

Monogenoidean parasites of fishes associated with coral reefs in the Ras Mohammed National Park, Egypt: preliminary results

P. GALLI¹, F. BENZONI¹, G. STRONA¹, F. STEFANI¹, D. C. KRITSKY²

¹Department of Biotechnology and Biosciences, University of Milano-Bicocca, Piazza della Scienza 2, 20126 Milano, Italy, E-mail: paolo.galli@unimib.it; ²Department of Health and Nutrition Sciences, Campus Box 8090, Idaho State University, Pocatello, Idaho 83209, E-mail: kritdela@isu.edu

Summary

A parasitological survey of the monogenoids of 14 species of common fishes associated with the local coral reefs of the Ras Mohammed National Park, National Parks of Egypt South Sinai Sector, Egypt, was carried out from May 2003 to May 2005. The monogenoids collected during the survey included 17 species: 8 previously described species, 7 new species in established genera, and 2 new species belonging to new genera.

Key words: Monogenoidea; Ras Mohammed National Park; Red Sea; Egypt

Introduction

The Red Sea is one of the major centres of global marine biodiversity and supports 1,248 species of fishes, representing 157 families (Goren & Dor, 1994). A high rate of endemism involving an overall 17 % of the fish species is present in the area (Sheppard *et al.*, 1992). Moreover, reef fishes, serving as a traditional and fundamental component of the Egyptian diet (Froese & Pauly, 2005), represent an important resource for the area's economy (Sheppard *et al.*, 1992). Rohde (1993) stressed the commercial and economic importance of the marine fish resource, indicating that marine fish parasites are a potential threat to fish abundance. One of the main groups of fish ectoparasites includes flatworms of the Class Monogenoidea, which primarily parasitize the body surfaces (gills, skin and fins) of their hosts. Monogenoids are considered to be highly host-specific, although some evidence suggests that a lower host specificity in some species exists (Chisholm & Whittington, 1996, 1997).

In the Red Sea, monogenoids have been studied principally by Paperna (1965, 1972a,b,c), Paperna *et al.* (1984), Diamant and Paperna (1986), and Diamant (1989), and more recently by Kritsky *et al.* (2007a,b). However, these studies all considered relatively few fish species compared to

the diversity occurring in the area, and it is clear that additional research is needed to determine total diversity in the region.

A parasitological survey of the monogenoids of 14 fish species belonging to the ichthyofauna of the Ras Mohammed National Park, Egypt, was carried out during May 2003 to May 2005. The study, sponsored by CEMT (Center for Ecology of Marine Tropical environments, Italy), aimed to improve the existing data on the monogenoids of fish from the Red Sea and to provide a preliminary estimate of monogenoidean diversity in the area.

Material and Methods

Fish were collected from May 2003 to May 2005 in the Ras Mohammed National Park (27° 45.150'N; 34° 15.590'E) and transported in water to the laboratory where the gills were immediately screened for monogenoids. Scientific names of hosts are those provided in Fishbase (Froese & Pauly, 2005). Live specimens were fixed with AFA (alcohol-formalin-acetic acid) and mounted in glycerol gelatin (Malmberg, 1970). Phase contrast microscopy was used to study the hard parts of the haptor and reproductive system.

Voucher specimens were deposited in the parasitological collection of the Museo di Storia Naturale di Milano, Italy (*Glyphidohaptor plectocirra*, MSNM Pi 3873 – 3891; *Diplectanum bauchotae*, MSNM Pi 4602 – 4610; *Diplectanum cazauxi*, MSNM Pi 4611 – 4649; *Haliotrema* (sensu lato) sp. n. 1, MSNM Pi 4650 – 4718; *Haliotrema* (sensu lato) sp. n. 2, MSNM Pi 4719 – 4720; *Haliotrema* (sensu lato) sp. n. 3, MSNM Pi 4721 – 4726; *Haliotrema* (sensu lato) sp. n. 4, MSNM Pi 4824 – 4840; *Haliotrema curvipenis*, MSNM Pi 4727 – 4771; new species, new genus 1, MSNM Pi 4772 – 4782; new species, new genus 2, MSNM Pi 4783 – 4797; *Protogyrodactylus* sp. n. 1, MSNM Pi 4798 – 4819; *Protogyrodactylus* sp. n. 2, MSNM Pi 4820 –

