



Citation for published version:

Keogh, E 2022, 'Sex and gender differences in pain: past, present, and future', *Pain*, vol. 163, pp. S108-S116.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002738>

DOI:

[10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002738](https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002738)

Publication date:

2022

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Publisher Rights

CC BY-NC

Keogh, Edmunda,b. Sex and gender differences in pain: past, present, and future. PAIN: July 19, 2022 - Volume - Issue - [10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002738](https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002738)

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Sex and Gender Differences in Pain: Past, Present and Future

Edmund Keogh ^{1,2}

(Accepted Version, PAIN)

¹ Centre for Pain Research, University of Bath; ² Department of Psychology,
University of Bath

* Correspondence: Edmund Keogh, Department of Psychology, University of Bath,
Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, United Kingdom, Tel: +44(0)1225 383671; Fax:
+44(0)1225 386752; E-mail: e.m.keogh@bath.ac.uk; URL:
<http://www.bath.ac.uk/pain/>

Keywords: Sex; Gender; Pain; Pain Management; Psychosocial; Biology

Running head: Sex and Gender Differences in Pain

Conflict of Interests: None

Disclosures: Edmund Keogh has received research grant funding from Versus Arthritis and UKRI (Medical Research Council, Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, Economic and Social Research Council).

1. Introduction

Understanding why people vary in their experience and response to pain is central to the development of better, more targeted, personalised, treatments for pain. Sex and gender differences provide a key source of variation and are widely observed [19; 57; 60; 70], with women generally experiencing more pain across the lifespan when compared to men. This review will focus on what we currently know about the role that sex and gender have in pain. It will present a brief overview of the evidence that has accumulated over the past 25 years for differences in men and women's pain (the past), as well as some of the reasons why such differences occur. There will also be a focus on the variation in findings, as well as consideration of the factors that might moderate and/or mediate such effects. A key theme that will emerge is that while there is good evidence for male-female differences, there are inconsistencies that need to be explained (the present). There is a need to move beyond an overreliance on binary categories to fully understand how and why sex and gender impacts on pain. There will be a particular focus on gender, and gender concepts, as these help to illustrate how it is possible to develop a conceptually driven approach, and better understand the variation in men and women's pain. This focus is also partly because there have been excellent reviews of preclinical sex differences and the identification of potential biological mechanisms [123; 125; 171]. This review will conclude by highlighting knowledge gaps and areas for further investigation (the future).

2. Defining sex and gender, and the focus of this review

Given the focus of this review on sex and gender, it is useful to start with some definitions and consider the relevant debates [27]. Fortunately, the CIHR Institute of

Gender and Health provide some useful definitions [39]. They note that sex is often associated with biological factors, such as genetics, sex hormones and physiology, and usually involves comparisons between males and females. Conversely, gender is associated with psychological and socio-cultural factors, such as beliefs, expectations, and stereotypes, and how men and women behave and interact with one another. Binary categories are commonly used (e.g., male/female, man/woman, boy/girl), although gender is not constrained to this, and encompasses broader aspects.

Whilst the biological and psychosocial distinction points to different underlying mechanisms, caution should be exercised in exclusively equating sex with biology, and gender with social psychological factors, as this implies that they are completely independent and/or dissociated [17; 78; 80]. After all sex-related mechanisms can be shaped and influenced by environmental exposure, whereas gender constructs, such as masculinity and femininity, are ultimately mediated through neural mechanisms within an individual. The term sex/gender has started to be used [80; 132], highlighting the continuing difficulty in disassociating biological and psychosocial influences. The view taken here is that biological and psychosocial approaches reflect different levels of analysis that help us understand pain [151; 195], and so a similar approach can be applied to sex and gender. Sex and gender can also be considered as interacting factors. It is therefore expected that a combined sex and gender approach will provide the fullest understanding of pain. One immediate implication from this is that both sex and gender influence the experience of pain, as well as pain-related behaviours. How, and to what extent, sex and gender do this, has yet to be fully determined.

This review will also predominately focus on binary differences in pain between men and women, as this reflects much of the research conducted to date. Where specific biological or psychosocial mechanisms are referred to, these will be clearly identified. However, average group differences can hide the overlap that occurs between men and women, as well within-group variation. There is concern that binary categories may not fully encompass the complexity of human experience and behaviour [80; 132]. For example, the notion there is a typical 'male brain', and 'female brain' has been questioned, with a focus on the mosaic of experience that shapes brain development. Similar limitations can be applied to binary comparisons between men and women for social psychological factors. An alternative is to move away from binary group comparisons to something more sophisticated. However, the view taken here is that there is still merit in continuing to explore for differences, and similarities, in men and women's pain. It is a good starting point, and certainly better than ignoring it. Furthermore, given that gender beliefs and expectations strongly reflect stereotypical binary categories, such as the 'typical man' and 'typical women', there is merit in exploring how these views affect how people appraise their own pain, as well as the pain of others. It is also recognised that there is a need to move beyond simple descriptive binary comparisons, and towards explaining the variation that occurs in men and women's pain.

3. Evidence for sex/gender differences in pain

3.1. Pain prevalence

Differences between men and women are found across a range of shared painful conditions, including low back pain, osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, temporomandibular disorder, headache, and abdominal pain. These typically show that women are more

likely to experience these painful conditions when compared to men [60]. Although fewer in number, there are also shared painful conditions, such as cluster headache, which show greater prevalence in men [4; 19]. Large epidemiological studies confirm differences in pain prevalence across a range of countries and settings [22; 32; 40; 66; 89; 162; 181]. For example, Tsang et al. [183] found the prevalence of pain was at 31% for men and 45% for women across 17 different countries. Similarly, Bimpong et al. [22] found pain to be at 62.3% for women and 55.5% for men across 19 European studies.

Although less commonly explored, chronic pain has been associated with mortality [51; 169]. Unfortunately, few studies also consider sex and gender differences, and those that do, report mixed results [77; 160]. However, there are interesting findings, which need to be followed up. For example, a study by Docking et al. [51] suggested that chronic back pain might have more of a life-limiting effect on elderly women. In a second example, using the UK Biobank cohort, a male-specific genetic association with chronic pain-related mortality was found [133]. There is also higher incidence of suicide in men, but whether this is a risk factor associated with chronic pain is currently unknown [91].

These studies indicate women experience more pain, as well as show that pain has a profound impact on men [91]. Multiple factors could contribute to these differences - from greater clinical vulnerability through to the less obvious, such as the way in which pain is assessed. For example, a recent meta-analysis of epidemiological studies not only found differences men and women's pain, but that the method of data collection had an impact; with interviews showing a lower reporting of pain in

men than in self-report measures [172]. This suggests that differences in the reporting of pain may play a role.

There are additional factors that moderate these differences in men and women's pain, such as age, which need to be understood [25; 57; 183]. A pattern that emerges is that differences in men and women's pain becomes more obvious from adolescence, with fewer differences observed in younger age groups [66; 105]. For example, several painful clinical conditions, such as migraine and temporomandibular disorder show a stronger prevalence difference around puberty, which then continue through the reproductive years [60; 111]. There is also evidence that ethnicity impacts on pain also, although it is less clear how this might intersect with sex/gender [57]. There are a wider range of biological and social psychological factors that operate, separately and/or in combination, which might also contribute to the variation in the prevalence of men and women's pain.

3.2. Clinical and Experimental Pain

A second type of evidence that points to sex and gender differences in pain stems from observational and behavioural studies, conducted in both applied clinical and experimental (analogue) settings [60; 139; 154]. When exploring trends across different clinics, binary sex/gender differences emerge, which are consistent with the patterns reported above [159; 162]. For example, when Ruau et al. [162] explored electronic patient records within a hospital setting, they found women reported more pain compared to men. Pain sensitivity has also been explored in the laboratory using experimental pain induction methods [60; 154]. Evidence stems from a range of techniques, including thermal heat and cold pain, pressure pain, and others. The

general pattern found is that women typically have a lower threshold and tolerance to induced pain, suggesting a greater sensitivity to pain.

However, like the prevalence studies, there variation in effects found, which has led some to question whether there is a reliable difference between men and women [124; 130; 149]. The strength of effect can depend on other factors, including the type of induction method used [154]. The general pattern across these studies tends to be similar, however, with women exhibiting lower pain threshold and tolerance, suggesting this is a difference in magnitude. Smaller samples sizes might also disguise or impact on the consistency of findings. Other factors can also impact on experimental pain, such as age and ethnicity [57; 104; 107; 192]. For example, a systematic review of experimental pain studies found greater sensitivity to pain in older aged children, than during earlier years [26]. It is unclear whether sex/gender differences in experimental pain sensitivity are stronger or weaker in older adults. Furthermore, there are general questions about the clinical relevance of experimental, laboratory-induced, pain that needs to be considered.

