Anchoring Selenido-Carbonyl Ruthenium Clusters to Functionalised Silica Xerogels

Daniele Cauzzi, Claudia Graiff, Roberto Pattacini, Giovanni Predieri and Antonio Tiripicchio*

Dipartimento di Chimica Generale ed Inorganica, Chimica Analitica, Chimica Fisica, Università di Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 17/A, 43100 Parma, Italy

Xerogéis de silica contendo carbonilas metálicas polinucleares (clusters) com estrutura *nido* Ru₃Se₂ foram preparadas através de três rotas diferentes. A simples dispersão do cluster [Ru₃(μ_3 -Se)₂(CO)₇(PPh₃)₂] pelo processo sol gel produz um material não homogêneo. Xerogéis homogêneos foram obtidos através da reação de [Ru₃(μ_3 -Se)₂(CO)₈(PPh₃)] com xerogéis funcionalizados contendo fragmentos difenilfosfino enxertados, e pela reação do [Ru₃(CO)₁₂] com um xerogel contendo grupos fosfinoseleneto enxertados. A reação entre [Ru₃(CO)₁₂] e seleneto de dodecildifenilfosfinoseleneto resultou na formação de quatro clusters contendo ligantes carbonil e seleneto, solúveis em solventes apolares e que podem ser empregados na confecção de filmes.

Silica Xerogels containing carbonyl Ru₃Se₂ *nido* clusters were prepared in three different ways. The simple dispersion of $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_7(PPh_3)_2]$ *via* sol gel process produces an inhomogeneous material; by contrast, homogeneous xerogels were obtained by reaction of $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_8(PPh_3)]$ with functionalised xerogels containing grafted diphenylphosphine moieties and by reaction of $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ with a xerogel containing grafted phosphine-selenide groups. The reaction between $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ and dodecyldiphenylphosphine selenide led to the formation of four selenido carbonyl clusters, which are soluble in hydrocarbon solvents and can be deposited as thin films from their solution by slow evaporation.

Keywords: selenium, ruthenium, carbonyl clusters, sol-gel, anchored clusters

Introduction

Transition metal species may combine with main group elements or related molecular fragments affording a variety of interesting cluster compounds. Apart from their fundamental significance as a class of complexes owning peculiar chemical and structural properties, the growing interest for these species derives from their potential applications as catalysts, electrocatalysts and precursors for semiconductors.¹⁻³ Very recently chalcogenide ruthenium derivatives have shown interesting catalytic activity in oxygen reduction reactions in polymer electrolyte fuel cells suggesting their application as cathode for these devices.4-7 The availability of substituted chalcogenido metal clusters, such as [M_E_(CO)_(PR_2)_], offers the opportunity to obtain, by stoichiometrically and kinetically controlled processes, extended inorganic solids like M_LE₁ in the form of pure phases or microinhomogeneous materials (nanocomposites).⁸

In order to prepare transition-metal selenido clusters, different synthetic routes are presently available, such as those involving, as starting materials, diphenyl diselenide,^{9,10} phenylselenyl chloride,¹¹ selenophene,¹² trialkylsilyl selenides,¹³⁻¹⁵ polyselenide anions^{16,17} and phosphine selenides.¹⁸⁻²¹ Furthermore small selenido clusters, such as $[M_2(\mu$ -Se₂)(CO)₆] and $[M_3(\mu_3$ -Se)₂(CO)₉], can be conveniently used to prepare larger mono- and bimetallic species.^{22,23}

The reactions of tertiary phosphine selenides with metal carbonyl species provide simple synthetic procedures to form selenido clusters through transfer of selenium atoms to low-valent metal centres, taking advantage of the frailty of the P = Se bond. Despite to its synthetic potentiality, this method has been explored only to a limited extent before the last years. For this reason, we started to study the reactions of tertiary phosphine and diphosphine selenides with $[M_3(CO)_{12}]$ (M = Fe or Ru) with the aim to obtain new phosphine-substituted selenido-carbonyl iron and ruthenium clusters.²⁴

These reactions give a variety of such selenido carbonyl clusters, whose structural frameworks are shown in Scheme

^{*} e-mail: tiri@unipr.it



Scheme 1.

