

Stakeholder-led understanding of the implementation of digital technologies within heart disease diagnosis: a qualitative study protocol

Abdullayev, K., Chico, T. J. A., Manktelow, M., Buckley, O., Condell, J., Van Arkel, R., Diaz, V., & Matcham, F. (2023). Stakeholder-led understanding of the implementation of digital technologies within heart disease diagnosis: a qualitative study protocol. *BMJ Open*, *13*(6), [e072952]. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-072952

Link to publication record in Ulster University Research Portal

Published in: BMJ Open

Publication Status: Published online: 27/06/2023

DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-072952

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via Ulster University's Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Ulster University's institutional repository that provides access to Ulster's research outputs. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact pure-support@ulster.ac.uk.

BMJ Open Stakeholder-led understanding of the implementation of digital technologies within heart disease diagnosis: a qualitative study protocol

Kamilla Abdullayev ⁽¹⁾, ¹ Timothy JA Chico, ² Matthew Manktelow, ³ Oliver Buckley, ⁴ Joan Condell, ³ Richard J Van Arkel, ⁵ Vanessa Diaz, ^{6,7} Faith Matcham¹

ABSTRACT

Chico TJA, Manktelow M, *et al.* Stakeholder-led understanding of the implementation of digital technologies within heart disease diagnosis: a qualitative study protocol. *BMJ Open* 2023;**13**:e072952. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-072952

To cite: Abdullayev K,

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-072952).

Received 20 February 2023 Accepted 03 June 2023

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Kamilla Abdullayev; kga21@sussex.ac.uk **Introduction** Cardiovascular diseases are highly prevalent among the UK population, and the quality of care is being reduced due to accessibility and resource issues. Increased implementation of digital technologies into the cardiovascular care pathway has enormous potential to lighten the load on the National Health Service (NHS), however, it is not possible to adopt this shift without embedding the perspectives of service users and clinicians.

Methods and analysis A series of qualitative studies will be carried out with the aim of developing a stakeholder-led perspective on the implementation of digital technologies to improve holistic diagnosis of heart disease. This will be a decentralised study with all data collection being carried out online with a nationwide cohort. Four focus groups, each with 5-6 participants, will be carried out with people with lived experience of heart disease, and 10 oneto-one interviews will be carried out with clinicians with experience of diagnosing heart diseases. The data will be analysed using an inductive thematic analysis approach. Ethics and dissemination This study received ethical approval from the Sciences and Technology Cross Research Council at the University of Sussex (reference ER/FM409/1). Participants will be required to provide informed consent via a Qualtrics survey before being accepted into the online interview or focus group. The findings will be disseminated through conference presentations, peer-reviewed publications and to the study participants.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are the leading cause of global deaths and are highly prevalent across the world.¹ The situation in the UK is no different, with the British Heart Foundation reporting 7.6 million people living in the UK with a heart and circulatory disease in August 2022, and approximately 460 deaths a day.² In addition to debilitating cardiovascular symptoms, individuals suffering from CVDs often experience a diminished quality of life,^{3–5} financial burdens from medication and treatments,^{6–9}

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ The study materials have been informed by patient advisory boards, meaning they are sensitive to the experiences of the participants and the clinicians that will be recruited.
- ⇒ The study will allow an in-depth understanding of the attitudes and experience of people with lived experience of heart disease and clinicians with experience of diagnosing heart disease.
- ⇒ The use of an online research platform for participant recruitment will disadvantage certain demographic and clinical groups who are less comfortable using online resources.
- ⇒ The use of thematic analysis will not be free from the influence of the researcher's personal experience and knowledge.

and both $physical^{10-12}$ and $psychological^{13-16}$ comorbidities.