3.3. Biological factors

The observation that differences in men and women's pain emerge around puberty led to investigations into the role sex hormones have on pain [60; 121; 166]; with suggestion that oestrogen and/or progesterone increases the vulnerability to pain, whereas testosterone has protective effects [46]. To test this, explorations into whether pain and analgesia vary across the menstrual cycle have been conducted [111; 112; 152; 155]. Evidence is mixed, however. In a meta-analysis of 16 experimental studies, Riley et al. [155] concluded that, for several pain induction approaches, greater sensitivity was found during the follicular phase. However, this

pattern was not found for all induction approaches, and results from subsequent studies suggest a more inconsistent pattern [14]. Even so, there are interesting findings in individual studies that point to a role for sex hormones, and future investigation. For example, Riberio-Dasilva et al. [152] found the efficacy of analgesics also varied across the menstrual cycle. As well as the menstrual cycle, changes in pain sensitivity has been reported during pregnancy and in older age, again suggesting the sex hormones may play a role [13; 49]. For example, age-related changes in testosterone have been shown to impact on pain in both men and women [15; 153].

Physiological and neural differences in men and women have also been explored and related to pain [19; 123; 124; 171]. Sex-specific variation in pain can be moderated by genetic factors [16; 125; 127], and emerging pre-clinical evidence implicates immune differences in male and female pain [171]. In a review of pain-related differences in human brain imaging data, Gupta et al. [71] concluded that women exhibit greater variation in sensorimotor areas, when compared to men. There also seem to be sex differences in spinal inhibition processes [115; 146]. For example, a large study on 2,199 adults found decreased conditioned modulation of pressure pain thresholds in women [168]. This is consistent with the conclusions of systematic review and meta-analysis of experimental studies by Popescu et al. [146], who found that men exhibited greater inhibitory control of pain. A second meta-analytic review, on 30 clinical pain studies also concluded sex has a moderating effect on conditioned modulation. Larger effect sizes were found in female-only studies, compared to mixed samples. The authors speculate that this might be due to women having more impaired conditioned modulation, or that the nature of mixed groups produces greater study homogeneity. Age might also have a moderating role,

with one study suggesting that reduced conditioned modulation in women is more pronounced at a younger age [128].

3.4. Psychological factors

There are also differences in cognitive-emotional and behavioural factors associated with men and women's pain [92; 93]. Some studies report that depression and pain-related catastrophizing have a stronger relationship with pain in women compared to men [50; 88; 103; 176]. For example, Sullivan et al. [176] found pain catastrophizing mediated differences between men and women in their response to an experimental pain task. A similar pattern was also reported by Keefe et al. [88] in a clinical pain study. Anxiety also shows a binary sex/gender difference, but the pattern seems to be more complex, and depends on the type of anxiety being assessed. For example, anxiety sensitivity seems particularly relevant to pain in women [95; 101; 180], whereas pain anxiety seems more strongly related to men's pain [53; 85]. There are also conflicting results [178], and studies that do not find sex/gender differences [130].

A relatively new approach has been to see whether binary sex/gender differences influence pain-related cognitive-emotional processing. One approach has been to explore for biases in attention and interpretation [94; 98; 101]. Unfortunately, there are too few studies to draw any definite conclusions, but they do suggest further exploration is warranted. Another emerging area is the potential for sex/gender differences in the interruptive and disruptive effects of pain on cognition. Self-report measures of cognitive interference suggest that women might report higher pain-related disruption [9]. However, when using objective cognitive inference tasks, more complex patterns emerge [10; 97; 131].

In terms of behavioural responses, some studies suggest women report using a greater range of strategies when in pain [184; 185], whereas others suggest differences in the type of strategy used. For example, women and girls have been found to report a greater use of social support, whereas men and boys report being more likely to use avoidance and/or distraction [100; 117; 161; 185]. Given healthcare utilization can be partially viewed as a behavioural response, it is also possible to explore for differences between men and women. Women are more likely to use healthcare services, [31; 191], to use prescription and over-the-counter analgesics, and attend pain clinics [6; 44; 118; 141]. The reported use of avoidance by men is also found in the general health behaviour literature [198] and might account for the variation in pain help-seeking as well [91].

3.5. Responses to Pain Treatment

Sex and gender differences in treatment responses to pain have also been considered. For example, there seem to be differences in analgesic responsiveness, including opioids [60; 137; 143; 166]. Niesters et al. [137] report a systematic review on experimental and clinical post-operative analgesia, found women obtained greater morphine analgesia. Differences in side effects associated with drugs, including those used for pain have been reported [38; 144], and suggestions that reduced consumption of post-operative opioids in women might be due to an avoidance of side effects [60]. Interestingly, only a few studies have explored for differences between men and women in placebo analgesia [138; 186]. Women are also more likely to use prescription opioids, and have negative psychological effects [166], whereas men may have a higher overdose risk [87]. However, in systematic review of opioid studies of pain relief, Pisanu et al. [143] found less convincing

evidence for sex differences, but did report that moderators, such as age, need to be considered. Whilst the analgesic efficacy of cannabis has yet to be determined, there are suggestions that differences between men and women exist, including in possible side effects [12; 43; 61].

Fewer studies have explored differences in the way men and women respond to interdisciplinary and/or psychosocial treatments [29; 62; 79; 102; 134; 142]. In one of the first studies to explore this, Keogh et al. [102] found that both men and women showed initial improvements following an interdisciplinary treatment programme, but that the longer-term stability for some pain variables was not as good for women. Murphy et al. [134] also concluded that women did not maintain the benefits from interdisciplinary pain treatments. However, other patterns have also been reported, and unfortunately the differences in the treatment approaches used make comparisons difficult [79; 142]. Systematic reviews can help make sense of such patterns, and to date two investigations, one on adults and the other on children/adolescents, have attempted to bring these studies together [29; 62]. In a review of 17 adult studies [62], differences across four outcomes following interdisciplinary treatment were explored (pain sensitivity, interference, catastrophizing and depression), but found few differences between men and women. In a review of 40 child/adolescent studies [29], few post-treatment differences between boys and girls were found. However, they did find that more girls than boys were recruited into these trials, and that girls had higher pre-treatment scores on some variables, such as distress. The existence of pre-treatment differences in men and women entering interdisciplinary treatment programmes has been reported elsewhere [161], and further consideration of unintended recruitment biases is needed. For example, there is related evidence that

gender-related variables differentially impact on men and women's willingness to volunteer to take part in lab-induced pain studies [56].


4. Explanations for the Variation in Men and Women's Pain

The evidence for sex and gender having a role in pain is strong enough to consider the reasons why this might occur. By developing a strong conceptual approach to pain, this should provide a basis for predicting when, how and why such differences might occur. Evolutionary perspectives suggest the variation in men and women's pain, and its expression, reflects survival adaptations [19; 173; 194]. For example, Berkley [19] proposed that women have a greater susceptibility to disease, especially linked to reproductive structures, and so developed heightened sensitivity. The subjective nature of pain requires effective communication methods to elicit help, as well as detect pain in others. Differences in the expression of pain might therefore be linked to differences in historical social relationships, and methods of communication. For example, Vigil [187] argues that historically men interacted more with kin, whereas for women it was more with unrelated individuals, and used verbal and nonverbal methods to maintain social bonds [90; 173; 187]. This not only suggests a reason why women may be more willing to express pain, but leads to predictions about the recognition of pain in others, as well as the interpersonal and relational context in which pain occurs.

The importance of social context is also a feature of social learning approaches, which have been applied, separately, to gender role development and pain [34]. From early childhood, individuals are thought to learn about gender roles through parenting, friendships, schooling, and wider media. Gender behaviours are shaped through social modelling, and the reinforcing/punishing actions of others. Such

approaches also highlight that broader social-cultural norms and stereotypes can impact on the way gender beliefs develop, which in turn can affect a range of behaviours including pain [20; 27; 93]. These themes have recently been incorporated in the gender context model of pain [93], which includes individual concepts such as gender self-identity, the flexible and fluid nature of gender, and how gender and gender expression can be shaped by the interpersonal context. It predicts a reciprocal relationship with pain (i.e., gender identity affects pain; pain affects gender identity), and that the wider (gender) context affects how men and women experience their own pain, as well as how they respond to the pain of others. These approaches not only move us beyond descriptive comparisons, but highlights ways to explain the variation within, as well as between men and women. They also help us look at existing evidence in new ways, such as how gender and pain can be viewed from both an individual and interpersonal level. The next section will consider this in more detail.