Table 1. Monogenoids found on the 14 examined host species

Hosts	Number of examined hosts	Parasites
<i>Apogon cyanosoma</i> Bleeker, 1853,	6	<i>Haliotrema</i> (sensu lato) sp. n. 1
<i>Crenimugil crenilabis</i> (Forsskål, 1775)	1	New genus, new species 1
<i>Epinephelus fasciatus</i> (Forsskål, 1775)	2	<i>Haliotrema</i> (sensu lato) sp. n. 2 <i>Pseudorhabdosynochus melanesiensis</i> (Laird, 1958) Kritsky & Beverley-Burton, 1986 Syn. <i>Diplectanum cupatum</i> Young, 1969 <i>Cyclopectanum cupatum</i> (Young, 1969) Beverley-Burton & Suriano, 1981 <i>Pseudorhabdosynochus cupatum</i> (Young, 1969) Kritsky & Beverley-Burton, 1986
<i>Gerres longirostris</i> (Lacepède, 1801)	10	<i>Protogyrodactylus</i> sp. n. 1 <i>Protogyrodactylus</i> sp. n. 2 <i>Protogyrodactylus</i> sp. n. 3 New genus, new species 2
<i>Lethrinus borbonicus</i> Valenciennes, 1830	1	Negative
<i>Lethrinus nebulosus</i> (Forsskål, 1775)	2	<i>Haliotrema</i> (sensu lato) sp. n. 4
<i>Lethrinus variegatus</i> Valenciennes, 1830	4	<i>Haliotrema</i> (sensu lato) sp. n. 3
<i>Mulloidichthys flavolineatus</i> (Lacépède, 1801)	1	<i>Haliotrema curvipenis</i> Paperna 1972
<i>Mulloidichthys vanicolensis</i> (Valenciennes, 1831)	1	<i>Haliotrema curvipenis</i> Paperna 1972
<i>Plectorhinchus schotaf</i> (Forsskål, 1775)	1	Negative
<i>Sphyaena flavicauda</i> Rüppel, 1838,	2	<i>Diplectanum bauchotae</i> Oliver & Paperna, 1984 <i>Diplectanum cazauxi</i> Oliver & Paperna, 1984
<i>Siganus luridus</i>	5	<i>Tetrancistrum polymorphus</i> (Paperna, 1972) Kritsky, Galli & Yang, 2007 Syn. <i>Pseudohaliotrematoides polymorphus eilaticus</i> Paperna, 1972; <i>Pseudohaliotrematoides polymorphus eilaticus</i> of Ktari and Ktari (1974) (misspelling) <i>Glyphidohaptor plectocirra</i> (Paperna, 1972) Kritsky, Galli & Yang, 2007 Syn. <i>Pseudohaliotrema plectocirra</i> Paperna, 1972 <i>Tetrancistrum plectocirra</i> (Paperna, 1972) Lim, 2002
<i>Siganus rivulatus</i> Forsskål, 1775	3	<i>Tetrancistrum polymorphus</i> (Paperna, 1972) Kritsky, Galli & Yang, 2007 Syn. <i>Pseudohaliotrematoides polymorphus eilaticus</i> Paperna, 1972 <i>Pseudohaliotrematoides polymorphus eilaticus</i> of Ktari & Ktari (1974) (misspelling) <i>Tetrancistrum strophosolenum</i> Kritsky, Galli & Yang, 2007 Syn. <i>Pseudohaliotrematoides nagatyti</i> Diamant, 1985 (<i>nomen nudum</i>) <i>Pseudohaliotrematoides polymorphus</i> spp. of Diamant & Paperna (1986) <i>Pseudohaliotrematoides nagatyti</i> of Diamant (1989) (<i>nomen nudum</i>) <i>Pseudohaliotrematoides polymorphus "nagatyti"</i> of Diamant <i>et al.</i> (1999) <i>Tetrancistrum suezicus</i> (Paperna, 1972) Kritsky, Galli & Yang, 2007 Syn. <i>Pseudohaliotrematoides polymorphus suezicus</i> Paperna, 1972 <i>Pseudohaliotrematoides polymorphus suezicus</i> of Ktari and Ktari (1974) (misspelling) <i>Pseudohaliotrematoides suezicus</i> Paperna, 1972 <i>Glyphidohaptor plectocirra</i> (Paperna, 1972) Kritsky Galli & Yang, 2007 Syn. <i>Pseudohaliotrema plectocirra</i> Paperna, 1972 <i>Tetrancistrum plectocirra</i> (Paperna, 1972) Lim, 2002
<i>Tylosurus choram</i> (Rüppel, 1837)	1	Negative

