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## FOREWORD

This report presents the results of a State Planning and Research (SP\&R) pooled-fund study to develop safer bridge rail and transition designs. This pooled-fund study was sponsored by the Federal Highway Administration, 23 States, and the District of Columbia. A panel of representatives from those agencies selected the designs to be studied. Ten bridge rails and two transitions were designed and crash tested in accordance with the recommendations for the various Performance Levels in the 1989 AASHTO Guide Specifications for Bridge Railings. Acceptable performance was demonstrated for all of the crash tested designs.

Detailed drawings are presented for documentation and to facilitate implementation.


## NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade and manufacturer's names appear in this report only because they are considered essential to the object of the document.


*SI is the symbol for the Intemational System of Units. Appropriate
(Revised September 1993) rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E380.
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## CHAPTER 1. DESIGN OF RAILING

The 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape was originally designed to meet performance level two of the proposed 1987 version of the Guide Specifications for Bridge Railings. ${ }^{(1)}$ The required strength test from that proposed version was $5,400-\mathrm{lb}(2452-\mathrm{kg})$ pickup traveling at $65 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ $(105 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and impacting at 20 degrees. The design force used for this test condition was 56 kips ( 249 kN ) of line load uniformly distributed over $42 \mathrm{in}(1.07 \mathrm{~m})$ at $24 \mathrm{in}(610 \mathrm{~mm})$ above the deck surface. The railing was eventually tested to performance level two requirements of the 1989 Guide Specifications for Bridge Railings which requires strength test conditions of $18,000 \mathrm{lb}(8172 \mathrm{~kg})|50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(80.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 15$ degrees). ${ }^{(2)}$ The design force for this condition is $56 \mathrm{kips}(249 \mathrm{kN}$ ) of line load uniformly distributed over 42 in $(1.07 \mathrm{~m})$ at 29 in ( 737 mm ) above the deck surface.

A cross section of the railing design is shown in figure 1. Total height of the F shape is 32 in ( 813 mm ). It has a lower 3-in ( $76-\mathrm{mm}$ ) high vertical section, a middle 7 -in ( $177-\mathrm{mm}$ ) high inclined surface of 55 degrees, and an upper $22-\mathrm{in}(559-\mathrm{mm}$ ) high inclined surface of 84 degrees. It has a bottom width of 14.7 in ( 373 mm ) and a top width of 7.5 in ( 191 mm ). The slope at the bottom of the rail serves to limit the damage done to vehicles impacting at low angles by causing the front tire to ride up on the rail and redirect itself back to the pavement. A thickened portion at the top of the rail serves to increase the longitudinal distribution of force within the F-shape and allow more length of F-shape and deck to resist the collision force.

Eight \#4 longitudinal bars were used in the F-shape. The vertical steel was \#5 rebars at 8 -in $(200-\mathrm{mm})$ spacing. Specified concrete strength was $3,600 \mathrm{psi}(34800 \mathrm{kPa})$ at 28 days and specified steel yield was $40,000 \mathrm{psi}(275600 \mathrm{kPa}$ ). The cantilevered deck was supported on a foundation so that the deck overhang was 39 in ( 990 mm ).

The strength of the railing was computed using yieldline analysis procedures. ${ }^{(3)}$ The strength computations are presented on the following pages. The analysis predicts the length of the failure mechanism to be $8.3 \mathrm{ft}(2.5 \mathrm{~m})$ and the total ultimate load capacity to be 59 kips ( 262 kN ). The analysis also shows that the yield lines are confined to the F-shape rather than extending into the bridge deck.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{in}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& 1 \mathrm{psi}=6.89 \mathrm{kPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 1. 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing.

## CHAPTER 2. CRASH TEST PROCEDURES

The 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing was tested to performance level two requirements. ${ }^{(1)}$ The following nominal test conditions were used:
$1,800-\mathrm{lb}(817-\mathrm{kg})$ passenger car $|60 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 20$ degrees (test $7069-3)$
$5,400-\mathrm{lb}(2452-\mathrm{kg})$ pickup $|65 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(104.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 20$ degrees (test $7069-4)$
$18,000-\mathrm{lb}(8172-\mathrm{kg})$ single-unit truck $|50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(80.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 15$ degrees (tests $7069-8,9,11)$

Each vehicle was instrumented with three solid-state angular rate transducers to measure yaw, pitch, and roll rates and a triaxial accelerometer mounted near the center-ofgravity. In addition, the pickup and $18,000-\mathrm{lb}(8172-\mathrm{kg})$ trucks were instrumented with two biaxial accelerometers: one mounted forward of the center-of-gravity and one mounted in the rear of the vehicle. The accelerometers were strain gauge type with a linear millivolt output proportional to acceleration. The electronic signals from the accelerometers and transducers were transmitted to a base station by means of constant bandwidth FM/FM telemetry link for recording on magnetic tape and for display on a real-time strip chart. Provision was made for transmission of calibration signals before and after each test, and an accurate time reference signal was simultaneously recorded with the data.

Pressure sensitive contact switches on the bumper of each vehicle were actuated just prior to impact by wooden dowels to indicate elapsed time over a known distance and provide a measurement of impact velocity. Each initial contact also produced an "event" mark on the data record to establish the instant of impact. Data from the electronic transducers were digitized using a microcomputer for analysis and evaluation of performance.

The multiplex of data channels transmitted on one radio frequency was received at a data acquisition station and demultiplexed into separate tracks of Intermediate Range Instrumentation Group (I.R.I.G.) tape recorders. After the test, the data were played back from the tape machines, filtered with an SAE J211 filter, and digitized using a microcomputer for analysis and evaluation of performance.

Alderson Research Laboratories Hybrid II, 50th percentile anthropomorphic dummies were used in the passenger car and the pickup. One uninstrumented dummy was placed in the driver position of the automobile and two uninstrumented dummies were placed in the pickup truck-one in the driver seat and one in the passenger seat. No dummies were placed in the single-unit truck. Each dummy was restrained with standard restraint equipment seat belts. No dummies were carried in the single-unit trucks.

The digitized data obtained from the electronic transducers were then processed using two computer programs: DIGITIZE and PLOTANGLE. Brief descriptions on the functions of these two computer programs are as follows.

The DIGITIZE program uses digitized data from vehicle-mounted linear accelerometers to compute occupant/compartment impact velocities, time of occupant/compartment impact after vehicle impact, highest 0.010 -s averages of vehicle
acceleration after occupant/compartment impacts, and time of highest 0.010 -s averages. The DIGITIZE program also calculates a vehicle impact velocity and the change in vehicle velocity at the end of a given impulse period. In addition, maximum average accelerations over a 0.050 -s intervals in each of three directions are computed. Acceleration versus time curves for the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions are then plotted from the digitized data of the vehicle-mounted linear accelerometers using a commercially available software package (QUATTRO PRO). For each of these graphs, a 0.050 -s average window was calculated at the center of each 0.050 -s interval and then plotted with the first $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ average plotted at 0.026 s .

The PLOTANGLE program uses the digitized data from yaw, pitch, and roll rate charts to compute angular displacements in degrees at $0.001-\mathrm{s}$ intervals and then instructs a plotter to draw a reproducible plot of yaw, pitch, and roll versus time. It should be noted that these angular displacements are sequence dependent with the sequence yaw, pitch, and roll for the data presented herein. These displacements are in reference to the vehicle-fixed coordinate system with the initial position and orientation of the vehicle-fixed coordinate system being that which existed at initial impact.

