1 In situ groundwater remediation with bioelectrochemical systems (BES): a critical review and 2 future perspectives 3 Daniele Cecconet^{1*}, Fabrizio Sabba², Matyas Devecseri³, Arianna Callegari¹, Andrea G. Capodaglio¹ 4 ¹ Department of Civil Engineering and Architecture, University of Pavia, Via Adolfo Ferrata 3, 27100 5 Pavia, Italy 6 ² Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Northwestern University, 2145 Sheridan Road, 7 Evanston, IL, 60208, USA 8 ³ Department of Sanitary and Environmental Engineering, Budapest University of Technology and 9 Economics, Műegyetem rkp. 3, 1111 Budapest, Hungary 10 *Corresponding author: Tel.: +39 0382 985764; e-mail: daniele.cecconet@unipv.it 11 12 13 Abstract: Groundwater contamination is an ever-growing environmental issue that has attracted much 14 and undiminished attention for the past half century. Groundwater contamination may originate from 15 both anthropogenic (e.g., hydrocarbons) and natural compounds (e.g., nitrate and arsenic); to tackle the 16 removal of these contaminants, different technologies have been developed and implemented. Recently, 17 bioelectrochemical systems (BES) have emerged as a potential treatment for groundwater 18 contamination, with reported in situ applications that showed promising results. Nitrate and 19 hydrocarbons (toluene, phenanthrene, benzene, BTEX and light PAHs) have been successfully removed, due to the interaction of microbial metabolism with poised electrodes, in addition to physical migration 20 21 due to the electric field generated in a BES. The selection of proper BESs relies on several factors and 22 problems, such as the complexity of groundwater and subsoil environment, scale-up issues, and energy 23 requirements that need to be accounted for. Modeling efforts could help predict case scenarios and select 24 a proper design and approach, while BES-based biosensing could help monitoring remediation 25 processes. In this review, we critically analyze in situ BES applications for groundwater remediation, 26 focusing in particular on different proposed setups, and we identify and discuss the existing research

- 27 gaps in the field.
- 28 29

@ 0

- 30 Keywords: bioelectrochemical
- groundwater remediation, systems, in situ treatment, 31 bioelectroremediation, denitrification, microbial electrochemical technologies

32 **1.** Introduction

Groundwater (GW) is highly susceptible to many pollutants, and contamination may render it unsafe or
unfit for human or other uses. Contamination might be linked to natural causes, for example, arsenic (As)
or nitrate (NO₃⁻)-containing rocks (Menció et al., 2016; Tabelin et al., 2018) or, more frequently, to direct
or indirect anthropic influence (Burri et al., 2019).

Different treatment technologies have been applied to GW remediation: physical, chemical, and 37 38 biological, with a variable rate of success (Callegari et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2019; O'Connor et al., 39 2018; Sarkar and Paul, 2016). Application of Pump & Treat (P&T) schemes are among the most diffused 40 strategies. In this treatment, GW is extracted and subsequently treated outside of the aquifer; this type of 41 solution allows for better process control (i.e., directly observable by the operator); however, it may be 42 highly energy-intensive when the treated, extracted GW does not require immediate use (Favara and 43 Gamlin, 2017). Therefore, much research is focusing on the development of *in situ* treatments, which are 44 generally considered to be more sustainable for the protection of this resource. Compared to P&T, in situ 45 treatment requires a more detailed study of the characteristics of the aquifer and its surroundings, since 46 no one-fits-all or standardized solution can be applied with the same success and effectiveness in sites with different characteristics. Therefore, the application of *in situ* GW treatment should be implemented 47 48 with customized and knowledge-intensive approaches, with a focus on the underlying processes, 49 involving detailed field trials to ensure appropriateness and robustness of the design (Kuppusamy et al., 50 2016: Majone et al., 2015). Despite the intensive investigation effort required, the application of *in situ* 51 treatments as an alternative to P&T is constantly growing. The latest U.S. EPA Superfund Report showed in fact that in situ treatment was chosen as a treatment strategy for GW remediation in 51% of cases, 52 53 compared to 23% where P&T was selected. A complete reversal of the situation was observed in the year 54 2000 (EPA, 2017).

A large variety of contaminants and their combinations have been found in GW. As shown in Table 1,
these compounds include metals, organic, and inorganic compounds. Pharmaceutically active

- 57 compounds (PhACs) and contaminants of emerging concern (CECs) have also been identified in GW
- 58 recently (Bexfield et al., 2019).
- 59
- 60

Table 1. Common contaminants detected in groundwater.

Contaminants		References		
Metals	Metals	Mahato et al. (2016); Trezzi et al. (2016)		
	Metalloids	Kozyatnyk et al. (2016); Luu et al. (2009)		
	Explosive metals	Chatterjee et al. (2017); Fuller et al., (2019)		
	Radioactive metals	Caridi et al. (2017); Waseem et al. (2015)		
	Organometallic pesticides and herbicides	Hakoun et al. (2017); Munira et al. (2018)		
VOCs	Halogenated VOCs	Plummer et al. (2008); Squillace et al. (2004)		
	BTEX	Powers et al. (2001); Rama et al. (2019)		
	other non-halogenated VOCs	Plummer et al. (2008); Squillace et al. (2004)		
SVOCs	PCBs	Coxon et al. (2019); Samia et al. (2018)		
	PHAs	Coxon et al. (2019); Samia et al. (2018)		
	Organic pesticides and herbicides	Hakoun et al. (2017); Munira et al. (2018)		
	Phenols	Han et al. (2016); Rudel et al. (1998)		
	Most fuels and distillates	McMahon et al. (2019); Rama et al. (2019)		
	Most explosives	Best et al. (1999); Degnan et al. (2016)		
	Dioxins and furans	Hofmann and Wendelborn (2007); Thuan et al.		
		(2011)		
	Other halogenated and non-halogenated	Plummer et al. (2008); Squillace et al. (2004)		
	SVOCs			
Other	Non-metallic inorganics	Cecconet et al. (2018c); Knoll et al. (2019)		
	PhACs	Bexfield et al. (2019); Lopez et al. (2015)		
	Asbestos	Apollaro et al. (2018); Oskierski et al. (2016)		

61

62 Bioelectrochemical systems (BESs) have steadily emerged in the last 15 years as a versatile and 63 promising technology. BESs have been employed in different ways for a variety of tasks: 1) microbial 64 fuel cells (MFC), degrading organic matter and producing electrical energy (Capodaglio et al., 2013), 2) 65 microbial electrolysis cells (MEC), producing valuable hydrogen gas at the cathode (Miller et al., 2019), 3) microbial desalination cells (MDC), providing desalinated water from seawater or brackish water 66 67 (Brastad and He, 2013), and 4) microbial electrosynthesis systems (MES), synthesizing value-added 68 chemicals and commodities using a poised biocathode (Wang and Ren, 2013). Additionally, BESs have 69 been integrated with other technologies such as membrane bioreactors, algal photobioreactors, and

capacitive deionization, in hybrid system configurations to increase overall performance, both in terms
of energy consumption/production and contaminant removal (Xiao et al., 2012; Yuan et al., 2012).

Among different applications of BESs, *in situ* GW bioelectroremediation, i.e., remediation using bioelectrochemical systems, showed to be a promising niche, due to its peculiar characteristics. These include: the option to exploit different redox environments both at anode and cathode, the possibilities to work at different set potentials and to operate as a flexible technology (Modin and Aulenta, 2017). In addition, the combination of anodic and cathodic redox environments with microbial metabolism paves the way for the development of a variety of intriguing and beneficial removal pathways.

78 Modin (2017) reviewed challenges and Aulenta and opportunities of in situ 79 bioelectroremediation, mainly focusing on the general process and the biological mechanisms of 80 electron-electrode transfer; however, the focus of this review will concentrate on different aspects of in 81 situ bioelectroremediation. The first in-depth assessment is on different in situ applications of 82 bioelectrochemical systems for GW remediation, then critical bioelectroremediation challenges and 83 current research gaps and potential future research directions, including energy consumption and scaling-84 up will be identified and discussed.

85

86

2. Practical obstacles in GW remediation suggesting BESs application

87 An aquifer is undisputedly a challenging environment, and therefore, due to its intrinsic nature, GW 88 remediation will face several practical issues. Biological water/wastewater treatment requires electron 89 donors (in case of reductive processes) and acceptors (in case of oxidation). In a hydrocarbon-90 contaminated aquifer scenario, for instance, the limited presence of electron acceptors (NO₃⁻, oxygen, 91 sulfate) could limit contaminant oxidation. In addition, insufficient intra-aquifer mixing allows 92 replenishment of electron acceptors only at a contaminated plume's physical boundary, where diffusion 93 and dispersion are the predominant mixing factors (Li and Yu, 2015). Due to ordinarily low concentration 94 of organic matter in GW, similar behavior can be observed for reduction reactions, such as denitrification, 95 where the limiting electron donor may limit potential reaction rates (Shen et al., 2015). Besides,

96 metabolism and growth rates of microorganisms may be slowed down in such circumstances, since the
97 majority of biota is attached to soil particles in the sediment, where diffusion of electron acceptors/donors
98 might be particularly difficult (Li and Yu, 2015).

According to these premises, *in situ* bioremediation may become particularly challenging. In addition, a few technologies developed so far often contemplate the addition of (expensive) chemicals, nutrients, and oxygen or introduction/augmentation of microbial communities adapted to the selective degradation of target contaminants. Some of these processes require post-treatments or are efficient only on a limited range of contaminants. These drawbacks may render an *in situ* remediation process quite complex. Some of these issues are summarized in Table 2.

106 **Table 2.** Advantages and drawbacks of some commonly applied technology for *in situ* GW remediation.

Technology	Advantages	Drawbacks	References
Heating	Increases performance of the technology used in post-treatment	Necessarily followed by another remediation technology	(Baker et al., 2016)
Permeable reactive barriers	Intercepts GW flow, long-lasting, variety of setups and solutions	Strongly dependent on the site characteristics, necessary addition of chemicals/nutrients	(Obiri-Nyarko et al., 2014)
Air Sparging	Simple, rapid and economical	Not applicable to nonvolatile contaminants; not suited for confined aquifers	(Bass et al., 2000)
Natural Attenuation	Uses naturally-occurring processes	Long remediation time, needs appropriate conditions	(Weatherill et al., 2018)
Bioaugmentation	Pre-adapted bacteria are able to remove target contaminants, mimics natural process	Long remediation time, not suitable for large-scale sites, may need addition of nutrients, oxygen	(Lyon et al., 2013)
Biostimulation	use of naturally-present bacteria	Not suited for highly-polluted sites addition of nutrients, oxygen supply may be costly in the long period	(Prasad and Prasad, 2012)
Nanoremediation	Reactive materials catalyze and reduce contaminants, nanoparticles diffuse well in aquifer	May be linked to ecotoxicological effects on flora and fauna	(Ingle et al., 2014)
Chemical oxidation/reduction	Highly effective	High cost	(Borden et al., 2011)
Bioelectroremediation	No chemicals addition, electrodes acting as electron donor/acceptor, use of indigenous microbial consortia, low	Still at lab or pilot scale	(Pous et al., 2018)

energy demand can be supplied by renewable sources

107

108 BES application may become a solution to the challenges mentioned above or at least a large part 109 of them. The lack of natural onsite electron acceptors and donors required by bioremediation can be 110 substituted electrodes inserted in the soil matrix, acting as "virtually" inexhaustible electron acceptors 111 (anode) or donors (cathode) and supporting microbial metabolism (Aulenta et al., 2011). In other 112 solutions, chemicals acting as electron donors/acceptors are added instead (e.g., reactive barriers), 113 requiring periodic replacement of spent agents. In addition, when reduction reactions are involved, BESs 114 allow setting the desired cathodic electrode potential at reductive levels that cannot be reached by the 115 mere addition of chemical reagents (Li and Yu, 2015; Williams et al., 2010).