Figure 1. The relationship between gender-related constructs and pain



5. Individual gender context of pain

At an individual level, the role of gender identity, and associated beliefs and expectations, has been a primary focus for those interested in pain [93]. Figure 1 highlights some of these gender-related constructs, such as gender identity, beliefs, and expectations, and how they might relate to the experience of, and response to, pain. This work typically explores concepts such as traditional masculinity, which is associated with stoicism, independence, and 'being a man'; while femininity is associated with sociability, caring and emotionally expressiveness, and 'being a woman' [20; 27; 91-93; 196]. Both masculinity and femininity can be viewed as relatively stable trait-like characteristics, and are related to pain [3; 50; 120; 140; 182]. For example, Pool et al. [145] found greater experimental pain tolerance in men identifying with traditional male roles, compared to women identifying with female roles. In an analysis of 6 studies, Alabas et al. [3] reported a small association between high masculine/lower feminine traits and higher pain threshold/tolerance. This pattern is also found in clinical studies. For example, Applegate et al. [7] found that a greater number of pain conditions was associated with higher femininity in men, but not women. Gender identity also affects how people engage with pain research. Feijo et al. [56] found men identifying with masculine, but not feminine roles, were more willing participate in an experimental pain study.

Gender identity can also be viewed as more fluid and situationally dependent, and have a reciprocal relationship with pain [58; 64; 148; 156]. For example, experimental studies that have manipulated gender context, and found it can affect pain reporting. Fowler et al. [64] found that when asked to recall feminine behaviours

men reported less pain than women. Some studies threaten gender identity to see how this affects pain [1; 18; 58; 120]. One finding is that threats to masculine gender identity can increase pain tolerance [18; 120]. However, pain can also affect gender identity. Clinical pain is reported to have a demasculinizing effect on men with strong traditional masculine beliefs e.g., being able to work, or show strength [2; 37; 42]. Similarly, women with strong traditional feminine beliefs, reported finding chronic pain affects their ability to perform caring roles, and that this is viewed as defeminizing [45; 84; 165]. Such threats to identity can affect behaviour, leading some to try to reinstate their gender identity, whereas others adapt and/or change their views [2; 45; 63].

Stereotypical gender beliefs and expectations about pain are also relevant [21; 96; 99; 197]. For example, men are considered less expressive and more likely to engage in avoidance when in pain, whereas women are perceived to be more likely to catastrophize and cry. Importantly, these beliefs have been shown to impact on how pain is expressed and responded to [156-158], including with pain medication use [48]. Gender beliefs also affect how men and women view the pain of others, and how observers respond to those in pain [3; 69; 75; 150; 158; 164]. For example, Hirsch et al. [73] found that when viewing virtual patients, observers rated women as having more pain and worse at coping. Similarly, Schafer et al. [164] found that female patients were viewed more negatively e.g., less trustworthy. These findings are consistent with views that women's pain is rated more negatively, and/or disbelieved [163]. Women are more likely to receive a mental health referral for pain or receive less effective pain relief [73; 163]. There are also findings that suggest complex intersectional effects [193]. The concern is that such beliefs result in bias, which could affect treatment decisions.

6. Interpersonal Gender Context


Figure 2 reflects the interpersonal context of pain, and how sex and gender impact on both the person in pain and those around them. Evidence for the interpersonal gender context of pain stems from investigations into dyadic interactions around pain. For example, some have explored whether a male or female experimenter affects how men and women respond to experimental pain [8; 86; 113]. In one study, Kallai et al. [86] found that opposite sex-dyads resulted in lower pain reports.

However, others report different patterns, and effects may depend on relational factors, such as whether and/or how people know to each other e.g., romantic partners, friends, strangers [24; 54; 55; 119]. Mothers and fathers seem to differ in how they respond to their child's pain [23; 68; 129], whereas others find that boys and girls differ in how they respond to parents [28; 36]. For example, Boerner et al. [28] found that girls were more sensitive to parental pain responses (when participating in a cold pressor task), suggesting girls are better at detecting pain in others. Spousal studies, usually with opposite sex couples, also find differences in behaviours around pain [54; 59]. One study found that female partners were more likely to engage in facilitative behaviours, whereas another study found that male partner detected fewer pain situations [135; 170]. Women have also been found to be closer in estimating how much pain their partner was in [35].

Insight into the possible psychosocial mechanisms that produce these differences have focused on how men and women communicate pain. For example, the language used around pain has been considered [81; 175], including differences in the type of criticism expressed by a male or female partner [33; 110]. A discourse analysis not only found women used more pain descriptors than men, but when they did talk about

pain, men referred to pain relief, and used more emotion-related language [83]. There are also non-verbal forms of pain communication. Most studies explore facial expressions, although some have also looked at vocalisations and body cues [90; 108; 194]. Although women seem to be generally stronger at encoding (generate) and decoding (recognise) emotional expressions, this does not seem to translate well to pain [72]. There is less consistent evidence for sex/gender differences in the encoding of pain, although some find differences in how pain is recognised [47; 106; 147; 167]. A small number of studies suggest sex/gender-related differences in the visual attentional processes used to detect pain cues in both the face and body [94; 98; 122; 189; 190].

Figure 2. The interpersonal sex and gender context of pain



7. Implications and Future Directions

The evidence presented here reflects over 25 years of exploration into sex, gender, and pain. This not only highlights important differences between men and women, with women showing a greater vulnerability to pain, but also points to gaps in understanding. Inconsistencies in findings, and the variation within, as well as between, men and women requires explanation. These findings provoke new questions and directions for investigation.

There is a clear need to better understand the variation in pain within men and women. This has started through the identification of mechanisms at both biological (e.g., genetics) and psychosocial (e.g., gender identity) levels. This shows that whilst binary comparisons are a good starting point, the averaging of pain experience can also hide the range of human experiences [27; 93]. Unfortunately, few studies have explored the variation in pain amongst those identifying as non-binary, transgender and/or gender-fluid. However, interesting patterns are starting to emerge [5; 114; 174; 188]. For example, Strath et al. [174] found that gender identity had a stronger influence on experimental pain sensitivity than binary male/female comparisons.

More sophisticated conceptual and methodological approaches could be adopted and/or developed, including the interpersonal context in which pain occurs. For example, better methods of capturing gender constructs within people with pain, such as gender self-identity, is required, as well as considering ways to broaden out beyond traditional forms of masculinity and femininity [27; 93]. This work has also started but needs developing [65; 179].

There is also a need to explore potential interactions between biological and psychosocial mechanisms, as they arguably reflect different levels of analysis of the

same phenomenon. These levels are mostly considered in isolation, and there is a need to explore how they connect, and where they operate independently. This challenge is not limited to those working in pain. As well as recognising Sex as a Biological Variable (SABV) [177] and Gender as a Sociocultural Variable (GASV) [136], perhaps we need to consider sex and gender as interactive variables (SGAIVs)? There is also a need to consider how sex and gender differences in pain develop and change across the lifespan [30; 93]. There are considerable biopsychosocial changes that occur during early childhood, which could impact on the way men and women's respond to pain in later life – how, and the nature of their interdependence at different life stages is unknown. Similarly, other socio-demographic factors impact on pain, including ethnicity, socio-economic status etc. [20; 57]. Whilst this review focused on sex and gender, greater consideration of these multidimensional and intersectional elements needs to be considered [82].

In terms of implications, an immediate consideration is to determine the extent to which biases occur in research and practice, and how best to mitigate against them. In terms of research, women been excluded from clinical trials, leading to potential inequalities in treatment options [11; 41; 76]. This pattern of exclusion also occurs pre-clinically [126] and is not limited to pain. This has led to calls for sex and gender to be routinely considered in health studies [70; 109], including for an improvement in reporting guidelines [67; 70]. Building sex and gender factors into the design of clinical trials, directly considers them as moderators and mediators of treatment, including side effects, is an essential step. Systematic reviews and meta-analysis in pain could also include sex and gender as moderators [52].

In terms of clinical practice, there are similar concerns about treatment biases. The above review highlighted the possibility for clinical decisions to be affected by

sex/gender variables. There are initiatives to develop ways to reduce bias through training that could be applied to a sex and gender context [74]. There are also differences in how men and women respond to pain, including help seeking and health care utilization. This may also reflect structural factors, including how health care is set up to be accessed, and there is a need to consider whether there are health inequalities, and related barriers to accessing treatment [116; 163].

