

**Report No. FHWA-RD-77-158**

**RUNOFF ESTIMATES FOR SMALL RURAL WATERSHEDS  
AND DEVELOPMENT OF A SOUND DESIGN METHOD**

**Vol. I Research Report**



**October 1977  
Final Report**

Document is available to the public through  
the National Technical Information Service,  
Springfield, Virginia 22161

**Prepared for  
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION  
Offices of Research & Development  
Washington, D. C. 20590**

## FOREWORD

This report is composed of three volumes: Volume I is the Research Report; Volume II consists of recommendations for establishing design manuals and Appendices B, C, D, E, F, G, and H, which are the design aids required for establishing design manuals; Volume III consists of Appendix A, an accumulation of the data base used in the study. FHWA chose to arrange the report as described to facilitate distribution of the results. The methods reported herein and designated as the Federal Highway Administration Methods are designed to be applied to watersheds smaller than 50 square miles but may be used on areas up to 100 square miles in size.

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

Sufficient copies of Volumes I and II will be distributed to provide a minimum of one copy to each FHWA Regional office, FHWA Division office and State Highway Agency. Volume III will be distributed only upon special request since it will be of interest primarily to individuals wishing to verify equations or develop new equations. Direct distribution is being made to the Division offices.



Charles F. Schaffey

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. The contents of this report reflect the views of the contractor, who is responsible for the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein only because they are considered essential to the object of this document.

NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED  
FROM THE BEST COPY FURNISHED US BY  
THE SPONSORING AGENCY. ALTHOUGH IT  
IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS  
ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED  
IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE  
AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE.

ii-a



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Introduction . . . . .</b>	1
Problem statement . . . . .	1
Objective . . . . .	1
Statement of work . . . . .	1
<b>Summary of Potter's Method . . . . .</b>	2
Introduction . . . . .	2
Procedure . . . . .	2
<b>PHASE I Verify, Update, and Extend Potter's Method . . . . .</b>	4
Parameters and measurement . . . . .	4
<b>Improve Potter's Method with Additional Years of Data . . . . .</b>	24
Determination of 10-year flood peak . . . . .	24
Other return periods . . . . .	24
Effects of record length on interrelationships among parameters . . . . .	25
Map scale effects . . . . .	25
<b>Extend Potter's Method to Additional Areas in States Sampled     by Potter . . . . .</b>	27
Location of Potter and new watersheds . . . . .	27
Watershed parameters . . . . .	37
Deviation of the modified Potter method curves for testing the new data . . . . .	37
Estimation of 10-year peak flow from Potter type curves . . . . .	37
<b>PHASE II Refinement of Potter's Method and Other Improved     Methods . . . . .</b>	45
Flood frequency studies . . . . .	45
<b>Extend the Potter Type Procedures . . . . .</b>	60
Selection and location of watershed samples . . . . .	60

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
<b>Parameters Investigated . . . . .</b>	<b>60</b>
Area . . . . .	60
Snow . . . . .	65
Storage . . . . .	65
Slope parameter . . . . .	65
Precipitation parameters . . . . .	65
Drainage density parameters . . . . .	66
Shape factors . . . . .	67
Zonation . . . . .	67
<b>New Methods . . . . .</b>	<b>71</b>
Methods test . . . . .	94
Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	94
<b>PHASE III Field Visits and Evaluation . . . . .</b>	<b>97</b>
Questions . . . . .	97
Results . . . . .	98
<b>PHASE IV Evaluation and Analysis . . . . .</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>REFERENCES CITED . . . . .</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>CROSS REFERENCES TO APPENDICES . . . . .</b>	<b>106</b>
Appendix A . . . . .	107
Appendix B . . . . .	107
Appendix C . . . . .	107
Appendix D . . . . .	107
Appendix E . . . . .	108
Appendix F . . . . .	108
Appendix G . . . . .	108
Appendix H . . . . .	108

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1 Upper and lower frequency curves for Potter (1961) Zone I watershed No. 19 . . . . .	10
2 Upper and lower frequency curves for Potter (1961) Zone I watershed No. 10 . . . . .	11
3 The relationship between 10-year peak flow and area for Potter Zone I, Group 1, watersheds obtained by regression analysis from Potter data and USU data and the graphical correlation curve for $\hat{q}_{10(AT)}$ reported by Potter that goes through the mean of the data . . . . .	13
4 The relationship between 10-year peak flow and area for Potter Zone II, Group 1, watersheds obtained by regression analysis from Potter data, USU data and the graphical correlation curve for $\hat{q}_{10(AT)}$ reported by Potter that goes through the mean of the data . . . . .	14
5 The relationship between 10-year peak flow and area for Potter Zone III, Group 1, watersheds obtained by regression analysis from Potter data, USU data and the graphical correlation curve for $\hat{q}_{10(AT)}$ reported by Potter that goes through the mean of the data . . . . .	15
6 The relationship between 10-year peak flow and area for Potter Zone IV, Group 1, watersheds obtained by regression analysis from Potter data, USU data and the graphical correlation curve for $\hat{q}_{10(AT)}$ reported by Potter that goes through the mean of the data . . . . .	16
7 Comparison between the various regression equations relating the 10-year peak flow and area obtained from the USU data and the Potter data for all 96 Potter watersheds . . . . .	17
8 Map of the problem areas in soil conservation . . . . .	19
9 The K correction curve . . . . .	22
10 The distribution of record lengths on the Potter watersheds in the "to date" sample . . . . .	26
11 The relationship of L values measured on 1:24,000 maps to those measured on 1:250,000 maps . . . . .	28

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure	Page
12 The relationship of DD from 1:24,000 scale maps to DD from the 1:250,000 scale maps . . . . .	29
13 The relationship of Potter's T to $L/\sqrt{s}$ for the Potter watersheds . . . . .	30
14 The location of the 270 sample watersheds in the States considered by Potter . . . . .	31
15 The relationship of the 10-year peak flow to area for Potter watersheds using USU data . . . . .	39
16 The relationship of the y deviations, $\Delta y_1$ , from each line from Figure 9 to its topographic factor, T . . . . .	40
17 The relationship of the y deviations, $\Delta y_2$ , from Figure 10 to its $P_{60}$ value . . . . .	41
18 Correction curves, K, for Potter States to be used with Figures 15, 16, and 17 . . . . .	42
19 Mass error curves for 25 sample watersheds located in the Potter States . . . . .	44
20 Maximum error distribution in the 10-year storm prediction (G) . .	47
21 Maximum error distribution in the 50-year storm prediction . .	48
22 Maximum error distributions in the 100-year storm prediction . .	49
23 Maximum error distributions in the 10-year storm prediction (Log G).50	50
24 Maximum error distributions in the 50-year storm prediction . .	51
25 Maximum error distributions in the 100-year storm prediction . .	52
26 Maximum error distribution in the 10-year storm prediction (Log N).53	53
27 Maximum error distribution in the 50-year storm prediction . .	54
28 Maximum error distribution in the 100-year storm prediction . .	55
29 Relationship of $q_{10}$ to $q_{50}$ in the Potter States . . . . .	57

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure		Page
30	The relationships of $q_{10}$ to the peaks at other frequencies for all of the United States and Puerto Rico . . . . .	58
31	The probable maximum runoff peaks in the United States and Puerto Rico . . . . .	59
32	Location of all sample watersheds in the Continental United States . . . . .	61
33	Location of sample watersheds in Alaska . . . . .	62
34	Location of sample watersheds in Hawaii . . . . .	63
35	Location of sample watersheds in Puerto Rico . . . . .	64
36	The relationship between percentage of watershed area covered by lakes, ponds, swamps, playas, etc. to the multiplier required to correct a peak runoff estimate for storage . . . . .	66
37	The relation of LL measured on a 1:250,000 scale map to that derived from a 1:24,000 scale map . . . . .	68
38	USU hydrophysiographic zones for the contiguous United States as determined by an analysis of the physiographic sections defined by Fenneman and Johnson (ref. 7) . . . . .	70
39	The probable maximum runoff peaks and envelope curve for different sized areas in the United States and Puerto Rico . . . . .	92
40	The relationship of percent of maximum stage to the percent of damage at maximum stage . . . . .	100
41	The chance of equaling or exceeding a flood peak for a given return period in periods of 2, 10, 25, 50, and 100 years . . . . .	102
42	Comparison of a randomly generated runoff peak series and a measured series for USGS watershed 06658500, Laramie River near Jelm, Wyoming . . . . .	103

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Data for Potter watersheds . . . . .	5
2	Significance of $t$ values for differences between peak flows per unit area and pairs of problem areas . . . . .	20
3	Equations relating 10 year peak flow, $q_{10}$ , to area, A, topographic factor, T, and the 10 year-60 minute precipitation, $P_{60}$ , derived from Potter Group 1 data . . . . .	21
4	Comparison of 10-year runoff peaks and estimates (Potter methods) . . . . .	23
5	Correlation coefficients, $r$ , for various frequencies and record lengths . . . . .	25
6	Correlation coefficients, $r$ , for watershed parameters with 10-year peak flows, $q_{10}$ , derived from watershed records through 1958 and with additional years to date . . . . .	27
7	Data on additional watersheds in Potter States . . . . .	32
8	Equations relating 10 year runoff peak, $q_{10}$ , to area, A, topographic factor, T, and 10 year 60 minute precipitation, $P_{60}$ , derived from all Potter watersheds using USU data . . . . .	38
9	A selected sample of watersheds from Potter's states used to test the areal extension of Potter's method to other watersheds in the original states . . . . .	43
10	Properties of watersheds used in the study by Utah State University arranged by hydrophysiographic zones . . . . .	72
11	Summary of the prediction errors associated with estimating 10-year peak runoff from the various regression equations given in Appendix H . . . . .	95
12	Comparison of 10-year peak flow estimates by the SCS method and four USU methods . . . . .	96

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Area of watershed acres, 1000's of acres or square miles.
L'	The length of the principal stream channel from the proposed crossing to the end of the solid or broken blue line shown on the USGS map defining Potter's principal stream channel. L' is in miles.
T	Topographic factor of Potter. L' is in miles.
	$T = \frac{(0.7L')^{1.5}}{(E_2 - E_1)^{1/2}} + \frac{(0.3L')^{1.5}}{(E_3 - E_2)^{1/2}}$
P <sub>60</sub>	Precipitation factor. This is the 10-year 60-minute rainfall at the centroid of the drainage area. Inches.
DD	Drainage density. This is the total length of all channels in blue on the topographic map, or the LL of the watershed, in miles, divided by the area, A, in thousands of acres. Miles/1000 acres.
C factor	Potter's correction factor. It is the number by which a 10-year peak estimated from area, topographic factor, and precipitation factor must be multiplied to obtain the correct estimate of the 10-year peak flow or $\hat{q}_{10}/\hat{q}_{10}(\text{ATP})$ as derived from $T/\hat{T}_{AP}$ .
q <sub>10</sub>	The 10-year flood peak flow, cubic feet per second or thousands of cubic feet per second. Other subscripts indicate other return periods.
$\bar{q}_{10}$	Average of the measured 10-year peak flow. In general, the bar over a symbol denotes an average or mean value.
$\hat{q}_{10}$	Estimated 10-year peak flow.
$\hat{q}_{10}(A)$	Estimated 10-year peak flow based on area A. In general, the symbols enclosed in the subscript parentheses denote the independent variables used in obtaining the estimate.
r	Simple correlation coefficient.
t	"Students" t for testing the level of probability of differences.
e	Potter's error percentage = $\left( \frac{\hat{T}_{AP} - T}{\hat{T}_{AP}} \right) 100$ .
Mcfs	Thousands of cubic feet per second.

a Acres.

Mi Mile.

ft Foot or feet.

in Inch.

$\Delta H$  or DH Difference in elevation between the top of a watershed and the gaging site--feet.

L Length in miles up the principal channel from the proposed crossing to the upper watershed boundary.

LL The total length in miles of all stream channels within a watershed. On a USGS map all channels show in solid or dashed blue lines.

s Slope gradient, feet/100 feet.

S Storage, percent of the area of a watershed occupied by storages such as swamps, lakes, and playas.

ARS Agricultural Research Service.

SCS Soil Conservation Service.

USGS U.S. Geological Survey.

B.P.R. Bureau of Public Roads--Federal Highway Administration.

FHWA Federal Highway Administration.

$E_1$  Elevation at culvert site. Feet above reference datum.

$E_2$  Elevation at a point 0.7L upstream from culvert site. Feet above reference datum.

$E_3$  Elevation at the most remote point on the watershed opposite the upper end of the main channel. Feet above reference datum.

$\hat{q}_{10(AP)}$   $\hat{q}_{10}$  estimated utilizing A and P.

$\hat{q}_{10(ATP)}$   $\hat{q}_{10}$  estimated utilizing A, T, and P.

$\hat{T}_{(AP)}$   $\hat{T}$  estimated from A and P.

$\hat{q}_{10(ATPC)}$   $\hat{q}_{10}$  estimated utilizing A, T, P, and C.

$\hat{q}_{10(ATPK)}$   $\hat{q}_{10}$  estimated utilizing A, T, P, and K.

$s_1$  Slope of channel segment from culvert site upstream a distance of 0.7L. Feet/mile.  
 $s_2$  Slope of channel segment from the watershed boundary downstream a distance of 0.3L. Feet/mile.  
 R The mean annual rainfall kinetic energy times the maximum respective 30-minute annual maximum rainfall.

K USU correction factor. It is the number by which a 10-year peak estimated from area, topographic factor, and precipitation factor must be multiplied to obtain the correct estimate of the 10-year peak flow or  $q_{10}/\hat{q}_{10}(\text{ATP})$ .

$x$  Independent variables in regression or graphical correlation.

$y$  Dependent variable in regression or graphical correlation.

$PS_e$  The standard error of a regression equation in its linear form as a percent of the mean value of the measured dependent variable in its linear form. For most of the regression equations derived in this report, the  $\log_{10}$  transformation was used, therefore

$$PS_e = \frac{100}{\log_{10}\bar{y}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum (\log_{10}y - \log_{10}\hat{y})^2}{df}}$$

$PS_{EE}$  The standard error of a point estimate made from any estimating equation as a percentage of the mean value of the measured dependent variable in its original untransformed state. For the equations derived in this report

$$S_{EE} = \frac{100}{\bar{y}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum (y - \hat{y})^2}{n - 2}}$$

n Number of observations.

df Degrees of freedom for hypothesis testing, in general,  $df = n - k - l$ .

k Is the number of independent variables used in an estimating equation.

DY or  $\Delta Y$  Residual difference between the observed value of a dependent variable and the corresponding computed or estimated value.

$L'_2$  Potter's length of lower reach of channel from culvert site elevation  $E_1$  to upstream elevation  $E_2$ ; a distance of 0.7 L' in miles.

$L'_3$  Potter's length of upper reach of channel from point elevation  $E_2$  to the end of the solid or broken blue line at elevation  $E_3$ ; a distance of 0.3 L' in miles.

## CONVERSION FACTORS

### English to International System

1 mile	= 1.609 kilometers (km)
1,000 cubic feet per second (cfs)	= 28.32 cubic meters/second ( $m^3/s$ )
1 foot	= 0.3048 meters (m)
1 acre (a)	= 0.4047 hectares (ha) or 4047 square meters ( $m^2$ )
1 square mile ( $mi^2$ )	= 640 acres = 2,590 square kilometers ( $km^2$ ) = 259 ha
1 cubic foot	= 0.02832 cubic meters ( $m^3$ )
1 acre-foot	= 1,233 cubic meters = 0.01028 hectare meters (ha-m)
1 ton/acre/year	= 2.24 tonnes/hectare/year (tonnes (t)/ha/yr)
inches (in)	= 25.4 millimeters (mm) = 2.54 centimeters (cm)

VOLUME I: RESEARCH REPORT

RUNOFF ESTIMATES FOR SMALL RURAL WATERSHEDS AND  
DEVELOPMENT OF A SOUND DESIGN METHOD

by

Joel E. Fletcher, A. Leon Huber,  
Frank W. Haws, and Calvin G. Clyde

Introduction

Problem statement

A basic consideration in the design of bridges and culverts is the estimation of the rate of runoff expected during peak flow periods. Watershed area alone does not account for the wide variation in peak rates of runoff found among watersheds. Area alone is insufficient even within homogeneous physiographic areas. The addition of other indices such as precipitation, topography, etc., is needed to reduce the unexplained variation in the magnitude of peak rates of runoff to workable limits. However, this technique does not always explain the large discrepancies between the estimated and measured peaks that sometimes occur.

Potter (ref. 13) realized that a design engineer cannot always wait for streamflow measurements. He used watershed data at hand and developed the method commonly known as the "Bureau of Public Roads Method" or simply Potter's method. This method is the subject of the present investigation.

Objective

The objective of this study is to improve the accuracy of Potter's method using more recent data while retaining its basic simplicity and independence from complicated computational aides.

Statement of work

Work conducted in the present investigation proceeded as follows:

1. Verify, update, and extend Potter's method.
2. Refine Potter's method and other methods.
3. Field visits and evaluation.
4. Evaluation and analysis.

## Summary of Potter's Method

### Introduction

The method proposed by Potter (ref. 13) depends on a graphical correlation between the logs of the 10-year peak flow and the logs of the area, a topographic factor, a precipitation factor, and a correction factor largely representing the drainage density. The procedure begins with an estimate of the 10-year peak,  $q_{10}(\text{ATP})$ , based on the area, a topographic factor, T, and a precipitation factor, P. A built-in check using the topographic factor, T, estimates an approximate error at this point. If the error is greater than 30 percent, the correction factor, C, is utilized. Prior to any computations the unknown watershed is classified from a physiographic map into a zone depending on and reflecting its climatic and geologic properties.

### Procedure

1. Zone. Locate the desired crossing on a United States Geological Survey (USGS)  $7\frac{1}{2}$  minute quadrangle topographic map. Carefully outline the watershed boundaries leading to the location of the desired culvert. By eye locate the center of mass of the enclosed area and record its latitude and longitude. With this location enter Potter's zone map and read the proper zone.

2. Area. Carefully measure the watershed area outlined in No. 1. This may be done by planimetering, digitizing, or counting the intersections or dots on a dense grid. The area is expressed in thousands of acres.

3. Topographic factor, T. On the topographic map, carefully measure the distance up the main stream channel to its most remote point shown as either a solid or broken blue line. This distance is expressed in miles and designated as  $L'$ . Record the elevation,  $E_1$ , at the culvert site. At a point 0.7 L' upstream from the culvert site again read the elevation. This is elevation  $E_2$ . Lastly determine the elevation of the most remote point on  $L'$ . This elevation is  $E_3$ . From these elevations  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ , and  $E_3$  and distances  $L'_2$  and  $L'_3$  the topographic factor, T is calculated as follows:

$$T = 0.7L'/(s_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 0.3L'/(s_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \quad (1)$$

where  $0.7L' = L'_2$  and  $0.3L' = L'_3$

or 
$$T = \frac{(0.7L')^{1.5}}{(E_2 - E_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(0.3L')^{1.5}}{(E_3 - E_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \quad (2)$$

4. Precipitation factor. Utilizing the location of the centroid of the watershed to the nearest second, locate this centroid on the 1-hour 10-year rainfall map and read the precipitation to the nearest 0.01 inch. This value is recorded as the precipitation factor,  $P_{60}$ .

5. Drainage density. Measure the total length of all drainage channels shown as blue lines on the watershed map. This length in miles is called LL. Drainage density, DD, is computed by dividing this length by the watershed area in thousands of acres. The units of DD are miles per thousand acres.

6. "C" factor. Potter (ref. 13) constructed correction factor curves by plotting the logs of the ratio,  $q_{10}/\hat{q}_{10}(\text{ATP})$ , against the logs of the ratio,  $T/\hat{T}_{\text{AP}}$ . After he had obtained the curves, he called the values of the ratio  $q_{10}/\hat{q}_{10}(\text{ATP})$ , read from the curves "C." Thus "C" is the value the estimated  $\hat{q}_{10}(\text{ATP})$  must be multiplied by to obtain  $\hat{q}_{10}(\text{ATPC})$ .

7. Potter's flood frequency. Potter (ref. 12) developed what can be termed an upper and lower frequency curve method for extrapolating short records to determine the less frequent flood peaks. In Potter's own words,

Frequency studies of the maximum annual peak rates of runoff were made for each watershed. In order to minimize the error that might result from the many short periods of runoff record, relations between peak rates of high and low frequency were used to obtain values of peaks that could be expected to be equalled or exceeded on an average of once in 10 years ( $q_{10}$ ) and once in 50 years ( $q_{50}$ ). It has been found that when the maximum annual peaks for these watersheds were plotted on extremal probability paper, they defined two straight line frequency curves. (Potter, ref. 12)

A high degree of correlation ( $r^2 > 0.9$ ) was found to exist between the 10-year peak as defined by the lower curve and the 10- and 50-year peaks as defined by the upper curve. Thus, it is only necessary to determine the  $q_{10}$  on the lower curve to estimate the true  $q_{10}$  or  $q_{50}$ . The lower curve is usually well defined by even short records.

8. Peak flow estimate. Enter the appropriate zone curves with the area, A, the topographic factor, T, and the precipitation factor, P, and read peak flow  $\hat{q}_{10}$ . This yields a value for  $\hat{q}_{10}(\text{ATP})$ . Now, enter the corresponding curves for the relation between T, A, and P and read a value for  $\hat{T}_{\text{AP}}$ . Express the difference between this value and the measured value of T as a percentage of error or

$$\text{error} = \frac{\hat{T}_{\text{AP}} - T}{\hat{T}_{\text{AP}}} \times 100$$

If this error is smaller than 30 percent, the estimate of  $q_{10}(\text{ATP})$  is considered to be an adequate estimate of the 10-year peak flow. If the error is greater than 30 percent, the estimate  $q_{10}(\text{ATP})$  must be multiplied by a "C" value obtained by entering the correction curve with  $T/T_{\text{AP}}$ .

#### 9. Errors and assumptions described by Potter.

a. Map scale. Potter (ref. 13) did not specify the scale of the USGS maps from which he determined the watershed parameters. He presumably preferred the 1/24,000 maps. From Potter's notes, however, it appears that four or five of his watersheds were located in areas where only 1/62,500 scale maps were available. He made no mention of any adjustments for map scale.

b. Area. Potter used the published USGS areas which are in square miles and converted them to thousands of acres by multiplying by the factor 0.640. He recognized that these areas are subject to periodic revision.

## PHASE I

### Verify, Update, and Extend Potter's Method

#### Parameters and measurement

1. Map scales. Only two map scales were encountered on the Potter watersheds, 1/24,000 and 1/62,500. No corrections were made for map scale until the section on areal extension of the Potter method. These effects will be discussed at that time.

2. Area. Potter's areas and those determined by Utah State University (USU) differ by only about 1 percent (1.08). Errors in area appear insignificant so no correction for map scale need be made. The major differences found were due to new and more accurate maps which actually indicated some differing watershed boundaries.

3. Operator differences. The differences between operators were small and non-significant so long as the same external controls were applied. In the most complex operation, that of determining the topographic factor, T, the differences, though larger, were still not significant as indicated by Student's t test (ref. 16). Both sets of topographic factors are given in Table 1 (p. 5-9) along with other data on the Potter watersheds. These data are basic to all additional studies in this paper.

4. Interrelationships among parameters. Potter (ref. 13) stated that he found a close correlation between drainage density, DD, and the topographic factor, T, and thus decided to discard DD in favor of T. The Utah State University data show a significant correlation between DD and T but there is sufficient residue to justify retention of DD. Furthermore, there are better interrelationships among all of the other parameters that Potter did retain than between DD and T.

5. Comparison between Potter's original curves and new curves using Potter's data. The values of the 10-year peak flow,  $q_{10}$ , were determined by USU as outlined by Potter (ref. 12). For example, Figure 1 (p. 10) shows the extraction of the  $q_{10}$  upper value for Potter Zone I Watershed 19. In this particular 16 years of record the values read from the upper and lower frequency curves are not materially different. The second example is for a shorter (10-year) record station, Figure 2 (p. 11). In this example, there is a sharp break upward because of the outlier at 0.99 thousand cubic feet per second (Mcfs).

The regression line for the relationship between Potter's 10-year peak flows,  $q_{10}$ , and the USU 10-year peak flows,  $q_{10}$ , has a one to one slope and an  $r^2$  value of 0.94 using 96 watersheds. The two series of peak flows are not significantly different as indicated by the t test.

The derivation of the curves for the relationships between the individual parameters and the 10-year peak flow can also be influenced by operator differences. The curves for the relationships between  $q_{10}$  and area were fitted graphically as Potter described plus a least squares fit in the ordinary

Table 1. Data for Potter watersheds.

SEQ #	POTTER #	ARS #	USER #	STATION NAME		LAT	LONG	USU AREA PT	AREA PTR	ZN PTR	PR PTR	JU PTR	JU PRR	10 PTR	B PTR	9 PTR	8 PTR	7 PTR	6 PTR	5 PTR	4 PTR	
				1	2																	
A 1	1-1	31-3		ARS PENNMORE WISCONSIN WATERSHED W-111		48-42	93-34	.883	0.882	1	C16	2,2	2.38	0.927	0.931							
A 2	1-2	31-4		ARS PENNMORE WISCONSIN WATERSHED W-112		48-43	93-34	0.171	0.171	1	C16	2,2	2.38	0.926	0.944							
A 3	1-3	31-5		ARS EDWARDVILLE ILLINOIS WATERSHED W-114		36-51	98-34	0.098	0.098	1	C16	2,5	2.37	0.927	0.957							
A 4	1-4	31-1		ARS PENNMORE WISCONSIN WATERSHED W-115		42-52	93-34	0.338	0.338	1	C16	2,2	2.38	0.896	0.955							
A 5	1-5	44-1		ARS HASTINGS NEBRASKA WATERSHED W-116		49-59	98-49	0.481	0.481	1	C16	2,4	2.46	0.895	0.955							
A 6	1-6	44-2		03455886 RALSTON CR AT TONA CITY IOWA		41-48	91-29	1.926	1.936	1	C16	2,2	2.23	0.470	0.647							
A 7	1-6	03247100 PATTERSON RUN NR QMENVILLE OHIO		39-87	94-90	2.149	2.149	1	616	2,0	1.97	0.772	1.064									
A 8	1-9	04198100 NORWALK CR NR NOMALKA OHIO		41-12	98-31	3.149	3.149	1	615	2,0	1.79	0.949	1.062									
A 9	1-10	04268100 PLUM CR AT OSELIN OHIO		41-13	98-16	3.881	3.881	1	615	1,9	1.74	1.840	1.265									
A 10	1-19	05565886 HICKORY CR AT DOVUM BAYN NEW YORK		48-37	88-57	6.464	6.464	1	C66	2,0	1.76	1.76	1.76									
A 11	1-28	01320000 BOND CR AT DUNHAM BAYN NEW YORK		43-25	73-34	9.486	9.416	1	615	1,9	1.76	0.906	1.444									
A 12	1-22	05416886 ET GLENNA R COUNCIL HILL ILLINOIS		42-20	98-17	10.864	10.866	1	C16	2,2	2.04	1.220	1.815									
A 13	1-22A	01561586 SAGE BROOK NR SOUTH NEW BRULY NEW YORK		42-32	73-26	.448	0.448	1	B4	1,7	1.78	0.845	0.877									
A 14	1-13A	03185886 CLEAN CR AT OILWORTH OHIO		41-27	98-41	.982	0.982	1	B15	1,9	1.76	0.191	0.260									
A 15	1-18B	01426886 COLD SPRING BROOK AT CHINA NEW YORK		42-18	78-24	.986	0.986	1	B16	1,7	1.74	0.138	0.890									
A 16	1-38	04210100 HOSKINS CR AT MANTOGROVE OHIO		41-30	81-86	3.270	4.446	1	B8	1,8	1.69	1.398	1.330									
A 17	1-39	03598886 ALBRIGHT CT AT EAST MANTOGROVE OHIO		42-32	70-97	4.384	4.53	1	B8	1,8	1.62	0.498	0.576									
A 18	1-41	03598886 WALNUT CR AT COURTLAND OHIO		41-21	88-42	6.486	6.83	1	B8	1,8	1.72	1.318	1.387									
A 19	1-42	03101000 SUGAR RUN AT PYMATUNING DAM PENNA		41-29	88-39	5.978	5.98	1	B16	1,9	1.68	0.935	1.104									
A 20	1-44	01336886 QUAKER CR AT FLORIDA NEW YORK		41-19	74-21	6.254	6.23	1	B2	1,9	2.03	0.797	0.717									
A 21	1-47	03368886 TERRY CLOVE KILL NR PEPACTION NEW YORK		41-12	81-69	6.784	6.92	1	B8	1,8	1.73	1.810	2.110									
A 22	1-49	03110100 LITTLE CHIPPEWA CR NR SHIMMIVILLE OHIO		49-32	81-47	16.490	6.96	1	B8	1,8	1.76	1.750	2.701									
A 23	1-50	01414586 TERRY CLOVE KILL NR PEPACTION NEW YORK		42-36	74-56	9.824	8.98	1	B8	1,8	1.66	0.552	0.520									
A 24	1-53	03368886 MILL CR NR BELIN OHIO		48-36	80-56	12.224	12.6	1	B8	1,8	1.73	2.388	1.172									
A 25	1-55	03092886 KALE CR NR PRICETON OHIO		41-03	81-83	14.816	13.4	1	B8	1,8	1.73	2.680	3.016									
A 26	1-56	04216886 LITTLE TONAWANDA CR AT LINDEN NEW YORK		42-53	78-10	14.144	14.1	1	B7	1,9	1.59	1.569	1.254									
A 27	1-58	01414586 MILL BROOK AT ARENA NEW YORK		42-63	74-39	16.886	16.86	1	B8	1,7	1.66	0.884	0.925									
A 28	2-1	03598886 ARS WACO RIEBEL TEX WATERSHED W-18		31-33	96-36	0.620	0.620	2	A6	3,1	3.01	0.021	0.012									
A 29	2-2	02180000 ARS COSHOCTON OHIO WATERSHED NO 168		48-26	84-90	.829	0.829	2	S15	1,8	1.79	0.014	0.012									
A 30	2-3	02180000 ARS MACONIEBEL TEX WATERSHED W-6		31-38	96-36	.842	0.842	2	A6	3,1	3.01	0.038	0.026									
A 31	2-4	02629000 ARS COHOCTON OHIO WATERSHED NO 183		40-33	84-96	.874	0.874	2	B15	1,8	1.79	0.032	0.031									
A 32	2-5	02626000 ARS COHOCTON OHIO WATERSHED NO 177		49-22	81-46	.874	0.874	2	B15	1,8	1.79	0.027	0.027									
A 33	2-6	02626000 ARS COHOCTON OHIO WATERSHED NO 18		49-24	81-46	.122	0.122	2	B15	1,8	1.79	0.024	0.026									
A 34	2-7	02626000 ARS WACO TEX (RIESEL) WATERSHED W-1		31-39	98-39	.138	0.138	2	A6	3,1	3.01	0.035	0.034									
A 35	2-8	02626000 ARS WACO TEX (RIESEL) WATERSHED W-1		39-20	98-46	.186	0.186	2	C4	1,8	1.97	0.850	0.907									
A 36	2-9	03274100 BLAKE RUN NR REILY OHIO																				
A 37	2-10	26-38		ARS COHOCTON OHIO WATERSHED NO 196		48-22	81-47	.383	0.383	2	B15	1,8	1.79	0.851	0.849							
A 38	2-11	26-32		ARS COHOCTON OHIO WATERSHED NO 5		48-24	81-46	.349	0.349	2	B15	1,7	1.79	0.861	0.824							
A 39	2-14	26-31		ARS MACO TEXAS WATERSHED C		21-36	98-36	.879	0.879	2	A6	3,1	3.01	0.201	0.277							
A 40	2-15	26-33		03108886 BELL CR AT MCCONNELLSVILLE OHIO		39-39	81-59	.686	0.686	2	B15	2,0	1.84	0.124	0.133							
A 41	2-16	26-33		ARS COHOCTON OHIO WATERSHED NO 92		48-24	81-46	.928	0.928	2	B15	1,8	1.79	0.862	0.846							
A 42	2-20	42-2		ARS MACO TEXAS WATERSHED D		31-36	98-36	1.116	1.116	2	A6	3,1	3.01	0.510	0.466							
A 43	2-21	26-34		03119886 JEPERSON CR NR JENNETT OHIO		48-23	81-46	1.528	1.528	2	B15	1,8	1.79	0.259	0.287							
A 44	2-22	26-34		03119886 BARNES RUN NR SUMMERFIELD OHIO		48-24	88-57	1.686	1.686	2	B15	1,8	1.78	0.377	0.325							
A 45	2-23	26-31		03145886 OTTER FORK NR CENTERBURG OHIO		49-19	88-43	2.829	2.829	2	C4	1,8	1.92	0.509	0.886							
A 46	2-24	26-33		03119886 BARNES RUN NR SUMMERFIELD OHIO		39-47	81-21	2.814	2.826	2	B15	2,1	1.83	0.391	0.389							
A 47	2-27	26-33		03230886 BARNES RUN NR SUMMERFIELD OHIO		39-61	88-39	2.496	2.558	2	B15	2,0	1.94	0.794	0.495							
A 48	2-28	26-35		03119886 COSHOCOTON OHIO WATERSHED NO 93		48-23	81-46	2.878	2.878	2	B15	1,8	1.79	0.495	0.416							

Table 1. Continued.

Table 1. Continued.

SEQ #	POTTER #	ARS #	WUGS #	STATION NAME	LAT	LONG	USU AREA PT AREA	PTR ZN PNR AREA	PTR PNR AREA	PTR USU T	USU T
A 40	2-20	-	03231600 E P PAINT CR NR SEDILLA OHIO	39-42	03-30	2.445	2.71	2	C4	1.0	1.738
A 58	2-36	-	03216000 SHAWNEE CR AT ZENIA OHIO	39-42	03-30	2.445	2.71	2	C4	1.0	0.875
A 51	2-31	-	04189100 TIDERIMI CR NR JEVERA OHIO	40-35	03-42	2.976	2.89	2	C4	1.0	1.496
A 52	2-32	-	04106000 ROLLER CREEK AT OHIO CITY OHIO	40-45	04-30	3.298	3.16	2	C4	1.0	1.256
A 53	2-33	-	03130500 TOBY RUN AT ZANSFIELD OHIO	40-45	02-34	3.462	3.31	2	C4	2.0	0.556
A 54	2-34	-	03263700 BRIDGE CR NR GREENVILLE OHIO	40-35	04-36	3.991	3.51	2	C4	1.0	1.120
A 55	2-35	-	03109000 LISBON CR AT LISON OHIO	40-45	00-41	3.962	3.09	2	B15	1.0	0.996
A 55	2-36	-	03206000 HODINY CR AT CIRCLEVILLE OHIO	39-35	02-53	3.622	4.02	2	C4	1.0	1.091
A 57	2-37	-	03266500 MAD RIVER AT ZANSFIELD OHIO	40-22	03-41	4.678	4.18	2	C4	1.0	0.577
A 58	2-40	26-36	ARS COHOCOM OHO WATERSHED NO 97	40-22	01-58	4.586	4.586	2	B15	1.0	0.882
A 59	2-43	-	03234100 INDIAN CR AT MASSIEVILLE OHIO	39-14	02-59	6.144	6.22	2	B15	1.0	0.712
A 60	2-45	-	03255000 SALT CR AT TARLTON OHIO	39-36	02-47	7.366	6.76	2	C4	1.0	0.749
A 61	2-46	-	03179000 TIGER RUN NR ZANESVILLE OHIO	39-57	02-56	6.784	6.784	2	B15	1.0	0.996
A 62	2-48	-	03288000 SCOTT BIG RUN AT BRIGGSDALE OHIO	39-57	03-07	7.048	7.94	2	C4	1.0	0.998
A 63	2-51	-	03119700 CONNOTON CR AT JEFFETT OHIO	40-22	08-58	9.152	9.92	2	B15	1.0	1.058
A 64	2-57	-	01552500 MUNCY CR NR JONESTON PENNA	41-23	76-20	15.232	15.2	2	B8	1.0	0.943
A 65	2-59	-	01103000 COUNCIL CR NR STILLWATER OHLA	36-00	00-54	19.848	19.8	2	024	2.0	2.186
A 66	3-1	13-2	ARS BLACKSBURG VA WATERSHED W-II	37-00	00-54	19.848	19.8	2	B15	1.0	0.816
A 67	3-2	-	07012600 BEHKE CR NR ROLLA MISSOURI	37-55	01-42	6.672	6.672	3	B28	2.0	2.35
A 68	3-3	-	01448500 DILLOHON CR NR KING DON PENNA	41-02	75-32	1.538	1.538	3	B8	1.0	0.246
A 69	3-4	-	01588000 SHACKHAM BROOK CR NR TRUXON NEW YORK	42-47	76-01	1.868	2.86	3	B8	1.0	0.227
A 70	3-6	-	01605000 SANITI RUN CR GLODTON MARYLAND	39-34	20-35	3.261	3.26	3	B15	1.0	0.194
A 71	3-7	-	06991500 LITTLE BEAVER CR NR ROLLA MISSOURI	37-57	01-49	4.188	4.188	3	B28	1.0	0.176
A 72	3-9	-	01624000 BELL CR AT FRANK HILL STAUNTON VA	38-11	79-86	6.144	6.14	3	B14	1.0	0.057
A 73	3-10	-	01671900 BAXON CR NR PENBROOK PENNA	40-20	76-58	7.168	7.17	3	B11	1.0	0.053
A 74	3-12	-	01573200 MANADA CR NR ROLLA MISSOURI	37-51	01-46	6.966	8.96	3	B28	2.0	2.39
A 75	3-13	-	06991000 BEAVER CR NR ROLLA MISSOURI	37-51	01-46	6.966	8.96	3	B28	2.0	0.913
A 76	3-14	-	03277500 BEAVER CR NR WALLACE VA	36-41	02-00	8.786	8.77	3	B14	1.0	0.723
A 77	3-17	-	01612500 LITTLE TONOWAH CR NR HANCOCK MARYLAND	39-43	78-18	10.616	10.6	3	B8	1.0	0.382
A 78	3-18	-	033607500 SF LITTLE BARREN RIVER AT EDMONTON KY	36-56	05-34	11.712	11.6	3	B17	2.0	2.158
A 79	3-19	-	03223000 MF BEARGRASS CR AT LOUISVILLE KY	38-14	00-35	12.898	11.8	3	B16	1.0	2.398
A 80	3-20	-	01605000 BEARGRASS CR AT LOUISVILLE KY	38-12	00-48	11.988	11.8	3	B16	1.0	1.147
A 81	3-21	-	07015000 SF BEARBEAU CR NR ST JAMES MO	38-08	01-48	13.832	13.8	3	B16	1.0	0.817
A 82	3-22	-	01600000 NORTH RIVER NR STONEVILLE VA	38-23	79-17	11.972	15.00	3	B14	1.0	0.779
A 83	4-2	-	01397500 WALNUT BRNR LEXINGTON NEW JERSEY	41-32	74-53	1.434	1.43	4	B11	2.0	0.266
A 84	4-3	-	01600500 LITTLE FALLS BRANCH NR BETHESDA MD	38-58	77-86	2.624	2.62	4	B11	2.0	0.303
A 85	4-5	-	02000000 DIAL CR NR BAHAMA NORTH CAROLINA	36-13	78-51	3.814	3.14	4	B12	2.0	0.980
A 86	4-6	-	01575000 NOLAND CR NR BYDON CITY NORTH CAROLINA	36-10	76-06	3.986	3.48	4	B10	2.0	0.522
A 87	4-7	-	02000000 DEEP RIVER CR NR HIGH POINT NC	36-48	75-32	4.774	4.77	4	B10	2.0	0.756
A 88	4-8	-	03444900 SF MILLS RIVER AT THE PINK BEDS N C	35-22	02-46	6.394	6.39	4	B13	2.0	0.436
A 89	4-9	4-18	03442000 CRAB CR NR PENRODE NORTH CAROLINA	35-16	02-34	6.976	6.98	4	B13	2.0	0.267
A 90	4-10	-	01586000 PINY RUNE CR NR SYRESVILLE MARYLAND	39-28	77-81	7.986	7.38	4	B18	2.0	1.158
A 91	4-11	-	03513500 NOLAND CR NR BYDON CITY NORTH CAROLINA	36-31	03-04	8.332	8.83	4	B13	2.0	0.415
A 92	4-12	-	02000000 DEEP RIVER CR NR HIGH POINT NC	36-04	70-56	7.056	7.48	4	B13	2.0	0.318
A 93	4-13	-	01474000 BOYSTON CR NR HORSESHOE N C	36-21	02-36	9.672	9.47	4	B13	2.0	0.856
A 94	4-15	-	01470000 CHRISTIANA RIVER AT DOCHS BRIDGE DELAWARE	36-41	75-47	13.198	13.1	4	B19	2.0	2.373
A 95	4-16	-	02116500 FORBUSH CR NR YADKINVILLE NORTH CAROLINA	36-11	06-36	13.886	13.9	4	B13	2.0	2.283
A 96	4-17	-	01654000 ACCOTINK CR NR ANNANDALE VIRGINIA	36-52	77-16	15.184	15.1	4	B18	2.0	1.829

Table 1. Continued.

