### Accepted Manuscript

#### Original article

In vitro antitumor activity of water-soluble copper(I) complexes with diimine and monodentate phosphine ligands

Marina Porchia, Francesco Tisato, Mirella Zancato, Valentina Gandin, Cristina Marzano

PII:	S1878-5352(17)30171-5
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2017.09.003
Reference:	ARABJC 2144
To appear in:	Arabian Journal of Chemistry
Received Date:	22 June 2017
Accepted Date:	6 September 2017



Please cite this article as: M. Porchia, F. Tisato, M. Zancato, V. Gandin, C. Marzano, In vitro antitumor activity of water-soluble copper(I) complexes with diimine and monodentate phosphine ligands, *Arabian Journal of Chemistry* (2017), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2017.09.003

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

### In vitro antitumor activity of water-soluble copper(I) complexes with diimine and monodentate phosphine ligands

Marina Porchia<sup>a</sup>, Francesco Tisato<sup>a</sup>, Mirella Zancato<sup>b</sup>, Valentina Gandin<sup>b\*</sup>, Cristina Marzano<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CNR-ICMATE, Corso Stati Uniti 4, 35127 Padova, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical and Pharmacological Sciences, University of Padova, via Marzolo 5, 35131 Padova, Italy

\*Corresponding author: Valentina Gandin, valentina.gandin@unipd.it

#### Abstract

Copper(I) complexes including diimine ligands of the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) and bathocuproinedisulfonic acid (BCS) families and water-soluble phosphines have been synthetized, characterized and investigated for their in vitro anticancer potential against human tumor cell lines representing examples of lung, breast, pancreatic and colon cancers and melanoma. All copper complexes exhibited moderate to high cytotoxic activity and the ability to overcome cisplatin resistance. Remarkably, growth-inhibitory effects evaluated in human non-transformed cells revealed a preferential cytotoxicity versus neoplastic cells. The remarkable cytotoxic effect towards BxPC3 pancreatic cancer cells, notoriously poor sensitive to cisplatin, was not related to a DNA or MAN proteasome damage.

#### **Keywords**

Copper(I) complexes Antitumor activity Diimine ligands Phosphine ligands Water soluble C

2

#### 1. Introduction

Platinum(II) drugs (i.e. cisplatin, carboplatin and oxaliplatin), although highly effective toward a number of solid tumors [1-3], are generally poorly selective towards cancer cells inducing severe toxic effects on healthy tissues as well as on cancerous ones. Moreover, this drawback is sometimes accompanied by the appearance of intrinsic or acquired resistance phenomena to the metallo-drug. For this reason, researches in the field of metal-based antitumor agents are still exploring other metals as potential source of novel drugs with improved pharmacological properties. Major efforts are devoted to the search of pharmacological targets alternative to DNA, the well-established Pt(II) target, and the challenge of metal complexes having physico-chemical properties different from those typical of Pt(II)-based drugs may help in this direction. Among several picks available in the transition metal group, copper is emerging as a potential alternative [4-7], according to the pronounced in vitro antitumor activity shown by properly designed copper complexes. Such an activity begins to be corroborated by favorable therapeutic profiles in some preclinical studies [8-10]. Different copper(I,II) complexes were reported to inhibit cancer growth in various experimental mouse tumor models, also including human tumor xenografts, without causing evident toxic or side effects. The low toxicity of antitumor copper complexes in healthy cells is likely due to intrinsic properties of copper. As an essential, endogenous metal in mammalian physiology, copper participates in several enzymes and proteins involved, for example, in energy metabolism and respiration. Hence, recognized as a non-toxic element at appropriate physiological concentrations, copper assembled in suitably tailored complexes may experience peculiar cell internalization and efflux processes in healthy cells, while maintaining cytotoxic efficacy in cancer cells, in which, due to the altered metabolism, copper accumulates at higher concentrations reaching 'toxic' levels.

Since the eighties, several copper compounds have been proposed as antitumor agents, some of them including N,N-diimine chelates and/or P-tertiary phosphine ligands [4-6]. Di-imines such as 1,10-phenantroline (phen) and 2,2'-bipyridine (bipy) or phosphines such as triphenylphosphine  $(PPh_3)$ bisaryldiphosphines P-P and related bidentate (e.g. = dppe: 1,2bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane) allowed to synthetize quite lipophilic, cationic Cu(I) complexes of the type  $[Cu(phen)_2]^+$ ,  $[Cu(bipy)_2]^+$  and  $[Cu(dppe)_2]^+$  with favorable in vitro cytotoxic properties [4, 5]. The following transfer into in vivo tests revealed an unexpected cardiotoxicity in murine models [11] that precluded clinical trials in humans. A possible explanation for the undesired outcome was the elevated lipophilicity of those cationic copper species that could drive cell internalization in cardiac cells and subsequent accumulation in the nucleus causing cardiotoxicity.

In agreement with the above experimental evidences, we and other authors thought to undertake the strategy of reducing the lipophilicity of the overall complex by introducing hydrophilic fragments in the coordination sphere of the metal. Ruiz-Azuara and co-workers designed a series of mixed  $[Cu(II)(N-N)(AA)]^+$  compounds (N-N = di-imines of the phen and bipy family; AA = aminoacidate(1-)), then termed Casiopeinas® that indeed showed remarkable cytotoxic potency while decreasing the lipophilic character [12]. Our research group, instead, introduced water soluble phosphines in combination with N,N-chelates to generate mixed ligand complexes of the type  $[Cu(I)(N-N)(PR_3)_2]$  (N-N = bis(pyrazolyl)borate(1-), bis(triazolyl)borate(1-) a PR\_3 = (trishydroxymethyl)phosphine, 1,3,5-triaza-7-phosphaadamantane) [13] confirming that reduction of the lipophilic character did not significantly affected the cytotoxic potency of the resulting complexes. Indeed, formation of homoleptic  $[Cu(I)(PR_3)_4]^+$  compounds generated a class of hydrophilic molecules of remarkable cytotoxicity and unprecedented selectivity toward cancer cells [8]. On the contrary, ternary mixed-ligand complexes of the type  $[Cu(I)(N-N)(PR_3)(X)]$  (N-N = phen and related di-imine chelates,  $PR_3 = tris(cyanoethyl)phosphine$ , X = halide [14] with increased lipophilicity, while exhibiting cytotoxicity at nanomolar levels toward both sensitive and Ptresistant cancer cells, also inhibited the growth of cultured non tumor cells. In parallel, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) micronucleus assay attested high levels of genotoxicity in treated blood lymphocytes, thereby suggesting that the potential risk posed by diamine metal complexes should be carefully reconsidered.

Trying to address the problems arose by the use of lipophilic copper(I) complexes as antitumor agents, we thought to synthetize a series of bis-substituted di-imine compounds along with two series of mixed di-imine/phosphine compounds (see Figure 1), featuring complete solubility in water. Both hydro-soluble di-imines and phosphine ligands were employed, and the resulting complexes have been tested in vitro for their cytotoxic potential against several human tumor cell lines derived from solid tumors (including a cisplatin resistant subline) and towards human non tumor cells. An analysis of molecular and cellular pharmacology in human pancreatic BxPC3 cancer cells was performed in order to elucidate the role of di-imine and phosphine ligands in determining the biological properties of the related copper(I) complexes.