Unfortunately, as there are few studies which directly explore for sex and gender in pain treatment efficacy, it is too early to say how treatments could be modified. In time, it may well be possible to develop more personalised treatment approaches that take sex and gender factors into consideration.

8. Conclusion

In conclusion, this review highlights that considerable progress has been made in identifying and understanding the way in which sex and gender variables impact on pain. It also reveals gaps in knowledge and understanding. Further exploration will help us better understand the variation found in the pain experiences. Given the likely biopsychosocial mechanisms involved, this new knowledge should not only help us develop better ways to help men and women live with pain, but also better understand the nature of pain and how best to manage it.

9. Acknowledgments

Conflict of Interests: None

Edmund Keogh has received research grant funding from Versus Arthritis and UKRI (Medical Research Council, Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, Economic and Social Research Council).

10. References

- [1] Abetkoff D, Karlsson T, Chiou WB. Real men are made, not born! Incidental exposure to energy drinks may promote men's tolerance of physical pain. *Scand J Psychol* 2015;56(6):622-625.
- [2] Ahlsen B, Bondevik H, Mengshoel AM, Solbraekke KN. (Un)doing gender in a rehabilitation context: A narrative analysis of gender and self in stories of chronic muscle pain. *Disabil Rehabil* 2014;36(5):359-366.
- [3] Alabas OA, Tashani OA, Tabasam G, Johnson MI. Gender role affects experimental pain responses: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Eur J Pain* 2012;16(9):1211-1223.
- [4] Allena M, De Icco R, Sances G, Ahmad L, Putorti A, Pucci E, Greco R, Tassorelli C. Gender differences in the clinical presentation of cluster headache: A Role for sexual hormones? *Front Neurol* 2019;10.
- [5] Aloisi AM, Bachiocco V, Costantino A, Stefani R, Ceccarelli I, Bertaccini A, Merigliola MC. Cross-sex hormone administration changes pain in transsexual women and men. *Pain* 2007;132 Suppl 1:S60-67.
- [6] Antonov KI, Isacson DG. Prescription and nonprescription analgesic use in Sweden. *Annals Pharmacother* 1998;32(4):485-494.
- [7] Applegate KL, Keefe FJ, Siegler IC, Bradley LA, McKee DC, Cooper KS, Riordan P. Does personality at college entry predict number of reported pain conditions at mid-life? A longitudinal study. *J Pain* 2005;6(2):92-97.

- [8] Aslaksen PM, Myrbakk IN, Hoifodt RS, Flaten MA. The effect of experimenter gender on autonomic and subjective responses to pain stimuli. *Pain* 2007;129(3):260-268.
- [9] Attridge N, Crombez G, Van Ryckeghem D, Keogh E, Eccleston C. The experience of cognitive intrusion of pain: Scale development and validation. *Pain* 2015;156(10):1978-1990.
- [10] Attridge N, Noonan D, Eccleston C, Keogh E. The disruptive effects of pain on n-back task performance in a large general population sample. *Pain* 2015;156(10):1885-1891.
- [11] Avery E, Clark J. Sex-related reporting in randomised controlled trials in medical journals. *Lancet* 2016;388(10062):2839-2840.
- [12] Aviram J, Lewitus GM, Vysotski Y, Berman P, Shapira A, Procaccia S, Meiri D. Sex differences in medical cannabis-related adverse effects. *Pain* 2022;163(5):975-983.
- [13] Barrett JH, Brennan P, Fiddler M, Silman AJ. Does rheumatoid arthritis remit during pregnancy and relapse postpartum? Results from a nationwide study in the United Kingdom performed prospectively from late pregnancy. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42(6):1219-1227.
- [14] Bartley EJ, Fillingim RB. Sex differences in pain: A brief review of clinical and experimental findings. *Brit J Anaesth* 2013;111(1):52-58.
- [15] Bawor M, Bami H, Dennis BB, Plater C, Worster A, Varenbut M, Daiter J, Marsh DC, Steiner M, Anglin R, Coote M, Pare G, Thabane L, Samaan Z. Testosterone suppression in opioid users: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2015;149:1-9.

- [16] Belfer I, Segall SK, Lariviere WR, Smith SB, Dai F, Slade GD, Rashid NU, Mogil JS, Campbell CM, Edwards RR, Liu Q, Bair E, Maixner W, Diatchenko L. Pain modality- and sex-specific effects of COMT genetic functional variants. *Pain* 2013;154(8):1368-1376.
- [17] Berenbaum SA, Blakemore JEO, Beltz AM. A role for biology in gender-related behavior. *Sex Roles* 2011;64(11-12):804-825.
- [18] Berke DS, Reidy DE, Miller JD, Zeichner A. Take it like a man: Gender-threatened men's experience of gender role discrepancy, emotion activation, and pain tolerance. *Psychol Men Masculin* 2017;18(1):62-69.
- [19] Berkley KJ. Sex differences in pain. *Behav Brain Sci* 1997;20(3):371-380.
- [20] Bernardes SF, Keogh E, Lima ML. Bridging the gap between pain and gender research: A selective literature review. *Eur J Pain* 2008;12(4):427-440.
- [21] Bernardes SF, Silva SA, Carvalho H, Costa M, Pereira S. Is it a (fe) male pain? Portuguese nurses' and laypeople's gendered representations of common pains. *Eur J Pain* 2014;18(4):530-539.
- [22] Bimpong K, Thomson K, McNamara CL, Balaj M, Akhter N, Bambra C, Todd A. The Gender Pain Gap: gender inequalities in pain across 19 European countries. *Scand J Public Health* 2022;50(2):287-294.
- [23] Birnie KA, Chambers CT, Chorney J, Fernandez CV, McGrath PJ. Dyadic analysis of child and parent trait and state pain catastrophizing in the process of children's pain communication. *Pain* 2016;157(4):938-948.
- [24] Block P, Heathcote LC, Heyes SB. Social interaction and pain: An arctic expedition. *Soc Sci Med* 2018;196:47-55.

- [25] Blyth FM, March LM, Brnabic AJ, Jorm LR, Williamson M, Cousins MJ. Chronic pain in Australia: A prevalence study. *Pain* 2001;89(2-3):127-134.
- [26] Boerner KE, Birnie KA, Caes L, Schinkel M, Chambers CT. Sex differences in experimental pain among healthy children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain* 2014;155(5):983-993.
- [27] Boerner KE, Chambers CT, Gahagan J, Keogh E, Fillingim RB, Mogil JS. The conceptual complexity of gender and its relevance to pain. *Pain* 2018;159(11):2137-2141.
- [28] Boerner KE, Chambers CT, McGrath PJ, LoLordo V, Uher R. The effect of parental modeling on child pain responses: The role of parent and child sex. *J Pain* 2017;18(6):702-715.
- [29] Boerner KE, Eccleston C, Chambers CT, Keogh E. Sex differences in the efficacy of psychological therapies for the management of chronic and recurrent pain in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain* 2017;158(4):569-582.
- [30] Boerner KE, Keogh E. The effect of sex and gender on child and adolescent pain. In: BJ Stevens, G Hathway, WT Zempsky, editors. *Oxford Textbook of Pediatric Pain*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2021. pp. 127-135.
- [31] Bondesson E, Olofsson T, Caverius U, Schelin MEC, Joud A. Consultation prevalence among children, adolescents and young adults with pain conditions: A description of age- and gender differences. *Eur J Pain* 2020;24(3):649-658.
- [32] Breivik H, Collett B, Ventafridda V, Cohen R, Gallacher D. Survey of chronic pain in Europe: Prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. *Eur J Pain* 2006;10(4):287-333.

- [33] Burns JW, Post KM, Smith DA, Porter LS, Buvanendran A, Fras AM, Keefe FJ. Spouse criticism and hostility during marital interaction: Effects on pain intensity and behaviors among individuals with chronic low back pain. *Pain* 2018;159(1):25-32.
- [34] Bussey K, Bandura A. Social cognitive theory of gender development and differentiation. *Psychol Rev* 1999;106(4):676-713.
- [35] Cano A, Johansen AB, Geisser M. Spousal congruence on disability, pain, and spouse responses to pain. *Pain* 2004;109(3):258-265.
- [36] Chambers CT, Craig KD, Bennett SM. The impact of maternal behavior on children's pain experiences: An experimental analysis. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2002;27(3):293-301.
- [37] Chambers SK, Chung E, Wittert G, Hyde MK. Erectile dysfunction, masculinity, and psychosocial outcomes: A review of the experiences of men after prostate cancer treatment. *Transl Androl Urol* 2017;6(1):60-68.
- [38] Ciccone GK, Holdcroft A. Drugs and sex differences: A review of drugs relating to anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth* 1999;82(2):255-265.
- [39] CIHR Institute of Gender and Health. What is gender? What is sex? Retrieved 27/5/22 from <http://www.cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/48642.html>.
- [40] Cimas M, Ayala A, Sanz B, Agullo-Tomas MS, Escobar A, Forjaz MJ. Chronic musculoskeletal pain in European older adults: Cross-national and gender differences. *Eur J Pain* 2018;22(2):333-345.
- [41] Clayton JA, Tannenbaum C. Reporting sex, gender, or both in clinical research? *J Am Med Assoc* 2016;316(18):1863-1864.