SEN #	USU P16	USU L	USU UD	USU S7R	L/RTS	PHY	ZN	PTB	Q18	USU Q18	JAY	GM	JAY	023	023	025	058	016/A
A .49	6.68	2.68	1.39	8.9	.768	120	0.539	0.295	0.125	0.339	0.339	0.339	0.116	0.066	0.066	0.066	0.178	
A .50	6.69	4.58	1.91	1.1	.699	120	0.639	0.849	0.569	0.968	0.968	0.968	1.456	1.066	1.066	1.066	0.372	
A .51	6.68	4.38	2.39	.82	.894	120	0.599	0.339	0.225	0.387	0.433	0.210	0.356	0.446	0.446	0.516	0.1176	
A .52	5.94	3.58	2.48	3	.863	120	0.729	0.355	0.248	0.418	0.439	0.125	0.388	0.338	0.348	0.594	0.1684	
A .53	5.81	4.58	2.76	5	.385	120	0.949	0.909	0.468	1.130	1.310	0.459	0.825	1.066	1.556	0.666	0.239	
A .54	6.66	3.58	.76	.64	.781	120	0.929	0.745	0.539	0.868	0.852	0.475	0.668	0.670	0.775	0.925	0.2135	
A .55	5.49	5.48	4.34	4	.516	86	1.140	1.140	0.770	1.078	2.110	0.350	0.325	0.388	1.050	1.050	0.2587	
A .56	5.97	5.70	4.19	4.1	.507	86	1.250	1.335	0.678	3.558	3.558	0.850	1.066	2.066	3.249	0.5218		
A .57	5.61	4.78	5.86	5.86	.408	120	1.360	1.360	0.649	1.360	1.360	0.956	1.056	1.056	1.056	1.056	0.2245	
A .58	5.68	5.98	11.19	6.9	.382	86	1.198	1.098	0.768	2.068	2.068	0.331	2.217	2.078	2.056	3.853	0.4893	
A .59	6.12	5.48	4.23	2	.610	120	2.360	4.859	1.160	1.066	2.066	4.246	4.166	6.136	7.616	0.6981		
A .60	5.91	7.50	3.98	.02	.718	120	2.180	2.625	1.180	3.559	4.270	2.966	2.859	2.966	5.326	0.3948		
A .61	5.76	5.60	3.36	2	.615	86	1.960	1.755	0.998	2.256	2.256	0.766	1.116	1.316	2.770	2.770	0.2373	
A .62	5.91	6.89	2.62	2	.849	120	2.350	2.699	1.200	3.200	4.156	2.366	2.996	2.976	3.199	0.3267		
A .63	5.64	5.38	4.24	5	.628	86	1.426	0.972	0.535	1.268	1.495	0.555	0.998	1.155	1.385	0.1682		
A .64	5.90	10.39	2.23	3	.711	86	3.060	5.110	1.066	6.306	10.000	1.000	4.006	6.006	8.468	0.2889		
A .65	5.76	5.88	3.49	.01	1.274	12F	5.680	11.380	1.988	19.000	26.300	12.450	12.250	10.000	20.700	27.759	0.6174	
A .66	6.88	6.24	13.15	6.8	.891	6A	0.214	0.226	0.064	0.841	0.851	0.027	0.025	0.038	0.047	1.3958		
A .67	7.57	2.21	2.99	3	.071	14A	0.335	1.192	0.370	1.066	2.044	0.305	0.946	1.290	1.566	1.4286		
A .68	6.26	2.59	1.6	.118	.8C	0.370	0.346	0.377	0.210	0.325	0.152	0.392	0.364	0.364	0.704	0.2552		
A .69	5.16	2.60	2.01	.03	.104	8C	0.490	0.285	0.218	0.315	0.348	0.165	0.265	0.302	0.328	0.1455		
A .70	6.32	5.98	4.22	.03	.473	6B	0.110	0.066	0.066	1.066	1.358	0.067	0.566	0.566	0.966	0.1723		
A .71	7.37	3.38	3.59	.5	.322	14A	3.600	6.088	1.056	1.386	26.280	1.086	4.720	4.720	7.358	9.358		
A .72	6.82	6.10	3.32	2	.267	6A	1.150	1.050	0.255	1.499	1.016	0.160	1.182	1.399	1.387	1.386	0.1924	
A .73	6.48	5.50	1.98	0.9	.376	66	0.080	1.020	1.058	2.120	2.350	1.025	1.550	1.950	2.225	0.2441		
A .74	6.48	5.78	1.03	0.6	.594	68	1.500	2.360	0.650	2.050	2.040	1.220	2.360	2.020	3.180	3.180		
A .75	7.57	6.80	3.44	1	.592	14A	3.980	3.280	1.986	3.056	4.486	1.913	3.960	4.030	5.925	0.4333		
A .76	6.85	7.68	4.92	.02	.696	6A	0.080	4.080	2.080	6.080	7.780	2.250	4.080	4.080	5.450	0.4619		
A .77	6.34	6.03	4.64	.64	.346	68	1.720	1.880	0.990	1.680	1.680	0.880	1.920	1.368	1.585	0.1226		
A .78	6.73	9.50	3.43	.02	1.130	11A	2.380	2.050	1.958	2.350	2.568	1.340	1.920	1.920	2.100	2.100		
A .79	6.45	5.98	2.71	1	.144	11B	1.650	1.743	1.080	2.080	2.350	1.080	3.050	3.200	4.800	5.460	0.2852	
A .80	6.45	5.00	2.67	1	.196	11B	1.580	2.080	0.980	2.080	2.350	1.080	3.050	3.200	4.800	5.460	0.2657	
A .81	7.87	6.70	4.28	.6	.472	14A	6.700	7.610	4.300	11.200	13.780	3.840	6.720	6.080	8.180	9.460	0.4936	
A .82	6.16	6.58	1.02	0.6	.097	6A	2.080	2.380	0.080	4.080	4.080	0.750	1.725	1.980	2.050	2.175		
A .83	6.83	2.70	1.69	0.6	.337	8C	0.080	0.448	0.355	0.556	0.620	0.120	0.478	0.478	0.720	0.3279		
A .84	6.38	2.48	1.69	0.6	.209	4A	1.910	1.980	1.080	2.080	2.350	1.140	2.120	2.120	3.120			
A .85	7.97	5.08	2.68	0.6	.075	4A	0.740	1.050	0.480	1.518	1.518	0.376	1.080	1.080	1.580	0.3961		
A .86	7.29	5.66	4.56	0.6	.133	5B	1.080	1.325	0.610	2.080	2.350	0.610	1.535	1.535	2.235	2.755	0.2493	
A .87	7.15	6.66	2.73	1	.496	4A	1.080	1.080	0.380	1.488	1.488	0.610	2.080	2.080	2.562	3.460	0.5813	
A .88	7.48	4.66	5.97	1	.416	4A	1.080	1.080	0.380	1.488	1.488	0.610	2.080	2.080	2.562	3.460		
A .89	7.43	4.20	2.91	1	.125	5B	1.080	1.325	0.610	2.080	2.350	0.610	1.535	1.535	2.235	2.755	0.1971	
A .90	6.04	6.06	3.59	0.6	.109	4A	2.280	2.380	1.030	3.080	3.080	0.880	1.826	1.826	3.910	5.440	0.2632	
A .91	7.32	6.36	3.90	0.6	.274	5B	1.680	1.350	0.680	2.080	2.350	0.680	1.535	1.535	2.235	2.755		
A .92	7.49	4.48	5.75	1	.122	4A	2.980	3.550	1.080	3.080	3.080	0.880	1.826	1.826	3.910	5.440	0.3567	
A .93	7.49	11.49	2.49	3	.108	5B	0.750	0.760	0.586	0.863	0.960	0.376	0.760	0.760	0.945	0.0802		
A .94	7.18	12.50	1.82	1	.198	4B	2.280	2.380	1.480	3.080	3.080	0.880	1.826	1.826	3.910	5.440		
A .95	7.17	11.96	3.74	2	.162	4A	2.330	2.180	1.080	3.080	3.080	0.880	1.826	1.826	2.720	3.380	0.1583	
A .96	6.36	8.66	3.24	1	.265	4B	3.760	3.986	1.480	5.760	6.026	1.029	4.686	4.686	6.760	8.256	0.3099	

Table 1. Continued. Explanation of numbered column headings.

- <sup>1</sup>Potter's zone and watershed number.  
<sup>2</sup>ARS#. These are the numbers the Agricultural Research Service has given to their experimental watersheds.  
<sup>3</sup>USGS#. These are the standard gaging station numbers used in the U.S. Geological Survey water supply papers.  
<sup>4</sup>LAT. This column is the latitude of the watershed center. Degrees and minutes.  
<sup>5</sup>LONG. This column is the longitude of the watershed center. Degrees and minutes.  
<sup>6</sup>USU Area. The watershed area as measured by Utah State University personnel. Thousands of acres.  
<sup>7</sup>Pt. Area. The watershed area as reported by Porter. Thousands of acres.  
<sup>8</sup>PPR ZN. Potter zone.  
<sup>9</sup>PR Area. Soil Conservation Service problem area.  
<sup>10</sup>PPR P60. The 10-year 1-hour precipitation as reported by Potter. Inches.  
<sup>11</sup>USU P60. The 10-year 1-hour precipitation as determined by the authors. Inches.  
<sup>12</sup>PTR T. The T value as reported by Potter.  
<sup>13</sup>USU T. The T value as determined by the authors.  
<sup>14</sup>USU P10. The 10-year 10-minute precipitation intensity in inches per hour.  
<sup>15</sup>USU L'. The length in miles up the principal drainage channel from the culvert site to end of the channel delineated as either a solid or dashed blue line on the  $7\frac{1}{2}$  minute topographic map.  
<sup>16</sup>USU DD. The drainage density as determined by the authors. Miles per 1000 acres.  
<sup>17</sup>USU STR. Percent storage. The percentage of the surface area of the watershed occupied by lakes, swamps, playas, etc.  
<sup>18</sup>L'RTS. The length of the principal drainage divided by its mean slope.  
<sup>19</sup>PHY ZN. The physiographic section of the watershed center from the map of Fenneman and Johnson.  
<sup>20</sup>PTR Q10. The 10-year instantaneous peak runoff as reported by Potter. Thousands of cfs.  
<sup>21</sup>USU Q10. The 10-year instantaneous peak runoff as determined by the authors with runoff data prior to 1958. Thousands of cfs.  
<sup>22</sup>584 QM. The 2.33-year instantaneous peak runoff from data prior to 1958. Thousands of cfs.  
<sup>23</sup>584 Q25. The 25-year instantaneous peak runoff from peaks prior to 1958. Thousands of cfs.  
<sup>24</sup>584 Q50. The 50-year instantaneous peak runoff from peaks prior to 1958.  
<sup>25</sup>Q2.33. The 2.33 year instantaneous peak runoff from peaks for the entire period of record.  
<sup>26</sup>Q10. The 10-year instantaneous peak runoff from peaks for the entire period of record.  
<sup>27</sup>Q10 L06. The 10-year instantaneous peak runoff from peaks for the entire period of record and using log Gumbel paper with a graphical method.  
<sup>28</sup>Q25. The 25-year instantaneous peak runoff from peaks for the entire period of record.  
<sup>29</sup>Q50. The 50-year instantaneous peak runoff from peaks for the entire period of record.  
<sup>30</sup>Q10/A. The 10-year runoff peak per unit of area for the entire period of record.




Figure 1. Upper and lower frequency curves for Potter (1961) Zone I watershed No. 19.




Figure 2. Upper and lower frequency curves for Potter (1961) Zone I watershed No. 10.

manner and with  $\log q_{10}$  minimized. These curves for Potter group 1 watersheds are shown complete with Potter's data points and USU measured data points in Figures 3 through 6 for Zones I through IV, respectively (p. 13-16). Potter's curve through the mean is also shown for reference. The reader is invited to draw his own conclusions regarding goodness of fit. In an effort to determine exactly how Potter obtained his line USU also minimized the  $\log x$  deviations squared for the same data points. This line was nearer but still did not agree with Potter's line. The  $q_{10}$  vs. area relationships as represented by Potter's curves would tend to overemphasize the parameters other than area below the mean and reverse this affect above the mean. The most plausible explanation for the seemingly poor fit of Potter's line to the data is that Potter used graphical correlation to fit the data points and must have plotted the data to different scales than those used in Figures 3 through 6 (p. 13-16). The fit obtained by graphical correlation is scale dependent and can produce results similar to those in the referenced figures. Figure 7 (p. 17) shows the regression line relating ten year peak flow,  $q_{10}$ , to the watershed area, A, for all Potter watersheds with no zoning.

The watershed parameters and other appropriate data as determined by both Potter and USU are tabulated in Table 1 (p. 5-9). These basic data were either determined from USGS maps or from the publications of the USGS, the Agricultural Research Service (ARS), the Soil Conservation Service (SCS), and various State agencies concerned with water data.

Statistical t tests (Steel and Torrie, ref. 16) show no significant differences between the values of any of the basic parameters such as A, P factor, T factor or  $q_{10}$  determined by Potter or USU. The individual differences on watersheds appear to be higher or lower on an essentially random basis since there were no significant group differences. In the instance of drainage density, Potter's values were not published so could not be compared. However, the high correlation mentioned by Potter between DD and T factors yielded an  $r^2$  value of 0.1602 with a log-log transform on 96 watersheds. This value is significant at the 1 percent level but obviously does not account for a major portion of the variability by itself.

The correlation between DD and area is similar to that between DD and T having an  $r^2$  value of 0.1647 with the same 96 watersheds. Both of the DD relationships are much less correlated with area than the T factor where the  $r^2$  value is 0.5479 using the same watersheds and 0.2405 with 545 watersheds (watersheds from all of the United States).

The relationship between the T factor and  $L/\sqrt{S}$  has an  $r^2$  of 0.9216 using 96 watersheds, and the t value between them is not significant, thus, there appears to be little choice between these parameters. This relationship is similar to the precision obtained for the two sets of measurements.  $L/\sqrt{S}$  is a simpler parameter to visualize so should be a preferred parameter if all else were equal. It appears that the value of T published by Potter for the Zone II Watershed 29 is in error, possibly in printing, since the (1) in front of the decimal should be deleted to agree with the measured value.




Figure 3. The relationship between 10-year peak flow and area for Potter Zone I, Group 1, watersheds obtained by regression analysis from Potter data and USU data and the graphical correlation curve for  $Q_{10}(\text{AT})$  reported by Potter that goes through the mean of the data.

13




Figure 4. The relationship between 10-year peak flow and area for Potter Zone II, Group 1, watersheds obtained by regression analysis from Potter data, USU data and the graphical correlation curve for  $q_{10}(\text{AT})$  reported by Potter that goes through the mean of the data.




Figure 5. The relationship between 10-year peak flow and area for Potter Zone III, Group 1, watersheds obtained by regression analysis from Potter data, USU data and the graphical correlation curve for  $\hat{q}_{10}(\text{AT})$  reported by Potter that goes through the mean of the data.




Figure 6. The relationship between 10-year peak flow and area for Potter Zone IV, Group I, watersheds obtained by regression analysis from Potter data, USU data and the graphical correlation curve for  $q_{10}(AT)$  reported by Potter that goes through the mean of the data.




Figure 7. Comparison between the various regression equations relating the 10-year peak flow and area obtained from the USU data and the Potter data for all 96 Potter watersheds.

Potter zones. A study of the performance of Potter's four zones seemed to be in order. The map, "Problem Areas in Soil Conservation," Figure 8 (p. 19), was entered with the centroid location of each of Potter's watersheds to obtain the problem area. The problem areas indicated are:

Zone I	Zone II	Zone III	Zone IV
B-2	A-6	B-8	B-10
B-7	B-5	B-11	B-11
B-8	B-8	B-13	B-12
B-9	B-15	B-14	B-13
B-14	C-4	B-16	
B-15	D-24	B-17	
B-16		B-20	
C-8		B-26	
C-9			
C-10			

Note: B-8 appears in three zones, B-15 appears in two zones, and B-16 appears in two zones.

The 10-year peak flow per 1000 acres ( $q_{10}/A$ ) was chosen as the parameter to represent runoff. The  $q_{10}/A$  values were tabulated, and  $t$  values were computed for each pair of problem areas where sufficient samples existed. The significant  $t$  values are compiled in Table 2 (p. 20).

The  $t$  values suggest the following conclusions:

1. Problem area A-6 is significantly different from all other problem areas where sufficient data exist to make the  $t$  test.
2. Problem area B-20 is significantly different from problem areas B-10, 13, and 16, and no other significant differences exist.

If this information is applied to Potter's zones, it suggests that Zone II is significantly different from the other zones except for the inclusion of problem areas B-8 and B-15. Because B-13, 16, and 20 are all in Zone III, there are differences between Zones III and IV. The  $t$  value for Zone II was significantly different from the other zones except III and in Zone III the value of  $t$  was just below the 5 percent level. The same weak differences are borne out by the similar slopes of the lines for each zone in Figures 3, 4, 5, and 6 (p. 13-16). Furthermore, if  $\log q_{10}/A$  is plotted against  $\log A$ , the range of difference between the extremes is in the order of 14 percent which is certainly within the point spread about the lines.

Graphical correlation. If we adopt the division of watersheds of Potter (ref. 13) into Group 1 and Group 2, follow the graphical correlation technique as outlined by Ezekial and Fox (ref. 6), and construct a set of curves from the Group 1 data for the relation between  $\log q_{10}$  and  $\log A$ , the curves of Figures 3 through 6 (p. 13-16) are obtained for each respective zone. All values are those derived by Potter. The residual  $y$  deviations or  $\Delta y_1$  values from the




Figure 8. Map of the problem areas in soil conservation. Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.

Table 2. Significance of  $t$  values for differences between peak flows per unit area and pairs of problem areas.

Problem Area	B8	B10	B11	B13	B14	B16	B20	C4
A6	**	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
B8								
B10							*	
B11								
B13							**	
B14								
B16							*	

\* $t$  value significant at the 5 percent level.

\*\* $t$  value significant at the 1 percent level.

Blank spaces and missing problem areas indicate non-significant  $t$  values or insufficient data to make the  $t$  test.

respective curves of Figures 3 through 6 (p. 13-16) are regressed against  $\log T$ . Table 3 (p. 21) gives the equations derived during this process for each zone along with the correlation coefficient,  $r$ , indicating the goodness of fit of each equation. Note that the relative magnitude of the effect of  $T$  is small when compared to  $A$  as represented by the much smaller exponents and smaller  $r$  values given by the  $\Delta y_1$  vs.  $\log T$  relationship.

The residual  $y$  deviations from the regression of  $\Delta y_1$  on  $\log T$  or  $\Delta y_2$  are next regressed against the log of the precipitation factor  $P_{60}$ , to get the relationships also shown in Table 3 (p. 21).

In order to allow some of the beneficial effect of the interaction that may exist among the three independent variables being considered,  $A$ ,  $T$ , and  $P_{60}$ , a correction equation is then obtained by regressing  $q_{10}$  against  $\hat{q}_{10}(\text{ATP})$  as estimated from applying the proper zone equations for each of the 52 Group 1 Potter watersheds. This results in the correction equation also shown in Table 3 (p. 21) and shown graphically as Figure 9 (p. 22).

The results of the above derived equations were then tested against the Group 2 watersheds, data from which were not used in the derivation of the equations and are tabulated in Table 4 (p. 23). The error for the Group 2 data seems to be of the same order of magnitude as that for the Group 1 data, thus indicating the validity of the approach.

When Potter tested his graphically derived equations against the Group 2 data, it became apparent that a correction factor was necessary. His approach was to relate the correction factor,  $C$ , to an error in the estimate of the topographic factor,  $\hat{T}(\text{AP})$ , as a function of area and 10-year 60-minute precipitation. He found that the relative errors in the Group 2 data could be

Table 3. Equations relating 10 year peak flow,  $\hat{q}_{10}$ , to area, A, topographic factor, T, and the 10 year-60 minute precipitation,  $P_{60}$ , derived from Potter Group 1 data.


Zone	Equation	(1) n	(2) r
I	$\hat{q}_{10}(A) = 0.5043 A^{0.5218}$	11	0.893
	$DY_1 = 1.0863 T^{0.0544}$	11	0.147
	$DY_2 = 0.2239 P_{60}^{2.1855}$	11	0.592
II	$\hat{q}_{10}(A) = 0.6187 A^{0.5759}$	24	0.922
	$DY_1 = 1.0790 T^{0.0398}$	24	0.117
	$DY_2 = 0.2643 P_{60}^{1.8489}$	24	0.839
III	$\hat{q}_{10}(A) = 0.4647 A^{0.4933}$	9	0.754
	$DY_1 = 1.0053 T^{0.00805}$	9	0.013
	$DY_2 = 0.2852 P_{60}^{1.9448}$	9	0.323
IV	$\hat{q}_{10}(A) = 0.5450 A^{0.6646}$	8	0.851
	$DY_1 = 1.0018 T^{0.0102}$	8	0.027
	$DY_2 = 0.2515 P_{60}^{1.7523}$	8	0.224
All Zones Correction Equation	$\hat{q}_{10}(K) = 1.02901 \hat{q}_{10}(ATP)^{1.0810}$	52	0.963

Notes explaining the column headings:

- (1) n is the number of watersheds used in the analysis.
- (2) r is the correlation coefficient between two variables x + y. It is calculated by:

$$r = \frac{\sum(x - \bar{x})(y - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum(x - \bar{x})^2 \sum(y - \bar{y})^2}}$$

where x and y are the independent and dependent variables respectively.



**Figure 9.** The K correction curve. The relationship between the observed values of the 10-year flow peaks and those estimated by graphical correlation with area, topographic factor, and precipitation factor for Potter Group 1 data.

Table 4. Comparison of 10-year runoff peaks and estimates (Potter methods).

Watershed No.	$\hat{q}_{10}$ Mcfs	$\hat{q}_{10}(\text{ATP}_{60})$ Mcfs	$\hat{q}_{10}(K)$ Mcfs	Error % of $\hat{q}_{10}(K)$	Watershed No.	$\hat{q}_{10}$ Mcfs	$\hat{q}_{10}(\text{ATP}_{60})$ Mcfs	$\hat{q}_{10}(K)$ Mcfs	Error % of $\hat{q}_{10}(K)$
<b>Zone I</b>									
Group 1	1 0.096 2 0.270 3 0.440 4 0.400 6 1.060 12a 0.110 39 0.830 42 1.050 44 0.860 50 1.550 58 3.900	0.121 0.231 0.424 0.340 0.921 0.217 0.938 1.263 1.280 1.189 1.652	0.105 0.211 0.407 0.321 0.941 0.197 0.960 1.324 1.344 1.241 1.770	8.5 -27.9 -8.1 -24.8 -12.6 44.2 13.6 20.7 36.0 -24.9 -120.3	Group 2	20 1.420 22 0.230 23 0.385 27 0.918 29 0.530 30 0.830 31 0.590 32 0.520 34 0.920 35 1.140 36 1.250 40 1.100 45 2.100 48 2.350	1.477 0.657 0.737 1.065 0.949 0.935 0.979 1.024 1.083 1.127 1.196 1.245 1.304 1.587 1.609	1.569 0.653 0.740 1.102 0.973 0.957 1.006 1.055 1.121 1.171 1.196 1.245 1.304 1.695 1.721	9.5 64.8 47.9 16.7 45.5 13.3 41.3 50.7 16.6 2.7 -4.5 15.7 -23.8 -36.6
Group 1 $\hat{q}_{10}$ mean = 0.9605 Mcfs Percent Standard Error of Estimate = 77.5									
Group 2	5 0.690 8 0.860 9 0.860 10 0.910 19 1.750 20 1.800 22 5.600 13a 0.142 18b 0.179 38 0.660 41 1.300 47 0.820 49 1.150 53 1.870 55 2.050 56 2.050	0.530 0.818 0.930 0.905 1.523 0.957 2.638 0.344 0.344 0.982 1.125 1.256 1.429 1.739 1.812 1.396	0.518 0.828 0.952 0.924 1.622 0.981 2.936 0.324 0.324 1.009 1.169 1.316 1.514 1.872 1.956 1.476	-33.2 -3.8 9.6 1.5 -7.9 -83.4 -90.7 -44.9 -44.9 34.6 -7.7 37.7 24.0 0.1 -4.8 -38.9	Group 2 $\hat{q}_{10}$ mean = 1.0202 Percent Standard Error of Estimate = 36.7	2 0.635 3 0.370 4 0.490 9 1.150 10 2.000 12 1.500 14 0.580 17 1.720 22 2.500	0.546 0.566 0.580 1.134 1.225 1.372 1.356 1.347 1.586	0.535 0.556 0.571 1.179 1.281 1.448 1.430 1.420 1.694	-18.7 33.5 14.2 2.4 -56.1 -3.6 59.4 -21.1 -47.6
Group 2 $\hat{q}_{10}$ mean = 1.4182 Percent Standard Error of Estimate = 55.7									
<b>Zone II</b>									
Group 1	1 0.107 2 0.061 3 0.155 4 0.092 5 0.096 6 0.092 7 0.420 8 0.620 9 0.160 10 0.295 11 0.150 14 0.900 15 0.630 16 0.320 21 0.630 26 1.400 28 0.660 33 0.940 37 1.300 43 2.300	0.129 0.057 0.200 0.102 0.103 0.137 0.393 0.472 0.176 0.233 0.230 1.011 0.444 0.461 0.630 1.071 0.868 1.234 1.153 1.627	0.112 0.047 0.181 0.087 0.088 0.120 0.375 0.657 0.157 0.213 0.210 1.041 0.428 0.446 0.624 1.108 0.883 1.292 1.200 1.742	4.9 -31.2 14.2 -5.5 -8.9 23.3 -12.0 -35.7 -1.7 -38.4 28.6 13.6 -47.3 28.2 -0.9 -26.3 25.3 27.2 -8.3 -32.1 -13.3 20.2 -59.6 -10.7	Group 2	1 0.014 6 0.710 7 3.600 13 3.900 18 2.380 19 1.850 20 1.550 21 8.700	0.070 0.662 1.229 1.820 1.729 1.418 1.433 2.441	0.058 0.659 1.286 1.965 1.860 1.501 1.518 2.700	75.9 -7.8 -180.0 -98.4 -28.0 -23.2 -2.1 -222.2
Group 1 $\hat{q}_{10}$ mean = 1.008 Percent Standard Error of Estimate = 38.7									
<b>Zone III</b>									
Group 1	2 0.635 3 0.370 4 0.490 9 1.150 10 2.000 12 1.500 14 0.580 17 1.720 22 2.500	0.546 0.566 0.580 1.134 1.225 1.372 1.356 1.347 1.586	0.535 0.556 0.571 1.179 1.281 1.448 1.430 1.420 1.694	-18.7 33.5 14.2 2.4 -56.1 -3.6 59.4 -21.1 -47.6					
Group 2 $\hat{q}_{10}$ mean = 2.230 Percent Standard Error of Estimate = 44.8									
<b>Zone IV</b>									
Group 1	2 0.490 6 1.240 7 2.900 10 2.200 12 2.900 15 2.280 16 2.130 17 3.700	0.575 1.223 1.536 2.049 2.427 3.293 3.155 3.616	0.566 1.279 1.636 2.235 2.683 3.732 3.563 4.129	13.4 3.1 -77.2 1.5 -8.1 38.9 40.2 10.4					
Group 2 $\hat{q}_{10}$ mean = 1.202 Percent Standard Error of Estimate = 100.3									

$$\text{Error \% of } \hat{q}_{10}(K) \text{ is defined as } \frac{\hat{q}_{10} - \hat{q}_{10}(K)}{\hat{q}_{10}} \times 100$$

percent standard error of estimate is defined as  $\frac{100}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum (\hat{q}_{10} - \hat{q}_{10}(K))^2}{n-2}}}$

greatly reduced by the development of a correction factor derived from using the Group 2 data. However, this left no possibility of an independent check of the method as this exhausted the data available to him at that time, but gave the best fit he could achieve by graphical methods, which he then published. USU tested an extension of the method described above which may be termed as a modified Potter method and is described in the following sections.

#### Improve Potter's Method with Additional Years of Data

##### Determination of 10-year flood peak

Climate, record length, and the procedure for determining the 10-year annual flood peaks influence the values obtained. Schmidt (ref. 14) compared the commonly used distributions for determination of flood frequencies on 167 watersheds within the Great Basin and the Colorado River Drainage Basin. He found that within the range of the data, the inverse cubic polynomial fit the flood data consistently best; and Gumbel, log normal, and log Pearson III were good fitting functions within the range of the data.

It was mentioned earlier, that the Potter values of  $q_{10}$  and those derived by USU using the same upper and lower frequency method were not significantly different by the t test. The  $r^2$  value for this comparison was 0.789 indicating that some differences in the form of "noise" exist but are sufficiently random in nature that the t value is not significant. The  $r^2$  values for Potter  $q_{10}$  versus USU  $q_{10}$  with additional years of record were 0.679 and for USU  $q_{10}$  to 1958 and  $q_{10}$  to date, 0.895. The difference or unexplained variation is random in nature. Note that there is a greater difference between operators than between the two different sets with different numbers of years of record.

##### Other return periods

Table 5 (p. 25) shows the simple correlation coefficients between different return values of annual flood peaks determined from the data through 1958 and to the present. Some watersheds were discontinued during the period between 1958 and the present so the record lengths are variable but most watersheds had additional years of record between 1958 and the present. The distribution of the record lengths may be seen in Figure 10 (p. 26).

The correlations between the various frequencies are all close but there is a consistently closer relation between the  $q_{10}$  and  $q_{50}$  values than between  $q_{10}$  and  $q_{2.33}$ . There is a poorer relation with the  $q_{10}$  values derived by the log extreme method than between values derived from different record lengths. The correlations between the peak flow values to 1958 and the same frequencies of q to date are as follows: 2.33 yr.,  $r = 0.743$ ; 10 yr.,  $r = 0.946$ ; 25 yr.,  $r = 0.885$ ; and 50 yr.,  $r = 0.765$ . This suggests that Potter showed wisdom in working with  $q_{10}$  values and then calculating other return periods from the  $q_{10}$ .

Table 5. Correlation coefficients,  $r$ , for various frequencies and record lengths.

	USU $q_{10}$ to 58	Potter $q_{10}$	USU $q_{10}$ to Date	USU Log $q_{10}$ to Date	USU $q_{25}$	USU $q_{50}$
<b>USU to 1958</b>						
$q_{10}$	---	0.888	0.972	0.963	0.921	0.890
$q_{2.33}$	0.835	0.933	0.812	0.856	0.708	0.661
$q_{25}$	0.963	0.786	0.923	0.903	---	0.858
$q_{50}$	0.883	0.682	0.830	0.809	0.970	---
<b>USU to Date</b>						
$q_{10}$	0.972	0.862	---	0.985	0.943	0.916
$q_{2.33}$	0.827	0.871	0.832	0.838	0.714	0.683
$q_{25}$	0.921	0.795	0.932	0.936	---	0.992
$q_{50}$	0.890	0.752	0.916	0.897	0.992	---
log $q_{10}$	0.963	0.888	0.985	---	0.932	0.897

#### Effects of record length on interrelationships among parameters

Table 6 (p. 27) shows a group of correlation coefficients for watershed parameters for the period through 1958 and with the records to date. Consistently, the coefficients are higher as the record gets longer, as one would expect. All relationships have the same number of watersheds (96) in the correlation.

#### Map scale effects

Map scales effect the physical parameters read therefrom principally because the smaller the map scale ratio, the shorter are the lengths of the drainages drafted in blue lines. If scales are other than the  $7\frac{1}{2}$  minute quadrangles, a correction factor must be used to make values comparable. Figure 11 (p. 28) shows the relationship between L values derived from the 1:24,000 and 1:250,000 scale maps. The  $r^2$  is about 0.9 for this relationship. It appears to be within the errors in the determination of  $q_{10}$ , but note that the curve slope is not 1:1 and that maps with scales nearer the  $7\frac{1}{2}$  minute maps would be between the 1:1 and the line of Figure 11 (p. 28).

Figure 12 (p. 29) gives the relationship between DD from the 1:24,000 and the DD from the 1:250,000 scale maps. The  $r^2$  for this relation is naturally




Figure 10. The distribution of record lengths on the Potter watersheds in the "to date" sample.

Table 6. Correlation coefficients,  $r$ , for watershed parameters with 10-year peak flows,  $q_{10}$ , derived from watershed records through 1958 and with additional years to date.

	USU $q_{10}$ to 58	$q_{10}$ to Date	$q_{10}/A$ to Date
<u>Linear</u>			
USU Area	0.691**	0.711**	-0.498**
USU T	0.417**	0.470**	-0.529**
USU $P_{60}$	0.215*	0.266*	0.552**
L	0.523**	0.545**	-0.601**
USU DD	-0.240	-0.179*	0.244**
Storage	-0.173	-0.168	0.518**
$P_{10}$	0.209*	0.234*	0.558**
$q_{50}$	0.883**	0.830**	-0.172
<u>Log-log</u>			
USU T	0.619**	0.641**	-0.727**
USU DD	-0.275**	-0.288**	0.367**

\* is 5 percent probability.

\*\* is 1 percent probability.

(Note that the transformed data correlates better than the untransformed.)

somewhat poorer than for the L values, but the DD values are still usable if no better maps are available.

The mean slope of a watershed can readily be determined even on the 1:250,000 map. Thus an estimate of  $L/\sqrt{S}$  can readily be made. The relation between Potter's T and  $L/\sqrt{S}$  values measured from the same scale maps are given in Figure 13 (p. 30). The  $r^2$  for this relation is 0.9216, so it could be used with confidence.

#### Extend Potter's Method to Additional Areas in States Sampled by Potter

#### Location of Potter and new watersheds

The locations of Potter's watersheds and the additional watersheds in the same States are shown in Figure 14 (p. 31). The descriptions of each of the Potter watersheds are given in Table 1 (p. 5-9) and the data for the additional watersheds considered in this phase are given in Table 7 (p. 32-36). As may be seen in Figure 14 (p. 31), the Potter States are Delaware, Illinois, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin.




Figure 11. The relationship of  $L$  values measured on  $1:24,000$  maps to those measured on  $1:250,000$  maps.




Figure 12. The relationship of DD from 1:24,000 scale maps to DD from the 1:250,000 scale maps.




Figure 13. The relationship of Potter's  $T$  to  $L/\sqrt{s}$  for the Potter watersheds.




Figure 14. The location of the 270 sample watersheds in the States considered by Potter.

Table 7. Data on additional watersheds in Potter States.

SEQ #	STATION NAME	LAT	LONG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		SQ MI	TH ACRE	PRA	PTA	2N	PRA	PTA	PRA	PTA	PTA	PHYS	ZN	QLO	QSD	QIA/A	
6	1 01483580 LEPSIC R NR CHESWOLD DELAWARE	39°14'	075°38'	9.35	5.986	1	A1	2.46	7.67	1.572	0.947	3.8	5.39	3A	0.635	3.108	
6	2 01483600 BLACKBIRD CR AT BLACKBIRD DELAWARE	39°22'	075°40'	3.85	2.446	4	A1	2.42	7.77	1.159	2.051	0.0	5.26	3A	2.387	0.593	
6	3 01483600 STOCKLEY BR AT STOCKLEY DELAWARE	38°48'	075°21'	5.24	3.536	4	A2	2.68	6.35	0.875	0.116	0.0	2.46	3A	0.182	0.135	
6	4 01492470 TAPPANNA DITCH NR MANTOL DELAWARE	39°17'	075°42'	5.93	3.798	4	A2	1.91	7.97	1.546	0.725	2.0	2.79	3A	0.156	0.032	
6	5 03345200 RANGE CR NR CASEY ILLINOIS	39°22'	068°02'	7.88	4.864	3	C8	2.06	6.67	1.221	0.945	2.0	4.59	12D	2.443	3.793	
6	6 03365000 HAYES CR AT GLENDALE ILLINOIS	37°25'	068°44'	16.92	12.998	3	B19	2.22	7.83	2.926	1.036	0.0	11.75	3D	4.384	6.166	
6	7 03365000 SUGAR CR NR DIXON SPRINGS ILLINOIS	37°24'	068°44'	9.70	5.206	3	B19	2.22	7.85	1.140	1.197	1.0	5.98	3D	1.692	1.987	
6	8 03365000 CEDAR CR NR WINSLOW ILLINOIS	42°16'	068°54'	1.29	0.826	1	C8	2.06	6.35	0.323	0.050	0.0	2.16	12D	0.188	0.156	
6	9 05285300 TERRY CR NR CUSTER PARK ILLINOIS	41°14'	058°06'	12.08	7.888	1	C8	1.98	6.49	2.205	1.086	0.0	6.65	12D	0.668	1.087	
6	10 05335800 TINLEY CR NR PAIDS PARK ILLINOIS	41°39'	067°46'	11.38	7.232	1	C4	1.94	6.28	1.612	1.210	2.0	7.58	12D	0.875	1.233	
6	11 05337500 LONG RUN NR LEMONT ILLINOIS	41°39'	068°00'	28.60	15.312	1	C5	1.95	6.31	3.014	1.027	2.0	8.58	12D	1.712	2.664	
6	12 055149000 BOONE CR NR MCHEERY ILLINOIS	42°19'	068°19'	15.38	9.792	1	C11	1.95	6.31	2.389	1.114	2.0	7.68	12D	0.226	0.250	
6	13 05519000 GILLET CR AT SPARLAND ILLINOIS	41°02'	089°20'	5.42	1.468	1	B8	2.06	6.67	0.576	1.050	0.0	4.39	12D	1.886	2.693	
6	14 05566000 EAST BR PANTHER CR GRIDDLE ILLINOIS	40°46'	088°54'	6.38	1.632	1	C9	2.08	6.61	0.826	0.544	0.0	2.46	12D	0.435	1.085	
6	15 05574000 SOUTH FK SANGMOM R NR NORMHIS ILLINOIS	39°41'	089°15'	18.80	6.912	1	C8	2.17	6.68	1.813	0.941	2.0	5.45	12D	4.252	6.336	
6	16 05585000 HURRICANE CR NR RODHOUSE ILLINOIS	39°29'	090°25'	2.33	1.491	1	C9	2.25	7.15	6.447	0.770	0.0	2.35	12D	0.568	1.166	
6	17 05589000 CANTEEN CR AT CASEYVILLE ILLINOIS	38°19'	090°01'	22.59	14.008	1	C7	2.27	7.21	2.833	1.054	0.0	10.46	12D	5.128	9.026	
6	18 05590000 KASKASIA R AT BONDVILLE ILLINOIS	42°07'	088°21'	12.36	7.872	1	C8	2.06	6.15	1.294	0.906	0.0	5.98	12D	8.893	1.652	
6	19 05591000 ASA CR AT SULLIVAN ILLINOIS	39°47'	088°36'	7.93	5.079	1	C9	2.10	6.73	1.314	0.962	0.0	2.69	12D	0.727	1.199	
6	20 05595500 HARRIS R NR SPARTA ILLINOIS	38°40'	089°39'	17.80	11.326	1	C18	2.16	6.67	2.592	1.244	0.0	4.434	12E	4.131	6.331	
6	21 05414450 NPK L MAGOKETA R NR RICKARDSVILLE IOWA	34°23'	098°51'	22.80	14.592	1	C18	2.16	6.67	2.703	1.145	0.0	12.24	12E	4.131	6.331	
6	22 05514000 L MAGOKETA R TRIB AT DUBUQUE IOWA	42°33'	098°42'	1.51	0.966	1	C18	2.09	6.64	0.244	1.059	0.0	2.28	12C	1.912	2.970	
6	23 05536000 RAPID CR BELW HORSE IOWA	6.12	5.196	1	C11	2.21	7.68	1.146	1.082	0.0	4.55	12E	1.779	2.746			
6	24 05536000 RAPID CR TRIB NO 4 NR HORSE IOWA	1.95	1.246	1	C9	2.21	7.68	2.421	0.983	0.0	2.17	12E	0.821	0.958			
6	25 05375000 RAPID CR SUB 3D AT HORSE IOWA	15.20	7.280	1	C9	2.21	7.68	1.663	0.915	0.0	6.26	12E	2.998	4.119			
6	26 05453600 RAPID CR TRIB NO 3 NR HORSE IOWA CITY	1.62	1.036	1	C9	2.21	7.68	0.982	0.986	0.0	1.82	12E	2.528	4.158			
6	27 05454000 RAPID CR TRIB NO 2 NR HORSE IOWA	1.05	1.246	1	C21	2.22	7.92	3.142	1.129	0.0	10.86	12E	4.676	6.380			
6	28 05585000 SF ENGLISH R TRIB NR BARNES CITY IOWA	41°13'	092°26'	2.51	1.666	1	C21	2.38	7.21	0.403	0.019	0.0	2.28	12E	0.713	1.138	
6	29 05610500 INDIAN CR AT COUNCIL BLUFFS IOWA	41°16'	095°26'	6.99	5.113	1	C21	2.48	7.59	0.015	0.075	0.0	5.35	12E	2.116	3.413	
6	30 05610500 MULE CR NR MALVERN IOWA	48°36'	095°35'	18.86	6.746	1	C23	2.49	7.04	1.436	1.094	0.0	6.31	12E	2.662	6.266	
6	31 05836000 STILLATER CR AT STILLATER KY	37°45'	085°49'	2.98	15.368	2	B15	2.06	6.35	1.515	0.836	0.0	7.13	8E	5.321	7.917	
6	32 05836000 COVE CR NR COOT SPRING KY	38°01'	084°36'	2.53	1.619	2	B16	2.04	6.48	0.441	0.947	0.0	2.65	11B	1.048	2.435	
6	33 05836000 SOUTH ELMKHORN CR AT FORT SPRING KY	38°03'	084°38'	21.38	15.368	3	B16	2.03	6.45	2.678	1.171	0.0	18.13	11B	1.048	2.229	
6	34 05846000 MCGILLS CR NR MCINNEY KY	37°37'	084°42'	2.14	1.386	3	B17	2.08	6.61	0.182	0.716	0.0	1.83	11A	0.821	1.538	
6	35 05846000 GREEN R NR MCINNEY KY	37°25'	084°45'	22.46	14.330	3	B17	2.08	6.64	1.367	1.318	0.0	6.46	11A	0.944	1.624	
6	36 05846000 McDUGAL CR HODGENVILLE KY	37	05546	5.34	3.417	3	B17	2.08	6.64	1.574	2.192	0.0	4.98	11A	2.889	3.947	
6	37 05335000 WEST BAYS PK AT SCOTTSVILLE KY	37°23'	087°38'	7.47	4.788	3	B17	2.14	6.63	0.008	0.908	0.0	4.63	11A	1.817	4.318	
6	38 05334000 ROSE CR AT NEBO KY	37°10'	084°57'	2.18	1.344	2	B28	2.16	6.86	0.486	0.748	0.0	1.91	11D	1.817	4.359	
6	39 05334000 WOOD CR LONDON KY	37	05547	3.89	2.489	2	B15	2.11	6.59	0.417	0.742	0.0	2.58	8F	0.493	0.199	
6	40 07222500 PERRY CR NR MAYFIELD KY	36°41'	088°38'	1.72	1.186	4	A7	2.23	7.95	0.368	0.819	0.0	1.98	3D	1.128	1.593	
6	41 05836000 MANKIN CR PRINCESS ANNE MARYLAND	38°01'	084°36'	0.98	0.712	1	A1	2.71	6.41	1.462	0.838	0.0	5.95	3A	0.333	0.651	
6	42 05466000 CHICAGOOMICO CR 8ILE MARYLAND	38°41'	075°53'	18.88	9.686	4	A2	2.65	6.42	7.438	0.875	0.0	6.84	3A	0.422	0.592	
6	43 01482000 BEAVERDALE CR AT MATTHEWS MARYLAND	38°10'	075°58'	5.85	3.744	4	A2	2.68	8.26	1.179	0.915	0.0	5.98	3A	1.033	0.275	
6	44 01483600 UNICORN BRANCH CR MILLINGTON MARYLAND	39°15'	075°52'	22.38	14.272	4	A1	2.48	7.94	0.284	1.212	0.0	10.33	3A	0.708	0.852	
6	45 01483500 MORGAN CR NR KENNEDYVILLE MARYLAND	39°17'	076°01'	18.98	6.728	4	A1	2.56	8.16	1.795	0.947	0.0	5.42	3A	1.555	4.682	

Table 7. Continued.