Photographic coverage of the tests included three high-speed cameras: one over head with a field of view perpendicular to the ground and directly over the impact point, one placed to have a field of view parallel to and aligned with the railing system at the downstream end, and a third placed perpendicular to the front of the railing system. In the passenger car and pickup tests, a high-speed camera was placed onboard the vehicle to record the actions of the dummy(ies) during the test. A flash bulb activated by pressure sensitive tape switches was positioned on the impacting vehicle to indicate the instant of contact with the railing system and was visible from each camera. The films from these high-speed cameras were analyzed on a computer-linked motion analyzer to observe phenomena occurring during the collision and to obtain time-event, displacement, and angular data. A $16-\mathrm{mm}$ movie cine, a professional video camera, and a $3 / 4-\mathrm{in}$ ( 19 mm ) video recorder along with $35-\mathrm{mm}$ cameras were used for documentary purposes and to record conditions of the test vehicle and railing system before and after the test.

The passenger car and pickup were towed into the test installation using a steel cable guidance and reverse two system. A steel cable for guiding the test vehicle was stretched along the path, anchored at each end, and threaded through an attachment to the front wheel of the test vehicle, passed around a pulley near the impact point, through a pulley on the tow vehicle, and then anchored to the ground such that the tow vehicle moved away from the test site. A 2-to-1 speed ratio between the test and tow vehicle existed with this system. Immediately prior to impact with the railing system, the test vehicle was released to be freewheeling and unrestrained. The vehicle remained free-wheeling, i.e., no steering or braking inputs, until the vehicle cleared the immediate area of the test site. At this time brakes on the vehicle were activated to bring the vehicle to a safe and controlled stop.

The $18,000-\mathrm{lb}(8172-\mathrm{kg})$ trucks were guided into the test installation using a remote controlled guidance system. Due to mechanical and instrumentation failures during the first two tests with the $18,000-\mathrm{lb}(8172-\mathrm{kg})$ truck, the nominal test speed of $50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(80.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
was not attained, requiring a total of three tests to be performed to acquire the required speed.

## CHAPTER 3. FULL-SCALE CRASH TESTS

## TEST 7069-3

## Test Description

The 1980 Honda (see figure 2) was directed into the 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing (figure 3) using a cable reverse tow and guidance system. Test inertia mass of the vehicle was $1,800 \mathrm{lb}(817 \mathrm{~kg})$ and its gross static mass was $1,966 \mathrm{lb}(893 \mathrm{~kg})$. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 14.0 in ( 356 mm ) and it was 19.5 in ( 495 mm ) to the top of the bumper. Other dimensions and information on the test vehicle are given in figure 4. The vehicle was free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

The speed of the vehicle at impact was $60.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 21.4 degrees. The vehicle impacted the bridge railing at midlength. At approximately 0.025 s after impact the right front tire began to ride up the concrete face of the bridge railing, and by 0.034 s the vehicle began to redirect. As the vehicle continued forward, the right side of the vehicle continued to ride up the face of the bridge railing, and at 0.186 s the left front wheel left the ground. The rear of the vehicle hit the railing at 0.189 s , and at 0.271 s the vehicle was parallel with the railing. The vehicle lost contact with the railing at 0.276 s after impact. As the vehicle exited the railing, it had a yaw angle of 0.9 degree and a trajectory path of 6.2 degrees. The vehicle brakes were applied and the vehicle subsequently came to rest $209 \mathrm{ft}(64 \mathrm{~m})$ downstream and $27 \mathrm{ft}(8 \mathrm{~m})$ behind the point of impact.

As can be seen in figure 5 , the bridge railing received cosmetic damage only. There were tire marks on the face of the bridge railing indicating the vehicle rose a maximum height of about 27 in $(686 \mathrm{~mm})$. The vehicle was in contact with the bridge railing for 10.3 $\mathrm{ft}(3.1 \mathrm{~m})$.

The vehicle sustained extensive damage to the right front as shown in figure 6. Maximum crush at the right front corner at bumper height was 9.0 in ( 229 mm ). The right front and right rear wheel rims were bent and the wheel assembly and suspension damaged. The passenger door was bent and jammed and the right side was dented and scraped. The hood was bent and shifted to the left. The windshield frame was bent and the windshield was cracked. The roof of the vehicle was buckled and twisted.

## Test Results

Impact speed was $60.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 21.4 degrees. The exit speed at time of contact ( 0.276 s ) was $53.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(85.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the vehicle trajectory path was 6.2 degrees with a vehicle yaw angle of 0.9 degree. The effective coefficient of friction was calculated to be 0.33 . Occupant impact velocity was $19.0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(5.8$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the longitudinal direction and $23.7 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(7.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the lateral direction. The highest $0.010-\mathrm{s}$ occupant ridedown accelerations were -2.1 g (longitudinal) and 4.9 g (lateral). These data and other pertinent information from the test are summarized in figure 7.

Sequential photographs of the test are shown in figures 8 and 9. Vehicular angular displacements are displayed in figure 10. Vehicular acceleration versus time traces filtered with SAE J 211 filters are presented in figures 11, 12, and 13. These data were further analyzed to obtain 0.050 -s average accelerations versus time. A 0.050 -s interval immediately prior to impact was averaged to establish zero acceleration. The data were then processed with a moving $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ average window with the first $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ average plotted at 0.026 s for each trace. The maximum $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ averages were -8.0 g (longitudinal) and 12.8 g (lateral).

## Conclusions

The $32-\mathrm{in}$ (813-mm) F-shape bridge railing contained and smoothly redirected the vehicle with no lateral movement of the bridge railing. There were no debris or detached elements. There was no intrusion into the occupant compartment although some deformation of the compartment occurred. The vehicle trajectory at loss of contact indicated minimum intrusion into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle remained upright and stable during the entire test period. See table 1 for a more detailed description.


Figure 2. Vehicle before test 7069-3.


Figure 3. 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing before test 7069-3.
 Tire Size: P155/80R12 Ply Rating: _ 1 Bias Ply: __ Belted: __ Radial: X

Tire Condition: good fair X badly worn
Vehicle Geometry - inches

| $601 / 2$ | b $291 / 2$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 88 | d* $521 / 2$ |
| e $281 / 4$ | f 146 |
| g* 19.9 | h 34.2 |
| --- | j 29 1/2 |
| 15 | \& 27 |
| m 19 1/2 | n 3 |
| - 014 | P 53.3/4 |
| r 22 1/4 | s $131 / 4$ |

Engine Type: $\qquad$
Engine CID: $\qquad$
Transmission Type:
Automatic or Manual FWD or RWD or 4WD
Body Type: $\qquad$
Steering Column Collapse Mechanism:
Behind wheel units Convoluted tube -cylindrical mesh units -Embedded ball
-NOT collapsible
-_Other energy absorption -Unknown
Brakes:
Front: disc__ drum__
Rear: disc__drum_
$*_{d}=$ overall height of vehicle
*g $=$ measured from identical vehicle (7069-1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{in}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& 1 \mathrm{lb}=0.454 \mathrm{~kg}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 4. Vehicle properties for test 7069-3.


Figure 5. 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing after test 7069-13.


Figure 6. Vehicle after test 7069-3.