- [42] Conversano C, Ciacchini R, Orru G, Bazzichi ML, Gemignani A, Miniati M. Gender differences on psychological factors in fibromyalgia: A systematic review on the male experience. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2021;39 Suppl 130(3):174-185.
- [43] Cooper ZD, Haney M. Sex-dependent effects of cannabis-induced analgesia. *Drug Alcohol Depen* 2016;167:112-120.
- [44] Cornally N, McCarthy G. Chronic pain: The help-seeking behavior, attitudes, and beliefs of older adults living in the community. *Pain Manag Nurs* 2011;12(4):206-217.
- [45] Cote D, Coutu MF. A critical review of gender issues in understanding prolonged disability related to musculoskeletal pain: How are they relevant to rehabilitation? *Disabil Rehabil* 2010;32(2):87-102.
- [46] Craft RM, Mogil JS, Aloisi AM. Sex differences in pain and analgesia: The role of gonadal hormones. *Eur J Pain* 2004;8(5):397-411.
- [47] Craig KD, Hyde SA, Patrick CJ. Genuine, suppressed and faked facial behavior during exacerbation of chronic low back pain. *Pain* 1991;46(2):161-171.
- [48] Daheim J, Kim SY, Neduvelil A, Nguyen NP. Men, chronic pain, and prescription pain medication use: The role of gender role beliefs in a longitudinal moderated mediation model. *Pain Med* 2020;21(12):3603-3614.
- [49] de Kruijf M, Stolk L, Zillikens MC, de Rijke YB, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, Hofman A, Huygen FJPM, Uitterlinden AG, van Meurs JBJ. Lower sex hormone levels are associated with more chronic musculoskeletal pain in community-dwelling elderly women. *Pain* 2016;157(7):1425-1431.

- [50] Dixon KE, Thorn BE, Ward LC. An evaluation of sex differences in psychological and physiological responses to experimentally-induced pain: A path analytic description. *Pain* 2004;112(1-2):188-196.
- [51] Docking RE, Fleming J, Brayne C, Zhao J, Macfarlane GJ, Jones GT, Cambridge City over-75s Cohort study collaboration. The relationship between back pain and mortality in older adults varies with disability and gender: Results from the Cambridge City over-75s Cohort (CC75C) study. *Eur J Pain* 2015;19(4):466-472.
- [52] Doull M, Runnels VE, Tudiver S, Boscoe M. Appraising the Evidence: Applying Sex- and Gender-Based Analysis (SGBA) to Cochrane Systematic Reviews on Cardiovascular Diseases. *J Womens Health* 2010;19(5):997-1003.
- [53] Edwards R, Augustson E, Fillingim RB. Differential relationships between anxiety and treatment-associated pain reduction among male and female chronic pain patients. *Clin J Pain* 2003;19(4):208-216.
- [54] Edwards R, Eccleston C, Keogh E. Observer influences on pain: An experimental series examining same-sex and opposite-sex friends, strangers, and romantic partners. *Pain* 2017;158(5):846-855.
- [55] Engebretsen S, Frigessi A, Engo-Monsen K, Furberg AS, Stubhaug A, de Blasio BF, Nielsen CS. The peer effect on pain tolerance. *Scand J Pain* 2018;18(3):467-477.
- [56] Feijo LM, Tarman GZ, Fontaine C, Harrison R, Johnstone T, Salomons T. Sex-specific effects of gender identification on pain study recruitment. *J Pain* 2018;19(2):178-185.

- [57] Fillingim RB. Individual differences in pain: Understanding the mosaic that makes pain personal. *Pain* 2017;158(Suppl 1):S11-S18.
- [58] Fillingim RB, Browning AD, Powell T, Wright RA. Sex differences in perceptual and cardiovascular responses to pain: The influence of a perceived ability manipulation. *J Pain* 2002;3(6):439-445.
- [59] Fillingim RB, Doleys DM, Edwards RR, Lowery D. Spousal responses are differentially associated with clinical variables in women and men with chronic pain. *Clin J Pain* 2003;19(4):217-224.
- [60] Fillingim RB, King CD, Ribeiro-Dasilva MC, Rahim-Williams B, Riley JL, 3rd. Sex, gender, and pain: A review of recent clinical and experimental findings. *J Pain* 2009;10(5):447-485.
- [61] Fisher E, Eccleston C, Degenhardt L, Finn DP, Finnerup NB, Gilron I, Haroutounian S, Krane E, Rice ASC, Rowbotham M, Wallace M, Moore RA. Cannabinoids, cannabis, and cannabis-based medicine for pain management: A protocol for an overview of systematic reviews and a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *Pain Rep* 2019;4(3).
- [62] Flegge LG, Lake E, Truesdale C, Craner JR. Sex differences in interdisciplinary pain rehabilitation outcomes: A systematic review. *Scand J Pain* 2022;22(2):218-231.
- [63] Flurey CA, Hewlett S, Rodham K, White A, Noddings R, Kirwan J. Men, rheumatoid arthritis, psychosocial impact and self-management: A narrative review. *J Health Psychol* 2016;21(10):2168-2182.

- [64] Fowler SL, Rasinski HM, Geers AL, Helfer SG, France CR. Concept priming and pain: An experimental approach to understanding gender roles in sex-related pain differences. *J Behav Med* 2011;34(2):139-147.
- [65] Ghodrati M, Walton DM, MacDermid JC. Exploring the domains of gender as measured by a new gender, pain and expectations scale. *Womens Health Rep* 2021;2(1):87-96.
- [66] Gobina I, Villberg J, Valimaa R, Tynjala J, Whitehead R, Cosma A, Brooks F, Cavallo F, Ng K, de Matos MG, Villerusa A. Prevalence of self-reported chronic pain among adolescents: Evidence from 42 countries and regions. *Eur J Pain* 2019; 23(2):316-326.
- [67] Gogovor A, Zomahoun HTV, Ekanmian G, Adisso EL, Tardif AD, Khadhraoui L, Rheault N, Moher D, Legare F. Sex and gender considerations in reporting guidelines for health research: A systematic review. *Biol Sex Differ* 2021;12(1) 62.
- [68] Goubert L, Vervoort T, De Ruddere L, Crombez G. The impact of parental gender, catastrophizing and situational threat upon parental behaviour to child pain: A vignette study. *Eur J Pain* 2012;16(8):1176-1184.
- [69] Grant AD, Miller MM, Anastas TM, Quinn P, Lok B, Hirsh AT. Opioid-related risk perceptions in chronic pain: influence of patient gender and previous misuse behaviors. *Pain* 2022;163(4):711-718.
- [70] Greenspan JD, Craft RM, LeResche L, Arendt-Nielsen L, Berkley KJ, Fillingim R, Gold MS, Holdcroft A, Lautenbacher S, Mayer EA, Mogil JS, Murphy AZ, Traub RJ. Studying sex and gender differences in pain and analgesia: A consensus report. *Pain* 2007;132(Supplement 1):S26-S45.

- [71] Gupta A, Mayer EA, Fling C, Labus JS, Naliboff BD, Hong JY, Kilpatrick LA. Sex-based differences in brain alterations across chronic pain conditions. *J Neurosci Res* 2017;95(1-2):604-616.
- [72] Hall JA. Women's and men's nonverbal communication: Similarities, differences, stereotypes, and origins. In: V Manusov, ML Patterson, editors. *The SAGE handbook of nonverbal communication*. London: Sage Publishers, 2006. pp. 208-218.
- [73] Hirsh AT, Alqudah AF, Stutts LA, Robinson ME. Virtual human technology: Capturing sex, race, and age influences in individual pain decision policies. *Pain* 2008;140(1):231-238.
- [74] Hirsh AT, Miller MM, Hollingshead NA, Anastas T, Carnell ST, Lok BC, Chu CH, Zhang Y, Robinson ME, Kroenke K, Ashburn-Nardo L. A randomized controlled trial testing a virtual perspective-taking intervention to reduce race and socioeconomic status disparities in pain care. *Pain* 2019;160(10):2229-2240.
- [75] Hobara M. Beliefs about appropriate pain behavior: Cross-cultural and sex differences between Japanese and Euro-Americans. *Eur J Pain* 2005;9(4):389-393.
- [76] Holdcroft A. Gender bias in research: How does it affect evidence based medicine? *J R Soc Med* 2007;100(1):2-3.
- [77] Holmberg T, Davidsen M, Thygesen LC, Kroll MJ, Tolstrup JS. Mortality among persons experiencing musculoskeletal pain: A prospective study among Danish men and women. *BMC Musculoskel Dis* 2020;21(1).
- [78] Holmes MM, Monks DA. Bridging sex and gender in neuroscience by shedding a priori assumptions of causality. *Front Neurosci* 2019;13.