Concomitant phenomena may amplify the effectiveness of BES applications. Water electrolysis 116 117 (occurring at the electrodes' surface) may generate oxygen and hydrogen, which could serve as an 118 additional electron acceptor and donor, respectively. Such electrokinetic enhancement was reported to 119 enhance mixing and mass transport due to the electric field generated in electrodes' proximity (Gill et 120 al., 2014). Electro-osmosis effects may induce water displacement with subsequent resuspension of 121 immobilized bacteria and contaminants, leading to enhanced contact between substrate and bacteria, 122 independently of hydraulic conductivity of the porous medium (Gill et al., 2014; Jones et al., 2011; Li 123 and Yu, 2015; Lohner et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2010). Li and Yu (2015) reported other advantages of BESs 124 application for GW in situ remediation, namely: electrokinetic enhancement of nutrient delivery and 125 microbial metabolism connected to higher bioavailability, higher bacterial enrichment, and adsorption 126 due to large electrodes' surface.

As shown in Figure 1, an additional advantage of BES application for *in situ* remediation is their higher environmental sustainability; this is mainly due to the general lack of chemicals addition. This aspect becomes especially relevant when BESs are compared to other technologies where a constant/intermittent supply of electron donors/acceptors and chemicals is an operational condition.

- 131 Combination of electrochemical and biological mechanisms, limited amount of energy requirements that
- 132 are sufficient to induce otherwise non-spontaneous reactions, make these systems more convenient than
- 133 those requiring the full energy input for equivalent reactions. Given the peculiarity of each site's
- 134 condition, a broader analysis, taking into consideration all the possible effects of bioelectroremediation
- 135 on the surrounding environment, should always be conducted.



136

137

Figure 1: Main advantages of *in situ* bioelectroremediation.

138

139 **3.** *In situ* bioelectroremediation: the quest for an ideal setup

Due to the multidisciplinary and faceted nature of BESs, different setups based on this technology have been proposed or developed in the last decade. Most applications have focused on GW denitrification and removal of petroleum hydrocarbons (as seen in Table 1). Petroleum hydrocarbons are usually removed in BESs by anodic oxidation; NO_3^- is reduced to nitrogen gas via autotrophic denitrification or autohydrogenotrophic denitrification (at the cathode) or via heterotrophic denitrification (at the anode); the presence of organic matter is necessary in the latter case.

146

147 **Table 3**: Specifications of BES performing *in situ* GW treatment. All experiments performed in batch.

Targe t	Name	Remov al pathwa y(s)	Vol ume (mL)	Applied potential/volt age/driving force	Initial conc.	Rem. rate	η	Inocul um	Prevalent microbial species	Ref.
Nitrat e	BES	Anodic heterotr ophic denitrif ication	90 ^A , 160 c	0.8 V (between electrodes)	25 mg NO3 ⁻ -N L ⁻¹	$208.2 \pm 13.3 gNO_3 -N m^{-3} d^{-1}$	90.50%	Digeste d sludge	-	Tong and He (2013)
Nitrat e	SMD DC	Cathodi c autotro phic denitrif ication	18	Voltage generated by OM oxidation at the anode	20 mg NO3 ⁻ -N L ⁻¹	0.483 kgN $O_3^{-1}N$ m ⁻ ${}^{3}TCV$ d ⁻¹	90.5% in 12 hrs	Electro des precolo nized in a MFC perfor ming denitrif ication at the cathode	Gammaprot eobacteria (Shewanella) (anode); Alphaproteo bacteria and Sphingobact eria (cathode)	Zhan g and Angel idaki (2013)
Nitrat e	BES	Physica l migrati on in a concent rating chambe r & success ive anodic heterotr ophic denitrif ication	500 A	0.8 V (between electrodes)	21.4 mgNO ₃ ⁻ -N L ⁻¹	-	55% in 17 hrs	Anaero bic sludge	-	Tong and He (2014)
Nitrat e	Bioca thode buried in simul ated aquife r	Cathodi c autotro phic denitrif ication	350 c	-0.7 V vs SHE	50 mg NO3 ⁻ -N L ⁻¹	322.6 mg m ⁻² d ⁻	-	Anaero bic sludge	Thiobacillus , Paracoccus	Nguy en et al. (2016 a)
Nitrat e	Bioca thode buried	Cathodi c autotro	110 c	-0.303 V vs SHE	30 mg NO3 ⁻ -N L ⁻¹	35.35 mgN O3 ⁻ -N	97%	Parent biocath ode	-	Cecc onet et al.

	in sand or gravel	phic denitrif ication				$m^{-2} d^{-1}$				(2019 a)
	Sinter		110 c	1.0 V (between anode and cathode	30 mg NO3 ⁻ -N L ⁻¹	36.23 mgN O ₃ ⁻ -N m ⁻² d ⁻	100%	Parent biocath ode	-	
Nitrat e	SMF C- BER	Cathodi c autotro phic denitrif ication	250 (BE R)	0.27 mA, powered by a SMFC	30 mg NO3 ⁻ -N L ⁻¹	3.87 mgN L ⁻¹ h ⁻	-	Ground water	Hyphomicro bium, Terrimicrob ium, Teridiphaer a, Prosthecoba cter	Liu et al. (2019)
Phena ntrene and benze ne	MFC	Anodic oxidati on	500 A, 300 C	MFC setup	100 ppm (phenan trene), 2000 ppm (benzen e)	-	>80% (phenantre ne, >90% (benzene)	Parent MFC	-	Adela ja et al. (2017)
Pheno 1	Bioel ectric well	Anodic phenol oxidati on	250	+0.2 V vs SHE (anode potential)	25 mg L ⁻¹	$59 \pm 3 \text{ mg} \\ \text{L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$	99.5 ± 0.4%	Refiner y wastew ater	Geobacter	Palm a et al. (2018 a)
Tolue ne	Bioel ectric well	Anodic toluene oxidati on	250	+0.2 V vs SHE (anode potential)	25 mg L ⁻¹	67.2 ± 5.7 mg L ⁻¹ d ⁻	100%	Refiner y wastew ater	Geobacter	Palm a et al. (2018 b)
Benze ne, toluen e, ethyl- benze ne, xylene	Bioel ectric well	Anodic oxidati on	250	+0.2 V vs SHE (anode potential)	5 mg L^{-1} (benzen e), 14 mg L ⁻¹ (toluen e), 2 mg L ⁻¹ (ethyl- benzen e), 4 mg L ⁻¹ (xylene)	$31.3\pm$ 1.5 mg $L^{-1} d^{-1}$ (tolue ne), 6.1 ± 0 .3 mg $L^{-1} d^{-1}$ (benz ene), 3.3 ± 0 .1 mg $L^{-1} d^{-1}$ (ethyl - benze ne), 4.5 ± 0		Refiner y wastew ater	Geobacter	Palm a et al. (2019)

Peer-reviewed version available at Environment International 2020, 137; doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105550



E n	3enze ie	t- MFC	Anodic oxidati on	330 0 ^A	MFC setup	60 mg L ⁻¹	-	100% in 12 d	Selecti vely enriche d mixed microfl ora	-	Liu et al. (2018)
L P	Light PAHs	GAC- BES	Anodic oxidati on and adsorpt ion on GAC	80.4 6	MFC setup	1546 mg L ⁻¹	-	99%	Contam inated ground water	Beta- proteobacte ria (in particular Pseudoman adaceae)	Kirmi zakis et al. (2019)

¹⁴⁸ ^A: net volume anodic chamber; ^C: net volume cathodic chamber

149 Tong and He (2013) developed an *in situ* laboratory BES, placed in aquifer medium, that attracted 150 NO₃⁻ into the anode chamber, removing it by heterotrophic denitrification (Figure 2A). This system was 151 tested with both synthetic and real GW. Anode and cathode chambers consisted of separated porous tubes 152 wrapped by anionic (AEM) and cationic (CEM) exchange membranes, respectively. The anode was fed 153 with GW medium while the cathode with buffer solution. The system was operated in both MFC and 154 MEC modes; application of 0.8 V potential between anode and cathode in MEC mode led to the best results, obtaining NO₃⁻ removal rates up to 208.2 ± 13.3 gNO₃⁻⁻N m⁻³ d⁻¹. Competition between ion 155 exchange and electricity-driven ion migration was observed; an open circuit NO₃⁻ removal rate of 158.2± 156 4.2 gNO₃⁻-N m⁻³ d⁻¹ was reported, caused by the sole ion exchange. In closed circuit conditions, electricity 157 158 generation prevented undesired ions migration into the GW by inhibition of ion exchange. Higher current 159 densities were generated when the system was operated with real GW due to the natural presence of ions 160 that would enhance charged particles' general movement and favor electricity generation (Tong and He, 161 2013). This phenomenon should encourage the experimental application of BER in field-scale 162 applications. In a follow-up study by the same researchers (Tong and He, 2014), the current generated 163 by a tubular BES induced NO₃⁻ migration out of groundwater, with accumulation in a concentration chamber. This BES setup was similar to a tubular MDC (Figure 2C): electrons generated by organic 164 165 matter oxidation at the anode flowed to the cathode, while cations migrated to the concentration chamber 166 from the anode. Simultaneously, anions, including the target pollutant NO_3^- , reached the concentration 167 chamber through the AEM, where they were retained by the CEM, preventing their intrusion into the 168 anode chamber. In this case, rather than biological denitrification, NO₃⁻ removal from GW was due 169 mainly to physical migration induced by electric current (Tong and He, 2014). Subsequent denitrifying 170 treatment of the concentrated solution (brine) would then be necessary to achieve final removal of nitrate. 171 Zhang and Angelidaki (2013) proposed a modification of the MDC setup: bioelectricity was used 172 to attract NO₃⁻ into the anodic chamber through an AEM, then it was transferred to the cathode chamber, 173 where it was reduced *via* autotrophic denitrification (Figure 2B). NO₃⁻ removal efficiency of 90.5% was 174 obtained with 12 hours HRT, the ionic strength of GW being a limiting factor for NO₃⁻ removal. Addition

175 of a nitrification step in the anode to cathode NO_3^- transferring loop was beneficial to both bioelectricity 176 production and NO_3^- attraction, removing ammonia (NH_3/NH_4^+) that appeared in the anode chamber due 177 to anoxic conditions. This setup proved to be versatile and capable, with minor modifications, to remove NH₃ from anaerobic reactors, at the same time balancing NH₃ inhibition (Zhang and Angelidaki, 2015a, 178 179 2015b). For bioelectricity generation, Tong and He (2013, 2014) and Zhang and Angelidaki (2014) used 180 synthetic or real wastewater as anode feed in their systems. While this increased the energy sustainability 181 of treatment, the use of wastewater as anolyte was not always be practically feasible and represented 182 additional concerns due to possible leakages contaminating GW both in terms of organic matter and 183 microbial contamination.

Denitrification processes using biocathodes buried in simulated aquifers have been investigated by Nguyen et al. (2016a). Electrodes were immersed in sand at a variable submersion percentage (10, 50, and 100%, plus a control without sand), showing that NO_3^- removal rates depend on the sand/medium ratio. 30% decrease in NO_3^- removal rates were observed when liquid recirculation was reduced by the addition of sand at the bottom of the cathode chamber (Nguyen et al., 2016a) (Figure 2D).

189 The influence of local recirculation was discussed by Jain and He (2018), where, in order to 190 achieve better BES performances, proper liquid recirculation was indicated as essential to promote 191 contact between substrate and biomass and decrease overpotentials. This required, however, stirring, and 192 its energy contribution in small reactors (possibly pumping in the bigger ones) cannot be neglected, and 193 may massively affect the overall energy balance of an application (Jacobson et al., 2015; Zou and He. 194 2018). Recently, Cecconet et al. (2019a) confirmed the decrease in NO₃⁻ removal rates previously 195 reported by Nguyen et al. (2016a) by operating buried biocathodes completely immersed in sand and 196 gravel, assisted by potentiostat or power supply. Biocathodes operated in gravel achieved better results 197 due to a greater degree of water movement, compared to those in sand. In addition, higher accumulation 198 of intermediate nitrogen species was found in biocathodes operated by potentiostat, compared with those 199 operated by power supply. Increased accumulation of intermediate nitrogen species, e.g., nitrous oxide 200 (N₂O), has been shown in other studies to be a potential route of nitrogen loss in bioelectroremediation

systems (Srinivasan et al., 2016; Van Doan et al., 2013; Vilar-Sanz et al., 2013). This is likely due to the 201 202 intrinsic behavior of biofilms and their microbial stratification and interaction, substrate gradients, and 203 eross-influences-that allow biotic and abiotic formation of N₂O (Sabba et al., 2018). The results of 204 Cecconet et al. (2019a) and Nguyen et al. (2016a) suggest that the insertion of electrodes in a porous medium, even though feasible and simple, presents severe limitations that should be eliminated with a 205 206 dedicated design (Figure 2D). Both studies were performed in lab-scale H-cells; in a field application 207 contribution of GW advective flow should be properly assessed, as it would favor contact between 208 substrate and biomass, and may reduce the drawbacks mentioned above.