Impact Speed. . . $60.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Test No. . . . . . . . . 7069-3
Date . . . . . . . . $7 / 28 / 87$
Impact Angle. . . 21.4 deg

Installation Length . . $100 \mathrm{ft}(30 \mathrm{~m}) \quad$ Vehicle Accelerations
Vehicle . . . . . . . 1980 Honda 1300 DX
Vehicle Weight
Test Inertia . . . . . 1,800 lb ( 817 kg )
Gross Static . . . . . 1,966 1b (893 kg)
Vehicle Damage Classification
(Max. 0.050-sec Avg)
Longitudinal. . -8.0 g
Lateral . . . . 12.8 g
Occupant Impact Velocity
Longitudinal. . $19.0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(5.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Lateral .. . . $23.7 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(7.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
TAD . . . . . . . . . 01RFQ4
CDC . . . . . . .. . 01FREK3 \& 01RFEW4
Maximum Vehicle Crush . 9.0 in ( 229 mm )
Occupant Ridedown Accelerations
Longitudinal. . -2.1 g
Lateral . . . . 4.9 g
Figure 7. Summary of results for test 7069-3.

Table 1. Evaluation of crash test no. 7069-3.
\{32-in ( $81-\mathrm{cm}$ ) F-Shape Bridge Railing [1,800 $1 \mathrm{~b}(817 \mathrm{~kg})|60.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 21.4$ degrees]\}
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ PASS/FAIL*
A. Must contain vehicle

Vehicle was contained
Pass
B. Debris shall not penetrate

No debris penetrated passenger passenger compartment
compartment
C. Passenger compartment must have

Acceptable deformation
Pass essentially no deformation

Vehicle remained upright
Pass
D. Vehicle must remain upright
E. Must smoothly redirect the vehicle

Vehicle was smoothly redirected Pass
F. Effective coefficient of friction

## $\frac{\text { Assessment }}{\text { Fair }}$

Pass
G. Shall be less than

| Occupant Impact | Velocity $-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |
| $30(9.2)$ | $25(7.6)$ |

Occupant Ridedown Accelerations - g's Longitudinal 15 15
H. Exit angle shall be less than 12 degrees

| Occupant Impact Velocity $-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |
| $19.0(5.8)$ | $23.7(7.2)$ |$\quad$ Pass

Occupant Ridedown Accelerations - $\mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ Pass

## Longitudinal Lateral

$-2.1 \quad 4.9$
Exit angle was 6.2 degrees Pass

* A, B, C, D and G are required. E, F, and H are desired. (See table 2)

Table 2. Bridge railing performance levels and crash test criteria (Guide Specifications for Bridge Railings, proposed). (1)

| PERFORMANCE LEVELS |  | TEST SPEEDS -- mph ${ }^{1.2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TEST VEHICLE DESCRIPTIONS AND IMPACT ANGLES |  |  |  |
|  |  | Smal 1 <br> Automobile $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{W} & =1.8 \mathrm{Kips} \\ \mathrm{~A} & =5.4^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{B} & =5.5^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Cg}} & =19^{\prime \prime} \\ B & =20 \mathrm{deg} . \end{aligned}$ | Pickup Truck $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=5.4 \text { Kips } \\ & A \\ & \mathrm{~B}=8.9^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Cg}}=3.5^{\prime \prime} \\ & B=20 \mathrm{deg} . \end{aligned}$ | Intercity Bus (loose ballast) $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W}=40.0 \mathrm{Kips} \\ & \mathrm{~A}=23.2^{\circ} \\ & B=8.0^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cg}}=56^{\prime \prime} \\ & \theta=15 \mathrm{deg} . \end{aligned}$ |  |
| - $\mathrm{PL}-1$ |  | 50 | 45 |  |  |
| PL-2 |  | 60 | 65 |  |  |
| PL-3 |  | 60 | 65 | 60 | - |
| PL-4 |  | 60 | 65 |  | 55 |
| EVALUATION CRITERIA ${ }^{3}$ | Required | a,b,c,d.g | a,b,c,d | a,b,c,d | a,b,c |
|  | Desirable ${ }^{5}$ | e.f.h | e.f.g.h | e.f.h | d.e.f.h |

## Notes:

1. Exceot as noted, all full-scale cests shall be conducted and reported in accordance with the requirements in NCHRD Regort No. 230. In addition, the maximum loads that can be transmitted from the bridge railing to the bridoe deck are to be deterrined fror static force measurements or ultimate strength analysis and reported.
2. Permissible tolerances on the test speeds and angles are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Speed } & -1.0 \mathrm{mph} & +2.5 \mathrm{moh} \\
\text { Angle } & -1.0 \text { deg. } & +2.5 \text { deg. }
\end{array}
$$

Tests that indicate acceptable ralling performance but that exceed tive allewable upfer inferarans will be accepted.
3. Criteria for evaluating brioge railing crash fest resuitis ane as foliows:
a. The test orticle shall contain the vehicle; neither the vehicie nor fts cargo sinall penetiate or go over the installation. Controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.
b. Detached elements, fragments, or other detris from the test article shall not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the passenger compartment or present undue hazard to other traffic.
$\tau$. Integrity of the passenger compartment must be maintained with no intrusion and essentially no deformation.
3. The vehicle shall remain upright during and after collision.

Table 2. Bridge railing performance levels and crash test criteria (Guide Specifications for Bridge Railings, proposed continued). (1)

Motes (cont.):
e. The test article shall smoothly redirect the vehicle. A redirection is deemed sooth if the rear of the vehicle or. In the case of combination vehicle, the rear of the tractor or trailer does not yaw more than 5 digress away from the railing from time of impact until the vehicle separates from the railing.
f. The smoothness of the vehicle-rafling interaction is further assessed by the effective coefficient of friction $\mu$ :

| $\mu$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0-0.25$ | Assessment |
| $0.26-0.35$ | Good |
| $>0.35$ | Fair |
|  |  |

$$
\text { where } \mu=\left(\cos \theta-Y_{P} / V\right) / \sin \theta
$$

9. The impact velocity of a hypothetical front-seat passenger against the vehicle interior, calculated from vehicle accelerations and $2.0-f t$. longitudinal and $1.0-\mathrm{ft}$. lateral displacements, shall be less than:
$\frac{\text { Occupant Impact Velocity - fps }}{\frac{\text { Longitudinal }}{30}} \frac{\text { Lateral }}{25}$
and the vehicle highest 10 -ms average accelerations subsequent to the instant of hypothetical passenger Impact should be less than:
$\frac{\text { Occupant Ridedown Accelerations - g's }}{\frac{\text { Longitudinal }}{15}} \frac{\text { Lateral }}{15}$
h. Vehicle exit angle from the barrier shall not be more than 12 degrees. Within 100 ft . after losing contact with the railing, the vehicle shall move no more than 20 ft . plus the vehicle width from the line of the traffic face of the railing. The brakes shall not be applied until the vehicle has traveled at least 100 $f t$. from the point of initial impact.
10. Values $A$ and $R$ are estimated values describing the test vehicle and its loading. Values of $A$ and $R$ are described in the figure below and calculated as follows:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=L_{1}+\frac{W_{2} L_{2}+W_{3}\left(L_{2}+L_{3}\right)}{W_{1}+W_{2}+W_{3}} \\
& R=\frac{W_{1}+W_{2}+W_{3}}{W} \\
& W=H_{1}+W_{2}+W_{3}+W_{4}+W_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

- total vehicle weight.

