having had a myocardial infarct, stroke or Transient Intermittent Attack after adjustments to age, gender and disease duration (table 2). The predictors of mortality in the MCTD cohort were% ILD of Total Lung Volume after age and gender adjustments (table 3). According to the Harrell's C index,

Abstract S1A:6 Table 1 Characteristics in MCTD and SLE patients

	SLE N =	MCTD N	P - valu	
Characteristics	243	= 145		
Characteristics Age at study inclusion,	46 (16)	46 (15)	NS	
M(SD)	46 (16)	46 (15)	INS	
Age at diagnosis, M (SD)	35 (15)	36 (16)	NS	
Male Gender, N (%)	25 (10)	33 (23)	.0011	
Disease duration at study inclusion, M(SD)	12 (9)	10 (8)	NS	
Deceased, N (%)	25 (10)	26 (18)	.0311	
Age at death, M (SD)	69 (14)	68 (15)	NS	
Age at death, M (3D)	09 (14)	00 (13)	INS	
Clinical features ever				
present at inclusion, N (%)				
Malar rash	119 (49)	62 (42)	NS	
Arthritis	170 (70)	116 (79)	NS	
Pleuritis	51 (21)	21 (14)	NS	
Pericarditis	36 (15)	19 (13)	NS	
Lupus nephritis ²	47 (27)	4 (3)	<.0011	
CNS	18 (7)	11 (8)	NS	
Leukopenia	108 (44)	46 (31)	.0101	
Thrombocytopenia	48 (20)	19 (13)	NS	
Raynauld	91 (37)	145 (99)	<.0011	
Alopeci	69 (28)	41 (28)	NS	
Complications at				
inclusion, N (%)				
Myocardial infarct	12 (5)	3 (5)	NS	
Cerebral infarct	10 (4)	4 (3)	NS	
TIA	5 (2)	1 (1)	NS	
Arterial event ³	29 (12)	10 (9)	NS	
Venous thrombosis⁴	20 (8)	7 (5)	NS	
Interstitial Lung Disease	3 (1)	52 (35)	<.0011	
PAH	1 (<1)	3 (2)	NS	

Abstract S1A:6 Table 2 Mortality prediction in SLE patients (N=243)

Clinical features	Multivariable model			
	HR	95 % CI	P value	
Myocardial infarct, Cerebral infarct or TIA	3.58	1.53 - 8.33	.003	
Age at study inclusion	1.09	1.06 - 1.06	<.001	
Male gender	.41	.15 – 1.14	.087	
Disease duration at inclusion	.98	.95 – 1.02	.425	
Lupus nephritis class III to VI	3.89	1.09 - 13.93	.037	

Abstract S1A:6 Table 3 Mortality prediction in MCTD patients (N=145)

Clinical features	Multivariable model			
	HR	95 % CI	P value	
% ILD of TLV	1.07	1.02 - 1.12	.004	
Age at study inclusion	1.09	1.06 – 1.13	<.001	
Male gender	.45	.18 – 1.15	.094	

patient outcomes were accurately predicted by the SLE multivariable model 85% of the time and 84% in the MCTD model.

Conclusions SLE and MCTD are similar in many aspects, but differ in disease manifestations that have an impact on mortality, indicating that different follow-up approaches and management is needed.

S1d: Therapeutic strategies

S1D:4 TESTING DIFFERENT DEFINITIONS OF REMISSION IN A MONOCENTRIC CAUCASIAN COHORT OF SLE PATIENTS

F Saccon, M Zen, M Gatto, M Larosa, L Nalotto, S Bindoli, L laccarino, A Doria. *Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine DIMED, University of Padova, Italy*

10.1136/lupus-2018-abstract.4

Objective To evaluate the prevalence of different definitions of remission and their effect on damage in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE).