Although CVDs are highly prevalent and potentially very damaging, they can become considerably more manageable, or even preventable, if diagnosed early enough.¹⁷ Therefore, implementing secondary prevention measures, in the form of early diagnosis and interventions, is the most effective way of reducing the life-threatening or long-term impacts of CVDs on patient health and wellbeing.¹⁸ Technological advancements within artificial intelligence and data mining may provide the tools for more accurate and effective diagnosis,^{19 20} and can contribute to reducing cardiovascular mortality.^{21 22}

In addition to facilitating earlier and more accurate detection of CVDs through artificial intelligence, the increased use of 'wearable' ambulatory assessment technology within healthcare has provided solutions for groups facing barriers that are preventing access to primary care, such as transportation limitations in remote locations,^{23 24} or reduced mobility or capacity due to mental illness.^{25–27} As a result, those who would have missed the opportunity to receive a timely diagnosis and appropriate treatment, are no longer being excluded due to logistical limitations. Overall, it is clear that the use of remote monitoring technology in heart disease can increase the likelihood of survival and decrease the burden of CVD on the individual and ultimately on healthcare services.^{28 29}

A variety of digital tools that allow more remote healthcare are already integrated into the NHS. For example, patient records can be accessed remotely thanks to use electronic databases; patients' heart rhythms can be monitored over 24 hours from their homes using Holter monitors; and many appointments are carried out on videoconferencing software or telephone calls. Although there is common complaint regarding the quality and modernity of technology being used within the NHS, it is evident—particularly since the COVID-19 pandemic^{30 31}—that there has been a rapid increase in the adoption of digital technology within both the NHS³² and across the world.³³ Accordingly, there has also been increased research activity investigating the use of digital technologies in cardiovascular health.³⁴

When examining the growing use of digital health technologies, it is important to highlight the distinction between the types of technologies that are being implemented, specifically between medical grade devices and consumer technologies. Medical-grade devices require a specific regulatory approval to be used and can come in many forms, including implanted defibrillators and pacemakers, and 24-hour ECG. The data collected by these devices is usually physiological and requires specialists to monitor and interpret. On the other hand, consumer technologies can include health apps on our smartphones, which track our sleep, step-count and medication adherence. These types of technologies are usually in the control of the individual, allowing self-monitoring of symptoms and self-management of many conditions, meaning there is less dependence on an alreadyoverwhelmed healthcare system. Therefore, when the increased accuracy of data produced by medical-grade devices is combined with the detailed and personalised information collected by lifestyle technologies, it allows for a more holistic account of patient health to facilitate an accurate and efficient diagnosis of potential heart diseases.

Nevertheless, along with the potential for improving the accuracy and efficiency of diagnosis, the implementation of digital technologies into healthcare poses challenges with usability, feasibility and accessibility for patients,^{35–37} particularly those from under-represented groups in society.^{38–39} Too often, devices and systems are created without enough information regarding the patients' and clinicians' needs, which ultimately results in poor engagement and low cost-effectiveness.³⁵ This highlights the importance of using the voices of stakeholders to inform the development and dissemination of digital health tools into cardiovascular care, as well as any other field within

healthcare, otherwise the likelihood of positive effects is very low.

Therefore, a key factor in the growing shift towards the use of digital health tools within any care pathway is to gain a deeper understanding into how the new technology will fit into the existing systems, and to consider the barriers or facilitators to positive engagement from both patients and clinicians.

Study objectives

The aim of this project is to develop a stakeholder-led understanding of the implementation of digital technologies to improve holistic diagnosis of heart disease. We have set the following three objectives which will be met via a series of qualitative studies with clinicians and individuals with lived experience of heart disease:

- 1. Develop a deeper understanding of stakeholder experiences of heart disease diagnosis.
- 2. Understand stakeholder perspectives on the use of digital health tools within the heart disease diagnosis process.
- 3. Explore the most meaningful and useful way of relaying digital data back to patients and clinicians.

METHODS AND ANALYSES

Patient and public involvement

Prior to the starting participant recruitment, this research was reviewed by a cardiovascular patient advisory group based in Sheffield, which involved all participant-facing documents, including the recruitment materials and focus group schedules. This means we can be confident that the language we will use in the focus groups will be accessible and easy to understand, as well as ensuring that we are covering the important aspects of patient experience during diagnosis and when being asked to use digital health tools by their healthcare providers.