Both BCA and BCS diimine ligands were selected according to their recognized strong affinity for the Cu(I) ion, which allows the determination of complex formation constants of Cu(I) complexes in aqueous medium [15] and serves challenging probes for the determination of Cu(I) binding in proteins and peptides [16]. The versatility of these water-soluble diimines was also utilized to construct copper-based devices in material sciences for the application in dye-sensitized solar cells

#### 2. Results and discussion

**2.1** Synthesis and characterization of copper(I) complexes 1-9. Copper complexes were synthesized via ligand-exchange reactions starting from the labile Cu(I) precursor  $[Cu(CH_3CN)_4][BF_4]$ . All of the complexes are deeply colored solid (from yellow to purple), stable in air and in solutions; they are soluble in water, methanol and acetonitrile exhibiting a solvatochromic behavior. A slightly modified synthesis of complex (1) has recently been reported in a nitrogen atmosphere, and the application of (1) in dye sensitized solar cells (DSSC) was described [17] The X-ray molecular structure of (1)-like evidenced that the two di-imines were virtually orthogonal adopting a pseudo tetrahedral geometry in the  $[Cu(BCA)(H_2BCA)]^-$  anion, that was counterbalanced by a  $[HEt_3N]^+$  cation, both features supporting the occurrence of a Cu(I) complex. Although there was no evidence of the  $[PF_6]^-$  anion in the unit cell, characterization in solution by multinuclear <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy and the detection of the peak at m/z 145 in the ESI(-) spectrum of the complex indicated the additional presence of one equivalent of co-crystallized  $[HEt_3N][PF_6].[17]$ 

During our syntheses we utilized more physiologically friendly  $Na^+$  cations coming from di-imine ligands and  $[BF_4]^-$  anions coming from the labile Cu(I) precursor. Also in our case, elemental analysis evidenced the presence of co-crystallized NaBF<sub>4</sub> in most compounds (complexes **1**, **4**-**9**), in addition to the sodium atoms necessary to the overall charge balance of the complex. The presence of BF<sub>4</sub> was authenticated by detection of a broad band at ca. 1030 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the pertinent IR spectra.

According to the characterization presented in the above reference study [17], our compounds were characterized by means of multinuclear <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy and electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometry both in the positive and negative ion modalities. The easy collection of NMR spectra, typical of diamagnetic Cu(I) compounds, rules out metal oxidation phenomena occurring in solution in accordance with the presence of bulky di-imine ligands in homoleptic complexes **1-3**, and with the further presence of phosphines in mixed-ligand complexes **4-9**.

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of bis-substituted di-imine complexes **1-3** were consistent with the proposed formulation presented in Figure 1. <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of mixed-compounds **4-9** showed each a distinctive singlet signal, downfield shifted and broadened, both features typical of copper coordination, compared to the signal of uncoordinated DAPTA or PTA phosphines (see Figure 2).

For illustrative purposes, here follows the discussion of the NMR spectra of the mixed complex (4). Its <sup>1</sup>H spectrum shows a set of coupled aromatic protons in the 8.51 - 7.79 ppm range arising from the coordinated BCA framework (Figure S1). Among these signals, the unique downfield shifted

singlet corresponds to  $H_{8,8}$  (see Figure 1 for proton caption). A further set of aliphatic signals in the 5.43 – 3.45 ppm range arises from the diastereotopic methylene protons of coordinated DAPTA. The coupling of diastereotopic protons of each methylene group is distinguishable in the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY map of Figure S2. Two further singlet signals at 1.91 and 1.77 ppm are due to magnetically unequivalent acetyl protons of DAPTA. Proton integrations indicate that coordination of the different ligands to the Cu(I) ion occurs in the 1:2 BCA:DAPTA ratio. The additional <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C HMQC map allows the unequivocal assignment of <sup>13</sup>C signals from the relative <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C coupling in accordance to the proton attribution (Figure S3).

ESI-MS, in both positive and negative ion modalities, were utilized for the characterization of our Cu(I) complexes. ESI(-)MS was fruitful employed for homoleptic, bis-substituted compounds **1-3**, showing the molecular ion species at m/z 749 for  $[Cu(HBCA)_2]^-$  and m/z 1101 for  $[Cu(HBCS)_2]^-$ . Bis-substituted species are detected together with mono-substituted adducts at m/z 405 ( $[Cu(BCA)]^-$ ) and at m/z 581 ( $[Cu(BCS)]^-$ . Cleavages CO<sub>2</sub> from the coordinated BCA and of SO<sub>3</sub> from coordinated BCS ligands were also detected.

For illustrative purposes, the ESI(-)MS behavior of complex (2) is below detailed. Full ESI(-) spectrum is outlined in Figure 3, and the collisionally induced decomposition (CID) pathway is presented in Figure 4 together with a pictorial sketch of fragmentation. The base peak in the full spectrum corresponds to the doubly charged  $[(BCS)]^{2-}$  species at m/z 259, indicating that the complex is labile under ESI conditions. Significant peaks including copper are detected at m/z 1165 (10%), m/z 1101 (30%), m/z 581 (78%) and m/z 367 (30%). They correspond, respectively, to the ions [Cu(HBCS)(CuBCS)], [Cu(HBCS)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (molecular ion species), [Cu(BCS)]<sup>-</sup> and triply charged [Cu(HBCS)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>. CID of the molecular ion at m/z 1101 displays loss of a neutral di-imine with formation of the fragment ion at m/z 581([Cu(BCS)]<sup>-</sup>), that, in turn, shows subsequent losses of SO<sub>3</sub> (-80 Da) and SO<sub>2</sub> (-64 Da) with formation of the fragment ion at m/z 101 has been authenticated by comparison of the experimental and calculated cluster ion traces.

Considering mixed-ligand complexes 4-9, ESI(+) spectra gave more informative results, whereas ESI(-) spectra did not show any ions including phosphines. Full ESI(+) spectra, while not showing the molecular ions  $[Cu(diimine)(phosphine)_2]^+$ , exhibited fragment ions of general formula [Cu(diimine)(phosphine)]<sup>+</sup> generated from the loss of a phosphine group. This behavior resembles that already observed for a family of similar  $[Cu(N,N-\{CH_2(pz)_2\}(phosphine)_2]^+$  compounds, in which the molecular ion peak was never observed, but the adduct [Cu(N,N- $\{CH_2(pz)_2\}$  (phosphine)]<sup>+</sup> corresponding to the loss of one phosphine ligand were always detected [13].

As an example of DAPTA derivatives, complex (**4**) displayed abundant  $[Cu(H_2BCA)(DAPTA)]^+$  at m/z 636,  $[Cu(HBCANa)(DAPTA)]^+$  at m/z 658 and  $[Cu(BCANa_2)(DAPTA)]^+$  at m/z 680. These mixed species were flanked by low abundant rearranged ions  $[Cu(DAPTA)_2]^+$  at m/z 521 and  $[Cu(DAPTA)_3]^+$  at m/z 751, and by the metal free base peak  $[(DAPTA)_2 + Na]^+$  at m/z 481 (Figure S4). CID of the  $[Cu(BCANa_2)(DAPTA)]^+$  ion at m/z 680 ion showed loss of DAPTA (-229 Da) followed by two subsequent losses of CO<sub>2</sub> with formation of the fragment ions at m/z 451, 407 and 363, respectively (Figure S5). The latter fragmentation processes were accompanied by addition of water with formation of adducts at m/z 425 and 381, respectively.

Regarding PTA-containing mixed compounds **7-9**, the predominant peak in the ESI(+) full spectrum of complex **7** was due to the rearranged ion  $[Cu(PTA)_2]^+$  at m/z 377, flanked by low abundant  $[Cu(PTA)_3]^+$  at m/z 533 (25%) and  $[Cu(BCANa_2)(PTA)]^+$  at m/z 608 (10%). As previously mentioned, ESI(-) spectrum did not show any peak containing PTA, but formation of clusters including BCA and their fragments due to loss of CO<sub>2</sub>. Protonated, sodiated and cuprated  $[Cu(BCA)]_2^{2^-}$  dimer gave abundant ions at m/z 810, 833 and 874, respectively. The ESI(+) spectrum of complex (**7**) and the MS<sup>n</sup> fragmentation of  $[Cu(H_2BCA)(PTA)]^+$  at m/z 564 (Figure S6) displayed similar profiles to those described for complex **4**.

Compounds **8** and **9** showed almost identical ESI(+) spectra giving the base peak at m/z 785 corresponding to  $[Cu(BCSNa_2)(PTA)]^+$ , with low abundant  $[Cu(PTA)_2]^+$  at m/z 377 (10% for **8** and 35 % for **9**). As observed in the spectra of complexes **2** and **3**, the ESI(-) traces of complexes **8** and **9** showed abundant peaks corresponding to  $[Cu(BCS)]^-$  and  $[Cu(i-BCS)]^-$  at m/z 581 due to loss of PTA ligands, and different sodiated and cuprated adducts at m/z 1165, 1187 and 1227, corresponding to  $[Cu(HBCS)(BCSCu)]^-$ ,  $[Cu(BCSNa)(BCSCu)]^-$  and  $[Cu(BCSCu)(BCSCu)]^-$ , respectively (see Figure S7 for complex **8**).