SEQ #	STATION	STATION NAME	LAT	LONG	SQ MI	ACRE	PTR	ZN	PRB	AREA	PER	P10	PIR T	DO	STORAGE	LENGTH	PHYS ZN	G10	G50	Q10/A
3	66 014900000	NORTHEAST CR AT LESLIE MARYLAND	39-38 075-57	74-38 15-5520	4	A1	2-35	7-31	2-684	1-656	0-0	14-39	3A	3-159	4-665	0-203				
3	67 015815000	BYNUM RUN CR AT BEL AIR MARYLAND	39-32 076-50	74-52 3-4520	4	B10	2-45	7-76	0-904	1-120	0-0	5-77	3A	2-737	4-103	0-502				
3	68 015398000	SLADE RUN CR GLYNDON MARYLAND	39-30 076-48	74-29 1-3370	4	B16	2-50	7-86	0-245	0-984	0-0	2-43	4A	0-342	0-494	0-256				
3	69 015985000	CRANBERRY BRANCH NR WESTMINSTER MD	39-38 076-58	74-29 2-1830	4	B15	2-40	7-47	0-451	1-053	0-0	3-33	4A	0-736	1-217	0-360				
3	70 015985000	NORTH CR ANNAPOLIS MARYLAND	38-39 076-57	74-39 1-4400	2	B11	2-82	8-35	0-129	0-852	0-0	4-27	3A	2-282	2-252	0-123				
3	71 015986000	CRABTREE CR NR SHANTON MARYLAND	39-39 079-10	74-58 10-6880	2	B15	1-95	8-19	0-910	1-214	0-0	9-06	3A	1-535	2-004	0-144				
3	72 016378000	LITTLE CATOCTIN CR AT HARMONY MARYLAND	39-39 077-30	74-83 8-6550	4	B12	2-35	9-68	0-299	0-755	0-0	3-97	5A	2-622	4-249	2-464				
3	73 016408000	OWENS CR AT LANTZ MARYLAND	39-41 077-28	74-93 3-7950	4	B12	2-18	6-90	0-280	0-863	0-0	3-76	3B	1-377	2-181	0-363				
3	74 016419000	HUNTING CR JUITON MARYLAND	39-36 077-24	74-18 11-7760	4	B16	2-28	6-99	0-891	1-312	0-0	9-92	3B	1-222	1-876	0-104				
3	75 016610000	CHAPTICO CR AT CHAPTICO MARYLAND	38-33 076-47	74-78 6-8480	4	A2	2-71	9-81	1-429	1-133	0-0	6-54	3A	2-659	4-845	0-394				
3	76 016615000	ST MARYS R AT GREAT MILLS MARYLAND	38-23 076-36	74-88 15-3860	4	A1	2-75	8-67	2-379	0-938	0-0	8-38	3A	3-820	6-897	0-249				
3	77 016618000	DOUGLAS CR NR EMDEN MISSOURI	39-45 081-55	74-69 1-7216	1	C9	2-33	7-39	0-503	0-838	0-0	2-42	12E	1-222	1-766	0-745				
3	78 020812260	MILL CR AT OREGON MARYLAND	39-35 095-66	74-95 3-1350	1	C20	2-55	9-56	0-447	0-742	0-0	2-89	12E	2-307	3-594	0-736				
3	79 036228000	WHITE CLOUD CR NR MARVILLE MISSOURI	48-23 084-55	74-95 3-8780	1	C20	2-55	7-93	0-135	1-120	0-0	4-87	12E	2-531	3-947	0-653				
3	80 060283000	WHITE SLOUGH CR WILCOX MISSOURI	46-23 084-56	74-96 0-8320	1	C20	2-52	7-98	0-301	0-794	0-0	1-68	12E	0-934	1-336	1-123				
3	81 068945000	EPK FISHING R AT EXCELSIOR SPRINGS MO	39-26 084-13	74-88 12-8880	1	C28	2-53	7-99	2-484	1-488	0-0	11-81	12E	7-418	11-453	0-579				
3	82 089975000	EBR 8TH ST MILL BLACKWATER R NR ELM MISSOURI	38-97 084-02	74-87 1-4980	3	C8	2-54	8-67	1-120	1-216	0-0	9-18	12F	5-399	7-328	0-484				
3	83 089205000	SHILOH BRANCH NR MARSHALL MISSOURI	38-97 093-06	74-87 1-8380	1	C20	2-47	7-78	0-558	0-926	0-0	2-86	12F	0-944	1-279	0-514				
3	84 089208000	COYLE BRANCH AT HOUSTON MISSOURI	37-9 081-57	74-10 8-7940	3	B20	2-45	7-75	0-160	0-819	0-0	1-52	14A	0-541	0-023	0-756				
3	85 089335000	UMBRO BRANCH AT DANVILLE MISSOURI	38-05 081-32	74-10 8-9960	1	B18	2-35	7-43	0-316	0-919	0-0	0-84	12E	0-592	0-884	0-658				
3	86 080159000	LANES FORK NR VICHY MISSOURI	38-06 081-43	74-10 15-4240	3	B20	2-39	7-57	0-002	1-114	0-0	0-65	14A	0-514	0-112	2-422				
3	87 071835000	STAHL CR NR MILLER MISSOURI	37-11 083-51	74-86 1-4980	3	B20	2-62	8-33	0-481	0-819	0-0	2-84	14A	1-291	1-134	0-523				
3	88 070115000	GREEN ACRE BRANCH NR ROLLER MISSOURI	37-15 083-44	74-62 0-3980	3	B20	2-39	7-57	0-148	0-819	0-0	6-05	14A	0-847	1-314	0-507				
3	89 080200000	SOUTH OMAHA CR TRIB NR WALTHILL NEBRASKA	42-05 086-20	74-64 1-6880	1	C20	2-43	7-72	0-293	0-866	0-0	2-36	12E	1-059	1-489	0-597				
3	90 080207000	SOUTH OMAHA CR TRIB NR WALTHILL NEBRASKA	42-07 086-29	74-65 1-6880	1	C20	2-43	7-72	0-229	0-866	0-0	6-16	12E	0-147	0-757	0-636				
3	91 080207700	SOUTH OMAHA CR TRIB NR CREG NEBRASKA	41-50 086-20	74-65 1-6880	1	C20	2-45	7-72	0-282	0-862	0-0	2-33	12E	0-176	0-677	0-680				
3	92 080207800	S BRANCH TEKAMAH CR TRIB NR TEKAMAH NEBRA SKA	41-50 086-20	74-65 1-6880	1	C20	2-45	7-72	0-282	0-862	0-0	4-95	12E	2-287	3-044	0-676				
3	93 080207900	S BRANCH TEKAMAH CR TRIB NR TEKAMAH NEBRASKA	41-50 086-20	74-65 1-6880	1	C20	2-45	7-72	0-282	0-862	0-0	4-95	12E	2-287	3-044	0-676				
3	94 080208000	NEW YORK CR NORTH OF SPIVER NEBRASKA	41-46 086-17	74-66 1-6880	1	C20	2-45	7-82	0-673	0-911	0-0	4-22	12E	2-810	4-227	0-678				
3	95 080208100	NEW YORK CR EAST OF SPIVER NEBRASKA	41-46 086-17	74-66 1-6880	1	C20	2-45	7-82	0-673	0-911	0-0	6-68	12E	4-696	7-009	0-528				
3	96 080208200	NEW YORK CR TRIB NR BUFFALO NEBRASKA	41-01 089-50	74-51 3-3344	1	D14	2-49	7-86	1-259	1-552	0-0	6-29	130	0-119	2-182	0-036				
3	97 080208300	WEST BUFFALO CR TRIB NR BUFFALO NEBRASKA	40-53 089-52	74-18 10-9440	1	D14	2-49	7-86	2-299	1-421	0-0	10-37	130	0-222	0-388	0-305				
3	98 080208400	ELM CR TRIB NR CORYDON NEBRASKA	40-53 089-43	74-18 10-9440	1	D14	2-46	7-76	0-167	0-723	0-0	0-94	130	0-124	0-175	0-334				
3	99 080208500	ELM CR TRIB NR SUMNER NEBRASKA	40-52 089-32	74-18 0-5360	1	D14	2-45	7-76	0-652	1-414	0-0	0-64	130	0-656	1-084	0-056				
3	100 080208600	ELM CR TRIB NR 2 NR CORYDON NEBRASKA	40-50 089-32	74-18 0-5360	1	D14	2-46	7-76	0-779	1-018	0-0	4-18	130	0-403	0-039	0-112				
3	101 080208700	WOOD CR TRIB NR LODI NEBRASKA	41-18 089-48	74-22 1-2928	1	D14	2-39	7-86	0-368	1-218	0-0	3-13	130	0-252	0-392	0-015				
3	102 080208800	WOOD CR TRIB NR LODI NEBRASKA	41-18 089-48	74-22 1-2928	1	D14	2-46	7-86	2-344	1-619	0-0	10-24	130	0-126	0-198	0-015				
3	103 080208900	LILLIAN CR TRIB NR BROKEN BOW NEBRASKA	41-31 089-39	74-92 1-2920	1	D14	2-39	7-66	0-348	0-866	0-0	2-24	130	0-010	0-216	0-026				
3	104 080209000	LILLIAN CR TRIB NR BROKEN BOW NEBRASKA	41-31 089-39	74-92 1-2920	1	D14	2-39	7-66	0-604	0-936	0-0	3-72	130	0-637	1-033	0-209				
3	105 080209100	LILLIAN CR TRIB NR BROKEN BOW NEBRASKA	41-31 089-39	74-92 1-2920	1	D14	2-39	7-66	0-655	1-017	0-0	5-07	130	0-895	1-338	0-234				
3	106 080209200	LILLIAN CR TRIB NR BROKEN BOW NEBRASKA	41-31 089-39	74-92 1-2920	1	D14	2-39	7-66	0-621	1-053	0-0	3-83	130	0-313	0-366	0-240				
3	107 080209300	LILLIAN CR TRIB NR BROKEN BOW NEBRASKA	41-22 089-36	74-98 3-2752	1	D14	2-38	7-66	0-187	1-075	0-0	1-24	130	0-154	0-339	0-560				
3	108 080209400	BR MUD CR TRIB NR BROKEN BOW NEBRASKA	41-22 089-36	74-98 3-2752	1	D14	2-38	7-66	0-857	1-076	0-0	5-07	130	0-895	1-338	0-234				
3	109 080209500	BR MUD CR TRIB NR BROKEN BOW NEBRASKA	41-22 089-36	74-98 3-2752	1	D14	2-38	7-66	1-234	1-772	0-0	5-85	130	0-313	0-366	0-240				

Table 7. Continued.

SEQ #	STATION	STATION NAME	LAT	LONG	SG MI. TH ACRE	PTR	ZN	PRB	PTR T	PTR	DD	STORAGE	LENGTH	PHYS ZN	Q18	Q50	Q1B/A
B 91	00729000 E	BRANCH SPRING CR TRIB NR WOLBACH NEB	41-27	098-26	1-.93	0-.978	1	D14	2-.44	7-.75	0-.44	1-.139	0-.2	2-.73	130	0-.722	1-.268
B 92	00729000 W	BRANCH SPRING CR AT BRAYTON NEBRASKA	41-27	098-24	10-.35	0-.400	1	D14	2-.44	7-.75	0-.359	1-.681	0-.6	12-.6	130	0-.133	0-.652
B 93	00808110 S	STOVE CR AT ELKHORN NEBRASKA	46-15	096-15	10-.35	0-.598	1	C29	2-.55	7-.97	1-.958	1-.916	0-.6	9-.0	128	0-.957	7-.765
B 94	00818100 HODER CR TRIB NR PALMYRA NEBRASKA	46-16	096-22	8-.00	0-.100	1	C29	2-.98	7-.97	2-.129	1-.779	0-.0	0-.75	126	2-.386	3-.727	
B 95	00818100 LITTLE NEMaha R TRIB NR SYRACUSE NEB	46-35	096-19	8-.71	0-.484	1	C28	2-.52	8-.85	0-.187	1-.630	0-.8	0-.8	126	0-.791	1-.176	
B 96	00809000 FRAZIER CR NR MAYWOOD NEBRASKA	46-35	100-38	11-.35	0-.288	1	D14	2-.19	7-.37	0-.045	0-.681	0-.0	0-.8	130	0-.974	10-.087	
B 97	00809000 CUT CANYON CR CURTS NEBRASKA	46-44	100-32	05-.45	0-.260	1	D14	2-.35	7-.37	3-.05	1-.765	0-.1	15-.7	130	1-.000	1-.549	
B 98	00809000 CUT CANYON CR CURTS NEBRASKA	46-34	100-26	21-.70	0-.860	1	D14	2-.32	7-.43	2-.03	1-.479	0-.1	11-.6	130	3-.429	6-.126	
B 99	01345000 DAY CR NR HADOC NEW JERSEY	41-06	074-16	19-.10	0-.249	3	B2	2-.94	6-.70	1-.226	1-.299	0-.1	16-.6	98	0-.681	1-.121	
B 100	01360000 WEST BROOK NR RIDGEWOOD NEW JERSEY	41-04	074-05	11-.88	7-.329	3	B2	2-.64	6-.72	0-.446	.794	0-.8	4-.6	98	1-.686	1-.339	
B 101	01360000 BADDIE CR NR RIDGEWOOD NEW JERSEY	40-59	074-05	81-.65	0-.824	2	B2	2-.06	6-.86	2-.128	1-.386	0-.0	16-.6	48	1-.989	2-.767	
B 102	01360000 HOMOKUS BROOK AT HOMOKUS NEW JERSEY	41-06	074-07	16-.40	0-.469	2	B2	2-.06	6-.86	1-.051	1-.810	0-.0	7-.2	48	1-.811	2-.082	
B 103	01374000 BR RAHAWA AT WILBURG NEW JERSEY	40-44	074-10	7-.10	0-.649	3	B2	2-.18	6-.84	1-.144	1-.402	0-.1	6-.57	48	0-.693	1-.036	
B 104	01406000 MIDBRY AT HISTER BBS IN LEB ST FOREST N J	39-35	074-39	22-.73	0-.772	4	A1	2-.29	7-.24	2-.317	1-.161	0-.1	8-.4	32	0-.834	6-.051	
B 105	01424500 SALEM R AT WOODBURN NEW JERSEY	39-39	075-28	14-.80	0-.346	4	A1	2-.34	7-.44	2-.395	0-.685	0-.0	7-.16	34	4-.530	5-.374	
B 106	01360000 BLIND BROOK AT RYE NEW YORK	40-59	073-41	9-.20	0-.869	4	A1	2-.12	6-.76	0-.958	1-.344	0-.0	7-.20	48	1-.264	3-.215	
B 107	01360000 HILL NECK CR AT MILL NECK NEW YORK	40-53	073-34	11-.50	0-.368	4	A1	2-.13	6-.82	0-.422	0-.484	0-.0	19-.6	272	0-.689	6-.123	
B 108	01360000 CHAMPION CR AT ISLIP NEW YORK	40-44	073-12	6-.55	0-.169	4	A1	2-.14	6-.07	0-.074	0-.435	0-.0	1-.97	34	0-.866	0-.892	
B 109	01375000 PENATIQUET CR AT BAY SHORE NEW YORK	48-13	073-14	5-.80	0-.289	4	A1	2-.11	6-.07	0-.113	0-.370	0-.1	1-.59	34	0-.866	0-.876	
B 110	01380000 SAMPAKAS CR AT BAYSHORE R AT LINDENHURST NEW YORK	48-12	073-19	23-.50	0-.289	4	A1	2-.11	6-.07	0-.344	0-.442	0-.1	3-.74	34	0-.894	0-.334	
B 111	01380000 SANTAPQUE R AT LINDENHURST NEW YORK	40-11	073-21	7-.80	0-.469	4	A1	2-.19	6-.07	0-.329	0-.376	0-.0	1-.75	34	0-.835	0-.046	
B 112	01355000 CHESTNUT CR AT GRANBYVILLE NEW YORK	41-51	074-32	20-.90	0-.376	1	B8	1-.59	5-.94	0-.654	0-.691	0-.0	5-.58	68	0-.953	4-.574	
B 113	01423000 DRYDEN CR NR GRANBY NEW YORK	40-52	075-14	6-.45	0-.664	1	B8	1-.57	5-.64	0-.438	0-.676	0-.0	5-.15	68	0-.937	4-.220	
B 114	01421000 TROUT CR NR ROCK ROYAL NEW YORK	42-18	075-15	26-.40	0-.560	1	B8	1-.74	5-.61	0-.220	0-.989	0-.0	7-.24	8C	1-.490	2-.614	
B 115	02819200 SMITHICK CR TRIB NR MILLINGTON N C	35-44	077-05	0-.92	0-.568	4	A3	2-.02	6-.90	0-.442	0-.753	0-.0	1-.26	34	0-.285	0-.346	
B 116	02819200 HARTS MILL RUN NR TARBORO N C	35-36	077-37	6-.55	0-.491	2	B16	2-.77	6-.86	0-.444	0-.442	0-.0	5-.43	34	0-.498	0-.091	
B 117	02819200 DEEPNR SCOTLAND N C	36-09	077-26	11-.70	0-.488	4	A2	2-.75	6-.74	2-.038	1-.875	0-.0	6-.58	34	1-.395	2-.196	
B 118	02819200 STIRUP IRON CR TRIB NR NELSON N C	35-53	078-53	0-.25	0-.169	2	B11	2-.58	6-.23	0-.376	2-.317	0-.0	2-.94	4A	2-.120	0-.174	
B 119	02819140 STONE CR NR NEPTON GROVE N C	35-26	078-22	27-.05	0-.869	4	A3	2-.74	6-.71	0-.922	0-.922	0-.0	6-.57	38	1-.964	1-.975	
B 120	02819200 LONG C N C	35-26	078-15	6-.87	0-.368	2	B12	2-.72	6-.64	1-.354	1-.862	0-.0	6-.86	38	1-.398	2-.316	
B 121	02809500 LEE SWAMP CR NR LUCAMA N C	35-42	078-02	2-.83	1-.811	4	A3	2-.74	6-.74	0-.485	0-.499	0-.0	1-.48	38	0-.337	0-.333	
B 122	02809500 WHITFOOK SWAMP CR NR WILSON N C	35-29	077-18	24-.20	0-.648	4	A2	2-.65	6-.76	0-.958	0-.666	0-.0	1-.89	38	0-.356	0-.537	
B 123	02802020 PALMETTO SWAMP NR VANCEBORO N C	35-29	077-18	24-.20	0-.488	4	A2	2-.65	9-.86	0-.034	0-.813	0-.0	7-.00	34	1-.892	2-.973	
B 124	02802520 VINE SWAMP NR KINTON NORTH CAROLINA	35-09	077-33	5-.64	0-.666	4	A3	2-.54	9-.93	0-.930	0-.930	0-.0	3-.69	34	0-.577	2-.453	
B 125	02101300 SOUTH BROWN ANDERSON CR NELLINGTTON NC	35-14	078-01	10-.46	0-.460	4	D26	2-.34	9-.23	0-.227	0-.227	0-.0	4-.77	34	2-.254	0-.215	
B 126	02101300 HATHES CR NR INK HILL N C	35-12	078-01	9-.85	0-.466	4	A3	2-.54	9-.17	0-.966	0-.966	0-.0	3-.89	34	0-.526	0-.966	
B 127	02101630 TURKEY CR NR CASTLE WAYNE N C	34-24	077-55	18-.20	0-.588	4	A2	2-.07	9-.93	1-.456	0-.819	0-.0	4-.55	38	1-.724	2-.068	
B 128	02101630 BUCKHEAD BRANCH CR BOLTON NORTH CAROLINA	34-21	078-26	15-.30	0-.792	4	A2	2-.03	9-.86	0-.547	0-.547	0-.0	6-.35	38	0-.955	1-.407	
B 129	02101820 MILL BRANCH CR TAOR CITY NORTH CAROLINA	34-11	078-17	3-.85	0-.448	4	A2	2-.62	9-.98	1-.390	1-.192	0-.0	3-.95	38	0-.525	0-.822	
B 130	02101820 BIG KNOB CR NR FALCON NORTH CAROLINA	35-20	081-02	10-.46	0-.460	4	D26	2-.32	7-.37	1-.261	1-.261	0-.0	7-.70	4A	2-.254	0-.215	
B 131	03450000 BETHEE CR NR SWANANDA NORTH CAROLINA	35-39	082-24	5-.46	0-.494	2	B12	2-.30	7-.26	0-.459	0-.813	0-.0	3-.34	38	0-.526	0-.965	
B 132	03451500 ALLEN CR NR HAZELWOOD NORTH CAROLINA	35-36	083-08	14-.46	0-.210	2	B14	2-.31	7-.37	1-.151	.717	0-.0	4-.70	38	1-.233	1-.056	
B 133	03508500 CULLABAYA R AT HIGHLANDS NORTH CAROLINA	35-04	083-14	14-.98	0-.568	2	B12	2-.35	7-.53	0-.648	0-.989	0-.0	6-.70	58	2-.385	3-.426	
B 134	03220000 E&R BANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY OKLAHOMA	35-31	089-32	23-.00	0-.768	2	D28	2-.59	7-.23	2-.278	2-.278	0-.0	18-.86	12P	0-.946	1-.352	
B 135	03515000 GUTHRIE ARS WATERSHED NO M-1 OKLAHOMA	35-46	077-46	.0522	.0534	2	D24	2-.75	8-.71	.064	.064	0-.0	.6758	12P	0-.884	2-.515	

Table 7. Continued.

SEQ #	STATION	STATION NAME	LAT	LONG	SD MI	TH ACRE	PTR IN	PRO AREA	P66	P16	PTR T	CD	STORAGE	LENGTH	PHYS ZN	Q18	Q56	Q19/A
6 136	35.3	GUTHRIE ARS WATERSHED NO H-3	35°46' 097-48	-98°49'	0.0013	2	0.24	2.75	0.71	.0062	0.01	0.0	.003	12F	0.007	0.010	2.235	
6 137	35.4	GUTHRIE ARS WATERSHED NO H-4	35°46' 097-48	-98°49'	0.0082	2	0.24	2.75	0.71	.002	44.75	0.01	.003	12F	0.005	0.009	0.699	
6 138	37.2	STILLWATER ARS WATERSHED NO 3	35°46' 097-48	-1438	.0028	2	0.24	2.75	0.71	.0048	11.16	21.18	.0735	12F	0.294	0.405	3.196	
6 139	37.3	STILLWATER ARS WATERSHED NO 4	35°46' 097-10	-1438	.0028	2	0.24	2.75	0.71	.0045	7.07	1.4	.1212	12F	0.354	0.328	0.718	
6 140	0151569	COREY CR NR MAHESBURG PENNA	41-47 077-91	12.28	7.0008	1	0.05	2.74	0.76	.003	0.003	0.0	0.0	80	1.45	2.066	0.184	
6 141	01517688	ELK RUN NR MAHESBURG PENNA	41-49 076-58	18.28	6.5268	1	0.05	2.74	0.53	.0052	1.171	0.0	0.59	80	0.934	1.273	0.143	
6 142	01567598	BISTER RNING LOYSVILLE PENNA	48-02 077-24	15.88	9.6908	3	0.05	0.38	1.00	.000	1.024	0.0	0.0	68	0.079	0.397	0.404	
6 144	03078598	SEVENILLE RUN NR RASSILSBURG PENNA	39-14 079-43	24.58	15.8898	2	0.13	5.43	5.43	.0033	1.011	0.1	5.08	86	1.159	1.745	0.231	
6 145	01088100	GREEN LICK RUN AT GREEN LICK RES PENNA	40-03 079-38	3.97	1.9448	2	0.15	5.91	9.122	.0017	1.114	0.0	0.0	80	0.617	0.923	0.314	
6 146	00139200	DEEP CR SN 3 NR PLACID LAKE TEXAS	31-17 099-89	3.42	2.1888	3	0.26	2.71	0.61	0.293	0.766	0.0	0.0	13K	2.903	5.118	1.354	
6 147	02166670	DRY CR AT BUSCHER LAKE SMITHVILLE TEX	38-03 097-88	1.46	0.9972	4	0.43	3.12	0.94	0.251	0.836	0.0	1.86	3F	0.446	0.744	0.471	
6 148	01212400	WY BRANCH NR MENTON VIRGINIA	38-08 078-59	0.43	0.7008	3	0.14	0.62	0.05	.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	68	0.353	2.305	0.292	
6 149	01655518	CEDAR RUN NR WARRENTON VIRGINIA	38-14 077-47	13.86	8.3288	4	0.11	7.49	7.49	.0075	0.017	0.0	4.54	5A	5.600	9.316	0.683	
6 150	01655518	BROAD RUN NR WARRENTON VIRGINIA	38-18 077-49	2.94	1.8116	3	0.10	7.49	8.197	0.499	0.0	1.58	0.0	0.0	4A	0.108	0.217	0.085
6 151	01658500	SF QUANTICO CR NR INDEPENDENT HLL VA	38-15 077-26	7.64	4.8808	4	0.10	0.26	2.00	2.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.181	
6 152	01672600	BEVERDALE SHMPL NR ARK ALBION VIRGINIA	37-28 078-34	7.10	4.5440	4	0.1	2.74	0.64	0.161	0.436	0.0	0.0	3A	0.358	0.532	0.074	
6 153	01671500	MUDSON CR NR BOWWELL'S TAVERN VIRGINIA	38-12 078-11	4.18	2.6240	2	0.10	2.34	7.62	0.793	1.175	0.0	0.0	4A	1.075	1.759	0.410	
6 154	01673100	TODOPOTOMY CR NR ATLEE VIRGINIA	37-18 077-23	6.38	3.6888	4	0.1	2.02	0.32	1.00	1.00	0.0	0.0	4.78	4A	8.377	9.541	0.690
6 155	02151500	CONFASITE R N HEADWATERS VIRGINIA	38-10 079-26	11.38	7.9220	3	0.14	2.12	0.73	0.359	0.909	0.0	0.0	5A	5.600	9.316	0.286	
6 156	02222800	CALPASTURE R N WEST AUGUSTA VA	38-10 079-18	12.88	8.1126	3	0.14	2.13	0.76	0.399	0.876	0.0	0.0	5B	2.108	3.108	0.257	
6 157	02221100	SOUTH R NPS STEELS TAVERN VIRGINIA	37-35 079-19	15.70	10.0088	3	0.14	6.93	2.66	2.00	2.00	0.0	0.0	68	2.062	3.713	0.219	
6 158	02229400	SNR NPK HARDWARE R N NORTH GARDEN VA	37-37 078-39	6.59	4.2175	2	0.10	2.24	7.26	0.318	0.705	0.0	0.0	4A	4.689	4.689	0.602	
6 159	02231100	NPK MODMANS R N WHITEHALL VIRGINIA	38-08 078-45	11.46	2.2060	2	0.12	2.19	7.00	0.300	0.101	0.0	0.0	4A	3.128	5.192	0.424	
6 160	02305500	FINE CR AT FINE CREEK MILLS VA	37-15 077-49	23.98	14.7880	2	0.10	2.06	0.0	2.359	1.133	0.0	0.0	4A	1.618	2.644	0.111	
6 161	02343300	CYPRESS SWAP AT CYPRESS CHAPEL VA	38-37 076-36	23.98	14.7880	4	0.42	0.84	2.06	0.0	2.069	0.0	0.0	3A	2.686	4.369	0.183	
6 162	02442700	COLLINS RIN NR PROVIDENCE SPRG VA	38-14 077-03	2.84	1.8176	2	0.10	2.07	8.58	0.331	0.838	0.0	0.0	3A	0.938	1.374	0.456	
6 163	02449400	CYPRESS SWAMP NR BURDette VIRGINIA	36-14 076-56	8.35	5.4728	4	0.10	2.72	5.73	0.246	0.547	0.0	0.0	3A	0.202	0.373	0.048	
6 164	02253500	NORTH MEMPHIS R N KEYSVILLE VA	37-13 078-29	4.77	3.0226	4	0.10	2.02	7.05	0.085	0.076	0.0	0.0	3A	0.378	1.317	1.920	
6 165	02611500	MININGER CR NR BEDFORD VIRGINIA	37-18 079-29	4.77	3.0226	4	0.10	2.02	7.05	0.085	0.076	0.0	0.0	4A	1.411	2.918	0.431	
6 166	02755000	GEORGES CR NR GRETNA VIRGINIA	38-15 079-18	0.28	5.0000	4	0.1	2.02	7.05	0.085	0.076	0.0	0.0	4A	1.018	1.512	0.172	
6 167	03071000	PRAYER CR AT VASANT VIRGINIA	37-13 082-06	19.80	12.0128	2	0.10	2.12	7.73	0.787	0.0	0.0	6A	2.682	4.134	0.210		
6 168	03473500	4.78 MID FK HOLSTON R AT GROSELODE VA	36-33 081-21	7.39	4.7886	3	0.14	2.10	6.85	0.089	0.653	0.0	0.0	6A	2.459	6.128	0.166	
6 169	03473000	STALEY CR NR MARION VIRGINIA	36-39 081-28	8.33	5.3512	3	0.14	2.10	6.84	0.332	0.973	0.0	0.0	58	0.325	0.421	0.061	
6 170	03489000	COVE CR NR SWELEYS VIRGINIA	36-39 082-21	17.39	11.9728	3	0.14	2.10	6.84	1.312	0.0	0.0	6A	1.401	2.085	0.132		
6 171	05488000	KNAPP CR NR BLOMINGDALE WISCONSIN	43-10 090-37	8.47	5.4668	1	0.10	2.07	6.61	0.033	1.000	0.0	0.0	12C	6.031	10.452	1.116	
6 172	05245500	WHITEWATER CR NR WHITEWATER WISCONSIN	44-15 098-41	7.28	4.4668	1	0.11	2.08	6.54	0.030	1.000	0.0	0.0	12A	0.019	0.026	0.004	
6 173	05247900	WHITEWATER CR NR WHITEWATER WISCONSIN	44-19 098-42	16.78	18.6888	1	0.11	2.08	6.54	0.030	1.000	0.0	0.0	12A	0.012	0.024	0.009	
6 174	05436600	WT VERNON CR NR MT VERNON WISCONSIN	43-35 099-37	16.10	16.3049	1	0.10	2.04	6.55	2.966	1.382	0.0	0.0	12C	0.797	1.177	0.077	

**Table 7. Continued. Explanation of numbered column headings.**

- <sup>1</sup>Area in square miles.
- <sup>2</sup>Area in thousands of acres.
- <sup>3</sup>Potter zone as derived from Potter's maps.
- <sup>4</sup>PRB Area. Soil Conservation Service Problem area.
- <sup>5</sup>P60. 10-year 60-minute rainfall. Inches.
- <sup>6</sup>P10. 10-year 10-minute rainfall intensity. Inches per hour.
- <sup>7</sup>PTR T. Potter T value as computed by the authors.
- <sup>8</sup>DD. Drainage density at the total length of all drainage channels on the watershed in miles divided by the watershed area. Miles/thousand acres.
- <sup>9</sup>Storage. The percentage of the watershed covered by lakes, swamps, playas, etc.
- <sup>10</sup>Length. The length of the principal drainage channel as shown as either a solid or broken blue line on the topographic map. Miles.
- <sup>11</sup>Phys ZN. The physiographic section as taken from the Fenneman and Johnson map. Figure 20.
- <sup>12</sup>Q10. The 10-year runoff peak as determined from tabulations of flow data. Thousands of cfs.
- <sup>13</sup>Q50. The 50-year peak runoff as determined from actual measurement data. Thousands of cfs.
- <sup>14</sup>Q10/A. The 10-year peak runoff per unit of area. cfs/acre.

### Watershed parameters

The data showing the additional watersheds and parameters are given in Table 7 (p. 32-36). The values for the parameters in the additional watersheds from the Potter states are considered to be representative of the Potter watersheds themselves except that the additional watersheds represent more physiographic sections than Potter's original watersheds.

### Deviation of the modified Potter method curves for testing the new data

The method described previously relating the 10-year peak flow to area, topographic factor, and precipitation using only Potter Group 1 data was now repeated using the USU extended data from all 96 Potter watersheds. Potter's zonation was retained primarily for testing purposes. The derived equations are given in Table 8 (p. 38) and are shown graphically in Figures 15 through 18 (p. 39-42). Equations for each of the four Potter zones as well as a set lumping all the zones together were derived. The equations were then tested against a sample of 25 watersheds selected randomly from Table 7 (p. 32-36). The sampling was done by first randomly selecting one watershed from each State, then by taking one more sample from each State in which six or more watersheds were shown in Table 7 (p. 32-36) until a total of 25 watersheds were selected.

### Estimation of 10-year peak flow from Potter type curves

Three different estimates of the 10-year peak flow were made for comparison purposes for each of the 25 watersheds. This comparison is given in Table 9 (p. 43). A 10-year peak flow estimate is obtained as follows using Figures 15-18 (p. 39-43): First, enter Figure 15 (p. 39) with the watershed area and read the  $\hat{q}_{10}(A)$  value from the proper curve (zone or lumped). For example, if the area is 5.200 thousand acres in Zone I, read  $\hat{q}_{10}(A)$  as 1.15 Mcfs. Second, enter Figure 16 (p. 40) with the value of T and read  $DY_1$ . To continue the example, if T for the above Zone I watershed was 1.15, read  $DY_1$  as 0.99.  $DY_2$  is similarly determined from Figure 17 (p. 41) using  $P_{60}$  as the argument. For example, if the above watershed had a  $P_{60}$  of 2.20 inches, read  $DY_2$  as 1.70. The fourth step is to multiply the three above numbers together to obtain  $\hat{q}_{10}(ATP) = 1.15 \times 0.99 \times 1.70 = 1.94$  Mcfs. The final step is to enter Figure 18 (p. 42) with  $\hat{q}_{10}(ATP)$  as the argument and read  $\hat{q}_{10}(K)$  which is the estimate of  $q_{10}$ . To conclude the above example, entering Figure 19 (p. 44) with a value of 1.94 on the  $\hat{q}_{10}(ATP)$  axis the value for  $\hat{q}_{10}(K)$  is read as 2.66 Mcfs. Alternatively, the same value could have been obtained by solving the respective Zone I equations given in Table 8 (p. 38) for  $\hat{q}_{10}(A)$ ,  $DY_1$ ,  $DY_2$ , and  $\hat{q}_{10}(K)$ . The values given in the comparison, Table 9 (p. 43), were developed in a manner similar to that described above.

The comparison shown in Table 9 (p. 43) was designed to indicate the value of zoning as opposed to lumping all of the data together to derive a single set of equations. In addition, a third comparison was made to evaluate whether there was much difference between the USU derived equations and those curves published by Potter (ref. 13).

Table 8. Equations relating 10 year runoff peak,  $\bar{q}_{10}$ , to area, A, topographic factor, T, and 10 year 60 minute precipitation,  $P_{60}$ , derived from all Potter watersheds using USU data.

Zone	Equation	(1) $\bar{q}_{10}$ Mcfs	(2) PSEE percent	(3) n	(4) r
I	$\hat{q}_{10(A)} = 0.45894 A^{0.55730}$	-	-	27	0.835
	$DY_1 = 0.98877 T^{-0.02446}$	-	-	27	-0.054
	$DY_2 = 0.15197 P_{60}^{3.06468}$	-	-	27	0.612
	$\hat{q}_{10(K)} = 1.08688 \hat{q}_{10(ATP)}^{1.34956}$	1.238	54.4	27	0.933
II	$\hat{q}_{10(A)} = 0.59009 A^{0.57097}$			38	0.862
	$DY_1 = 0.97075 T^{-0.02191}$			38	-0.061
	$DY_2 = 0.35418 P_{60}^{1.49032}$			38	0.468
	$\hat{q}_{10(K)} = 1.07015 \hat{q}_{10(ATP)}^{1.15286}$	1.301	85.7	38	0.902
III	$\hat{q}_{10(A)} = 0.47989 A^{0.72245}$			17	0.879
	$DY_1 = 1.01359 T^{0.02862}$			17	0.054
	$DY_2 = 0.01752 P_{60}^{5.42379}$			17	0.820
	$\hat{q}_{10(K)} = 1.00742 \hat{q}_{10(ATP)}^{0.97539}$	2.249	41.0	17	0.962
IV	$\hat{q}_{10(A)} = 0.81093 A^{0.43263}$			14	0.506
	$DY_1 = 1.00137 T^{0.00638}$			14	0.010
	$DY_2 = 0.06867 P_{60}^{3.11099}$			14	0.399
	$\hat{q}_{10(K)} = 1.03877 \hat{q}_{10(ATP)}^{0.93455}$	2.072	45.0	14	0.614
Lumped	$\hat{q}_{10(A)} = 0.54572 A^{0.58863}$			96	0.854
	$DY_1 = 0.98095 T^{-0.02463}$			96	-0.059
	$DY_2 = 0.24383 P_{60}^{1.99847}$			96	0.534
	$\hat{q}_{10(K)} = 1.00959 \hat{q}_{10(ATP)}^{1.09129}$	1.564	69.4	96	0.902

Notes explaining the column headings:

(1)  $\bar{q}_{10}$  is the mean 10 year peak flow calculated from the observed 10 year peak flows for each zone.

(2) PSEE is the standard error of estimate expressed as a percent of the zone  $\bar{q}_{10}$ . It is calculated by the equation:

$$PSEE = \frac{100}{\bar{q}_{10}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum (q_{10} - \hat{q}_{10(K)})^2}{n - 2}}$$

(3) n is the number of watersheds used in deriving the data.

(4) r is the correlation coefficient between two variables x and y. It is calculated by:

$$r = \frac{\sum (x - \bar{x})(y - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum (x - \bar{x})^2 \sum (y - \bar{y})^2}}$$

where x and y are any two independent and dependent variables, respectively.




Figure 15. The relationship of the 10-year peak flow to area for Potter watersheds using USU data.




Figure 16. The relationship of the  $y$  deviations,  $\Delta y_1$ , from each line from Figure 9 to its topographic factor,  $T$ .




Figure 17. The relationship of the  $y$  deviations,  $\Delta y_2$ , from Figure 10 to its  $P_{60}$  value.




Figure 18. Correction curves,  $K$ , for Potter States to be used with Figures 15, 16, and 17.

Table 9. A selected sample of watersheds from Potter's states used to test the areal extension of Potter's method to other watersheds in the original states.

State	Watershed No.	Potter Zone	$\hat{q}_{10}$ Mcfs	A Acres	1000	T	P <sub>60</sub>	Lumped			Zone Eq.			Potter Curves		
								$\hat{q}_{10}(K)$ Inches	Error Percent	$\hat{q}_{10}(K)$ Mcfs	Error Percent	$\hat{q}_{10}(ATPC)$ Mcfs	Error Percent	$\hat{q}_{10}(ATPC)$ Mcfs	Error Percent	
Delaware	2	IV	0.387	2.464	1.159	2.42	1.397	72.3	1.317	70.6	0.126	-207.3				
Illinois	12	I	0.228	9.792	2.389	1.96	2.008	88.6	2.572	91.1	1.230	81.5				
Illinois	13	I	1.860	3.469	0.576	2.08	1.219	-52.5	1.579	-17.8	1.60	-16.3				
Iowa	23	I	1.779	5.197	1.148	2.21	1.771	-0.4	2.689	33.8	1.800	1.2				
Iowa	30	I	1.620	6.784	1.436	2.49	2.710	40.2	5.341	69.6	4.100	60.5				
Kentucky	35	III	8.944	14.336	1.387	2.19	3.316	-169.7	4.023	-122.3	6.760	-32.3				
Kentucky	40	IV	1.128	1.101	0.368	2.23	0.687	-64.1	0.745	-51.4	0.169	-567.6				
Maryland	45	IV	1.585	6.72	1.795	2.50	2.701	41.3	2.178	27.2	0.702	-125.8				
Maryland	54	IV	1.722	11.776	0.891	2.28	3.229	62.0	2.081	41.3	1.960	37.7				
Missouri	60	I	0.934	0.832	0.301	2.52	0.754	-23.9	1.219	23.4	0.830	-12.5				
Missouri	68	III	0.947	0.398	0.134	2.39	0.427	-98.3	0.479	-77.0	0.580	-46.0				
Nebaska	74	I	4.529	14.72	2.421	2.45	4.243	-6.7	8.792	48.5	7.600	40.4				
Nebraska	90	I	1.090	4.346	1.230	2.43	1.939	43.8	3.472	68.6	1.700	35.9				
Nebraska	98	I	3.429	13.888	2.193	2.32	3.639	5.8	6.739	49.1	6.000	42.9				
New Jersey	101	II	1.909	13.824	2.128	2.06	2.802	31.9	3.255	41.4	5.440	64.9				
New York	108	IV	0.068	4.160	0.674	2.18	1.512	95.5	1.198	94.3	0.300	77.3				
N. Carolina	118	II	0.120	0.160	0.378	2.58	0.273	56.2	0.266	54.9	0.268	55.3				
N. Carolina	129	IV	0.525	2.464	1.300	2.82	1.86	71.8	2.056	74.5	0.050	-950.1				
Oklahoma	134	II	0.940	14.720	2.27	2.59	4.799	80.4	5.020	81.3	12.500	92.5				
Pennsylvania	141	I	0.934	6.528	0.852	1.74	1.227	23.9	1.199	22.1	1.200	22.5				
Texas	147	IV	0.446	0.947	0.251	3.12	1.311	66.0	1.856	76.0	0.600	25.7				
Virginia	151	IV	0.884	4.890	3.010	2.60	2.366	62.6	2.153	58.9	0.029	-298.6				
Virginia	169	III	0.325	5.331	0.432	2.16	1.759	81.5	1.803	82.0	1.836	82.3				
Virginia	163	IV	0.262	5.472	0.246	2.72	3.000	91.3	2.531	89.7	2.640	90.1				
Wisconsin	173	I	0.422	10.688	2.146	1.98	2.178	80.6	2.875	85.3	2.280	81.5				
$\hat{q}_{10}$ mean 1.4567																
Range absolute error															1.2 to 2948.6%	
Mean absolute error															232.0%	
Standard deviation															2.870 Mcfs	
Standard error of estimate															197.0%	
of measured															157.3%	




Figure 19. Mass error curves for 25 sample watersheds located in the Potter States.

The first error estimates were made using the lumped curves or equations. The range in absolute error as a percent of the estimated  $q_{10}$  was from 0.4 percent to 169.7 percent with a mean absolute error of 60.5 percent. The standard error of estimate was 127.4 percent of the mean  $q_{10}$ .

The second error estimates utilized the zone equations. They produced a mean absolute error of 62.1 percent with a range from 17.8 to 122.3 percent and a percent standard error of estimate of 157.3.

The third error estimates utilized the published Potter curves directly. They produced a mean absolute error of 232.0 percent ranging from 1.2 percent to 2948.6 percent. The percent standard error of estimate was 197.0 percent.

The mass error curves for these three comparisons are shown in Figure 19 (p. 44). The general conclusion evident from this phase of the study and supported by the testing on the 25 independently selected watersheds is that a Potter type method is reliable and that the error distribution was not significantly improved by zoning.

## PHASE II

### Refinement of Potter's Method and Other Improved Methods

#### Flood frequency studies

Comparison of methods. The common comparisons between frequency methods generally compare the goodness of fit of a particular set of data to a curve of a known distribution. This criterion was used by Bock, Enger, Malhotra and Chisholm (ref. 3) on 459 watersheds in the United States with Chi-square as a test of the goodness of fit. Their trials indicated that the log normal distribution was superior to Gumbel or log-Pearson Type III distributions and log normal and Gumbel were both superior to log-Pearson Type III distribution.

In the first portion of the present study, data from 167 watersheds in the Great Basin and Colorado River Basin were studied. The data were ranked, normalized and plotted on extremal probability paper against the plotting position,  $(n + 1)/m$ , where  $n$  is the number of years in the array and  $m$  is the position in the array. Selected return period values were computed and tabulated for each watershed and each distribution. The distributions and fitting equations used were the cubic polynomial, the log normal, log-Pearson III, Gumbel, log-Gumbel, gamma, the normal, Pearson III, and log-gamma.

Within the range of the data (11 to 100 years) the cubic gave the best fit. All others except log-gamma fit sufficiently well to be satisfactory. Since the cubic polynomial scored best by every goodness of fit test<sup>1</sup> over the range of the data, it demonstrates the inability of the goodness of fit tests to indicate

---

<sup>1</sup>These tests were Chi-square, probability of Chi-square, Smirnov-Kolmogorov, binomial, Cramer-VonMises, and Anderson-Darling.

the extrapolation reliability of any distribution. Because of this, the data were all plotted along with the three most commonly used distributions for flood frequency analysis; the double exponential or Gumbel, the log normal, and the log Pearson Type III distributions to serve as a guide to extrapolation. The flood frequency plots are included as Appendix A to this report.

Ten-year flood peaks from these computations were compared on the Potter watersheds to the Potter values of  $q_{10}$  and Potter's (ref. 12) graphical upper and lower frequency method by USU. The differences were not statistically different by the  $t$  test. The absolute values of the peaks were somewhat higher with the Gumbel distribution though not significantly so.

**Extrapolation.** In the previous section we dealt with the problem of fitting a set of data to a distribution or an equation. In this section we will consider record lengths and extrapolation to return periods beyond the range of the data.

A search was made for very long records which would most likely be a sample of the variability of the local climate. Four such records were located, i.e., the Lake Erie outlet, Logan River, Santa Fe, New Mexico, precipitation and Mississippi River at Cairo, Illinois. The same kinds of results were obtained for the four records so the illustrations presented here will be drawn from the Santa Fe precipitation record. Figure 20 (p. 47) shows curves constructed by dividing the hundred plus years of record into consecutive periods of 5 year, 10 year, 20 year, 50 year, and 100 year records. The highest and lowest 5-year value from the 20, 5-year records are the two points shown at 5 years in Figure 20 (p. 47) as ratios to the value read from the 100 year record. The two points at 10 years were derived in similar fashion from the ten 10-year records and so on until the upper and lower curves are complete.

The third curve is the mean value of all the members of each group derived from the same groups of records from which the extremes were drawn.

Figure 21 (p. 48) has similar curves to those in Figure 20 (p. 47) except the 50-year event is forecast from the different record lengths. Figure 22 (p. 49) is constructed similarly to Figures 20 (p. 47) and 21 (p. 48) except the 100-year event is being predicted from each record length.

Figures 23 through 28 (p. 50-55) show the same error distributions with the log-Gumbel and log-normal distributions. The Gumbel distribution appears to be somewhat superior to either of the log methods for general extrapolation, but appreciable errors may be present when records are shorter than about 25 years on any of the distributions. This observation contrasts with the conclusions found by the fitting of curves within the range of the data where only 11 years of record produced satisfactory data fits to a distribution. This finding is similar to that of Benson (ref. 2) for a synthetic 1000 years of record.

One item observed is that one consecutive record period of even up to 50 years in length may have a widely divergent population from the next 50-year record indicating long term persistence of climatic highs and lows rather than




Figure 20. Maximum error distribution in the 10-year storm prediction. Gumbel distribution Santa Fe, New Mexico precipitation record.




Figure 21. Maximum error distribution in the 50-year storm prediction. Gumbel distribution and Santa Fe, New Mexico precipitation data.