- [79] Hooten WM, Townsend CO, Decker PA. Gender differences among patients with fibromyalgia undergoing multidisciplinary pain rehabilitation. *Pain Med* 2007;8(8):624-632.
- [80] Hyde JS, Bigler RS, Joel D, Tate CC, van Anders SM. The future of sex and gender in psychology: Five challenges to the gender binary. *Am Psychol* 2019;74(2):171-193.
- [81] Jackson T, Huang XT, Chen H, Phillips H. Effects of threatening information on interpersonal responses to pain. *Eur J Pain* 2009;13(4):431-438.
- [82] Janevic MR, Mathur VA, Booker SQ, Morais C, Meints SM, Yeager KA, Meghani SH. Making pain research more Inclusive: Why and how. *J Pain* 2022;23(5):707-728.
- [83] Jaworska S, Ryan K. Gender and the language of pain in chronic and terminal illness: A corpus-based discourse analysis of patients' narratives. *Soc Sci Med* 2018;215:107-114.
- [84] Johansson EE, Hamberg K, Westman G, Lindgren G. The meanings of pain: An exploration of women's descriptions of symptoms. *Soc Sci Med* 1999;48(12):1791-1802.
- [85] Jones A, Zachariae R. Gender, anxiety, and experimental pain sensitivity: an overview. *J Am Med Womens Assoc* 2002;57(2):91-94.
- [86] Kallai I, Barke A, Voss U. The effects of experimenter characteristics on pain reports in women and men. *Pain* 2004;112(1-2):142-147.
- [87] Kaplovitch E, Gomes T, Camacho X, Dhalla IA, Mamdani MM, Juurlink DN. Sex differences in dose escalation and overdose death during chronic opioid therapy: A population-based cohort study. *Plos One* 2015;10(8).

- [88] Keefe FJ, Lefebvre JC, Egert JR, Affleck G, Sullivan MJ, Caldwell DS. The relationship of gender to pain, pain behavior, and disability in osteoarthritis patients: The role of catastrophizing. *Pain* 2000;87(3):325-334.
- [89] Kennedy J, Roll JM, Schraudner T, Murphy S, McPherson S. Prevalence of persistent pain in the US adult population: New data from the 2010 National Health Interview Survey. *J Pain* 2014;15(10):979-984.
- [90] Keogh E. Gender differences in the nonverbal communication of pain: A new direction for sex, gender, and pain research? *Pain* 2014;155(10):1927-1931.
- [91] Keogh E. Men, masculinity, and pain. *Pain* 2015;156(12):2408-2412.
- [92] Keogh E. Sex and gender as social-contextual factors in pain. In: T Vervoort, K Karos, Z Trost, KM Prkachin, editors. *Social and interpersonal dynamics in pain - we don't suffer alone*. New York: Springer, 2018. pp. 433-453.
- [93] Keogh E. The gender context of pain. *Health Psychol Rev* 2021;15(3):454-481.
- [94] Keogh E, Attridge N, Walsh J, Bartlett J, Francis R, Bultitude JH, Eccleston C. Attentional biases towards body expressions of pain in men and women. *J Pain* 2021;22(12):1696-1708.
- [95] Keogh E, Birkby J. The effect of anxiety sensitivity and gender on the experience of pain. *Cogn Emot* 1999;13(6):813-829.
- [96] Keogh E, Boerner KE. Exploring the relationship between male norm beliefs, pain-related beliefs and behaviours: An online questionnaire study. *Eur J Pain* 2020;24(2):423-434.
- [97] Keogh E, Cavill R, Moore DJ, Eccleston C. The effects of menstrual-related pain on attentional interference. *Pain* 2014;155(4):821-827.

- [98] Keogh E, Cheng F, Wang S. Exploring attentional biases towards facial expressions of pain in men and women. *Eur J Pain* 2018;22(9):1617-1627.
- [99] Keogh E, Denford S. Sex differences in perceptions of pain coping strategy usage. *Eur J Pain* 2009;13(6):629-634.
- [100] Keogh E, Eccleston C. Sex differences in adolescent chronic pain and pain-related coping. *Pain* 2006;123(3):275-284.
- [101] Keogh E, Hamid R, Hamid S, Ellery D. Investigating the effect of anxiety sensitivity, gender and negative interpretative bias on the perception of chest pain. *Pain* 2004;111(1-2):209-217.
- [102] Keogh E, McCracken LM, Eccleston C. Do men and women differ in their response to interdisciplinary chronic pain management? *Pain* 2005;114(1-2):37-46.
- [103] Keogh E, McCracken LM, Eccleston C. Gender moderates the association between depression and disability in chronic pain patients. *Eur J Pain* 2006;10(5):413-422.
- [104] Kim HJ, Yang GS, Greenspan JD, Downton KD, Griffith KA, Renn CL, Johantgen M, Dorsey SG. Racial and ethnic differences in experimental pain sensitivity: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain* 2017;158(10):2055-2055.
- [105] King S, Chambers CT, Huguet A, MacNevin RC, McGrath PJ, Parker L, MacDonald AJ. The epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents revisited: A systematic review. *Pain* 2011;152(12):2729-2738.
- [106] Kunz M, Gruber A, Lautenbacher S. Sex differences in facial encoding of pain. *J Pain* 2006;7(12):915-928.

- [107] Lautenbacher S, Peters JH, Heesen M, Scheel J, Kunz M. Age changes in pain perception: a systematic-review and meta-analysis of age effects on pain and tolerance thresholds. *Neurosci Biobehav R* 2017;75:104-113.
- [108] Lautenbacher S, Salinas-Ranneberg M, Niebuhr O, Kunz M. Phonetic characteristics of vocalizations during pain. *Pain Rep* 2017;2(3):e597.
- [109] Legato MJ, Johnson PA, Manson JE. Consideration of Sex Differences in Medicine to Improve Health Care and Patient Outcomes. *J Am Med Assoc* 2016;316(18):1865-1866.
- [110] Leong LEM, Cano A, Johansen AB. Sequential and base rate analysis of emotional validation and invalidation in chronic pain couples: Patient gender matters. *J Pain* 2011;12(11):1140-1148.
- [111] LeResche L. Epidemiology of pain conditions with higher prevalence in women. In: C Chin, RB Fillingim, TJ Ness, editors. *Pain in women*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013. pp. 3-15.
- [112] LeResche L, Mancl L, Sherman JJ, Gandara B, Dworkin SF. Changes in temporomandibular pain and other symptoms across the menstrual cycle. *Pain* 2003;106(3):253-261.
- [113] Levine FM, Desimone LL. The effects of experimenter gender on pain report in male and female subjects. *Pain* 1991;44(1):69-72.
- [114] Levit D, Yaish I, Shtrozberg S, Aloush V, Greenman Y, Ablin JN. Pain and transition: Evaluating fibromyalgia in transgender individuals. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2021;39 Suppl 130(3):27-32.

- [115] Lewis GN, Rice DA, McNair PJ. Conditioned pain modulation in populations with chronic pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pain* 2012;13(10):936-944.
- [116] Lipton RB, Serrano D, Holland S, Fanning KM, Reed ML, Buse DC. Barriers to the diagnosis and treatment of migraine: Effects of sex, income, and headache features. *Headache* 2013;53(1):81-92.
- [117] Lynch AM, Kashikar-Zuck S, Goldschneider KR, Jones BA. Sex and age differences in coping styles among children with chronic pain. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2007;33(2):208-216.
- [118] Mahalik JR, Burns SM, Syzdek M. Masculinity and perceived normative health behaviors as predictors of men's health behaviors. *Soc Sci Med* 2007;64(11):2201-2209.
- [119] Martin LJ, Hathaway G, Isbester K, Mirali S, Acland EL, Niederstrasser N, Slepian PM, Trost Z, Bartz JA, Sapolsky RM, Sternberg WF, Levitin DJ, Mogil JS. Reducing social stress elicits emotional contagion of pain in mouse and human strangers. *Curr Biol* 2015;25(3):326-332.
- [120] Martin RM. Influence of biological sex, trait gender, and state gender on pain threshold, pain tolerance, and ratings of pain severity. *Pers Individ Dif* 2019;138(1):183-187.
- [121] McEwen BS, Milner TA. Understanding the broad influence of sex hormones and sex differences in the brain. *J Neurosci Res* 2017;95(1-2):24-39.
- [122] Meister E, Horn-Hofmann C, Kunz M, Krumhuber EG, Lautenbacher S. Decoding of facial expressions of pain in avatars: does sex matter? *Scand J Pain* 2021;21(1):174-182.

