm{lb}(8172-\mathrm{kg})$ truck.

The digitized data obtained from the electronic transducers were then processed using two computer programs: DIGITIZE and PLOTANGLE. Brief descriptions on the functions of these two computer programs are as follows.

The DIGITIZE program uses digitized data from vehicle-mounted linear accelerometers to compute occupant/compartment impact after vehicle impact, highest 0.010 $s$ average of vehicle acceleration after occupant/compartment impact, and time of highest $0.010-\mathrm{s}$ average. The DIGITIZE program also calculates a vehicle impact velocity and the change in vehicle velocity at the end of a given impulse period. In addition, maximum
average accelerations over a 0.050 -s intervals in each of the three directions are computed. Accelerations versus time curves for the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions are then plotted from the digitized data of the vehicle-mounted accelerometers using a commercially available software package (QUATTRO PRO). For each of these graphs, a 0.050 -s average window was calculated at the center of the 0.050 -s interval and then plotted with the first $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ average plotted at 0.026 s .

The PLOTANGLE program uses the digitized data from yaw, pitch, and roll at rated charts to compute angular displacements in degrees at 0.001 -s intervals, instructing a plotter to draw a reproducible plot: yaw, pitch, and roll versus time. It should be noted that these angular displacements are sequence dependent with the sequence being yaw-pitch-roll for the data presented herein. These displacements are in reference to the vehicle-fixed coordinate system with the initial position and orientation of the vehicle-fixed coordinate system being that which existed at initial impact.

Photographic coverage of the test included three high-speed cameras: one over head with a field of view perpendicular to the ground and directly over the impact point, one placed to have a field of view parallel to and aligned with the parapet at the downstream end, and a third placed perpendicular to the front of the parapet. In the passenger car and pickup tests a high-speed camera was placed onboard the vehicle to record the actions of the dummy(ies) during the test. A flash bulb activated by pressure sensitive tape switches was positioned on the impacting vehicle to indicate the instant of contact with the parapet and was visible from each camera. The films from these high-speed cameras were analyzed on a computer-linked motion analyzer to observe phenomena occurring during the collision and to obtain time-event, displacement, and angular data. A $16-\mathrm{mm}$ movie cine, a professional video camera, and $3 / 4-\mathrm{in}$ ( $19-\mathrm{mm}$ ) video recorder along with $35-\mathrm{mm}$ still cameras were used for documentary purposes and to record conditions of the test vehicle and parapet before and after the test.

Each test vehicle was towed into the test installation using a steel guidance and reverse tow system. A steel cable for guiding the test vehicle was stretched along the path, anchored at each end, and threaded through an attachment to the front wheel of the test vehicle. Another steel cable was connected to the test vehicle, passed around a pulley near the impact point, through a pulley on the tow vehicle, and then anchored to the ground such that the tow vehicle moved away from the test site. A 2-to-1 speed ratio between the test and tow vehicle existed with this system. Immediately prior to impact with the parapet, the test vehicle was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained. The vehicle remained freewheeling, i.e., no steering or braking inputs, until the vehicle cleared the immediate area of the test site. At this time brakes on the vehicle were activated to bring the vehicle to a safe and controlled stop.

## CHAPTER 3. FULL-SCALE CRASH TESTS

## TEST 7069-5

## Test Description

Test 7069-5 involved an impact on the $32-\mathrm{in}(813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) concrete parapet (figure 2 ) at the nominal conditions of $1,800 \mathrm{lb}(817 \mathrm{lb})|60 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 20$ degrees. A 1981 Honda (see figure 3) was directed into the bridge railing using a cable reverse tow and guidance system. Test inertia mass of the vehicle was $1,800 \mathrm{lb}(817 \mathrm{~kg})$ and its gross static mass was $1,965 \mathrm{lb}(892 \mathrm{~kg})$. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was $14.0 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{( } 356$ mm ) and it was 19.5 in ( 495 m ) to the top of the bumper. Other dimensions and information on the test vehicle are given in figure 4. The vehicle was free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

Actual speed of the vehicle at impact was $60.5 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(97.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 21.0 degrees. The vehicle impacted the parapet at midlength. At approximately 0.023 s after impact, the right front tire impacted the parapet and began to redirect after 0.062 s . The rear of the vehicle hit the parapet at 0.157 s and was parallel to the parapet at 0.162 s . The vehicle lost contact with the parapet at 0.236 s after impact. As the vehicle exited the parapet, it had a yaw angle of 3.5 degrees and a trajectory path of 6.2 degrees. The vehicle brakes were then applied. The vehicle came to rest $167 \mathrm{ft}(51 \mathrm{~m})$ downstream and $26 \mathrm{ft}(8 \mathrm{~m})$ toward the field side of the point of impact. The parapet received cosmetic damage only. There were tire marks on the face of the parapet. The vehicle was in contact with the parapet for $10.3 \mathrm{ft}(3.1 \mathrm{~m})$ (figure 5).