We also met with the NIHR Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre's Race, Ethnicity and Diversity advisory group to discuss the implementation of digital technologies into heart disease diagnosis from a cultural and ethnicity perspective. This meeting highlighted the importance of considering how cultural and religious attitudes would affect engagement with digital technologies aiming to collect health data, as well as emphasising the role of family in individuals' healthcare among ethnic minorities. Given the potential exclusion of certain populations because of our recruitment method, this insight will provide a deeper understanding of additional factors that might contribute to the acceptance and engagement of digital technologies aimed to improve holistic diagnosis of heart disease.

Study design

This will be a qualitative study, which will use both focus group and interview designs. The topic guides were developed based on the study objectives, with an even split between (1) patient/clinician experiences of diagnosis and (2) perspectives on the use of digital technologies within healthcare.

Study population

Based on the available time for data collection against the wider project deadlines, we plan to conduct four focus groups with individuals with lived experience of heart disease. We aim to recruit 5–6 individuals per focus group to ensure there is enough time for each participant to share their views and experiences. The following inclusion/exclusion criteria will be applied: Inclusion criteria: Lifetime diagnosis of heart disease (including but not limited to: angina, heart failure, valve disease, abnormal heart rhythms); aged 18 or over; able to speak English at a level sufficient for participation; able to give informed consent for participation. Exclusion criteria: Major cognitive impairment or dementia preventing participation.

Based on the research team's previous experience of conducting qualitative research with clinicians, a pragmatic decision has been made to aim for a target of 10 clinicians who have had any experience (past or present) of diagnosing people with heart disease to be enrolled into interviews. The eligibility criteria for inclusion are at least 6 months of experience in working with heart disease patients in any capacity (including cardiology, nursing, primary care or other healthcare professional in multidisciplinary teams); aged 18 or over; able to speak fluent English; and able to give informed consent for participation.

Procedure

As we are interested in hearing from participants across a range of disease durations (ie, those with very early symptoms and those who are on established management plans), we plan to recruit via: social media platforms such as LinkedIn, Twitter and Instagram; Prolific; and existing cohorts of people from the investigators' previous research studies who have consented to be contacted for research purposes. Clinicians will be recruited using purposive sampling via personal and professional connections, and social media platforms such as LinkedIn, Twitter and Instagram.

All focus groups and interviews will be carried out online over Zoom, and consent and baseline demographic data will also be collected via online Qualtrics surveys prior to the online study. We expect focus groups to take about 2 hours and interviews to take about 1 hour. The focus groups and interviews will be semistructured and will follow a preapproved question schedule, split into two sections—patient experience of heart disease and views on digital technologies within healthcare (see online supplemental appendix 1). The same researcher will facilitate all the sessions.

Recruitment and data collection began after ethical approval on 14 November 2022 and will continue until the end of March 2023.

Data analysis plan

Descriptive statistics for demographics, current mental distress levels, confidence using technologies and participant type-specific questions (including length of time in clinical role for clinicians and details on health condition for participants with lived-experience of heart disease) will be presented.

Transcriptions from both focus group and interview recordings will be validated by the team of researchers and coded and analysed using the NVivo software. In line with Braun and Clarke's 2006 recommendations,⁴⁰ an inductive thematic analysis approach will be taken, whereby the data from the transcripts will decide the themes, instead of basing them on any previous theoretical basis.

Ethics and dissemination

This study was reviewed and approved by the Sciences & Technology Cross-School Research Ethics Council at the University of Sussex (reference ER/FM409/1) on 14 November 2022. We intend to write the resulting paper according to the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative research guidelines.⁴¹

Author affiliations

¹School of Psychology, University of Sussex, Brighton, UK ²Department of Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular Disease, The Medical

School, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

³School of Computing, Engineering and Intelligent Systems, University of Ulster at Magee, Londonderry, UK

 ⁴School of Computing Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
 ⁵Department of Mechanical Engineering, Imperial College London, London, UK
 ⁶Department of Mechanical Engineering, University College London, London, UK
 ⁷Wellcome/EPSRC Centre for Interventional and Surgical Sciences, University College London, London, UK

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the two patient and public involvement groups that helped to inform the design of this study: The NIHR Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre's Race, Ethnicity and Diversity (READ) advisory group and the Sheffield-based Cardiology Patient group.

