

Eco-Capabilities: Making nature explicit in children's drawings about wellbeing



Dr Nicola Walshe, Zoe Moula, Dr Elsa Lee Faculty of Health, Education, Medicine & Social Care, Anglia Ruskin University

INTRODUCTION

- Connectedness with nature has been associated with happiness, life satisfaction, vitality and lower stress (e.g. Mayer & Frantz, 2004; Cervinka et al., 2011; Capaldi et al., 2014).
- Creativity and imaginative play in woodland and outdoors also positively affects children's autonomy, empathy and risk-taking (Milligan & Bingley, 2007; Rudkowski, 2014).
- There is now growing evidence showing that children's wellbeing is adversely affected by access to nature and outdoor spaces; with young people who live in areas of high socioeconomic deprivation being at the greatest risk (Lee & Maheswaran, 2011; Marmot, 2013).
- Despite these links, children spend little or no time in nature and outdoor spaces, remaining excluded from the benefits to their health and wellbeing (Boyd, White, Bell & Burt, 2018).
- For this reason, The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals urge for the development and implementation of policies and interventions that improve the engagement with nature and improve the human-nature relationship.

ECO-CAPABILITIES

- The Eco-capabilities project aims to explore how the wellbeing of children living in areas of high deprivation can be supported through working with artists in nature and outdoor places.
- Based on Sen's capabilities approach (2005), children will define what is important for living a good life through environmental sustainability, social justice and future economic wellbeing.
- Children will participate in eight half-day sessions of creative adventuring in nature that will be facilitated by artists.
- Research question: How does working with artists in nature influence children's wellbeing?
- In this poster, children's pre-intervention views on wellbeing are presented through their visual illustrations and group discussions of their happy and favourite places.

METHODOLOGY

- Constructivist methodological approach (Denicolo et al., 2016).
- Participatory and arts-based methods (McNiff, 2009).
- Four classes across two primary schools in areas of high deprivation: a total of 91 children aged 7-8 (Year 3 in the UK).
- Two methods of data collection:
 - 1. Drawings of happy and favourite places.
 - 2. Group discussions on what constitutes wellbeing.
- Both activities took place just before Covid-19 outbreak with a potential associated impact on children's wellbeing.
- Thematic analysis undertaken on visual and verbal data.

RESULTS

- Children's perceptions of wellbeing were categorised into five themes: (i) emotional and mental wellbeing, (ii) physical wellbeing, (iii) material wellbeing, (iv) appreciation of and access to nature and outdoor spaces, and (v) opportunities for learning, growth and leisure.
- Representations of nature and outdoor spaces received most attention among all other themes (in 56/91 drawings).
- These representations were linked to affordances of children's favourite spaces for all aspects of emotional, mental, physical and material wellbeing.
- Although other aspects of wellbeing were explicitly articulated on drawings (i.e. safety, love, happiness), connectedness with nature remained only implicit in 39/56 drawings (i.e. on the background, rather than elaborated through verbal/written expressions).
- Drawings potentially elicit elements of wellbeing that are deeper seated.
- This may explain why nature is rarely mentioned when children were asked about wellbeing in previous studies, although further research is required to explore this.



DISCUSSION

- Where nature was explicit in children's drawings, it represented opportunities for relaxation, creativity and play, thereby impacting sense of happiness and life satisfaction.
- The appreciation of beauty in nature influences one's sense of happiness and life satisfaction (Ulrich's psycho-evolutionary theory [1993] whereby natural environments induce positive emotions).
- Children cultivate positive traits in nature (gratitude, joy, inspiration) that improve well being (PERMA theory of positive psychology; Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2014).
- Relatedness to other people was the most explicit contributor to wellbeing. Enhancing children's relatedness to nature can enhance their relatedness to people, and further improve their wellbeing (Burls, 2007).

down the trace, I don't want anyone to hurt the animals a watercall to Change

CONCLUSIONS

- There is a tendency towards taking nature for granted in discussions around wellbeing.
- If the value of human-nature connection remains implicit, are children likely to engage with efforts to protect and sustain the environment?
- Interventions and services that improve the human-nature connectedness are crucial.
- Eco-capabilities to explore childnature relationship and make the benefits for children's wellbeing explicit.







REFERENCES

Boyd F., White M.P., Bell S.L. & Burt J. (2018). Who doesn't visit natural environments for recreation and why: A population representative analysis of spatial, individual and temporal factors among adults in England. Landscape and Urban Planning, 175, 102–113 Capaldi C.A., Dopko R.L. & Zelenski J.M. (2014). The relationship between nature connectedness and happiness: A meta-analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 5.

Cervink R., Röderer K. & Hefler E. (2011). Are nature lovers happy? On various indicators

- of well-being and connectedness with nature. Health Psychology, 17(3), 379–388 • Lee A.C.K. & Maheswaran R. (2010). The health benefits of urban green spaces: A review of the evidence. *Public Health*, 33(2), 212–222 Marmot M. (2013). Review of social determinants and the health divide in the WHO
- European Region: Final report. Copenhagen: WHO. Mayer F.S. & Frantz C.M. (2004). The connectedness to nature scale: A measure of individuals' feeling in community with nature. Environmental Psychology, 24(4), 503–515 • McNiff S. (2009). Art-based research. London, Philadelphia: Jessica Kingsley.
- Seligman M.E. & Csikszentmihalyi M. (2014). Positive psychology: An introduction. American Psychologist, 55(1), 5-14

• Milligan C. & Bingley A. (2007). Restorative places or scary spaces? The impact of

childhood forest program. Children, Youth and Environments, 24(3), 80-91

woodland on the mental well-being of young adults. Health & Place, 13(4), 799–811

• Rudkowski M. (2014). Fostering emotional wellbeing: personal reflections from an early

- Ulrich R.S. (1993). Biophilia, biophobia and natural landscapes. In S.R. Kellert & E.O. Wilson (Eds), The Biophilia Hypothesis (pp. 73-137). Washington, DC: Island Press
- https://bit.ly/ecocapabilities