**2.2 Cytotoxicity.** The in vitro antitumor activity of the newly synthesized copper(I) complexes (**1**-**9**) and of the corresponding uncoordinated ligands (PTA, DAPTA, BCANa<sub>2</sub>, BCSNa<sub>2</sub> and i-BCSNa<sub>2</sub>) were evaluated against a panel of human tumor cell lines derived from solid tumors. The cytotoxicity parameters, in terms of  $IC_{50}$  (the median growth inhibitory concentration calculated from dose–survival curves) obtained after 72 h exposure, are listed in Table 1. Cell lines representative of lung (A549), colon (HCT-15), breast (MCF-7) and pancreatic (PxPC3) cancers, along with melanoma (A375), have been included. For comparison purposes, cytotoxicity of cisplatin, the most widely used metal-based anticancer drug, was assessed under the same experimental conditions.

Cancer cell lines included in the screen were endowed with different degrees of sensitivity to cisplatin and showed a consistently good response to treatment with all tested compounds (concentration- and time-dependent antiproliferative profiles, data not shown), except the uncoordinated PTA ligand, which proved to be ineffective. Also the other uncoordinated di-imine and phosphine ligands, BCANa<sub>2</sub>, BCSNa<sub>2</sub> and i-BCSNa<sub>2</sub> and DAPTA proved to be scarcely effective in decreasing cancer cell viability (even if detectable, average IC<sub>50</sub> values were always over 50  $\mu$ M). It's worth noting that uncoordinated lipophilic diimines, such as bipy and phen, exhibit cytotoxic activities in some cases comparable with that shown by cisplatin, due to their interaction with DNA by aromatic  $\pi$  stacking [14].

A different behavior can be evidenced between the homoleptic complexes 1-3 and the heteroleptic ones 4-9, as the former displayed a lower in vitro antiproliferative activity. Among homoleptic diimine compounds (1-3), complex 1, bearing the BCA ligand, was the most interesting derivative, yielding average IC<sub>50</sub> values very similar to those obtained with cisplatin (7,6 and 8,2  $\mu$ M, respectively). Complexes 2 and 3 were about 2- and 5-fold less effective than 1, respectively.

Instead, mixed-ligand compounds (4-9) were quite active and in particular complexes bearing BCS and i-BCS ligands showed a marked cytotoxic activity, with  $IC_{50}$  values in the low micromolar range, and slightly lower than those recorded with cisplatin.

Complex **6**, the mixed copper(I) complex bearing i-BCS and DAPTA ligands, was the most promising derivative (mean IC<sub>50</sub> values being 5.2 and 8.2  $\mu$ M for **6** and cisplatin, respectively). Against human BxPC3 pancreatic and A549 lung cancer cells, complex **6** was roughly 4- and 5-fold more effective than the reference drug. Similarly, complexes **8** and **9** showed average IC<sub>50</sub> values toward the in-house cell panel of 5.8 and 5.9  $\mu$ M, respectively. Noteworthy, BxPC3 pancreatic cancer cells were the most sensitive cell line to copper(I) complexes. In detail, complex **8** bearing BCS and PTA ligands was roughly 5 times more effective than cisplatin against human pancreatic BxPC3 cell, notoriously poor sensitive to cisplatin.

All copper derivatives have been additionally tested for their in vitro antitumor activity on two human cell line pairs which have been selected for sensitivity/resistance to cisplatin (ovarian cancer cells 2008/C13\*). Cytotoxicity on sensitive and resistant cells was assessed after 72 h of drug treatment by an MTT test. Table 2 shows the cytotoxicity parameters, in terms of  $IC_{50}$  and the resistance factor (RF), the latter defined as the ratio between  $IC_{50}$  values calculated for resistant cells and those obtained with sensitive ones. All copper complexes exhibited a different cross-resistance profile than cisplatin. Actually, the RF values were from 6- to 33-fold lower than that of cisplatin, indicating the absence of cross-resistance phenomena. These results are consistent with

the hypothesis that copper species possess mechanism(s) of action different from that shown by platinum drugs.

The cytotoxicity of tested complexes was also evaluated against non-tumor cells in rapid proliferation, the human embryonic kidney HEK293 cells (Table 3). All copper(I) complexes elicited a cytotoxic activity similar or even lower than that recorded with cisplatin. Interestingly, the selectivity index values (SI = quotient of the average IC<sub>50</sub> toward normal cells divided by the average IC<sub>50</sub> for the malignant cells) calculated for almost all complexes (excluding derivative **3**) were higher than that calculated with cisplatin, thus attesting a preferential cytotoxicity of copper(I) complexes versus neoplastic cells. Among all in the series, the mixed copper di-imine/phosphine compounds [Cu(BCS)(PTA)<sub>2</sub>]Na<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> (**8**) and [Cu(i-BCS)(DAPTA)<sub>2</sub>]Na<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> (**6**) distiguished as those possessing the highest SI values.

In addition, **6** and **8** showed  $SI_{BxPC3}$  values up to 6 times higher than that calcolated with cisplatin, being the  $SI_{BxPC3}$  the quotient of the IC<sub>50</sub> toward normal cells divided by the IC<sub>50</sub> for the pancreatic BxPC3 cancer cells.

**2.3 Cellular accumulation and Partition coefficient.** With the aim of identifying a possible correlation between cytotoxic activity and cellular accumulation, the cellular copper content was measured in BxPC3 cells treated for 24 or 36 h with equimolar concentrations (5  $\mu$ M) of tested compounds. The cellular copper levels were quantified by means of GF-AAS analysis, and the results, expressed as ng Cu per 10<sup>6</sup> cells, are shown in Figure 6.

Although to a different extent, homoleptic and heterolectic BCS and BCA derivatives (1, 2, 4, 5, 7 and 8) accumulated in a time-dependent manner, whereas cells treated with i-BCS complexes (3, 6 and 9) did not show a time-dependent increase in cellular copper content.

To appreciate whether a correlation between lipophilicity and cellular uptake exists, the octanol/water partition coefficients (log P values outlined in Figure 7) were measured using the shake-flask method. Among investigated compounds, *i*-BCS-containing derivatives **3**, **6** and **9** showed the highest hydrophilic character (logP = -0.21, -0.34 and -0.19, respectively). Considering the effect of phosphine on the hydrophilic balance of the compounds, PTA-containing complexes **7**-**9** showed all an elevated hydrophilic character. A linear correlation between lipophilicity and cellular association has been evidenced in the case of mixed-ligand complexes **4-9** (Figure S8).

Matching the cytotoxic activity with the cellular uptake, a correlation was evidenced in the case of homoleptic copper(I) complexes (1-3). In fact the cellular copper content of BxPC3 cells treated for 36 h with the most cytotoxic derivative 1 was significantly higher than that recorded with

compounds 2 and 3. On the contrary, in the case of mixed complexes (4-9) no direct correlation could be derived.

**2.4 Effect of copper(I) complexes on ultrastructure morphology.** It has been previously demonstrated that phosphino copper(I) complexes induce endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and trigger a nonapoptotic mechanism of programmed cell death (PCD), termed paraptosis [18]. On the contrary, mixed phosphino/di-imine derivatives induce apoptosis in different types of cancer cells [14]. In order to characterize the cellular morphological changes induced by the present copper(I) compounds, human pancreatic BxPC3 cancer cells were treated with complex **9** as representative of mixed-ligand compounds and analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Figure 8). **9**-Treated BxPC3 cells showed the nucleus with a clearly defined ultrastructure, and regularly distributed chromatin. In addition, mitochondria appeared conserved in shape and internal structures, and no swelling features (increase in size and decrease in turbidity) could be detected. On the other hand, striking morphological changes, such as ER swelling and intracytoplasmic vacuoles, previously characterized as hallmarks of paraptotic cell death were distinctly noticed. Furthermore, **9**-treated cells stained with Hoechst 33258 did not show nuclear DNA condensation or apoptotic body formation, classical hallmarks of apoptosis (Figure 8, panel B).

Concerning the molecular basis underlying the mechanism of action of antiproliferative copper(I) complexes, some investigations recognized DNA [14] or the proteasome multiprotein complex as the main molecular targets [9, 18]. On this basis, we evaluated the ability of complex **9** to interact with DNA in vitro by means of UV absorption titration and gel electrophoresis experiments. In addition, we assessed the ability of complex **9** to hamper proteasome proteolytic activities by testing chymotrypsin-like (CTL), trypsin-like (T-L) and caspase-like (C-L) activity of 26S proteasome isolated from rabbit. Neither DNA interaction nor proteasome inhibition were detected (data not shown), thus suggesting that its antiproliferative efficacy does not rely on DNA or proteasome targeting.