The vehicle sustained extensive damage to the right front as shown in figure 6. Maximum crush at the right front corner at bumper height was 5.0 in ( 127 m ). The right front and right rear wheel rims were bent and the right front strut was bent. The passenger door was bent and jammed and the right side was dented and scraped. The hood was bent and shifted to the left. The windshield frame was bent and the windshield was cracked. The roof of the vehicle was buckled and twisted.

## Test Results

Impact speed was $60.5 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(97.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 21.0 degrees. The exit speed at time of contact ( 0.236 s ) was $48.6 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(78.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the vehicle trajectory path was 6.2 degrees with a vehicle yaw angle of 3.5 degrees. The effective coefficient of friction was calculated to be 0.22 . Occupant impact velocity was $20.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 6.1 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the longitudinal direction and $26.0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(7.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the lateral direction. The highest $0.010-\mathrm{s}$ occupant ridedown accelerations were -1.6 g (longitudinal) and 9.4 g (lateral). Results of the test are summarized in figure 7 and table 1. Sequential photographs of the test are shown in figures 8 and 9 . Vehicle angular displacements are displayed in figure 10. Vehicular acceleration versus time traces filtered with SAE $\mathbf{2} 211$ filters are presented in figures 11 through 13. The data were further analyzed to obtain 0.050 -s average accelerations versus time. A 0.050 -s interval immediately prior to impact was averaged to
establish zero acceleration. The data were then processed with a moving 0.050 -s average window with the first $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ average plotted at 0.026 s for each trace and subsequent values are plotted at the midpoints of intervals. The maximum 0.050 -s averages were -8.0 g (longitudinal) and 14.0 g (lateral).

## Conclusions

The 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) concrete parapet contained and smoothly redirected the vehicle with no lateral movement of the parapet. There were no debris or detached elements. There was no intrusion into the occupant compartment although some deformation of the compartment occurred. The vehicle trajectory at loss of contact indicated minimum intrusion into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle remained upright and stable during the entire test period (see table 1).

Table 1 shows a lateral occupant impact velocity of $26.0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(7.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ which is higher than the maximum acceptable value of $25.0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(7.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$. However, the authors conclude that the performance of this parapet is acceptable on the basis of two arguments. The value obtained is marginally close to being acceptable. The acceptable value was selected as a reasonably achievable value for impact angles of 15 degrees, not the more severe condition of 20 degrees used in this test.


Figure 2. 32-in (813-mm) concrete parapet before test 7069-5.


Figure 3. Vehicle before test 7069-5.


$$
\text { fair } \underline{x}
$$

Tire Condition: good
badly worn _
Vehicle Geometry - inches
а 60 1/2
b $19 \quad 1 / 2$
c $883 / 4$ $\mathrm{d} * 52 \quad 1 / 2$
e 28 1/4
f $146 \quad 1 / 2$
g $\qquad$ h 35.6
i j 29 1/2
k 15
८ 39
m $19 \quad 1 / 2$
n 3

- 14 $\qquad$ p 53 3/4
r $221 / 4$
s $131 / 4$

Engine Type: 4 cyl
Engine CID: 81 cC
Transmission Type:
Automatic or Manual
FWD or RWD or 4WD
Body Type: Hitch $\qquad$
Steering Column Collapse Mechanism:
-Behind wheel units Convoluted tube Cyl indrical mesh units Embedded ball
-NOT collapsible Other energy absorption -Unknown


## Brakes:

Front: disc X drum_
Rear: disc $\qquad$ drum X
$1 \mathrm{in}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm}$
$1 \mathrm{lb}=0.454 \mathrm{~kg}$

Figure 4. Vehicle properties for test 7069-5.


Figure 5. 32 -in (813-mm) concrete parapet after test 7069-5.


Figure 6. Vehicle after test 7069-5.


Figure 7. Summary of results for test 7069-5.