1. The open-triangular, variously substituted, *nido*-clusters with the M_3Se_2 *core* are the major products for both metals, but significant amounts of clusters with dinuclear M_2Se_2 , trinuclear M_3Se and tetranuclear M_4Se_2 *cores* are also obtained. The product distribution is strongly dependent on the reaction conditions, on the nature of the phosphine and on the cluster/phosphine molar ratio. In the case of $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ the reactions with R_3PSe proceed through two consecutive steps, the monoselenido clusters $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)(\mu_3-CO)(CO)_8(PR_3)]$ undergoing the second attack by R_3PSe to form the corresponding diselenido derivatives $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_7(PR_3)_2].^{25}$

As an extension of these investigations, we have tried to produce homogeneous dispersions of selenido-carbonyl clusters into inorganic or hybrid inorganic-organic matrices through the sol-gel process. This paper deals with the description of these materials aimed to act as precursors for finely dispersed chalcogenido nanoparticles. In this regard, this is the first time that chalcogenido-carbonyl molecular clusters are dispersed into solid matrices through anchoring with grafted phosphine ligands. Previous studies on cluster anchoring *via* phosphorus ligands concerned only carbonyl mono- and bimetallic clusters.²⁶ Moreover selenido carbonyl ruthenium clusters substituted with dodecyldiphenylphosphine (dodp) were prepared with the aim of obtaining selenido clusters soluble in hydrocarbons.

Experimental

General procedures

The starting reagents $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$, KSeCN, elemental selenium, Ph₂PCH₂CH₂Si(OEt)₃, tetramethyl orthosilicate (TMOS), NH₄F, n-dodecyl bromide, metallic Mg and Ph₂PCl were pure commercial products (Aldrich and Fluka) and were used as received. $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_7(PPh_3)_2]$ and $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_8(PPh_3)]$ were prepared by reaction of

 $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ with Ph₃PSe as reported in the literature.²⁴ The solvents (Carlo Erba) were dried and distilled by standard techniques before use. All manipulations were carried out under dry nitrogen by means of standard Schlenk-tube techniques. TLC was carried out on 20x20 silica (Merck 60 PF₂₅₄) plates. Elemental analyses (C, H) were performed with a Carlo Erba EA 1108 automated analyser. FTIR spectra (KBr discs and CH₂Cl₂ solutions) were recorded on a Nicolet Nexus FT spectrometer. ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded on AC300 (¹H) and CXP200 (³¹P) Bruker spectrometers.

Dispersion of $Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_7(PPh_3)_2$ in silica gel by solgel process

300 mg of [Ru₃(μ_3 -Se)₂(CO)₇(PPh₃)₂] (0.25 mmol) were dissolved in 5 mL of a 1:1 CH₂Cl₂/MeOH mixture and added to 270.28 mg of TMOS (1.77 mmol). 128 μ L of a 0.55 mol L⁻¹ solution of NH₄F in water were added to the first solution under stirring. After 15 min the gelation process was complete. 24 h after the gelation, due to the evaporation of CH₂Cl₂, the gel became inhomogeneous and orange crystalline formations were observed. FTIR $\nu_{\rm co}/\rm cm^{-1}$: 2042s, 2009s, 1996s, 1980s, 1961s, 1948s (KBr).

Preparation of the functionalized xerogel Xgp1

A mixture of Ph₂PCH₂CH₂Si(OEt)₃(590 mg, 1.57 mmol) and TMOS (1187 mg, 7.80 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of MeOH. A solution of 14 mg of NH₄F (0.38 mmol) in 325 μ L of distilled water was added to the mixture under stirring. The gelation process was complete in a few seconds. The white product was filtered, washed twice with MeOH and dried under vacuum.