5. Test articles that do not meet the desirable evaluation criteria shall have their performance evaluated by a designated authority that will decide whether the test article is likely to meet its intended use requirements.
```
1 kip = 4.45 kN
1 mi/h = 1.609 km/h
1 ft = 0.305 m
```


0.151 s

Figure 8. Sequential photographs for test 7069-3.

0.372 s

Figure 8. Sequential photographs for test 7069-3 (continued).
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Figure 9. Interior sequential photographs for test 7069-3.


Figure 10. Vehicle angular displacements for test 7069-3.

CRASH TEST 7069-3
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 11. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-3 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-3
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 12. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-3 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

## CRASH TEST 7069-3

Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 13. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 7069-3
(accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

## Test Description

The 1981 Chevrolet pickup (figures 14 and 15) was directed into the bridge railing (figure 16) using a cable reverse tow and guidance system. Test inertia mass of the vehicle was $5,440 \mathrm{lb}(2470 \mathrm{~kg})$ and its gross static mass was $5,780 \mathrm{lb}(2624 \mathrm{~kg})$. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 17.0 in ( 432 mm ) and it was 26.25 in ( 667 mm ) to the top of the bumper. Other dimensions and information on the test vehicle are given in figure 17. The vehicle was free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

The speed of the vehicle at impact was $65.4 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(105.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 20.4 degrees. [The 1987 proposed test matrix required $65 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(104.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$, but the 1989 Guide Specification requires $60 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(96.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$.] The vehicle impacted the bridge railing at midlength. At approximately 0.013 s after impact the right front wheel began to ride up the face of the bridge railing, and at 0.019 s the right front tire aired out. The vehicle began to redirect as the rear end began to slide toward the bridge railing. The dummies began to move abruptly to the right at 0.046 s , and at 0.106 s the passenger head of the dummy shattered the right side window glass. At 0.139 s the left front wheel left the ground, and at 0.141 s the rear of the vehicle slapped the bridge railing and aired out the right rear tire. By 0.154 s the vehicle was totally airborne and remained so as it became parallel with the railing (at 0.179 s ) and exited the railing (at 0.238 s ). The left front wheel touched down at 0.287 s and the right touched down at 0.433 s . The vehicle exited the railing with a yaw angle of 0.4 degrees and a vehicle trajectory path of 7.4 degrees. The brakes were applied and the vehicle subsequently came to rest $240 \mathrm{ft}(73 \mathrm{~m})$ downstream and $37 \mathrm{ft}(11 \mathrm{~m})$ behind the point of impact.

As can be seen in figure 18, the railing received cosmetic damage and some scraping. There were tire marks on the face of the bridge railing which indicated the vehicle rose a maximum height of 24 in ( 610 mm ) above the ground. The vehicle was in contact with the bridge railing for $18.0 \mathrm{ft}(5.5 \mathrm{~m})$.

The vehicle sustained extensive damage to the right side as shown in figure 19. Maximum crush at the right front corner at bumper height was 5.0 in ( 127 mm ). The right front and right rear wheel rims were bent and the tires aired out. The wheel assembly and suspension was damaged. The passenger door was bent and jammed and the window broken out. The right rear panel was dented and scraped. The hood was bent and shifted to the left. The cab of the vehicle was twisted.

## Test Results

Impact speed was $65.4 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(105.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 20.4 degrees. The exit speed at time of contact ( 0.238 s ) was $56.9 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(91.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the vehicle trajectory path was 7.3 degrees with a vehicle yaw angle of 0.4 degrees. The effective coefficient of friction was calculated to be 0.31 . Occupant impact velocity was $12.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 3.8 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the longitudinal direction and $24.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(7.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the lateral direction. The highest
$0.010-\mathrm{s}$ occupant ridedown accelerations were -1.2 g (longitudinal) and 5.9 g (lateral). These data and other pertinent information from the test are summarized in figure 20 and table 3. Sequential photographs are shown in figures 21 and 22. Vehicular angular displacements are displayed in figure 23. Vehicular accelerations versus time traces filtered with SAE J211 filters are presented in figures 24 through 28. These data were further analyzed to obtain 0.050 -s average accelerations versus time. A 0.050 -s interval immediately prior to impact was averaged to establish zero acceleration. The data were then processed with a moving $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ average window with the first $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ average plotted at 0.026 s for each trace. The maximum 0.050 -s averages were -4.7 g (longitudinal) and 13.1 g (lateral).

## Conclusions

The 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing contained and smoothly redirected the vehicle with minimal lateral movement of the bridge railing. There were no debris or detached elements. There was no intrusion into the occupant compartment although some deformation of the right door occurred. The vehicle trajectory at loss of contact indicated minimum intrusion into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle remained upright and stable during the entire test period. See table 3 for a more detailed description.


Figure 14. Vehicle and instrumentation before test 7069-4.


Figure 15. Vehicle/bridge railing geometrics for test 7069-4.


Figure 16. 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing before test 7069-4.

Date: 7-30-87 Test No.: _7069-4_ VIN: _1GCGC24M7BS180051
Make: Chevrolet Model: Scottsdale Year: 1981 Odometer: 112314 Tire Size: 9.50R16.5 Ply Rating: $\quad 4$

Bias Ply: X Belted: $\qquad$ Radial: $\qquad$ Tire Condition: good __
badly worn -

Vehicle Geometry - inches

| a $701 / 4$ | b $331 / 2$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| c 132 | d*71 |
| e $501 / 4$ | f 215.75 |
| g 26.1 | h 66.7 |
| i | j $441 / 4$ |
| k $301 / 2$ | \& $721 / 2$ |
| m $261 / 4$ | n 4 |
| 017 | p $651 / 2$ |
| r 29 | s 17 |

Engine Type $\qquad$
Engine CID: $\qquad$
Transmission Type:
Automatic or Manual
FWD or RWD or 4WD
Body Type: PU
Steering Column Collapse Mechanism:
Behind wheel units
Convoluted tube -cylindrical mesh units -Embedded ball

- NOT collapsible -Other energy absorption
-Unknown

Brakes:
Front: disc X drum__
Rear: disc $\qquad$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{in}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& 1 \mathrm{lb}=0.454 \mathrm{~kg}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

| Test Inertial <br> 2690 | Gross Static <br> 2890 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2750 | 2890 |

Note any damage to vehicle prior to test:
drum X

$$
-\lambda
$$



Figure 18. 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing after test 7069-4.


Figure 19. Vehicle after test 7069-4.

0.000 s


0.099 s


0.201 s


0.308 s


( $1 \mathrm{in}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ )


Gross Static .... 5,780 1b ( $2,624 \mathrm{~kg}$ )
Vehicle Damage Classification
TAD . . . . . . . . . 01RD4
Maximum Vehicie Crush • 5.0 in ( 127 mm )

Impact Speed. . . $65.4 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(105.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Impact Angle. . . 20.4 deg
Exit Speed. . . . $56.9 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(91.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Exit Trajectory . 7.4 deg
Vehicle Accelerations
(Max. 0.050-sec Avg)
Longitudinal. . -4.7 g
Lateral . . . . 13.1 g
Occupant Impact Velocity
Longitudinal. . $12.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(3.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Lateral . . . . $24.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(7.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Occupant Ridedown Accelerations
Longitudinal. . -1.2 g
Lateral . . . . 5.9 g

Figure 20. Summary of results for test 7069-4.