Table 1. Evaluation of crash test no. 7069-5.
$\{32-\mathrm{in}(813-\mathrm{mm})$ Concrete Parapet Bridge Railing $[1,800 \mathrm{lb}(817 \mathrm{~kg})|60.5 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(97.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 21.0$ degrees] $\}$
$\qquad$

| TEST RESULTS |  | PASS/FAIL* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle was contained Pass <br> No debris penetrated passenger <br> compartment Pass <br> Acceptable deformation Pass <br> Vehicle remained upright Pass <br> Vehicle was smoothly redirected Pass <br> $\frac{\mu}{.22}$ Assessment |  |  |

G. Shall be less than

H. Exit angle shall be less than 12 degrees

| Occupant Impact Velocity - ft/s (m/s) | Fail |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal Lateral | (see discussion) |
| 20.1 (6.1) 26.0 (7.9) |  |
| Occupant Ridedown Accelerations - $\mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ | - Pass |
| Longitudinal Lateral |  |
| -1.6 9.4 |  |
| Exit angle was 6.2 degrees | Pass |

* A, B, C, D and G are required. E, F, and H are desired. (See table 2)

Table 2. Bridge railing performance levels and crash test criteria. (Excerpt from 1989 AASHTO Guide Specifications for Bridge Railings) ${ }^{(1)}$

TEST SPEEDS-mph ${ }^{1,2}$
TEST VEHICLE DESCRIPTIONS AND IMPACT ANGLES

| PERFORMANCE LEVELS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Small <br> Automobile $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{W} & =1.8 \mathrm{Kips} \\ \mathrm{~A} & =5.4^{\prime} \pm 0.1^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{B} & =5.5^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cg}} & =20^{\prime \prime} \pm 1^{\prime \prime} \\ \theta & =20 \mathrm{deg} . \end{aligned}$ | Pickup <br> Truck $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{W} & =5.4 \mathrm{Kips} \\ \mathrm{~A} & =8.5^{\prime} \pm 0.1^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{B} & =6.5^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cg}} & =27^{\prime \prime} \pm 1^{\prime \prime} \\ \theta & =20 \mathrm{deg} . \end{aligned}$ | Medium <br> Single-Unit Truck $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{W} & =18.0 \mathrm{Kips} \\ \mathrm{~A} & =12.8^{\prime} \pm 0.2^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{B} & =7.5^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cg}} & =49^{\prime \prime} \pm 1^{\prime \prime} \\ \theta & =15 \mathrm{deg} . \end{aligned}$ | Van-Type <br> Tractor-Trailer ${ }^{4}$ $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{W} & =50.0 \mathrm{Kips} \\ \mathrm{~A} & =12.5^{\prime} \pm 0.5^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{B} & =8.0^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}} & =\text { See Note } 4 \\ \mathrm{R} & =0.61 \pm 0.01 \\ \theta & =15 \mathrm{deg} . \end{aligned}$ |
| PL-1 |  | 50 | 45 |  |  |
| PL-2 |  | 60 | 60 | 50 |  |
| PL-3 |  | 60 | 60 |  | 50 |
| ```CRASH TEST EVALUATION CRITERIA }\mp@subsup{}{}{3``` | Required | a, b, c, d, g | $a, b, c, d$ | $a, b, c$ | $a, b, c$ |
|  | Desirable ${ }^{5}$ | e, f, h | e, f, g, h | d, e, f, h | d, e, f, h |

## Notes:

1. Except as noted, all full-scale tests shall be conducted and reported in accordance with the requirements in NCHRP Report No. 230. In addition, the maximum loads that can be transmitted from the bridge railing to the bridge deck are to be determined from static force measurements or ultimate strength analysis and reported.
2. Permissible tolerances on the test speeds and angles are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { Speed } & -1.0 \mathrm{mph} & +2.5 \mathrm{mph} \\
\text { Angle } & -1.0 \mathrm{deg} . & +2.5 \mathrm{deg} .
\end{array}
$$