The xerogel was characterised by FTIR spectroscopy (CH₂CH₂PPh₂ group). FTIR ν_{CO} /cm⁻¹: 592s, 740m, 793w, 952s, 1409w, 1436s, 1483w (KBr). Found: C, 28.8%; H, 2.35%. Anal. Calc. for 5SiO₂ SiO_{3/2}(CH₂)₂PPh₂: C, 29.72%; H, 2.49 %.

Selenuration of Xgp1

500 mg of KSeCN (3.47 mmol) were added to a suspension of **Xgp1** (1.5 g) in 50 mL of MeCN. The mixture was stirred for 5 h, the solvent was removed by filtration and the white solid obtained (**Xgp2**) was washed with amounts of MeCN and distilled water. FTIR spectra in KBr disc confirmed the selenuration: FTIR $\nu_{\rm PSe}/\rm cm^{-1}$: 532 (KBr). Found: C, 26.2%; H, 2.28%. Anal. Calc. for 5SiO₂SiO₃₂(CH₂)₂P(Se)Ph₂: C, 26.08 %; H, 2.18 %.

Reaction $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_8(PPh_3)]$ with Xgp1

225.2 mg of **Xgp1** were added to a solution of $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_8(PPh_3)]$ (300 mg, 0.30 mmol) in 100 mL of toluene. The suspension was stirred at 60 °C for 1 h and filtered. The pink-red solid (**Xgp3**) obtained was washed with CH₂Cl₂.

FTIR ν_{co} /cm⁻¹: 2046m, 2010s, 1998vs 1960sh (KBr).

Reaction of $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ with Xgp2

1.5 g of **Xgp2** was added to a solution of 500 mg of $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$. The suspension was stirred at 90 °C for 2 h. After filtration, a reddish brown solid (**Xgp4**) was isolated and washed with CH_2Cl_2 . The FTIR spectra of the red solid shows CO stretching bands due to anchored carbonyl clusters: FTIR ν_{CO} /cm⁻¹: 2048vs, 2010vs, 1970s, 1810w (KBr).

The remaining orange-red solution was dried in vacuum and was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂. FTIR spectra indicate the presence of unreacted [Ru₃(CO)₁₂] and traces of [Ru₃(μ_3 -Se)₂(CO)₉]. FTIR ν_{CO} /cm⁻¹: 2077vs, 2053s and 2020m (CH₂Cl₂). [Ru₃(CO)₁₂] recovered by preparative TLC: 75 mg, 15%.

Preparation of dodecyldiphenylphosphine (dodp)

0.5 g (20 mmol) of metallic Mg were added to a 20 mL solution of n-dodecyl bromide (3.75 g, 15 mmol), and activated adding 250 μ L of 1,2-dichloroethane.

The mixture was stirred for 10 min and added dropwise to a solution of Ph_2PCl (3.31 g, 15 mmol) in 250 ml of THF at 0 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring for 2 h at room temperature, the solvent was removed in vacuum and the yellow solid obtained was extracted and filtered with hexane. Upon removing the solvent, a yellow lacquer was obtained (dodp, yield 88%).

³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ -15.9; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.05 (t, 3 H, CH₃ dd, ¹J (H,H) 6.9 Hz), 1.58-1.43 (m, 22 H, CH₃ dd), 7.5-7.8 (m, 12 H, Ph).

Preparation of dodecyldiphenylphosphine selenide (dodpSe)

1.85 g of KSeCN (12.8 mmol) were added to a $CH_2Cl_2/MeCN$ (20/80 mL) solution of dodp (2.3 g, 6 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h; the solvent was removed in vacuum and the white solid obtained was washed with water, filtered and dried under vacuum (yield 84%).