Table 3. Evaluation of crash test no. 7069-4.
\{32-in ( 81 cm ) F-Shape Bridge Railing [5, 440 lb (2 470 kg ) $|65.4 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(105.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 20.4$ degrees] $\}$
$\qquad$

| TEST RESULTS | PASS/FAIL* |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle was contained | Pass |
| No debris penetrated passenger compartment | Pass |
| Minimal deformation | Pass |
| Vehicle did remained upright | Pass |
| Vehicle was smoothly redirected | Pass |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \frac{\mu}{.31} & \frac{\text { Assessment }}{\text { Good }} \end{array}$ | Pass |

G. Shall be less than

| Occupant Impact | Velocity $-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |
| $30(9.2)$ | $25(7.6)$ |


H. Exit angle shall be less than 12 degrees

Exit angle was 7.3 degrees

* A, B, C, and D are required. E, F, G, and H are desired. (See table 2)

0.150 s

Figure 21. Sequential photographs for test 7069-4.


Figure 21. Sequential photographs for test 7069-4 (continued).


Figure 22. Interior sequential photographs for test 7069-4.


Figure 23. Vehicle angular displacements for test 7069-4.

CRASH TEST 7069-4
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity

Figure 24. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-4 (accelerometer located at center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-4
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 25. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-4 (accelerometer located at center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-4
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 26. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 7069-4
(accelerometer located at center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-4
Accelerometer at rear of vehicle


Figure 27. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-4 (accelerometer located at rear of vehicle).

CRASH TEST 7069-4
Accelerometer at rear of vehicle


Figure 28. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-4 (accelerometer located at rear of vehicle).

## TEST 7069-8

NOTE: In test 7069-8, the engine of the test vehicle (which was remotely controlled) stalled, causing the impact speed of the test vehicle to be lower than specified in the crash test requirements $[46.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(75.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ vs. $50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(80.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})]$. The test was repeated (7069-9) and again the impact speed was too low [ $47.3 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(76.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ) vs. $50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(80.5$ $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h})$ ]. In a third test ( $7069-11$ ), an impact speed of $52.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(132.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ was attained. Descriptions for all three of these tests are included in this report.

## Test Description

The 1982 Ford single-unit truck (figures 29 and 30) was directed into the 32-in (813mm ) F-shape bridge railing (figure 31 ) using a remote control guidance system. Empty weight of the vehicle was $13,850 \mathrm{lb}(6288 \mathrm{~kg})$ and its test inertia weight was $18,050 \mathrm{lb}$ ( 8195 kg ). The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was $19.5 \mathrm{in}(495 \mathrm{~mm})$ and it was $27.75 \mathrm{in}(705 \mathrm{~mm})$ to the top of the bumper. Other dimensions and information on the test vehicle are given in figure 32. The vehicle was free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

The speed of the vehicle at impact was $46.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(75.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 15.0 degrees. The vehicle impacted the bridge railing at midlength. At approximately 0.021 s after impact the right front wheel began to ride up the face of the bridge railing, and at 0.163 s the left front tire began to leave the ground. The vehicle began to redirect at 0.184 s as the rear end began to slide toward the bridge railing. At 0.343 s the left rear wheels left the ground, and at approximately 0.448 s the rear of the vehicle slapped the bridge railing. By approximately 0.495 s the vehicle became parallel with the railing and was continuing to roll to the right. As the vehicle continued along the railing the lower edge of the bed rode along the top of the railing. A maximum roll angle of 34 degrees was achieved at about 1.286 s . The vehicle slid off the end of the bridge railing at about 1.713 s after impact. The brakes were applied and the vehicle subsequently came to rest $186 \mathrm{ft}(57 \mathrm{~m})$ downstream.

As can be seen in figure 33, the railing received cosmetic damage and some scraping. There were tire marks on the face of the bridge railing and along the top. The top of the bridge railing was scraped along the remaining length from the lower edge of the bed of the truck (see figure 34). The vehicle was in contact with the bridge railing for $60 \mathrm{ft}(18 \mathrm{~m})$.

The vehicle sustained extensive damage to the right side as shown in figure 35. Maximum crush at the right front corner at bumper height was 6.0 in ( 152 mm ). The right front wheel rim was bent and the tire damaged. The spring and spring shackle were broken loose from the axle. The steering gear box and steering cylinder were damaged. Also, the fuel tank broke loose from the truck.

## Test Results

Impact speed was $46.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(75.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 15.0 degrees. The exit speed was not attainable. The effective coefficient of friction was calculated to be 0.76 . The occupant impact velocity was $11.9 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(3.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the longitudinal direction and $9.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(2.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the lateral direction. The highest $0.010-\mathrm{s}$ occupant ridedown accelerations were -3.8 g (longitudinal) and 6.1 g (lateral). These data and other pertinent information from the test are summarized in figure 36 and table 4. Sequential photographs are shown in figures 37 and 38. Vehicular angular displacements are displayed in figure 39.

Vehicular accelerations versus time traces filtered with SAE J211 filters are presented in figures 40 through 44 . These data were further analyzed to obtain 0.050 -s average accelerations versus time. A 0.050 -s interval immediately prior to impact was averaged to establish zero acceleration. The data were then processed with a moving $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ average window with the first 0.050 -s average plotted at $0.026-\mathrm{s}$ for each trace. The maximum 0.050 -s averages were -2.2 g (longitudinal) and 3.4 g (lateral).

## Conclusions

The 32 -in (813-mm) F-shape bridge railing contained and smoothly redirected the vehicle with no lateral movement of the bridge railing. There were no debris or detached elements. There was no intrusion into the occupant compartment. The vehicle trajectory at loss of contact indicated minimum intrusion into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle remained upright and marginally stable during the entire test period. See table 4 for a more detailed description.


Figure 29. Vehicle before test 7069-8.


Figure 30. Vehicle/bridge railing geometrics for test 7069-8.


Figure 31. 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing before test 7069-8.


Figure 32. Vehicle properties for test 7069-8.


Figure 33. 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing after test 7069-8.


Figure 34. Damage to top of bridge railing caused by lower edge of bed of vehicle.


Figure 35. Vehicle after test 7069-8.



| Test No. Date . | $\begin{aligned} & 7069-8 \\ & 1 / 28 / 88 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Test Installation | . 32-in (813-mm) F-Shap |
|  | Bridge Railing |
| Installation Length | 100 ft ( 30 m ) |
| Vehicle | 1982 Ford |
|  | Single-Unit Truck |
| Vehicle Weight |  |
| Empty Weight | 13,050 lb ( $6,288 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) |
| Test Inertia | . 18,050 1b (8,195 kg) |
| Maximum Vehicle Crush | . 6.0 in ( 152 mm ) |

Impact Speed. . . . $46.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(75.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Impact Angle. . . . 15.0 deg
Exit Speed . . . . N/A
Exit Trajectory . . 5.0 deg
Vehicle Accelerations
(Max. 0.050-sec Avg)
Longitudinal. . . -2.2 g
Lateral . . . . . 3.4 g
Occupant Impact Velocity
Longitudinal. . . $11.8 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(3.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Lateral . . . . $9.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(2.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Occupant Ridedown Accelerations
Longitudinal. . . -3.8 g
Lateral . . . . . 6.1 g

Figure 36. Summary of results for test 7069-8.