#### 3. Conclusion

Copper(I) complexes including bis-substituted di-imines (1-3) along with two series of mixed diimine/phosphine (4-9) featuring complete solubility in water were synthetized, characterized and tested in vitro for their cytotoxic potential against several cell lines derived from human solid tumors as well as towards human non-tumor cells. Differently from poorly cytotoxic free di-imines and phosphine ligands, all copper complexes exhibited moderate to high cytotoxic activity,

satisfactory selectivity toward human tumor cell lines. Moreover, these copper complexes overcame cisplatin resistance, and, in particular, all BCA-containing complexes 1, 4 and 7 showed RF values < 1 (till 33-fold lower than that of cisplatin), consistent with the hypothesis that copper compounds possess mechanism(s) of action different from platinum drugs, namely DNA damage. Neither DNA interaction nor proteasome inhibition were detected in pancreatic BxPC3 cancer cells, thus suggesting that the antiproliferative activity of this class of complexes does not rely on DNA or proteasome targeting.

As at present time, there are no clear evidences of the mechanism(s) underlying the cytotoxic activity of this class of antiproliferative compounds, future detailing studies are ongoing. The detection of endoplasmic reticulum stress and intracytoplasmic vacuoles in 9-treated BxPC3 cancer cells supports the hypothesis of the triggering of a programmed cell death (PCD) referred to as paraptosis, a PCD mechanism alternative to apoptosis already described for other antiproliferative copper compounds. MA

#### 4. Materials and Methods

#### 4.1 **Materials and General Methods**

Commercially available substances were of reagent grade and used without further purification. The reagents 4,4'-dicarboxy-2,2'-biquinoline disodium salt (BCANa<sub>2</sub>), disodium 2,9-dimethyl-4,7diphenyl-1,10-phenantrolinedisulfonate (BCSNa<sub>2</sub>), bathocuproinedisulfonic acid disodium salt (i-BCSNa<sub>2</sub>) and 5,6-diphenyl-3-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazine-4,4"-disulfonic acid sodium salt (ferrozine sodium salt, HFzNa) were obtained from commercial sources (Fluka, Sigma-Aldrich). The Cu(I) precursor  $[Cu(CH_3CN)_4][BF_4]$  was prepared by reaction of  $[Cu(H_2O)_6][BF_4]_2$  with metallic copper 1,3,5-triaza-7-phosphaadamantane 3,7-diacetyl-1,3,7in acetonitrile. (PTA), triazaphosphabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (DAPTA) and the homoleptic copper derivatives [Cu(PTA)<sub>4</sub>]BF<sub>4</sub> [19] and [Cu(DAPTA)<sub>4</sub>]BF<sub>4</sub> [10] were synthesized according to published methods. Elemental analyses were performed on a Carlo Erba 1106 Elemental Analyzer. <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AMX-300 instrument (300.13 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H, 75.47 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C and 121.41 MHz for <sup>31</sup>P), using SiMe<sub>4</sub> as internal reference (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) and 85% aqueous H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> as external reference (<sup>31</sup>P). FT IR spectra were recorded on a Mattson 3030 Fourier transform spectrometer in the range 4000-400 cm<sup>-1</sup> in KBr pellets. Mass spectra have been recorded by an electrospray LCQ ThermoFinnigan mass spectrometer. The purities of compounds used for biological activity determination, were checked by elemental analysis and were found to be  $\geq 95\%$ .

#### 4.2 Synthesis and Characterization of Copper(I) Complexes

**4.2.1** Synthesis and Characterization of bis-diimino Copper(I) Complexes 1-3.A general procedure was employed for the synthesis of copper complexes 1-3, according to the procedure here detailed for 1. An acetonitrile solution of  $[Cu(CH_3CN)_4]BF_4$  (29 mg, 0.092 mmol) was added at room temperature to a stirring methanolic solution of the ligand 4,4'-dicarboxy-2,2'-biquinoline disodium salt (BCANa<sub>2</sub>) (70 mg, 0.18 mmol) giving immediately a deep purple colour. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight, the volume of the solution was reduced under a nitrogen stream and diethyl ether was added. The mixture was filtered and the violet solid washed again with diethylether and dried under vacuum.

[**Cu**(**BCA**)<sub>2</sub>**Na**<sub>3</sub>]<sup>•</sup>**NaBF**<sub>4</sub> (1) Dark purple solid. Yield: 47 mg; 55%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  (ppm) 8.76 (s,  $H_{3,3'}$ ; 4H), 8.12 (d,  $H_{9,9''}$ ; 4H), 7.72 (d,  $H_{6,6'}$ ; 4H), 7.49 (t,  $H_{7,7'}$ ; 4H), 7.25 (t,  $H_{8,8'}$ ; 4H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  (ppm) 174.7 (C-4<sup>O</sup>; *C*=O), 152.5, 148.0, 145.8, 131.1 (C<sub>7,7'</sub>), 129.0 (C<sub>8,8'</sub>), 128.1 (C<sub>6,6'</sub>), 125.8 (C<sub>9,9'</sub>), 125.5, 116.4 (C<sub>3,3'</sub>). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3430 (br, s), 1606 (s), 1578 (s), 1559 (s), 1396 (m) 1385 (m) , 1270(w), 1031 (br, m). ESI(-)MS in MeOH (*m*/*z* assignment, % intensity): 749 ([Cu(HBCA)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, 60), 659 ([Cu(HBCA)<sub>2</sub> – HCOOH - CO<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, 15), 615 ([Cu(HBCA)<sub>2</sub> - 2CO<sub>2</sub> – HCOOH]<sup>-</sup>, 40), 571 ([Cu(HBCA)<sub>2</sub> - 3CO<sub>2</sub> – HCOOH]<sup>-</sup>, 100), 405 ([Cu(BCA)]<sup>-</sup>, 25), 343 ( [HBCA]<sup>-</sup>, 70). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>CuNa<sub>4</sub>BF<sub>4</sub>: C 51.83, H 2.17, N 6.04. Found: C 52.11, H 2.54, N 5.80 %.

[Cu(BCS)<sub>2</sub>Na<sub>3</sub>] (2) Red solid. Yield: 74 mg; 65%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  (ppm) 7.90-7.22 (m, 24Har), 2.16 (bs, *CH*<sub>3</sub>, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  (ppm) 157.4, 152.6, 147.6, 143.4, 137.2, 132.3, 129.5, 127.5, 126.0, 125.1, 123.2, 24.8 (*C*H<sub>3</sub>). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3432 (br, m), 1619 (m), 1190 (br, s), 1128 (m), 620 (m). ESI(-)MS in MeOH (*m*/*z* assignment, % intensity): 1165 ([Cu(HBCS)(BCS) + Cu]<sup>-</sup>, 12), 1101 ([Cu(HBCS)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, 30), 581 ([Cu(BCS)]<sup>-</sup>, 80), 541 ([BCSNa]<sup>-</sup>, 30), 519 ([HBCS]<sup>-</sup>, 55), 501 [Cu(BCS - SO<sub>3</sub>)]<sup>-</sup>, 15), 259 ([BCS]<sup>2-</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>52</sub>H<sub>36</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>S<sub>4</sub>CuNa<sub>3</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O: C 50.30, H 3.57, N 4.51%. Found: C 50.34, H 3.70, N 4.79%.

[**Cu**(**i**-**BCS**)<sub>2</sub>**Na**<sub>3</sub>] (**3**) Red solid. Yield: 64 mg; 60%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ (ppm) 7.81-7.20 (m, 24H), 2.08 (bs, CH<sub>3</sub>, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ (ppm) 157.4, 147.6, 143.4, 137.0, 132.1, 129.4, 126.1, 125.9, 124.9, 123.1, 24.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3461 (br, s), 1619 (m), 1196 br, s), 1128 (m), 620 (m). ESI(-)MS in MeOH (m/z assignment, % intensity): 1187 ([Cu<sub>2</sub>(i-BCS)<sub>2</sub> + Na<sup>+</sup>]<sup>-</sup>, 10), 581 ([Cu(i-BCS)]<sup>-</sup>, 20), 561 ([Cu(i-BCS)<sub>2</sub> + Na<sup>+</sup>]<sup>2-</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>52</sub>H<sub>36</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>S<sub>4</sub>CuNa<sub>3</sub>: C 53.42, H 3.10, N 4.79%. Found: C 53.02, H 3.31, N 4.51%.