211 organic matter; WW: wastewater; CEM: cation exchange membrane; AEM: anion exchange membrane;

BTEX: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene; PAH: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; MFC:
microbial fuel cell; BER: bioelectrochemical reactor.

214

A BES setup, known as "bioelectric well", was proposed for *in situ* remediation of hydrocarbon 215 216 contaminated GW (Palma et al., 2018b) (Figure 2G). The setup consisted of a granular graphite anode 217 and a stainless steel mesh cathode, physically separated by a polyethylene mesh, which maintained 218 hydraulic continuity. The system, operated at a set anode potential of +0.2 V vs. Standard Hydrogen 219 Electrode (SHE), obtained nearly complete (99.5%) phenol removal. This BES showed an average degradation rate of $59 \pm 3 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$ when inoculated with refinery wastewater; lower performances, i.e., 220 221 23 ± 1 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹, were recorded when municipal activated sludge was used for inoculum. In both cases, 222 Geobacter species were predominant in mature biofilm on the surface of the graphite granules at the 223 anode.

224 In a follow-up study, Palma et al. (2018a) using the same, previously applied anode potential for 225 phenol removal, tested the bioelectric well during long term operation to remove toluene, achieving the 226 highest toluene removal rate reported so far for anaerobic toluene oxidation (67.2 \pm 5.7 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹) (Figure 2G). Geobacter species acted as a catalyzer for the oxidation, initiated by fumarate addition, a 227 228 common removal pathway for hydrocarbon-degrading anaerobic microorganisms (Palma et al., 2018a). 229 Recently, a bioelectric well showed to successfully remove mixtures of benzene, toluene, ethyl-230 benzene, and xylenes (BTEX) from GW (Palma et al., 2019). Compared to previous applications, the 231 bioelectric well, due to its vertical design, has the advantage of being easily adaptable for placement in 232 existing groundwater wells. In addition, the setup may be easily scaled-up and configured to include 233 internal recirculation; the lack of membranes increases its economic sustainability. However, the use of 234 granular graphite as electrode material might require some special attention due to its non-scalable properties (Rozendal et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2011) and the tendency to internally form dead volumes 235 with resulting performance loss (Cecconet et al., 2018b). Therefore, the application of other 3-D, scalable 236 237 electrode materials (e.g., carbon or graphite foam) should be further investigated. At the moment, the

bioelectric well is the most advanced BES for *in situ* bioelectroremediation, showing potential to remove
different contaminants with excellent rates. However, its ability to reduce oxidized contaminants has yet
to be tested, as well as the ability of biomass to exert anocathophilic abilities.

Kirmizakis et al. (2019) proposed a BES designed with a graphite electrode chamber (in place of conventional non-conductive material) coupled with granular activated carbon (GAC) to increase available anode surface area, for gasworks GW *in situ* remediation. A latex membrane was used to divide anode from the cathode chamber. This GAC-BES showed 99% removal of aliphatic and aromatic compounds with rapid bacterial colonization. The main class of bacteria found in the system was betaproteobacteria with specific PAH-degrading *Pseudomonadaceaea*, commonly detected in gasworkscontaminated GW (Kirmizakis et al., 2019).

248 In situ treatment of phenanthrene and benzene contaminated GW with MFC was reported by Adelaja et al. (2017), where a tubular MFC with carbon felt anode was exposed to contaminated GW and 249 250 tested for long term operation (155 days) (Figure 2F). This system removed up to 90% petroleum 251 hydrocarbons at the anode and up to 79% bromate (BrO_3^{-}) at the cathode (added as catholyte). The MFC 252 was tested under copiotrophic (high concentration) organic C ($\simeq 1500$ ppm benzene and 100 ppm phenanthrene) and oligotrophic (low concentration) organic C (\simeq 50 ppb for both considered 253 contaminants) conditions. The highest (0.76 mW m⁻²) and lowest (0.01 mW m⁻²) power densities were 254 achieved in copiotrophic and oligotrophic conditions, respectively, while contaminants removal 255 remained consistently high (higher than 80% for benzene and phenanthrene in copiotrophic conditions). 256 257 Despite these interesting results, due to the toxicity of BrO₃, a known carcinogen (Hutchinson et al. 258 1997), and the possibility of leaks, the use of BrO_3^- as catholyte in *in situ* applications should be avoided 259 and should be strictly restricted to *ex situ* treatment. The presence of BrO₃⁻ in GW was reported (Butler 260 et al., 2005), mainly as a byproduct of potabilization processes (Butler et al., 2006). Ex situ treatments 261 would allow a combined treatment of both contaminants, maintaining separate streams.

A small scale tubular BES composed of three air-cathode MFC connected in series was proposed for GW benzene removal. The tubular shape allows displacement in wells, and air was insufflated to

ensure the presence of oxygen at the cathode (Liu et al., 2018). Effects of the necessary insufflation of air should be further investigated, as this might induce air sparging, with additional sideway stripping of volatile compounds.

Recently, a 3-chamber BES was proposed by Liu et al. (2019), where a sediment MFC was used 267 268 to drive NO₃⁻ reduction in a bioelectrochemical reactor, whose electrodes were connected to the sediment 269 MFC's electrodes (Figure 2E). The connection of the two systems enhanced both performances, allowing 270 a 66% decrease of organic matter content in the sediment and observed denitrification rate of 3.7 mg N L⁻¹ h⁻¹. These results were achieved in both simulated GW and, at higher levels, in real GW. The presence 271 272 of microcurrent positively influenced the establishment of naturally occurring GW denitrifying 273 microorganisms (Liu et al., 2019). From a sustainability point of view, the approach followed by Liu et 274 al. (2019) is of particular interest due to the use of sediment as a source of organic matter for GW 275 denitrification. This setup needs further testing at a larger scale to demonstrate operational feasibility in 276 practical GW remediation cases.

Based on existing literature analysis, the main characteristics of an optimal BES for *in situ* GW treatment can be identified, as shown in Figure 3. These include the possibility to be positioned in existing wells or trenches, avoiding additional expensive excavations, ability to passively intercept GW flow, lack of membranes to reduce costs and maintenance, internal recirculation to allow proper intensive contact between biomass and substrate, large electrodes' surface to allow ample biofilm growth, use of sustainable-source power supply or potentiostat to set the desired work potential and avoid limitations linked to anodic organic matter oxidation rate, ease of scalability, modular design setup or both.



284

285

Figure 3: Optimal BES characteristics for *in situ* bioelectroremediation

286

4. Discussion

Based on sections 2 and 3, different issues involving *in situ* GW BES have been identified; their current
status and present gaps are discussed in the following subsections.

290

4.1. Evolution of permeable reactive barriers: a future for BES development?

Among the options for remediation of contaminated GW plumes, the use of permeable reactive barriers (PRBs), and in particular of their biological declination, has been advocated and applied widely. A PRB consists of a trench or a series of injection wells through which a reactive medium is introduced in the soil matrix orthogonally to the flowpath of a contaminated GW plume. The latter, driven by the natural hydraulic gradient, passively migrates through the barrier, allowing the contact of solute contaminants with the reactive material, leading to their fixation, transformation, or precipitation to a neutral or less environmentally harmful form (Obiri-Nyarko et al., 2014).

299 Combination of PRBs with microbial metabolism will constitute so-called "biobarriers", or bio-300 PRBs. These are built with the same technologies used for conventional PRBs, but consist of materials 301 that enhance, support and stimulate microbial metabolism, allowing *in situ* bioremediation of 302 contaminated GW (Obiri-Nyarko et al., 2014). Microbial populations, necessary for the degradation of

target compounds, are usually already present in a contaminated area (Careghini et al., 2013) and, to maximize their growth and metabolic action, they may need supplemental nutrients or oxygen –supplied through the barrier filling material-. In the absence of strains not adapted to the specific contaminants, the barrier can be initially used to introduce properly enriched, pre-adapted bacterial species to speed up and promote efficacy of the remediation process (Sarkar et al., 2017).

Several examples of contaminants removed through biobarriers are reported in recent literature: reduced compounds, such as petroleum hydrocarbons, were removed by direct oxygen (terminal electron acceptor) addition via air sparging, or indirectly by addition of oxygen-releasing compounds, such as CaO₂, MgO₂, H₂O₂, in the barrier filling (Careghini et al., 2013). Oxidized compounds instead, were removed by the addition of low-cost, recycled organic matter of plant or anthropic origin, such as wood chips, alfalfa waste, leaves, sawdust, mulch, composted municipal sewage sludge, acting as an electron donor (Zhang et al., 2018).

Advantages of using PRBs and bio-PRBs are many and include the passive nature of the technology, which does not require constant energy input, the possibility of combining multiple selective barriers to sequentially remove a series of contaminants in a plume, the avoidance of GW extraction and high related energy consumption, the possibility of using the above-ground areas in the remediation site for other purposes (Obiri-Nyarco et al., 2014; Careghini et al., 2013). Conversely, one disadvantage, maybe the need for periodical removal/replacement of reactive materials for continuous, long-time operation.

Integration of BESs with PRBs has been proposed by Palma et al. (2018b) as a possible practical application of their bioelectric well (Figure 4A). Such setup could provide efficient GW treatment and save pumping energy by using natural flow to achieve contact between substrate and bioelectrodes. Aquifer natural flow may also (completely or partially) substitute internal recirculation needs, with an additional decrease in the overall system energy demand.

327 3-D electrodes assembled with innovative materials, such as carbon foam or granular graphite,
 328 could constitute a good candidate for these applications, as they have a porous medium structure that

allows water to flow through freely, while still offering a large surface area for biofilm growth, combined
with excellent electrical conductivity. Microbial populations able to perform direct or indirect electronelectrode transfer, necessary for BES establishment, have been already reported to naturally occur in
GW. These were used as indigenous inocula, meaning that the addition of exogenous bacteria may not
be necessary (Kirmizakis et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2015).

334





Figure 4: Scheme of the bioelectrochemical barriers proposed by Palma et al. (2018b).

337

336

338 One advantage of BES/PRB integration stems from both anode and cathode acting as virtually 339 inexhaustible electron sink and donor. Contrary to conventional PRBs, therefore, no replacement of 340 reactive materials or chemicals is needed. The build-up of thick biofilms could, however, modify the original conductivity of the electrodes, and this may create the necessity of their periodical flushing or 341 342 replacement. On the other hand, Czurda and Haus (2002) reported that integration of electrochemical 343 processes in bio-PRBs might in fact reduce fouling induced by excessive microbial growth and remove 344 undesired biomolecules and precipitates. Based on this idea, existing permeable barriers may be 345 retrofitted and offer new possibilities of enhanced removal by oxidation/reduction of a variety of 346 contaminants.

Palma et al. (2018a, 2018b) proposed the combined use of multiple units of tubular-designed bioelectric well. An evolution of this proposed system could be a setup similar to the common funneland-gate or open channel PRB design, able to intercept natural GW flow. (Fig. 4B). Addition of graphene oxide to enhance treatment performance of these systems was proposed by Camedda et al. (2019).