Design and method We considered 293 caucasian SLE patients followed-up for 7 years (2009–2015): 253 (86.3%) were female, mean \pm SD disease duration 11.1 \pm 7.8 years. Disease activity was assessed by clinical SLEDAI-2K (c-SLEDAI) and damage by SLICC/ACR Damage Index (SDI). We evaluate the effect of different definitions of remission (c-SLEDAI=0; c-SLEDAI \leq 1; c-SLEDAI=0 and prednisone \leq 5 mg/day; c-SLEDAI \leq 1 and prednisone \leq 5 mg/day; c-SLEDAI \leq 1 and prednisone \leq 5 mg/day and PGA <0.5; c-SLEDAI \leq 1 and prednisone \leq 5 mg/day and PGA <0.5; c-SLEDAI \leq 1 and prednisone \leq 5 mg/day and PGA <0.5; c-SLEDAI \leq 1 and prednisone \leq 5 mg/day and PGA <0.5; c-SLEDAI \leq 1 and prednisone \leq 5 mg/day and PGA <0.5) and different durations of remission (1, 2, 3, 4, \geq 5 consecutive years) on SDI using multiple logistic regression analysis.

Results Frequency of remission achieved during the 7 year follow-up are reported in table 1 according to the different definitions.

The mean increase in SDI and the percentage of patients with increased of SDI from the baseline to the end of follow-up were significantly higher in unremitted and 1 year remitted patients compared with patients with 2-, 3-, 4- and ≥ 5 year remission, irrespective of the definition of remission. 5 year remitted patients had lower damage compared with 2 year (p<0.01) and 3 year (p<0.01) remitted patients. At multivariate analysis, a remission lasting at least 2 years was an independent predictor of no damage accrual only in the definitions including prednisone intake ≤ 5 mg/day and/or PGA <0.5 (table 2).

A2 LUPUS 2018;**5**(Suppl 1):A1–A129

Conclusions The inclusion of PGA <0.5 in the definition reduces the frequency of remission only in the long-term (≥ 5 year). A sustained remission, regardless of its definition, is associated with a lower chronic damage development. The addition of prednisone ≤ 5 mg/day and/or PGA <0.5 to c-SLE-DAI=0/ ≤ 1 increases the ability to predict the absence of damage accrual compared with cSLEDAI=0/ ≤ 1 without substantial differences among them.

S1D:5

SLE DISEASE ACTIVITY INDEX GLUCOCORTICOSTEROID INDEX (SLEDAI-2KG) IDENTIFIES MORE RESPONDERS THAN SLEDAI-2K

¹M Urowitz, ¹DD Gladman, ¹J Su, ¹NM Anderson, ²Z Touma. ¹University of Toronto and Toronto Western Hospital, Department of Rheumatology, Toronto, Canada; ²University of Toronto, Department of Rheumatology, Institute of Health Policy, Management Evaluation, Toronto Western H, Toronto, Canada

10.1136/lupus-2018-abstract.5

Abstract S1D:4 Table 1 Proportion of patients achieving different levels of remission according to the duration of remission

	Unrem mited	1-year remissi	2-year remissi	3-year remissi	4-year remissi	≥5-year remissi
	patients	on	00	00	00	00
Remission Type	A 90		Number (9	6) of patient	5	5.07
c-SLEDAI=0	29 (9.9%)	31 (10.6%)	40 (13.7%)	44 (15.0%)	(7.8%)	126 (43.0%)
c-SLEDAI⊴ l	(8.2%)	(9.9%)	36 (12.3%)	40 (13.7%)	(7.5%)	142 (48.5%)
c-SLEDAI=0 and prednisone si mg/day	35 (11.9%)	(9.2%)	46 (15.7%)	48 (16.4%)	24 (\$.2%)	(38.6%)
c-SLEDAI≤l and prednisone ≤5 mg/day	35 (11.9%)	26 (8.9%)	44 (15.0%)	44 (15.0%)	23 (7.8%)	121 (41.3%)
c-SLEDAI=0 and PGA<0.5	75 (25.6%)	42 (14.3%)	(17.7%)	48 (16.4%)	(7.2%)	(18.8%)
c-SLEDAI⊴l and PGA<0.5	73 (24.9%)	41 (14.0%)	(17.4%)	48 (16.4%)	(7.5%)	58 (19.8%)
c-SLEDAI=0 and prednisone si mg/day and PGA<0.5	\$2 (28.0%)	47 (16.0%)	55 (18.8%)	47 (16.0%)	18 (6.1%)	44 (15.0%)
c-SLEDAIsl and prednisone si mg/day and PGA<0.5	30 (27.3%)	48 (16.4%)	53 (18.1%)	47 (16.0%)	19 (6.5%)	46 (15.7%)