#### **4.2.2** Synthesis and Characterization of DAPTA-di-imino Copper(I) Complexes **4-6**.

A general procedure was employed for the synthesis of copper complexes **4-6**, according to the procedure here detailed for **4**. To an acetonitrile solution (10 ml) of  $[Cu(CH_3CN)_4]BF_4$  (31 mg, 0.1 mmol) and DAPTA (46 mg, 0.2 mmol), a methanolic solution of the ligand 4,4'-dicarboxy-2,2'-biquinoline disodium salt (BCANa<sub>2</sub>) (47 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added dropwise at room temperature. After 4 h, the volume of the solution was reduced to ca 2 ml under a dinitrogen stream and diethyl etherwas added. Leaving the mixture at 4°C overnight a yellow solid was formed, which was collected by filtration, washed with chloroform and dried under vacuum.

[**Cu**(**BCA**)**Na**(**DAPTA**)<sub>2</sub>]'**NaBF**<sub>4</sub> (4) Yellow solid. Yield: 69 mg; 68%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ (ppm) 8.51 (s, 2H,  $H_{3,3'}$ ); 8.24 (dd, 4H,  $H_{6,6';9,9'}$ ); 8.03 (t, 2H,  $H_{7,7'}$ ); 7.79 (t, 2H,  $H_{8,8'}$ ); 5.43 (d, 2H,  $H_{e2}$ ); 5.02 (d, 2H,  $H_{d1}$ ); 4.95 (d, 2H,  $H_{a1}$ ); 4.50 (d, 2H,  $H_{d2}$ ); 4.21 (d, 2H,  $H_{c1}$ ); 3.93 (d, 2H,  $H_{e1}$ ); 3.84 (d; 2H,  $H_{c2}$ ); 3.58 (d, 4H,  $H_{b1;b2}$ ); 3.45 (d, 2H,  $H_{a2}$ ); 1.91 (s, 6H,  $CH_3$ ); 1.77 (s, 6H,  $CH_3$ ). <sup>31</sup>P{H} NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ (ppm) -63.5 (bs). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ (ppm) 20.43, 37.67, 42.56, 45.55, 61.38, 66.77 ( sh 66.42), 116.47, 125.06, 126.82, 128.16, 129.59, 132.66, 146.37, 153.03, 172.26, 172.61, 173.79. ESI(+)MS in MeOH (m/z assignment, % intensity): 680 [Cu(BCA)Na<sub>2</sub>(DAPTA)]<sup>+</sup>, 25); 636 [Cu(BCA)Na<sub>2</sub>(DAPTA) – CO<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 25); 521 ([Cu(DAPTA)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 10); 481 ([(DAPTA)<sub>2</sub> + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 252 ([DAPTA + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 100). Anal.Calcd. for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>42</sub>CuN<sub>8</sub>O<sub>8</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Na<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub>'H<sub>2</sub>O: C 44.96, H 4.37, N 11.04%. Found: C 45.12, H 4.56, N 10.91% .

[**Cu**(**BCS**)**Na**(**DAPTA**)<sub>2</sub>]**NaBF**<sub>4</sub> (5) Yellow-brown solid. Yield: 87 mg; 74%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ (ppm) 7.85 - 7.14 (12H,  $H_{Ar-BCS}$ ); 5.52 (d, 2H,  $H_{e2}$ ); 4.98 (m, 4H,  $H_{d1;a1}$ ); 4.57 (d, 2H,  $H_{d2}$ ); 4.35 (d, 2H,  $H_{c1}$ ); 4.05 (m, 4H,  $H_{e1;c2}$ ); 3.67 (bs, 4H,  $H_{b1;b2}$ ); 3.33 (d, 2H,  $H_{a2;}$ ); 2.83 (s, 6H,  $CH_{3Ar-BCS}$ ), 1.95 and 1.92 (s + s, 6 + 6H, 2- $CH_{3DAPTA}$ ). <sup>31</sup>P {H} NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ (ppm) -64.2 (bs). ESI(+)MS in MeOH (m/z assignment, % intensity): 856 ([Cu(BCS)Na<sub>2</sub>(DAPTA)]<sup>+</sup>, 15), 521 ([Cu(DAPTA)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 10), 481 ([(DAPTA)<sub>2</sub> + Na]<sup>+</sup>), 252 ([DAPTA + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 100). ESI(-)MS in MeOH (m/z assignment, % intensity): 1165 ([Cu(BCS)]<sub>2</sub> + H]<sup>-</sup>, 40), 1101 ([Cu( HBCS)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, 25), 581 ([Cu(BCS)]<sup>-</sup>, 100), 519 ([HBCS]<sup>-</sup>, 70), 259 ([BCS]<sup>2-</sup>, 30). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>50</sub>CuN<sub>8</sub>O<sub>10</sub>P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Na<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> C 45.04, H 4.30, N 9.55 %. Found: C 44.79, H 4.79, N 9.89%.

[**Cu**(**i**-**BCS**)**Na**(**DAPTA**)<sub>2</sub>]'**NaBF**<sub>4</sub> (6) Dark yellow solid. Yield: 84 mg; 72%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ (ppm) δ (ppm) 7.88 - 7.14 (12H,  $H_{Ar-BCS}$ ); 5.52 (d, 2H,  $H_{e2}$ ); 4.96 (m, 4H,  $H_{d1;a1}$ ); 4.58 (d, 2H,  $H_{d2}$ ); 4.37 (d, 2H,  $H_{c1}$ ); 4.05 (m, 4H,  $H_{e1;c2}$ ); 3.68 (bs, 4H,  $H_{b1;b2}$ ); 3.29 (d, 2H,  $H_{a2;}$ ); 2.80 (s, 6H,  $CH_{3Ar-BCS}$ ), 1.96 and 1.93 (s + s, 6 + 6H, 2- $CH_{3DAPTA}$ ). <sup>31</sup>P{H} NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ (ppm) -64.1 (s). Anal.

Calcd. for  $C_{44}H_{50}CuN_8O_{10}P_2S_2Na_2BF_4$ : C 45.04, H 4.30, N 9.55%. Found: C 45.34, H 4.46, N 9.74%.

#### **4.2.3** Synthesis and Characterization of PTA-diimino Copper(I) Complexes 7-9.

A general procedure was employed for the synthesis of copper complexes **7-9**, according to the procedure here detailed for **7**. Phosphine ligand PTA (38 mg, 0.24 mmol) was added at room temperature to an acetonitrile solution of  $[Cu(CH_3CN)_4]BF_4$  (37 mg, 0.12 mmol). After 10 min, to the clear reaction mixture a methanolic solution of the ligand 4,4'-dicarboxy-2,2'-biquinoline disodium salt (BCANa<sub>2</sub>) (47 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added dropwise giving rise to a deep orange colour. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight, the solvent was evaporated, the residue was dissolved in methanol and diethyl ether was added. An orange solid formed which was collected by filtration, washed with chloroform and dried under vacuum.

[**Cu**(**BCA**)**Na**(**PTA**)<sub>2</sub>]**`NaBF**<sub>4</sub> (7) Orange solid. Yield: 46 mg; 45%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  (ppm) 8.50 (s, *H*<sub>3,3'</sub>, 2H), 8.27 (d, *H*<sub>9,9'</sub>, 2H), 8.17 (d, *H*<sub>6,6'</sub> 2H), 7.98 (t, *H*<sub>7,7'</sub>, 2H), 7.78 (t, *H*<sub>8,8'</sub>, 2H), 4.32 (bs, NC*H*<sub>2</sub>N, 12H), 3.86 (bs, NC*H*<sub>2</sub>P, 12H). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  (ppm) -89.0 (bs). <sup>13</sup>C NMR(D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  (ppm) 174.1 (C-4<sup>O</sup>; *C*=O), 152.7, 149.4, 146.3, 132.3 (C<sub>7</sub>), 129.4 (C<sub>8</sub>), 128.3 (C<sub>6</sub>), 126.5 (C<sub>9</sub>), 124.8, 116.2 (C<sub>3</sub>), 70.5 (s, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 48.2 (s, P-CH<sub>2</sub>). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3390 bm, 2939 m, 1608 s, 1581s, 1400 bm, 1241m, 1063s, 1039s, 1012s, 968s, 948m. ESI(+)MS in MeOH (*m*/*z* assignment, % intensity): 608 ([Cu(BCA)Na<sub>2</sub>(PTA)]<sup>+</sup>, 10), 533 ([Cu(PTA)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 25), 377 ([Cu(PTA)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100). ESI(-)MS in MeOH (*m*/*z* assignment, % intensity) 810 ({[Cu(BCA)]<sub>2</sub> + H<sup>+</sup>}<sup>-</sup>, 35), 404 ([Cu(BCA)]<sup>-</sup>, 40), 360 ( [Cu(BCA) - CO<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, 15), 317 ([Cu(BCA) - 2 CO<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>CuNa<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub>'2H<sub>2</sub>O: C 43.23, H 4.31, N 12.60. Found: C 43.06, H 4.97, N 12.64 %.