Contributors Conceptualisation: FM, TC, JC, OB, VD and RVA. Methodology: FM and TC. Investigation: KA and MM. Writing—original draft: KA. Writing—review and editing: FM and TC. Supervision: FM and TC. Project Administration: KA and FM. Funding Acquisition: FM, TC, JC, OB, VD and RVA.

Funding This work is supported by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) (grant number: EP/X000257/1).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits

others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Kamilla Abdullayev http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6233-5955

REFERENCES

- World Health Organisation. Cardiovascular diseases (Cvds) [Internet].
 2011. Available: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ cardiovascular-diseases-(cvds)
- 2 Health Intelligence Team B. Our vision is a world free from the fear of heart and circulatory diseases; 2022. UK Factsheet
- 3 Stafford M, Soljak M, Pledge V, *et al.* Socio-economic differences in the health-related quality of life impact of cardiovascular conditions. *Eur J Public Health* 2012;22:301–5.
- 4 Pandya A, Gaziano TA, Weinstein MC, *et al*. More Americans living longer with cardiovascular disease will increase costs while lowering quality of life. *Health Aff* 2013;32:1706–14.
- 5 Tušek-Bunc K, Petek D. Comorbidities and characteristics of coronary heart disease patients: their impact on health-related quality of life. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2016;14:159.
- 6 Annapureddy A, Valero-Elizondo J, Khera R, et al. Association between financial burden, quality of life, and mental health among those with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in the United States. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2018;11.
- 7 Li C, Young BR, Jian W. Association of socioeconomic status with financial burden of disease among elderly patients with cardiovascular disease: evidence from the China health and retirement longitudinal survey. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e018703.
- 8 Huffman MD, Rao KD, Pichon-Riviere A, et al. A cross-sectional study of the microeconomic impact of cardiovascular disease hospitalization in four low- and middle-income countries. *PLoS ONE* 2011;6:e20821.
- 9 Mszar R, Grandhi GR, Valero-Elizondo J, et al. Cumulative burden of financial hardship from medical bills across the spectrum of diabetes mellitus and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease among non-elderly adults in the United States. J Am Heart Assoc 2020;9:e015523.
- 10 Miller J, Edwards LD, Agustí A, et al. Comorbidity, systemic inflammation and outcomes in the ECLIPSE cohort. *Respir Med* 2013;107:1376–84.
- 11 Haffner SM. Relationship of metabolic risk factors and development of cardiovascular disease and diabetes. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2006;14 Suppl 3:121S–127S.
- 12 Buddeke J, Bots ML, van Dis I, *et al.* Trends in comorbidity in patients hospitalised for cardiovascular disease. *Int J Cardiol* 2017;248:382–8.
- 13 De Hert M, Detraux J, Vancampfort D. The intriguing relationship between coronary heart disease and mental disorders. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 2018;20:31–40.
- Hansen S. Mental health issues associated with cardiovascular disease in women. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 2003;26:693–712.
 Hussen K L. Su T. D. Chen T. L *et al.* Comparability of cardiovascular
- 15 Huang K-L, Su T-P, Chen T-J, et al. Comorbidity of cardiovascular diseases with mood and anxiety disorder: a population based 4-year study. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2009;63:401–9.
- 16 Möller-Leimkühler AM. Gender differences in cardiovascular disease and comorbid depression. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 2007;9:71–83.
- 17 McGill HC, McMahan CA, Gidding SS. Preventing heart disease in the 21st century: implications of the pathobiological determinants of Atherosclerosis in youth (PDAY) study. *Circulation* 2008;117:1216–27.
- 18 Karunathilake SP, Ganegoda GU. Secondary prevention of cardiovascular diseases and application of technology for early diagnosis. *Biomed Res Int* 2018;2018:5767864.