Abstract S1D:4 Table 2 Multivariate analysis: predictors of damage accrual over the follow-up

	c-SLEDAI=0	c-SLEDAI⊴l	c-SLEDAI=0 and prednisone ≤5 mg/day	c-SLEDAI⊴l and prednisone ≤0 mg/day	c-SLEDAI=0 and PGA<0.5	c-SLEDAI sl and PGA<0.5	c-SLEDAI=0 and prednisone ≤5 mg/day and PGA<0.5	c-SLEDAI ≤I and prednisone ≤i mg/day and PGA<0.5
		1703-91	Odds ratio	95% C.I	(min-max)	p value	4000	
Patient age at the end of follow-up, years	1.038 (1.012-1.064) g=0.004	1.038 (1.013-1.064) g=0.003	1.035 (1.010-1.061) p=0.006	1.038 (1.013-1.064) p=0.003	ns.	65.	as.	25.
Disease duration, years	1.046 (1.005-1.089) g=0.028	1.048 (1.006-1.090) p=0.023	1.050 (1.008-1.093) p=0.018	1.045 (1.005-1.088) p=0.028	1.049 (1.013-1.086) p=0.007	1.048 (1.013-1.085) p=0.007	1.041 (1.002-1.082) p=0.037	1.041 (1.001-1.082) p=0.042
Antiphospholipid syndrome	3.871 (1.559-9.610) p=0.004	4.155 (1.709- 10.103) p=0.002	4.202 (1.676- 10.536) p=0.002	4.392 (1.778- 10.847) p=0.001	3.287 (1.330- 8.122) p=0.010	3.122 (1.297-7.510) p=0.011	3.042 (1.468- 8.483) p=0.005	3.436 (1.423- 8.293) p=0.006
Vasculitis	3.950 (1.357- 11.498) p=0.012	3.726 (1.292- 10.742) p=0.015	3.412 (1.146- 10.156) p=0.027	3.443 (1166- 10.170) p=0.025	3.354 (1.156-9.732) p=0.026	3.162 (1.1207- 8.929) p=0.030	3.042 (1.109- 8.346) p=0.0315	3.067 (1.108- 8.489) p=0.031
≥5-year remission	0.079 (0.026–0.239) p≈0.001	0.115 (0.035-0.377) p=0.001	0.038 (0.012-0.127) p=0.001	0.044 (0.013-0.144) p=0.001	0.055 (0.021-0.142) p=0.001	0.072 (0.030- 0.177) p=0.001	0.078 (0.0308- 0.203) e=0.001	0.079 (0.031-0.203) p=0.001
4-year remission	0.190 (0.049-0.727) p-0.015	0.208 (0.049-0.894) p=0.033	0.087 (0.021-0.359) g=0.001	0.083 (0.020-0.354) g=0.001	0.044 (0.011-0.185) p<0.001	0.070 (0.019-0.255) p≈0.001	0.100 (0.029- 0.339) p-0.003	0.079 (0.021- 0.295) p=0.003
3-year remission	0.221 (0.065-0.751) p=0.016	0.238 (0.063-0.895) p=0.034	0.118 (0.033-0.413) p=0.001	0.106 (0.030-0.378) p=0.001	0.332 (0.145-0.758) g=0.009	0.338 (0.047- 0.775) p=0.010	0.214 (0.094- 0.490) p-0.001	0.163 (0.069-0.3\$3) p<0.001
2-year remission	0.5.	25.	0.268 (0.075-0.959) p=0.043	0.284 (0.079-1.023) p=0.054	0.274 (0.122-0.615) p=0.002	0.293 (0.131-0.657) p=0.003	0.275 (0.126-0.603) p=0.001	0.287 (0.130- 0.636) p=0.007
1-year remission	0.5.	0.5.	as.	as.	ns.	25.	25.	0.433 (0.189-0.992) p=0.048
No remission	0.5	ns.	22	ns.	25.	25	22	25

LUPUS 2018;**5**(Suppl 1):A1-A129