- [123] Melchior M, Poisbeau P, Gaumond I, Marchand S. Insights into the mechanisms and the emergence of sex-differences in pain. *Neuroscience* 2016;338(3):63-80.
- [124] Mogil JS. Sex differences in pain and pain inhibition: Multiple explanations of a controversial phenomenon. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2012;13(12):859-866.
- [125] Mogil JS. Sources of individual differences in pain. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2021;44:1-25.
- [126] Mogil JS, Chanda ML. The case for the inclusion of female subjects in basic science studies of pain. *Pain* 2005;117(1-2):1-5.
- [127] Mogil JS, Sorge RE, LaCroix-Fralish ML, Smith SB, Fortin A, Sotocinal SG, Ritchie J, Austin JS, Schorscher-Petcu A, Melmed K, Czerminski J, Bittong RA, Mokris JB, Neubert JK, Campbell CM, Edwards RR, Campbell JN, Crawley JN, Lariviere WR, Wallace MR, Sternberg WF, Balaban CD, Belfer I, Fillingim RB. Pain sensitivity and vasopressin analgesia are mediated by a gene-sex-environment interaction. *Nat Neurosci* 2011;14(12):1569-U1599.
- [128] Monroe TB, Fillingim RB, Bruehl SP, Rogers BP, Dietrich MS, Gore JC, Atalla SW, Cowan RL. Sex differences in brain regions modulating pain among older adults: A cross-sectional resting state functional connectivity study. *Pain Med* 2018;19(9):1737-1747.
- [129] Moon EC, Chambers CT, McGrath PJ. "He Says, She Says": A comparison of fathers' and mothers' verbal behavior during child cold pressor pain. *J Pain* 2011;12(11):1174-1181.
- [130] Moore DM, Eccleston C, Keogh E. Does sex moderate the relationship between anxiety and pain? *Psychol Health* 2013;28(7):746-764.

- [131] Moore DM, Keogh E, Eccleston C. Headache impairs attentional performance. *Pain* 2013;154(9):1840-1845.
- [132] Morgenroth T, Ryan MK. The effects of gender trouble: An integrative Theoretical framework of the perpetuation and disruption of the gender/sex binary. *Perspect Psychol Sci* 2021;16(6):1113-1142.
- [133] Muralidharan A, Sotocinal SG, Yousefpour N, Akkurt N, Lima LV, Tansley S, Parisien M, Wang CY, Austin JS, Ham B, Dutra GMGS, Rousseau P, Maldonado-Bouchard S, Clark T, Rosen SF, Majeed MR, Silva O, Nejade R, Li XY, Pimentel SD, Nielsen CS, Neely GG, Autexier C, Diatchenko L, Ribeiro-da-Silva A, Mogil JS. Long-term male-specific chronic pain via telomere- and p53-mediated spinal cord cellular senescence. *J Clin Invest* 2022;132(8).
- [134] Murphy JL, Phillips KM, Rafie S. Sex differences between Veterans participating in interdisciplinary chronic pain rehabilitation. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2016;53(1):83-94.
- [135] Newton-John TR, Williams AC. Chronic pain couples: Perceived marital interactions and pain behaviours. *Pain* 2006;123(1-2):53-63.
- [136] Nielsen MW, Stefanick ML, Peragine D, Neilands TB, Ioannidis JPA, Pilote L, Prochaska JJ, Cullen MR, Einstein G, Klinge I, LeBlanc H, Paik HY, Schiebinger L. Gender-related variables for health research. *Biol Sex Differ* 2021;12(1):23.
- [137] Niesters M, Dahan A, Kest B, Zacny J, Stijnen T, Aarts L, Sarton E. Do sex differences exist in opioid analgesia? A systematic review and meta-analysis of human experimental and clinical studies. *Pain* 2010;15(1):61-68.

- [138] Olson EM, Akintola T, Phillips J, Blasini M, Haycock NR, Martinez PE, Greenspan JD, Dorsey SG, Wang Y, Colloca L. Effects of sex on placebo effects in chronic pain participants: A cross-sectional study. *Pain* 2021;162(2):531-542.
- [139] Ostrom C, Bair E, Maixner W, Dubner R, Fillingim RB, Ohrbach R, Slade GD, Greenspan JD. Demographic predictors of pain sensitivity: Results from the OPPERA study. *J Pain* 2017;18(3):295-307.
- [140] Otto MW, Dougher MJ. Sex-differences and personality-factors in responsivity to pain. *Percept Motor Skill* 1985;61(2):383-390.
- [141] Paulose-Ram R, Hirsch R, Dillon C, Losonczy K, Cooper M, Ostchega Y. Prescription and non-prescription analgesic use among the US adult population: Results from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III). *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2003;12(4):315-326.
- [142] Pieh C, Altmeyden J, Neumeier S, Loew T, Angerer M, Lahmann C. Gender differences in outcomes of a multimodal pain management program. *Pain* 2012;153(1):197-202.
- [143] Pisanu C, Franconi F, Gessa GL, Mamei S, Pisanu GM, Campesi I, Leggio L, Agabio R. Sex differences in the response to opioids for pain relief: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pharmacol Res* 2019;148.
- [144] Pleym H, Spigset O, Kharasch ED, Dale O. Gender differences in drug effects: Implications for anesthesiologists. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2003;47(3):241-259.

- [145] Pool GJ, Schwegler AF, Theodore BR, Fuchs PN. Role of gender norms and group identification on hypothetical and experimental pain tolerance. *Pain* 2007;129(1-2):122-129.
- [146] Popescu A, LeResche L, Truelove EL, Drangsholt MT. Gender differences in pain modulation by diffuse noxious inhibitory controls: a systematic review. *Pain* 2010;150(2):309-318.
- [147] Prkachin KM, Solomon PE. The structure, reliability and validity of pain expression: Evidence from patients with shoulder pain. *Pain* 2009;139(2):267-274.
- [148] Pronina I, Rule NO. Inducing bias modulates sensitivity to nonverbal cues of others' pain. *Eur J Pain* 2014;18(10):1452-1457.
- [149] Racine M, Tousignant-Laflamme Y, Kloda LA, Dion D, Dupuis G, Choiniere M. A systematic literature review of 10 years of research on sex/gender and experimental pain perception - Part 1: Are there really differences between women and men? *Pain* 2012;153(3):602-618.
- [150] Racine M, Tousignant-Laflamme Y, Kloda LA, Dion D, Dupuis G, Choiniere M. A systematic literature review of 10 years of research on sex/gender and pain perception - Part 2: Do biopsychosocial factors alter pain sensitivity differently in women and men? *Pain* 2012;153(3):619-635.
- [151] Raja SN, Carr DB, Cohen M, Finnerup NB, Flor H, Gibson S, Keefe FJ, Mogil JS, Ringkamp M, Sluka KA, Song X-J, Stevens B, Sullivan MD, Tutelman PR, Ushida T, Vader K. The revised International Association for the Study of Pain definition of pain: Concepts, challenges, and compromises. *Pain* 2020;161(9):1976-1982.

- [152] Ribeiro-Dasilva MC, Shinal RM, Glover T, Williams RS, Staud R, Riley JL, 3rd, Fillingim RB. Evaluation of menstrual cycle effects on morphine and pentazocine analgesia. *Pain* 2011;152(3):614-622.
- [153] Richardson E, Bedson J, Chen Y, Lacey R, Dunn KM. Increased risk of reproductive dysfunction in women prescribed long-term opioids for musculoskeletal pain: A matched cohort study in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. *Eur J Pain* 2018; 22(9), 1701–1708.
- [154] Riley JL, Robinson ME, Wise EA, Myers CD, Fillingim RB. Sex differences in the perception of noxious experimental stimuli: A meta-analysis. *Pain* 1998;74(2-3):181-187.
- [155] Riley JL, Robinson ME, Wise EA, Price DD. A meta-analytic review of pain perception across the menstrual cycle. *Pain* 1999;81(3):225-235.
- [156] Robinson ME, Gagnon CM, Riley JL, Price DD. Altering gender role expectations: Effects on pain tolerance, pain threshold, and pain ratings. *J Pain* 2003;4(5):284-288.
- [157] Robinson ME, Riley JL, Myers CD, Papas RK, Wise EA, Waxenberg LB, Fillingim RB. Gender role expectations of pain: Relationship to sex differences in pain. *J Pain* 2001;2(5):251-257.
- [158] Robinson ME, Wise EA. Gender bias in the observation of experimental pain. *Pain* 2003;104(1-2):259-264.
- [159] Robinson ME, Wise EA, Riley JL, Atchison JW. Sex differences in clinical pain: A multisample study. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings* 1998;5(4):413-424.