Tests that indicate acceptable railing performance but that exceed the allowable upper tolerances will be accepted.
3. Criteria for evaluating bridge railing crash test results are as follows:
a: The test article shall contain the vehicle; neither the vehicle nor its cargo shall penetrate or go over the installation. Controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.
b. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article shall not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the passenger compartment or present undue hazard to other traffic.
c. Integrity of the passenger compartment must be maintained with no intrusion and essentially no deformation.
d. The vehicle shall remain upright during and after collision.
e. The test article shall smoothly redirect the vehicle. A redirection is deemed smooth if the rear of the vehicle or, in the case of a combination vehicle, the rear of the tractor or trailer does not yaw more than 5 degrees away from the railing from time of impact until the vehicle separates from the railing.
f. The smoothness of the vehicle-railing interaction is further assessed by the effective coefficient of friction, $\mu$ :

| $\mu$ | Assessment |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0-0.25 | Good |
| 0.26-0.35 | Fair |
| $>0.35$ | Marginal |

Table 2. Bridge railing performance levels and crash test criteria. (Excerpt from 1989 AASHTO Guide Specifications for Bridge Railings) ${ }^{(1)}$ (continued)
g. The impact velocity of a hypothetical front-seat passenger against the vehicle interior, calculated from vehicle accelerations and $2.0-\mathrm{ft}$. longitudinal and $1.0-\mathrm{ft}$. lateral diplacements, shall be less than:

| Occupant Impact Velocity-fps |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |
| 30 | 25 |

and the vehicle highest $10-\mathrm{ms}$ average accelerations subsequent to the instant of hypothetical passenger impact should be less than:

| Occupant Ridedown Acceleration-g's |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |
| 15 | 15 |

h. Vehicle exit angle from the barrier shall not be more than 12 degrees. Within 100 ft . plus the length of the test vehicle from the point of initial impact with the railing, the railing side of the vehicle shall move no more than 20 -ft. from the line of the traffic face of the railing. The brakes shall not be applied until the vehicle has traveled at least $100-\mathrm{ft}$. plus the length of the test vehicle from the point of initial impact.
4. Values $A$ and $R$ are estimated values describing the test vehicle and its loading. Values of $A$ and $R$ are described in the figure below and calculated as follows:

5. Test articles that do not meet the desirable evaluation criteria shall have their performance evaluated by a designated authority that will decide whether the test article is likely to meet its intended use requirements.

```
1 mi = 1.61 km
1 kip = 4.45 kN
1 in = 25.4 mm
```



Figure 8. Sequential photographs for test 7069-5.


Figure 8. Sequential photographs for test 7069-5 (continued).


Figure 9. Interior sequential photographs for test 7069-5.


Figure 10. Vehicle angular displacements for test 7069-5.

CRASH TEST 7069-5
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 11. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-5 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-5
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 12. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-5
(accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

## CRASH TEST 7069-5

Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 13. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 7069-5 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

## TEST 7069-6

## Test Description

Test 7069-6 involved the following test conditions: $5,400 \mathrm{lb}(2452 \mathrm{~kg}) \mid 60 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ $(96.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}) \mid 20$ degrees. A 1982 Chevrolet pickup truck (figure 14) was directed into the $32-\mathrm{in}$ ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) concrete parapet (figure 15) using a cable reverse tow and guidance system. Test inertia mass of the vehicle was $5,420 \mathrm{lb}(2461 \mathrm{~kg})$ and its gross static mass was 5,759 $\mathrm{lb}(2615 \mathrm{~kg})$. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 17.0 in ( 432 mm ) and it was 26.25 in ( 667 mm ) to the top of the bumper. Other dimensions and information on the test vehicle are given in figure 16. The vehicle was free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

Actual speed of the vehicle at impact was $59.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 20.2 degrees. The vehicle impacted the parapet at midlength. At approximately 0.021 s after impact, the right front wheel contacted the parapet. The vehicle began to redirect at 0.074 s as the rear end began to slide toward the parapet. The dummies began to move abruptly to the right at 0.080 s , and at 0.130 s , the head of the passenger dummy shattered the right side window glass. The rear of the vehicle slapped the parapet at 0.192 s , and by 0.209 s , the vehicle was traveling parallel to the parapet. The vehicle lost contact with the parapet at 0.418 s . The vehicle exited the parapet with a yaw angle of 5.6 degrees and a vehicle trajectory path of 6.4 degrees. The brakes were applied and the vehicle came to rest $225 \mathrm{ft}(69 \mathrm{~m})$ downstream and $40 \mathrm{ft}(12 \mathrm{~m})$ toward the field side of the point of impact.

As can be seen in figure 17, the parapet received some cosmetic damage and some scraping. There were tire marks on the face of the parapet. The vehicle was in contact with the parapet for approximately $10.5 \mathrm{ft}(3.2 \mathrm{~m})$.