351

352 4.2. Complex, multi-contaminant groundwater matrix

353 Concomitant anthropic activities of different nature (i.e., agriculture, industry) may induce GW 354 contamination with various pollutants (Bartzas et al., 2015; Han et al., 2016; Venkatramanan et al., 2016). 355 Therefore, while focusing on single contaminants is useful to assess basic removal pathways and related 356 kinetics for each solute, results achieved might not be of immediate use for real applications. This is 357 mainly due to complex interactions that may occur between different contaminants, including conflicting 358 redox conditions, which may require different remediation techniques. Earlier attempts of using BESs 359 for the removal of multiple GW contaminants have been reported: Butler et al. (2010) first studied the 360 interaction and competition of NO₃⁻ and perchlorate as terminal electron acceptors (often associated in 361 groundwater) in a BES biocathode; Xie et al. (2014) showed inhibition of perchlorate reduction in the presence of 2.1 mM of NO₃⁻ and its slowed reduction at lower nitrate concentrations. Nguyen et al. 362 363 (2016b) studied As oxidation at the anode and denitrification at the cathode of a BES, maintaining strict 364 streams separation (i.e., anodic and cathodic influents). Competition between vanadium and chromium 365 in BES cathodes was also investigated (Zhang et al., 2012), while Lai et al. (2015) performed reductive BES dechlorination of cis-dichloroethylene (cis-DCE) in NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻ contaminated real GW with 366 367 simultaneous reduction of all three compounds. Chromium presence was reported to partially hinder 368 NO₃⁻ removal in an MFC treating synthetic GW at the cathode and remediating sediment at the anode 369 (Han et al., 2018). Interaction of chromium with other heavy metals during BER was recently reviewed 370 by Beretta et al. (2019).

371 In order to enhance GW treatment sustainability, multi-contaminant approaches could be of 372 advantage, exploiting industry-originated organic carbon or organic contaminants sources that are

373 commonly detected in GW (e.g., petroleum hydrocarbons); Liu et al. (2019) reported that anodic 374 remediation of contaminated sediments, could be another feasible option as anodic electron source. 375 Potential inhibition effects due to interaction of different contaminants should be assessed: application of BESs with separated chambers should be evaluated, as separation of reduced and oxidized 376 377 contaminants in real conditions is not always feasible as in lab experiments. Flow-through systems, similar to the one described by Pous et al. (2017), may represent a valid option. The contaminated stream 378 379 would first be exposed to the anode, performing oxidation, then to the cathode, where reduction would 380 occur. Another option is the use anocathodophilic bacteria-based systems, employing electrodes as both 381 electron acceptors and donors based on redox conditions. Examples in this direction have been reported 382 for biofilms capable of catalyzing organic matter oxidation and NO₃⁻ or chromium reduction (Beretta et 383 al., 2018; Molognoni et al., 2017; Pous et al., 2016).

- 384
- 385 **4.3. Contaminants of emerging concern**

386 CECs are a class of substances used for a variety of purposes: personal care, food production, human and 387 animal health (pharmaceuticals), industrial manufacturing, and fire suppression (Richardson and Kimura, 388 2017). CECs have been detected in GW worldwide: their presence was reported in Europe (Stuart et al., 389 2012), the Americas (Montes-Grajales et al., 2017), Asia (Lapworth et al., 2018), Africa (Arukwe et al., 390 2012) and Oceania (Sui et al., 2015), in developed and developing countries alike. Their occurrence in 391 groundwater is to be ascribed to anthropic activities (Lapworth et al., 2012): therefore, CECs can be used 392 as tracers to identify GW contamination due to wastewater infiltration and discharges (McCance et al., 393 2018). Most of the world population relies on GW use for drinking water supply, and given that CECs' 394 regulations have not been officially issued at the present time in most countries, much debate on this 395 sensitive issue is still ongoing (Lapworth et al., 2019).

396 BESs proved the capability to remove some CECs with high efficiency and in some cases higher 397 than conventional water and wastewater treatments (e.g., biological process). Interesting results were 398 obtained, particularly in the removal of recalcitrant contaminants with a combination of microbial

399 metabolisms at different redox conditions offered by anode and cathode (Cecconet et al., 2017).
400 Investigation on CECs removal considering aquifer environment particularities and influence (general
401 low conductivity, and low concentration of nutrients and organic matter is quite active. Removal of
402 multiple contaminants in solution, especially when concentrations differ by orders of magnitude, as
403 discussed in section 4.2., is still an issue that needs great attention.

404

405 **4.4. Energy consumption: the stone guest**

406 Zou and He (2018) recently analyzed the energy sustainability of bioelectrochemical systems for 407 different applications (desalination, wastewater treatment, hydrogen production) considering additional 408 energy costs due to recirculation and feeding/extraction of influents/effluents. A similar analysis of in 409 and ex situ treatment with MFC and power supply-assisted biocathodes was conducted by Cecconet et 410 al. (2018d), showing that *in situ* denitrification using MFC can be energy-positive. Performances of 411 poised biocathodes were far higher than MFC's in terms of nitrogen removal rates (by approx. 30%). 412 Based on that estimate, it is possible to consider the use of MFC for long-term GW denitrification 413 applications (or biocathodic reduction of other chemicals). Electrons produced by anodic organic matter 414 oxidation could be used for cathodic reduction, and the limitation of achievable removal rates would be 415 acceptable for *in situ* treatment, where the spatial dimensions of contamination are large. One issue to be 416 addressed is the use of organic matter as anolyte and the need to avoid additional contamination. On the 417 other hand, in *ex situ* configurations, the use of a poised biocathode would benefit from higher removal 418 rates, ensuring higher flow rates. A more precise assessment of the real energy consumption of BESs, 419 taking into account all different aspects, such as recirculation, pumping, etc., could be achieved through 420 a specific life cycle assessment (LCA) of this technology.

Recently, microbial electrochemical snorkel emerged as a novel BES type (Figure 5). A snorkel is basically a short-circuited MFC, where a microbial anode is directly coupled with a biotic or abiotic cathode. The snorkel does not produce (or require) power but works continuously at the maximum current sustainable by the system, and at the same time, performs at maximum electrochemical reaction

rate (Hoareau et al., 2019). These systems are characterized by extreme constructive simplicity, as they may consist of a single rod of graphite, steel, or carbon, exposed to two different redox environments (Viggi et al., 2017, 2015). Snorkels have been used to degrade organic matter in wastewater (Aguirre-Sierra et al., 2016) and remove NO_3^- from low-organic wastewater, in addition to remediating hydrocarbon-contaminated sediments (Yang et al., 2015); promising results have been reported, showing removal up to 91% of COD removal and 98% of NO_3^- removal, respectively in Aguirre-Sierra et al. (2016) and Yang et al. (2015).

Snorkels application for GW remediation would allow treatment where no external energy is available or provided, and where simplicity could be the primary reason to suggest its use *in situ*. A snorkel is a relatively new type of BESs, and some of its operational challenges are still unsolved, such as the inability of fully controlling electrode potential, the lack of accurate delimitation of anodic and cathodic zones, and the possible presence of oxygen in the anodic zone. Some solutions, contemplating system's numerical and mathematical modeling and the use of anocathodophilic biofilms, have been proposed (Hoareau et al., 2019).





440 **Figure 5**: Microbial electrochemical snorkel. (A) Oxygen and (B) nitrate as electron acceptor.

441

442 **4.5. Process modeling**

Modeling is an advantageous approach to assess and help understand the behavior of complex systems 443 444 in variable conditions. MFC operation and understanding have advanced extensively with the possibility 445 of predicting organic matter removal and energy production interactions (Capodaglio et al., 2017; Gadkari et al., 2018; Pinto et al., 2010). MEC and MDC processes have also been successfully modeled 446 447 (Ping et al., 2014; Pinto et al., 2011). Latter models evaluated the effects of the integration of BESs with 448 membrane bioreactors and algal photobioreactors (Li and He, 2016; Luo et al., 2017). Statistical methods 449 have been applied to BES technology to improve operational knowledge (Cecconet et al., 2018a; Luo et 450 al., 2016).

To date, only limited modeling efforts were reported for BES-based GW remediation. Srinivasan et al. (2016) developed a model for GW denitrification based on the one proposed by Pan et al. (2013), which showed competition between NO_3^- and NO_2 for electrons in an MFC biocathode. Based on existing models (Srinivasan et al., 2016; Pan et al., 2013), removal of target GW contaminants could be simulated, taking into account competition effects between different electron acceptors.

456

457 **4.6. Scaling-up issues**

458 BES applications at full scale for wastewater treatment have been reported (Table 4), but no data are 459 available for full-scale applications for GW treatment, yet, as most results for such applications are still 460 at laboratory scale only. Recently, Wang and He (2019) discussed the required dimension BES systems 461 should reach in order to be considered "pilot scale", concluding that most examples of pilot scale reactors 462 cited in literature should not be considered as such, based on practical flow or hydraulic capacity. A pilot 463 reactor should, in fact, operate at between 0.1 and 5% of the related full scale application flow rate. This 464 concept is, however, difficult to apply for *in situ* GW bioelectroremediation since the estimation of a 465 proper reactor flow-rate is not feasible.

466 Conversely, a range of flow rate values can be easily determined for *ex situ*, on-site applications. 467 In drinking water treatment plants built to serve small or medium communities (with a flow rate in the 500-5000 m³ d⁻¹ range), no reported BES study was able to meet the 0.5-250 m³ d⁻¹ flow-rate required 468 for pilot-scale classification. Different considerations emerge in case of decentralized applications: 469 considering isolated dwellings housing four people, a daily water consumption of 400 L d⁻¹ can be 470 estimated based on World Health Organization (WHO) requirements of 100 L d⁻¹ per capita as daily 471 472 minimum water intake (Howard and Bartram, 2003). Therefore, a pilot scale BES reactor for such 473 decentralized application should guarantee flow rates in the range of 0.4-20 L d⁻¹. This condition was 474 met by BES performing GW denitrification treatment, with flow values exceeding 12 L d⁻¹ described by 475 Pous and co-workers (2017). It is possible, therefore, to state that BESs for GW treatment have reached 476 pilot scale (limited to decentralized applications) and are no longer confined to laboratory settings.

477 Recently, the application of small scale BESs in series has been proposed as an alternative to 478 sheer size increase for upscaling (Greenman and Ieropoulos, 2017). Serial application of multiple small-479 scale BESs may be a feasible option to both remove different contaminants, as in biocathodes poised at 480 different potentials, each focusing on a specific contaminant, similar to what reported by Huang et al. 481 (2015) and increase energy production. A comparable sequential approach was tested by Cecconet et al. 482 (2019b) for GW denitrification. Sequential coupling of two denitrifying biocathodes showed to be 483 particularly promising in terms of energy sustainability: the specific energy consumption (SEC) of the 484 system decreased at the increase of the NO₃⁻ load, showing that such a system was more energy-efficient 485 when operated at low HRTs, a highly advantageous aspect in full-scale facilities.

Electrical connection of two or more BES units, in series or parallel depending on the final goal, represents another aspect of the flexibility potential of this technology. Applications of stacked MFCs have been reported (Kim et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018), as well as their application to supply sufficient voltage to MEC processes (Choi et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016).

490

491 **Table 4**: Notable examples of full and pilot scale BES. WW: wastewater

BES type	Size (L)	Influent	Modular	N° of modules	Reference
MFC	1000	Brewery WW	Yes	12	Logan (2010)
MFC	90	Brewery WW	Yes	5	Dong et al. (2015)
MFC	200	Municipal WW	Yes	96	Ge and He, (2016)
MFC	250	Municipal WW	No	-	Feng et al. (2014)
MEC	130	Urban WW	Yes	10	Baeza et al. (2017)
MFC	300	Urine	Yes	432	Ieropoulos et al. (2016)
MFC	1000	Artificial and real WW	Yes	50	Liang et al. (2018)
MFC	700	Domestic WW	Yes	18	Valladares Linares et al. (2019)
MES ¹	1500	Municipal WW	Yes	336	He et al. (2019)

492

¹The reactor indicated as Microbial Electrochemical System (MES), showed setup similar to an MFC.

493

494 Few examples of commercial applications of BES technology have been reported so far (e.g., Plant-e, 495 spinoff of Wageningen University, Netherlands; Cambrian Water), mainly related to the production of 496 bioenergy using MFCs, or hydrogen production using MECs. The cost of construction materials 497 (membrane and electrodes, mainly) is still a hard-to-overcome issue (Foley et al., 2010; Pant et al., 2011), and only large-scale commercialization of BESs could lower those costs. An alternative could be 498 499 represented by the adoption of natural materials in place of membranes and electrodes (Goglio et al., 500 2019), but these still offer far lower performance than engineered or conventional materials. Based on these considerations, major drops of the materials' costs (i.e., membranes) are needed in order to allow 501 502 BES to become an established technology for GW denitrification.