Figure 22. Maximum error distributions in the 100-year storm prediction. Gumbel distribution  
Santa Fe, New Mexico precipitation data.




Figure 23. Maximum error distributions in the 10-year storm predictions. Log Gumbel distribution.  
Santa Fe, New Mexico precipitation.




Figure 24. Maximum error distributions in the 50-year storm prediction. Log Gumbel distribution, Santa Fe, New Mexico precipitation.




Figure 25. Maximum error distributions in the 100-year storm prediction. Log Gumbel distribution, Santa Fe, New Mexico precipitation.




Figure 26. Maximum error distribution in the 10-year storm prediction. Log Normal distribution, Santa Fe, New Mexico precipitation.




Figure 27. Maximum error distribution in the 50-year storm prediction. Log Normal distribution  
Santa Fe, New Mexico distribution.




Figure 28. Maximum error distribution in the 100-year storm prediction. Log Normal distribution, Santa Fe, New Mexico precipitation.

short term variability only. Even with 50 years of record, the 50-year annual peak from one period of time might be as much as 200 percent different at the extreme than the next 50-year period. In a practical record, one is never sure where his estimate may lie in the possible range of climatic changes for the site.

Determination of other frequencies from the  $q_{10}$  value. Potter determined the 50-year flood peak,  $q_{50}$ , from a curve made by plotting the log of the  $q_{10}$  against the log of the  $q_{50}$ . The  $\hat{q}_{10}$  value is determined from the estimating procedure. This  $\hat{q}_{10}$  is then entered on the vertical scale and moved horizontally to the curve where the value of the  $\hat{q}_{50}$  is read from the horizontal scale. See Figure 29 (p. 57).

Potter reasoned that greater precision could be had on the 10-year peak from frequency plotting than either more or less frequent returns. USU's present investigation verifies that the greatest prediction accuracy is obtained for the  $q_{10}$ . The curve Potter gives has slightly lower values of  $q_{50}$  than USU obtained from the Potter test watersheds only, but agreed very closely when USU used all of the samples from the Potter States. This suggests that perhaps Potter developed his relationship from a larger sample. Other return intervals are related to the 10-year flood peak on Figure 30 (p. 58).

The USU writers believe that no single distribution can be used for all of the United States. Where computers are available, the data points should be plotted on extremal paper and the multiple functions mentioned plotted, thus allowing the engineer to inspect the result to determine whether they conform to any of the distributions or whether a new relation such as Potter's upper and lower frequency should be drawn.

Examples of the data fit from selected stations in each State are given in Appendix A.

Probable maximum peak runoff. All of the "period of record" instantaneous maximum flood peaks for each station with data available (well over 1000 in the proper size range) were plotted against the area of the watershed from which each came on log-log paper. The upper boundary of these points defines a curve known as the probable maximum peak runoff curve. The curve derived for the 50 States and Puerto Rico is shown in Figure 31 (p. 59). For very small drainages where the culvert cost is not prohibitive, this curve might be an acceptable guide to sizing. Normally hydrologists consider this flow peak to be the one used where human lives are involved. This value would almost certainly be adequate for setting maximum watershed sizes for which no other peak flow estimates need be made.

Conclusions. It appears reasonable from this investigation and that reported by Schmidt (ref. 14) as discussed previously to conclude that within the range of the data, there is little choice between the different distributions or methods except the log-gamma distribution.

When extrapolation becomes necessary, all of the methods tested--Gumbel, log-Gumbel, log normal, log-Pearson III, gamma, Pearson III, and normal--gave




Figure 29. Relationship of  $q_{10}$  to  $q_{50}$  in the Potter States.




Figure 30. The relationships of  $q_{10}$  to the peaks at other frequencies for all of the United States and Puerto Rico.




Figure 31. The probable maximum runoff peaks in the United States and Puerto Rico.

closer results than the variability of the climate with time. It would appear to be desirable to establish zonal norms through indirect methods such as tree rings, varves, or some other technique, in order to determine whether or not a time period when measurements were available was characteristic of the climatic range for the area.

These USU writers feel strongly that where records must be extrapolated appreciably beyond the range of the data, no single distribution should be adopted, but all points should be plotted on extremal paper and a visual determination made where the extrapolation line should go. The upper and lower frequency procedure of Potter can be a good guide at this point.

#### Extend the Potter Type Procedures

#### Selection and location of watershed samples

Watersheds were selected so there were at least seven watersheds in each physiographic section of the United States as defined by Fenneman and Johnson (ref. 7). Whenever more than the minimum numbers of samples were located in the same section the watersheds with the longest records were retained. The first samples inspected in each section were those compiled by Bock, Enger, Malhotra and Chisholm (ref. 3).

Figure 32 (p. 61) shows the location of all the sample watersheds within the contiguous United States. Figure 33 (p. 62) shows the location of the watersheds in Alaska, Figure 34 (p. 63) shows the location of those watersheds in the Hawaiian Islands, and Figure 35 (p. 64) shows the location of watersheds in Puerto Rico.

#### Parameters Investigated

Potter limited the parameters used in the method he recommended to a zoning or geologic parameter, a precipitation factor, area, a topographic factor, and a correction factor "C." In the USU extension of the method to the balance of the United States, it seemed desirable to include parameters which would tend to make the method simpler and more versatile. No attempt will be made to make a literature review on the subject but instead the reader is referred to the reviews of Bock, Enger, Malhotra, and Chisholm (ref. 3), Chow (ref. 4), Hydrocomp, Inc. (ref. 9), and Ben Chie Yen (ref. 22) for references on the subject. Potter considered the parameters: geophysical zone, area, watershed slope or topographic factor, precipitation factor, drainage density and "C" factor. Several of these parameters require manipulation to obtain a usable value after the direct measurement. Each of the basic elements is measured in the field or from maps, charts, etc. Each parameter to be tested in this section is considered in the following subheadings.

#### Area

In this section the area used is that given in the USGS publications or tapes except when their area was labeled "approximate." In this instance, the watershed area was planimetered from the largest scale topographic map available;




Figure 32. Location of all sample watersheds in the contiguous United States.




Figure 33. Location of sample watersheds in Alaska.




Figure 34. Location of sample watersheds in Hawaii.




Figure 35. Location of sample watersheds in Puerto Rico.

most commonly this was the  $7\frac{1}{2}$  minute quadrangle map. No corrections for map scale were made in the instance of areas. The area is expressed in square miles.

#### Snow

In many areas of the United States a large portion of the annual peak runoff events occur as a result of snowmelt. Fletcher and Reynolds (ref. 8) showed that percent normal ( $q_{2.33}$ ) annual runoff peaks were closely associated with the percent normal annual 1 April snow water equivalent. The 1 April snow water equivalent was thus introduced to supplement the other precipitation factors and to take advantage of the valuable data by the Soil Conservation Service Cooperative Snow Surveys in the western United States. The parameter used herein is the 10-year snow water equivalent in inches of water. The values are read from the appropriate snow water equivalent maps in Appendix F.

#### Storage


Potter stated that he carefully avoided surface storage in his selection of the watersheds used to develop his method. It may be seen in Table 1 (p. 5-9), that, out of 96 Potter's watersheds, only two had significant storage. Another 7 watersheds had 1.7% of storage or less. Bar-Kochba and Simon (ref. 1) indicated that small watersheds are much more susceptible to the effects of storage than large watersheds, but that storages smaller than 25 acre-feet per square mile could be considered as negligible for watersheds larger than 70 square miles. If this storage had an average depth of 1 foot, it would be equivalent to less than 4 percent of the total area. In correlations here storages smaller than 1 percent are considered to be negligible. Because so many watersheds have values of storage below this value, storage is handled as a correction after the estimate of  $\hat{q}_{10}$  is made from the other parameters. To make this correction Figure 36 (p.65) is entered with the percent of the area occupied by storage and a multiplier is read off the vertical axis. The  $\hat{q}_{10}$  is multiplied by this multiplier to get the proper 10-year peak flow corrected for storage.

#### Slope parameter

During the visits to the States several people complained about the complexity of the Potter T. Consequently, the parameter of channel length up to the crest of the watershed divided by the square root of the main stream slope,  $L/\sqrt{S}$ , was investigated. There was no difference between T and  $L/\sqrt{S}$  as measured by their respective correlations with  $q_{10}$ . In view of this finding USU decided to extend this reasoning one step further and try the difference in elevation between the top and bottom of the watershed,  $\Delta H$ , as a parameter. Since  $\Delta H$  is read directly from a topographic map, it is much simpler than either of the other slope parameters and if anything, the correlations between  $\Delta H$  and  $q_{10}$  proved to be even better than the more complex slope parameters.  $\Delta H$  is in feet.

#### Precipitation parameters

During the confirmation of Potter's original method, some of the log  $q_{10}$  values correlated poorly with log P factor,  $P_{60}$ , whereas, log  $q_{10}$  correlated



**Figure 36.** The relationship between percentage of watershed area covered by lakes, ponds, swamps, playas, etc. to the multiplier required to correct a peak runoff estimate for storage.

better with the corresponding arithmetic 10 minute precipitation,  $P_{10}$ , intensity, even though the two parameters are closely correlated with each other ( $r^2 > 0.9$ ) through a log-log transform. The third precipitation parameter, the R value, was included when a national map became available from Transportation Research Board Project 16-3. Each of these three precipitation parameters are read from a map. R is defined as the mean annual rainfall erosivity index, EI, or the total mean annual kinetic energy\* times the annual maximum 30 minute rainfall intensity (see Wischmeier and Smith (ref. 21) and Dragoon (ref. 5)).

#### Drainage density parameters

The so-called drainage density parameter, drainage density, D-factor or DD is a relatively simple parameter. It is derived from the total lengths in miles

---

\* $E = \sum_0^{12} (916 + 332 \log I)d$  wherein  $I$  = rainfall intensity for a constant period of time and  $d$  = depth during the same period. These E values are summed for all storms each year and multiplied by the annual maximum 30 minute rainfall intensity to get the year's EI.

of all drainage channels shown as blue lines within the watershed, LL, divided by the area of the watershed in square miles. The second drainage density parameter is the simple primary unit LL. LL is read directly from a  $7\frac{1}{2}$  minute quadrangle sheet, where one is available with a map measure. It is the total length in miles of all blue lines which indicates stream channels within the watershed boundary on the USGS map. If only a 1:250,000 scale map is available the curve in Figure 37 (p. 68) is utilized to correct to the 1 to 24,000 scale value. LL is in miles. DD calculated from a 1:250,000 map may be corrected to the 1:24,000 scale value with Figure 12 (p. 29).

#### Shape factors

Potter's length of principal drainage channel  $L'$  is related to shape of the watershed. The method Potter used to determine  $L'$ , however, was map scale dependent. This measurement was therefore modified to extend the length measured past the end of the blue stream channel line to the nearest most remote crest of the watershed. With this modification, it was found that the simple correlations with  $q_{10}$  were actually improved and the L values were independent of map scale.

The original USU idea was to use one main axis and two minor axes at right angles to this main axis as indices of shape of the watershed. The preliminary correlations, however, indicated that the L/A parameter was equally well correlated with  $q_{10}$  so the former was discarded in favor of L/A for simplicity. This ratio was later reduced to just L since A was already a parameter. Whenever the different forms of each parameter showed similar correlation values, the simplest form was chosen for further investigation. When 958 watersheds were used in a multiple regression covering all of the states plus Puerto Rico the parameter most pertinent to the 10-year flood peak was the precipitation parameter, R, followed closely by area,  $\Delta H$  and LL.  $P_{60}$ , L, and  $P_{10}$  had somewhat lower weights but were still significant.

The reduction in the percent of explained variation of  $q_{10}$  from these variables with no zonation, is just under 74 percent. Dropping the latter three parameters decreased the reduction by about 1 percent only, and substituting L for the LL decreased the reduction by about 1 percent additional. The three variables A, R, and  $\Delta H$  give just over 72 percent reduction.

#### Zonation

Potter's original zones were deduced from the SCS problem area map (Figure 8, p. 19). The physiographic sections from the map of the physical divisions of the United States prepared by Fenneman and Johnson (ref. 7) were selected for the present zonation which both Potter and USU felt was desirable. The preliminary work which USU did on the Potter watersheds (Phase I) indicated that  $q_{10}/A$  could be used as a parameter to show the effects of zonation. From this and using t as the statistical test method, Potter's Zone II was significantly different from his other three zones. As the Potter method was extended to other watersheds, the similar physiographic boundaries and the smaller amounts of other data on the map of Fenneman and Johnson made it the most desirable of the two maps to use.




Figure 37. The relation of LL measured on a 1:250,000 scale map to that derived from a 1:24,000 scale map.

When the physiographic sections from the Fenneman and Johnson map were compared to each other on the basis of their  $q_{10}/A$  value utilizing the  $t$  test as the criterion of differences, the physiographic sections were grouped into hydrophysiographic zones in Potter's states. Two or more sections were grouped in the same zone when the  $t$  values between them were not significant and they were in the same general area. These USU zones are as follows:

USU Hydrophysiographic Zone	Physiographic Sections from Fenneman and Johnson
1	1, 3A, 3B, 3C
2	3D, 3E
3	3F
4	4A, 4B, 5A, 5B
5	6A, 6B, 7A, 7B
6	6C, 8A
7	8B, 8C, 8D
8	8E, 8F, 8G
9	9A, 9B, 9C, 9D
10	9E, 10
11	11A, 11B, 11C, 11D
12	12A, 12B, 13C
13	12C, 12D, 12E, 12F, 13B, 13E, 13F, 13G, 13H, 13I, 13K, 14A, 14B, 15A, 15B
14	13A, 13D
19	22B, 22C, 22D, 22E, 21F

These 15 hydrophysiographic zones cover all of the 16 Potter States. The same zonality test was applied to the balance of the test watersheds in the United States and Puerto Rico. The additional sections combined are tabulated as follows:

USU Hydrophysiographic Zone	Physiographic Sections from Fenneman and Johnson
15	16, 17, 18, 19
16	20A, 20B, 23A
17	20C, 20D, 20E, 21A, 21B, 21C, 22A
18	21D, 21E
20	23B, 23D, 24A
21	24B, 24D, 24E, 24F, 24G
22	23C, 24C, 25
23	No zones available--Alaska
24	Hawaii and Puerto Rico combined

It is interesting that no significant differences exist between the watersheds in Hawaii and Puerto Rico even without zoning of either being considered. These two were combined since they were not significantly different even though little physiography was considered. A map delineating the USU hydrophysiographic zones of the contiguous United States is given as Figure 38 (p. 70).




Figure 38. USU hydrophysiographic zones for the contiguous United States as determined by an analysis of the physiographic sections defined by Fenneman and Johnson (ref. 7).

The 958 watersheds and their associated basic data used in this phase of the study are given in Table 10 (p. 72-91) and are grouped within the 24 USU hydrophysiographic zones defined above.

#### New Methods

The basic data used in the development and testing of the new methods are compiled in Tables 1 (p. 5-9), 7 (p. 32-36), and 10 (p. 72-91). The watershed numbers are in two categories. First, where available, the U.S. Geological Survey (ref. 19) numbers and second, the USDA Agricultural Research Service (refs. 17, 18) numbers are used to identify the watershed. The two services official names are also given for each watershed. The other data have been discussed earlier under parameters and frequency studies.

The problem of new method development was approached on the basis of supplying directly usable techniques for field use. The methods were investigated starting from the simplest and most direct to those of increasing complexity. They may be summarized as follows: The first procedure was to determine the probable maximum peak runoff for the United States and Puerto Rico by plotting the maximum flood peak of record,  $q_p$ , from Tables 1 (p. 5-9), 7 (p. 32-36), and 10 (p. 72-91) against the area of the watershed in square miles from which it came. The curve enveloping the upper perimeter of these points was determined from the data and is shown in Figure 39 (p. 92).

For many uses the probable maximum peak runoff is needed since it forms the boundary for the upper limit of runoff peaks for each fixed area. Thus all finite frequency runoff peaks are smaller than the values represented by the probable maximum peak runoff curve.

The second category of approaches was to assume that zonation was unnecessary. Combinations of the physical parameters are utilized to obtain a combination which would be at the first portion of diminishing returns between accuracy and simplicity. During the preliminary regressions and throughout, the methods of Steel and Torrie (ref. 16) were used. The reader is referred to this or other standard texts for explanations of the methods and limitations.

The preliminary filtering of variables consisted of simple correlations with all variables. All of the significantly correlated variables were retained for the preliminary multiple regression. The weighting of each variable was determined from the values by the F test. Only those with significant weights were retained for the later work and involved the seven variables A, R, DH, L, P<sub>60</sub>, P<sub>10</sub>, and LL. The F tests further revealed that the most important three variables were R, A, and DH in that order and the most important five variables were R, A, DH, L, and P<sub>60</sub>.

The first regression equation involving the three most important parameters, A, R, and DH was derived from data from all of the watersheds tabulated in Table 10 (p. 72-91) except those that had 4 percent or more of their area in surface water storage. It is called the 3-parameter all zone equation and is:

$$\hat{q}_{10} = 1.28015 A^{0.56172} R^{0.94356} DH^{0.16887}$$

Table 10. Properties of watersheds used in the study by Utah State University arranged by hydro-physiographic zones.

SEQ. STATION	STATION NAME	SECY. AREA	AUG.	LAT.	LONG.	R.	PIS.	PES.	DH	L.	UL. SITE	MEAN	Q1	Q50	Q90	Q100	SPEAK	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1 2784015009	RECORD CREEK NEAR AURORA, MANN, N. C.	1 08.70	210.0	47.31	88.12	82	6.35	1.64	375	9.35	86.70	7.0	136.2	136.8	255.6	275.1	335.0																		
1 2784015009	STONE BROOK NEAR SUPERIOR, WILSONSBIN, N. C.	1 08.70	206.2	36.32	88.17	82	5.74	1.64	381	5.95	9.20	6.0	129.5	129.5	165.3	170.2	195.0																		
2 5594024400	STONE CREEK NEAR MARBLE, WILSONSBIN, N. C.	1 08.70	183.1	45.34	88.42	80	5.63	1.74	354	4.95	11.15	5.0	555.2	477.0	555.2	555.2	1305.0																		
3 3504025000	PARKER CREEK NEAR MARBLE, WILSONSBIN, N. C.	1 08.70	183.1	45.34	88.42	80	5.63	1.74	354	4.95	11.15	5.0	555.2	477.0	555.2	555.2	1305.0																		
4 3664025000	STONY RIVER NEAR WILBUR, WILSONSBIN, N. C.	1 08.70	180.4	45.43	88.55	86	5.61	1.73	407	5.45	10.50	4.01	592.3	565.0	592.3	592.3	1659.0																		
5 6550086000	STONY RIVER NEAR CEDAR, WISCONSIN	1 08.70	180.4	45.43	88.55	86	5.61	1.73	407	5.45	10.50	4.01	592.3	565.0	592.3	592.3	1659.0																		
6 5984026700	STONY RIVER NEAR MARSH, WISCONSIN	1 08.70	180.4	45.43	88.55	86	5.61	1.73	407	5.45	10.50	4.01	592.3	565.0	592.3	592.3	1659.0																		
7 3104029700	BODDEN CREEK NEAR SAXON, WISCONSIN	1 08.70	180.4	45.43	88.55	86	5.61	1.73	407	5.45	10.50	4.01	592.3	565.0	592.3	592.3	1659.0																		
8 1014032000	BLACKBIRD CREEK AT BLACKBIRD, DEL.	1 08.70	306.3	39.22	75.50	178	7.77	2.42	285	3.20	9.20	6.0	177.0	165.0	177.0	177.0	340.0																		
9 1014032000	LEPTIC RIVER NEAR CHESTFIELD, DEL.	1 08.70	766.9	39.14	75.36	178	7.77	2.42	285	3.20	9.20	6.0	177.0	165.0	177.0	177.0	340.0																		
10 1014032000	STOKELEY BRANCH AT STOKELEY, DEL.	1 08.70	5.84	186.4	39.07	75.21	193	5.35	2.60	39	2.40	3.75	6.0	65.3	65.3	65.3	65.3	132.0																	
11 1014032000	TAPPAHANNA DITCH AT MARTY, DEL.	1 08.70	5.84	186.4	39.07	75.42	166	7.00	2.51	7	2.75	4.30	6.0	176.0	150.0	176.0	176.0	327.0																	
12 2104032000	MANKIN BRANCH NEAR PRINCESS ANNE, MD.	1 08.70	320.0	39.12	75.48	185	1.51	2.21	21	5.95	4.45	6.0	162.6	162.6	162.6	162.6	547.0																		
13 2104032000	CHICAMOCOON CREEK NEAR BAXTER, MD.	1 08.70	310.0	39.12	75.50	186	1.44	2.65	21	6.04	13.12	6.0	286.1	286.1	286.1	286.1	547.0																		
14 2104032000	SEABORN BRANCH AT HATFIELD, MD.	1 08.70	310.0	39.12	75.52	186	1.44	2.65	21	6.04	13.12	6.0	286.1	286.1	286.1	286.1	547.0																		
15 2104032000	UNICORN BRANCH AT HILLINGTON, MD.	1 08.70	320.0	39.12	75.52	186	1.44	2.65	21	6.04	13.12	6.0	286.1	286.1	286.1	286.1	547.0																		
16 2404032000	MORGAN CREEK NEAR KENNEDYVILLE, MD.	1 08.70	217.0	39.17	75.61	186	3.04	2.50	38	5.42	38.11	0.0	790.2	593.1	790.2	593.1	790.2																		
17 2404032000	NORTHEAST CREEK AT LEALIE, MD.	1 08.70	341.3	39.17	75.61	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
18 2404032000	SYNTH RUN AT SEL AIR, MD.	1 08.70	309.4	39.32	75.60	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
19 2404032000	NORTH RIVER NEAR ANNAPOLIS, MD.	1 08.70	306.6	39.36	75.60	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
20 2404032000	CHARLITO CREEK AT GREAT HILLS, MD.	1 08.70	286.0	39.36	75.60	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
21 2404032000	ST. MARY'S RIVER AT DAY SHORE, N. Y.	1 08.70	310.0	39.36	75.60	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
22 3404032000	MUD DR. MT. HIBERN BROOK IN LEB. ST. FOREST, N. J.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
23 3404032000	SALTWICK C. WILLOUGHBY NR. WILLOUGHBY, N. J.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
24 3404032000	SALTWICK C. WILLOUGHBY NR. WILLOUGHBY, N. J.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
25 3404032000	STONY CREEK AT TSLIP, N. Y.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
26 3404032000	CHAMPION CREEK AT PENAGUT CREEK, N. Y.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
27 3404032000	PENAGUT CREEK AT BAY SHORE, N. Y.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
28 3404032000	SIMPANAS CREEK AT BAYLON, N. Y.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
29 3404032000	SANTAPOQUE RIVER AT LINDENHURST, N. Y.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
30 3404032000	SMITHWICK C. WILLOUGHBY NR. WILLOUGHBY, N. C.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
31 3404032000	HARTS MILL RUN NEAR HARTS MILL, N. C.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
32 3404032000	WILLETTO C. WILLOUGHBY NR. WILLOUGHBY, N. C.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
33 3404032000	WILLETTO C. WILLOUGHBY NR. WILLOUGHBY, N. C.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
34 3404032000	LONG CREEK NEAR SELMA, N. C.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
35 3404032000	LEE SWAMP TRIBUTARY NR. LUCIA, N. C.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
36 3404032000	SOUTH PHONE AND TON C. NR. LILLINGTON, N. C.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
37 3404032000	BEARDWELL SWAMP NEAR ARTHUR, VA.	1 08.70	310.0	39.42	75.65	186	2.51	2.50	276	2.45	240	9.77	38.1	18.5	18.5	18.5	488.0																		
38 3404032000	COLLINS RUN NEAR PROVIDENCE FORGE, VA.																																		

Table 10. Continued.

Zone 01																			
SEQ	STATION	STATION NAME	SECT	AREA	210	LAT	LONG	R	P18	P68	OH	L	LL	STRG	QHEAN	32	Q50	Q100	OPEN
56	918248550	MUN CREEK NE ALMADEN, CALIF.	30	48-14	178747.0	32 14	86 46	300	0.03	2.77	358 13.00	41.00	8	7533.0	0441.0	29398.0	33871.1	22109.0	
57	918248550	LAKE CREEK NE NORTHPORT, ALA.	30	48-15	2350.5	33 17	87 41	410	0.33	2.61	225 3.25	5.87	8	161.0	7.1	472.4	696.2	445.0	
58	1222248550	LULU OUTLET AT SLOIERS, FLA.	30	23-89	1355.5	27 59	61 44	355 9.00	3.14	5.97	7.06 26.0	76.7	321.7	403.0	218.0	403.0	218.0	403.0	
59	1222365500	SWEETATE CREEK NE PLAINES, FLA.	30	23-89	1356.0	28 03	64 46	546 10.00	3.48	15 2.17	2.07 7.3	52.1	69.0	229.0	258.0	45.0	258.0	45.0	
54	1222365500	SEINOLE LAKE OUTLET NE LARGO, FLA.	30	14-90	4645.0	27 58	62 42	386 10.32	3.24	58 6.49	19.43 5.9	223.5	212.3	662.0	1864.0	512.0	1864.0	512.0	
55	12ARS 8-3	VEND BEACH FLORIDA WATERBED NO-3	30	15-78	2864.0	26 06	63 36	586 2.05	3.86	23 9.38	24.51 8.3	697.2	455.4	5325.5	6831.0	2533.4	5325.5	6831.0	
Zone 02																			
56	1783355500	HAYES CREEK AT GLENDALE, ILL.	30	18-90	4710.0	37 25	86 46	193 7.00	2.22	410 11.75	46.32	8	2537.0	3336.3	699.0	729.0	648.0	729.0	648.0
59	1783355500	SUGAR CREEK NE DIXON SPRINGS, ILL.	30	17-78	1782.0	37 24	86 45	195 7.00	2.22	284 6.80	30.00	8	1391.5	2017.7	2142.0	1872.4	1872.4	1872.4	
66	2127352500	BERRY CREEK NE HARPFIELD, KY.	30	17-72	1190.5	36 41	86 36	248 7.00	2.22	54 1.98	3.29	8	675.3	787.6	1872.7	1872.7	1872.7	1872.7	
61	2222485500	BOQUE LUSA CREEK NEAR FRANKINTON, LA.	30	12-16	6487.4	52 52	98 86	926 9.54	3.00	185 5.17	19.42	8	2532.3	3228.1	13594.0	16469.0	9759.0	16469.0	9759.0
62	2227375500	HAMLEY CREEK NEAR ROBERT, LA.	30	26-30	5866.7	38 36	98 15	716 0.94	3.13	118 6.06	19.45	8	1875.0	2828.0	3376.0	2828.0	3376.0	2828.0	
63	2227375500	POCHATOULA CREEK AT MATAULAY, LA.	30	13-00	3284.9	38 34	98 16	780 0.86	3.18	48 7.00	27.77	8	1628.5	2772.6	3224.4	2864.5	3224.4	2864.5	
64	2227381500	COLVILLE CREEK AT LIVINGSTON, LA.	30	28-70	3757.1	38 36	97 45	656 0.93	3.11	40 13.67	27.61	8	1386.2	1824.6	3872.0	5228.0	4050.0	5228.0	
65	2228424850	EUPLAUTUBA CREEK AT BALTILO, MEXICO	30	19-76	4661.2	34 42	86 45	535 0.95	3.12	117 7.71	20.00	8	160.0	285.0	3686.0	5754.0	5686.0	5754.0	
66	2228424850	BUCK CREEK NEAR UNINFLATON, MEXICO	30	19-16	3054.5	31 22	86 44	685 0.94	3.08	206 1.02	59.75	8	1335.0	3335.0	5681.0	5681.0	3335.0	5681.0	
67	2228427000	BIG CREEK NEAR LUZEDOLE, KANS.	30	21-04	4442.3	38 55	86 35	664 16.00	3.00	116 5.79	16.98	8	1645.0	1406.0	9884.0	11681.0	7866.0	11681.0	7866.0
68	2228427000	HUDSON CREEK NEAR POPLINVILLE, KANS.	30	21-06	5553.0	38 47	86 37	744 1.95	3.00	116 5.79	16.98	8	1645.0	1406.0	9884.0	11681.0	7866.0	11681.0	7866.0
69	2228427000	PURPLE CREEK NEAR JACKSON, KANS.	30	21-06	5553.0	38 47	86 37	744 1.95	3.00	116 5.79	16.98	8	1645.0	1406.0	9884.0	11681.0	7866.0	11681.0	7866.0
70	2228427000	HANGING CREEK NEAR JACKSON, KANS.	30	16-00	3866.4	32 03	86 15	636 0.94	2.74	127 0.34	44.00	8	2301.0	2416.0	5802.0	5802.0	5802.0	5802.0	
71	2228427000	THINOCO CREEK NEAR TINNY, KANS.	30	17-00	4499.0	32 06	86 16	636 0.94	2.74	136 0.34	44.00	8	2459.0	1945.0	6339.0	7200.0	7200.0	7200.0	
72	2227352500	ICE CREEK AT ETTA, KANS.	30	0-00	4845.3	34 29	85 14	875 0.94	2.76	148 5.89	15.78	8	2332.7	2810.3	7484.0	7544.0	7544.0	7544.0	
73	2227265500	NORTH TIPAH CREEK NEAR RIPLEY, MISS.	30	20-00	6172.0	34 44	89 82	849 7.00	2.42	183 0.00	51.00	8	2831.0	2465.1	7927.4	8863.5	7927.4	8863.5	
74	2227265500	CLEAR CREEK NEAR OXFORD, MISS.	30	18-20	5866.0	34 48	89 82	849 7.00	2.42	183 0.00	51.00	8	3186.1	5178.3	6902.0	7779.2	6860.0	7779.2	
75	2227265500	TILIA BOUE NEAR CANYON, MISS.	30	18-20	5866.0	34 48	89 82	849 7.00	2.42	183 0.00	51.00	8	2928.0	2828.0	8144.3	9316.3	9316.3	9316.3	
76	2227352500	ALEXANDER CREEK NEAR ST. FRANCISVILLE, LA.	30	23-19	13665.0	30 40	91 12	896 0.95	3.03	100 1.00	18.35	8	5956.0	9982.0	28665.1	33988.0	15286.0	33988.0	15286.0
77	2227352500	BATOU BATOU ROUGE ABOVE BAKER, LA.	30	13-19	3783.7	30 37	91 12	816 0.98	3.07	31 0.23	14.00	8	1370.0	822.5	3564.0	5864.0	4386.0	5864.0	
78	2227352500	MIDDLE COLTLA CREEK NEAR WALKER, LA.	30	22-30	1073.2	30 39	90 58	659 0.98	3.18	198 17.10	32.00	8	1153.0	1327.0	1015.0	2087.1	1758.0	2087.1	
79	2227265500	AKALIORE CREEK NEAR CHARLESTON, MISS.	30	18-10	12663.1	33 65	98 94	720 0.15	2.94	240 1.00	51.00	8	2574.0	1795.0	13514.0	14339.0	11226.0	14339.0	11226.0
80	2227265500	THOMPSON CREEK AT MCLEARY, MISS.	30	14-00	3601.0	33 51	98 95	649 0.00	2.94	240 1.00	51.00	8	2574.0	1795.0	13514.0	14339.0	11226.0	14339.0	11226.0
81	2227265500	DUDDEN CREEK NEAR VICKSBURG, MISS.	30	6-50	3682.4	33 10	98 95	355 0.00	2.94	256 0.00	2.00	8	1977.0	2891.2	5905.0	8244.0	6794.0	8244.0	
82	2227265500	DOBBINS DRAIN NEAR DOLORODO, MISS.	30	6-50	4829.3	31 10	91 21	909 0.34	2.92	189 1.10	2.00	8	222.0	212.0	450.0	477.0	411.0	477.0	
83	2227265500	LITTLE RIVER DITCH 298 NEAR HENNETT, MO.	30	10-00	3686.5	33 14	98 95	245 0.00	2.94	245 0.00	2.00	8	1956.5	1957.7	4152.0	4524.1	4145.1	4524.1	
Zone 03																			
84	2227341000	MCCAIN GREEN NEAR BREVREPORT, LA.	30	13-00	885.3	32 35	93 56	370 0.38	2.91	120 0.00	27.98	8	887.5	1187.2	1285.0	1886.0	1285.0	1886.0	
85	2227354000	LITTLE SANDY CREEK AT KIATKIE, LA.	30	21-00	5891.0	31 24	93 10	475 0.61	3.03	165 10.42	87.23	8	2831.1	3824.0	8893.0	9886.0	8893.0	9886.0	
86	2227354000	HORSEPIN CREEK NEAR PROVENC, LA.	30	18-00	6140.0	31 36	93 12	470 0.47	3.02	110 1.00	11.00	8	692.0	567.0	2921.0	3391.0	2044.0	3391.0	
87	2227352500	CYPRESS CREEK NEAR VIXEN, LA.	30	18-00	6315.0	31 17	92 14	398 0.80	2.79	130 6.00	27.00	8	1856.7	1615.3	18911.2	16839.0	7616.0	16839.0	
88	2227351000	GARRETT CREEK AT JOSEBODIO, LA.	30	1-14	1326.1	32 14	98 44	395 0.88	2.80	120 2.37	2.37	8	639.7	531.6	2495.0	2882.4	1179.0	2882.4	
89	2227351000	FLOCBIN CREEK NEAR LACAMP, LA.	30	17-75	1380.1	31 11	92 59	499 0.73	2.80	106 8.23	59.74	8	584.2	824.2	1672.0	1874.0	1159.0	1874.0	
90	2226812000	HARPOON BAYOU AT MANY, LA.	30	17-75	11715.2	31 34	93 26	439 0.70	2.80	140 10.00	35.31	8	394.0	2557.0	6257.0	31116.1	2316.0	31116.1	
91	44ARS 42-2	MACO TEXAS (CITIZAN) WATERBED C	30	8-00	888.0	74.00	91 31	90 53	370 0.38	2.91	53 1.00	0.00	8	887.0	122.5	1258.7	1444.0	887.0	1444.0
92	44ARS 42-3	MACO TEXAS (CITIZAN) WATERBED D	30	1-75	1000.0	31 31	90 53	370 0.38	2.91	53 1.00	0.00	8	971.0	935.0	3668.0	3801.0	935.0	3801.0	
93	44ARS 42-4	MACO TEXAS (CITIZAN) WATERBED E	30	8-00	847.0	566.0	31 27	98 63	200 0.00	3.04	65 2.00	0.00	8	210.0	247.0	672.0	697.0	672.0	697.0
94	44ARS 42-5	MACO TEXAS (CITIZAN) WATERBED F	30	8-00	481.00	481.00	91 31	90 53	370 0.38	2.91	53 1.00	0.00	8	145.0	145.0	145.0	145.0	145.0	145.0
95	44ARS 42-6	MACO TEXAS (CITIZAN) WATERBED G	30	8-00	75.00	75.00	31 31	90 53	370 0.38	2.91	53 1.00	0.00	8	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0
96	44ARS 42-7	MACO TEXAS (CITIZAN) WATERBED H	30	8-00	75.00	75.00	31 31	90 53	370 0.38	2.91	53 1.00	0.00	8	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0
97	44ARS 42-8	MACO TEXAS (CITIZAN) WATERBED I	30	8-00	75.00	75.00	31 31	90 53	370 0.38	2.91	53 1.00	0.00	8	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0
98	44ARS 42-9	MACO TEXAS (CITIZAN) WATERBED J	30	8-00	75.00	75.00	31 31	90 53	370 0.38	2.91	53 1.00	0.00	8	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0
99	44ARS 42-10	MACO TEXAS (CITIZAN) WATERBED K	30	8-00	75.00	75.00	31 31	90 53	370 0.38	2.91	53 1.00	0.00	8	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0
100	44ARS 42-11	MACO TEXAS (CITIZAN) WATERBED L	30	8-00	75.00	75.00	31 31	90 53	370 0.38	2.91	53 1.00	0.00	8	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0	126.0

Table 10. Continued.

## Zone 04

SEQ	STATION	STATION NAME	SECT	AREA	DIR	LAT	LONG	R	P10	P60	DM	L	LL	SREQ	DREN	G2	G30	G100	OPEAK			
98	6102414000	MARBUCK CREEK NR MACKENZIEVILLE, ALA.	44	6.76	S070°5'33"R	85.97	33.05	85.98	8.65	158	3.65	8.77	8.9	1176.4	1168.2	2985.7	3272.4	2186.6				
99	6101472400	SHELLBURY CREEK AT WILMINGTON, ORE.	44	7.44	S060°57'39"R	75.32	165	7.15	8.10	398	6.88	20.36	8.1	1781.6	1582.7	2927.8	31355.1	2185.9				
100	1302110000	LITTLE PANTHER CREEK NEAR CLINTONSVILLE, GA.	44	8.09	S070°3'34"R	83	24	8.05	7.95	2.41	2.43	2.43	8.12	6.8	212.7	182.9	1283.8	2124.9	716.0			
101	1302110000	PANTHER CREEK NEAR YOCOA, GA.	44	8.09	S070°3'34"R	83	24	8.05	7.95	2.41	2.43	2.43	8.12	6.8	212.7	182.9	1283.8	2124.9	716.0			
102	1302110000	WILDCAT CREEK NEAR TIDE, OREG.	44	1.98	S070°1'34"R	83	13	22.0	7.97	2.41	1.97	1.97	1.97	1.92	0.8	224.1	242.1	1810.5	1183.7	726.9		
103	1302110000	WILDCAT CREEK NEAR TIDE, OREG.	44	1.98	S070°1'34"R	83	13	22.0	7.97	2.41	1.97	1.97	1.97	1.92	0.8	224.1	242.1	1810.5	1183.7	726.9		
104	1302110000	ALLEN CREEK AT TACOMA, ORE.	44	1.03	S071°3'14"R	83	43	2.08	7.97	2.41	1.97	1.97	1.97	1.92	0.8	157.5	179.9	119.0	5681.2	4366.9		
105	1302110000	ALLEN CREEK AT TACOMA, ORE.	44	1.03	S071°3'14"R	83	43	2.08	7.97	2.41	1.97	1.97	1.97	1.92	0.8	157.5	179.9	119.0	5681.2	4366.9		
106	1302210000	MUDER CREEK NEAR MONTICELLO, GA.	44	8.09	S070°3'33"R	83	25	83.40	30.80	8.14	2.08	2.08	7.95	20.83	0.9	1174.4	1374.9	4053.8	4544.9	3246.0		
107	1302303000	ROCK CREEK NEAR AIMPOINT, ORE.	44	8.61	S105.7'34"R	84.31	2.79	8.47	7.19	2.08	2.08	2.08	7.95	2.95	1.95	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.08	2206.0		
108	2401529000	BASIN RUN AT LIBERTY GROVE, MO.	44	8.31	S070°4'38"R	86	105	7.65	7.03	2.24	1.86	1.86	1.86	16.38	0.1	1686.7	1622.8	3655.4	4411.9	2030.4		
109	2401533000	SLADE RUN NEAR GLYNDON, MD.	44	9.08	S070°3'39"R	76	4.8	1.65	7.48	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.43	1.99	0.9	187.9	163.5	719.9	879.4	515.0		
110	2401555000	CANBERRY BRANCH NEAR WASHINGTON, MD.	44	9.58	S070°3'39"R	76	4.8	1.65	7.48	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.43	1.99	0.9	187.9	163.5	719.9	879.4	515.0		
111	2401566000	PINEY RUN NEAR BYNEMVILLE, MD.	44	11.48	S071°4'38"R	76	25	7.71	6.11	1.95	6.48	6.48	4.38	6.88	46.93	0.8	1216.5	786.8	4933.8	6852.5	3720.2	
112	2401649000	LITTLE FALLS BRANCH NEAR BETHESEA, MD.	44	3.94	S070°3'38"R	86	58	7.6	1.08	8.14	8.83	8.83	8.48	6.93	6.9	162.4	133.3	5462.2	6588.9	2592.0		
113	3722050000	DIAL CREEK NEAR BIRKIN, N. C.	44	4.71	S105.7'34"R	84.31	2.79	8.47	7.19	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.43	1.95	0.9	1629.5	1488.9	2622.7	2427.3	4180.6		
114	3722072000	STRIPER FISH CREEK PT NE NELSON, N. C.	44	8.78	S107.7'35"R	85	58	2.25	8.74	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.43	2.04	0.8	532.3	316.3	216.9	205.2	172.8		
115	3722090000	EAST YORK DEEP R NEAR HIGH POINT, NC.	44	14.72	S070°3'38"R	86	19	2.08	7.14	2.08	1.99	1.99	5.70	0.1	1681.0	180.8	5733.0	6150.0	6198.0			
116	3722133000	FURBUSH CREEK NEAR TUCKVILLE, N. C.	44	11.48	S070°3'38"R	86	11	1.95	6.48	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.43	1.99	0.9	1274.0	203.0	4036.4	4203.0	2464.0		
117	3722124000	KTR KIDS CREEK NEAR PALSTON, N. C.	44	8.64	S071.3'35"R	81	32	1.95	7.18	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.43	1.95	0.9	1244.6	105.0	4767.5	5457.5	3306.0		
118	4502114000	NORTH PADLEY AT FINGERVILLE, B.C.	44	116.8	S088.6'30"R	81	9.9	20.8	7.32	2.31	2.95	26.08	126.88	0.05	3905.2	3882.4	1879.8	1377.9	1280.0			
119	4502137000	NORTH TYVER RIVER NEAR FAIRMOOR, S.C.	44	44.48	S080.1'31"R	82	9.5	2.25	8.2	2.32	7.36	2.32	3.33	8.0	162.9	105.1	4553.7	5865.3	3616.0			
120	4502146000	READY RIVER NEAR GREENVILLE, S.C.	44	46.68	S084.3'34"R	82	2.2	2.48	7.12	2.34	3.86	16.87	46.78	8.8	2086.4	2098.4	4455.3	4905.6	4850.0			
121	5101655000	CEDAR RUN NEAR WARRENTON, VA.	44	9.88	S070°3'38"R	86	44	7.77	4.7	1.45	7.47	2.34	8.28	4.54	9.32	6.8	1818.0	1098.8	9999.0	1869.7	14380.0	
122	5101655000	BROAD RUN NEAR WARRENTON, VA.	44	9.84	S070°3'38"R	86	49	7.77	4.7	1.45	7.47	2.34	8.28	4.54	9.32	6.8	1818.0	1098.8	9999.0	1869.7	14380.0	
123	5101655000	SOUTH F QUANTICO C R INDEPENDENT HILL, VA.	44	7.81	S070°3'38"R	86	36	35	2.08	1.78	6.18	6.18	1.86	1.86	22.07	0.8	542.6	542.6	1313.1	1427.9	1640.0	
124	5101671500	HUDSON CREEK NEAR BOWELLS TOWN, VA.	44	4.18	S070°3'38"R	86	82	7.6	1.11	1.95	7.68	2.33	1.25	4.28	8.58	8.0	371.9	259.7	1655.0	2216.8	2759.0	
125	5101673500	TOOTPOOTY CREEK NEAR ALEX, VA.	44	6.98	S070°3'37"R	87	49	7.77	2.3	2.08	6.3	2.08	0.1	12.13	8.0	150.9	125.0	745.0	748.0			
126	5102024000	S R H HARWARE N HORN GARDEN, VA.	44	6.98	S070°3'37"R	87	51	7.6	3.9	7.78	2.24	8.18	3.1	11.35	0.8	1454.4	802.5	6104.7	7451.5	6208.0		
127	5102111500	NORTH HORN GARDEN R NE WHITETAIL, VA.	44	11.48	S070°3'37"R	86	45	1.95	7.78	2.24	8.18	2.24	7.78	12.56	37.31	0.1	1792.2	184.4	3853.2	4769.2	3320.0	
128	5102060500	PINE CREEK AT FINE CREEK MILLS, VA.	44	8.09	S070°3'37"R	86	37	8.77	4.2	1.45	7.78	2.24	8.18	12.57	7.07	0.9	1220.7	714.5	6411.4	7910.4	7420.0	
129	5102060500	NORTH HORN GARDEN R NE WHITETAIL, VA.	44	9.28	S070°3'37"R	86	83	7.25	2.10	7.68	2.08	2.08	2.08	17.86	0.9	656.7	658.7	2619.1	3177.2	2406.0		
130	5102081300	NININGER CREEK NEAR BEDFORD, VA.	44	4.77	S101.3'31"R	86	18	7.92	1.46	7.67	2.73	2.73	2.48	3.78	7.49	8.0	2055.6	578.5	2499.6	2921.2	2280.0	
131	5102085000	GEORGIA CREEK NEAR GRETNA, VA.	44	9.26	S101.3'31"R	86	56	7.92	1.46	7.67	2.73	2.73	2.48	3.78	7.49	8.0	2055.6	578.5	2499.6	2921.2	2280.0	
132	5101648000	CHRISTINA RIVER AT COUCH'S BRIDGE, DEL.	44	28.88	S070°3'27"R	80	41	7.5	47	1.65	8.13	2.23	37.8	12.56	37.31	0.1	1792.2	184.4	3853.2	4769.2	3320.0	
133	2401618000	HUNTING CREEK AT JIMTOWN, NJ.	48	18.48	S070°3'39"R	86	45	1.95	7.44	1.45	7.15	2.27	12.88	9.92	32.75	8.0	767.3	936.1	1308.0	1465.5	1330.0	
134	3401365000	SADDLE RIVER AT MONKUS, N.J.	48	21.87	S070°3'37"R	86	59	1.95	7.44	2.08	8.08	2.08	0.08	5.67	0.08	1848.0	202.2	3466.2	4289.7	3468.0		
135	3401310000	HOKOHS BROOK AT MONKUS, N.J.	48	18.48	S070°3'37"R	86	68	7.4	8.7	12.8	6.06	2.08	3.59	22.36	24.17	8.0	1809.7	856.2	2916.2	3261.3	2158.0	
136	3401340000	WEST BRANCH RAILWAY RIVER AT MILFURN, N.J.	48	7.18	S111.2'49"R	84	74	8.0	6.95	2.18	2.08	4.99	6.82	8.0	550.1	476.6	1399.2	1397.2	1900.0			
137	4201431900	ZACHARIAS CREEK NEAR SHIPACK, N.J.	48	8.87	S070°3'43"R	86	43	7.4	2.18	8.08	6.43	2.08	5.68	8.08	8.0	550.1	476.6	1399.2	1397.2	1900.0		
138	5101651000	ACCOTINK CREEK NEAR STEELES TAVERN, VA.	48	35.69	S070°3'41"R	86	58	7.7	1.16	8.08	2.08	2.08	2.08	7.64	8.48	8.1	2284.2	1653.2	1610.9	1343.5	2078.2	
139	2401637000	LITTLE CATCHOT CREEK AT HARMONY, MD.	51	8.83	S102.2'39"R	86	28	7.7	1.15	8.08	2.08	2.08	2.08	3.97	16.10	8.0	502.8	476.3	601.6	607.0	5498.0	
140	2401658000	OWENS CREEK AT LANTZ, MD.	51	8.73	S102.2'39"R	86	41	7.7	2.08	8.08	2.08	2.08	2.08	3.97	16.10	8.0	502.8	476.3	601.6	607.0	5498.0	
141	4201648000	COOCHEAGUE CREEK NEAR PAETEVILLE, PA.	51	8.85	S060.4'36"R	86	58	7.2	1.25	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.08	3.98	8.43	8.0	144.3	86.5	486.5	514.0	392.0	
142	3401625000	HAPPY CREEK AT FRONT ROYAL, VA.	51	10.00	S070°3'34"R	78	11	1.08	8.03	2.08	1.08	1.08	8.08	3.08	3.08	0.8	213.0	322.4	381.2	2499.0		
143	5101625000	RIVER AT WASHINGTON, VA.	51	10.72	S070°3'34"R	78	69	1.08	8.03	2.08	1.08	1.08	8.08	3.08	3.08	0.8	213.0	322.4	381.2	2499.0		
144	5101625000	RIVER NEAR PENNOSE, N. C.	51	10.72	S070°3'34"R	78	69	1.08	8.03	2.08	1.08	1.08	8.08	3.08	3.08	0.8	213.0	322.4	381.2	2499.0		
145	5101625000	RIVER AT WASHINGTON, VA.	51	10.72	S070°3'34"R	78	69	1.08	8.03	2.08	1.08	1.08	8.08	3.08	3.08	0.8	213.0	322.4	381.2	2499.0		
146	5101625000	BOLSTON CREEK NEAR HORSEHORN, N.C.	51	14.88	S070°3'35"R	82	31	8.08	1.08	8.08	2.08	2.08	2.08	1.08	1.08	0.8	186.9	832.1	947.7	1482.1	1398.0	
147	373341																					

Table 10. Continued.