- 19 Muhammad Y, Almoteri M, Mujlid H, *et al*. An ML-enabled Internet of things framework for early detection of heart disease. *Biomed Res Int* 2022;2022:12.
- 20 Muhammad Y, Tahir M, Hayat M, et al. Early and accurate detection and diagnosis of heart disease using intelligent computational model. *Sci Rep* 2020;10:19747.
- 21 Mensah GA, Wei GS, Sorlie PD, et al. Decline in cardiovascular mortality: possible causes and implications. *Circ Res* 2017;120:366–80.
- 22 Ergin A, Muntner P, Sherwin R, et al. Secular trends in cardiovascular disease mortality, incidence, and case fatality rates in adults in the United States. Am J Med 2004;117:219–27.
- 23 Gilthorpe MS, Wilson RC. Rural/urban differences in the association between deprivation and healthcare utilisation. Soc Sci Med 2003;57:2055–63.
- 24 Farmer J, Iversen L, Campbell NC, *et al*. Rural/urban differences in accounts of patients' initial decisions to consult primary care. *Health Place* 2006;12:210–21.
- 25 Kaufman EA, McDonell MG, Cristofalo MA, et al. Exploring barriers to primary care for patients with severe mental illness: frontline patient and provider accounts. *Issues Ment Health Nurs* 2012;33:172–80.
- 26 Levinson Miller C, Druss BG, Dombrowski EA, *et al*. Barriers to primary medical care among patients at a community mental health center. *Psychiatr Serv* 2003;54:1158–60.
- 27 Ross LE, Vigod S, Wishart J, et al. Barriers and facilitators to primary care for people with mental health and/or substance use issues: a qualitative study. BMC Fam Pract 2015;16:135.
- 28 Klersy C, De Silvestri A, Gabutti G, et al. A meta-analysis of remote monitoring of heart failure patients. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:1683–94.
- 29 Kulshreshtha A, Kvedar JC, Goyal A, et al. Use of remote monitoring to improve outcomes in patients with heart failure: a pilot trial. Int J Telemed Appl 2010;2010:870959.
- 30 Best J. Wearable technology: COVID-19 and the rise of remote clinical monitoring. *BMJ* 2021;372:413.
- 31 Chidambaram S, Erridge S, Kinross J, et al. Observational study of UK mobile health apps for COVID-19. Lancet Digit Health 2020;2:e388–90.
- 32 Powell J, Newhouse N, Boylan A-M, *et al*. Digital health citizens and the future of the NHS. *Digit Health* 2016;2:2055207616672033.
- 33 Islam SMS, Maddison R. Digital health approaches for cardiovascular diseases prevention and management: lessons from preliminary studies. *Mhealth* 2021;7:41.
- 34 Zwack CC, Haghani M, Hollings M, et al. The evolution of digital health technologies in cardiovascular disease research. NPJ Digit Med 2023;6:1.
- 35 Whitelaw S, Pellegrini DM, Mamas MA, *et al*. Barriers and facilitators of the uptake of digital health technology in cardiovascular care: a systematic scoping review. *Eur Heart J Digit Health* 2021;2:62–74.
- 36 Bhavnani SP. Digital health: opportunities and challenges to develop the next-generation technology-enabled models of cardiovascular care. *Methodist Debakey Cardiovasc J* 2020;16:296–303.
- 37 Dagher L, Nedunchezhian S, El Hajjar AH, et al. A cardiovascular clinic patients' survey to assess challenges and opportunities of digital health adoption during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cardiovasc Digit Health J* 2022;3:31–9.
- 38 Gilbey D, Morgan H, Lin A, et al. Effectiveness, acceptability, and feasibility of Digital health interventions for LGBTIQ+ young people: systematic review. J Med Internet Res 2020;22:e20158.
- 39 Gentili C, Zetterqvist V, Rickardsson J, et al. Actsmart development and feasibility of digital acceptance and commitment therapy for adults with chronic pain. NPJ Digit Med 2020;3:20.
- 40 Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol 2006;3:77–101.
- 41 Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *Int J Qual Health Care* 2007;19:349–57.