Table 4. Evaluation of crash test no. 7069-8.
\{32-in ( 81 cm ) F-shape Bridge Rail [18,050 $1 \mathrm{~b}(8195 \mathrm{~kg})|46.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(75.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 15.0$ degrees $]\}$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ PASS/FAIL*
A. Must contain vehicle
B. Debris shall not penetrate passenger compartment
C. Passenger compartment must have essentially no deformation

Vehicle was contained Pass
No debris penetrated passenger Pass compartment

Acceptable deformation Pass
D. Vehicle must remain upright

Vehicle remained upright Pass
E. Must smoothly redirect the vehicle

Vehicle was smoothly redirected
Pass
F. Effective coefficient of friction

| $\frac{\mu}{0-.25}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{\text { Assessment }}{\text { Good }}$ |  |
| $>.26-.35$ |  |
| $>.35$ |  |
| Fair Marginal |  |

$\frac{\mu}{.76} \quad \frac{\text { Assessment }}{\text { Not applicable because }}$ Pass not redirected into traffic.
G. Shall be less than

| Occupant Impact Velocity $-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |
| $30(9.2)$ | $25(7.6)$ |


H. Exit angle shall be less than 12
about 5 degrees

* $A, B, C$, and $D$ are required. $E, F$, and $H$ are desired. $G$ is not applicable for this test. (See table 5)

Table 2. Bridge railing performance levels and crash test criteria. (Exerpt from 1989 AASHTO Guide Specifications for Bridge Railings) ${ }^{(2)}$


Notes:

1. Except as noted, all full-scale tests shall be conducted and reported in accordance with the requirements in NCHRP Report No. 230. In addition, the maximum loads that can be transmitted from the bridge railing to the bridge deck are to be determined from static force measurements or ultimate strength analysis and reported.
2. Permissible tolerances on the test speeds and angles are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Speed } & -1.0 \mathrm{mph} \\
\text { Angle } & +2.5 \mathrm{mph} \\
-1.0 \mathrm{deg} . & +2.5 \mathrm{deg} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Tests that indicate acceptable railing performance but that exceed the allowable upper tolerances will be accepted.
3. Criteria for evaluating bridge railing crash test results are as follows:
a: The test article shall contain the vehicle; neither the vehicle nor its cargo shall penetrate or go over the installation. Controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.
b. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article shall not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the passenger compartment or present undue hazard to other traffic.
c. Integrity of the passenger compartment must be maintained with no intrusion and essentially no deformation.
d. The vehicle shall remain upright during and after collision.
e. The test article shall smoothly redirect the vehicle. A redirection is deemed smooth if the rear of the vehicle or, in the case of a combination vehicle, the rear of the tractor or trailer does not yaw more than 5 degrees away from the railing from time of impact until the vehicle separates from the railing.
f. The smoothness of the vehicle-railing interaction is further assessed by the effective coefficient of friction, $\mu$ :

| $\mu$ | Assessment |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0-0.25 | Good |
| $0.26-0.35$ | Fair |
| $>0.35$ | Marginal |

where $\mu=\left(\cos \theta-V_{p} / V\right) / \sin \theta$

Table 5. Bridge railing performance levels and crash test criteria. (Exerpt from 1989 AASHTO Guide Specifications for Bridge Railings) ${ }^{(2)}$ (continued)
g. The impact velocity of a hypothetical front-seat passenger against the vehicle interior, calculated from vehicle accelerations and $2.0-\mathrm{ft}$. longitudinal and $1.0-\mathrm{ft}$. lateral diplacements, shall be less than:

| Occupant Impact Velocity-fps |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |
| 30 | 25 |

and the vehicle highest $10-\mathrm{ms}$ average accelerations subsequent to the instant of hypothetical passenger impact should be less than:

| Occupant Ridedown Acceleration--g's |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |
| 15 | 15 |

h. Vehicle exit angle from the barrier shall not be more than 12 degrees. Within 100 ft . plus the length of the test vehicle from the point of initial impact with the railing, the railing side of the vehicle shall move no more than 20 -ft. from the line of the traffic face of the railing. The brakes shall not be applied until the vehicle has traveled at least $100-\mathrm{ft}$. plus the length of the test vehicle from the point of initial impact.
4. Values $A$ and $R$ are estimated values describing the test vehicle and its loading. Values of $A$ and $R$ are described in the figure below and calculated as follows:

5. Test articles that do not meet the desirable evaluation criteria shall have their performance evaluated by a designated authority that will decide whether the test article is likely to meet its intended use requirements.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{mi}=1.61 \mathrm{~km} \\
& 1 \mathrm{kip}=4.45 \mathrm{kN} \\
& 1 \mathrm{in}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 37. Sequential photographs for test 7069-8.

1.273 s

Figure 37. Sequential photographs for test 7069-8 (continued).


Figure 38. Perpendicular sequential photographs for test 7069-8.


Figure 39. Vehicle angular displacements for test 7069-8.

CRASH TEST 7069-8
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 40. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-8 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-8
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 41. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-8 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-8
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 42. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 7069-8
(accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-8
Accelerometer at front of vehicle


Figure 43. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-8 (accelerometer located at front of vehicle).

CRASH TEST 7069-8
Accelerometer at front of vehicle


Figure 44. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-8 (accelerometer located at front of vehicle).

## TEST 7069-9

## Test Description

The 1982 Ford single-unit truck (figures 45 and 46) was directed into the bridge railing (figure 47) using a remote controlled guidance system. Empty weight of the vehicle was $13,850 \mathrm{lb}(6288 \mathrm{~kg})$ and its test inertia weight was $18,050 \mathrm{lb}(8195 \mathrm{~kg})$. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 19.25 in ( 489 mm ) and it was 28.00 in ( 711 mm ) to the top of the bumper. Other dimensions and information on the test vehicle are given in figure 48 . The vehicle was free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

The speed of the vehicle at impact was $47.3 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(76.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 15.3 degrees. The vehicle impacted the bridge railing at midiength. At approximately 0.017 s after impact the right front wheel began to ride up the face of the bridge railing, and at 0.153 s the left front tire began to leave the ground. The vehicle began to redirect at 0.156 s as the rear end began to slide toward the bridge railing. At 0.292 s the left rear wheels left the ground, and at 0.421 s the rear of the vehicle slapped the bridge railing. By approximately 0.523 s the vehicle became parallel with the railing and was continuing to roll to the right. As the vehicle continued along the railing, the lower edge of the bed rode along the top of the railing. A maximum roll angle of 25 degrees was achieved at about 0.886 s . The vehicle slid off the end of the bridge railing at about 1.326 s after impact. The brakes were applied and the vehicle subsequently came to rest $150 \mathrm{ft}(46$ m) downstream.

As can be seen in figure 49 , the railing received cosmetic damage and some scraping. There were tire marks on the face of the bridge railing and along the top. The top of the bridge railing was scraped along the remaining length from the lower edge of the bed of the truck (see figure 50). The vehicle was in contact with the bridge railing for 58 ft ( 18 $\mathrm{m})$.

The vehicle sustained extensive damage to the right side as shown in figure 51. Maximum crush at the right front corner at bumper height was 16.0 in ( 406 mm ). The right front wheel rim was bent and the tire damaged. The spring and spring shackle were broken loose from the axle and the axle torn loose on the left side. The steering gear box and steering cylinder were damaged. Also, the fuel tank broke loose from the truck.