503

504 **4.7. Biosensing**

Recently, much attention has been attracted by the development of BES-based biosensors for environmental monitoring (Capodaglio et al., 2016; Ivars-Barceló et al., 2018). Therefore, research has also addressed specific applications for contaminant detection and monitoring in GW. Velasquez-Orta et al. (2017) designed an MFC-based biosensor for the online monitoring of fecal and organic pollution in shallow wells, obtaining responsive increases in current output; the system was sensitive to temperature

510 fluctuations but not to changes in salinity or modifications of external resistance (and longer wiring for 511 electrodes' connections). Field tests highlighted the influence on measurements of water level 512 oscillations in wells, causing air exposition at the cathode (Velasquez-Orta et al., 2017).

Organic matter presence in aquifers undergoing bioremediation was ascertained by an increase in current density in BES-based biosensors; current quickly dropped when organic matter presence ceased, suggesting that the system was able to monitor subsurface microbial activity during *in situ* bioremediation (Williams et al., 2010). Electrodes produced a detectable current, despite the long distance between anode and cathode (6 m), with electron transfer attributed to *Geobacter* species (Williams et al., 2010).

519 Bio-current generated by a bioanode poised at +0.2 V vs. SHE was reported being linearly 520 correlated with the increase in the concentration of biogenic Fe(II), serving as an indicator. Fe(II) is a 521 widely used chemical in GW remediation, and the system showed it could monitor its concentration in a 522 reliable way (Feng et al., 2013). A BES-based arsenite (As) biosensor was developed by Webster et al. (2014) using an engineered Shewanella oneidensis strain. The sensor allowed an As detection limit of 40 523 524 μ M and a linear range up to 100 μ M. Another BES-based biosensor, able to monitor NO₃⁻ in real-time was proposed by Su et al. (2019); it was however designed for monitoring secondary WWTP effluents, 525 526 hence requiring organic matter as the driving energy input. As such, this design could not be suitable for 527 GW monitoring. Biosensors developed to monitor microbial activity in anoxic sediments (Wardman et 528 al., 2014) could, however, with some setup modifications, be applied to GW monitoring.

The development of BES-based biosensors is of extreme interest to the research community, due to the possibility of operating in off-grid and decentralized applications, and their suitability for *in situ* and on-site test monitoring, in addition to their faster response time and lack of advanced technological skills requirements, compared to conventional analytical techniques (Grattieri et al., 2017). BES-based biosensors could ideally complement any remediation processes, allowing low-cost, long-term monitoring of underground processes. Specifically, the applications developed by Williams et al. (2010) and Wardman et al. (2014) could properly assist *in situ* bioelectroremediation. Distance between

electrodes would not be of concern, as it minimally, or not at all, affects sensing ability as reported in
Velasquez-Orta et al. (2017) and Williams et al. (2010).

538

539 **5.** Conclusions

Among different technologies applicable for *in situ* treatment of contaminated GW, BESs showed to be a suitable and feasible option. Analysis of the different setups reported so far in literature highlighted the crucial need of robust and proper BES design for operation in a harsh and challenging environment such as an aquifer, and that simple adaptation of *ex situ* BES setups may not be sufficient to achieve the desired results.

545 Research in the field so far focused mainly on denitrification and hydrocarbons removal, showing 546 excellent results due to the interaction of microbial metabolism and poised electrodes. In the near future 547 there will likely be a shift of focus towards emerging topics such as interactions between multiple 548 contaminants (both reduced and oxidized), accurate estimation of energy consumption for in situ BES 549 remediation, development of reliable models to simulate and predict process behavior and the possible 550 combination of BESs with PRBs for passive remediation of contaminated plumes. In situ process monitoring with biosensors is also emerging as an active investigation field. Integration of research gaps 551 552 in existing BES technology could lead to the rapid development of reliable and resilient systems for in 553 situ bioelectroremediation.

554

555 Acknowledgments

D.C. and F.S. wish to thank Dr. Shiqiang Zou (Stanford University, USA) for the fruitful discussion and
the highly valuable comments; the authors would also like to thank Silvia Bolognesi (University of Pavia,
Italy & University of Girona, Spain) for critically proofreading the manuscript.

559 **Declaration of competing interest**

560 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that 561 could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

562 Funding sources

- This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
- 565
- 566 **References**
- Adelaja, O., Keshavarz, T., Kyazze, G., 2017. Treatment of phenanthrene and benzene using microbial
 fuel cells operated continuously for possible in situ and ex situ applications. Int. Biodeterior.
 Biodegrad. 116, 91–103. doi:10.1016/j.ibiod.2016.10.021
- 570 Aguirre-Sierra, A., Bacchetti-De Gregoris, T., Berná, A., Salas, J.J., Aragón, C., Esteve-Núñez, A., 2016.
- 571 Microbial electrochemical systems outperform fixed-bed biofilters in cleaning up urban wastewater.

572 Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 2, 984–993. doi:10.1039/C6EW00172F

- Apollaro, C., Fuoco, I., Vespasiano, G., De Rosa, R., Cofone, F., Miriello, D., Bloise, A., 2018.
 Geochemical and mineralogical characterization of tremolite asbestos contained in the GimiglianoMount Reventino Unit (Calabria, south Italy). J. Mediterr. Earth Sci. 10, 5–15.
 doi:10.3304/JMES.2018.011
- Arukwe, A., Eggen, T., Möder, M., 2012. Solid waste deposits as a significant source of contaminants
 of emerging concern to the aquatic and terrestrial environments A developing country case study
 from Owerri, Nigeria. Sci. Total Environ. 438, 94–102. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.08.039
- Aulenta, F., Tocca, L., Verdini, R., Reale, P., Majone, M., 2011. Dechlorination of trichloroethene in a
 continuous-flow bioelectrochemical reactor: Effect of cathode potential on rate, selectivity, and
 electron transfer mechanisms. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 8444–8451. doi:10.1021/es202262y
- Baeza, J.A., Martínez-Miró, À., Guerrero, J., Ruiz, Y., Guisasola, A., 2017. Bioelectrochemical hydrogen
 production from urban wastewater on a pilot scale. J. Power Sources 356, 500–509.
 doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.02.087
- 586 Baker, R.S., Nielsen, S.G., Heron, G., Ploug, N., 2016. How Effective Is Thermal Remediation of
- 587 DNAPL Source Zones in Reducing Groundwater Concentrations? Groundw. Monit. Remediat. 36,

- 588 38–53. doi:10.1111/gwmr.12149
- Bartzas, G., Tinivella, F., Medini, L., Zaharaki, D., Komnitsas, K., 2015. Assessment of groundwater
 contamination risk in an agricultural area in north Italy. Inf. Process. Agric. 2, 109–129.
 doi:10.1016/j.inpa.2015.06.004
- Bass, D.H., Hastings, N.A., Brown, R.A., 2000. Performance of air sparging systems: a review of case
 studies. J. Hazard. Mater. 72, 101–119. doi:10.1016/S0304-3894(99)00136-3
- 594 Beretta, G., Daghio, M., Espinoza Tofalos, A., Franzetti, A., Mastorgio, A.F., Saponaro, S., Sezenna, E.,
- 595 2019. Progress Towards Bioelectrochemical Remediation of Hexavalent Chromium. Water 11,
 596 2336. doi:10.3390/w11112336
- 597 Beretta, G., Mastorgio, A., Espinoza, A., Pedrali, L., Daghio, M., Sezenna, E., Franzetti, A., Saponaro,
- S., Ferrari, G., 2018. Microbial assisted hexavalent chromium removal in the cathodic chamber of
 a Microbial Electrolysis Cell, in: Proceedings of the 4th European Meeting of the International
 Society for Microbial Electrochemistry and Technology (4th EU-ISMET), 12th-14th September.
 Newcastle upon Tyne (UK), p. 152.
- Best, E.P.H., Sprecher, S.L., Larson, S.L., Fredrickson, H.L., Bader, D.F., 1999. Environmental behavior
 of explosives in groundwater from the Milan Army Ammunition Plant in aquatic and wetland plant
 treatments. Removal, mass balances and fate in groundwater of TNT and RDX. Chemosphere 38,
 3383–3396. doi:10.1016/S0045-6535(98)00550-5
- Bexfield, L.M., Toccalino, P.L., Belitz, K., Foreman, W.T., Furlong, E.T., 2019. Hormones and
 Pharmaceuticals in Groundwater Used As a Source of Drinking Water Across the United States.
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 2950–2960. doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b05592
- 609 Borden, R.C., Brown, R.A., Clayton, W.S., Cummings, J.B., Heiderscheidt, J.L., Huling, S.G.,
- 610 Illangasekare, T.H., Krembs, F.J., Lewis, R., Marley, M.C., Munakata-Marr, J., Palaia, T., Petri,
- 611 B.G., Smith, B.A., Sorensen, K.S.J., Teel, A.L., Thomson, N.R., Tsitonaki, A., Urynowicz, M.A.,
- 612 Unger, M., Watts, R.J., 2011. In Situ Chemical Oxidation for Groundwater Remediation,
- 613 SERDP/ESTCP Environmental Remediation Technology. Springer New York, New York, NY.

- 614 doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-7826-4
- Brastad, K.S., He, Z., 2013. Water softening using microbial desalination cell technology. Desalination
 309, 32–37. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2012.09.015
- Burri, N.M., Weatherl, R., Moeck, C., Schirmer, M., 2019. A review of threats to groundwater quality in
 the anthropocene. Sci. Total Environ. 684, 136–154. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.236
- Butler, C.S., Clauwaert, P., Green, S.J., Verstraete, W., Nerenberg, R., 2010. Bioelectrochemical
 Perchlorate Reduction in a Microbial Fuel Cell. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44, 4685–4691.
 doi:10.1021/es901758z
- 622 Butler, R., Ehrenberg, S., Godley, A.R., Lake, R., Lytton, L., Cartmell, E., 2006. Remediation of
- bromate-contaminated groundwater in an ex situ fixed-film bioreactor. Sci. Total Environ. 366, 12–
- 624 20. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.12.013
- Butler, R., Godley, A., Lytton, L., Cartmell, E., 2005. Bromate environmental contamination: Review of
 impact and possible treatment. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 193–217.
 doi:10.1080/10643380590917888
- Callegari, A., Ferronato, N., Rada, E.C., Capodaglio, A.G., Torretta, V., 2018. Assessment of arsenic
 removal efficiency by an iron oxide-coated sand filter process. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 1–9.
 doi:10.1007/s11356-018-2674-y
- Camedda, C., Hoelzle, R.D., Carucci, A., Milia, S., Virdis, B., 2019. A facile method to enhance the
 performance of soil bioelectrochemical systems using in situ reduced graphene oxide. Electrochim.
 Acta 324, 134881. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2019.134881
- Capodaglio, A.G., Callegari, A., Molognoni, D., 2016. Online monitoring of priority and dangerous
 pollutants in natural and urban waters. Manag. Environ. Qual. An Int. J. 27, 507–536.
 doi:10.1108/MEQ-01-2015-0009
- Capodaglio, A.G., Cecconet, D., Molognoni, D., 2017. An Integrated Mathematical Model of Microbial
 Fuel Cell Processes: Bioelectrochemical and Microbiologic Aspects. Processes 5, 73.
 doi:10.3390/pr5040073

Peer-reviewed version available at Environment International 2020, 137; doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105550

- 640 Capodaglio, A.G., Molognoni, D., Dallago, E., Liberale, A., Cella, R., Longoni, P., Pantaleoni, L., 2013.
- 641 Microbial fuel cells for direct electrical energy recovery from urban wastewaters. Sci. World J.

642 2013, 634738. doi:10.1155/2013/634738

- 643 Careghini, A., Saponaro, S., Sezenna, E., 2013. Biobarriers for groundwater treatment: A review. Water
 644 Sci. Technol. 67, 453–468. doi:10.2166/wst.2012.599
- Caridi, F., Messina, M., D'Agostino, M., 2017. An investigation about natural radioactivity,
 hydrochemistry, and metal pollution in groundwater from Calabrian selected areas, southern Italy.