The vehicle sustained extensive damage to the right side as shown in figure 18. Maximum crush at the right front corner at bumper height was 9.0 in ( 229 mm ). The right front and right rear wheel rims were bent and the welds had broken on the right front wheel rim, allowing the outer rim and tire to become completely separated. The wheel assembly and suspension were damaged. The passenger door was bent and jammed and the window was broken. The right rear panel was dented and scraped. The hood was bent and shifted to the left. The cab of the vehicle was twisted and the frame was bent.

## Test Results

Impact speed was $59.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 20.2 degrees. The exit speed at the time of contact ( 0.418 s ) was $47.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(75.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the vehicle trajectory path was 6.4 degrees with a yaw angle of 5.6 degrees. The effective coefficient of friction was calculated to be 0.32 . Occupant impact velocity was $18.6 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(5.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the longitudinal direction and $21.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(6.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the lateral direction. The highest $0.010-\mathrm{s}$ ridedown accelerations were -5.5 g (longitudinal) and 8.6 g (lateral). Results of the test are summarized in figure 19 and table 3. Sequential photographs are shown in figures 20 and
21. Vehicle angular displacements are displayed in figure 22. Vehicular accelerations versus time traces filtered with SAE J211 filters are presented in figures 23 through 29. These data were further analyzed to obtain 0.050 -s average accelerations versus time. A 0.050 -s interval immediately prior to impact was averaged to establish zero acceleration. The data were then processed with a moving $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ window with the first $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ average plotted at 0.026 s for each trace. The maximum 0.050 -s averages were -5.7 g (longitudinal) and 13.1 g (lateral).

## Conclusions

The $32-\mathrm{in}(813-\mathrm{mm})$ concrete parapet contained and smoothly redirected the vehicle with minimal lateral movement of the parapet. There were no debris or detached elements. There was no intrusion into the occupant compartment although some deformation of the right door occurred. The vehicle trajectory at loss of contact indicates minimum intrusion into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle remained upright and stable during the entire test period. See table 3 for a more detailed description.


Figure 14. Vehicle before test 7069-6.


Figure 15. 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) concrete parapet before test 7069-6.

Date $\qquad$ Test No.: 7069-6 $\qquad$ VIN: GCGC24WXCS15662

Make: Chevrolet Model: Custom De]uxe Year: $\qquad$ Odometer: 105,000_ Tire Size: 9.50-16.5 LTPly Rating: $\qquad$ Bias Ply: X Belted: $\qquad$ Radial: $\qquad$
Tire Condition: good $\qquad$
fair X
badly worn $\qquad$

Vehicle Geometry - inches

|  | 79.25 b | b 32.75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 132.0 d* | d* 71.5 |
|  | 49.75 f | f 214.5 |
|  | $267 / 8^{11}$ | 61.9 |
| i | ---- ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 44.75 |
| k | 32.0 \& | $\ell 45.0$ |
|  | 26.25 | 3.5 |
| 0 | 17.0 p | p $\quad 67.0$ |
| $r$ | 30.0 | 17.25 |
| Engine Type: V8 |  |  |
| Engine CID: 454 |  |  |
| Transmission Type: |  |  |
| Automatic or Manual |  |  |
|  | FWD or RWD | D or 4WD |
| Body Type: |  |  |
| Steering Column Collapse Mechanism: |  |  |
| Behind wheel units Convoluted tube Cylindrical mesh units Embedded ball |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NOT collapsible |  |  |
| Other energy absorption Unknown |  |  |

## Brakes:

Front: disc $\qquad$ drum
Rear: disc $\qquad$ drum $\qquad$
*d = overall height of vehicle

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{in}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& 1 \mathrm{lb}=0.454 \mathrm{~kg}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 16. Vehicle properties for test 7069-6.


Figure 17. 32-in (813-mm) concrete parapet after test 7069-6.


Figure 18. Vehicle after test 7069-6.


Impact Speed. . . $59.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Impact Angle. . . $20.2 \mathrm{deg}(75.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Exit Trajectory . 6.4 deg
Vehicle Accelerations
(Max. 0.050-sec Avg)
Longitudinal. . -5.7 g
Lateral . . . . 13.1 g
Occupant Impact Velocity
Longitudinal. . $18.6 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(5.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Lateral . . . . $21.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(6.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Occupant Ridedown Accelerations
Longitudinal. . -5.5 g
Lateral . . . . 8.6 g

Figure 19. Summary of results for test 7069-6.