## Test Results

Impact speed was $47.3 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(76.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 15.3 degrees. The exit speed was $34.5 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(55.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$. The effective coefficient of friction was calculated to be 0.09 . Occupant impact velocity was $11.7 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(3.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the longitudinal direction and $9.9 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(3.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the lateral direction. The highest $0.010-\mathrm{s}$ occupant ridedown accelerations were -2.7 g (longitudinal) and 6.8 g (lateral). These data and other pertinent information from the test are summarized in figure 52 and table 6. Sequential photographs are shown in figures 53 and 54. Vehicle angular displacements are displayed in figure 55. Vehicular accelerations versus time traces filtered with SAE J211 filters are
presented in figures 56 through 62. These data were further analyzed to obtain 0.050 -s average accelerations versus time. A 0.050-s interval immediately prior to impact was averaged to establish zero acceleration. The data were then processed with a moving $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ average window with the first $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ average plotted at 0.026 s . The maximum $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ averages were -2.0 g (longitudinal) and 2.9 g (lateral).

## Conclusions

The 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing contained and smoothly redirected the vehicle with no lateral movement of the bridge railing. There were no debris or detached elements. There was no intrusion into the occupant compartment. The vehicle trajectory at loss of contact indicated minimum intrusion into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle remained upright and marginally stable during the entire test period. See table 6 for a more detailed description.


Figure 45 . Vehicle before test 7069-9.


Figure 46. Vehicle/bridge railing geometrics for test 7069-9.


Figure 47. 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing before test 7069-9.


Figure 48. Vehicle properties for test 7069-9.


Figure 49. 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing after test 7069-9.


Figure 50. Damage to top of bridge railing and to lower edge truck bed.


Figure 51. Vehicle after test 7069-9.


( $1 \mathrm{in}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ )

Test No. . . . . . . . . 7069-9
Date . . . . . . . . . . 2/23/88
Test Installation . . . 32-in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-Shape
Bridge Railing
Installation Length. . . $100 \mathrm{ft}(30 \mathrm{~m})$
Vehicle
1982 Ford
Single-Unit Truck
Vehicle Weight
Empty Weight . . . . . 13,050 1b (6,288 kg)
Test Inertia . . . . . 18,050 lb ( $8,195 \mathrm{~kg}$ )
Maximum Vehicle Crush . 16.0 in ( 406 mm )

Impact Speed. . . $47.3 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(76.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Impact Angle. . . 15.3 deg
Exit Speed. . . . $34.5 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(55.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Exit Trajectory . 2.0 deg
Vehicle Accelerations
(Max. 0.050-sec Avg)
Longitudinal. . -2.0 g
Lateral . . . . 2.9 g
Occupant Impact Velocity
Longitudinal. . $11.7 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(3.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Lateral . . . . $9.9 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(3.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Occupant Ridedown Accelerations
Longitudinal. . -2.7 g
Lateral . . . . 6.8 g

Figure 52. Summary of results for test 7069-9.

Table 6. Evaluation of crash test no. 7069-9.
$\{32 \mathrm{in}(81 \mathrm{~cm})$ F-shape Bridge Railing [18,050 $1 \mathrm{~b}(8195 \mathrm{~kg})|47.3 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(76.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 15.3$ degrees]\}
$\qquad$
CRITERIA
A. Must contain vehicle
B. Debris shall not penetrate passenger compartment
C. Passenger compartment must have essentially no deformation
D. Vehicle must remain upright
E. Must smoothly redirect the vehicle
F. Effective coefficient of friction
a゙

| $\mu$ | Assessment |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0-. 25 | Good |
| . $26-.35$ | Fair |
| > . 35 | Marginal |

$\qquad$
TEST RESULTS
PASS/FAIL
Vehicle was contained
Pass
No debris penetrated passenger Pass
compartment
Acceptable deformation
Pass

Vehicle remained upright
Pass
Vehicle was smoothly redirected Pass
$\frac{\mu}{.09} \quad \frac{\text { Assessment }}{\text { Good }}$
Pass
G. Shall be less than

| Occupant Impact Velocity $-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitudinal | Lateral |
| $30(9.2)$ | $25(7.6)$ |

$\frac{\text { Occupant Ridedown Accelerations - } \mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}}{\text { Longitudinal }}$
15
15
H. Exit angle shall be less than 12 degrees
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { Occupant Impact } & \text { Velocity }-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}) \\ \text { Longitudinal } & \text { Lateral } \\ 11.7(3.6) & 9.9(3.0)\end{array}$
$\frac{\text { Occupant Ridedown Accelerations - } \mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}}{\text { Longitudinal }}$
-2.7
6.8
about 2 degrees

* $A, B, C, D$ are required. $E, F$, and $H$ are desired. $G$ is not applicable for this test. (See table 5)


Figure 53. Sequential photographs for test 7069-9.


Figure 53. Sequential photographs for test 7069-9 (continued).


Figure 54. Perpendicular sequential photographs for test 7069-9.


Figure 55. Vehicle angular displacements for test 7069-9.

CRASH TEST 7069-9
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 56. Longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-9 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-9
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 57. Lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-9
(accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-9
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 58. Vertical accelerometer trace for test 7069-9 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-9
Accelerometer at front of vehicle


Figure 59. Longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-9
(accelerometer located at front of vehicle).

CRASH TEST 7069-9
Accelerometer at front of vehicle


Figure 60. Lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-9
(accelerometer located at front of vehicle).

## CRASH TEST 7069-9

## Accelerometer at rear of vehicle



Figure 61. Longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-9 (accelerometer located at rear of vehicle).

CRASH TEST 7069-9
Accelerometer at rear of vehicle


Figure 62. Lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-9 (accelerometer located at rear of vehicle).

## TEST 7069-11

## Test Description

The 1982 Ford 7000 single-unit truck (figures 63 and 64) was directed into the 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) F-shape bridge railing (figure 65 ) using a remote control guidance system. The empty weight of the vehicle was $18,000 \mathrm{lb}(8172 \mathrm{~kg})$ and its test inertia weight was 18,000 $\mathrm{lb}(8172 \mathrm{~kg})$. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was $18.75 \mathrm{in}(476 \mathrm{~mm})$ and it was 28.75 in ( 730 mm ) to the top of the bumper. Other dimensions and information on the test vehicle are given in figures 66 and 67. The vehicle was free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

The speed of the vehicle at impact was $52.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(83.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 14.8 degrees. The vehicle impacted the bridge railing at midlength. At approximately 0.022 s after impact the right front wheel began to ride up the face of the bridge railing, and at 0.132 s the left front tire began to leave the ground. The vehicle began to redirect at 0.144 s as the rear end began to slide toward the bridge railing. At 0.240 s the left rear wheels left the ground, and at 0.350 s the rear of the vehicle slapped the bridge railing. By approximately 0.524 s the vehicle became parallel with the railing and was continuing to roll to the right. As the vehicle continued along the railing, the lower edge of the bed rode along the top of the railing. A maximum roll angle of 31 degrees was achieved at about 0.683 s . The vehicle slid off the end of the bridge railing at approximately 1.346 s after impact. The brakes were applied and the vehicle subsequently came to rest 231 $\mathrm{ft}(70 \mathrm{~m})$ downstream.

As can be seen in figure 68, the railing received cosmetic damage and some scraping. There were tire marks on the face of the bridge railing and along the top. The top of the bridge railing was scraped along the remaining length from the lower edge of the bed of the truck. The vehicle was in contact with the bridge railing for $39 \mathrm{ft}(12 \mathrm{~m})$.