[**Cu(BCS)Na(PTA)**<sub>2</sub>]'**NaBF**<sub>4</sub> (8) Orange-red solid. Yield: 77 mg; 62%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  (ppm) 7.90-7.20 (12H,  $H_{\text{Ar-BCS}}$ ), 4.39 (dd, NC $H_2$ N, 12H), 3.87 (bs, NC $H_2$ P, 12H), 2.74 and 2.66 (2s, 6+6H, 2-C $H_3$ ).

<sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  (ppm) -90.2 (bs). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  (ppm), 158.5, 148.0, 147.3, 143.9, 138.3, 136.2, 132.4, 130.1, 129.6, 126.4, 125.9, 124.3, 70.6 (s, N-*C*H<sub>2</sub>), 48.8 (s, P-*C*H<sub>2</sub>), 26.9 (*C*H<sub>3</sub>), 25.0 (*C*H<sub>3</sub>). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3407bs, 1619m, 1191bs, 1126m, 1035s, 1012m, 968m, 948m, 619m. ESI(+)MS (*m*/*z* assignment, % intensity): 785 ([Cu(BCS)Na<sub>2</sub>(PTA)]<sup>+</sup>, 100), 627 ([Cu(BCS)Na<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 15)

$$\begin{split} & ESI(-)MS: 1226 \ ( \ \{ [Cu(BCS)]_2 + Cu^+ \}^-, \ 10), \ 1187 \ ( \{ [Cu(BCS)]_2 + Na^+ \}^-, \ 15), \ 1165 \ ( \{ [Cu(BCS)]_2 + H^+ \}^-, \ 5), \ 581 \ ( \ \{ [Cu(BCS)] \}^-, \ 60), \ 420 \ ( \ \{ [Cu(BCS)] - 2SO_3 \}^-, \ 10), \ 259 \ ( \ [BCS]^{2^-}, \ 100). \ Anal. \end{split}$$

Calcd. for for  $C_{38}H_{42}N_8O_6P_2S_2CuNa_2BF_4$  4.5  $H_2O$  : C 41.11, H 4.63, N 10.09%. Found C 41.31, H 4.80, N 9.94 % .

[**Cu**(**i**-**BCS**)**Na**(**PTA**)<sub>2</sub>]'**NaBF**<sub>4</sub> (9) Yellow solid. Yield: 95 mg; 71%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ (ppm) 7.94-6.84 (m, 12H), 4.40 (bs, NCH<sub>2</sub>N, 12H), 3.89 (bs, NCH<sub>2</sub>P, 12H), 2.65 and 2.60 (2s, 6H, 2-CH<sub>3</sub>,). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ (ppm) -90.2 (s). ESI(+)-MS (m/z assignment, % intensity): 785 ({[Cu(BCS)Na<sub>2</sub>(PTA)]}<sup>+</sup>, 100), 377 ([Cu(PTA)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 40), 158 ([PTA+H]<sup>+</sup>, 10). ESI(-)MS: (m/z assignment, % intensity): 1165 ({[Cu(BCS)]<sub>2</sub> + H<sup>+</sup>}<sup>-</sup>, 30), 581 ([Cu(BCS)]<sup>-</sup>, 100), 420 ({[Cu(BCS)] - 2SO<sub>3</sub>}<sup>-</sup>, 10), 259 ([BCS]<sup>2-</sup>, 10). Anal. Calcd. for for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>6</sub>P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>CuNa<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O: C 40.78, H 4.68, N 10.89%. Found C 40.36, H 5.05, N 11.16% .

#### 4.3 Experiments with cultured human cells

Copper complexes and the corresponding uncoordinated ligands were dissolved in deionized water. Cisplatin was dissolved in 0.9% sodium chloride solution. MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide), fluorogenic peptide proteasome substrates (N-Suc-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin (AMC), Boc-Gln-Ala-Arg-AMC, and Z-Leu-Leu-Glu-AMC), and cisplatin were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, USA.

#### **4.3.1** Cell Cultures

Human lung (A549), breast (MCF-7), pancreatic (BxPC3), and colon (HCT-15) carcinoma cell lines along with melanoma (A375) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD). Human embryonic kidney (HEK293) cells were obtained from European Collection of Cell Cultures (ECACC, Salisbury, UK). Human ovarian cancer cell lines 2008 and its cisplatin resistant variant, C13\*, were kindly provided by Prof. G. Marverti (Dept. of Biomedical Science of Modena University, Italy). Cell lines were maintained in the logarithmic phase at 37 °C in a 5% carbon dioxide atmosphere using the following culture media containing 10% fetal calf serum (Euroclone, Milan, Italy), antibiotics (50 units/mL penicillin and 50 µg/mL streptomycin), and 2 mM L-glutamine: (i) RPMI-1640 medium (Euroclone) for HCT-15, MCF-7, BxPC-3, 2008 and C13\*; (ii) DMEM for A375 and HEK293 cells; (iii) F-12 HAM'S (Sigma Chemical Co.) for A549 cells.

#### 4.3.2 MTT Assay

The growth inhibitory effect toward tumor cells was evaluated by means of MTT assay. Briefly,  $(3-8) \times 10^3$  cells/well, dependent upon the growth characteristics of the cell line, were seeded in 96-

well microplates in growth medium (100  $\mu$ L). After 24 h, the medium was removed and replaced with fresh media containing the compound to be studied at the appropriate concentration. Triplicate cultures were established for each treatment. After 72 h, each well was treated with 10  $\mu$ L of a 5 mg/mL MTT saline solution, and following 5 h of incubation, 100  $\mu$ L of a sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS) solution in HCl 0.01 M were added. After an overnight incubation, cell growth inhibition was detected by measuring the absorbance of each well at 570 nm using a Bio-Rad 680 microplate reader. Mean absorbance for each drug dose was expressed as a percentage of the control untreated well absorbance and plotted vs drug concentration. IC<sub>50</sub> values, the drug concentrations that reduce the mean absorbance at 570 nm to 50% of those in the untreated control wells, were calculated by four parameter logistic (4-PL) model. All of the values are the means  $\pm$  SD of not less than five measurements starting from three different cell cultures.

#### 4.3.3 Cellular uptake.

BxPC-3 cells  $(2 \times 10^6)$  were seeded in 75 cm<sup>2</sup> flasks in growth medium (20 mL). After overnight incubation, the medium was replaced and the cells were treated with tested compounds for 24 or 36 h. Cell monolayers were washed twice with cold PBS, harvested and counted. Samples were than subjected to three freezing/thawing cycles at -80°C, and then vigorously vortexed. The samples were treated with highly pure nitric acid (Cu: $\leq 0.005 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ , TraceSELECT<sup>®</sup> Ultra, Sigma Chemical Co.) and transferred into a microwave teflon vessel. Subsequently, samples were submitted to standard procedures using a speed wave MWS-3 Berghof instrument (Eningen, Germany). After cooling, each mineralized sample was analyzed for platinum by using a Varian AA Duo graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometer (Varian, Palo Alto, CA; USA) at the wavelength of 324 nm. The calibration curve was obtained using known concentrations of standard solutions purchased from Sigma Chemical Co.