## Zone 04

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

REC	STATION	STATION NAME	SECT	AREA	Q10	LAT	LONG	R	P10	P50	DH	L	LL STRG	Q2	Q50	Q100	OPEN			
180	818457000	PIVEMILE CREEK AT KETONA, ALA.	DA	28.00	4114.0	33.37	86.45	350	6.16	4.06	4.30	9.11	20.58	0.0	2867.0	1823.1	7382.1	9385.1		
181	7783498600	PIKEY CREEK, MI.	SPN	AVP.	KNOXVILLE, TENN.	DA	18.70	1226.0	36.61	83.55	178	7.01	2.19	198	2.07	6.0	974.7	886.0	1646.3	1821.7
182	4785528400	WHITE CREEK NEAR SHAPS CHAPEL, TENN.	DA	2.00	2811.0	36.21	83.54	174	6.14	2.14	404	2.35	3.68	6.9	183.2	122.5	482.7	548.8		
183	4785534500	BUFFALO CREEK AT NORRIS, TENN.	DA	9.60	1226.4	36.11	84.04	176	6.93	2.17	242	6.96	24.35	8.0	697.4	520.7	1736.5	1932.7		
184	5181620500	NORTH RIVER NEAR STOREVILLE, VA.	DA	17.00	1738.7	36.36	79.17	130	6.75	2.12	176	9.30	164.57	0.0	1193.0	807.7	1520.0	1950.0		
185	5181622400	BELL CREEK AT FRANKVILLE, STANTON, VA.	DA	9.00	1658.6	36.11	79.06	146	6.87	2.15	486	6.18	31.68	6.2	389.1	186.0	1366.6	1546.8		
186	5182150000	COMPASSE RIVER NEAR HEADQUARTERS, VA.	DA	11.30	1875.6	36.19	79.26	123	6.74	2.12	375	5.43	13.48	8.0	699.3	395.0	3716.0	4569.8		
187	5182200000	CAMPASSE RIVER NEAR HEADQUARTERS, VA.	DA	12.00	1876.0	36.16	79.18	125	6.77	2.14	466	5.18	21.45	0.0	705.1	322.0	1726.0	4466.0		
188	5182730000	MIDDLE FORK HOLSTON AT GROSCLOSE, VA.	DA	7.00	1575.6	36.55	81.21	125	6.05	2.16	601	3.12	31.32	0.0	287.0	227.0	1857.0	1263.5		
189	5183477000	BEAVER CREEK NEAR WALLACE, VA.	DA	13.70	1267.7	36.41	82.85	147	6.65	2.05	386	7.05	67.40	0.2	285.3	284.2	446.0	493.4		
190	5183480000	COVE CREEK NEAR SHELDRY, VA.	DA	17.00	1423.0	36.39	82.21	146	6.04	2.04	385	9.32	54.57	0.0	702.3	708.4	2937.7	3833.4		
191	2461612200	SAMPIT RUN NEAR GLODWIN, MD.	DA	9.00	536.0	36.34	78.33	124	6.32	1.93	388	5.02	21.44	0.0	286.0	281.2	783.3	884.9		
192	2461612200	LITTLE TOWHOLLOW CR NEAR HANCOCK, MD.	DA	18.00	1288.4	36.43	78.15	115	6.33	1.92	1127	6.98	71.66	0.4	644.1	592.6	1558.7	1789.9		
193	4261567000	BIXLER RUN NEAR LOYSBURG, PA.	DA	19.00	2768.0	40.22	77.24	96	6.28	1.97	928	6.39	31.18	0.0	1221.8	786.0	1746.0	1970.0		
194	4261571000	PAXTON CREEK NEAR PENBROOK, PA.	DA	11.00	1864.0	40.28	76.59	105	6.44	2.02	938	5.39	22.18	0.0	1226.1	1443.7	2466.2	2622.8		
195	4261573000	MANDA CREEK AT MANDA GAP, PA.	DA	13.00	2221.7	40.25	76.42	98	6.42	2.00	376	4.71	13.91	0.0	655.6	587.0	3366.7	3781.4		
196	5181621000	MAN BRANCH NEAR HINTON, VA.	DA	9.00	1735.7	36.38	78.59	125	6.75	2.13	118	5.05	12.25	0.0	750.7	781.3	1458.1	2259.6		
197	5181621000	TUSCARORA CREEK ABOVE MARTINSBURG, W. VA.	DA	11.00	2244.0	36.28	77.95	128	6.64	2.00	888	6.32	13.86	0.0	154.3	177.4	358.4	416.6		
198	5181621000	POULTNEY CREEK, AT EAST POULTNEY, VT.	DA	1.00	94.0	43.32	73.10	92	5.21	1.64	400	1.04	1.21	0.0	23.0	23.0	151.7	156.0		
199	5181621000	POULTNEY CREEK, AT EAST POULTNEY, VT.	DA	2.00	241.2	43.37	73.68	96	4.95	1.65	182	2.74	4.24	0.0	126.0	126.0	361.8	417.3		
200	5181622500	BEAVER BROOK AT CORNELL, VT.	DA	1.11	73.3	43.57	73.13	66	4.95	4.52	288	4.48	4.95	0.0	66.1	66.1	62.5	73.3		
201	3684269000	LITTLE OTTER CREEK TRIB., NR BISTOL, VT.	DA	1.40	83.0	44.89	73.87	84	4.48	1.36	710	4.95	9.0	0.0	32.3	32.3	98.4	191.4		
202	3684269000	LEWIS CREEK TRIB. AT STARKSBORO, VT.	DA	1.40	73.4	44.13	73.93	84	4.48	1.55	1850	4.08	15.08	0.0	314.9	124.3	144.4	721.3		
203	3684269000	LEWIS CREEK TRIB. NO. 2 NR ROCKVILLE, VT.	DA	1.07	58.1	44.16	73.84	86	4.48	1.54	958	2.98	4.99	0.0	49.0	49.0	45.8	58.0		
204	5064226400	STEVEN'S BRANCH TRIB. AT SOUTH BARRE, VT.	DA	8.30	56.3	44.11	72.31	84	4.32	1.57	288	6.33	21.57	0.0	26.3	26.3	98.8	113.1		
205	5064226400	STONE BRIDGE BRIDGE ON GLORIA PLAIN, VT.	DA	8.45	26.6	44.42	73.11	93	4.35	1.48	372	6.23	21.79	1.1	142.4	142.4	146.1	204.6		
206	3684269000	TROUT BROOK AT STOCKHOLM CENTER, N. Y.	DA	4.90	132.1	44.46	74.49	75	4.66	1.45	335	26.39	71.50	0.0	658.0	832.3	1844.7	2076.4		
207	1004269000	ALLEN BROOK NR DRASHEEN, N. Y.	DA	16.00	928.2	44.48	74.44	75	4.64	1.44	676	7.00	15.18	0.0	438.2	438.2	1768.0	2081.4		
208	3684269000	LAWRENCE BROOK NR MOSSMAN, N. Y.	DA	21.00	1375.5	44.50	74.36	75	4.63	1.44	686	6.38	24.58	0.0	724.1	724.1	2285.5	2585.0		
209	3684269000	LAKE BROOK NR MOSSMAN, N. Y.	DA	19.00	878.8	44.54	74.31	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
210	3684270000	WEST BRANCH DEER CREEK AT PT., COINGTON CENTER, N.	DA	20.30	1577.2	44.57	74.20	77	4.63	1.43	616	11.00	34.38	0.0	672.1	672.1	2537.4	3221.3		
211	3684270000	EAST BRANCH DEER CREEK AT PT., COINGTON CENTER, N.	DA	20.20	659.5	44.57	74.20	77	4.63	1.43	616	11.00	31.80	0.0	484.0	484.0	939.4	1000.0		
212	3684270000	LITTLE SALMON RIVER AT BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	2678.1	44.56	74.33	75	4.59	1.43	1728	23.00	128.89	0.0	154.7	1743.2	3885.3	4357.9		
213	3684270000	OVER RIVER AT BRASHER IRON WORKS, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
214	3684270000	WEST BRANCH DEER CREEK AT PT., COINGTON CENTER, N.	DA	18.30	1577.2	44.57	74.20	77	4.63	1.43	616	11.00	34.38	0.0	672.1	672.1	2537.4	3221.3		
215	3684270000	EAST BRANCH DEER CREEK AT PT., COINGTON CENTER, N.	DA	18.30	1577.2	44.57	74.20	77	4.63	1.43	616	11.00	31.80	0.0	484.0	484.0	939.4	1000.0		
216	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
217	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
218	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
219	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
220	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
221	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
222	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
223	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
224	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
225	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
226	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
227	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
228	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
229	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
230	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
231	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
232	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
233	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4.63	1.43	2435	37.00	125.98	0.0	3726.0	3386.9	1361.0	2622.0		
234	3684270000	QUAKER CREEK NEAR BOMBAY, N. Y.	DA	18.30	73.0	44.57	74.24	77	4											

Table 10. Continued.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Zone 06

STATION	STATION NAME	SECT. AREA	S10 LAT.	LONG.	R	P10	P90	DH	L	LL	SING	D2	050	0100	PEAK		
190 360134000	CROWN HOLLOW AT CROWN HOLLOW, N.Y.	80 17.0	320.0	41.47	73.05	110.0	6.00	1.03	490	11.00	30.00	220.3	230.2	525.1	537.3	373.9	
191 360134000	CROWN HOLLOW ON CROWN HOLLOW, N.Y.	80 17.0	320.0	41.47	73.05	110.0	6.00	1.03	490	11.00	30.00	220.3	230.2	525.1	537.3	373.9	
192 360134000	LITTLE HAPPING CREEK AT SALT POINT, N.Y.	80 17.0	320.0	41.47	73.05	110.0	6.00	1.03	490	11.00	30.00	220.3	230.2	525.1	537.3	373.9	
202 360134000	BLOWERS CREEK AT WEST MILTON, N.Y.	80 31.00	130.00	41.19	74.16	90	5.00	1.02	743	11.00	30.00	700.0	700.0	800.5	1000.0	1000.0	
203 360134000	DISQUADO CREEK AT FOY PLAIN, N.Y.	80 31.00	130.00	41.06	74.38	83	5.00	1.02	743	11.00	30.00	401.7	401.7	417.7	422.0	422.0	
204 360134000	BANDERA KILL AT PATERSONVILLE, N.Y.	80 31.00	130.00	41.53	74.64	67	5.00	1.02	743	11.00	30.00	401.7	401.7	417.7	422.0	422.0	
205 360134000	PLOTTER KILL AT RIVER CORNERS, N.Y.	80 31.00	130.00	41.49	74.84	93	5.00	1.02	743	11.00	30.00	272.2	272.2	337.0	713.2	400.0	
206 360134000	SCRIBA CREEK NR CONYANTIA, N.Y.	80 31.00	130.00	41.16	74.96	75	5.00	1.02	743	11.00	30.00	720.4	720.4	800.0	1000.0	1000.0	
207 360134000	CATSBURG CREEK AT NEW HAVEN, N.Y.	80 31.00	130.00	41.26	74.97	49	5.00	1.02	743	11.00	30.00	475.7	475.7	484.2	517.3	517.3	
208 360134000	MIDDLE BRANCH MOE RIVER AT OLD FOGE, N.Y.	80 31.00	130.00	41.43	74.58	88	5.00	1.02	743	11.00	30.00	427.8	427.8	416.7	555.0	911.2	
209 360134000	INDEPENDENCE RIVER AT DUNNATTSBURG, N.Y.	80 31.00	130.00	41.45	74.28	77	4.00	1.02	743	11.00	30.00	2010.0	2010.0	3526.0	3699.5	3486.0	
210 360134000	EAST KILL NR JENETT CENTER, N.Y.	80 30.00	130.00	41.12	74.18	95	5.75	1.02	1998	12.00	30.00	5000.0	5000.0	5007.2	28104.0	29306.0	
211 360134000	BATAVIA KILL AT MENSVILLE, N.Y.	80 30.00	130.00	41.48	74.22	74	1.00	1.02	1998	12.00	30.00	2272.0	2282.0	10887.7	1723.3	5000.0	
212 360134000	SINGLE KILL AT CAIRO, N.Y.	80 30.00	130.00	41.16	74.26	93	5.75	1.02	1998	12.00	30.00	698.4	698.4	487.5	3783.3	4455.0	
213 360134000	RONDOUT CREEK NEAR LOONE CONNERS, N.Y.	80 30.00	130.00	41.52	74.95	193	5.00	1.02	2306	11.00	30.00	2665.5	2665.5	930.0	11887.7	7500.0	
214 360134000	CHESTNUT CREEK AT GRAMAVILLE, N.Y.	80 30.00	130.00	41.51	74.32	198	5.00	1.02	849	5.00	10.00	19.47	19.47	5140.0	3946.0	3946.0	
215 360134000	KILL BROOK AT ARDEN, N.Y.	80 30.00	130.00	41.65	74.39	97	5.00	1.02	248	8.00	10.00	50.23	50.23	174.0	197.3	400.0	
216 360134000	TEAR CLOVE KILL NEAR PERACON, N.Y.	80 17.10	150.00	42.10	74.95	93	5.00	1.02	743	11.00	30.00	512.0	512.0	511.2	729.0	284.0	
217 360134000	KILLCREEK CREEK NEAR LIVINGSTON MANOR, N.Y.	80 30.00	130.00	41.54	74.29	108	5.00	1.02	1764	15.00	30.00	3000.0	3000.0	3000.0	3000.0	3000.0	
218 360134000	DAYTON CREEK NEAR ORWELL, N.Y.	80 30.00	130.00	41.87	74.14	87	5.00	1.02	743	11.00	30.00	357.2	357.2	1821.4	1970.0	1970.0	
219 360134000	WALNUT BROOK NEAR PLERTINGTON, N.Y.	80 2.24	75.5	41.52	74.53	108	6.00	1.02	191	4.00	20.00	4.24	4.24	9.02	307.4	1386.0	
220 360134000	FOOT CREEK NEAR ROCK ROYAL, N.Y.	80 1.50	76.0	42.10	75.16	88	6.00	1.02	1976	12.00	30.00	970.0	970.0	2252.0	2533.5	1920.0	
221 360134000	HOLLOW CREEK AT CHINA, N.Y.	80 1.50	76.0	42.10	75.16	88	6.00	1.02	1976	12.00	30.00	163.3	163.3	214.9	315.0	315.0	
222 360134000	SAGE BROOK NEAR SOUTH NEW BERLIN, N.Y.	80 1.50	76.0	42.32	75.28	85	5.00	1.02	266	6.00	10.00	1.75	1.75	45.5	34.6	192.9	
223 360134000	SACHAM CREEK NEAR TRUXTON, N.Y.	80 1.50	76.0	42.42	76.01	77	5.00	1.02	467	2.00	2.00	2.23	2.23	5.03	197.4	197.4	
224 360134000	ALBRIGHT CREEK AT EAST BRYANT, N.Y.	80 1.50	76.0	42.42	76.01	88	5.00	1.02	468	1.00	1.00	0.83	0.83	197.4	197.4	407.0	
225 360134000	KILL CREEK NEAR BELIN CENTER, OHIO	80 1.50	76.0	42.56	88.58	115	6.00	1.02	743	11.00	30.00	428	428	816.6	1242.0	1242.0	
226 360134000	KALE CREEK NEAR PRINCETON, OHIO	80 1.50	76.0	265.77	41.85	103	6.00	1.02	1973	12.00	30.00	12.55	12.55	106.2	1139.9	4792.0	
227 360134000	HINKLEY CREEK NEAR CHARLESTON, OHIO	80 1.50	76.0	167.00	42.10	75	5.00	1.02	198	12.00	30.00	1.72	1.72	1.72	1.72	1.72	
228 360134000	MANHATTAN CREEK AT COTLAND, OHIO	80 1.50	76.0	1136.0	41.21	21	6.00	1.02	192	0.00	1.00	32.38	32.38	506.3	715.6	1731.0	
229 360134000	CLIFF CREEK AT DILWORTH, OHIO	80 1.50	76.0	167.00	41.52	81	4.00	1.02	190	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.99	2.32	9.13	9.13	
230 360134000	CLEAR CREEK AT HARRISON, OHIO	80 1.50	76.0	167.00	41.52	81	4.00	1.02	190	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.99	2.32	9.13	9.13	
231 360134000	LITTLE CHIPPEWA CREEK NEAR SMITHVILLE, OHIO	80 1.50	76.0	167.00	41.52	81	4.00	1.02	190	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.99	2.32	9.13	9.13	
232 420144000	DILLOON CREEK NEAR LONG TONKA, PA.	80 1.50	76.0	361.00	41.92	75	32	1.00	0.04	1.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	166.0	169.4	615.0	
233 420144000	SUGAR CREEK AT PYTHIUNG, PA.	80 1.50	76.0	177.00	41.20	86	8.00	1.00	276	6.00	10.00	314.9	314.9	674.7	3585.0	4386.0	
234 420144000	SHAWNEE CREEK NEAR SWARTZ, MD.	80 1.50	76.0	1846.50	41.30	78	16	1.00	6.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	564.1	549.4	1772.0	
235 420144000	BEAR CREEK AT PRINDIVILLE, MD.	80 1.50	76.0	40.00	265.00	39	39	7.00	24	180	6.00	10.00	13.76	13.76	2633.1	2633.1	
236 420144000	CORY CREEK NEAR HALESBURG, PA.	80 1.50	76.0	1659.00	41.47	77.01	84	6.00	0.00	174	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	777.0	1255.0	
237 420144000	ELK RUN NEAR HALESBURG, PA.	80 1.50	76.0	1846.50	41.49	76	58	85	5.00	1.00	1.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	571.0	571.0	
238 420144000	HUNNY CREEK NEAR BONSETON, PA.	80 1.50	76.0	80.00	601.00	41.21	76	32	92	6.00	1.00	0.00	100.0	100.0	630.0	630.0	
239 420144000	LISBON CREEK AT LISBON, OHIO	80 1.50	76.0	40.00	262.00	39	44	70	83	302	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	280.0	280.0	
240 420144000	GREEN LICK RUN NEAR ALBION, OHIO	80 1.50	76.0	876.00	41.48	76	38	110	6.00	1.00	0.00	244.9	244.9	0.00	326.0	326.0	
241 420144000	BUDDY RUMBLE CREEK NEAR BUCKHANNON, W.V.	80 1.50	76.0	14.00	164.00	39	59	88	0.00	1.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	446.0	446.0	
242 420144000	GOAT CREEK NEAR BUCKHANNON, W.V.	80 1.50	76.0	166.00	166.00	41	36	95	100	6.00	1.00	0.00	500.0	500.0	500.0	500.0	500.0
243 420144000	GOAT CREEK NEAR STILWATER CREEK AT STILWATER, W.V.	80 1.50	76.0	166.00	166.00	41.21	76	32	92	6.00	1.00	0.00	224.0	224.0	224.0	224.0	224.0
244 420144000	GOAT CREEK NEAR BUCKHANNON, W.V.	80 1.50	76.0	166.00	166.00	41.48	76	38	110	6.00	1.00	0.00	244.9	244.9	0.00	326.0	326.0
245 420144000	GOAT CREEK NEAR BUCKHANNON, W.V.	80 1.50	76.0	166.00	166.00	41.48	76	38	110	6.00	1.00	0.00	244.9	244.9	0.00	326.0	326.0
246 420144000	GOAT CREEK NEAR BUCKHANNON, W.V.	80 1.50	76.0	166.00	166.00	41.48	76	38	110	6.00	1.00	0.00	244.9	244.9	0.00	326.0	326.0
247 420144000	GOAT CREEK NEAR BUCKHANNON, W.V.	80 1.50	76.0	166.00	166.00	41.48	76	38	110	6.00	1.00	0.00	244.9	244.9	0.00	326.0	326.0
248 420144000	GOAT CREEK NEAR BUCKHANNON, W.V.	80 1.50	76.0	166.00	166.00	41.48	76	38	110	6.00	1.00	0.00	244.9	244.9	0.00	326.0	326.0
249 420144000	GOAT CREEK NEAR BUCKHANNON, W.V.	80 1.50	76.0	166.00	166.00	41.48	76	38	110	6.00	1.00	0.00	244.9	244.9	0.00	326.0	326.0
250 420144000	GOAT CREEK NEAR BUCKHANNON, W.V.	80 1.50	76.0	166.00	166.00	41.48	76	38	110	6.00	1.00	0.00	244.9	244.9	0.00	326.0	326.0
251 420144000	GOAT CREEK NEAR BUCKHANNON, W.V.	80 1.50	76.0	166.00	166.00	41.48	76	38	110	6.00</td							

Table 10. Continued.

## Zone 08

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

SEQ	STATION	STATION NAME	SECT	AREA	918	LAT	LONG	R	P18	P60	DM	L	LL	STNS	MEAN	02	050	0100	SPK
247	3983119700	601127788 CONDON CREEK AT JEREMY, OHIO	SE	14.3	90.3	47.22	88.88	149	5.07	178	141	5.38	90.3	8.5	5.88	60.9	311.4	130.8	
248	398347986	601479987 TOWER MUD NEAR LANEVILLE, OHIO	SE	16.0	90.3	47.57	88.88	150	5.07	178	140	5.38	90.3	8.5	5.88	60.9	305.9	207.0	
249	398350660	601506608 TELL CREEK AT CONNELVILLE, OHIO	SE	1.87	777.9	39.39	61.36	150	5.07	178	140	5.38	90.3	8.5	5.88	60.9	1456.2	1713.9	
250	3983220560	602356005 HOMINY CREEK AT CIRCLEVILLE, OHIO	SE	5.68	1891.0	39.35	62.53	148	5.97	189	332	5.78	26.87	93.1	910.1	720.0	3246.6	3080.0	
251	3983226160	602356005 HOMINY CREEK BRANCH AT LITTLE SALT CAY JACKSON, OHIO	SE	3.76	1822.0	39.81	62.39	150	6.16	194	280	3.78	11.69	63.1	674.9	1484.5	1835.7	1480.0	
252	3983226160	602356005 HOMINY CREEK BRANCH AT LITTLE SALT CAY JACKSON, OHIO	SE	6.046	55.3	48.22	61.48	150	6.16	194	6.23	6.41	6.0	28.8	53.6	184.0	183.8	75.7	
253	3983429612	603262212 CONDOCTON, OHIO WATERSHED NO 177	SE	6.128	165.3	48.22	61.48	150	5.84	178	248	6.58	1.45	6.0	40.9	26.6	286.3	364.6	
254	3983529129	603262212 CONDOCTON, OHIO WATERSHED NO 183	SE	6.128	165.3	48.22	61.48	150	5.84	178	225	6.58	6.95	1.45	46.3	53.3	245.3	292.6	
255	3983529130	603262212 CONDOCTON, OHIO WATERSHED NO 186	SE	6.478	44.6	48.22	61.48	150	5.84	178	259	6.75	4.79	1.45	174.4	184.5	1245.4	1136.5	
256	3983529131	603262212 CONDOCTON, OHIO WATERSHED NO 19	SE	6.198	149.1	48.24	61.48	150	5.83	178	280	6.55	2.42	6.0	46.5	33.5	245.3	372.8	
257	3983529132	603262212 CONDOCTON, OHIO WATERSHED NO 52	SE	6.154	230.1	48.25	61.48	150	5.83	178	280	6.47	5.35	6.0	90.2	68.6	580.7	623.3	
258	3983529133	603262212 CONDOCTON, OHIO WATERSHED NO 82	SE	1.44	48.0	48.25	61.48	150	5.84	178	308	6.75	12.08	6.0	104.3	791.4	575.2		
259	39836134	6032633 COSHOCOTON, OHIO WATERSHED NO 94	SE	4.37	600.3	48.24	61.48	150	5.65	178	320	2.50	25.34	0.0	34.6	380.6	462.2	1704.7	
260	39836135	6032633 COSHOCOTON, OHIO WATERSHED NO 95	SE	6.01	135.8	48.25	61.48	150	5.65	178	320	2.50	25.34	0.0	34.6	380.6	1999.9	1800.0	
261	39836136	6032633 COSHOCOTON, OHIO WATERSHED NO 97	SE	7.18	202.0	48.25	61.48	145	5.64	178	410	6.80	88.81	0.0	985.8	985.8	3476.3	3084.2	
262	4205265068	603267408 SEVENILLE RUN NEAR RASSELAS, PA.	SE	7.84	135.6	41.38	53.20	78	5.41	171	478	5.98	9.78	0.0	504.8	485.6	2484.2	2092.4	
263	5103217488	603267408 PRATER CREEK AT VANSANT, VA.	SE	20.76	323.1	37.13	52.86	150	6.74	171	480	5.20	15.88	0.0	559.6	595.3	4550.0		
264	5103217488	603267408 PRATER CREEK NEAR BROWNSVILLE, VA.	SE	20.76	288.7	36.56	58.27	148	6.37	170	480	6.22	55.26	0.0	1444.0	1474.2	2471.6	2088.0	
265	5103217488	603267408 SKIN CREEK NEAR BROWNSVILLE, VA.	SE	41.24	430.6	31.19	57.13	150	6.75	171	480	6.22	55.26	0.0	1444.0	1474.2	2471.6	2088.0	
266	5103217488	603267408 BALM CREEK AT SLEM, VA.	SE	31.66	502.1	37.13	52.86	150	6.75	171	480	6.22	55.26	0.0	1444.0	1474.2	2471.6	2088.0	
267	6102462686	602655268 SKIN CREEK NEAR BROWNSVILLE, VA.	SE	41.24	430.6	31.19	57.13	150	6.75	171	480	6.22	55.26	0.0	1444.0	1474.2	2471.6	2088.0	
268	2133468696	603267408 BURNING WOOD CREEK NEAR PARKERS LANE, KY.	SE	30.97	520.7	37.16	54.97	150	6.75	171	480	6.22	55.26	0.0	1444.0	1474.2	2471.6	2088.0	
269	2133467186	603267408 BURNING WOOD CREEK NEAR PARKERS LANE, KY.	SE	30.97	520.7	37.16	54.97	150	6.75	171	480	6.22	55.26	0.0	1444.0	1474.2	2471.6	2088.0	
270	2133467186	603267408 BURNING WOOD CREEK NEAR PARKERS LANE, KY.	SE	30.97	520.7	37.16	54.97	150	6.75	171	480	6.22	55.26	0.0	1444.0	1474.2	2471.6	2088.0	
271	470350848	603267408 CHESTIE CREEK ABOVE EUGLEWOOD, TENN.	SE	19.88	279.8	35.25	64.30	205	7.28	2.27	164	8.10	17.98	0.0	1487.7	363.0	3542.2	5313.4	
272	470350848	603267408 CHESTIE CREEK BELOW ST NY 39 NR EUGLEWOOD, TENN.	SE	32.78	335.8	33.28	54.30	203	7.28	2.27	164	8.10	17.98	0.0	1487.7	363.0	3542.2	5313.4	
273	470350848	603267408 SOUTH CHESTIE CREEK NR BENTON, TENN.	SE	31.66	366.6	33.24	54.23	205	7.30	2.35	164	8.10	17.98	0.0	1487.7	363.0	3542.2	5313.4	
274	470350848	603267408 LITTLE BRUSH CREEK NR DUNLAP, TENN.	SE	15.48	381.8	35.24	55.23	205	7.87	2.27	1532	7.55	26.35	0.0	1946.0	1862.2	3936.9	4399.8	
275	470350848	603267408 POOR CREEK AT CUBBERLAND, KY.	SE	38.38	610.8	36.56	63.08	150	6.88	1.14	2858	6.48	56.26	0.0	5928.9	3767.8	14986.8	13786.9	
276	470350848	603267408 YELLOW CREEK BY PASS AT MIDDLEBROOK, KY.	SE	38.38	610.8	36.56	63.08	150	6.88	1.14	2858	6.48	56.26	0.0	5928.9	3767.8	14986.8	13786.9	
277	2133467288	603267408 WEST FORK CREEK BY NEAR PARKERS LANE, KY.	SE	8.47	279.8	35.25	64.30	205	7.28	2.27	164	8.10	17.98	0.0	1487.7	363.0	3542.2	5313.4	
278	470349800	603267408 WHITE OAK CREEK AT SUNLIGHT, TENN.	SE	12.58	335.8	33.28	54.30	203	7.28	2.27	164	8.10	17.98	0.0	1487.7	363.0	3542.2	5313.4	
279	470349800	603267408 BIG CREEK NEAR ROSEVILLE, TENN.	SE	47.98	481.6	36.56	57.15	150	6.88	1.14	2858	6.48	56.26	0.0	5928.9	3767.8	14986.8	13786.9	
280	470349800	603267408 COOL CREEK AT LACE CITY, TENN.	SE	74.08	621.3	36.13	64.99	150	6.71	1.15	2858	6.48	56.26	0.0	5928.9	3767.8	14986.8	13786.9	
281	470350848	603267408 ROCK CREEK NR BURBRIGHT, TENN.	SE	8.14	1481.8	36.12	64.46	150	6.71	1.15	2858	6.48	56.26	0.0	5928.9	3767.8	14986.8	13786.9	
282	23011927438	603267408 GOOSE RIVER AT ROCKPORT, ME.	SA	8.32	613.3	41.11	69.85	60	6.80	1.58	750	5.20	8.28	0.0	403.5	484.2	887.8	884.8	
283	23011927438	603267408 PARKER RIVER AT SPRUCE, MASS.	SA	8.11	61.8	40.8	43.43	78.57	9.7	5.44	172	189	8.75	229.7	507.4	652.4	689.8		
284	23011927438	603267408 ADIRONDACK RIVER AT WINGATE, MASS.	SA	8.11	61.8	40.8	43.43	78.57	9.7	5.44	172	189	8.75	229.7	507.4	652.4	689.8		
285	23011927438	603267408 EAST BRANCH REDSKIN RIVER AT CHRON, MASS.	SA	8.12	1150.7	42.68	61.00	118.0	5.01	1.07	150	7.07	33.67	2.01	335.2	407.4	2077.1	1796.1	
286	23011927438	603267408 WADING RIVER NEAR DUNNFIELD, MASS.	SA	10.48	507.7	42.88	71.16	110	6.89	1.92	178	8.40	31.08	5.58	205.3	177.2	1826.8	1736.2	
287	3301092686	603267408 OYSTER RIVER AT HARTFORD, CONN.	SA	12.18	487.8	41.47	72.43	123	9.4	4.97	173	160	4.98	11.21	7.0	332.4	386.7	622.8	
288	40011927438	603267408 ADMISTILLE BROOK AT ADMISTILLE, RI.	SA	8.4	61.6	382.6	41.33	71.08	121	6.35	2.02	220	5.93	9.86	408.8	193.3	187.5	486.0	
289	40011927438	603267408 POTOMOKI RIVER NEAR EAST GREENWICH, RI.	SA	8.4	83.88	681.0	41.38	71.27	128	6.35	1.92	230	7.65	24.47	0.0	388.8	338.5	184.1	
290	40011927438	603267408 STAFFORD BROOK NEAR MOODCAT VALLEY, CONN.	SA	8.4	83.88	681.0	41.38	71.27	128	6.35	1.92	230	7.65	24.47	0.0	388.8	338.5	184.1	
291	40011927438	603267408 MOUNT HOPE RIVER NR HARVEVILLE, CONN.	SA	8.7	8.89	312.4	41.51	72.18	115	6.20	1.03	650	6.60	36.79	4.4	163.9	919.8	977.9	
292	40011927438	603267408 BURLINGTON BROOK NEAR BURLINGTON, CONN.	SA	9.5	4.12	530.9	41.47	72.58	125	6.20	1.03	327	5.78	16.93	3.68	297.6	1674.9	1984.8	
293	40011927438	603267408 NORTH BRICK PARK RIVER AT HARTFORD, CONN.	SA	9.5	4.12	530.9	41.47	72.58	125	6.20	1.03	327	5.78	16.93	3.68	297.6	1674.9	1984.8	
294	40011927438	603267408 EIGHTH RIVER AT NORTH PLAIN, CONN.	SA	9.5	16.68	1852.6	41.26	72.28	123	6.20	1.03	327	5.78	16.93	3.68	297.6	1674.9	1984.8	
295	40011927438	603267408 EAST BR. EIGHTH RIVER A. NR. NORTH PLAIN, CONN.	SA	9.5	16.68	1852.6	41.26	72.28	123	6.20	1.03	327	5.78	16.93	3.68	297.6	1674.9	1984.8	
296	40011927438	603267408 NEWHUCK RIVER NEAR CLINTON, CONN.	SA	9.5	16.68	1852.6	41.26	72.28	123	6.20	1.03	327	5.78	16.93	3.68	297.6	1674.9	1984.8	
297	40011927438	603267408 LEADMINE BROOK NEAR THOMASTON, CONN.	SA	9.5	16.68	1852.6	41.26	72.28	123	6.20	1.03	327	5.78	16.93	3.68	297.6	1674.9	1984.8	

Table 10. Continued.

Zone 09																	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
SEQ STATION STATION NAME																	
300 280114740 FACTORY BROOK NEAR MADAWASKA, ME.	90 6.93	840.1	47.21	88.18	61	3.69	1.10	308	4.93	8.64	8.9	150.8	316.8	359.7	233.8		
299 280114750 HOUTON BROOK NEAR DROMHAM, ME.	90 6.93	840.1	46.86	88.04	71	3.69	1.28	155	5.55	5.55	1.18	122.4	322.3	343.1	236.2		
300 280114750 NICHOLS BROOK NEAR CARIBOU, ME.	90 6.93	840.1	46.81	87.86	66	3.70	1.23	160	5.42	5.38	8.1	110.9	304.5	434.6	281.6		
301 280117470 GULLIVER BROOK NEAR MONARDI, ME.	90 11.49	447.7	45.43	88.01	70	4.18	1.72	260	6.74	10.86	21.15	72.0	25.8	78.1	502.0		
302 280117470 COFFIN BROOK NEAR LEE, ME.	90 11.49	131.0	42.85	88.02	68	4.33	1.35	260	6.24	5.36	21.15	72.0	25.8	78.1	502.0		
303 280117470 BOG BROOK NEAR BUCKFIELD, ME.	90 11.49	823.0	44.16	78.19	87	4.97	1.86	180	5.88	28.32	8.02	184.8	285.3	39.0	486.0	289.0	
304 2801161582 TARELL BROOK NEAR WINCHESTER, MASS.	90 11.49	841.0	42.43	72.95	180	5.48	1.78	260	6.95	24.66	4.0	327.9	268.0	2151.0	263.8		
305 2801161582 PRIST BROOK NEAR WINCHESTER, MASS.	90 11.49	807.6	42.41	72.87	180	5.48	1.78	260	6.95	24.66	4.0	327.9	268.0	2151.0	263.8		
306 2801174680 HOP BROOK NEAR NEW SALEM, MASS.	90 3.39	244.5	42.29	72.28	110	5.58	1.75	402	2.86	8.04	9.0	146.2	352.7	408.1	209.2		
307 2801160680 AYERS BROOK AT KIGHTVILLE, MASS.	90 1.64	180.3	42.17	79.52	120	6.75	1.81	430	3.52	3.52	8.0	69.1	67.7	445.5	543.5		
308 2801160680 KUSAKI BROOK NEAR PEPPERDORF, N. H.	90 3.39	964.9	42.55	71.51	62	4.91	1.75	400	6.19	26.65	4.8	618.7	616.7	1597.3	1158.0		
309 2801153680 KUSHIKA BROOK NEAR KEME, N. H.	90 7.97	381.6	5.55	72.14	99	4.79	1.74	1063	2.97	39.46	2.10	149.1	613.9	725.2	613.9		
310 2801160680 OTTER BROOK NEAR KEME, N. H.	90 7.97	381.6	5.55	72.14	99	4.79	1.74	1063	2.97	39.46	2.10	149.1	613.9	725.2	613.9		
311 2801160680 3 BR ASHUELOT R NEAR WEBB, NEAR MELBOURNE, N. H.	90 7.00	F807.7	4.2	52	72	13	181	4.81	1.74	380	7.80	66.40	1.0	120.0	981.6	5861.7	593.6
312 2801160680 RINGWOOD CREEK NEAR HANAKE, N. J.	90 11.49	922.5	41.98	74.16	170	6.75	1.84	688	18.32	5.32	8.0	182.1	1284.2	1194.2	198.2		
313 2801160680 BLIND BROOK AT RYE, N. Y.	90 6.20	1297.2	40.69	73.41	125	6.75	2.11	935	7.28	12.36	0.0	701.2	650.1	2054.5	2363.5		
314 4481111382 NEPIUC R NR HARRISVILLE R. I.	90 16.00	985.4	41.59	71.41	180	6.88	1.92	410	6.05	26.65	4.8	492.7	561.9	1796.4	1796.4		
315 4481111482 MOONASUGATUETT RIVER AT CENTERDALE, R. I.	90 33.30	1648.8	41.51	72.21	110	6.18	1.94	400	6.19	26.65	4.8	517.5	552.2	1386.6	1442.6		
316 5061136680 EAST ORANGE BRANCH AT EAST ORANGE, V.T.	90 8.98	44.8	44.86	22.26	85	4.00	1.68	866	9.01	7.86	9.0	277.4	201.3	524.3	554.6	405.0	
317 5061142600 AYERS BROOK AT RANDOLPH, V.T.	90 15.00	313.6	43.56	22.30	80	4.67	1.61	1100	16.20	57.98	9.0	1618.6	984.8	9869.6	2600.6		
318 5061142600 GREEN RIVER AT GARNET, V.T.	90 15.00	672.3	42.36	22.32	80	4.67	1.61	920	16.20	57.98	9.0	174.6	475.6	174.6	2000.6		
319 2801161580 MOUNTAIN BROOK NEAR LAKE PARLON, ME.	90 3.41	570.4	45.26	76.04	93	4.34	1.58	180	2.26	4.45	0.0	275.0	233.0	1105.2	1315.2		
320 2801161580 30. BR. CARRABASSETT RIVER AT BIDDEFORD, ME.	90 14.98	1574.5	45.83	69.53	82	4.86	1.45	1600	7.26	11.39	6.0	1148.2	1324.2	1614.2	1620.2		
321 2801161580 30. BR. TANNING BROOK NR MANCHESTER, ME.	90 14.98	1705.4	45.86	71.91	85	4.93	1.53	155	6.36	1.83	8.0	82.3	195.1	225.0	135.0		
322 2801161580 30. BR. FAYE POND BROOK NEAR BETHEL, ME.	90 6.38	320.1	41.59	71.38	100	6.48	2.08	445	5.00	18.85	1.0	206.5	227.2	423.5	585.9	318.0	
323 2801161580 FOUR PONDS BROOK NEAR HUGHTON, ME.	90 5.38	635.7	44.74	70.42	84	4.78	1.54	666	1.56	6.25	1.45	128.2	320.0	397.7	1685.5	664.0	
324 2801161580 FAYE POND BROOK NEAR BETHEL, ME.	90 18.00	4489.4	44.13	71.15	84	4.53	1.55	4785	2.80	12.48	0.0	178.6	285.6	653.5	498.0		
325 339107250 MOHAWK BROOK NR CENTER STAFFORD, N. H.	90 6.38	638.7	43.16	71.00	92	4.74	1.71	730	4.00	10.89	10.1	221.1	281.3	989.0	1034.5		
326 3391127680 BIG BROOK NR PITTSBURG, N. H.	90 6.38	456.1	45.86	71.12	86	4.43	1.65	1400	4.00	4.16	0.0	279.3	387.3	628.3	441.0		
327 4481115680 HODENICK R AT HODENICK, N. H.	90 6.38	320.1	41.59	71.38	100	6.48	2.08	445	5.00	18.85	1.0	206.5	227.2	423.5	585.9	318.0	
328 5061160680 PHERING RIVER TRIB. IN ISLAND POND, Vt.	90 1.66	146.0	43.38	71.64	84	4.44	1.63	1100	1.68	3.28	1.0	62.2	56.5	196.2	219.1	148.0	
329 5061160680 RENT BROOK NR SHERMAN, Vt.	90 1.66	1213.4	43.38	72.49	85	4.81	1.63	1270	5.80	12.89	0.0	319.2	365.1	510.4	1605.4		
330 5061160680 SACHETTS BROOK NR PUTNEY, Vt.	90 16.89	870.1	43.38	72.38	97	4.37	1.77	1268	5.80	19.85	8.0	354.5	381.3	1963.4	1635.4		
331 5061160680 FLOOD BROOK NR LONDONERRY, Vt.	90 1.25	1697.6	43.14	71.51	95	4.71	1.69	2894	6.90	28.45	8.0	613.6	490.0	3435.2	3986.4		
332 5061160680 BEAVER BROOK AT WILMINGTON, Vt.	90 6.38	1845.7	42.92	72.51	87	4.81	1.78	787	5.75	12.28	0.0	587.6	451.9	148.9	1689.1		
333 5061160680 JAIL BRANCH AT EAST BARRE, Vt.	90 4.86	1147.4	42.18	72.27	85	4.53	1.66	1334	6.00	33.00	6.03	587.6	422.9	2388.0	2228.1	1838.0	
334 5061160680 STONY BROOK NR EDIN, VT. JR. JOHNSON VT.	90 4.31	758.8	44.42	72.35	80	4.35	1.49	1480	1.20	5.78	0.0	319.9	352.7	1439.6	1727.5	882.6	
335 5061160680 GIMON RIVER TRIB. NR JOHNSON VT.	90 6.31	651.4	42.38	80	4.35	1.56	780	1.20	2.48	0.0	49.5	44.6	145.0	166.8	112.0		
Zone 10																	
336 2591197300 MARSH BROOK AT LENNOX, Miss.	90 2.18	142.9	42.21	71.14	185	5.60	1.79	563	2.93	3.84	19.6	89.3	92.9	287.2	234.8	159.0	
337 2801131170 DRY BROOK NR ADAMS, Miss.	90 7.53	602.3	42.35	73.87	110	5.82	1.73	1027	4.47	9.16	6.0	59.0	623.7	1217.7	1364.5	944.0	
338 2801132170 HOOTIC RIVER, MASS.	DE 39.86	577.6	42.37	73.86	110	5.82	1.73	1027	4.47	9.16	6.0	59.0	593.0	1340.5	948.0	948.0	
339 2801132170 NORTH BRANCH HODIC AT WADSWORTH, MASS.	DE 39.86	577.6	42.36	73.86	110	5.71	1.73	2269	4.38	16.73	0.0	2726.2	2290.0	11095.5	1340.5	948.0	
340 280113333800 GREEN RIVER AT WILLIAMSTOWN, MASS.	DE 42.06	2485.1	42.43	73.12	185	5.48	1.70	2872	11.7	43.12	0.0	1398.7	1320.9	3858.0	3268.2	2738.0	
341 506113333800 SOUTH STREAM NR BENNINGTON, VT.	DE 7.78	141.0	42.55	73.16	185	5.41	1.68	1998	4.88	12.22	0.0	77.3	74.0	237.6	288.4	188.0	
342 506113333800 METACNEE RIVER TRIB. NO. 2 AT EAST RUPERT, VT.	DE 1.66	135.6	43.13	73.87	93	4.78	1.73	1180	2.98	4.18	0.0	76.3	96.8	161.0	178.3	138.0	
343 506113119000 WEST BRANCH SACANDA RIVER AT ALBRETTA, N. Y.	10 28.99	1849.8	43.15	74.31	85	5.28	1.59	2788	0.88	63.69	0.0	1195.3	1374.6	2482.6	2053.6	1689.0	
344 36161219500 SAND LAKE OUTLET NR RISEDD, N. Y.	10 7.74	286.1	42.55	73.87	85	5.88	1.73	1027	4.47	9.16	6.0	59.0	566.1	225.0	207.0	207.0	
345 361612484000 LITTLE SUCKER BROOK	10 19.94	341.2	43.56	75.11	75	4.65	1.44	120	8.76	15.86	0.0	1118.7	671.2	682.1	433.3	433.3	
346 361612484000 EAST BRANCH R. BLACK BROOK AT BLACK BROOK, N. Y.	10 4.44	936.3	43.33	74.10	77	4.74	1.42	1758	14.22	68.59	2.0	333.5	133.5	1137.2	1137.2	722.0	
347 361612484000 BLACK BROOK AT BLACK BROOK, N. Y.	10 4.44	810.7	42.77	73.45	85	4.88	1.58	2100	1.00	14.00	0.0	1135.5	1382.4	1135.5	1887.4	1887.4	
348 361612484000 BOUQUET RIVER AT NEW RUSSIA, N. Y.	10 37.56	3923.3	43.18	73.87	83	4.93	1.68	2352	1.48	33.04	0.0	1615.0	1615.0	1643.1	5799.3	4488.0	
349 361612484000 NORTHEAST BAY BROOK NR BOLTON LANDING, N. Y.	10 23.40	1624.7	43.46	73.36	87	5.13	1.59	1288	6.46	34.80	8.0	972.0	1146.0	1624.0	1731.0	1288.0	

Table 10. Continued.