FTIR v_{pSe} /cm⁻¹: 531 (KBr). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ

34.1 ${}^{1}J(P,Se)$ 721 Hz, ${}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.85 (t, 3 H, CH₃ dd, ${}^{1}J(H,H)$ 6.9 Hz), 1.35 (m, 20 H, CH₂ dd) 2.50 (br, 2 H, P-CH₂ dd), 7.4-7.9 (m, 12 H, Ph)

Reaction of $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ with dodpSe

Treatment of a toluene solution of $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ (300 mg, 0.47 mmol) with 406 mg of dodpSe (0.94 mmol) for 1.5 h in toluene at 90 °C gave a deep red solution, which was evaporated to dryness. The residue was redissolved in a small amount of CH₂Cl₂. Four products were isolated by TLC using a mixture of CH₂Cl/hexane (1:1) as eluent: a red-orange band containing the *nido* cluster $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_8(dodp)]$ (1) (yield 20%), a red band containing the *nido* cluster $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_7(dodp)_2]$ (2) (yield 35%), a purple band containing the *nido* cluster $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_6(dodp)_3]$ (3) (yield 10%) and a brown band containing the *closo* cluster $[Ru_4(\mu_4-Se)_2(CO)_9(dodp)_2]$ (4) (yield 15%). All products were identified by comparison of their spectroscopic data with those reported in the literature.

Cluster **1** FTIR ν_{co} /cm⁻¹: 2077m, 2044s, 2022s, 2006s, 1977sh (CH₂Cl₂). Found: C, 37.1%; H 3.4%. Anal. Calc. for Ru₃Se₂PC₃₂O₈H₃₅: C, 36.95%; H, 3.36%. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 46 br; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.88 (t, 3 H, CH₃ dd, ¹J(H,H) 6.9 Hz), 1.26 (m, 20 H, CH₂ dd), 2.61 (br, 2 H, PCH₂ dd), 7.5-7.8 (m, 12 H, Ph)

Cluster **2** FTIR ν_{co} /cm⁻¹: 2045s, 2006vs, 1967s, 1944m (CH₂Cl₂). Found: C, 49.2%; H 5.2%. Anal. Calc. for Ru₃Se₂P₂C₅₅O₇H₇₀: C, 48.35%; H, 5.16%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.91 (t, 6 H, CH₃ dd, ¹*J*(H,H) 6.9 Hz), δ 1.28 (m, 40 H, CH₂ dd), δ 1.66 (br, 4 H, PCH₂ dd), δ 7.4-7.7 (m, 24 H, Ph).

Cluster **3** FTIR ν_{co}/cm^{-1} : 2013m, 1988vs, 1963s, 1943sh (CH₂Cl₂). Found: C, 53.8%; H 5.9%. Anal. Calc. for Ru₃Se₂P₃C₇₈O₆H₁₀₅: C, 55.34%; H, 5.67%. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 34.3, 35.5, 42.2; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.87 (t, 9 H, CH₃ dd, ¹*J*(H,H) 6.5 Hz), 1.24 (m, 60 H, CH₂ dd), 2.47 (br, 6 H, PCH₂ dd), 7.4-7.7 (m, 36 H, Ph).

Cluster **4** FTIR ν_{co}/cm^{-1} : 2037w, 2010vs, 1955m, 1840w, 1799w (CH₂Cl₂). Found: C, 44.2%; H 4.6%. Anal. Calc. for Ru₄Se₂P₂C₅₇O₉H₇₀: C, 44.94%; H, 4.63%. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 42.6 br; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.87 (t, 6 H, CH₃ dd, ¹*J*(H,H) 6.3 Hz), 1.24 (m, 40, CH₂ dd), 1.66 (br, 4 H, PCH₂ dd), 7.3-7.5 (m, 48 H, Ph).

Results and Discussion

Xerogels containing selenido carbonyl *nido* clusters of formula Ru_3Se_2 were prepared by three different ways: (i) by reaction of $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ with a functionalised xerogel containing selenised $CH_2CH_2PPh_3$ groups; (ii) by reaction of $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_8(PPh_3)]$ with phosphinated silica; (iii) by simple dispersion of $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_7(PPh_3)_2]$ in silica by sol-gel process.