The vehicle sustained extensive damage to the right side as shown in figure 69. Maximum crush at the right front corner at bumper height was 20.0 ( 508 mm ). The front axle was torn loose which caused damage to the springs, shackles, U-bolts, and tie rods. The steering arm and cylinder were damaged and the oil pan was dented. Also, the fuel tank broke loose from the truck.

## Test Results

Impact speed was $52.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(83.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ and the angle of impact was 14.8 degrees. The exit speed was not available. Exit angle was about 0 degrees. The effective coefficient of friction was calculated to be 0.12 . Occupant impact velocity was $5.7 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(1.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the longitudinal direction and $8.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in the lateral direction. The highest $0.010-\mathrm{s}$ occupant ridedown accelerations were 1.3 g (longitudinal) and 5.4 g (lateral). These data and other pertinent information from the test are summarized in figure 70 and table 7. Sequential photographs are shown in figures 71 and 72 . Vehicular angular displacements are displayed in figure 73. Vehicle accelerations versus time traces filtered with SAE J211
filters are presented in figures 74 through 80 . These data were further analyzed to obtain $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ average accelerations versus time. The maximum $0.050-\mathrm{s}$ averages were -1.4 g (longitudinal) and 3.9 g (lateral).

## Conclusions

The 32 -in $(813-\mathrm{mm}) \mathrm{F}$-shape bridge railing contained and smoothly redirected the vehicle with no lateral movement of the bridge railing. There were no debris or detached elements. There was no intrusion into the occupant compartment. The vehicle trajectory at loss of contact indicated minimum intrusion into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle remained upright and marginally stable during the entire test period. See table 7 for a more detailed description.


Figure 63. Vehicle before test 7069-11.


Figure 64. Vehicle/bridge railing geometrics for test 7069-11.


Figure 65. 32-in (813-mm) F-shape bridge railing before test 7069-11.


Figure 66. Vehicle properties for test 7069-11.


Figure 67. Accelerometer locations for test 7069-11.


Figure 68 . 32 -in ( $813-\mathrm{mm}$ ) bridge railing after test 7069-11.


Figure 69. Vehicle after test 7069-11.


| Test No. Date | $\begin{aligned} & 7069-11 \\ & 3 / 30 / 88 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Test Installation | $\begin{aligned} & 32-\mathrm{in}(813-\mathrm{mm}) \text { F-Shape } \\ & \text { Bridge Railing } \end{aligned}$ |
| Installation Length | 100 ft ( 30 m ) |
| Vehicle | 1982 Ford 7000 Single-Unit Truck |
| Vehicle Weight |  |
| Empty Weight | 13,530 1b ( $6,145 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) |
| Test Inertia | $18,000 \mathrm{lb}(8,172 \mathrm{~kg})$ |
| Maximum Vehicle Crush | 20.0 in ( 508 mm ) |

Impact Speed. . . . $52.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(83.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Impact Angle. . . . 14.8 deg
Exit Speed . . . . Not Available
Exit Trajectory . . 0 deg
Vehicle Accelerations
(Max. 0.050-sec Avg)
Longitudinal. . . -1.4 g
Lateral . . . . . 3.9 g
Occupant Impact Velocity
Longitudinal. . . $5.7 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(1.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Lateral . . . . . $8.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Occupant Ridedown Accelerations
Longitudinal. . . 1.3 g
Lateral . . . . . 5.4 g

Figure 70. Summary of results for test 7069-11.

Table 7. Evaluation of crash test no. 7069-11.
$\{32-\mathrm{in}(81 \mathrm{~cm})$ F-Shape Bridge Railing [18,000 $1 \mathrm{~b}(8172 \mathrm{~kg})|52.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(83.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})| 14.8$ degrees]\}
$\qquad$
CRITERIA $\qquad$
A. Must contain vehicle
B. Debris shall not penetrate passenger compartment
C. Passenger compartment must have essentially no deformation
D. Vehicle must remain upright
E. Must smoothly redirect the vehicle
F. Effective coefficient of friction

| TEST RESULTS | PASS/FAIL* |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle was contained | Pass |
| No debris penetrated passenger compartment | Pass |
| Acceptable information | Pass |
| Vehicle remained upright | Pass |
| Vehicle was smoothly redirected | Pass |
| $\frac{\mu}{.12} \quad \frac{\text { Assessment }}{\text { Good }}$ | Pass |
| Occupant Impact Velocity - $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | N/A |
| Longitudinal Lateral <br> $5.7(1.7)$ $8.2(2.5)$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Occupant Ridedown } & \text { Accelerations }-\mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \\ \text { Longitudina } 1 & \text { Lateral } \\ 1.3 & 5.4 \end{array}$ | N/A |
| about 0 degrees | Pass |

* $A, B, C$, and $D$ are required. $E, F$, and $H$ are desired. $G$ is not applicable for this test. (See table 5)


Figure 71. Sequential photographs for test 7069-11.


Figure 71. Sequential photographs for test 7069-11 (continued).


Figure 72. Perpendicular sequential photographs for test 7069-11.


Figure 73. Vehicle angular displacements for test 7069-11.

## CRASH TEST 7069-11

Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 74. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-11 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

## CRASH TEST 7069-11

Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 75. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-11 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-11
Accelerometer near center-of-gravity


Figure 76. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 7069-11 (accelerometer located near center-of-gravity).

CRASH TEST 7069-11
Accelerometer at front of vehicle


Figure 77. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-11 (accelerometer located at front of vehicle).

CRASH TEST 7069-11
Accelerometer at front of vehicle


Figure 78. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-11 (accelerometer located at front of vehicle).

CRASH TEST 7069-11
Accelerometer at rear of vehicle


Figure 79. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 7069-11 (accelerometer located at rear of vehicle).

CRASH TEST 7069-11
Accelerometer at rear of vehicle


Figure 80. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 7069-11 (accelerometer located at rear of vehicle).

## 4. STRENGTH CALCULATIONS

Analysis of strength of the railing is based on yieldline failure pattern and equations shown in figure 72 . Force from a colliding vehicle is idealized as being a uniformly distributed line load extending over $3.5 \mathrm{ft}(1.07 \mathrm{~m})$. The load may be applied at any location along the railing. Length of the failure pattern depends on the relative bending moment capacities of various elements of the railing. The computed cantilever moment capacity of parapet, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$, is $10.6 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}(47.2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$ and the moment capacity about a vertical axis, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}$, is $4.89 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}(21.8 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$. The additional moment capacity of the stiffening beam along the top of the parapet, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}}$, is $6.8 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{kips}(9.2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{kN})$. These values result in a length of yieldline pattern of $8.3 \mathrm{ft}(211 \mathrm{~mm})$ and the strength of the parapet is $59.1 \mathrm{kips}(263 \mathrm{kN})$ located at the top of the parapet.


$$
L=\frac{\ell}{2}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{8 H\left(M_{b}+M_{w} H\right)}{M_{c}}}
$$

$$
(w \ell)_{u t t}=\frac{8 M_{b}}{L-\frac{l}{2}}+\frac{8 M_{w} H}{L-\frac{l}{2}}+\frac{M_{c} L^{2}}{H\left(L-\frac{l}{2}\right)}
$$

Figure 81. Yieldline failure pattern for concrete parapet.
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