#### **4.3.4** Measurement of the partition coefficient

To determine the partition coefficient (P), the shake flask method was used. Octanol-saturated water (O) and water-saturated octanol (W) were prepared using analytical grade octanol and water. Compounds were first dissolved in DMSO and O and W mixing was done by vortexing for 30 min at room temperature to establish the partition equilibrium. Later, the two phases were by centrifugation at 3000xg for 5 min and the copper content in each phase was determined by GF-AAS analyses. Partition coefficients of tested copper complexes were calculated using the equation  $\log P = \log ([Cu]W/[Cu]O)$ .

#### **4.3.5** Transmission electron microscopy

About  $10^6$  BxPC3 cells were seeded in 10 cm petri dishes. After 24 h the medium was removed and replaced with a fresh one containing the tested compound at the appropriate concentration.

Subsequently, the cells were washed in cold PBS, harvested and directly fixed in 1.5 % glutaraldehyde buffered with 0.2 M sodium cacodylate, pH 7.4. After washing in the buffer and postfixation in 1 % OsO4 in 0.2 M cacodylate buffer, specimens were dehydrated and embedded in epoxy resin (Epon Araldite). Sagittal serial sections (1 µm) were counterstained with toluidine blue. Thin sections (90 nm) were given a contrast by staining with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Micrographs were taken with a Hitachi H-600 electron microscope (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) operating at 75 kV. All photos were typeset in Corel Draw 11.

#### **4.3.6** *Hoechst 33258 staining.*

BxPC3 cells (5  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup>) were seeded into 8-well tissue-culture slides (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). After 24 h, cells were washed twice with PBS and following 48 h of treatment with IC<sub>50</sub> concentration of tested complexes, cells were stained for 5 min with 1 mg/mL Hoechst 33258 (Sigma–Aldrich) in PBS before being examined by fluorescence microscopy (Olympus BX41, Cell F software, Olympus, Munster, Germany).

**4.3.7** *Statistical analysis* All of the values are the means  $\pm$  SD of not less than three measurements starting from three different cell cultures. Multiple comparisons were made by ANOVA followed by the Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison test (\*\*\*P < 0.001; \*\*P < 0.01; \*P < 0.05), using GraphPad Software.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the University of Padova (Grants 60A04-0443, 60A04-3189 and 60A04-4015/15).

### Table 1.In vitro antitumor activity

		IC	5 <sub>50</sub> (μM) ± D.S.		
Compound	MCF-7	HCT-15	A375	BxPC3	A549
[Cu(BCA)₂Na₃].NaBF₄ (1)	9.22±1.7	8.76±2.3	11.78±1.5	1.93±0.4	6.25±1.1
[Cu(BCS) <sub>2</sub> Na <sub>3</sub> ] (2)	21.51±1.9	19.05±1.8	16.55±2.2	10.25±1.4	26.5±3.8
[Cu(i-BCS)₂Na₃] (3)	41.58±5.7	31.05±3.6	42.58±3.2	27.66±3.2	34.51±5.5
[Cu(BCA)Na(DAPTA)2].NaBF4 (4)	13.52±1.9	10.54±1.5	17.83±2.8	7.25±1.1	13.69±2.2
[Cu(BCS)Na(DAPTA)₂].NaBF₄ (5)	5.76±1.1	7.41±1.1	17.63±2.3	8.25±1.2	13.52±1.6
[Cu(i-BCS)Na(DAPTA)2].NaBF4 (6)	7.45±1.2	7.36±1.3	6.98±1.1	2.1±0.4	2.11±0.3
[Cu(BCA)Na(PTA)₂].NaBF₄ (7)	12.26±1.2	11.58±1.6	15.42±1.2	3.25±0.5	7.5±0.9
[Cu(BCS)Na(PTA) <sub>2</sub> ].NaBF <sub>4</sub> (8)	7.52±1.7	6.25±1.1	8.12±1.3	1.58±0.3	5.89±1.4
[Cu(i-BCS)Na(PTA) <sub>2</sub> ].Na <sub>2</sub> BF <sub>4</sub> (9)	7.53±1.0	7.35±1.1	9.51±1.2	4.23±0.2	1.26±0.3
РТА	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
DAPTA	85.32±3.95	67.12±3.14	>100	89.53±4.13	>100
(BCA)Na <sub>2</sub>	69.62±3.46	>100	56.47±3.14	>100	>100
(BCS)Na <sub>2</sub>	>100	>100	54.25±6.56	68.72±3.12	>100
(i-BCS)Na <sub>2</sub>	>100	>100	67.64±4.33	81.26±4.50	>100
<i>cis</i> platin	7.60±0.2	11.32±1.5	3.36±0.9	8.25±1.7	10.56±0.9

Cells  $(3-8 \times 10^3 \text{ mL}^{-1})$  were treated for 72 h with increasing concentrations of the tested compounds. The cytotoxicity was assessed by the MTT test. IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated by a four parameter logistic model, 4-PL (P < 0.05).

#### Table 2.Cross-resistance profile

	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) ± D.S.	•	
Compound	2008	C13*	R.F.
[Cu(BCA) <sub>2</sub> Na <sub>3</sub> ].NaBF <sub>4</sub> (1)	7.82±1.1	4.11±1.3	0.5
[Cu(BCS)₂Na₃] (2)	15.25±2.1	10.52±2.8	0.7
[Cu(i-BCS)₂Na₃] (3)	21.98±2.3	31.22±2.5	1.4
[Cu(BCA)Na(DAPTA) <sub>2</sub> ].NaBF <sub>4</sub> (4)	6.22±1.1	1.55±0.5	0.3
[Cu(BCS)Na(DAPTA) <sub>2</sub> ].NaBF <sub>4</sub> (5)	2.44±0.2	3.10±0.5	1.7
[Cu(i-BCS)Na(DAPTA) <sub>2</sub> ].NaBF <sub>4</sub> (6)	3.62±0.6	3.81±0.3	1.3
[Cu(BCA)Na(PTA) <sub>2</sub> ].NaBF <sub>4</sub> (7)	2.65±0.7	2.11±0.3	0.8
[Cu(BCS)Na(PTA) <sub>2</sub> ].NaBF <sub>4</sub> (8)	1.08±0.4	0.9±0.73	0.8
[Cu(i-BCS)Na(PTA) <sub>2</sub> ].Na <sub>2</sub> BF <sub>4</sub> (9)	5.98±0.6	7.11±0.5	1.2
<i>cis</i> platin	2.28±0.5	22.77±2.6	10

Cells  $(3-8 \times 10^3 \text{ mL}^{-1})$  were treated for 72 h with increasing concentrations of the tested compounds. The cytotoxicity was assessed by the MTT test. IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated by a four parameter logistic model, 4-PL (P < 0.05). RF = IC<sub>50</sub> resistant / IC<sub>50</sub> parental cell line.

#### Table 3. Cytotoxic activity against HEK293 non-tumor cells

	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) ± D.S.		
Compound	HEK293	SI	SI <sub>BxPC3</sub>
[Cu(BCA) <sub>2</sub> Na <sub>3</sub> ].NaBF <sub>4</sub> (1)	22.50±8.88	2.9	11.7
[Cu(BCS) <sub>2</sub> Na <sub>3</sub> ] (2)	54.55±6.74	2.9	5.3
[Cu(i-BCS) <sub>2</sub> Na <sub>3</sub> ] (3)	65.47±4.37	1.9	2.4
[Cu(BCA)Na(DAPTA) <sub>2</sub> ].NaBF <sub>4</sub> (4)	31.88±4.51	2.5	4.4
[Cu(BCS)Na(DAPTA) <sub>2</sub> ].NaBF <sub>4</sub> (5)	29.22±5.85	2.7	3.5
[Cu(i-BCS)Na(DAPTA)₂].NaBF₄ (6)	21.89±4.58	4.2	10.4
[Cu(BCA)Na(PTA) <sub>2</sub> ].NaBF <sub>4</sub> (7)	25.22±3.35	2.5	7.8
[Cu(BCS)Na(PTA) <sub>2</sub> ].NaBF <sub>4</sub> (8)	21.15±7.97	3.6	13.5
[Cu(i-BCS)Na(PTA) <sub>2</sub> ].Na <sub>2</sub> BF <sub>4</sub> (9)	20.36±3.74	3.4	4.8
<i>cis</i> platin	19.25±1.6	2.3	2.3

Cells (5 × 10<sup>3</sup> mL<sup>-1</sup>) were treated for 72 h with increasing concentrations of the tested compounds. The cytotoxicity was assessed by the MTT test. IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated by a four parameter logistic model, 4-PL (P < 0.05). SI=IC<sub>50</sub> (non-tumor cells)/mean IC<sub>50</sub> (cancer cells), SI<sub>BxPC3</sub>=IC<sub>50</sub> (HEK293 cells)/mean IC<sub>50</sub> (BxPC3 cells).