4823; *Protogyrodactylus* sp. n. 3, MSNM Pi 4851 – 4852; *Pseudorhabdosynochus melanesiensis*, MSNM Pi 4841 – 4844; *Tetrancistrum polymorphus*, MSNM Pi 4845 – 4846; *Tetrancistrum suezicus*, MSNM Pi 4847 – 4848; *Tetrancistrum strophosolenum*, MSNM Pi 4849 – 4850).

Results

The monogenoids collected from the examined fish com-

prised 17 species: 8 previously described species, 7 new species belonging to known genera, and 2 new species belonging to new genera (Table 1). *Lethrinus borbonicus*, *Plectorhinchus schotaf* and *Tylosurus choram* were all negative for species of Monogenoidea. All of the other fish species examined during the survey presented a minimum of one monogenoidean species each, with a maximum of 4 species found on *Siganus rivulatus*. Given the variable and comparatively small numbers (1 to 10) of hosts examined

during the survey, an underestimation of the number of species of monogenoids per host is likely.

Discussion and Conclusion

Whittington (1998) provided an estimate of world diversity of monogenoidean species based on the assumption of the putative high host-specificity among these parasites. He quotes the total number of valid (described) fish species at 24,614 and estimates that a total of 24,000 to 25,000 (described and undescribed) monogenoids could exist worldwide, given the assumption that each fish species is parasitized by an average of 1 monogenoidean species. Our data (with an average of 1.2 monogenoidean species per host species) confirm this supposition. Therefore, we estimate that a total of approximately 1,250 monogenoidean species may be found on the fishes of the Red Sea. Moreover, previously published works (Post & Millest, 1991; Cribb *et al.*, 1994) indicate that a 95 % confidence of collecting a parasite species with a prevalence of 28 % or more from an individual host species requires a minimum of 9 specimens of the host be surveyed. This threshold of 9 hosts was not attained for most of the fish species examined in this study. Consequently, our estimations of 1.2 monogenoidean species per fish host species and a total of 1,250 species in the Red Sea are likely significant underestimations of the actual biodiversity existing in the region. In addition, the present survey did not include screening for parasitic species occurring on the fins and skin of the examined hosts. Thus, study of monogenoids of fish from the Red Sea deserves additional effort both in terms of parasite diversity and of host-specificity.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Dr. Fouda (Ismalia) and the staff at the Training Center of the National Parks of Egypt, South Sinai Sector (Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency) in Sharm el Sheikh, Egypt, for allowing the host collections. We are grateful to Dr. P. Gerasev, Department of Parasitic Worms, Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia, for his invaluable help with references and bibliography. Finally, we acknowledge the assistance of Dr. E. Saadalla (Ismalia) during collection efforts in the Red Sea. This work is part of the fish parasites project developed within the frame of the Center for Ecology of Marine Tropical Environments (CEMT).