647 Environ. Earth Sci. 76, 1–11. doi:10.1007/s12665-017-7031-9

- 648 Cecconet, D., Bolognesi, S., Callegari, A., Capodaglio, A.G., 2019a. Simulation tests of in situ
 649 groundwater denitrification with aquifer-buried biocathodes. Heliyon 5, e02117.
 650 doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02117
- 651 Cecconet, D., Bolognesi, S., Callegari, A., Capodaglio, A.G., 2019b. Controlled sequential biocathodic
 652 denitrification for contaminated groundwater bioremediation. Sci. Total Environ. 651, 3107–3116.
 653 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.196
- 654 Cecconet, D., Bolognesi, S., Daneshgar, S., Callegari, A., Capodaglio, A.G., 2018a. Improved process
 655 understanding and optimization by multivariate statistical analysis of Microbial Fuel Cells
 656 operation. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 43, 16719–16727. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.07.056
- 657 Cecconet, D., Bolognesi, S., Molognoni, D., Callegari, A., Capodaglio, A.G., 2018b. Influence of
 658 reactor's hydrodynamics on the performance of microbial fuel cells. J. Water Process Eng. 26, 281–
 659 288. doi:10.1016/j.jwpe.2018.10.019
- 200. doi.10.1010/j.jwp0.2010.10.01/
- 660 Cecconet, D., Callegari, A., Capodaglio, A.G., 2018c. Bioelectrochemical Systems for Removal of
 661 Selected Metals and Perchlorate from Groundwater: A Review. Energies 11, 2643.
 662 doi:10.3390/en11102643
- 663 Cecconet, D., Molognoni, D., Callegari, A., Capodaglio, A.G., 2017. Biological combination processes
- 664 for efficient removal of pharmaceutically active compounds from wastewater: A review and future
- 665 perspectives. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 5, 3590–3603. doi:10.1016/j.jece.2017.07.020

Peer-reviewed version available at *Environment International* 2020, 137; <u>doi:10.1016/i.envint.2020.105550</u>

- 666 Cecconet, D., Zou, S., Capodaglio, A.G., He, Z., 2018d. Evaluation of energy consumption of treating
- nitrate-contaminated groundwater by bioelectrochemical systems. Sci. Total Environ. 636, 881–

668 890. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.336

- 669 Chatterjee, S., Deb, U., Datta, S., Walther, C., Gupta, D.K., 2017. Common explosives (TNT, RDX,
- 670 HMX) and their fate in the environment: Emphasizing bioremediation. Chemosphere 184, 438–451.
- 671 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.06.008
- Choi, C., Hu, N., Lim, B., 2014. Cadmium recovery by coupling double microbial fuel cells. Bioresour.
 Technol. 170, 361–369. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.07.087
- 674 Coxon, T., Goldstein, L., Odhiambo, B.K., 2019. Analysis of spatial distribution of trace metals, PCB,
- and PAH and their potential impact on human health in Virginian Counties and independent cities,
- 676 USA. Environ. Geochem. Health 41, 783–801. doi:10.1007/s10653-018-0172-2
- 677 Czurda, K.A., Haus, R., 2002. Reactive barriers with fly ash zeolites for in situ groundwater remediation.
 678 Appl. Clay Sci. 21, 13–20. doi:10.1016/S0169-1317(01)00088-6
- 679 Degnan, J.R., Böhlke, J.K., Pelham, K., Langlais, D.M., Walsh, G.J., 2016. Identification of Groundwater
- Nitrate Contamination from Explosives Used in Road Construction: Isotopic, Chemical, and
 Hydrologic Evidence. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 593–603. doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b03671
- 682 Dong, H., Li, L., Lu, Y., Cheng, Y., Wang, Y., Ning, Q., Wang, B., Zhang, L., Zeng, G., 2019. Integration
- of nanoscale zero-valent iron and functional anaerobic bacteria for groundwater remediation: A
 review. Environ. Int. 124, 265–277. doi:10.1016/i.envint.2019.01.030
- Dong, Y., Qu, Y., He, W., Du, Y., Liu, J., Han, X., Feng, Y., 2015. A 90-liter stackable baffled microbial
- fuel cell for brewery wastewater treatment based on energy self-sufficient mode. Bioresour.
 Technol. 195, 66–72. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2015.06.026
- 688 EPA, 2017. Superfund Remedy Report, 15th Edition. Washington D.C.
- 689 Favara, P., Gamlin, J., 2017. Utilization of waste materials, non-refined materials, and renewable energy
- 690 in in situ remediation and their sustainability benefits. J. Environ. Manage. 204, 730-737.
- 691 doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.03.097

- Feng, Y., He, W., Liu, J., Wang, X., Qu, Y., Ren, N., 2014. A horizontal plug flow and stackable pilot
 microbial fuel cell for municipal wastewater treatment. Bioresour. Technol. 156, 132–138.
 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2013.12.104
- Feng, Y., Kayode, O., Harper, W.F., 2013. Using microbial fuel cell output metrics and nonlinear
 modeling techniques for smart biosensing. Sci. Total Environ. 449, 223–228.
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.01.004
- Foley, J.M., Rozendal, R.A., Hertle, C.K., Lant, P.A., Rabaey, K., 2010. Life cycle assessment of highrate anaerobic treatment, microbial fuel cells, and microbial electrolysis cells. Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 44, 3629–3637. doi:10.1021/es100125h
- Fuller, M.E., Hedman, P.C., Lippincott, D.R., Hatzinger, P.B., 2019. Passive in situ biobarrier for
 treatment of comingled nitramine explosives and perchlorate in groundwater on an active range. J.
 Hazard. Mater. 365, 827–834. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.11.060
- Gadkari, S., Gu, S., Sadhukhan, J., 2018. Towards automated design of bioelectrochemical systems: A
 comprehensive review of mathematical models. Chem. Eng. J. 343, 303–316.
 doi:10.1016/j.cej.2018.03.005
- Ge, Z., He, Z., 2016. Long-term performance of a 200 liter modularized microbial fuel cell system
 treating municipal wastewater: Treatment, energy, and cost. Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 2,
- 709 274–281. doi:10.1039/c6ew00020g
- Gill, R.T., Harbottle, M.J., Smith, J.W.N., Thornton, S.F., 2014. Electrokinetic-enhanced bioremediation
 of organic contaminants: A review of processes and environmental applications. Chemosphere 107,
- 712 31–42. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.03.019
- 713 Goglio, A., Tucci, M., Rizzi, B., Colombo, A., Cristiani, P., Schievano, A., 2019. Microbial recycling
- 714 cells (MRCs): A new platform of microbial electrochemical technologies based on biocompatible
- 715 materials, aimed at cycling carbon and nutrients in agro-food systems. Sci. Total Environ. 649,
- 716 1349–1361. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.324
- 717 Grattieri, M., Hasan, K., Minteer, S.D., 2017. Bioelectrochemical Systems as a Multipurpose Biosensing

Peer-reviewed version available at Environment International 2020, 137; doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105550

- Tool: Present Perspective and Future Outlook. ChemElectroChem 4, 834–842.
 doi:10.1002/celc.201600507
- Greenman, J., Ieropoulos, I.A., 2017. Allometric scaling of microbial fuel cells and stacks: The lifeform
 case for scale-up. J. Power Sources 356, 365–370. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.04.033
- Hakoun, V., Orban, P., Dassargues, A., Brouyère, S., 2017. Factors controlling spatial and temporal
 patterns of multiple pesticide compounds in groundwater (Hesbaye chalk aquifer, Belgium).
 Environ. Pollut. 223, 185–199. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.012
- Han, L., Liu, R., Li, M., Zhang, N., Zhang, F., Liu, X., 2018. Construction of a Self-Powered System for
 Simultaneous In Situ Remediation of Nitrate and Cr(VI) Contaminated Synthetic Groundwater and

727 River Sediment. Sustainability 10, 2806. doi:10.3390/su10082806

- Han, Z., Ma, H., Shi, G., He, L., Wei, L., Shi, Q., 2016. A review of groundwater contamination near
 municipal solid waste landfill sites in China. Sci. Total Environ. 569–570, 1255–1264.
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.201
- He, W., Dong, Y., Li, C., Han, X., Liu, G., Liu, J., Feng, Y., 2019. Field tests of cubic-meter scale
 microbial electrochemical system in a municipal wastewater treatment plant. Water Res. 155, 372–
- 733 380. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2019.01.062
- Hoareau, M., Erable, B., Bergel, A., 2019. Microbial electrochemical snorkels (MESs): A budding
- technology for multiple applications. A mini review. Electrochem. commun.
 doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2019.05.022
- 737 Hofmann, T., Wendelborn, A., 2007. Colloid facilitated transport of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins
- and dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs) to the groundwater at Ma Da area, Vietnam. Environ. Sci. Pollut.
- 739 Res. 14, 223–224. doi:10.1065/espr2007.02.389
- Howard, G., Bartram, J., 2003. Domestic Water Quantity, Service Level and Health, World Health
 Organization. Geneva (CH).
- Huang, L., Wang, Q., Jiang, L., Zhou, P., Quan, X., Logan, B.E., 2015. Adaptively Evolving Bacterial
- 743 Communities for Complete and Selective Reduction of Cr(VI), Cu(II), and Cd(II) in Biocathode

- 744 Bioelectrochemical Systems. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 9914–9924. doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b00191
- 745 Hutchinson, T.H., Hutchings, M.J., Moore, K.W., 1997. A review of the effects of bromate on aquatic
- organisms and toxicity of bromate to oyster (Crassostrea gigas) embryos. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.
- 747 38, 238–243. doi:10.1006/eesa.1997.1584
- Ieropoulos, I.A., Stinchcombe, A., Gajda, I., Forbes, S., Merino-Jimenez, I., Pasternak, G., SanchezHerranz, D., Greenman, J., 2016. Pee power urinal-microbial fuel cell technology field trials in the
 context of sanitation. Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 2, 336–343. doi:10.1039/c5ew00270b
- 751 Ingle, A.P., Seabra, A.B., Duran, N., Rai, M., 2014. Nanoremediation: A New and Emerging Technology
- for the Removal of Toxic Contaminant from Environment, Microbial Biodegradation and
 Bioremediation. Elsevier Inc. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-800021-2.00009-1
- Ivars-Barceló, F., Zuliani, A., Fallah, M., Mashkour, M., Rahimnejad, M., Luque, R., 2018. Novel
 Applications of Microbial Fuel Cells in Sensors and Biosensors. Appl. Sci. 8, 1184.
 doi:10.3390/app8071184
- Jacobson, K.S., Kelly, P.T., He, Z., 2015. Energy balance affected by electrolyte recirculation and 757 758 operating modes in microbial fuel cells. Water Environ. Res. 87, 252-7. doi:10.2175/106143015X14212658613235 759
- Jain, A., He, Z., 2018. "NEW" resource recovery from wastewater using bioelectrochemical systems:
 Moving forward with functions. Front. Environ. Sci. Eng. 12, 1. doi:10.1007/s11783-018-1052-9
- Jones, E.H., Reynolds, D.A., Wood, A.L., Thomas, D.G., 2011. Use of Electrophoresis for Transporting
 Nano-Iron in Porous Media. Ground Water 49, 172–183. doi:10.1111/j.1745-6584.2010.00718.x
- 764 Kim, B., Choi, S., Jang, J.K., Chang, I.S., 2017. Self-recoverable voltage reversal in stacked microbial
- fuel cells due to biofilm capacitance. Bioresour. Technol. 245, 1286–1289.
 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.163
- 767 Kirmizakis, P., Doherty, R., Mendonça, C.A., Costeira, R., Allen, C.C.R., Ofterdinger, U.S., Kulakov,
- 768 L., 2019. Enhancement of gasworks groundwater remediation by coupling a bio-electrochemical
- and activated carbon system. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 1–11. doi:10.1007/s11356-019-04297-w