In the last case, a solution of $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_7(PPh_3)_2]$ and TMOS (5:1 Ru/Si molar ratio) in a CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/ H₂O mixture yielded, by hydrolysis and condensation reactions, an homogeneous ruby-red material. Nevertheless, subsequent solvent evaporation leads to the growth of $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_7(PPh_3)_2]$ microcrystals (Figure 1) that gradually separate in the monolithic bulk.



Figure 1. Microphotograph of the silica gel encapsulating $[Ru_3(\mu_3 - Se)_2(CO)_7(PPh_3)_2]$ emphasizing the separation of microcrystals of the selenido cluster. The numeric values are expressed in μ m.

The final material is inhomogeneous and completely releases the cluster by washing with organic solvent. This occurs also in the case of a lower Ru/Si ratio. The FTIR spectra shows strong CO stretching bands that perfectly superimpose the typical solid state $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_7(PPh_3)_3]$ absorption pattern (Figure 2); these bands



Figure 2. FTIR (transmittance %) spectrum (KBr) of $[Ru_3(\mu_3 - Se)_2(CO)_7(PPh_3)_2]$ dispersed in silica; the CO stretching pattern (in the dotted rectangle) is the same observed for the pure cluster in the solid state (KBr).

disappear after washing with CH_2Cl_2 , indicating the total extraction of the carbonyl cluster from the gel.

Homogeneous xerogels containing dispersed selenido clusters can be prepared by the other two ways (i and ii, mentioned above), which lead to cluster-anchoring through phosphine ligands attached to the silica. Mode (ii) involves the reaction between the molecular selenido cluster $[Ru_2(\mu_2-Se)_2(CO)_2(PPh_2)]^{24}$ with the phosphine functionalized xerogel Xgp1. This is prepared by sol-gel co-processing TMOS and (EtO), SiCH, CH, PPh, (5:1 Si/P molar ratio) in a MeOH/H₂O mixture. The gelation process is fast and produces an air stable material which reacts with $[Ru_2(\mu_2-Se)_2(CO)_2(PPh_2)]$ at 60 °C, yielding a purplered solid (Xgp3). The FTIR spectrum shows strong carbonyl absorption bands in the typical range of the selenido carbonyl ruthenium clusters. A comparison of the pattern with those described in the literature²⁴ shows clearly that two species are anchored to the silica matrix: the phospine di- and tri-substituted species with the Ru₂Se₂ core (Figure 3). As one of the phosphine ligands is the original PPh₃ (if we exclude its dissociation from the clusters), the di- and tri-substituted species are anchored to the gel though one and two phosphine ligands respectively.



Figure 3. FTIR (transmittance %) spectra of: A) $[Ru_3(\mu_3 - Se)_2(CO)_6(PPh_3)_3]$ (CH₂Cl₂) B) **xpg3** (KBr) C) $[Ru_3(\mu_3 - Se)_2(CO)_7(PPh_3)_2]$ (CH₂Cl₂). Pattern B indicates that the **xpg3** xerogel contains phosphine di- (pattern C) and tri-substituted (pattern A) Ru_3Se_2 species.

Actually, the P/Si molar ratio is high enough to permit that occasionally two anchored phosphine ligands coordinate two different ruthenium atoms yielding also the tri-substituted cluster, as observed for the analogous reaction between $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_8(PPh_3)]$ and PPh₃ carried out in the liquid phase. The xerogel completely retains the cluster even if treated with CH₂Cl₂ or hot toluene.

The first route (i) to anchored selenido clusters requires the use of phosphine selenides grafted to silica. Therefore **Xgp1** was treated with KSeCN at room temperature, resulting in the selenation of the grafted phosphine functions which was verified by the presence of the PSe stretching band at 532 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectrum.

The selenised xerogel (**Xgp2**) reacts with $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ in hot toluene, yielding a brick-red solid **Xgp4**, whose FTIR spectrum shows strong carbonyl absorption as in the case of **Xgp3**. A comparison of the absorption pattern (see Figure 4) with those reported in literature for the selenidocarbonyl ruthenium clusters confirms that the major product is the Ru_3Se_2 -core cluster, anchored to the gel *via* two phosphine ligands. Scheme 2 represents the idealized structural diagram of the Ru_3Se_2 cluster anchored to silica in **Xgp4**.