#### **Figure Captions**

- Figure 1. Ligands utilised in the present study and proposed molecular structures of BCA-containing Cu(I) complexes (1), (4) and (7). BCS- and i-BCS-containing complexes display similar molecular structures.
- Figure 2. Comparison of the <sup>31</sup>P NMR signals of uncoordinated PTA and DAPTA ligands with those arising from mixed-ligand complexes [Cu(BCSNa)(PTA)<sub>2</sub>].NaBF<sub>4</sub> (**8**) and [Cu(i-BCSNa)(DAPTA)<sub>2</sub>].NaBF<sub>4</sub> (**6**).
- Figure 3. Full ESI(-) spectrum of homoleptic, bis-diimine complex (2).
- Figure 4. Collisionally induced decomposition of the ion at m/z 1101 corresponding to the anion of complex (2).
- Figure 5. Comparison of the experimental and calculated clusters of the ion at m/z 1101 corresponding to the anion of complex (2).
- Figure 6. Cellular uptake. Intracellular accumulation of copper complexes **1-9**. BxPC3 cells were incubated with 5  $\mu$ M of copper complexes for 24 and 36 h, and cellular copper content was detected by GF-AAS analysis. Error bars indicate the standard deviation. \*p < 0.05; \*\*p <0.01 compared with the control.
- Figure 7. Log P values (octanol/water) of complexes **1-9** obtained by shake flask method. Results are expressed as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments.
- Figure 8. Morphological changes. (A) Transmission electron micrographs of BxPC3 cells after 48 h of treatment with IC<sub>50</sub> concentrations of compound **9**. (a) control cells; (b),(c), and (d) **9**. (B) Hoechst staining. BxPC3 cells were incubated for 48 h with IC<sub>50</sub> of **9** (b) and cisplatin (c). Panel a represents the control untreated BxPC3 cells.

Figure 1



 $BCANa_2 = 4,4$ '-dicarboxy-2,2'-biquinoline disodium salt (bichinconinic acid disodium salt);  $BCSNa_2 =$  bathocuproinedisulfonic acid disodium salt; <sup>i</sup> $BCSNa_2$  bathocuproinedisulfonic acid disodium salt isomer mixture; HFzNa = 5,6-diphenyl-3-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazine-4,4"-disulfonic acid sodium salt (ferrozine sodium salt ); PTA = 1,3,5-triaza-7-phosphaadamantane; DAPTA = 3,7-diacetyl-1,3,7-triazaphosphabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane.













### Figure 8

А



### References

[1] T.C. Johnstone, K. Suntharalingam, S.J. Lippard, The Next Generation of Platinum Drugs: Targeted Pt(II) Agents, Nanoparticle Delivery, and Pt(IV) Prodrugs, Chem Rev, 116 (2016) 3436-3486.

[2] L. Galluzzi, L. Senovilla, I. Vitale, J. Michels, I. Martins, O. Kepp, M. Castedo, G. Kroemer, Molecular mechanisms of cisplatin resistance, Oncogene, 31 (2012) 1869-1883.

[3] L. Kelland, The resurgence of platinum-based cancer chemotherapy, Nature Reviews Cancer, 7 (2007) 573-584.

[4] C. Santini, M. Pellei, V. Gandin, M. Porchia, F. Tisato, C. Marzano, Advances in copper complexes as anticancer agents, Chem Rev, 114 (2014) 815-862.

[5] C. Marzano, M. Pellei, F. Tisato, C. Santini, Copper complexes as anticancer agents, Anticancer Agents Med Chem, 9 (2009) 185-211.

[6] F. Tisato, C. Marzano, M. Porchia, M. Pellei, C. Santini, Copper in diseases and treatments, and copper-based anticancer strategies, Med Res Rev, 30 (2010) 708-749.

[7] C. Duncan, A.R. White, Copper complexes as therapeutic agents, Metallomics, 4 (2012) 127-138.

[8] F. Tisato, C. Marzano, V. Peruzzo, M. Tegoni, M. Giorgetti, M. Damjanovic, A. Trapananti, A. Bagno, C. Santini, M. Pellei, M. Porchia, V. Gandin, Insights into the cytotoxic activity of the phosphane copper(I) complex [Cu(thp)4][PF6], J Inorg Biochem, 165 (2016) 80-91.

[9] V. Gandin, F. Tisato, A. Dolmella, M. Pellei, C. Santini, M. Giorgetti, C. Marzano, M. Porchia, In vitro and in vivo anticancer activity of copper(I) complexes with homoscorpionate tridentate tris(pyrazolyl)borate and auxiliary monodentate phosphine ligands, J Med Chem, 57 (2014) 4745-4760.

[10] V. Gandin, A. Trenti, M. Porchia, F. Tisato, M. Giorgetti, I. Zanusso, L. Trevisi, C. Marzano, Homoleptic phosphino copper(I) complexes with in vitro and in vivo dual cytotoxic and antiangiogenic activity, Metallomics, 7 (2015) 1497-1507.

[11] G.D. Hoke, R.A. Macia, P.C. Meunier, P.J. Bugelski, C.K. Mirabelli, G.F. Rush, W.D. Matthews, In vivo and in vitro cardiotoxicity of a gold-containing antineoplastic drug candidate in the rabbit, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol, 100 (1989) 293-306.

[12] R. Alemon-Medina, M. Brena-Valle, J.L. Munoz-Sanchez, M.I. Gracia-Mora, L. Ruiz-Azuara, Induction of oxidative damage by copper-based antineoplastic drugs (Casiopeinas), Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 60 (2007) 219-228.

[13] M. Porchia, A. Dolmella, V. Gandin, C. Marzano, M. Pellei, V. Peruzzo, F. Refosco, C. Santini, F. Tisato, Neutral and charged phosphine/scorpionate copper(I) complexes: effects of ligand assembly on their antiproliferative activity, Eur J Med Chem, 59 (2013) 218-226.

[14] V. Gandin, M. Porchia, F. Tisato, A. Zanella, E. Severin, A. Dolmella, C. Marzano, Novel mixed-ligand copper(I) complexes: role of diimine ligands on cytotoxicity and genotoxicity, J Med Chem, 56 (2013) 7416-7430.

[15] Z. Xiao, F. Loughlin, G.N. George, G.J. Howlett, A.G. Wedd, C-terminal domain of the membrane copper transporter Ctr1 from Saccharomyces cerevisiae binds four Cu(I) ions as a cuprous-thiolate polynuclear cluster: sub-femtomolar Cu(I) affinity of three proteins involved in copper trafficking, J Am Chem Soc, 126 (2004) 3081-3090.

[16] Z. Xiao, L. Gottschlich, R. van der Meulen, S.R. Udagedara, A.G. Wedd, Evaluation of quantitative probes for weaker Cu(i) binding sites completes a set of four capable of detecting Cu(i) affinities from nanomolar to attomolar, Metallomics, 5 (2013) 501-513.

[17] K.A. Wills, H.J. Mandujano-Ramirez, G. Merino, D. Mattia, T. Hewat, N. Robertson, G. Oskam, M.D. Jones, S.E. Lewis, P.J. Cameron, Investigation of a copper(I) biquinoline complex for application in dye-sensitized solar cells, Rsc Advances, 3 (2013) 23361-23369.

[18] V. Gandin, M. Pellei, F. Tisato, M. Porchia, C. Santini, C. Marzano, A novel copper complex induces paraptosis in colon cancer cells via the activation of ER stress signalling, J Cell Mol Med, 16 (2012) 142-151.

[19] M. Porchia, F. Benetollo, F. Refosco, F. Tisato, C. Marzano, V. Gandin, Synthesis and structural characterization of copper(I) complexes bearing N-methyl-1,3,5-triaza-7-phosphaadamantane (mPTA): cytotoxic activity evaluation of a series of water soluble Cu(I) derivatives containing PTA, PTAH and mPTA ligands, J Inorg Biochem, 103 (2009) 1644-1651.