Table 3. Evaluation of crash test no. 7069-6.
$\{32-\mathrm{in}(813-\mathrm{mm})$ Concrete Parapet Bridge Railing (5,420 $\mathrm{lb}(2461 \mathrm{~kg})|59.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 20.2$ degrees] $\}$

CRITERIA
TEST RESULTS
Vehicle was contained Pass

No debris penetrated passenger Pass compartment

Acceptable deformation Pass

Vehicle remained upright Pass
Vehicle was smoothly redirected Pass
F. Effective coefficient of friction
$\frac{\mu}{32} \quad$ Assessment
Good
Pass

| Occupant Impact | Velocity $-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |
| $18.6(5.7)$ | $21.1(6.4)$ |


| Occupant Ridedown | Accelerations $-\mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |
| -5.5 | 8.6 |

Exit angle was 6.4 degrees
Pass

* $A, B, C$, and $D$ are required. $E, F, G$, and $H$ are desired. (See table 2)


Figure 20. Sequential photographs for test 7069-6.


Figure 21. Interior sequential photographs for test 7069-6.

0.380 s

0.440 s

Figure 21. Sequential photographs for test 7069-6 (continued).


Figure 22. Vehicle angular displacements for test 7069-6.

CRASH TEST 7069-6

## Accelerometer near center-of-gravity



Figure 23. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-6 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-6
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 24. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-6 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-6
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 25. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 7069-6 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-6
Accelerometer at front of vehicle


Figure 26. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-6 (accelerometer located at front of vehicle).

CRASH TEST 7069-6
Accelerometer at front of vehicle


Figure 27. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-6 (accelerometer located at front of vehicle).

CRASH TEST 7069-6
Accelerometer at rear of vehicle
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Figure 28. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-6 (accelerometer located at rear of vehicle).

## CRASH TEST 7069-6

Accelerometer at rear of vehicle


Figure 29. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-6 (accelerometer located at rear of vehicle).

## TEST 7069-16

## Test Description

The following nominal conditions existed in Test 7069-16: 18,000 lb (8 172 kg ) $\mid 50$ $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(80.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}) \mid 15$ degrees. A 1982 Ford single-unit truck (figures 30 and 31 ) was directed into the $32-\mathrm{in}(813-\mathrm{mm})$ concrete parapet (figure 32) using a reverse tow and guidance system. The empty weight of the vehicle was $13,820 \mathrm{lb}(6274 \mathrm{~kg})$ and its test inertia weight was $18,000 \mathrm{lb}(8172 \mathrm{~kg})$. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 20.25 in ( 514 mm ) and it was 28.25 in ( 718 mm ) to the top of the bumper. Other dimensions and information on the test vehicle are given in figures 33 and 34. The vehicle was free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

Actual speed of the vehicle at impact was $50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(80.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 14.0 degrees. The vehicle impacted the parapet approximately $20 \mathrm{ft}(6 \mathrm{~m})$ from the end. Shortly after impact, the right front wheel made contact with the parapet and was pushed back and to the left. The vehicle began to redirect at approximately 0.101 s after impact. At 0.263 s , the left side of the vehicle became airborne. The rear of the vehicle impacted the parapet at about 0.305 s and began to travel parallel to the parapet. As the vehicle rode along the parapet, it continued to roll to the right and attained a maximum roll angle of 17.6 degrees at 0.480 s . At about 0.750 s , the vehicle began to right itself. The vehicle deflected and lost contact with the parapet at 0.963 s , traveling at $34.2 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(55.0$ $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ ) and 5.0 degrees. By 1.101 s , the vehicle was traveling upright; however, it continued to roll to the left and began to yaw clockwise. The vehicle came to rest on its left side 175 $\mathrm{ft}(53 \mathrm{~m})$ downstream and $25 \mathrm{ft}(7.6 \mathrm{~m})$ behind the point of impact.

As can be seen in figure 35 , the parapet received cosmetic damage and some scraping and gouging. There were tire marks on the face of the parapet and along the top for about $30 \mathrm{ft}(9 \mathrm{~m})$. The bed of the vehicle scraped the top of the parapet for another $15 \mathrm{ft}(4.6 \mathrm{~m})$. The vehicle was in contact with the parapet for about $45 \mathrm{ft}(14 \mathrm{~m})$.