Figure 4. FTIR (transmittance %) spectra of: A) $[Ru_3(\mu_3 - Se)_2(CO)_7(PPh_3)_2]$ (CH₂Cl₂) B) **xpg4** (KBr) emphasizing the presence of phosphine-di-substituted Ru₃Se₂ clusters in the xerogel.



Scheme 2.

The formation of $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_7(L)_2]$ (L= =SiCH₂CH₂PPh₂) species is more selective than in the case of **Xgp3**. Despite this high selectivity, it is probable that other selenido cluster species are anchored to the gel, similarly to what observed in the reaction of $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ with R₃PSe in the liquid phase, which affords a variety of minor products.²⁴ In this regard, the presence of the shoulder at 2077 cm⁻¹ suggests the presence of the monosubstituted Ru_3Se_2 clusters, whereas the band at 1830 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the (μ_2 -CO) stretching in the Ru_4Se_2 core clusters.

It is interesting to observe the broadening effect of the anchorage on the carbonyl stretching bands which are solution-like, broader than those observed for **Xgp3** and different to the $[Ru_3(\mu_3-Se)_2(CO)_7(PPh_3)_2]$ solid state pattern. The broadening effect is similar to that reported in the literature for various species anchored to silica gels. The xerogel does not release the cluster when treated with organic solvents and presents a high homogeneity, as in the case of **Xgp3**.

Furthermore, formation of small amounts of $[Ru_3(\mu_3 - Se)_2(CO)_9]$ in the reaction solvent should be noted from a side reaction during the anchoring process (i). This secondary reaction pattern was not observed in the case of the reaction of $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ with R₃PSe in liquid phases. It could be the result of selenium transfer without ligand substitution due to leaching of selenido carbonyl ruthenium species.

Finally, the reaction between dodpSe and $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ leads to the formation of four products: $[Ru_3(\mu_3 - Se)_2(CO)_8(dodp)]$ 1 (yield 20%), $[Ru_3(\mu_3 - Se)_2(CO)_7(dodp)_2]$ 2 (yield 35%), $[Ru_3(\mu_3 - Se)_2(CO)_6(dodp)_3]$ 3 (yield 10%) and $[Ru_4(\mu_4 - Se)_2(CO)_9(dodp)_2]$ 4 (yield 15%). This distribution is similar to that observed in the analogous reaction with PPh₃.²⁴ *Nido* clusters 1, 2 and 3 contain the same square pyramidal Ru₃Se₂ *core*, substituted, respectively, with one, two and three phosphine ligands. Two phosphines coordinate the octahedral Ru₄Se₂ *core* of the *closo* cluster 4. These compounds, particularly derivatives 2 and 3, are deeply coloured species soluble in hydrocarbons and easily depositable as thin films on solid surfaces by slow evaporation of their solutions; they are potential candidates for optical (NLO) applications.²⁷

References

- Fenske, D.; Longoni, G.; Schmid, G. In *Clusters and Colloids*; Schmid, G., ed., VCH: Weinheim, 1994, ch. 3, p. 89.
- Dehnen, S.; Eichhöfer, A.; Fenske, D.; *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2002, 279.
- 4. Rodriguez, F. J.; Sebastian, P. J.; J. New Mat. Electrochem. Systems 1999, 2, 107.
- 5. Rodriguez, F. J.; Sebastian, P. J.; Surf. Eng. 2000, 16, 43.
- Duron, S.; Rivera-Noriega, R.; Leyva, M. A.; Nkeng, P.; Poillerat, G.; Solorza-Feria, O.; *J. Solid State Electrochem.* 2000, 4, 70.