Zone 11																	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
SEG	STATION	STATION NAME	SECT	AREA	010	LAT	LONG	R	P10	P50	DN	L	LL	STRG	Q100	Q50	Q100
358	21033454588	MCGILLS CREEK NEAR MCKINNEY, KY.	11A	2.74	118°59'37"	37°27'	84°42'	175	6.66	2.88	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	505.3	2011.1	2485.4
359	21033454588	GREEN RIVER NEAR MCKINNEY, KY.	11A	2.48	118°59'40"	37°25'	84°43'	168	6.61	2.88	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	505.3	1985.7	1448.5
351	21033454588	GREEN RIVER NEAR MCKINNEY, KY.	11A	2.48	118°59'40"	37°25'	84°43'	168	6.61	2.88	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	505.3	1985.7	1448.5
352	21033454588	BROWN RIVER NEAR MOUNT SALEM AT EDENTON, KY.	11A	3.08	118°51'37"	37°25'	84°43'	178	6.69	2.88	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	505.3	1985.7	1448.5
353	21033454588	BROWN RIVER NEAR MOUNT SALEM AT EDENTON, KY.	11A	3.08	118°51'37"	37°25'	84°43'	178	6.69	2.88	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	505.3	1985.7	1448.5
354	21033454588	MCGOUL CREEK NEAR MOOGIN VILLE, KY.	11A	0.34	210°57'37"	37°32'	85°48'	185	6.32	2.88	.22	4.98	7.49	11.10	1.12	3355.4	3353.2
355	21033454588	NORTH FORK NOIN RIVER AT MCGOUL VILLE, KY.	11A	36.48	44°48'37"	35	80°44'	175	6.67	2.88	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	407.4	407.2	18944.0
356	21033454588	BROWN CREEK NEAR LEITCHFIELD, KY.	11A	36.86	69°15'37"	35	80°44'	176	6.69	2.88	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	473.6	473.5	11686.7
357	21033454588	WEST BAY PORK AT SCOTTVILLE, KY.	11A	7.47	2833.2	36°45'	86°12'	281	6.83	2.14	.28	4.63	11.98	8.3	1635.7	1596.5	2659.5
358	21033454588	BIG BIGGY CREEK AT SANDY HOLLOW, TENN.	11A	1.58	2427.6	35°29'	87.29	265	6.48	2.88	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	1635.7	1596.5	2659.5
359	21033454588	CANE CREEK NEAR FOOT SPRING, KY.	11B	2.53	269.7	35°61'	84°36'	185	6.48	2.88	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	127.7	127.7	408.7
360	21033454588	SOUTH ELLIOTT CREEK AT FORT SPRING, KY.	11B	2.58	176.6	36°03'	84°38'	183	6.48	2.88	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	97.2	97.2	256.6
361	21033454588	PLAT CREEK NEAR FRANK PORT, KY.	11B	6.65	505.5	35°15'	84°57'	182	6.37	2.88	.22	3.84	6.15	8.0	2355.1	2211.9	6071.3
362	21033454588	PLAT CREEK NEAR SAGEVILLE, KY.	11B	6.65	505.5	35°15'	84°57'	182	6.37	2.88	.22	3.84	6.15	8.0	2355.1	2211.9	6071.3
363	21033454588	BIG EAGLE CREEK AT SAGEVILLE, KY.	11B	7.15	217.5	35°12'	85.39	185	6.49	2.88	.25	3.84	5.85	8.0	1551.7	1551.7	4946.1
364	21033454588	P BEANGRASS C. CANNON LA. LOUISVILLE, KY.	11B	10.08	490.1	35°14'	85.39	179	6.49	2.88	.25	3.84	5.85	8.0	1635.7	1618.9	4889.0
365	21033454588	SALT LICK NEAR HARRINGTON, KY.	11B	41.48	93.6	37.45	82.70	179	6.49	2.88	.25	3.84	5.85	8.0	1635.7	1618.9	4889.0
366	21033454588	PLUM CREEK NEAR SUMMERTOWN NOA NEAR BISHOPSVILLE, KY.	11B	1.95	103.2	38.19	86.22	185	6.39	2.88	.25	3.84	5.85	8.0	143.2	143.2	1886.8
367	21033454588	PLUM CREEK NEAR WILBONVILLE, KY.	11B	19.18	439.2	35°56'	85.26	185	6.46	2.88	.25	3.84	5.85	8.0	1635.7	1618.9	4889.0
368	21033454588	PLUM CREEK NEAR LEBANON, TENN.	11C	3.32	2869.1	36°14'	86.24	198	6.66	2.14	.35	2.84	5.85	8.0	1635.7	1618.9	4889.0
369	21033454588	CEDAR C. TRIBUTARY AT GREEN MILLS, TENN.	11C	8.86	310.9	35°14'	86.32	198	6.65	2.14	.35	2.84	5.85	8.0	1635.7	1618.9	4889.0
370	21033454588	DRAKER CREEK ABOVE MEDEASVILLE, TENN.	11C	11.11	137.0	35°56'	86.37	216	6.75	2.13	.35	2.84	5.85	8.0	1635.7	1618.9	4889.0
371	21033454588	DRAKER CREEK AT LACASAS, TENN.	11C	11.11	137.0	35°56'	86.37	216	6.75	2.13	.35	2.84	5.85	8.0	1635.7	1618.9	4889.0
372	21033454588	HANTRACE CREEK AT BELL BUCKLE, TENN.	11C	16.38	655.8	35°35'	86.28	245	7.19	2.83	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	448.1	448.1	6228.9
373	21033454588	HANTRACE CREEK NEAR NOVIA, TENN.	11C	9.44	140.5	35°35'	86.33	245	7.19	2.83	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	448.1	448.1	6228.9
374	21033454588	MEKEY CREEK NEAR MELTON, TENN.	11C	11.17	151.7	36°36'	87.36	246	7.02	2.83	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	317.9	317.9	5336.6
375	21033454588	MEKEY CREEK NEAR MELTON, TENN.	11C	11.17	151.7	36°36'	87.36	246	7.02	2.83	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	317.9	317.9	5336.6
376	21033454588	ROCK LICK CREEK NEAR OMIA, ALA. ONE NO. 2	11D	11.81	776.0	35°47'	87.39	255	7.51	2.83	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	515.5	515.5	1115.4
377	21033454588	ROCK LICK CREEK NEAR OMIA, ALA. ONE NO. 2	11D	14.85	327.0	35°47'	87.41	255	7.51	2.83	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	515.5	515.5	1115.4
378	21033454588	ROCK LICK CREEK NEAR NEVIE, TENN.	11D	10.81	89.6	35°48'	87.36	255	7.51	2.83	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	515.5	515.5	1115.4
379	21033454588	ROSE CREEK AT NEED, KY.	11D	4.18	145.6	35°57'	87.38	255	6.66	2.13	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	605.5	605.5	1268.4
380	21033454588	ROSE CREEK AT NEED, KY.	11D	4.18	145.6	35°57'	87.38	255	6.66	2.13	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	605.5	605.5	1268.4
381	21033454588	ROSE CREEK AT NEED, KY.	11D	30.39	5215.7	35°41'	87.44	245	7.05	2.32	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	3476.3	3476.3	6064.4
382	21033454588	ROSE CREEK AT NEED, KY.	11D	30.39	5215.7	35°41'	87.44	245	7.05	2.32	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	3476.3	3476.3	6064.4
383	21033454588	TINLEY CREEK NEAR PALOS PARK, ILL.	12A	11.39	971.7	41°39'	87.46	123	6.28	1.94	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	511.4	496.9	1709.4
384	21033454588	WIDE RUN NEAR LENONY, ILL.	12A	15.39	617.0	41°39'	87.46	123	6.28	1.94	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	511.4	496.9	1709.4
385	21033454588	WOOD CREEK NEAR MCNEELY, ILL.	12A	15.39	243.4	42°41'	86.19	118	6.33	1.95	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	511.4	496.9	1709.4
386	21033454588	BLACK RIVER NEAR GAINES, ILL.	12A	24.99	622.7	46°37'	85.22	65	4.85	1.45	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	308.8	246.7	1837.8
387	21033454588	BLACK RIVER NEAR DUNHAM, ILL.	12A	16.39	617.0	46°37'	84.19	65	4.85	1.45	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	296.8	246.7	1837.8
388	21033454588	DEAN CREEK NEAR WILLIAMSON, ILL.	12A	9.34	624.7	42°41'	84.22	62	5.04	1.82	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	221.6	221.6	1203.6
389	21033454588	QUAKER BROOK NEAR MAMMIVILLE, ILL.	12A	7.01	231.5	42°34'	85.05	25	5.27	1.00	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	136.1	136.1	337.6
390	21033454588	QUAKER BROOK NEAR ROSE CITY, ILL.	12A	1.21	240.3	42°26'	84.07	25	5.27	1.00	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	136.1	136.1	337.6
391	21033454588	QUAKER BROOK NEAR ROSE CITY, ILL.	12A	1.21	240.3	42°26'	84.07	25	5.27	1.00	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	136.1	136.1	337.6
392	21033454588	WHITEHATER CREEK AT WHITETRAYER, MINN.	12A	1.21	7.33	42°42'	84.41	65	1.85	1.94	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	308.7	308.7	451.1
393	21033454588	WHITEHATER CREEK NEAR WHITETRAYER, MINN.	12A	16.77	617.0	42°42'	84.41	65	1.85	1.94	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	308.7	308.7	451.1
394	21033454588	WHITEHATER CREEK NEAR WHITETRAYER, MINN.	12A	16.77	126.86	41°56'	84.53	65	1.85	1.94	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	308.7	308.7	451.1
395	21033454588	WHITEHATER CREEK NEAR WHITETRAYER, MINN.	12A	16.77	126.86	41°56'	84.53	65	1.85	1.94	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	308.7	308.7	451.1
396	21033454588	WHITEHATER CREEK NEAR WHITETRAYER, MINN.	12A	16.77	126.86	41°56'	84.53	65	1.85	1.94	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	308.7	308.7	451.1
397	21033454588	RABBIT RIVER NEAR CROSBY, MINN.	12B	18.78	188.1	43°37'	97.57	86	6.19	1.94	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	15.51	15.51	1135.3
398	21033454588	LITTLE TOMAHAWK CREEK AT LINDEN, N. Y.	12A	21.16	386.12	42°53'	78.10	69	4.00	1.93	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	221.6	221.6	1813.2
399	21033454588	JACKSON COUNTY DITCH 11 NEAR LAKETIED, MINN.	12B	7.69	669.5	43.36	95.15	95	1.98	1.94	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	165.3	165.3	1135.3
400	21033454588	JACKSON COUNTY DITCH 11 NEAR LAKETIED, MINN.	12B	7.69	669.5	43.36	95.15	95	1.98	1.94	.35	1.83	4.75	9.0	165.3	165.3	1135.3

Table 10. Continued.

1

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

## Zone 12

SEC	STATION	STATION NAME	SECT	AREA	QDM	LAT	LONG	R	PIG	PBS	DH	L	LL	SYNG	QMAN	02	030	0100	OPEAK		
406	380509000	SWAN CREEK TRIBUTARY NEAR AYR, ILL., DAK.	120	108	8,480	48	59	97	81	105	5,83	1,86	20	2,79	5,88	6,8	34,5	22,4	150,7	182,8	128,0
407	380509000	SWAN CREEK TRIBUTARY NEAR CABILLON, ILL., DAK.	120	8,51	818,8	49	59	97	82	105	6,88	1,89	17	3,21	5,88	6,8	34,5	22,4	150,7	182,8	128,0
408	380509000	ENGLISH COULEE TRAIL, MR. GRAND FORBES, ILL., DAK.	120	8,68	139,9	47	58	97	81	105	5,88	1,76	28	4,18	4,05	6,8	60,6	66,6	199,8	223,1	164,2
409	380509000	BALTWATER COULEE TRAIL, MR. EVERAD, ILL., DAK.	120	8,69	271,6	47	59	97	82	105	6,88	1,76	195	6,48	13,73	6,8	159,2	398,8	453,7	286,0	
410	380509000	CART CREEK, TRIBUTARY NEAR CAYAH, ILL., DAK.	120	8,77	809,8	48	59	97	81	105	5,89	1,67	36	5,87	5,87	6,8	100,1	70,0	597,4	751,0	608,0
411	380512298	EGG CREEK, NEAR BLOOMING, ILL., DAK.	120	8,86	105,3	41	58	97	84	105	5,88	1,63	45	7,88	7,08	6,8	55,6	27,9	421,3	558,0	308,0
412	380532978	EUGENE CREEK, TRIBUTARY NEAR ORVINGTON, ILL., DAK.	120	8,96	222,7	48	59	97	81	105	5,88	1,63	20	4,16	5,88	6,8	5,3	4,8	41,8	49,3	26,0
413	380540900	MINNEAPOLIS PLATEAU, MR. KELDNER, ILL., DAK.	120	9,01	88,8	48	59	98	82	105	5,73	1,62	28	4,27	10,94	6,8	30,1	386,1	186,0	90,0	
414	380543398	SNAKE CREEK TRIBUTARY NEAR ORENT, ILL., DAK.	120	9,06	418,8	44	44	98	95	95	6,08	1,98	30,8	3,38	6,8	168,8	383,3	180,0	1373,8	786,0	
415	380545778	PEMBROKE RIVER, WATERHELD, ILL., DAK.	120	9,16	339,8	48	59	98	99	108	6,08	2,13	33	6,31	7,14	6,8	123,3	75,3	586,5	623,7	563,3
416	380554313	PEMBROKE RIVER, WATERHELD, ILL., DAK.	120	9,23	88,8	48	59	98	99	108	6,08	2,13	33	6,31	7,14	6,8	123,3	75,3	586,5	623,7	563,3
417	380542288	PEMBROKE RIVER, WATERHELD, ILL., DAK.	120	9,28	224,1	42	59	98	99	108	6,08	2,13	186	6,67	1,46	6,8	72,3	43,4	321,7	386,7	383,3
418	380542288	POLO CREEK, TRIB., MR. WHITEROOD, ILL., DAK.	120	9,31	106,4	44	59	99	93	105	5,98	1,74	48	8,4	6,83	6,8	51,9	49,3	287,1	288,1	137,0
419	380543718	POLO CREEK, TRIB., NEAR DEADWOOD, ILL., DAK.	120	9,36	213,7	44	59	103	99	105	5,98	1,74	548	2,39	6,83	6,8	82,8	292,8	586,2	216,0	
Zone 13																					
420	179541998	EAST FORK GALENA RIVER AT COUNCIL HILL, ILL.	120	20,10	6596,3	42	20	98	17	106	6,68	2,00	598	9,58	82,61	6,8	3023,4	2470,2	12803,4	14028,6	10680,6
421	179544488	N. PK. L. MADOKETA, MR. RICKARDVILLE, IOWA	120	20,08	4584,1	42	30	98	51	106	6,68	2,00	416	12,24	53,84	6,8	2801,8	1441,3	7285,3	8227,3	7108,2
422	179544488	L. MARLOWE, R. TRIBUTARY AT DUBUQUE, IOWA	120	1,91	3381,9	42	30	98	52	106	6,84	2,11	281	2,28	4,59	6,8	849,1	621,7	3839,9	4092,3	2598,6
423	179539988	GILMORE CREEK AT WINDOMA, MINN.	120	1,99	2415,4	42	30	98	51	106	6,88	2,08	348	4,81	18,00	6,8	583,6	583,6	583,6	5308,6	
424	179543228	MILLER CREEK NEAR WHITEMOOR, ILL., DAK.	120	8,71	335,8	44	38	103	44	54	5,44	1,71	135	7,12	13,22	6,8	142,0	76,1	610,6	738,4	330,0
425	179543228	COLBY, WATERSHED NO. 101, WISCONSIN	120	8,73	134,1	44	58	98	29	124	6,08	1,92	78	1,28	6,28	44,7	41,8	220,6	382,1	121,3	
426	179543228	KNUPP CREEK NEAR BLOOMFIELD, IOWA	120	8,74	488,6	44	48	98	27	124	6,08	2,07	6,54	1,74	18,15	6,8	731,4	1470,8	13280,6	14060,6	
427	179543600	MOUNT VERNON CREEK NEAR MOUNT VERNON, IOWA	120	10,10	904,0	44	59	98	28	124	6,08	1,92	78	1,27	6,28	44,7	41,8	220,6	382,1	121,3	
428	179544488	RAKE CREEK NEAR CASEY, ILL., DAK.	120	10,09	262,8	30	59	98	28	124	6,08	2,07	6,54	1,74	28,8	6,8	558,0	712,0	1358,2	411,2	
429	179544488	CEAR CREEK NEAR MINDBOW, ILL., DAK.	120	1,70	117,8	42	20	98	50	106	6,59	2,08	90	2,18	3,54	6,8	55,6	55,6	55,6	132,0	
430	179558988	TERRY CREEK NEAR CAYERVILLE, ILL., DAK.	120	10,08	439,4	41	11	98	66	127	5,38	1,98	78	6,05	7,51	6,8	227,1	139,9	688,3	129,1	170,0
431	179559088	GILMORE CREEK AT SPORNDALE, ILL., DAK.	120	5,47	488,6	41	11	98	66	127	5,38	1,98	4,48	4,28	5,07	6,8	938,6	1071,4	2249,2	2299,7	1680,2
432	179559088	HICKORY CREEK ABOVE LAKE BLOOMINGTON, ILL.	120	11,18	1351,9	41	67	98	57	142	6,08	2,07	86	6,58	22,02	6,8	636,2	690,2	2138,1	2445,2	1680,2
433	179556608	EAST BRANCH PANTHER CREEK NEAR GRIDLEY, ILL.	120	8,38	644,1	42	46	98	54	146	6,08	2,08	24	2,48	11,35	6,8	234,2	161,9	1771,0	1445,8	140,0
434	179557498	8 PK. SANGAMON RIVER NEAR NOMONIS, ILL., DAK.	120	10,59	4896,6	42	59	98	55	146	6,08	2,17	66	5,45	12,43	6,8	982,5	1306,8	982,5	982,5	
435	179556588	HURRICANE CREEK NEAR RODHOUSE, ILL., DAK.	120	8,33	658,8	39	20	98	28	175	7,18	2,25	65	2,35	3,45	6,8	286,6	242,0	1371,4	1853,7	1786,4
436	179559588	CANTEN CREEK AT CAYERVILLE, ILL., DAK.	120	8,58	5482,9	42	38	98	61	145	7,19	2,07	163	12,48	29,82	6,8	286,6	2195,4	9814,6	11751,7	1026,6
437	179558818	KANKAKEE RIVER AT COKETOWN, ILL.	120	10,39	658,3	42	46	98	61	145	6,08	2,06	18	6,58	2,87	44,8	421,9	721,5	2071,4	1490,6	
438	179559158	ASL CREEK AT SULLIVAN, ILL.	120	10,03	633,6	40	39	98	68	146	6,08	2,07	14	8,69	3,54	6,8	358,2	408,4	1672,7	2061,2	1128,0
439	179555598	MARYS RIVER NEAR SPURRI, ILL., DAK.	120	10,59	509,8	35	62	98	39	147	7,28	2,27	181	6,41	44,49	6,8	200,7	1394,2	841,3	11073,8	7708,9
440	179557174	EDMONDILLE, ILL., WATERSHED NO. 7	120	6,43	630,9	30	62	98	46	145	7,38	2,19	261	1,23	2,57	6,8	256,3	285,3	447,7	4050,3	3440,6
441	179339588	BUSH CREEK NEAR HERMANN, ILL.	120	1,78	312,0	30	64	65	29	146	6,39	1,98	187	7,28	20,87	6,8	205,3	447,7	4050,3	3440,6	
442	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 1	120	8,94	9,94	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	21	9,64	6,39	6,8	2,1	11,9	14,8	8,7	
443	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 2	120	9,93	6,37	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	11	9,68	6,39	6,8	2,1	11,9	14,8	8,7	
444	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 3	120	9,94	11,63	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	6	9,12	6,39	6,8	4,4	22,8	28,6	14,2	
445	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 4	120	8,94	6,36	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	10,5	9,82	6,12	6,8	4,4	22,8	28,6	14,2	
446	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 5	120	8,93	13,45	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	17	9,67	6,39	6,8	2,5	20,8	28,6	11,0	
447	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 6	120	8,93	16,45	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	12	7,67	6,39	6,8	3,5	20,8	28,6	11,0	
448	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 7	120	8,93	16,45	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	12	7,67	6,39	6,8	3,5	20,8	28,6	11,0	
449	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 8	120	8,93	16,45	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	12	7,67	6,39	6,8	3,5	20,8	28,6	11,0	
450	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 9	120	8,94	8,93	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	12	7,67	6,39	6,8	3,5	20,8	28,6	11,0	
451	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 10	120	8,94	8,93	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	12	7,67	6,39	6,8	3,5	20,8	28,6	11,0	
452	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 11	120	8,94	8,93	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	12	7,67	6,39	6,8	3,5	20,8	28,6	11,0	
453	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 12	120	8,94	8,93	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	12	7,67	6,39	6,8	3,5	20,8	28,6	11,0	
454	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 13	120	8,94	8,93	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	12	7,67	6,39	6,8	3,5	20,8	28,6	11,0	
455	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 14	120	8,94	8,93	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	12	7,67	6,39	6,8	3,5	20,8	28,6	11,0	
456	179558141	LAFAYETTE, IOWA, WATERSHED NO. 15	120	8,94	8,93	40	54	98	57	148	6,39	1,99	12	7,67	6,39	6,8	3,5</td				

Table 10. Continued.

卷之三

Zone 13

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

BEG STATION	STATION NAME	SECT AREA	Q18 LAT	LONG	R	P18	P18	OH	L	LL STRG	QMAN	Q2	SSC	Q100	QPEAK	
451 3081145600 OTTER PORK NEAR CENTERBURG, OHIO			120 3.17	310.8 43 19	92 43	115 5.59	1.83	85 3.36	7.77	1.7	105.5	1328.6	554.1	646.2	445.8	
452 3083320000 OCTO BIG RUN AT BRIGGSVILLE, OHIO			120 11.89	337.0 8 39 28	122 5.56	126.5 6.76	161.88	6.2	1286.3	3851.1	4163.6	2988.6	546.8	1228.6	1228.6	
453 3083316000 EASY BIG RUN AT BUCKEY CREEK NEAR SEDALIA, OHIO			120 3.82	428.0 8 39 42	83 29	148 6.88	1.91	38 2.62	5.31	6.8	210.6	224.7	783.5	821.1	515.8	
454 3083341000 INDIAN CREEK AT MASSIEVILLE, OHIO			120 6.59	340.4 8 39 14	82 59	146 6.01	1.92	48 7.48	41.61	9.2	1728.4	1268.5	6995.6	5646.9	445.8	
455 3083345000 MALT CREEK AT TARLON, OHIO			120 1.09	441.6 8 39 35	82 47	55 5.95	1.92	85 6.82	1778.9	1243.7	1243.7	1243.7	1243.7	1243.7	1243.7	
456 3083345000 BHANNES CREEK AT XENA, OHIO			120 1.91	801.1 8 39 40	83 53	148 6.88	1.92	128 4.58	8.84	8.1	518.6	532.3	1186.6	1317.5	1886.6	
457 3083347200 PATRICK RIVER NR OHENYVILLE, OHIO			120 3.34	845.5 8 39 07	94 97	145 6.22	1.93	98 4.38	7.85	9.2	598.5	998.9	184.1	1124.1	928.8	
458 3083347300 PATRICK CREEK NEAR GREENVILLE, OHIO			120 4.53	861.6 8 39 13	94 98	159 5.98	1.92	102 4.22	9.84	9.1	475.2	885.5	734.1	1124.1	734.1	
459 3083347300 PATRICK CREEK AT TANESFIELD, OHIO			120 7.31	186.1 8 39 41	94 98	199 5.98	1.92	218 4.76	4.76	9.1	588.3	585.5	1689.1	1869.1	1396.8	
460 3083347300 PLANE RUN NEAR BELLY, OHIO			120 7.29	173.7 8 39 27	94 46	152 5.19	1.92	102 4.56	11.5	9.2	26.5	377.6	377.6	387.4	387.4	
461 3083347300 PLANEHORN CREEK NEAR JENKIN, OHIO			120 6.14	341.6 8 41 46	93 42	186 5.88	1.85	48 3.59	11.1	9.2	23.5	217.9	512.7	486.8	486.8	
462 3083347300 PLUME CREEK AT DEBLIN, OHIO			120 6.14	371.8 8 41 46	94 59	158 5.84	1.84	48 3.59	11.1	9.2	23.5	222.8	857.7	857.7	857.7	
463 3084100100 HORWALK CREEK NEAR NORMAL, OHIO			120 4.92	971.6 8 39 58	93 87	92 5.07	1.76	75 4.48	12.68	8.8	442.1	466.7	216.6	228.6	1898.6	
464 3084420100 PLUM CREEK AT DEBLIN, OHIO			120 4.83	861.6 8 41 15	95 98	531 1.75	1.75	85 4.76	11.7	9.2	354.4	372.1	182.2	197.9	1595.6	
465 3085300000 PLUM CREEK BELOW HORSE, IOWA			120 6.18	264.4 8 41 41	91 41	91 2.61	2.61	81 3.55	17.82	9.2	796.7	758.8	446.4	2898.5	2898.5	
466 3085373800 RAPID CR TRI NO. 4 NR DAVIS, IOWA			122 1.95	69.9 8 41 43	91 25	165 7.08	2.21	98 1.97	4.89	8.8	279.6	279.6	1203.4	1534.5	956.8	
467 3085373800 RAPID CREEK SOUTHEAST OF HORSE, IOWA			122 18.28	385.6 8 41 26	91 26	186 6.26	2.21	108 6.26	8.8	8.8	1368.6	1368.6	548.9	648.8	4386.8	
468 3085373800 RAPID CREEK TRIBUTARY NO. 3 NR DAVIS, IOWA			122 1.09	381.7 8 41 42	91 27	105 7.01	2.21	101 2.21	3.34	8.8	994.1	699.5	6886.1	6886.1	6886.1	
469 3085373800 RAPID CREEK NEAR TONA CITY, IOWA			122 26.36	446.6 8 41 41	91 28	180 7.02	2.21	143 10.86	56.89	8.8	2128.8	2149.3	6554.2	7986.7	6106.6	
470 3085373800 PALSTON CREEK AT TONA CITY, IOWA			122 1.91	128.1 8 41 40	91 29	186 7.02	2.21	156 3.94	7.45	8.8	646.4	582.7	1805.5	2105.6	1916.4	
471 3085373800 PALM ENGLAND AT TONA CITY, IOWA			122 1.91	128.1 8 41 35	91 28	186 7.02	2.21	156 3.94	7.45	8.8	436.8	436.8	604.1	604.1	604.1	
472 3085373800 INDIAN CREEK AT COUNCIL BLUFFS, IOWA			122 7.99	258.6 8 41 18	95 98	105 7.05	2.45	217 9.15	10.95	8.8	924.5	924.5	3561.6	4986.6	2698.6	
473 3085373800 HULL CREEK NEAR MULVAN, IOWA			122 16.66	193.5 8 41 56	95 98	155 7.05	2.45	179 9.15	10.95	8.8	984.5	984.5	3561.6	5122.1	2877.6	
474 3085373800 LITTLE DELAWARE RIVER NEAR MORTON, KANS.			122 16.69	114.1 8 41 39	93 33	88 6.87	2.55	170 11.33	48.33	6.2	656.5	669.3	1844.2	3383.6	1235.6	
475 3085373800 DOUGLAS CREEK NEAR EDNEY, MO.			122 2.69	182.6 8 39 45	91 85	187 7.02	2.34	92 4.76	4.76	8.8	158.6	160.6	748.1	748.1	1748.8	
476 3085373800 MILL CREEK AT DREON, MO.			122 4.98	204.6 8 39 57	91 85	187 7.02	2.34	92 4.76	4.76	8.8	182.2	182.2	5814.1	5814.1	4188.6	
477 3085373800 WHITE CLOUD CREEK NEAR MARSHALL, MO.			122 6.88	286.1 8 40 55	91 78	176 7.02	2.52	185 4.77	7.45	7.45	612.7	897.5	5812.4	5812.4	4188.6	
478 3085373800 BIG SLUGH NEAR WILCOX, MO.			122 1.38	161.3 8 39 28	94 56	176 7.08	2.52	92 4.76	1.02	8.8	527.6	527.6	1673.2	1673.2	1438.6	
479 3085373800 FPK FISHING R AT EQUINOX, MO.			122 1.88	73.1 8 39 28	94 56	176 7.08	2.52	208 11.81	31.89	8.8	312.2	312.2	2192.2	2192.2	1816.8	
480 3085373800 RUMO BRANCH AT DANVILLE, MO.			122 1.49	64.8 8 36 55	91 32	285 7.01	2.35	95 2.04	2.04	8.8	276.1	276.1	1236.9	1437.6	1808.6	
481 3086661000 SOUTH OMHA CR TRIBUTARY NO. 1 NR WALTHILL, NEBR.			122 1.64	75.9 8 41 56	95 98	96 29	130 7.03	2.43	171 2.36	5.35	8.8	527.9	475.2	1717.1	1717.1	1418.6
482 3086661000 SOUTH OMHA CR NEAR WALTHILL, NEBR.			122 1.64	75.9 8 41 56	95 98	173 7.03	2.43	171 2.36	5.35	8.8	527.9	527.9	1359.4	1359.4	1541.9	
483 3086661000 SOUTH OMHA CR NEAR WALTHILL, NEBR.			122 1.64	75.9 8 41 56	95 98	173 7.03	2.43	171 2.36	5.35	8.8	527.9	527.9	1359.4	1359.4	1541.9	
484 3086661000 SOUTHERN CREEK TRIBUTARY NO. 2 NR WALTHILL, NEBR.			122 1.64	75.9 8 41 56	95 98	173 7.03	2.43	171 2.36	5.35	8.8	527.9	527.9	1359.4	1359.4	1541.9	
485 3086661000 SOUTHERN CREEK TRIBUTARY NO. 3 NR WALTHILL, NEBR.			122 1.64	75.9 8 41 56	95 98	173 7.03	2.43	171 2.36	5.35	8.8	527.9	527.9	1359.4	1359.4	1541.9	
486 3086661000 SOUTHERN CREEK TRIBUTARY NO. 4 NR WALTHILL, NEBR.			122 1.64	75.9 8 41 56	95 98	173 7.03	2.43	171 2.36	5.35	8.8	527.9	527.9	1359.4	1359.4	1541.9	
487 3086661000 NEW YORK CREEK TRIBUTARY NO. 1 NR SPICER, NEBR.			122 1.65	92.5 8 41 49	98 17	155 7.01	2.46	107 2.31	9.32	8.8	644.1	644.1	322.9	322.9	1586.6	
488 3086661000 NEW YORK CREEK TRIBUTARY NO. 2 NR SPICER, NEBR.			122 1.65	92.5 8 41 49	98 17	155 7.01	2.46	107 2.31	9.32	8.8	644.1	644.1	322.9	322.9	1586.6	
489 3086661000 NEW YORK CREEK TRIBUTARY NO. 3 NR SPICER, NEBR.			122 1.65	92.5 8 41 49	98 17	155 7.01	2.46	107 2.31	9.32	8.8	644.1	644.1	322.9	322.9	1586.6	
490 3086661000 STONE CREEK AT ELMWOOD, NEBR.			122 1.65	322.6 8 45 45	98 15	156 7.01	2.51	111 9.88	2.51	8.8	2187.5	175.2	1182.2	1182.2	9588.6	
491 3086661000 HOMPER CREEK TRIBUTARY NO. 1 NR SPICER, NEBR.			122 1.65	328.6 8 45 45	98 16	156 7.01	2.51	105 8.78	4.78	8.8	1825.1	593.6	5888.1	5888.1	4218.6	
492 3086661000 HOMPER CREEK TRIBUTARY NO. 2 NR SPICER, NEBR.			122 1.65	328.6 8 45 45	98 16	156 7.01	2.51	105 8.78	4.78	8.8	1825.1	593.6	5888.1	5888.1	4218.6	
493 3086673800 BODDICK CREEK NEAR STILWATER, IOWA			122 14.88	73.2 8 43 12	98 44	85 6.48	1.98	319 16.82	48.27	8.8	222.5	222.5	66.8	1865.6	1197.6	
494 3086673800 BODDICK CREEK NEAR STILWATER, IOWA			122 1.32	52.5 8 43 13	98 45	106 6.48	2.34	104 3.74	8.93	8.8	93.5	93.5	92.7	186.5	97.6	
495 3086673800 BODDICK CREEK TRI NEAR CANTON, IOWA			122 1.32	7.9 8 43 13	98 36	98 7.41	2.32	112 3.74	8.87	8.8	51.2	51.2	144.5	144.5	144.5	
496 3086673800 LITTLE BEAVER CR TRI NEAR CANTON, IOWA			122 1.32	7.9 8 43 13	98 36	98 7.41	2.32	112 3.74	8.87	8.8	51.2	51.2	144.5	144.5	144.5	
497 3086673800 MOLL CREEK NEAR GREEN, KANS.			122 1.32	3.68 8 43 13	98 36	98 7.41	2.32	112 3.74	8.87	8.8	51.2	51.2	144.5	144.5	144.5	
498 3086673800 MOLL CREEK NEAR GREEN, KANS.			122 1.32	37.1 8 43 13	98 36	98 7.41	2.32	112 3.74	8.87	8.8	51.2	51.2	144.5	144.5	144.5	
499 3086673800 MOLL CREEK NEAR MARSHALL, MO.			122 2.07	99.6 8 43 13	98 36	98 7.41	2.32	112 3.74	8.87	8.8	51.2	51.2	144.5	144.5	144.5	
500 3086673800 MOLL CREEK NEAR MARSHALL, MO.			122 2.07	99.6 8 43 13	98 36	98 7.41	2.32	112 3.74	8.87	8.8	51.2	51.2	144.5	144.5	144.5	
501 3086673800 COUNCIL CREEK NEAR STILWATER, IOWA			122 1.32	30.8 8 43 13	98 36	98 7.41	2.32	112 3.74	8.87	8.8	51.2	51.2	144.5	144.5	144.5	
502 4040000000 EAST BRANCH SANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY, OKLA.			117 10.64	6.6 35 47	97 25	215 8.21	2.71	117 1.6	5.2	8.8	227.5	227.5	255.9	255.9	255.9	
503 4040000000 EAST BRANCH SANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY, OKLA.			117 10.64	6.6 35 47	97 26	215 8.21	2.71	117 1.6	5.2	8.8	227.5	227.5	255.9	255.9	255.9	
504 4040000000 EAST BRANCH SANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY, OKLA.			117 10.64	6.6 35 47	97 27	215 8.21	2.71	117 1.6	5.2	8.8	227.5	227.5	255.9	255.9	255.9	
505 4040000000 EAST BRANCH SANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY, OKLA.			117 10.64	6.6 35 47	97 28	215 8.21	2.71	117 1.6	5.2	8.8	227.5	227.5	255.9	255.9	255.9	
506 4040000000 EAST BRANCH SANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY, OKLA.			117 10.64	6.6 35 47	97 29	215 8.21	2.71	117 1.6	5.2	8.8	227.5	227.5	255.9	255.9	255.9	
507 4040000000 EAST BRANCH SANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY, OKLA.			117 10.64	6.6 35 47	97 30	215 8.21	2.71	117 1.6	5.2	8.8	227.5	227.5	255.9	255.9	255.9	
508 4040000000 EAST BRANCH SANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY, OKLA.			117 10.64	6.6 35 47	97 31	215 8.21	2.71	117 1.6	5.2	8.8	227.5	227.5	255.9	255.9	255.9	
509 4040000000 EAST BRANCH SANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY, OKLA.			117 10.64	6.6 35 47	97 32	215 8.21	2.71	117 1.6	5.2	8.8	227.5	227.5	255.9	255.9	255.9	
510 4040000000 EAST BRANCH SANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY, OKLA.			117 10.64	6.6 35 47	97 33	215 8.21	2.71	117 1.6	5.2	8.8	227.5	227.5	255.9	255.9	255.9	
511 4040000000 EAST BRANCH SANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY, OKLA.			117 10.64	6.6 35 47	97 34	215 8.21	2.71	117 1.6	5.2	8.8	227.5	227.5	255.9	255.9	255.9	
512 4040000000 EAST BRANCH SANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY, OKLA.			117 10.64	6.6 35 47	97 35	215 8.21	2.71	117 1.6	5.2	8.8	227.5	227.5	255.9	255.9	255.9	
513 4040000000 EAST BRANCH SANDSTONE CR NR ELK CITY, OKLA.			117 10.64	6.6 35 47	97 36	215 8.21	2.71									

Table 10. Continued.