- [160] Roseen EJ, Rajendran I, Stein P, Fredman L, Fink HA, LaValley MP, Saper RB. Association of Back Pain with Mortality: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Cohort Studies. *J Gen Intern Med* 2021;36(10):3148-3158.
- [161] Rovner GS, Sunnerhagen KS, Bjorkdahl A, Gerdle B, Borsbo B, Johansson F, Gillanders D. Chronic pain and sex-differences; women accept and move, while men feel blue. *Plos One* 2017;12(4).
- [162] Ruau D, Liu LY, Clark JD, Angst MS, Butte AJ. Sex differences in reported pain across 11,000 patients captured in electronic medical records. *J Pain* 2012;13(3):228-234.
- [163] Samulowitz A, Gremyr I, Eriksson E, Hensing G. "Brave Men" and "Emotional Women": A theory-guided literature review on gender bias in health care and gendered norms towards patients with chronic pain. *Pain Res Manag* 2018; 6358624.
- [164] Schafer G, Prkachin KM, Kaseweter KA, Williams ACD. Health care providers' judgments in chronic pain: the influence of gender and trustworthiness. *Pain* 2016;157(8):1618-1625.
- [165] Shallcross R, Dickson JM, Nunns D, Mackenzie C, Kiemle G. Women's subjective experiences of living with vulvodynia: A systematic review and meta-ethnography. *Arch Sexual Behav* 2018;47(3):577-595.
- [166] Sharp JL, Pearson T, Smith MA. Sex differences in opioid receptor mediated effects: Role of androgens. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2022;134:104522.
- [167] Simon D, Craig KD, Gosselin F, Belin P, Rainville P. Recognition and discrimination of prototypical dynamic expressions of pain and emotions. *Pain* 2008;135(1-2):55-64.

- [168] Skovbjerg S, Jorgensen T, Arendt-Nielsen L, Ebstrup JF, Carstensen T, Graven-Nielsen T. Conditioned pain modulation and pressure pain sensitivity in the adult Danish general population: The DanFunD study. *J Pain* 2017;18(3):274-284.
- [169] Smith D, Wilkie R, Uthman O, Jordan JL, McBeth J. Chronic pain and mortality: A systematic review. *Plos One* 2014;9(6).
- [170] Smith SJ, Keefe FJ, Caldwell DS, Romano J, Baucom D. Gender differences in patient-spouse interactions: A sequential analysis of behavioral interactions in patients having osteoarthritic knee pain. *Pain* 2004;112(1-2):183-187.
- [171] Sorge RE, Totsch SK. Sex differences in pain. *J Neuros Res* 2017;95:1271-1281.
- [172] Steingrimsdottir OA, Landmark T, Macfarlane GJ, Nielsen CS. Defining chronic pain in epidemiological studies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain* 2017;158(11):2092-2107.
- [173] Steinkopf L. An evolutionary perspective on pain communication. *Evol Psychol* 2016;14(2):1-7.
- [174] Strath LJ, Sorge RE, Owens MA, Gonzalez CE, Okunbor JI, White DM, Merlin JS, Goodin BR. Sex and gender are not the same: Why identity is important for people living with HIV and chronic pain. *J Pain Res* 2020;13:829-835.
- [175] Strong J, Mathews T, Sussex R, New F, Hoey S, Mitchell G. Pain language and gender differences when describing a past pain event. *Pain* 2009;145(1-2):86-95.
- [176] Sullivan MJL, Tripp DA, Santor D. Gender differences in pain and pain behavior: The role of catastrophizing. *Cognit Ther Res* 2000;24(1):121-134.

- [177] Tannenbaum C, Schwarz JM, Clayton JA, de Vries GJ, Sullivan C. Evaluating sex as a biological variable in preclinical research: The devil in the details. *Biol Sex Differ* 2016;7.
- [178] Thibodeau MA, Welch PG, Katz J, Asmundson GJG. Pain-related anxiety influences pain perception differently in men and women: A quantitative sensory test across thermal pain modalities. *Pain* 2013;154(3):419-426.
- [179] Thompson EH, Bennett KM. Measurement of masculinity ideologies: A (critical) review. *Psychol Men Masculin* 2015;16(2):115-133.
- [180] Thompson T, Keogh E, French CC, Davis R. Anxiety sensitivity and pain: Generalisability across noxious stimuli. *Pain* 2008;134(1-2):187-196.
- [181] Todd A, McNamara CL, Balaj M, Huijts T, Akhter N, Thomson K, Kasim A, Eikemo TA, Bambra C. The European epidemic: Pain prevalence and socioeconomic inequalities in pain across 19 European countries. *Eur J Pain* 2019;23(8):1425-1436.
- [182] Trudeau KJ, Danoff-Burg S, Revenson TA, Paget SA. Agency and communion in people with rheumatoid arthritis. *Sex Roles* 2003;49(7-8):303-311.
- [183] Tsang A, Von Korff M, Lee S, Alonso J, Karam E, Angermeyer MC, Borges GL, Bromet EJ, Demyttenaere K, de Girolamo G, de Graaf R, Gureje O, Lepine JP, Haro JM, Levinson D, Oakley Browne MA, Posada-Villa J, Seedat S, Watanabe M. Common chronic pain conditions in developed and developing countries: Gender and age differences and comorbidity with depression-anxiety disorders. *J Pain* 2008;9(10):883-891.
- [184] Unruh A. Gender variations in clinical pain experience. *Pain* 1996;65(2-3):123-167.

- [185] Unruh A, Ritchie J, Merskey H. Does gender affect appraisal of pain and pain coping strategies? *Clin J Pain* 1999;15(1):31-40.
- [186] Vambheim SM, Flaten MA. A systematic review of sex differences in the placebo and the nocebo effect. *J Pain Res* 2017;10:1831-1839.
- [187] Vigil JM. A socio-relational framework of sex differences in the expression of emotion. *Behav Brain Sci* 2009;32(5):375-390.
- [188] Vigil JM, Rowell LN, Alcock J, Maestes R. Laboratory personnel gender and cold pressor apparatus affect subjective pain reports. *Pain Res Manag* 2014;19(1):E13-E18.
- [189] Walsh J, Eccleston C, Keogh E. Gender differences in attention to pain body postures in a social context: a novel use of the bodies in the crowd task. *Pain* 2020;161(8):1776-1786.
- [190] Wang S, Eccleston C, Keogh E. The role of spatial frequency information in the decoding of facial expressions of pain: A novel hybrid task. *Pain* 2017;158(11):2233-2242.
- [191] Wang YY, Hunt K, Nazareth I, Freemantle N, Petersen I. Do men consult less than women? An analysis of routinely collected UK general practice data. *BMJ Open* 2013;3(8):e003320.
- [192] Weisse CS, Foster KK, Fisher EA. The influence of experimenter gender and race on pain reporting: Does racial or gender concordance matter? *Pain Med* 2005;6(1):80-87.
- [193] Weisse CS, Sorum PC, Dominguez RE. The influence of gender and race on physicians' pain management decisions. *J Pain* 2003;4(9):505-510.

- [194] Williams AC. Facial expression of pain: An evolutionary account. *Behav Brain Sci* 2002;25(4):439-455; discussion 455-488.
- [195] Williams AC, Craig KD. Updating the definition of pain. *Pain* 2016;157(11):2420-2423.
- [196] Wood W, Eagly AH. Two traditions of research on gender identity. *Sex Roles* 2015;73(11-12):461-473.
- [197] Wratten S, Eccleston C, Keogh E. Perceptions of gendered and ungendered pain relief norms and stereotypes using Q-methodology. *Pain* 2019;160(2):395-406.
- [198] Yousaf O, Grunfeld EA, Hunter MS. A systematic review of the factors associated with delays in medical and psychological help-seeking among men. *Health Psychol Rev* 2015;9(2):264-276.