- 7. Mizutani, J.; Matsumoto, K.; Chem. Lett. 2000, 1, 72.
- Steigerwald, M. L.; Siegrist, T.; Gyorgy, E. M.; Hessen, B.; Kwon, Y.-U.; Tanzler, S. M.; *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 3389.
- Johnson, B. F. G.; Layer, T. M.; Lewis, J.; Martin, A.; Raithby, P. R.; *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, *429*, C41.
- Layer, T. M.; Lewis, J.; Martin, A.; Raithby, P. R.; Wong, W.-T.; J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1992, 3411.
- Chihara, T.; Yamazaki, H.; J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 428, 169.
- Arce, A. J.; Machado, R.; Rivas, C.; De Sanctis, Y.; Deeming, A. J.; *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *419*, 63.
- Fenske, D.; Ohmer, J.; Hachgenei, J.; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 993.
- 14. Fenske, D.; Krautscheid, H.; Müller, M.; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 321.
- Krautscheid, H.; Fenske, D.; Baum, G.; Semmelmann, M.; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 1303.
- Hsiou Liao, J.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; *Inorg. Chem.* 1992, 31, 431.
- Hsiou Liao, J.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7400.
- Hogarth, G.; Taylor, N. J.; Carty, A. J.; Meyer, A.; J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 834.
- Van Gastel, F.; Agnes, L.; Cherkas, A. A.; Corrigan, J. F.; Doherty, S.; Ramachandran, R.; Taylor, N. J.; and Carty, A. J.; *J. Cluster Sci.* 1991, 2, 131.
- Stuczynski, S.; M. Kwon Y.-U. and Steigerwald, M. L.; J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 449, 167.
- 21. Imhof, W.; Huttner, G.; J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 448, 247.
- Mathur, P.; Hossain, Md. M.; Rashid, R. S.; J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 460, 83.

- Mathur, P.; Hossain, Md. M.; Rashid, R. S.; J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 467, 245.
- Baistrocchi, P.; Cauzzi, D.; Lanfranchi, M.; Predieri, G.; Tiripicchio, A.; Tiripicchio Camellini, M.; *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1995**, 235, 173; Cauzzi, D.; Graiff, C.; Predieri, G.; Tiripicchio, A. In *Metal Clusters in Chemistry;* Braunstein, P.; Oro, L.; Raithby, P. R., eds., VCH: Weinheim, 1999, vol. 1, pp. 193-208; Cauzzi, D.; Graiff, C.; Massera, C.; Predieri, G.; Tiripicchio, A.; *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, 721; Fabrizi de Biani, F.; Graiff, C.; Opromolla, G.; Predieri, G.; Tiripicchio, A.; Zanello, P.; *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, 637-639, 586; Braunstein, P.; Graiff, C.; Massera, C.; Predieri, G.; Rosè, J.; Tiripicchio, A.; *Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, *41*, 1372
- Belletti, D.; Cauzzi, D.; Graiff, C.; Minarelli, A.; Pattacini, R.; Predieri, G.; Tiripicchio, A.; *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 2002, 3160.
- Freeman, M. B.; Patrick, M. A.; Gates, B. C.; *J. Catal.* 1982, 73, 82; Doi, Y.; Soga, K.; Yano, K.; Ono, Y.; *J. Mol. Catal.* 1983, *19*, 359; Lieto, J.; Wolf, M.; Matrana, B. A.; Prochazka, M.; Tesche, B.; Knoezinger, H.; Gates, B. C.; *J. Phys. Chem.* 1985, *89*, 991; Shido, T.; Okazaki, T.; Ulla, M. A.; Fujimoto, T; Ichikawa, M.; *Catal. Lett.* 1993, *17*, 97; Shido, T.; Okazaki, T.; Ichikawa, M.; *J. Mol. Catal. A* 1997, *120*, 33.
- Mathur, P.; Ghose, S.; Munkir, Md. M.; Satyanarayana, C. C.
 V.; Chada, R. K.; Banerjee, S.; Ravindra Kumar, G.; J. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 568, 197.

Received: December 11, 2002 Published on the web: August 1, 2003