References

CHISHOLM, L. A., WHITTINGTON, I. D. (1996): A revision of *Heterocotyle* (Monogenea: Monocotylidae) with a description of *Heterocotyle capricornensis* n. sp. from *Himantura fai* (Dasytididae) from Heron Island, Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 26: 1169 – 1190

CHISHOLM, L. A., WHITTINGTON, I. D. (1997): A revision of *Neoheterocotyle* (Monogenea: Monocotylidae) with des-

criptions of the larvae of *N. rhinobatis* and *N. rhynchobatis* from Heron Island, Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 27: 1041 – 1060

CRIBB, T. H., BRAY, R. A., BARKER, S. C., ADLARD, R. D., ANDERSON, G. R. (1994): Ecology and diversity of digenean trematodes of reef and inshore fishes of Queensland. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 24: 851 – 860

DIAMANT, A., PAPERNA, I. (1986): The parasites of wild Red-Sea rabbitfish (*Siganus* spp.) (Teleostei: Perciformis) as potential pathogens in mariculture. *Pathol. Mar. Aquacult.* European Aquaculture Society, Special Publication 9:71 – 83

DIAMANT, A. (1989): Lessepsian migrants as hosts: a parasitological assessment of rabbitfish *Siganus luridus* and *S. rivulatus* (Siganidae) in their original and new zoogeographical regions. In SPANIER, E., STEINBERGER, Y., LURIN, M. (Eds.): *Environmental Quality and Ecosystem Stability: Vol IV-B*, Environmental Quality ISEEQS Pub. Jerusalem, Israel

FROESE, R., PAULY, D. (2005): *FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication.* www.fishbase.org, accessed 22 December 2005

GOREN, M., DOR, M. (1994): *An updated checklist of the fishes of the Red Sea.* The Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities. Interuniversity Institute for Marine Sciences. Eilat, Israel, 120 pp.

KRITSKY, D. C., GALLI, P., YANG, T. (2007a): Dactylogyrids (Monogeneoidea) Parasitizing the gills of spinefoots (Teleostei, Siganidae): proposal of *Glyphidohaptor* n. gen., with two new species from the Great Barrier Reef, Australia, and *G. plectocirra* n. comb. From Ras Mohammed National Park, Egypt. *J. Parasitol.* In press

KRITSKY, D. C., GALLI, P., YANG, T. (2007b): Dactylogyrids (Monogeneoidea) Parasitizing the gills of spinefoots (Teleostei, Siganidae): revision of *Tetrancistrum* Goto and Kikuchi, 1917, with descriptions of *T. strophosolenum* sp. n. and *T. yamaguti* sp. n. from *Siganus* spp. of the Red Sea and Celebes. *J. Nat. Hist.*, In press

MALMBERG, G. (1970): The excretory systems and the marginal hooks as a basis for the systematics of *Gyrodactylus* (Trematoda, Monogenea). *Ark. Zool.*, 23: 1 – 235

PAPERNA, I. (1965): Contributions to the knowledge of the Red Sea. No. 32. Monogenetic trematodes from the gills of Red Sea fishes. *Bull. Sea Fish. Res. Stat.*, Haifa 39: 1 – 10

PAPERNA, I. (1972a): Monogenea of Red Sea fishes. III Dactylogyridae from littoral and reef fishes. *J. Helminthol.* 46: 47 – 62

PAPERNA, I. (1972b): Monogenea from Red Sea fishes. I. Monogenea of fish of the genus *Siganus*. *Proc. Helm. Soc. Wash.* 39: 33 – 39

PAPERNA, I. (1972c): Monogenea from Red Sea fishes. II Monogenea of Mullidae. *Proc. Helm. Soc. Wash.* 39: 39 – 45

PAPERNA, I., DIAMANT, A., OVERSTREET, R. M. (1984): Monogenean infestations and mortality in wild and cultured Red Sea fishes. *Helgoländer Meeresun.* 37: 445 – 462

POST, R. J., MILLEST, A. L. (1991): Sample size in parasito-

logical and vector surveys. *Parasitol. Today*, 7: 141 – 141
ROHDE, K. (1993): *Ecology of Marine Parasites*, 2nd ed.
Wallingford, Oxon, U.K.: CAB International. 298 pp.
SHEPPARD, C. R. C., PRICE, A., ROBERTS, C. (1992): *Marine Ecology of the Arabian Region*. Academic Press, Lon-

don, 359 pp.
WHITTINGTON, I. D. (1998): Diversity “down under”: monogeneans in the Antipodes (Australia) with a prediction of monogenean biodiversity worldwide. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 28: 1481 – 1493

RECEIVED JANUARY 30, 2004

ACCEPTED NOVEMBER 30, 2006