- 770 Knoll, L., Breuer, L., Bach, M., 2019. Large scale prediction of groundwater nitrate concentrations from
- spatial data using machine learning. Sci. Total Environ. 668, 1317–1327.
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.045
- Kozyatnyk, I., Bouchet, S., Björn, E., Haglund, P., 2016. Fractionation and size-distribution of metal and
 metalloid contaminants in a polluted groundwater rich in dissolved organic matter. J. Hazard. Mater.
- 775 318, 194–202. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.07.024
- Kuppusamy, S., Palanisami, T., Megharaj, M., Venkateswarlu, K., Naidu, R., 2016. In-Situ Remediation
 Approaches for the Management of Contaminated Sites: A Comprehensive Overview, in: Reviews
 of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. pp. 1–115. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20013-2
- Lai, A., Verdini, R., Aulenta, F., Majone, M., 2015. Influence of nitrate and sulfate reduction in the
 bioelectrochemically assisted dechlorination of cis-DCE. Chemosphere 125, 147–154.
 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.12.023
- Lapworth, D.J., Baran, N., Stuart, M.E., Ward, R.S., 2012. Emerging organic contaminants in
 groundwater: A review of sources, fate and occurrence. Environ. Pollut. 163, 287–303.
 doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2011.12.034
- 785 Lapworth, D.J., Das, P., Shaw, A., Mukherjee, A., Civil, W., Petersen, J.O., Gooddy, D.C., Wakefield,
- O., Finlayson, A., Krishan, G., Sengupta, P., MacDonald, A.M., 2018. Deep urban groundwater
 vulnerability in India revealed through the use of emerging organic contaminants and residence time
 tracers. Environ. Pollut. 240, 938–949. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2018.04.053
- Lapworth, D.J., Lopez, B., Laabs, V., Kozel, R., Wolter, R., Ward, R., Vargas Amelin, E., Besien, T.,
 Claessens, J., Delloye, F., Ferretti, E., Grath, J., 2019. Developing a groundwater watch list for
 substances of emerging concern: a European perspective. Environ. Res. Lett. 14, 035004.
 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aaf4d7
- Li, J., He, Z., 2016. Development of a dynamic mathematical model for membrane bioelectrochemical
 reactors with different configurations. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23, 3897–3906.
 doi:10.1007/s11356-015-5611-3

- Li, W.W., Yu, H.Q., 2015. Electro-assisted groundwater bioremediation: Fundamentals, challenges and
- future perspectives. Bioresour. Technol. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2015.07.074
- Liang, P., Duan, R., Jiang, Y., Zhang, X., Qiu, Y., Huang, X., 2018. One-year operation of 1000-L
 modularized microbial fuel cell for municipal wastewater treatment. Water Res. 141, 1–8.
- 800 doi:10.1016/J.WATRES.2018.04.066
- Liu, R., Zheng, X., Li, M., Han, L., Liu, X., Zhang, F., Hou, X., 2019. A three chamber
 bioelectrochemical system appropriate for in-situ remediation of nitrate-contaminated groundwater
 and its reaction mechanisms. Water Res. 158, 401–410. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2019.04.047
- 804 Liu, S.-H., Lai, C.-Y., Ye, J.-W., Lin, C.-W., 2018. Increasing removal of benzene from groundwater
- 805 using stacked tubular air-cathode microbial fuel cells. J. Clean. Prod. 194, 78–84.
 806 doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.138
- Liu, Y., Zhang, B., Tian, C., Feng, C., Wang, Z., Cheng, M., Hu, W., 2016. Optimization of enhanced
 bioelectrical reactor with electricity from microbial fuel cells for groundwater nitrate removal.
 Environ. Technol. 37, 1008–1017. doi:10.1080/09593330.2015.1096962
- Logan, B.E., 2010. Scaling up microbial fuel cells and other bioelectrochemical systems. Appl.
 Microbiol. Biotechnol. 85, 1665–1671. doi:10.1007/s00253-009-2378-9
- 812 Lohner, S.T., Katzoreck, D., Tiehm, A., 2008. Electromigration of microbial electron acceptors and
- 813 nutrients: (II) Transport in groundwater. J. Environ. Sci. Heal. Part A 43, 922–925.
 814 doi:10.1080/10934520801974442
- Lopez, B., Ollivier, P., Togola, A., Baran, N., Ghestem, J.P., 2015. Screening of French groundwater for
 regulated and emerging contaminants. Sci. Total Environ. 518–519, 562–573.
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.110
- Luo, S., Sun, H., Ping, Q., Jin, R., He, Z., 2016. A Review of Modeling Bioelectrochemical Systems:
 Engineering and Statistical Aspects. Energies 9, 111. doi:10.3390/en9020111
- 820 Luo, S., Wang, Z.W., He, Z., 2017. Mathematical modeling of the dynamic behavior of an integrated
- 821 photo-bioelectrochemical system for simultaneous wastewater treatment and bioenergy recovery.

Peer-reviewed version available at Environment International 2020, 137; doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105550

Energy 124, 227–237. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2017.02.039

- Luu, T.T.G., Sthiannopkao, S., Kim, K.W., 2009. Arsenic and other trace elements contamination in
 groundwater and a risk assessment study for the residents in the Kandal Province of Cambodia.
 Environ. Int. 35, 455–460. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2008.07.013
- Lyon, D.Y., Vogel, T.M., Lyon, D., Lyon, E.C. De, Cedex, E., 2013. Bioaugmentation for Groundwater
 Remediation, in: Stroo, H.F., Leeson, A., Ward, C.H. (Eds.), Bioaugmentation for Groundwater
 Remediation. Springer Science + Business Media, New York, NY. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-41151
- Mahato, M.K., Singh, P.K., Tiwari, A.K., Singh, A.K., 2016. Risk assessment due to intake of metals in
 groundwater of east bokaro coalfield, Jharkhand, India. Expo. Heal. 8, 265–275.
 doi:10.1007/s12403-016-0201-2
- Majone, M., Verdini, R., Aulenta, F., Rossetti, S., Tandoi, V., Kalogerakis, N., Agathos, S., Puig, S., 833 834 Zanaroli, G., Fava, F., 2015. In situ groundwater and sediment bioremediation: Barriers and 835 perspectives N. at European contaminated sites. Biotechnol. 32, 133-146. 836 doi:10.1016/j.nbt.2014.02.011
- McCance, W., Jones, O.A.H., Edwards, M., Surapaneni, A., Chadalavada, S., Currell, M., 2018.
 Contaminants of Emerging Concern as novel groundwater tracers for delineating wastewater
 impacts in urban and peri-urban areas. Water Res. 146, 118–133. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2018.09.013
- McMahon, P.B., Lindsey, B.D., Conlon, M.D., Hunt, A.G., Belitz, K., Jurgens, B.C., Varela, B.A., 2019.
 Hydrocarbons in Upland Groundwater, Marcellus Shale Region, Northeastern Pennsylvania and
- 842 Southern New York, U.S.A. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 8027–8035. doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b01440
- Menció, A., Mas-Pla, J., Otero, N., Regàs, O., Boy-Roura, M., Puig, R., Bach, J., Domènech, C.,
 Zamorano, M., Brusi, D., Folch, A., 2016. Nitrate pollution of groundwater; all right..., but nothing
 else? Sci. Total Environ. 539, 241–251. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.151
- Miller, A., Singh, L., Wang, L., Liu, H., 2019. Linking internal resistance with design and operation
 decisions in microbial electrolysis cells. Environ. Int. 126, 611–618.

Peer-reviewed version available at Environment International 2020, 137; doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105550

848 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2019.02.056

- Modin, O., Aulenta, F., 2017. Three promising applications of microbial electrochemistry for the water
 sector. Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 3, 391–402. doi:10.1039/C6EW00325G
- Molognoni, D., Devecseri, M., Cecconet, D., Capodaglio, A.G., 2017. Cathodic groundwater
 denitrification with a bioelectrochemical system. J. Water Process Eng. 19, 67–73.
 doi:10.1016/j.jwpe.2017.07.013
- Montes-Grajales, D., Fennix-Agudelo, M., Miranda-Castro, W., 2017. Occurrence of personal care
 products as emerging chemicals of concern in water resources: A review. Sci. Total Environ. 595,
 601–614. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.286
- Munira, S., Farenhorst, A., Sapkota, K., Nilsson, D., Sheedy, C., 2018. Auxin herbicides and pesticide
 mixtures in groundwater of a canadian prairie province. J. Environ. Qual. 47, 1462–1467.
 doi:10.2134/jeq2018.05.0202
- Nguyen, V.K., Park, Y., Yu, J., Lee, T., 2016a. Bioelectrochemical denitrification on biocathode buried
 in simulated aquifer saturated with nitrate-contaminated groundwater. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23,
 15443–15451. doi:10.1007/s11356-016-6709-y
- Nguyen, V.K., Park, Y., Yu, J., Lee, T., 2016b. Simultaneous arsenite oxidation and nitrate reduction at
 the electrodes of bioelectrochemical systems. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23, 19978–19988.
 doi:10.1007/s11356-016-7225-9
- 866 O'Connor, D., Hou, D., Ok, Y.S., Song, Y., Sarmah, A.K., Li, X., Tack, F.M.G., 2018. Sustainable in
 867 situ remediation of recalcitrant organic pollutants in groundwater with controlled release materials:

868 A review. J. Control. Release 283, 200–213. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2018.06.007

- Obiri-Nyarko, F., Grajales-Mesa, S.J., Malina, G., 2014. An overview of permeable reactive barriers for
 in situ sustainable groundwater remediation. Chemosphere 111, 243–259.
- doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.03.112
- 872 Oskierski, H.C., Dlugogorski, B.Z., Oliver, T.K., Jacobsen, G., 2016. Chemical and isotopic signatures
- of waters associated with the carbonation of ultramafic mine tailings, Woodsreef Asbestos Mine,

Peer-reviewed version available at Environment International 2020, 137; doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105550

874 Australia. Chem. Geol. 436, 11–23. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.04.014

- 875 Palma, E., Daghio, M., Espinoza Tofalos, A., Franzetti, A., Cruz Viggi, C., Fazi, S., Petrangeli Papini,
- M., Aulenta, F., 2018a. Anaerobic electrogenic oxidation of toluene in a continuous-flow 876 process performance, microbial community analysis, 877 bioelectrochemical reactor: and 878 biodegradation pathways. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2136-2145. Water Res. 4. doi:10.1039/C8EW00666K 879
- Palma, E., Daghio, M., Franzetti, A., Petrangeli Papini, M., Aulenta, F., 2018b. The bioelectric well: a
 novel approach for in situ treatment of hydrocarbon-contaminated groundwater. Microb.
 Biotechnol. 11, 112–118. doi:10.1111/1751-7915.12760
- 883 Palma, E., Tofalos, A.E., Daghio, M., Franzetti, A., Tsiota, P., Cruz Viggi, C., Papini, M.P., Aulenta, F.,
- 884 2019. Bioelectrochemical treatment of groundwater containing BTEX in a continuous-flow system:
 885 substrate interactions, microbial community analysis, and impact of sulfate as a co-contaminant. N.