SEC	STATION	STATION NAME	SECT	AREA	018	LAT	LONG	R	PLS	PDS	DN	L	LL STRG	QMEAN	Q2	Q50	Q100	OPEN
500 4 years 37.1	STILLWATER OKLAHOMA WATERSHED NO 4	127 9.91	392.1	36 23 07	14	210	0.39	2.71	250	0.47	14.34	1.4	166.7	597.4	694.5	406.4		
500 3 years 37.2	WEST SUCCESSION CREEK NEAR BILLINGS, MONT.	138 1.04	406.4	43 39 168 24	05	3.44	1.03	500	2.53	3.95	0.5	1.45	166.7	572.1	686.8	524.7		
500 3 years 37.3	BASIN CREEK TRI NEAR VOLBURG, MONT.	138 0.14	177.5	45 53 185 46	05	2.46	1.11	180	1.39	2.16	0.5	0.34	21.5	487.5	588.1	398.5		
500 3 years 37.4	BASIN CREEK NEAR VOLBURG, MONT.	138 18.39	905.1	33 185 39	05	3.46	1.11	380	1.60	2.38	0.5	0.34	21.5	487.5	588.1	398.5		
500 3 years 37.5	BEEF CR TRI NEAR MEDORA, N. DAK.	138 0.28	87.5	48 54 186 27	01	3.38	1.07	300	1.60	2.38	0.5	0.34	21.5	487.5	588.1	398.5		
500 3 years 37.6	BEEF CR TRI 2 NEAR MEDORA, N. DAK.	138 0.42	186.7	47 46 186 28	01	3.50	1.07	115	1.10	1.10	0.5	0.34	21.5	487.5	588.1	398.5		
500 3 years 37.7	CAN CREEK TRIBUTARY AT THILBY, S. DAK.	138 14.89	288.5	43 188 22	05	5.82	1.84	180	1.31	36.41	0.5	1812.5	128.5	159.1	159.1	96.0		
500 3 years 37.8	CAN CREEK TRIBUTARY AT THILBY, S. DAK.	138 14.38	3185.1	37 35 97	05	2.97	2.54	180	5.91	1.67	220	0.49	21.5	487.5	455.5	398.5		
500 3 years 37.9	PRAIRIE DOG CR 7218 NR MOTION, KANS.	138 1.07	496.2	39 51 93	05	7.73	2.43	190	2.42	3.76	0.5	2.35	9.0	21.5	712.2	687.3	626.5	
500 3 years 37.10	BIG CREEK TRIBUTARY NEAR OGALLALA, KANS.	138 0.51	2693.0	38 56 104 01	05	4.71	1.78	140	4.46	13.96	0.5	807.1	381.4	577.5	622.4	388.5		
500 3 years 37.11	BIG CREEK TRIBUTARY NEAR OGALLALA, KANS.	138 0.04	2686.4	38 48 100 10	05	3.16	2.55	200	5.00	14.73	0.5	906.1	397.4	487.1	388.5			
500 3 years 37.12	EAST LIMESTONE CREEK NEAR TONI, KANS.	138 20.09	197.1	39 42	00	2.81	2.01	300	2.70	20.75	0.5	81.4	413.4	401.7	398.5			
500 3 years 37.13	HEART RIVER TRI NEAR OCHINN, N. DAK.	138 10.37	9461.0	37 35 97	05	1.50	1.97	210	0.38	13.00	0.5	1185.1	686.5	1046.1	886.5			
500 3 years 37.14	HATCHET CREEK THIS NR MULINVILLE, KANS.	138 0.14	4762.4	43 45 181 57	05	5.91	1.67	220	6.49	6.49	0.5	212.5	182.5	744.1	686.5	588.0		
500 3 years 37.15	HATCHET CREEK THIS NEAR DILLYTON, KANS.	138 0.14	3185.1	37 35 97	05	1.28	2.54	180	5.91	1.67	220	0.49	21.5	487.5	455.5	398.5		
500 3 years 37.16	PRATTLING CREEK NEAR DILLYTON, KANS.	138 0.14	4762.4	43 45 181 57	05	5.91	1.67	220	6.49	6.49	0.5	212.5	182.5	744.1	686.5	588.0		
500 3 years 37.17	CHEYENNE CREEK THIS NEAR CLAPLIN, KANS.	138 1.48	248.0	38 27	98	32 115	0.87	2.54	75	1.40	2.98	0.5	136.2	174.1	373.1	420.4	298.0	
500 3 years 37.18	HASTINGS NEBRASKA WATERSHED M-3	138 0.78	769.3	39 51 93	05	2.94	2.43	82	1.50	3.62	0.5	313.5	250.5	1283.1	1378.5	978.5		
500 3 years 37.19	LION CREEK NEAR HALFWAY, COLOR.	138 0.98	6.4	38 46 185 89	05	4.71	1.71	800	1.42	1.42	0.5	3.5	3.0	3.0	11.0	13.1	12.1	
500 3 years 37.20	SHEEP CREEK NEAR HALFWAY, COLOR.	138 0.73	9.6	38 46 185 89	05	7.8	1.64	1.67	880	1.53	9.0	2.5	2.1	12.8	16.5			
500 3 years 37.21	SHEEP CREEK NEAR HALFWAY, COLOR.	138 0.49	918.0	38 51 93	05	4.70	1.78	2300	7.32	45.75	0.5	41.5	204.5	252.0	488.5			
500 3 years 37.22	WEST MONUMENT CREEK NEAR PINEVIEW, COLOR.	138 18.49	918.0	38 51 93	05	7.2	1.72	611	5.46	13.75	0.5	310.2	166.0	203.0	166.0			
500 3 years 37.23	TEMPLETON GAP FLOODWAY AT COLORADO SPRINGS, COLOR.	138 0.49	918.0	38 51 93	05	4.73	1.72	611	5.46	13.75	0.5	310.2	166.0	203.0	166.0			
500 3 years 37.24	TEMPLETON GAP FLOODWAY AT COLORADO SPRINGS, COLOR.	138 0.49	918.0	38 51 93	05	4.73	1.72	611	5.46	13.75	0.5	310.2	166.0	203.0	166.0			
500 3 years 37.25	TEMPLETON GAP FLOODWAY AT COLORADO SPRINGS, COLOR.	138 0.49	918.0	38 51 93	05	4.73	1.72	611	5.46	13.75	0.5	310.2	166.0	203.0	166.0			
500 3 years 37.26	WATSON CR AT RAYON, KANS.	138 14.79	2686.2	38 51 93	05	8.8	1.67	180	5.91	1.67	220	0.49	21.5	487.5	455.5	398.5		
500 3 years 37.27	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 11.17	43.5	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.28	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 1.02	44.6	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.29	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.30	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.31	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.32	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.33	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.34	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.35	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.36	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.37	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.38	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.39	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.40	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.41	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.42	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.43	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.44	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.45	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.46	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.47	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.48	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.49	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.50	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.51	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.52	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.53	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.54	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.55	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 51 93	05	7.3	1.76	500	0.24	0.24	0.5	85.0	32.4	53.0	53.0	44.0		
500 3 years 37.56	SIX MILE CREEK NEAR EAGLE NEST, N. MEX.	136 0.98	45.3	39 5														

Table 10. Continued.

Zone 13																		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
SEC STATION	STATION NAME	SECT	AREA	QTB	LAT	LONG	R	P18	P60	DH	L	LL STRG	QMEAN	Q2	Q50	Q100	PEAK	
559 4898148000 DEEP C.R. SUBTRACT NO. 6 MR MERCURY, TEX.	13W	4.38	3591.6	31° 16'	99 58'	105	8.64	2.65	268	5.98	16.58	9.91	992.1	466.0	8835.0	18820.2	5569.0	
560 2505210000 COVIE BRANCH AT HOUSTON, MO.	14W	1.00	403.7	31° 57'	97 57'	106	7.79	2.45	159	5.98	25.4	25.4	269.0	1038.4	1206.6	1338.2	1038.2	
561 2506115000 LITTLE BEGEE CR TRIB NR HERRMANN, MO.	14W	8.00	765.0	37° 58'	91 44'	268	7.77	2.39	94	1.13	1.13	375.0	333.0	1280.2	1522.5	1020.0		
562 2087010000 BENKEE BRANCH NEAR DOLLY, MO.	14A	1.00	887.6	37° 58'	91 42'	268	7.97	2.39	108	2.42	0.94	0.3	355.0	465.0	1535.0	1824.4	1190.0	
563 2087010000 BOURBEE RIVER NEAR ST JAMES, MO.	14A	8.00	6072.7	38° 00'	98 00'	268	7.90	2.38	191	6.95	44.95	0.0	348.0	348.0	1020.9	1333.0	1333.0	
564 2087010000 LANES MURK NR VACHTY, MO.	14A	8.00	7388.0	38° 00'	91 43'	268	7.98	2.38	233	0.95	53.04	0.0	337.4	337.4	1147.3	1333.5	948.0	
565 2087010000 STANL CREEK NEAR MILLER, MO.	14A	3.66	1286.8	37° 12'	93 51'	216	8.38	2.62	166	2.84	5.22	0.0	759.7	873.7	1986.2	2222.9	1450.0	
566 2507010000 DOG BRANCH AT ST. PAUL, ARK.	14B	1.22	881.6	35° 56'	93 46'	245	8.48	2.65	886	1.98	3.65	0.0	286.3	314.0	1517.0	1889.0	955.0	
567 0507040000 WEST PARK WHITE RIVER TRIB. NR GREENWOOD, ARK.	14B	8.75	1178.0	35° 58'	94 16'	246	8.51	2.67	598	1.96	2.98	0.0	311.3	180.0	200.0	200.0	1360.0	
573 0507250220 N. PARK WHITE OR CREEK TRIB. NR WATAULA, ARK.	15A	8.22	986.9	35° 35'	93 51'	238	8.32	2.67	188	0.98	0.98	0.0	209.5	167.7	892.9	1265.0	729.4	
574 2507025200 WHITENAR BRANCH TAB. NR SPRING VALLEY, ARK.	14B	1.00	768.0	36° 18'	93 55'	215	8.47	2.65	158	1.68	3.23	0.0	278.5	319.3	1518.0	18820.0	1520.0	
575 0507250240 WAR EAGLE CREEK NR WITTER, ARK.	14B	8.00	972.4	35° 54'	93 42'	215	8.47	2.65	888	3.98	10.38	0.0	419.5	429.7	1568.3	1782.5	1230.0	
576 0507250290 MAXWELL CREEK AT KINGSTON, ARK.	14B	2.00	376.0	35° 53'	93 31'	245	8.47	2.64	688	0.88	4.65	0.0	1139.7	91.1	661.0	625.5	427.0	
571 0507050400 COASE CREEK TRIB. AT BERRYVILLE, ARK.	14B	1.00	1069.2	34° 53'	94 24'	235	8.39	2.63	500	1.98	2.98	0.0	174.0	555.0	611.1	698.0	174.0	
572 0507245000 COSE CREEK NEAR LEE CREEK ARK.	14B	3.66	1069.2	34° 53'	94 24'	238	8.39	2.63	688	1.98	29.37	0.0	7012.5	4769.0	3785.0	4600.7	3522.0	
573 0507250220 SIX MILE CREEK SUBWATERMED NO. 6 NR CHINMILLE, ARK.	15A	8.22	986.9	35° 35'	93 51'	238	8.32	2.67	188	0.98	0.98	0.0	209.5	167.7	892.9	1265.0	729.4	
574 0507250220 SIX MILE CREEK SUBWATERMED NO. 6 NR CHINMILLE, ARK.	15A	8.00	1769.0	35° 13'	93 53'	265	8.58	2.78	188	4.38	5.58	0.0	983.4	221.6	1271.9	1517.5	1332.0	
575 0507250220 SIX MILE CREEK AT CHINMILLE, ARK.	15A	24.00	2976.8	35° 13'	93 56'	265	8.57	2.78	273	9.98	29.56	0.0	1331.4	1571.0	1568.2	1967.5	2112.0	
576 0507250220 SIX MILE CREEK NEAR BRANCH, ARK.	15A	36.76	4286.6	35° 15'	93 58'	266	8.58	2.78	150	12.08	45.52	0.0	2115.9	3944.2	6038.2	6692.3	4442.7	
577 0507250220 SIX MILE CR SUBWATERMED NO. 5 NR CHINMILLE, ARK.	15A	2.76	986.9	35° 14'	93 55'	268	8.55	2.78	235	0.98	3.67	0.0	445.0	458.4	1348.4	1727.0	1348.4	
578 0507250220 SIX MILE CR SUBWATERMED NO. 2 NR CHINMILLE, ARK.	15A	2.76	1069.2	35° 15'	93 52'	265	8.55	2.78	247	5.08	11.12	0.0	921.1	275.0	3159.4	2272.2	2272.2	
579 0507250220 SIX MILE CR SUBWATERMED NO. 2 NR CHINMILLE, ARK.	15A	4.48	2166.8	35° 21'	93 59'	235	8.57	2.78	58	2.98	15.94	0.0	967.3	865.9	344.0	387.5	223.7	
580 0507250220 HURRICANE CREEK NEAR BRANCH, ARK.	15A	17.00	2356.2	35° 21'	93 56'	238	8.56	2.65	468	0.98	50.11	0.0	965.5	725.1	435.0	5414.7	2447.0	
581 0507250220 HURRICANE CREEK NEAR BRANCH, ARK.	15B	6.44	1399.3	34° 34'	93 58'	238	8.54	2.65	585	2.08	4.98	0.0	632.4	621.5	1765.0	2272.0	2272.0	
582 0507250220 SOUTH PARK DUCHATTA CR. NR STOWTON, OKLA.	15B	1.39	5866.8	34° 57'	98 05'	298	9.18	2.66	498	2.98	4.18	0.0	365.0	327.6	814.4	9383.0	4337.0	
583 0207335300 CHICKASAW CREEK NEAR STOWTON, OKLA.	15B	32.76	14833.7	34° 26'	96 02'	293	9.19	2.65	488	9.98	32.38	0.0	6381.6	9384.3	25058.0	22248.0	18950.0	
584 0207335300 MCGRUE CREEK NEAR STOWTON, OKLA.	15B	6.66	9467.7	34° 26'	95 52'	290	9.08	2.65	477	1.98	67.80	0.0	6887.1	7277.1	11657.0	12570.0	1220.0	
585 0207335300 KIATICHI RIVER TRIB. NR ALBION, OKLA.	15B	1.39	1393.4	34° 27'	95 52'	285	8.91	2.65	656	2.92	4.18	0.0	365.0	327.6	814.4	1624.4	1624.4	
586 0407337220 BIG BRANCH NR RINDOLY, OKLA.	15B	1.00	1387.0	34° 18'	95 05'	300	8.99	2.62	220	1.60	5.44.6	0.0	492.1	227.3	272.2	1450.0	1450.0	
587 4207337220 MOUNTAIN FORK TRIB. NR SMITHVILLE, OKLA.	15B	0.98	529.8	34° 38'	94 40'	280	8.65	2.78	300	2.08	4.18	0.0	220.4	233.6	793.4	925.1	592.0	
588 2206031000 BEAVER CREEK NEAR ROLLING ME., WYO.	13A	14.00	3662.8	37° 51'	91 46'	285	7.59	2.38	310	6.98	48.16	0.1	2147.0	2254.4	5754.2	6548.4	5802.0	
589 2206031000 LITTLE BEAVER CREEK NEAR ROLLING ME., WYO.	13A	6.41	5986.0	37° 57'	91 49'	288	7.59	2.41	122	1.98	13.57	0.3	1976.3	3938.0	7370.0	7870.0	7420.0	
590 3206151500 BLACK COULEE NEAR MALTAY MONT.	13A	1.64	6494.0	38° 22'	91 48'	289	7.58	2.41	308	2.48	16.70	0.8	129.0	235.0	291.5	240.0	240.0	
591 3206151500 MARIA RIVER TRIBUTARY AT LOMA, MONT.	13A	1.62	176.2	176.2	47 57	112 38	26	3.28	1.83	486	2.97	4.37	0.0	53.2	29.3	318.5	413.3	388.0
592 3206102300 MARIA R TRIBUTARY NO. 2 AT LOMA, MONT.	13A	8.25	32.7	47 47	112 15	25	3.15	1.98	235	1.24	1.24	0.0	9.9	5.9	66.3	42.6	42.6	
593 3206130000 SPRING COULEE NEAR HAYRE, MONT.	13A	17.85	385.4	45 25	109 52	21	3.42	1.98	235	0.98	122.8	0.0	553.5	557.2	345.0	345.0	345.0	
594 3206142100 SQUARE BUTTE C. TRI. NO. 2 NR CENTER, N. DAK.	13A	13.00	1214.7	47 07	181 15	43	5.42	1.73	488	4.98	8.437	0.0	404.9	227.2	2631.6	3320.2	2330.0	
595 32060607000 JAMES RIVER TRI. NO. 3 NEAR MANFRED, N. DAK.	13A	21.36	181.5	47 39	99 46	45	5.48	1.73	39	5.28	16.92	0.0	35.6	213.6	267.2	152.0	152.0	
596 04084441000 MUSH CREEK NEAR PIERRE, S. DAK.	13A	1.64	489.4	44 20	100 19	52	6.23	1.93	308	8.64	1.61	0.0	138.0	151.2	827.4	9958.0	5988.0	
597 4206130000 MATTER CREEK TRI. NEAR ORIENT, S. DAK.	13A	5.11	337.4	44 44	98 04	61	6.38	1.97	66	3.92	4.11	0.0	195.9	28.6	704.1	834.3	410.0	
598 22060441000 SOUTH FORK SUPPL CREEK 16 NR GOODLAND, KANS.	13D	4.96	2098.0	39 15	101 58	198	6.98	2.18	126	3.98	9.75	0.0	410.5	102.6	479.0	5855.0	2900.0	
599 22060441000 BEAVER CREEK TRIBUTARY NR LUDL, KANS.	13D	18.25	849.4	39 15	100 52	125	7.38	2.38	130	4.04	7.41	0.0	537.5	494.0	935.0	853.0	853.0	
600 22060441000 PRAIRIE DOG CREEK TRIBUTARY AT COLBY, KANS.	13D	7.85	641.3	39 21	101 23	127	7.31	2.38	65	4.73	15.32	0.0	220.4	169.3	1142.3	1392.8	682.0	
601 31060761000 EAST BUFFALO CREEK NEAR BUFFALO, NEBR.	13D	5.21	132.5	41 21	99 58	90	7.65	2.48	195	6.98	16.36	0.0	57.6	233.4	291.5	226.7	226.7	
602 31060761000 WEST BUFFALO CREEK NEAR BUFFALO, NEBR.	13D	6.85	354.0	40 53	99 52	93	7.65	2.48	232	10.37	18.73	0.0	94.4	613.5	722.9	479.0	479.0	
603 31060761000 PLUM CREEK TRIBUTARY NEAR OVERTON, NEBR.	13D	17.10	138.0	40 53	99 43	90	7.68	2.41	39	0.94	0.94	0.0	75.9	75.9	207.2	235.8	148.0	
604 31060761000 ELM CREEK NEAR SUMNER, NEBR.	13D	14.86	424.0	40 52	92 37	85	7.71	2.24	168	9.64	21.78	0.0	917.5	1146.8	1662.0	1662.0	1662.0	
605 31060761000 ELM CREEK TRIBUTARY NO. 2 NEAR OVERTON, NEBR.	13D	5.65	426.0	40 52	92 32	85	7.71	2.24	162	12.82	193.7	0.0	579.0	755.4	891.0	891.0	891.0	
606 31060761000 WOOD RIVER TRIBUTARY NEAR LODI, NEBR.	13D	2.02	91.6	41 12	92 32	85	7.84	2.19	162	3.13	44.95	0.0	24.7	193.1	233.5	161.0	161.0	
607 3106077000 WOOD RIVER NEAR LODI, NEBR.	13D	1.00	142.0	41 10	92 35	85	7.63	2.12	28.13	0.0	50.1	0.0	18.0	4.5	22.2	22.2	18.0	
608 3106077000 LILLIAN CR TRIBUTARY NR BROKEN BOW, NEBR.	13D	4.77	816.4	41 31	90 39	85	7.65	2.16	155	3.72	12.26	0.0	281.5	211.1	1546.2	1881.6	938.0	

Table 10. Continued.

Zone 14																	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
SEC STATION														RECT AREA	Q10 LAT	LONG	R
619 0866616388	NORTH FORK MICHIGAN RIVER NEAR GULD, COLOR.	16	28.28	276.9	48.33	106.91	17	2.07	0.98	173.9	7.39	48.66	0.0	192.1	215.2	312.3	359.2
620 086672598	SOUTH SI. VRAIN CREEK NEAR MAY, COLOR.	16	14.48	373.2	48.28	105.31	30	5.13	1.24	258.6	7.98	18.51	3.0	243.9	243.2	319.5	352.6
621 086672238	GLACIER CREEK NEAR ESTES PARK, COLOR.	16	24.49	342.4	39.45	106.99	25	5.22	1.35	364.6	9.59	39.71	2.0	244.6	251.9	333.8	355.2
622 0867203088	HALFWAY CREEK NEAR MALT, COLOR.	16	28.80	38.0	39.18	106.25	26	3.81	0.85	288.9	9.47	48.22	0.0	272.5	311.0	477.4	512.9
623 086720588	BICKETT CREEK NEAR PINE PEAK, COLOR.	16	8.69	6.1	35.59	104.56	76	4.75	1.76	488	9.17	1.17	0.0	3.1	3.2	10.8	47.2
624 0867128578	SOUTH CASCADE CREEK AT CASCADE, COLOR.	16	3.41	23.4	38.58	104.58	76	4.79	1.76	248.1	4.05	6.53	0.0	18.7	10.4	106.9	42.4
625 08691613900	HEADY CREEK NEAR TABERNASH, COLOR.	16	6.03	270.2	46.03	105.47	35	3.64	0.03	120.6	3.03	0.15	0.0	192.4	102.4	311.7	332.5
626 08691613900	SLATE CREEK NEAR DILLON, COLOR.	16	16.00	274.0	39.47	106.56	26	3.84	0.03	397.5	5.02	17.58	0.0	162.6	195.6	313.0	385.1
627 08691613900	SLATE CREEK NEAR PINEY LAKE, NEAR PINEY, COLOR.	16	15.00	250.9	39.43	106.86	26	3.84	0.03	257.6	5.27	14.98	0.0	267.1	262.2	435.1	286.2
628 08691613900	GORE CR AT UPPER STA IN MINUTA, COLOR.	16	14.48	812.8	39.39	106.16	26	3.80	1.85	369.8	5.08	16.43	0.0	328.2	328.2	609.2	588.2
629 08691613900	BLACK GORE CREEK NEAR MINUTA, COLOR.	16	11.00	386.9	39.39	106.18	26	3.80	1.85	128.6	5.08	16.51	0.0	216.3	225.0	378.3	408.3
630 08691613900	CASIA CREEK NR COSTILLA, N. MEX.	16	16.00	120.9	36.54	105.16	86	4.47	1.57	264.8	7.82	36.81	0.0	76.4	73.9	167.5	186.6
631 3588233588	SANTISTEVAN CREEK NR COSTILLA, N. MEX.	16	2.18	15.9	36.53	105.17	86	4.47	1.57	227.6	2.77	4.57	0.0	9.3	10.0	22.2	25.1
632 3588233588	LATIR CREEK NEAR CERRO, N. MEX.	16	16.00	186.4	36.54	105.33	31	3.84	1.14	356.8	5.62	19.53	0.0	56.5	149.5	200.0	18.0
633 3588233588	RED RIVER NEAR RED RIVER, N. MEX.	16	19.22	36.0	36.58	105.23	31	4.68	1.33	267.7	6.33	27.77	0.0	128.6	20.6	279.2	322.8
634 3588362588	TEQUELUE CR AB DIVERSIONS NR SANTA FE, N. MEX.	16	11.70	314.1	35.59	105.05	36	3.05	1.14	446.6	8.57	24.43	0.0	157.0	117.8	705.0	918.4
635 5856714588	MIDDLE CROW CREEK NEAR MCCLA, MYO.	16	18.00	198.2	41.18	105.15	34	3.68	1.27	150.1	9.01	15.40	0.0	81.9	66.5	402.6	405.0
636 5856714588	SOUTH CROW CREEK NEAR MCCLA, MYO.	16	15.00	61.4	41	105.11	38	3.85	1.27	277.6	3.01	26.31	0.0	26.3	19.7	133.1	175.6
637 3588252588	DEAD MAN GULCH NEAR MINEOLA, MYO.	17	4.44	124.7	43.11	107.47	23	2.35	0.70	51.0	3.74	0.22	0.0	68.5	125.5	286.2	247.9
638 5856714588	SHELL CR AS SHELL CR RESERVOIR, MYO.	17	3.11	139.0	44.44	107.24	23	3.07	1.19	248.6	6.14	46.14	0.0	128.9	77.9	232.4	178.0
639 5856714588	NPK TONGUE NEAR SHELL CR, MYO.	17	31.40	584.2	44.45	107.37	28	4.30	1.43	169.9	9.38	46.73	0.0	283.6	286.9	586.2	586.4
640 5856714588	LITTLE TONGUE RIVER NEAR DAYTON, MYO.	17	25.10	395.6	44.49	107.17	22	3.85	1.27	428.1	5.92	17.28	0.0	109.8	135.0	151.5	850.0
641 5856714588	WOLF CREEK AT WOLF, MYO.	17	35.70	789.8	44.48	107.14	22	3.89	1.28	577.6	7.91	14.31	0.0	319.4	154.7	184.1	133.0
642 5856714588	LITTLE SANDY CREEK NEAR ELMHORN, MYO.	17	28.00	291.2	42	32.00	112	2.88	0.90	511.6	46.32	27.19	16.0	289.5	289.5	333.9	428.6
643 5856714588	MIDDLE FORK BEAVER CR NR LOVETREE, MYO.	17	28.00	486.4	41.00	110.11	17	2.74	0.66	288.6	7.98	17.38	0.0	346.7	346.7	809.3	734.0
644 5856714588	WILDCAT CREEK NEAR GEORGE TOWN, IDAHO	17	18.00	41.00	41.00	107.19	16	3.11	0.55	288.6	9.14	3.81	0.0	67.0	55.6	211.0	262.0
645 1610116388	GEORGE TOWN CREEK NEAR GEORGE TOWN, IDAHO	16	22.28	157.46	42.36	111.19	16	3.11	0.55	288.6	9.14	3.81	0.0	144.3	151.5	344.7	372.3
646 4910111588	HOLME CREEK NEAR KAYSVILLE, UTAH	16	2.40	35.9	41.03	111.53	21	4.00	1.35	335.8	2.98	6.25	0.0	217.7	21.0	44.9	35.6
647 491011588	DRY CREEK NEAR KAYSVILLE, UTAH	16	1.00	51.0	41.00	111.45	20	3.55	1.14	472.6	4.97	23.98	0.0	161.2	516.5	597.2	597.3
648 491011588	HILL CREEK NEAR SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH	16	1.70	113.4	41	111.47	20	3.74	1.24	422.6	1.36	40.50	0.0	94.5	60.5	165.4	143.0
649 5856714588	NPK POWER RIVER NEAR HAZELTON, MYO.	17	24.00	537.4	44.82	107.63	26	3.85	1.14	139.6	10.46	56.26	0.0	346.7	346.7	809.3	734.0
650 5856714588	LAARGE CREEK NEAR LAARGE MENDOSA RNR STA, MYO.	18	32.40	185.1	42.30	110.40	23	2.05	0.85	350.6	11.04	14.72	0.0	144.3	151.5	344.7	372.3
651 5856714588	SHIFT CREEK NEAR ATON, MYO.	18	32.40	739.1	42	44.110.54	16	2.08	0.85	388.6	8.32	23.13	0.0	511.2	537.0	861.0	775.0
652 1612348588	LONG CANYON CREEK NEAR PORTHULL, IDAHO	19	29.00	998.6	48.57	116.32	26	1.02	0.76	348.6	12.98	26.91	0.0	636.4	692.0	148.9	1637.3
653 1613248588	EAST FORK WEISER RIVER, IDAHO	19	18.00	785.1	48.48	107.18	21	3.81	0.95	288.6	10.82	33.78	0.0	291.8	204.3	210.0	224.8
654 161315588	MUD CREEK NEAR THAMACH, IDAHO	19	18.00	485.1	45.00	110.18	21	3.81	0.95	288.6	10.82	33.78	0.0	291.8	204.3	210.0	224.8
655 3856714588	GRINNELL CREEK NEAR MANY GLACIER, MONT.	19	3.47	313.2	48.46	113.42	31	3.65	1.18	699	6.19	31.88	0.0	188.7	175.5	330.2	617.8
656 3856714588	SWIFT CURRENT CREEK AT MANY GLACIER, MONT.	19	31.40	1437.6	48.48	113.39	31	3.78	1.20	158.6	11.42	31.88	0.0	111.6	1037.2	211.1	670.0
657 3856714588	BIRCH CREEK NEAR GLEN, MONT.	19	31.00	320.4	44.23	112.08	12	2.01	0.80	314.6	11.06	226.0	0.0	455.3	362.0	455.3	362.0
658 3856714588	BOULDER R. & ROCK CREEK NEAR BABIN, MONT.	19	19.40	535.6	45.45	112.38	12	2.09	0.85	47.24	0.0	281.0	0.0	136.7	912.5	1885.4	562.0
659 3856714588	NEWLAND CR NEAR WHITE SULPHUR SPRINGS, MONT.	19	6.74	772.4	44.44	110.36	20	3.01	0.95	1726	4.97	3.81	0.0	291.6	144.0	164.3	241.0

Table 10. Continued.

Zone 16													
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
SEC STATION STATION NAME	SEC- AREA	010	LAT	LONG	R	P10	P20	DN	L	LL	S10	S20	OPEN
668 5113543866 SMITH GUICH TRI NEAR PATAMA MASH,	28A 1-95	386.0	48 29 117 27	95	8.59	0.98	246	1.01	4.45	8.9	112.7	78.9	676.1
661 5113540466 MIAOURI PLAT CREEK TEE MR PULLMAN MASH,	28A 0-01	159.0	48 117 19	95	8.93	0.94	156	1.03	5.43	8.9	55.6	38.6	350.9
662 5113540466 MIAOURI PLAT CREEK AT PULLMAN MASH,	28A 0-01	159.0	48 117 19	95	8.93	0.94	137	1.04	7.48	8.9	107.8	107.8	334.9
663 5113543866 PLAQUE RIVER TEE AT COLPAW MASH,	28A 2-10	121.4	48 55 117 23	15	8.59	0.93	148	1.05	4.98	8	45.8	44.8	205.5
664 5113540386 HARDIAN IDAN TEE AT PLAZA MASH,	28A 1-94	107.5	47 117 14	94	2.59	0.93	256	1.04	5.98	1.01	134.6	145.9	168.5
665 5113543866 COR CREEK TRI NEAR ITZVILLE MASH,	28A 1-01	110.5	47 116 18	92	1.09	0.94	156	1.04	5.64	1.01	129.0	128.0	178.6
666 5113543866 BLUE CREEK MR HALLA HALLA, MASH,	28A 17-09	716.2	48 118 16	49	3.59	1.04	2462	1.04	3.63	1.01	386.0	386.0	1321.8
667 4113548466 LITTLE CR AT HIGH VALLEY NR UNION, OREG.	28B 18-08	207.3	48 13 117 47	39	2.49	0.93	3398	0.95	21.82	0.91	135.8	135.8	39.8
668 4113541866 INDIAN CREEK TIMBER, OREG.	28B 22-09	177.5	48 58 118 61	39	8.19	0.73	1372	0.95	27.95	0.91	183.0	183.0	181.8
669 4113543866 EAST FORK WALLORA RIVER MR JOSEPH, OREG.	28B 18-38	269.0	48 21 118 10	21	2.69	0.93	2866	1.03	40.75	0.91	115.6	115.6	350.8
670 4113549166 HURRICANE CREEK NEAR JOSEPH CR AB SLOPE OR NN PRARIE CITY, OREG.	28B 7-08	163.2	48 21 118 29	23	5.48	1.05	2116	4.81	7.81	0.91	93.4	93.4	110.8
671 4113549166 STRAWBERRY CR AB SLOPE OR NN PRARIE CITY, OREG.	28B 7-08	163.2	48 21 118 29	23	5.48	1.05	2116	4.81	7.81	0.91	93.4	93.4	110.8
672 4113542766 CATCHWEED OIAN NPAF CLARKSTON, MASH.	28C 1-94	448.3	48 117 12	16	3.78	1.03	3389	0.95	7.31	0.91	115.6	115.6	350.8
673 5113549166 CALLINE CREEK NEAR HUNGRY MASH.	28C 1-94	182.2	48 117 12	16	3.78	1.03	3389	0.95	7.31	0.91	115.6	115.6	350.8
674 5113549166 EAGLE CREEK NEAR LEETON, IDAHO	28C 11-09	179.0	48 117 21	79	4.59	1.04	2339	4.75	21.73	0.91	105.5	105.5	317.6
675 5112184866 FRIDAY CREEK NEAR LEETON, MASH.	28D 1-07	513.4	47 13 181 87	78	4.91	1.04	2168	3.43	6.82	1.01	327.8	327.8	177.6
676 5112184866 SOUTH PARK CEDAR RIVER NEAR LEETON, MASH.	28D 1-07	513.4	47 13 181 87	78	4.91	1.04	2168	3.43	6.82	1.01	327.8	327.8	177.6
677 5112184866 SOUTH PARK CEDAR RIVER NEAR LEETON, MASH.	28D 1-07	513.4	47 13 181 87	78	4.91	1.04	2168	3.43	6.82	1.01	327.8	327.8	177.6
678 5112184866 CANYON CREEK NEAR GORDON FALLS, MASH.	28D 1-07	513.4	47 21 121 49	68	4.91	1.04	2168	3.43	6.82	1.01	327.8	327.8	177.6
679 5112184866 BOILEY CREEK NR CEDAR FALLS MASH.	28D 1-07	171.1	47 20 121 45	79	4.56	1.02	120	8.43	8.43	0.91	97.1	107.8	248.0
Zone 17													
681 1613546866 BENNETT CREEK NEAR BENNETT, IDAHO	28C 81-3	298.0	43 14 116 32	18	2.78	0.97	1998	0.98	17.88	0.94	88.7	88.7	434.6
682 1613578166 SUGAR CREEK TEE, MR GRAHAME, IDAHO	28C 4-59	97.4	48 34 116 54	13	2.41	0.79	242	1.04	1.49	0.91	39.3	39.3	161.6
683 1613578166 LITTLE BOUAN CR TRAIL HEADING, IDAHO	28C 81-40	292.2	48 35 116 49	38	5.21	1.15	4359	0.94	15.85	0.91	24.5	24.5	93.4
684 1613546866 COTTONWOOD CREEK AT ARROWHEAD RESERVOIR, IDAHO	28C 81-40	166.0	48 35 116 49	38	5.21	1.15	4359	0.94	15.85	0.91	183.0	183.0	404.0
685 1613546866 BANHOCK CREEK NEAR IDAHO CITY, IDAHO	28C 9-75	36.3	48 116 46	38	3.38	1.06	2818	2.09	5.83	0.91	16.8	16.8	45.8
686 1613546866 RODIE CREEK NEAR ARROW ROCK, IDAHO	28C 9-75	166.0	48 35 116 46	38	3.38	1.06	2768	2.09	31.78	0.91	74.1	74.1	274.8
687 1813297266 SPRING VALLEY CREEK NEAR EAGLE, IDAHO	28C 9-75	281.4	48 44 116 18	18	3.31	0.95	2955	19.78	31.99	0.91	88.7	88.7	244.8
688 301958266 BAKER C AT MARSH, MASH.	28C 10-49	169.2	48 116 28	16	3.55	1.05	3469	5.06	15.57	0.91	94.4	94.4	409.4
689 301958266 REED CR NEAR DRYME, NEVADA	28C 1-07	58.5	48 116 54	15	3.55	1.05	3469	5.06	15.57	0.91	94.4	94.4	409.4
690 1613542766 KELLOGG RIVER NR ISLAND PARK, IDAHO	28C 36-70	68.7	48 21 111 23	14	3.51	1.05	1658	5.06	15.57	0.91	94.4	94.4	409.4
691 1613547766 BIRCH CREEK NEAR ONEONTA, IDAHO	28D 1-07	161.1	48 111 51	14	3.09	1.05	178	2.09	3.56	0.91	136.7	136.7	445.5
692 1613547766 CROWNFOOT CREEK NEAR SQUIRREL, CREEK NEAR BIRCH, MASH.	28D 1-07	88.0	48 111 51	14	3.09	1.05	1801	9.04	37.56	0.91	134.4	134.4	386.4
693 1613547766 LITTLE BACKFOOT RIVER AT HENRY, IDAHO	28D 1-07	58.2	48 112 13	39	3.11	0.95	1809	4.75	10.78	0.91	29.1	29.1	195.1
694 1338778166 SOUTH FORK OATELLA CREEK NEAR POATELLO, IDAHO	28D 1-07	7.39	48 112 23	39	3.17	0.95	1856	5.71	9.16	0.91	3.6	3.6	19.2
695 1613542766 TRAPPER CREEK NEAR HAWKEYE, IDAHO	28D 1-07	118.0	48 112 23	39	3.17	0.95	1856	5.71	9.16	0.91	3.6	3.6	19.2
696 1613542766 ROCK CREEK NEAR ROCK CREEK, IDAHO	28D 1-07	433.8	48 21 114 14	14	2.85	0.94	3056	17.11	24.35	0.91	40.7	40.7	278.4
697 4113546866 SILVER CREEK NEAR BURN, OREG.	28E 160-1	633.4	47 97 101 64	9	2.33	1.07	2614	2.09	28.69	0.91	263.9	263.9	1477.8
698 4113546866 CROWNFOOT CREEK NEAR BURN, OREG.	28E 160-1	633.4	47 97 101 64	9	2.33	1.07	2614	2.09	28.69	0.91	263.9	263.9	1477.8
699 4113546866 CROWNFOOT CREEK NEAR BURN, OREG.	28E 160-1	633.4	47 97 101 64	9	2.33	1.07	2614	2.09	28.69	0.91	263.9	263.9	1477.8
700 4113546866 SLICE MEADOW CREEK NEAR BURN, OREG.	28E 160-1	7.49	48 111 59	10	2.88	0.93	4220	17.11	24.35	0.91	40.7	40.7	278.4
701 4113546866 DONNER LIND BLITZEN NEAR FRENCHMEN, OREG.	28E 206	167.5	48 111 59	10	2.88	0.93	4220	17.11	24.35	0.91	40.7	40.7	278.4
702 4113546866 BRADDE CREEK NEAR FRENCHMEN, OREG.	28E 206	167.5	48 111 59	10	2.88	0.93	4220	17.11	24.35	0.91	40.7	40.7	278.4
703 4113546866 MCCO CREEK MR DIAMOND, OREGON	28E 49-01	127.4	48 111 59	10	2.88	0.93	4220	17.11	24.35	0.91	40.7	40.7	278.4
704 4113546866 SILVER UN FILLY, OREGON	28E 49-01	127.4	48 111 59	10	2.88	0.93	4220	17.11	24.35	0.91	40.7	40.7	278.4
705 4113546866 GOOSEBERRY CREEK NEAR SCOPFIELD, UTAH	28E 49-01	361.9	48 111 59	10	2.88	0.93	4220	17.11	24.35	0.91	40.7	40.7	278.4
706 4113546866 EAST POND BOULDER CR NEAR BOLDER, UTAH	28E 81-41	388.1	38 83 111 27	28	4.08	1.04	1886	9.14	36.03	0.91	217.0	217.0	403.4
707 4113546866 ANTHONY CREEK ANTICONY, UTAH	28E 81-41	388.1	38 83 111 27	28	4.08	1.04	1886	9.14	36.03	0.91	217.0	217.0	403.4
708 4113546866 COTTONWOOD CREEK NR SALINA, UTAH	28E 81-41	167.1	38 83 111 27	28	3.09	1.05	3769	7.69	26.88	0.91	41.8	41.8	397.7
709 4113546866 PLEASANT CREEK NEAR MOUNT PLEASANT, UTAH	28E 11-66	817.4	38 83 111 23	36	3.01	0.95	3176	4.77	49.67	0.91	201.6	201.6	266.6
710 4113546866 THIN CREEK NEAR MOUNT PLEASANT, UTAH	28E 11-66	218.0	38 83 111 23	36	3.01	0.95	3586	5.37	30.38	0.91	181.3	181.3	468.6
711 4113546866 THREE CREEK NEAR BEAVER, UTAH	28E 11-66	235.9	38 112 26	36	3.01	0.95	2123	7.09	37.92	0.91	203.5	203.5	456.6

Table 10. Continued.

Zone 1c

750	44-8938288	LYNN REVERON TRIB NR S1, LITTLE COLORADO RIVER TRIB., NR ST., JONES, ARIZ.	21F	8.26	84.6	34.21	189.29	48	3.48	1.35	196	0.55	0.97	0.1	42.4	45.6	133.6	101.6
750	44-8938289	LYNN REVERON TRIB NR S1, LITTLE COLORADO RIVER TRIB., NR ST., JONES, ARIZ.	21F	8.26	84.6	34.21	189.29	48	3.48	1.35	196	0.55	0.97	0.1	42.4	45.6	133.6	101.6
754	3098131385	BLUENWATER CR. AND BLUENWATER CREEK NR CASA BLANCA, N. MEX.	21F	75.88	519.8	35.16	165.87	25	3.29	1.85	176	15.49	56.81	8.6	346.7	242.2	1863.9	1986.5
755	3098131386	ENTAL CREEK NR CASA BLANCA, N. MEX.	21F	61.8	114.5	35.09	165.87	25	3.42	1.85	176	15.49	286.0	6.95	467.1	242.2	1863.9	1986.5
756	3098131386	JENITA CREEK NR MAGALLANA, N. MEX.	21F	6.67	117.7	34.86	167.15	55	3.05	1.14	260.2	15.51	49.5	0.85	449.8	312.7	2186.0	2186.0
757	3509317850	GALESTENA CANYON TRIB., NR BLACK ROCK, N. MEX.	21F	19.55	205.7	3.55	45	21	1.11	1.82	210	0.45	26.59	0.9	216.2	216.2	1092.9	650.0
758	3509317850	PURCO TRIBUTARY NEAR PORT WINGATE, N. MEX.	21F	19.55	205.7	3.55	45	21	1.11	1.82	210	0.45	57.7	0.6	216.2	216.2	1236.3	1356.5
759	3509317850	PURCO CREEK N. MEX.	21F	19.55	205.7	3.55	45	21	1.11	1.82	210	0.45	57.7	0.6	216.2	216.2	1236.3	1356.5

Table 10. Continued.