The vehicle sustained moderate damage to the right side as shown in figures 36 through 38. Maximum crush at the right front corner at bumper height was 10.0 in (254 mm ). The front bumper, the hood, and the right front quarter were damaged, and the windshield was cracked. The rear U-bolt on the right front springs was broken and the springs were dislocated. The fuel tank and straps were also damaged.

## Test Results

Impact speed was $50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(80.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 14.0 degrees. The effective coefficient of friction was calculated to be 0.41 . The vehicle left the parapet traveling at $34.2 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(55.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$. Occupant impact velocity was $10.9 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(3.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the longitudinal direction and $11.8 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(3.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the lateral direction. The highest $0.010-\mathrm{s}$ occupant ridedown accelerations were -2.3 g (longitudinal) and 8.4 g (lateral). Results of the test are summarized in figure 39 and table 4. Sequential photographs are shown in figure 40. Vehicular displacements are displayed in figure 41.

Vehicular accelerations versus time traces filtered with SAE $\mathbf{J} 211$ filters are presented in figures 42 through 48 . The data were further analyzed to obtain 0.050 -s average accelerations versus time. The maximum $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ averages measured at the center-of-gravity were -1.7 g (longitudinal) and 4.6 g (lateral).

## Conclusions

The $32-\mathrm{in}(813-\mathrm{mm})$ concrete parapet contained and smoothly redirected the test vehicle with no lateral movement of the parapet. There was no intrusion into the occupant compartment and very little deformation of the compartment. The vehicle trajectory at loss of contact indicates minimum intrusion into adjacent traffic lanes. However, the vehicle did not remain upright after the collision. See table 4 for more details.


Figure 30. Vehicle/parapet geometrics for test 7069-16.


Figure 31. Vehicle before test 7069-16.


Figure 32. 32-in (813-mm) concrete parapet before test 7069-16.


Figure 33. Vehicle properties for test 7069-16.

## BALLAST CALCULATIONS

Total Empty Weight ..... $13,820 \mathrm{lb}$
Required Test Weight ..... $18,000 \mathrm{lb}$
Ballast Required ..... $4,180 \mathrm{lb}$
Weights
Hay Bale ..... 65 lb
Sand Bag ..... 100 lb


## Ballast Center-of-Gravity Height

$$
\mathrm{H}=[(24 \mathrm{in})(2925 \mathrm{lb})+(1200 \mathrm{lb})(50.75 \mathrm{in})] / 4125 \mathrm{lb}=31.78 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{lb}=0.454 \mathrm{~kg} \\
& 1 \mathrm{in}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 34. Ballast calculations for test 7069-16.


Figure 35. 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) concrete parapet after test 7069-16.


Figure 36. Vehicle after test 7069-16.


Figure 37. Damage to right front leaf springs after test 7069-16.


Figure 38. Vehicle after being uprighted after test 7069-16.


| Test No. Date . | $10 / 13 / 88$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Test Installation | 32-in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) Concret Parapet |
| Installation Length | 100 ft ( 3.05 m ) |
| Vehicle | 1982 Ford 7000 Single-Unit Truck |
| Vehicle Weight |  |
| Empty Weight | 13,820 lb (6,274 kg) |
| Test Inertia | 18,000 lb ( $8,172 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) |
| Maximum Vehicle Crush | 10.0 in (254 mm) |

Impact Speed. . . . $50.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(80.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Impact Angle. . . . 14.0 deg
Exit Speed . . . . $34.2(55.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Exit Trajectory . . 5 deg
Vehicle Accelerations
(Max. $0.050-\mathrm{sec}$ Avg)
Longitudinal. . . -1.7 g
Lateral . . . . . 4.6 g
Occupant Impact Velocity
Longitudinal. . . $10.9 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(3.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Lateral . . . . . $11.8 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(3.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Occupant Ridedown Accelerations
Longitudinal. . . -2.3 g
Lateral . . . . . 8.4 g
Figure 39. Summary of results for test 7069-16.

