





**Figure 2. Schematic overview of the dataflow used in PABS.** Databases are drawn as bins, rectangles represent applications; direction of dataflow is indicated by connectors. The identification of candidate extension clone is based on BLASTn analysis of the input sequence against the BAC-end sequences (BES) database, and on calculation of Repeat Analysis Program (RAP) Index and Low Complexity Index. The candidate BAC clones are then shotgun sequenced. A first set of 96 clones from the shotgun library is sequenced and a multifasta format of these is processed by the PABS-Validate, using BLASTn against different types of databases.

Tomato Genome Project. The project is based on a BAC-by-BAC sequencing strategy and relies on a BES database (more than 310,000 sequences), but lacks a robust physical map (4,5). Our group is involved in the sequencing of chromosome 12; at the time of writing, it has successfully used PABS for 33 rounds of walking, without any error in the extension process.

PABS uses BLASTn (6) to analyze a fully or partially sequenced clone (hereafter referred to as “initial BAC”) against the BES database. PABS-Select takes as “input sequence” the initial BAC (either the complete sequence or the end under investigation) and returns a graphical representation of the position and orientation of the BES (represented as oriented arrows) overlapping the input sequence (Figure 1A).

An innovative feature of PABS is its ability to integrate the BES analysis with the presence of repetitive sequences. In particular, PABS identifies repeated regions with the

Repeat Analysis Program (RAP) (7) and calculates the Low Complexity Index as one minus the Linguistic Complexity Index (8). The RAP Index gives an estimate of the “repetitiveness” of a DNA region. It is calculated for each position of the input sequence by means of a de novo analysis that does not require any previous knowledge about repeats. PABS displays the results of BLASTn and RAP, thus allowing a more reliable selection of adjacent clones. The choice will be addressed to BACs with a suitable overlap to the initial BAC and with the aligned BES positioned in a low-repeat region.

To make the selection easier and faster, PABS allows a direct visualization of the BES electropherogram aligned with the input sequence (Figure 1B). In this way the user can quickly evaluate sequences of poor quality that may be the cause of misleading BLASTn results. In addition, an automated procedure collects and summarizes all the available information on the candidate BACs (insert

length, genetic markers, FISH data, sequencing status) to optimize the selection for the extension.

The selected BAC is then sequenced with a shotgun approach. To further validate the selection, we have designed PABS-Validate. Typically, the first set of 96 shotgun sequences produced from the selected BAC are submitted as a multifasta file to PABS-Validate and analyzed using BLASTn against three databases: the initial BAC, the finished BACs (i.e., all the finished BACs of the Tomato Genome Project), and the partially sequenced BACs (i.e., the BACs under sequencing). Three types of controls can be made: (i) some of the reads should fall into the overlapping region of the initial BAC, thus confirming a correct walking; (ii) no reads should significantly match other sequenced BACs belonging to different genomic regions, because this would indicate a possible jump to another region; and (iii) as an exception to the previous point, when several extensions are carried out simultaneously from different seeds, we expect that eventually the different walks could merge; therefore we must also consider this event and the consequent possibility to work out the extent of the overlap at the two ends of a bridging BAC.

A complete scheme of the PABS flowchart is represented in Figure 2.

In conclusion, PABS offers two main features:

- it makes the process of generating a reliable minimal tiling path of BACs more robust since it is specifically designed to deal with repetitive sequences;
- it allows a series of validations at the beginning of the shotgun sequencing of each BAC, minimizing the possibility of mistakes and optimizing the merging of overlapping BACs.

PABS is freely accessible at <http://tomato.cribi.unipd.it/files/bioinformatics.html>, where further detailed instructions are also available. At the moment, the pipeline has been implemented only for the Tomato Sequencing Project but its modular structure would allow easy adaptation to other projects

based on a clone-by-clone sequencing strategy.

## COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT

*The authors declare no competing interests.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*This research is supported by the Fondo per gli Investimenti della Ricerca di Base (grant no. RBLA0345SF).*

## REFERENCES

1. Venter, J.C., M.D. Adams, E.W. Myers, P.W. Li, R.J. Mural, G.G. Sutton, H.O. Smith, M. Yandell, et al. 2001. The sequence of the human genome. *Science* 291:1304-1351.
2. Lander, E.S., L.M. Linton, B. Birren, C. Nusbaum, M.C. Zody, J. Baldwin, K. Devon, K. Dewar, et al. 2001. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature* 409:860-921.
3. Batzoglou, S., B. Berger, J. Mesirov, and E.S. Lander. 1999. Sequencing a genome by walking with clone-end sequences: a mathematical analysis. *Genome Res.* 9:1163-1174.
4. Mueller, L.A., T.H. Solow, N. Taylor, B. Skwarecki, R. Buels, J. Binns, C. Lin, M.H. Wright, et al. 2005. The SOL Genomics Network: a comparative resource for Solanaceae biology and beyond. *Plant Physiol.* 138:1310-1317.
5. Budiman, M.A., L. Mao, T.C. Wood, and R.A. Wing. 2000. A deep-coverage tomato BAC library and prospects toward development of an STC framework for genome sequencing. *Genome Res.* 10:129-136.
6. Altschul, S.F., T.L. Madden, A.A. Schäffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Miller, and D.J. Lipman. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25:3389-3402.
7. Campagna, D., C. Romualdi, N. Vitulo, M. Del Favero, M. Lexa, N. Cannata, and G. Valle. 2005. RAP: a new computer program for de novo identification of repeated sequences in whole genomes. *Bioinformatics* 21:582-588.
8. Orlov, Y.L. and V.N. Potapov. 2004. Complexity: an internet resource for analysis

of DNA sequence complexity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32:W628-W633.

Received 21 September 2007; accepted 26 October 2007.

*Address correspondence to Alessandro Vezzi, Department of Biology, University of Padova, via Ugo Bassi 58/B, Padova, Italy. e-mail: sandrin@cribi.unipd.it*

*To purchase reprints of this article, contact: Reprints@BioTechniques.com*