## Zone 19

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

SECID	STATION NAME	SECT	AREA	QTR	LAT	LONG	R	P10	P6B	DW	L	LL	STNG	QMEAN	Q2	Q50	Q100	BREAK
766 3696446868	TROUT CREEK NEAR LUNA, N. MEX.	317	31.88	106.8	33	57	109.53	25	4.8	1.35	3582	12.53	47.74	8.8	487.6	289.6	3426.0	216.0
761 6469478268	DEER CREEK NEAR FLORENCE, ARIZ.	328	31.89	103.8	32	43	116.97	95	1.8	0.98	2340	21.97	183.8	9.8	1844.4	713.3	5326.0	386.0
762 6469478688	QUEEN CREEK TRIB., NO. 3 AT WHITLOW DAM, ARIZ.	228	0.37	475.6	33	25	111.15	70	4.75	1.65	906	1.16	1.92	0.9	101.4	101.8	785.6	907.6
763 6469479088	QUEEN CREEK TRIB. AT APACHE JUNCTION, ARIZ.	220	0.51	216.7	33	24	111.32	70	4.8	1.56	70	5.44	9.46	8.8	89.0	70.8	459.6	262.0
764 6469479488	CALABASA CANYON NR MOHALAS, ARIZ.	228	1.38	96.8	32	47	116.98	95	1.8	0.98	2340	21.98	125.98	9.8	376.1	120.4	1645.0	1068.0
765 6469479888	TUCSON ARROYO VINE AVE., TUCSON, ARIZ.	208	1.08	3488.2	32	25	110.95	75	5.01	1.85	425	10.20	35.82	0.8	148.5	122.6	550.8	618.1
766 6469480288	BABINO CREEK NEAR TUCSON, ARIZ.	220	3.07	347.5	32	25	110.95	95	5.01	1.86	3176	7.98	16.19	0.8	1406.5	1371.3	4796.7	4227.4
767 6469480688	RINCON CREEK NEAR TUCSON, PARK NEVADA	228	4.85	745.0	32	16	115.35	95	5.01	1.86	3176	7.78	49.15	0.8	228.9	215.9	1525.4	1645.0
768 6469481088	TELEPHONE CANYON NR CHARLESTON, PARK NEVADA	228	7.28	952.8	32	16	115.35	95	5.01	1.86	469	5.00	5.00	0.8	937.7	937.8	3868.6	3868.8
769 6469481488	WHITE WATER CANYON NR WHITE WATER, CALIF.	220	1.89	1098.0	32	41	114.16	95	4.8	1.35	1351	2.07	5.03	0.8	447.5	393.0	232.6	186.0
770 6469481888	SNOW CANYON NR DEER HOT SPRINGS, CALIF.	220	1.89	8618.0	32	51	110.41	95	4.8	1.24	3386	3.88	21.19	0.8	1057.1	982.6	4359.0	1887.7
771 6469482288	LONG CREEK NR DEER HOT SPRINGS, CALIF.	220	10.49	6459.8	33	58	116.27	95	3.04	1.14	3582	8.58	21.19	0.8	117.3	117.3	19983.1	24366.7
772 6469482688	TAMQUITZ CREEK NEAR PALM SPRINGS, CALIF.	220	10.49	1152.0	34	48	116.34	95	2.33	0.70	7466	9.18	23.25	0.8	434.7	217.4	4864.4	6895.6
773 6469483088	PALM CANYON TRIB. NR ANZA, CALIF.	220	0.47	60.5	34	31	116.26	95	4.8	1.43	4845	1.58	1.58	0.8	11.8	11.8	49.5	59.4
774 6469483488	DEER CREEK NR PALM DESERT, CALIF.	220	31.60	1381.0	35	31	116.23	95	3.04	1.08	6369	7.78	49.97	0.8	383.6	182.2	261.3	320.0
775 6469483888	COTTONWOOD MASH NR COTTONWOOD SPRINGS, CALIF.	220	0.71	311.0	35	45	115.49	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.5	105.5	537.5	316.0
776 6469484288	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.51	301.0	35	51	116.51	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	2.27	0.8	11.7	11.7	507.5	617.5
777 6469484688	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	1.18	374.4	32	56	110.42	95	4.8	1.14	3156	3.57	11.67	0.8	141.3	136.8	1268.4	677.4
778 6469485088	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	1.18	200.0	32	56	110.42	95	4.8	1.14	3156	3.57	11.67	0.8	141.3	136.8	1268.4	677.4
779 6469485488	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
780 6469485888	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
781 6469486288	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
782 6469486688	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
783 6469487088	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
784 6469487488	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
785 6469487888	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
786 6469488288	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
787 6469488688	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
788 6469489088	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
789 6469489488	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
790 6469489888	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
791 6469490288	AGRICULT. RESEARCH SEV RAPTOR WILDERNESS, NEW MEX.	220	0.45	1086.0	31	48	115.03	95	3.04	1.08	286	1.45	1.45	0.8	105.2	105.2	537.5	316.0
792 4114848688	CULTUS R AB CULTUS CR. NR LA PINE, OREG.	220	16.39	189.2	43	49	121.48	9	3.18	1.08	866	9.15	12.17	0.8	93.5	93.5	159.3	178.4
793 4114848888	DEEP CR AB CRANE PRAIRIE CRAB CRANE PIA RES NR LA PINE, OREG.	220	41.89	181.0	43	49	121.55	9	3.18	1.08	1816	1.80	13.00	0.8	55.4	55.4	210.7	249.4
794 4114849088	CHARLTON CR AB CRANE PIA RES NR LA PINE, OREG.	220	19.49	316.4	43	47	121.59	9	3.18	1.08	1816	1.80	13.00	0.8	55.4	55.4	210.7	249.4
795 4114849288	BROWN CREEK NEAR LA PINE, OREG.	220	19.79	86.6	43	43	121.48	9	3.18	1.08	1276	0.48	18.23	0.8	54.6	54.6	118.3	122.3
796 4114849688	LAKE CREEK NEAR STARS, OREG.	220	21.39	269.9	44	23	121.44	9	3.18	1.08	1448	1.78	15.77	0.8	103.7	103.7	305.6	439.9
797 3662423688	CANADA DE LAS HINOS TRIN. NR SANTO PEPE, NEW MEX.	220	0.89	321.1	34	36	118.58	95	4.08	1.33	1686	1.78	1.78	0.8	90.1	90.1	119.7	655.6
798 3662423888	PINTADA CREEK TR NR CLIMES CORNER, NEW MEX.	220	30.20	265.1	34	36	118.56	95	4.08	1.33	1686	1.78	1.78	0.8	90.1	90.1	119.7	655.6
799 3662423988	PINTADA CREEK TR NR CLIMES CORNER, NEW MEX.	220	1.99	88.7	34	49	119.34	95	4.08	1.33	220	0.38	2.00	0.8	209.9	209.9	121.0	210.6
800 3662424088	LITTLE BROWN RIVER NEAR GOVERNMENT CAMP, OREG.	220	22.39	269.9	44	23	121.45	9	3.18	1.08	1448	1.78	15.77	0.8	90.1	90.1	119.7	655.6
801 3662424188	LITTLE BROWN RIVER NEAR GOVERNMENT CAMP, OREG.	220	22.39	486.9	45	25	121.45	9	3.18	1.08	1448	1.78	15.77	0.8	90.1	90.1	119.7	655.6
802 3662424288	SHEEP CREEK NEAR CASCADIA, OREG.	220	0.89	100.7	44	53	122.35	95	4.08	1.33	1126	1.80	1.80	0.8	59.2	59.2	126.1	116.4
803 3662424388	SHEEP CREEK NEAR CASCADIA, OREG.	220	0.89	100.7	44	53	122.35	95	4.08	1.33	1126	1.80	1.80	0.8	59.2	59.2	126.1	116.4
804 3662424488	SHEEP CREEK NEAR CASCADIA, OREG.	220	0.89	100.7	44	53	122.35	95	4.08	1.33	1126	1.80	1.80	0.8	59.2	59.2	126.1	116.4
805 3662424588	SHEEP CREEK NEAR CASCADIA, OREG.	220	0.89	100.7	44	53	122.35	95	4.08	1.33	1126	1.80	1.80	0.8	59.2	59.2	126.1	116.4
806 66162825208	BILVER CREEK NEAR CANNON C. OREG.	220	19.69	866.3	43	36	119.48	95	4.08	1.33	2830	6.18	26.00	1.8	486.7	486.7	384.2	2229.9
807 66162835208	BAREMEN CREEK NEAR TRUCKEE, CALIF.	220	18.89	866.4	43	36	120.14	95	4.08	1.33	1826	3.99	14.80	0.8	198.7	198.7	93.5	1172.3
808 66162846208	DEER CREEK AT CALIFORNIA HOT SPRINGS, CALIF.	220	14.89	387.3	35	53	118.43	95	4.08	1.32	4868	7.30	18.97	0.8	123.2	123.2	93.5	739.2
809 66162847208	PITMAN CREEK BELON TAMACK CREEK, CALIF.	220	22.09	1437.2	37	12	110.13	95	4.08	1.32	1766	7.42	25.97	0.8	716.5	716.5	93.5	3670.6
810 66162848208	CORGOVE CREEK NEAR VALLEY SPRINGS, CALIF.	220	20.09	2528.0	38	86	120.35	95	4.08	1.32	1826	1.43	50.56	0.8	1211.6	1211.6	3440.0	3440.0

## Zone 20

800 4114848688	CULTUS R AB CULTUS CR. NR LA PINE, OREG.	220	16.39	189.2	43	49	121.48	9	3.18
----------------	--	-----	-------	-------	----	----	--------	---	------

Table 10. Continued.

Zone 20																		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
<b>000 STATION</b>																		
830 5312611088 LANE CREEK NEAR NASELLE, WASH.	248 2.15	211.3	46.22	123.47	105	5.41	2.02	1275	3.49	15.14	0	177.6	187.7	259.4	260.2	249.0		
830 5312611088 NORTH NEAH R TRIBUTARY NR SOUTH BEND, WASH.	248 0.46	761.0	30.33	123.55	105	5.16	2.01	1261	79	1.06	0	51.3	51.1	98.3	98.3	101.5		
840 5312611088 PORK CREEK NEAR LEBAN WASH.	248 0.44	333.47	46.33	123.35	145	6.30	2.18	1285	6.80	24.26	0	238.5	240.4	512.6	508.9	498.6		
<b>001</b>																		
841 5312611088 GREEN CREEK NEAR NICKERHAM, WASH.	248 1.79	221.4	39.30	123.39	149	6.63	2.39	124	1.91	2.53	0	139.6	129.6	291.6	291.6	233.6		
830 5312611088 SKOOKING CREEK NEAR NICKERHAM, WASH.	248 2.19	266.4	44.40	122.81	49	4.80	1.43	1459	8.10	25.26	0	123.3	116.1	342.6	342.6	342.6		
830 5312611088 BURNTIDGE CREEK AT VANCUVER, WASH.	248 2.11	143.2	45.35	122.45	49	3.59	1.11	140	8.93	12.19	0	82	82	216.5	216.5	176.6		
830 5312611088 CREEK NEAR BATTLE GROUND, WASH.	248 1.38	128.6	45.45	122.27	49	4.33	1.62	180	4.12	28.73	0	96.4	93.2	158.1	158.1	139.2		
830 5312611088 SALMON CREEK NEAR BATTLE GROUND, WASH.	248 1.94	128.6	45.45	122.15	49	4.33	1.62	180	4.12	28.73	0	96.4	93.2	158.1	158.1	147.6		
<b>002</b>																		
830 5312611088 COLUMBIA RIVER TRIBUTARY AT HARRIOT CREEK, WASH.	248 1.96	85.7	45.35	122.38	105	4.03	1.43	908	1.04	3.74	0	58.3	58.3	120.1	120.1	144.7		
830 5312611088 COLUMBIA RIVER TRIBUTARY AT LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.30	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR ETHEL, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6	34.6		
830 5312611088 HARRIOT CREEK NEAR LALAH CREEK, WASH.	248 0.34	98.7	46.16	122.55	50	4.10	1.58	130	1.15	1.16	0	24.3	24.3	38.6	38.6</td			

Table 10. Continued.

Table 10: Continued

06

Table 10. Continued. Explanation of column headings for Table 10.

1. Station. This column has three types of numbers. The first two designate what state the number applies to. The balance of the numbers fall into two categories--the first would be the USGS designation. For example the first station is 2704015500. The 27 says Minnesota. The 04 says the station drains into the Great Lakes basins while the balance of the numbers designate a particular watershed. The second category of numbers are the ARS numbers. For example the last station of Zone 01 is 12 ARS 8.3. The 12 says the state is Florida and the ARS says this is an ARS watershed and the 8.3 says it is watershed No. 3 at Ft. Lauderdale.
2. Sect. This is the physiographic section from the Fenneman and Johnson map.
3. Area. This is the watershed area in square miles.
4. Q10. This is the 10-year runoff peak in cfs.
5. Lat. Latitude in degrees and minutes.
6. Long. Longitude in degrees and minutes.
7. R. This is the mean annual rainfall erosivity index explained in the text.
8. P10. The 10-year 10-minute rainfall intensity in inches per hour.
9. P60. The 10-year 1-hour rainfall in inches.
10. DH. The difference in elevation between the intercept of the main drainage channel with the watershed boundary and the culvert site. Feet.
11. L. This is the length of the principal drainage channel from the culvert site to the watershed rim. Miles.
12. LL. The total lengths of all drainage channels on a watershed. Miles.
13. STRG. The percentage of the watershed covered by lakes, swamps, playas, etc.
14. QMEAN. The arithmetic mean of the annual runoff peaks in cfs.
15. Q<sub>2</sub>. The 2-year or median runoff peak in cfs.
16. Q<sub>50</sub>. The 50-year runoff peak in cfs.
17. Q<sub>100</sub>. The 100-year runoff peak in cfs.
18. Q<sub>PEAK</sub>. The maximum runoff of record in cfs.




Figure 39. The probable maximum runoff peaks and envelope curve for different sized areas in the United States and Puerto Rico.

In its  $\log_{10}$  linear form, it has a multiple correlation coefficient,  $r$ , of 0.854 with 894 degrees of freedom and a standard error,  $PS_e$ , of 13 percent of  $\log_{10}(\bar{q}_{10})$ . This amounts to a standard error of a point estimate,  $PS_{EE}$ , of 119 percent of  $\bar{q}_{10}$ .

A nomograph for the solution of the equation was constructed and is shown in Appendix H-00. The curve for the relationship between the measured and estimated values of  $q_{10}$  with the 95 percent confidence intervals about the estimate for the standard error and the standard deviation of a point estimate are shown in Appendix G-00.

The second set of regressions used the same three parameters but a separate equation was computed for each of the 24 hydrophysiographic zones. These 24 3-parameter zoned regression equations are shown in Appendix H as Table H-1 along with the standard error of the estimate of  $\hat{q}_{10}(\text{ARDH})$  as a percent of the measured  $\bar{q}_{10}$  for the data used in its development. Nomographs for each equation were constructed and are shown in Appendix H-1 through H-24.

The third regression was a 5-parameter all zone equation. This equation is

$$\hat{q}_{10} = 1.5102 A^{0.4707} R^{0.8386} DH^{0.1718} L^{0.1764} P_{60}^{0.3476}$$

In its  $\log_{10}$  linear form, it has an  $r$  of 0.856 with 892 degrees of freedom and a percent standard error,  $PS_e$ , of 12 percent of  $\log_{10}(\bar{q}_{10})$ . This translates into a percent standard error of a point estimate,  $PS_{EE}$ , of 116 percent of  $\bar{q}_{10}$ .

The fourth set of regressions are the equations obtained by zoning and then determining the individual zone regressions using 5 parameters for each of the 24 hydrophysiographic zones. These equations are given in Appendix H as Table H-2 with their respective percent standard errors of estimate and numbers of watersheds used in their development.

The fifth regression involved the 7 parameters  $A$ ,  $R$ ,  $DH$ ,  $L$ ,  $LL$ ,  $P_{10}$ , and  $P_{60}$  and is called the 7-parameter all zone equation. This equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{q}_{10} = & 1.8816 A^{0.3877} R^{0.8322} DH^{0.1461} L^{-0.0236} LL^{0.2613} \\ & P_{10}^{-0.1891} P_{60}^{0.4668} \end{aligned}$$

This equation has an  $r$  of 0.860 in its  $\log_{10}$  linear form with a  $PS_e$  of 12 percent with 890 degrees of freedom. This yields a  $PS_{EE}$  of 116 percent of  $\bar{q}_{10}$ .

The sixth set of regressions are the equations obtained when the individual zone data are regressed using the same 7 parameters. The different zone equations are shown with the respective errors of estimate as percentages of the measured  $\bar{q}_{10}$  in Appendix H, Table H-3.

An effort to improve the predicting ability of the 3-parameter all zone equation analogous to that used in the original Potter method was made by

Appendices A is in Volume III

Appendices B, C, D, E, F, G, and H are in Volume II

regressing  $\log_{10}$  of the observed 10-year runoff peaks,  $q_{10}$ , for each zone against  $\log_{10}$  of the estimated 10-year peaks given by the 3-parameter all zone equation,  $q_{10}(3AZ)$ . The correction equations thus derived are given in Appendix H, Table H-4. In addition, a scatter diagram and a plot of the correction curve derived for each zone along with the 95 percent confidence intervals for a mean and for a point estimate are given in Appendix H as Appendixes H-25 through H-48 for zones 1 through 24 respectively. The percent standard error of estimate,  $PS_{EE}$ , was reduced for most zones with the average reducing from 91.5 percent for the uncorrected estimates to 87.1 for the corrected estimates. Although this reduction is not very appreciable, a major reason for using the correction equation and curves with the 3-parameter all zone equation is to reduce the bias that it may have when used in a particular zone.

A summary of the respective prediction errors expected from the various regression equations derived above is tabulated in Table 11 (p. 95). The 3-parameter all zone equation appears to be nearly as good as the other two all zone equations, with the  $PS_{EE}$  being reduced only 3 percent by including more than the three independent variables included in the 3-parameter equations and the  $r$  increasing only from 0.854 to 0.860 with a corresponding decrease of only 1 percent in  $PS_e$ . The same observation is generally true for the individual zone equations. In those zones where significant improvement appears, e.g., zone 6, the number of observations is small; for zone 6 there are only 12 so the degrees of freedom are reduced as the number of variables increases (for zone 6 the degree of freedom goes from 8 for the 3-parameter equations to only four for the 7-parameter equations) and the improvement is not as statistically significant as it may appear from Table 11 (p. 95). Therefore, the three parameter equations, either the all zone or the individual zone equations are preferred for practical use. As mentioned previously all of the equations have been tabulated in Appendix H and nomographs for graphically solving the 3-parameter equations have been prepared and are also included there. In addition, the 95 percent confidence limits for a mean and for an individual point estimate as well as the point scatter are shown in Appendix G for all of the 3-parameter equations derived from the 898 watersheds having negligible storage.

#### Methods test

Fifty-one watersheds, one from each State and Puerto Rico, were selected at random from the watersheds not used in the regressions except where data for the SCS method were unavailable. Here a second choice was made. For these watersheds the measured  $q_{10}$ , and  $q_{10}$  estimates using the SCS method, the 3-parameter all zone and zoned and the 7-parameter all zone and zoned were calculated and are shown in Table 12 (p. 96). The standard errors of estimate for each of the 5 procedures are shown at the bottom of Table 12 (p. 96). The mean error is the algebraic sum of the percentages of the estimate divided by 51. The average error is the average of the magnitudes of the errors. The percent standard error of the estimate is a percentage of the mean value of the measured ten year peaks,  $\bar{q}_{10}$ .

#### Summary and Conclusions

A curve was developed which the writers call the probable maximum runoff peak. It has the equation

Table 11. Summary of the prediction errors associated with estimating 10-year peak runoff from the various regression equations given in Appendix H.

Zone	$\bar{q}_{10}$ cfs	n	3-Parameter Equations			5-Parameter Equations			7-Parameter Equations			Un- corrected Eq. 3-Parameter All Zone Corrected by Zone			
			PS <sub>EE</sub> %	PS <sub>e</sub> %	r	PS <sub>EE</sub> %	PS <sub>e</sub> %	r	PS <sub>EE</sub> %	PS <sub>e</sub> %	r	PS <sub>EE</sub> %	PS <sub>e</sub> %	r	
All Zone	1922	898	119	13	0.854	116	13	0.856	116	12	0.860	119	119	13	0.854
1	1058	42	84	13	0.774	76	11	0.844	67	11	0.876	97	92	16	0.595
2	4747	28	60	7	0.798	59	7	0.818	59	7	0.831	68	67	8	0.754
3	2495	14	108	9	0.925	110	10	0.930	97	11	0.934	105	105	9	0.912
4	1979	62	56	9	0.795	54	9	0.809	53	9	0.809	63	60	9	0.770
5	1472	35	44	8	0.927	51	8	0.931	45	8	0.942	58	75	8	0.912
6	2014	12	88	7	0.840	32	4	0.970	33	5	0.971	92	92	10	0.622
7	2306	33	76	7	0.918	76	7	0.919	79	7	0.929	103	88	7	0.893
8	2079	39	51	7	0.952	47	6	0.964	44	6	0.968	57	62	7	0.944
9	1170	37	85	8	0.850	87	8	0.865	83	8	0.879	88	88	9	0.800
10	1986	10	67	12	0.882	68	13	0.905	47	17	0.914	76	83	14	0.745
11	4320	32	43	7	0.902	42	6	0.921	39	6	0.923	81	61	9	0.764
12	461	34	115	21	0.672	115	20	0.749	89	19	0.793	106	107	23	0.587
13	2260	166	83	12	0.897	82	12	0.899	85	12	0.901	108	91	13	0.887
14	1304	30	132	17	0.762	134	17	0.789	133	18	0.796	133	121	18	0.704
15	356	37	91	14	0.795	91	14	0.800	97	14	0.808	118	101	21	0.375
16	624	21	95	8	0.897	73	7	0.940	72	7	0.941	88	73	8	0.893
17	368	56	89	15	0.784	71	14	0.809	76	14	0.825	107	98	18	0.622
18	1311	14	107	23	0.643	88	24	0.708	117	20	0.857	143	124	23	0.520
19	1586	40	83	13	0.833	82	13	0.833	82	13	0.838	125	84	13	0.807
20	759	42	103	10	0.926	104	9	0.936	106	10	0.937	103	131	12	0.883
21	1625	68	67	8	0.924	68	7	0.931	69	8	0.931	94	138	11	0.836
22	1013	22	36	5	0.974	34	4	0.979	30	4	0.986	45	38	5	0.966
23	2519	6	35	5	0.961	-	-	-	-	-	-	47	40	6	0.886
24	12277	18	56	5	0.882	42	4	0.917	34	4	0.924	92	72	6	0.772
Average error of estimate			77.3%			73.3%			71.2%			91.5% 87.1%			

Notes explaining the column headings:

$\bar{q}_{10}$  is the mean ten year peak flow calculated from the observed ten year peak flows for each zone.

n is the number of watersheds used in deriving the equation.

PS<sub>EE</sub> is the standard error of estimate expressed as a percent of the zone  $\bar{q}_{10}$ . It is calculated by the equation:

$$PS_{EE} = \frac{100}{\bar{q}_{10}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum (q_{10} - \hat{q}_{10}(K))^2}{n-2}}$$

PS<sub>e</sub> is the standard error of the  $\log_{10}$  linear equation expressed as a percent of  $\log_{10}\bar{q}_{10}$ . It is calculated by the equation:

$$PS_e = \frac{100}{\log_{10}\bar{q}_{10}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum (\log_{10}q_{10} - \log_{10}\hat{q}_{10}(K))^2}{n-2}}$$

r is the correlation coefficient between  $q_{10}$  and  $\hat{q}_{10}$ . It is calculated by the equation:

$$r = \frac{\sum (x - \bar{x})(y - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum (x - \bar{x})^2(y - \bar{y})^2}}$$

where x and y are any two independent and dependent variables respectively.

Table 12. Comparison of 10-year peak flow estimates by the SCS method and four USU methods.

State	Measured $q_{10}$	SCS Method			USU (3-Parameter All Zone)		USU (7-Parameter All Zone)		USU (3-Parameter Zoned)		USU (7-Parameter Zoned)	
		$q_{10}$ Estimate	% Error 1 <sup>a</sup>	$q_{10}$ Estimate	% Error 2	$q_{10}$ Estimate	% Error 3	$q_{10}$ Estimate	% Error 4	$q_{10}$ Estimate	% Error 5	
Alabama	2,070	650	-219	2,162	+ 4	1,461	- 42	1,363	- 52	1,441	- 44	
Alaska	2,131	350	-509	2,457	+ 13	1,366	- 56	3,025	+ 30	2,104	- 1	
Arizona	265	900	+ 71	124	-112	139	- 90	347	+ 24	329	+ 19	
Arkansas	1,790	1,200	- 49	1,289	- 39	1,121	- 59	2,145	+ 17	1,693	- 6	
California	385	1,200	+ 68	454	+ 15	369	- 4	214	- 80	322	- 19	
Colorado	271	165	- 64	353	+ 23	421	+ 36	666	+ 59	851	+ 68	
Connecticut	1,562	350	+ 346	1,556	+ 0.3	1,379	- 13	1,172	- 33	925	- 69	
Delaware	3,489	150	-2226	1,485	-135	1,470	-137	1,266	-175	1,119	-211	
Florida	2,064	3,500	+ 41	3,495	+ 41	2,959	+ 30	1,162	- 77	2,117	+ 2	
Georgia	667	70	-853	682	+ 2	569	- 17	425	- 56	405	- 65	
Hawaii	6,655	5,200	- 28	6,473	- 3	10,395	+ 36	6,408	- 4	5,465	- 22	
Idaho	153	700	+ 78	485	+ 68	639	+ 76	248	+ 39	313	+ 51	
Illinois	630	290	-117	249	-100	342	- 84	358	- 76	380	- 65	
Indiana	6	10	+ 40	8.8	+ 3	40	+ 84	10	+ 41	19	+ 69	
Iowa	1,202	950	- 27	632	- 90	593	-103	1,143	- 5	933	- 29	
Kansas	1,142	1,200	+ 5	875	- 31	1,186	+ 4	1,858	+ 39	2,612	+ 56	
Kentucky	2,382	380	-527	2,279	- 4	2,213	- 8	4,238	+ 44	3,633	+ 34	
Louisiana	6,457	2,000	-223	6,824	- 5	5,035	- 28	4,016	- 61	4,558	- 42	
Maine	248	500	+ 50	446	+ 44	368	+ 33	345	+ 28	285	+ 12	
Maryland	530	2,400	+ 78	825	+ 36	934	+ 43	430	- 23	421	- 26	
Massachusetts	245	350	+ 29	606	+ 60	581	+ 58	436	+ 44	364	+ 33	
Michigan	617	1,000	+ 38	872	+ 29	910	+ 32	516	- 20	772	+ 20	
Minnesota	2,415	1,000	-142	1,303	- 84	1,116	-116	2,053	- 18	1,900	- 27	
Mississippi	4,961	2,200	-126	5,523	+ 10	4,821	- 3	4,294	- 15	4,611	- 8	
Missouri	908	1,200	+ 24	460	- 99	572	- 59	681	- 33	640	- 42	
Montana	531	300	- 77	244	-118	311	- 71	857	+ 38	893	+ 41	
Nebraska	790	390	-103	403	- 96	196	-303	279	-183	353	-124	
Nevada	550	2,800	+ 80	551	0	241	-128	189	-191	336	- 64	
New Hampshire	487	375	- 30	902	+ 38	762	+ 36	614	+ 21	517	+ 6	
New Jersey	726	1,400	+ 48	432	- 68	378	- 92	368	- 97	458	- 58	
New Mexico	923	2,000	+ 54	849	- 9	925	0	1,133	+ 18	833	- 11	
New York	1,181	300	-294	1,142	- 3	1,221	+ 3	701	- 68	1,177	0	
North Carolina	1,596	440	-263	1,881	+ 15	1,362	- 17	1,332	- 4	1,592	0	
North Dakota	102	820	+ 88	386	+ 73	276	+ 63	197	+ 48	326	+ 68	
Ohio	845	800	- 6	596	- 41	519	- 63	1,124	+ 25	822	- 3	
Oklahoma	12,250	28,000	+ 56	12,244	0	8,060	- 52	14,962	+ 18	11,657	- 5	
Oregon	200	900	+ 78	232	+ 14	246	+ 19	232	+ 14	313	+ 36	
Pennsylvania	1,770	300	-490	1,784	+ 1	888	- 99	1,249	- 42	1,324	- 33	
Puerto Rico	8,569	8,900	+ 5	6,567	- 30	6,426	- 33	10,553	+ 19	9,484	+ 10	
Rhode Island	652	300	-117	1,869	+ 65	1,698	+ 62	1,593	+ 59	1,076	+ 39	
South Carolina	3,354	500	-571	5,382	- 38	4,049	+ 17	4,560	+ 26	3,989	+ 16	
South Dakota	98	1,600	+ 94	387	+ 76	406	+ 76	208	- 53	393	+ 75	
Tennessee	3,325	610	-445	2,704	- 23	2,994	- 11	3,925	+ 15	4,111	+ 19	
Texas	75.2	30	-150	83	+ 10	63	- 19	83.9	+ 10	88.2	+ 15	
Utah	25	510	+ 95	230	+ 89	273	+ 91	79	+ 68	36	+ 27	
Vermont	972	345	-182	1,218	+ 20	985	+ 1	1,336	+ 27	1,133	+ 14	
Virginia	22.8	70	+ 67	35.9	+ 32	41	+ 44	30.7	+ 26	28.1	+ 19	
Washington	211	800	+ 74	533	+ 60	723	+ 71	382	+ 45	420	+ 49	
West Virginia	1,644	220	-647	1,485	+ 10	1,581	- 4	1,921	+ 14	1,961	+ 16	
Wisconsin	68.4	240	+ 72	72	+ 5	76	+ 10	104	+ 34	84	+ 18	
Wyoming	537	1,500	+ 65	596	+ 10	650	+ 17	594	+ 9	690	+ 22	
Mean error		145.75		-3.14		15.08		8.08		-1.12		
Average error		200.57		40.32		51.24		44.41		37.08		
Percent Standard Error of Estimate		160		39		62		50		38		

<sup>a</sup>Errors 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 are a percent of the estimate. The standard error of the estimate values are percent of measured  $q_{10}$ .

$$q_{P(\max)} = 10^{[3.92 + 0.812 (\log A) - 0.0325 (\log A)^2]}$$

Besides standard procedures used for sizing of culverts and using rating curves and other factors, the above curve can be used to determine the maximum safe watershed area that can be used with each size of culvert installation. In those States that administratively use a certain minimum culvert size this would give the maximum size watershed which did not require a  $q_{10}$  estimate of runoff peak.

For practical field use, it appears that a 3-parameter all zone regression may be used to forecast runoff peaks with a mean standard error of the estimate of about 119 percent. Additional parameters up to seven did not appreciably improve these estimates. If zoning is introduced the standard errors of the estimate range between 35 percent and 132 percent with an average value of 77 percent. An appreciable portion of the error comes from zones 3, 12, 14, 16, and 20. It is felt that the map of R might be the source of at least a portion of the errors in these zones.

Nomographs have been constructed for the solution of all of the 3-parameter equations (see Appendix H).

All of the pertinent parameters may be measured from  $7\frac{1}{2}$  or 15 minute quadrangle maps. When these maps are not available the 3-parameters can be reliably measured from the 1:250,000 scale maps but the remaining parameters require use of correction curves.

The writers suggest calling these procedures the FHWA method and suggest that it not presently be used on areas larger than 100 square miles.

### PHASE III

#### Field Visits and Evaluation

Contacts were made with at least one person from each State. As a general rule, the personnel of the States were very cooperative and candid. Always, they went to great lengths to supply the information requested.

#### Questions

The questions asked in the interviews always embodied some form of the following:

1. Have you or do you use Potter's method? If so, what do you like about it and what do you dislike about it?
2. What methods do you use for the hydrologic design of culverts for small watersheds? What do you like and dislike about each?
3. Do you utilize the storage provided by fills or borrow areas to decrease peak flows? Do you include such storage in your design?
4. In what form would you prefer to have a design method presented?
5. Have the methods you use given you the kind of results you had hoped for?

6. Do you consider a certain percentage of failures as a normal part of the risk?
7. When there are failures do you or members of your staff have an opportunity to see and study the failure or are failures buried by repairs before you are aware of them?

### Results

The answers to the questions may be summarized as follows:

1. Only three of the specialists queried had ever used Potter's method. Two or three others said they thought the method had been tried in their State prior to the time of the interview. A common academic reaction was that the T factor was too difficult to keep straight whether the upper 0.3 or the lower 0.7 was the division point of the slope, thus introducing blunders. Universally, personnel liked the general format of the Potter method. Generally separate groups were preferred. They liked cycle graphs, alignments charts, or other mechanical procedures or aides.
2. The most common and universally used method was some form of the Rational method. Most States used the Rational method for areas smaller than 200 acres, but one State used the Rational method on all drainages regardless of size even to large rivers. Incidentally, this State reported no knowledge of failures since adopting the Rational method. From personal observations in that State, it appeared their designs approached sizes accommodating floods approaching the magnitude of the maximum probable flood. Another observation in connection with the use of the Rational method was the general failure to observe the lower limit on the time of concentration or lag time whichever was in use.

By far the most widely used forecast methods were those proposed by the local U.S. Geological Survey units for States who had progressed this far in the small watersheds program such as Texas (Schroeder, ref. 15). In general, the States have faith in these methods but would much prefer more computational aids such as those of Schroeder, enabling project engineers to make field checks if questions arise. In Texas, these aids were furnished. In one or two others, States had constructed their own aids.

Two States were using some of the old sewerage formulas for watersheds of all sizes. In contrast to this, 15 States have multiple methods which they use to duplicate each flood design estimate. They adopt the result which seems most logical from engineering judgment.

No State was completely happy with the method or methods they were using and most personnel expressed a desire for improvement. With such a desirable attitude, improved methods should be forthcoming and will be rapidly adopted.

3. Only five States were using fill or borrow storage to reduce the magnitudes of flows and thus to reduce culvert size. The distinct impression was gained, however, that an appreciable number of States were contemplating moves to include storage as a part of the design procedure. In these States, a

complete flood peak hydrograph of the flow becomes desirable or necessary. Only one State was beginning to accumulate hydrograph data at the time of the visit, however.

4. The preferred format in nearly all States was some type of graphical or alignment chart suitable for the use of project engineers in the field. Nearly a dozen States expressed a need to incorporate maximum versatility in any new method.

5. No State was completely satisfied with its present method. Each State felt its method either over or under designed or was too complex. The writers believe the real problem here lies in their concept of a risk factor. Thus it is also involved in question No. 6.

6. The great majority of interviewees felt that some failures should occur, but the same people also felt strongly that failures should only result from unusual events or circumstances. Use of risk factors needs to be formulated in a better way for convenient field use. If a culvert is designed to accommodate a 50-year storm with a 5 percent chance of failure, the structure must actually accommodate a 1000-year flood event. The impression was gained that personnel were not commonly familiar with this concept.

7. Nearly all States had the problem of feedback to the designer. If failures occur on small culverts, the damage is nearly always repaired by maintenance crews before the design people are aware that any damage occurred. Naturally, this is not true of crossings on larger streams or where bridges are involved. It would certainly appear to be beneficial to design people to be informed of any kind of failure in time to examine each incident prior to repair, particularly failures from small area floods.

#### PHASE IV

##### Evaluation and Analysis

A. Conclusions from a study of flood damage. As a direct consequence of the study of flood damage and the literature, the writers feel that flood damage can best be expressed by the stage. This is illustrated by Figure 40 (p. 100) which is computed from the data of Grigg and Helweg (ref. 8a) and fit to a log-extremal distribution. The maximum stage as used here to reduce the data to a common base is the maximum for each group of data and the maximum damage is the damage resulting from this maximum head. The data show considerable scatter depending upon the location but all seem to linearize with the transforms used.

It seems clear that the single most important flood parameter is stage. The peak flows are exponentially related to stage. Thus the advantages of using fills to reduce culvert sizes must be carefully weighed against the increased flood hazard as a result of increasing the stage.

B. Frequency problems. The details of the frequency problems have been discussed in earlier sections so the relative risk involved only, will be




Figure 40. The relationship of percent of maximum stage to the percent of damage at maximum stage.

discussed here. Figure 41 (p. 102) shows the percent chance of having floods in the size for the different return periods occur in different time periods from one year to 100 years. The randomly generated frequency curves do not generally coincide with the curves from actual data. For example, Figure 42 (p. 103) shows a generated set of data compared to the measured data for the USGS watershed numbered 06658500, Laramie River near Jelm, Wyoming.

C. Conclusions from entire study. The validity of Potter's approach was verified and updated equations and graphs were produced using current and corrected data for the 96 watersheds originally selected by Potter for deriving his method.

When 25 watersheds were chosen at random from the same States from which Potter chose his watersheds, the standard errors of estimate were as follows:  $q_{10}(\text{ATPK}) = 127$  percent, zoned into Potter zones 157 percent and  $q_{10}(\text{ATPC})$  (using Potter's published curves) = 197 percent of the measured values.

The parameters used by Potter were simplified into their measured components and one additional parameter, R, introduced. Furthermore, the zoning used by Potter was extended and simplified through the use of the physiographic sections of the United States. Through use of the measured 10-year peak runoff per unit area and the statistical t test, these zones were grouped until 24 covered all of the United States and Puerto Rico. The parameters measured on a large sample of watersheds representing these 24 zones were area (A), 10-year peak runoff ( $q_{10}$ ), location (Latitude and Longitude), iso-erodent value (R), 10-year 10-minute precipitation ( $P_{10}$ ), 10-year 60-minute precipitation ( $P_{60}$ ), difference in elevation between the watershed rim at the extension of the principal drainage and the culvert site (DH), the length of the principal drainage (L), the total lengths of all drainages on each watershed and the storage (S).

Regressions between  $q_{10}$  and these parameters were made using various transforms and each parameter weight evaluated with the statistical F test. These regressions were made both on an all sample basis and by the above hydro-physiographic zones.

It was shown that most of the variation in  $q_{10}$  could be accounted for by area, A, R, and elevation difference DH. The standard error of estimate for the three parameters, when  $\hat{q}_{10}$  estimated was compared to  $q_{10}$  measured as a percentage of the measured for about 900 watersheds, was 119 percent and with seven parameters it was 116 percent. After zoning the same errors as means became 77.3 percent for the three parameters and 71.2 percent for the seven parameters.

When the  $q_{10}$  for each zone's watersheds were estimated with the 3-parameter all zone equation uncorrected for zone and then corrected for zoning, the mean standard errors were 91.5 percent and 87.1 percent respectively.

A 51 watershed sample (one from each State and Puerto Rico), correcting the estimates for storage, and comparing the SCS method on the same watersheds gave the following percent standard errors of estimate; SCS, 160; all zone




Figure 41. The chance of equaling or exceeding a flood peak for a given return period in periods of 2, 10, 25, 50, and 100 years.




Figure 42. Comparison of a randomly generated runoff peak series and a measured series for USGS watershed 06658500, Laramie River near Jelm, Wyoming.

3-parameter, 39; all zone 7-parameter, 143; 3-parameter zoned, 50 and 7-parameter zoned, 38 as percentages of the measured values. None of these watersheds were used in development of the equations.

and in the

Flood risk is related to the flood stage as well as frequencies so must be considered except where using maximum likely flood peaks for the entire USA.

No single distribution will fit all flood peak frequency data but some are more likely to fit than others. The writers recommend that measured points be plotted along with the fitted distributions for extrapolation.

A greatly improved method for forecasting runoff peaks at known recurrence intervals is simple and more reliable than previously existing methods.

REFERENCES

#### REFERENCES CITED

1. Bar-Kochba, Yoseph, and Andrew L. Simon. 1972. Factors affecting floods from watersheds in humid regions of Northeastern Ohio. Water Res. Bull. 8(6):1235-1245.
2. Benson, Manuel A. 1962. Characteristics of frequency curves based on a theoretical 1000-year record. USGS, Washington, D.C. 21 p. August.
3. Bock, P., I. Enger, G. P. Malhotra, and D. A. Chisholm. 1972. Estimating runoff rates from ungaged small rural watersheds. NCHRP Program Rept. 136. 85 p.
4. Chow, Ven Te. 1964. Handbook of applied hydrology. McGraw-Hill, New York.
5. Dragoon, Frank J. 1962. Rainfall energy as related to sediment yield. Am. Geophys. Union, J. Geophys. Res. 67(4):1495-1501. April.
6. Ezekiel, Mordecai, and Karl A. Fox. 1970. Methods of correlation and regression analysis. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York City, New York. 548 p.
7. Fenneman, N. M., and Douglas W. Johnson. 1964. Physical divisions of the United States. USGS 1:7,000,000.
8. Fletcher, Joel E., and George W. Reynolds. 1972. Snowmelt peak flows and antecedent precipitation from watersheds in transition. American Water Resources Assoc. and Colorado State University, 197-199.
- 8a. Grigg, Neil S., and Otto J. Helweg. 1975. State of the Art of estimating flood damage in urban areas. Water Res. Bull. 11(2):379-390. April.
9. Hydrocomp, Inc. 1970. Procedures for estimating flood flow from small rural watersheds. Final report. Bur. Public Roads Contract FH-11-7320. 90 p. September.

10. Kent, K. M. 1968. A method for estimating volume and role of runoff in small watersheds. SCS-TP-149. 40 p. January.
11. Miller, John F., R. H. Frederick, and R. J. Tracy. 1973. Precipitation-frequency atlas of the western United States. Vols. I-XI, NOAA ATLAS 2.
12. Potter, William D. 1958. Upper and lower frequency curves for peak rates of runoff. Trans. Am. Geophys. Union, 39(1):100-105.
13. Potter, William D. 1961. Peak rates of runoff from small watersheds. USDC BPR Hydraulic Design Series No. 2. 35 p.
14. Schmidt, Thomas A. 1975. A comparison of frequency distributions used to fit annual flood series. M.S. Thesis, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Utah State University. 160 p.
15. Schroeder, E. E. 1974. Estimating the magnitude of peak discharges for selected flood frequencies on small streams in East Texas. USGS Interim Rept. 85-8. 16 p. February.
16. Steel, Robert G. D., and James H. Torrie. 1960. Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York. 481 p. See p. 43.
17. USDA Agricultural Research Service. 1958. Annual maximum flows from small agricultural watersheds in the United States.
18. USDA Agricultural Research Service. 1959-1967. Hydrologic data for experimental agricultural watersheds in the United States. USDA Misc. Pubs. 945, 994, 1070, 1164, 1194, 1216, 1226, and 1262.
19. U.S. Geological Survey. 1971. Index of surface water records to September 1970, parts 1-16. Geological Survey Circulars, 651-666.
20. Utah Water Research Laboratory, Utah State University. 1976. Vol. 2, Manual of erosion control principles and practices during highway construction. Final Technical Report Project 16-3 to Transportation Research Board. February. 103 p.
21. Wischmeier, W. H., and D. D. Smith. 1958. Predicting rainfall-erosion losses from cropland east of the Rocky Mountains. Agric. Handbook 282. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
22. Yen, Ben Chie. 1973. Methodologies for flow prediction in urban storm drainage systems. Dept. C. E., U. of Illinois, Water Res. Center Res. Rept. No. 72. 150 p. September.

#### **CROSS REFERENCES TO APPENDICES**

FHWA decided to print Appendix A separately from the other appendices to facilitate a plan to selectively distribute Appendix A in its entirety or in parts applicable to a given State.

## APPENDIX A

Appendix A consists of approximately 220 pages of flood frequency curves and data that were used in the study. This appendix is printed in Vol. III which is report No. FHWA-RD-77-160. Appendix A as a whole will be of interest to researchers who wish to develop new equations and to scientists who wish to validate the equations that have been developed. Otherwise only portions of Appendix A will be of interest to a given State.

In the first printing we will keep Appendix A intact but will make very limited distribution. In subsequent printings, we plan to separate Appendix A by States if it is needed to enhance design procedures promoted by FHWA.

## APPENDIX B

Appendix B consists of a detailed Hydrophysiographic zone map for each State. Appendix B is included in Vol II which is Report No. FHWA-RD-77-159.

## APPENDIX C

Appendix C consists of a detailed Iso-erodent map for each State. Appendix C is included in Volume II.

## APPENDIX D

Appendix D consists of a detailed 10-year, 60-minute precipitation, P60, map for each State. These maps are necessary to use the 5-parameter prediction equations. Appendix D is included in Vol II.

## APPENDIX E

Appendix E consists of a detailed 10-year, 10-minute precipitation, P10, map for each State. These maps are necessary to use the 7-parameter prediction equations. Appendix E is included in Vol II.

## APPENDIX F

Appendix F contains the 10-year, April 1 snow water equivalent maps for each of the Western United States including Alaska. Appendix F is also included in Vol II.

## APPENDIX G

Appendix G contains scatter diagrams for the relationship between the measured and estimated 10-year peak flows with 95 percent confidence intervals for the mean as single samples for each of the 24 hydrophysiographic zones using 3-parameter lumped and zone equations. Appendix G is included in Vol III which is report No. FHWA-RD-77-160.

## APPENDIX H

Appendix H contains the equation and nomographs. The 3-parameter, 5-parameter, and 7-parameter equations are listed for the "all zone" situation in which all the data were lumped together and for each of the 24 zones separately. Nomographs are included for all the 3-parameter equations. Appendix H also contains scatter diagrams and correction curves for the 3-parameter all zone equation with 95% confidence limits for a mean and a point estimate. Appendix H is included in Vol II.

## FEDERALLY COORDINATED PROGRAM OF HIGHWAY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (FCP)

The Offices of Research and Development of the Federal Highway Administration are responsible for a broad program of research with resources including its own staff, contract programs, and a Federal-Aid program which is conducted by or through the State highway departments and which also finances the National Cooperative Highway Research Program managed by the Transportation Research Board. The Federally Coordinated Program of Highway Research and Development (FCP) is a carefully selected group of projects aimed at urgent, national problems, which concentrates these resources on these problems to obtain timely solutions. Virtually all of the available funds and staff resources are a part of the FCP, together with as much of the Federal-aid research funds of the States and the NCHRP resources as the States agree to devote to these projects.\*

### *FCP Category Descriptions*

#### **1. Improved Highway Design and Operation for Safety**

Safety R&D addresses problems connected with the responsibilities of the Federal Highway Administration under the Highway Safety Act and includes investigation of appropriate design standards, roadside hardware, signing, and physical and scientific data for the formulation of improved safety regulations.

#### **2. Reduction of Traffic Congestion and Improved Operational Efficiency**

Traffic R&D is concerned with increasing the operational efficiency of existing highways by advancing technology, by improving designs for existing as well as new facilities, and by keeping the demand-capacity relationship in better balance through traffic management techniques such as bus and carpool preferential treatment, motorist information, and rerouting of traffic.

#### **3. Environmental Considerations in Highway Design, Location, Construction, and Operation**

Environmental R&D is directed toward identifying and evaluating highway elements which affect the quality of the human environment. The ultimate goals are reduction of adverse highway and traffic impacts, and protection and enhancement of the environment.

#### **4. Improved Materials Utilization and Durability**

Materials R&D is concerned with expanding the knowledge of materials properties and technology to fully utilize available naturally occurring materials, to develop extender or substitute materials for materials in short supply, and to devise procedures for converting industrial and other wastes into useful highway products. These activities are all directed toward the common goals of lowering the cost of highway construction and extending the period of maintenance-free operation.

#### **5. Improved Design to Reduce Costs, Extend Life Expectancy, and Insure Structural Safety**

Structural R&D is concerned with furthering the latest technological advances in structural designs, fabrication processes, and construction techniques, to provide safe, efficient highways at reasonable cost.

#### **6. Prototype Development and Implementation of Research**

This category is concerned with developing and transferring research and technology into practice, or, as it has been commonly identified, "technology transfer."

#### **7. Improved Technology for Highway Maintenance**

Maintenance R&D objectives include the development and application of new technology to improve management, to augment the utilization of resources, and to increase operational efficiency and safety in the maintenance of highway facilities.

\* The complete 7-volume official statement of the FCP is available from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161 (Order No. PB 242037, price \$45 postpaid). Single copies of the introductory volume are obtainable without charge from Program Analysis (HRD-2), Offices of Research and Development, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C. 20590.