Table 4. Evaluation of crash test no. 7069-16.
\{32-in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) Concrete Parapet Bridge Railing [18,000 $1 \mathrm{~b}(8172 \mathrm{~kg})|50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(80.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 14.0$ degrees]\}
CRITERIA
A. Must contain vehicle
B. Debris shall not penetrate passenger compartment
C. Passenger compartment must have essentially no deformation
D. Vehicle must remain upright
E. Must smoothly redirect the vehicle
F. Effective coefficient of friction
TEST RESULTS

## PASS/FAIL*

Vehicle was contained Pass
No debris penetrated passenger Pass compartment

Acceptable deformation
Pass

Vehicle did not remain upright
Pass
Vehicle was smoothly redirected Pass

$\frac{\mu}{0.41} \quad \frac{\text { Assessment }}{\text { Margina1 }}$

Pass
G. Shall be less than

| $\frac{\text { Occupant Impact Velocity - } \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})}{\text { Longitudinal }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 30 (9.2) | 25 (7.6) |
| Occupant Ridedown Accelerati |  |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |

H. Exit angle shall be less than 12 degrees

| Occupant Impact Velocity - ft/s (m/s) |  | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |  |
| 10.9 (3.3) | 11.8 (3.6) |  |
| Occupant Ridedown Accelerations - $\mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ |  | N/A |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |  |
| -2.3 | 8.4 |  |

Exit angle was 5 degrees Pass

* $A, B$, and $C$ are required. $D, E, F$, and $H$ are desired. $G$ is not applicable for this test.

0.000 s

0.086 s

0.172 s

0.257 s

Figure 40. Sequential photographs for test 7069-16
(frontal and overhead views).

0.368 s

0.490 s

0.613 s

0.736 s

Figure 40. Sequential photographs for test 7069-16
(frontal and overhead views continued).

7069-16
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Axes are vehicle fixed. Sequence for determining orientation is:

1. Yaw
2. Pitch
3. Roll

Figure 41. Vehicle angular displacement for test 7069-16.

## CRASH TEST 7069-16

Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 42. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-16 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-16
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 43. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-16 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-16
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 44. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 7069-16 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-16
Accelerometer at front of vehicle


Figure 45. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-16 (accelerometer located at front of vehicle).

CRASH TEST 7069-16
Accelerometer at front of vehicle


Figure 46. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-16 (accelerometer located at front of vehicle).

CRASH TEST 7069-16
Accelerometer at rear of vehicle


Figure 47. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-16 (accelerometer located at rear of vehicle).

CRASH TEST 7069-16
Accelerometer at rear of vehicle


Figure 48. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-16 (accelerometer located at rear of vehicle).

## CHAPTER 4. STRENGTH CALCULATIONS

Analysis of the strength of the railing is based on an ultimate strength yieldline mechanism. Force from a colliding vehicle is idealized as being a uniformly distributed line load extending over $3.5 \mathrm{ft}(1.07 \mathrm{~m})$ in the longitudinal direction. The load may be applied at any location along the railing. The yieldline failure pattern is illustrated in figure 49. At ultimate strength, yield moments are developed along the yield lines indicated. The length, L , of the yieldline pattern is dependent upon relative bending moment capacities of the parapet in the horizontal and vertical direction and the added moment capacity of the stiffening beam along the top of the parapet. The length may be computed using the equation for $L$ in figure 49 . For this parapet, the computed cantilever capacity, $\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$, is $10.66 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}(47.44 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$. The moment capacity in the longitudinal direction, $\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}$, is $2.55 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}(11.35 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$. The added moment capacity of the beam, $\phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$, is $8.95 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ ( $39.88 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ ).

In order to maintain the yieldline pattern in the parapet, the strength of the deck must be greater than the strength of the parapet. Analysis shows that the moment capacity of the deck is $10.77 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}(47.93 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$ which is greater than $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$.

The total strength of the mechanism is found using the equations given in figure 49. The resulting length of mechanism, L , is $7.6 \mathrm{ft}(2.3 \mathrm{~m})$, and the total ultimate capacity, $(\mathrm{wl})_{\mathrm{ult}}$, at a height of $32 \mathrm{in}(813 \mathrm{~mm})$ is $61.0 \mathrm{kips}(271.5 \mathrm{kN})$.


$$
L=\frac{\ell}{2}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{8 H\left(M_{b}+M_{w} H\right)}{M_{c}}}
$$

$$
(w \ell)_{\mathrm{ult}}=\frac{8 M_{\mathrm{b}}}{L-\frac{\ell}{2}}+\frac{8 M_{w} H}{L-\frac{\ell}{2}}+\frac{M_{0} L^{2}}{H\left(L-\frac{\ell}{2}\right)}
$$

Figure 49. Yieldline failure pattern for concrete parapet.
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[^0]:    $1 \mathrm{in}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm}$
    $1 \mathrm{lb}=0.454 \mathrm{~kg}$
    $1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}=1.609 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