886 Biotechnol. 53, 41–48. doi:10.1016/j.nbt.2019.06.004

- Pan, Y., Ni, B.-J., Yuan, Z., 2013. Modeling Electron Competition among Nitrogen Oxides Reduction
 and N 2 O Accumulation in Denitrification. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 11083–11091.
 doi:10.1021/es402348n
- 890 Pant, D., Singh, A., Van Bogaert, G., Gallego, Y.A., Diels, L., Vanbroekhoven, K., 2011. An introduction
- to the life cycle assessment (LCA) of bioelectrochemical systems (BES) for sustainable energy and
- product generation: Relevance and key aspects. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 15, 1305–1313.
 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2010.10.005
- Ping, Q., Zhang, C., Chen, X., Zhang, B., Huang, Z., He, Z., 2014. Mathematical Model of Dynamic
 Behavior of Microbial Desalination Cells for Simultaneous Wastewater Treatment and Water
 Desalination. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 13010–13019. doi:10.1021/es504089x
- Pinto, R.P., Srinivasan, B., Escapa, A., Tartakovsky, B., 2011. Multi-Population Model of a Microbial
 Electrolysis Cell. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 5039–5046. doi:10.1021/es104268g
- 899 Pinto, R.P., Srinivasan, B., Manuel, M.-F., Tartakovsky, B., 2010. A two-population bio-electrochemical

- 900 model of a microbial fuel cell. Bioresour. Technol. 101, 5256–5265.
 901 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.01.122
- 902 Plummer, L.N., Busemberg, E., Eberts, S.M., Bexfield, L.M., Brown, C.J., Fahlquist, L.S., Katz, B.G.,
- 903 Landon, M.K., 2008. Low-Level Detection of Halogenated Volatile Organic Compounds in
- 904 Groundwater: Use in Vulnerability Assessments. J. Hydrol. Eng. 13, 1049–1068.
 905 doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2008)13
- Pous, N., Balaguer, M.D., Colprim, J., Puig, S., 2018. Opportunities for groundwater microbial electroremediation. Microb. Biotechnol. 11, 119–135. doi:10.1111/1751-7915.12866
- 908 Pous, N., Carmona-Martínez, A.A., Vilajeliu-Pons, A., Fiset, E., Bañeras, L., Trably, E., Balaguer, M.D.,
- Colprim, J., Bernet, N., Puig, S., 2016. Bidirectional microbial electron transfer: Switching an
 acetate oxidizing biofilm to nitrate reducing conditions. Biosens. Bioelectron. 75, 352–358.
 doi:10.1016/j.bios.2015.08.035
- Pous, N., Puig, S., Balaguer, M.D., Colprim, J., 2017. Effect of hydraulic retention time and substrate
 availability in denitrifying bioelectrochemical systems. Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 3, 922–
 914 929. doi:10.1039/C7EW00145B
- 914 929. doi.10.1039/C/Ew00143D
- 915 Powers, S.E., Hunt, C.S., Heermann, S.E., Corseuil, H.X., Rice, D., Alvarez, P.J.J., 2001. The transport
- and fate of ethanol and BTEX in groundwater contaminated by gasohol. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 31, 79–123. doi:10.1080/20016491089181
- Prasad, M.N.V., Prasad, R., 2012. Nature's cure for cleanup of contaminated environment -A review of
 bioremediation strategies. Rev. Environ. Health 27, 181–189. doi:10.1515/reveh-2012-0028
- 920 Rama, F., Ramos, D.T., Müller, J.B., Corseuil, H.X., Miotliński, K., 2019. Flow field dynamics and high
- ethanol content in gasohol blends enhance BTEX migration and biodegradation in groundwater. J.
 Contam. Hydrol. 222, 17–30. doi:10.1016/j.jconhyd.2019.01.003
- 923 Richardson, S.D., Kimura, S.Y., 2017. Emerging environmental contaminants: Challenges facing our
- next generation and potential engineering solutions. Environ. Technol. Innov. 8, 40–56.
- 925 doi:10.1016/j.eti.2017.04.002

- Rozendal, R.A., Hamelers, H.V.M., Rabaey, K., Keller, J., Buisman, C.J.N., 2008. Towards practical
 implementation of bioelectrochemical wastewater treatment. Trends Biotechnol. 26, 450–459.
 doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2008.04.008
- Rudel, R.A., Melly, S.J., Geno, P.W., Sun, G., Brody, J.G., 1998. Identification of alkylphenols and other
 estrogenic phenolic compounds in wastewater, septage and groundwater on Cape Cod,
 Massachusetts. Environ. Sci. Technol. 32, 861–869. doi:10.1021/es970723r
- Sabba, F., Terada, A., Wells, G., Smets, B.F., Nerenberg, R., 2018. Nitrous oxide emissions from biofilm
 processes for wastewater treatment. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 102, 9815–9829.
 doi:10.1007/s00253-018-9332-7
- Samia, K., Dhouha, A., Anis, C., Ammar, M., Rim, A., Abdelkrim, C., 2018. Assessment of organic
 pollutants (PAH and PCB) in surface water: sediments and shallow groundwater of Grombalia
 watershed in northeast of Tunisia. Arab. J. Geosci. 11. doi:10.1007/s12517-017-3362-9
- Sarkar, A., Paul, B., 2016. The global menace of arsenic and its conventional remediation A critical
 review. Chemosphere 158, 37–49. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.05.043
- Sarkar, P., Roy, A., Pal, S., Mohapatra, B., Kazy, S.K., Maiti, M.K., Sar, P., 2017. Enrichment and
 characterization of hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria from petroleum refinery waste as potent
 bioaugmentation agent for in situ bioremediation. Bioresour. Technol. 242, 15–27.
 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.05.010
- Shen, Y., Chapelle, F.H., Strom, E.W., Benner, R., 2015. Origins and bioavailability of dissolved organic
 matter in groundwater. Biogeochemistry 122, 61–78. doi:10.1007/s10533-014-0029-4
- 946 Squillace, P.J., Moran, M.J., Price, C. V., 2004. VOCs in shallow groundwater in new
 947 residential/commercial areas of the United States. Environ. Sci. Technol. 38, 5327–5338.
 948 doi:10.1021/es0349756
- Srinivasan, V., Weinrich, J., Butler, C., 2016. Nitrite accumulation in a denitrifying biocathode microbial
 fuel cell. Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 2, 344–352. doi:10.1039/C5EW00260E
- 951 Stuart, M., Lapworth, D., Crane, E., Hart, A., 2012. Review of risk from potential emerging contaminants

Peer-reviewed version available at Environment International 2020, 137; doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105550

952 in UK groundwater. Sci. Total Environ. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.11.072

- 953 Su, S., Cheng, H., Zhu, T., Wang, H., Wang, A., 2019. A novel bioelectrochemical method for real-time
- nitrate monitoring. Bioelectrochemistry 125, 33–37. doi:10.1016/j.bioelechem.2018.09.002
- 955 Sui, Q., Cao, X., Lu, S., Zhao, W., Qiu, Z., Yu, G., 2015. Occurrence, sources and fate of pharmaceuticals
- and personal care products in the groundwater: A review. Emerg. Contam. 1, 14–24.
 doi:10.1016/j.emcon.2015.07.001
- Tabelin, C.B., Igarashi, T., Villacorte-Tabelin, M., Park, I., Opiso, E.M., Ito, M., Hiroyoshi, N., 2018.
 Arsenic, selenium, boron, lead, cadmium, copper, and zinc in naturally contaminated rocks: A
- 960 review of their sources, modes of enrichment, mechanisms of release, and mitigation strategies. Sci.

961 Total Environ. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.103

- Thuan, N.T., Tsai, C.L., Weng, Y.M., Lee, T.Y., Chang, M.B., 2011. Analysis of polychlorinated
 dibenzo-p-dioxins and furans in various aqueous samples in Taiwan. Chemosphere 83, 760–766.
 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.02.065
- 965 Tong, Y., He, Z., 2014. Current-driven nitrate migration out of groundwater by using a
 966 bioelectrochemical system. RSC Adv. 4, 10290. doi:10.1039/c3ra47851c
- Tong, Y., He, Z., 2013. Nitrate removal from groundwater driven by electricity generation and
 heterotrophic denitrification in a bioelectrochemical system. J. Hazard. Mater. 262, 614–619.
 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.09.008
- 970 Trezzi, G., Garcia-Orellana, J., Rodellas, V., Santos-Echeandia, J., Tovar-Sánchez, A., Garcia-Solsona, 971 E., Masqué, P., 2016. Submarine groundwater discharge: A significant source of dissolved trace 972 metals North Western Mediterranean Sea. Mar. Chem. 186. 90–100. to the 973 doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2016.08.004
- 974 Valladares Linares, R., Domínguez-Maldonado, J., Rodríguez-Leal, E., Patrón, G., Castillo-Hernández,
- 975 A., Miranda, A., Romero, D.D., Moreno-Cervera, R., Camara-Chale, G., Borroto, C.G., Alzate-
- 976 Gaviria, L., 2019. Scale up of Microbial Fuel Cell Stack System for Residential Wastewater
- 977 Treatment in Continuous Mode Operation. Water 11, 217. doi:10.3390/w11020217

- 978 Van Doan, T., Lee, T.K., Shukla, S.K., Tiedje, J.M., Park, J., 2013. Increased nitrous oxide accumulation
- by bioelectrochemical denitrification under autotrophic conditions: Kinetics and expression
 ofdenitrification pathway genes. Water Res. 47, 7087–7097. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2013.08.041
- Velasquez-Orta, S.B., Werner, D., Varia, J.C., Mgana, S., 2017. Microbial fuel cells for inexpensive
 continuous in-situ monitoring of groundwater quality. Water Res. 117, 9–17.
 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2017.03.040
- Venkatramanan, S., Chung, S.Y., Kim, T.H., Kim, B.W., Selvam, S., 2016. Geostatistical techniques to
 evaluate groundwater contamination and its sources in Miryang City, Korea. Environ. Earth Sci. 75,
 1–14. doi:10.1007/s12665-016-5813-0
- 987 Viggi, C.C., Matturro, B., Frascadore, E., Insogna, S., Mezzi, A., Kaciulis, S., Sherry, A., Mejeha, O.K., 988 Head, I.M., Vaiopoulou, E., Rabaey, K., Rossetti, S., Aulenta, F., 2017. Bridging spatially 989 segregated redox zones with a microbial electrochemical snorkel triggers biogeochemical cycles in 990 oil-contaminated River (UK) sediments. Water Res. 11–21. Tyne 127, 991 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.002
- Viggi, C.C., Presta, E., Bellagamba, M., Kaciulis, S., Balijepalli, S.K., Zanaroli, G., Papini, M.P.,
 Rossetti, S., Aulenta, F., 2015. The "Oil-Spill Snorkel": An innovative bioelectrochemical approach
 to accelerate hydrocarbons biodegradation in marine sediments. Front. Microbiol. 6, 1–11.
 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00881
- Vilar-Sanz, A., Puig, S., García-Lledó, A., Trias, R., Balaguer, M.D., Colprim, J., Bañeras, L., 2013.
 Denitrifying Bacterial Communities Affect Current Production and Nitrous Oxide Accumulation in
- a Microbial Fuel Cell. PLoS One 8, e63460. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063460
- Wang, H., Ren, Z.J., 2013. A comprehensive review of microbial electrochemical systems as a platform
 technology. Biotechnol. Adv. 31, 1796–1807. doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.10.001
- 1001 Wang, Z., He, Z., 2019. Demystifying Terms for Understanding Bioelectrochemical Systems towards
- 1002Sustainable Wastewater Treatment. Curr. Opin. Electrochem. doi:10.1016/j.coelec.2019.09.001
- 1003 Wardman, C., Nevin, K.P., Lovley, D.R., 2014. Real-time monitoring of subsurface microbial

- 1004 metabolism with graphite electrodes. Front. Microbiol. 5, 1–7. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2014.00621
- 1005 Waseem, A., Ullah, H., Rauf, M.K., Ahmad, I., 2015. Distribution of natural uranium in surface and
- 1006 groundwater resources: A review. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 2391–2423.
 1007 doi:10.1080/10643389.2015.1025642
- 1008 Weatherill, J.J., Atashgahi, S., Schneidewind, U., Krause, S., Ullah, S., Cassidy, N., Rivett, M.O., 2018.
- 1009 Natural attenuation of chlorinated ethenes in hyporheic zones: A review of key biogeochemical
- 1010 processes and in-situ transformation potential. Water Res. 128, 362–382.
 1011 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.059
- 1012 Webster, D.P., TerAvest, M.A., Doud, D.F.R., Chakravorty, A., Holmes, E.C., Radens, C.M., Sureka,
- 1013 S., Gralnick, J.A., Angenent, L.T., 2014. An arsenic-specific biosensor with genetically engineered
- 1014 Shewanella oneidensis in a bioelectrochemical system. Biosens. Bioelectron. 62, 320–324.
 1015 doi:10.1016/j.bios.2014.07.003
- Williams, K.H., Nevin, K.P., Franks, A., Englert, A., Long, P.E., Lovley, D.R., 2010. Electrode-Based
 Approach for Monitoring In Situ Microbial Activity During Subsurface Bioremediation. Environ.
 Sci. Technol. 44, 47–54. doi:10.1021/es9017464
- 1019 Xiao, L., Young, E.B., Berges, J.A., He, Z., 2012. Integrated photo-bioelectrochemical system for
 1020 contaminants removal and bioenergy production. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 11459–11466.
 1021 doi:10.1021/es303144n
- 1022Xie, D., Yu, H., Li, C., Ren, Y., Wei, C., Feng, C., 2014. Competitive microbial reduction of perchlorate1023and nitrate with a cathode directly serving as the electron donor. Electrochim. Acta 133, 217–223.
- 1024 doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2014.04.016
- 1025 Xu, W., Wang, C., Liu, H., Zhang, Z., Sun, H., 2010. A laboratory feasibility study on a new 1026 electrokinetic nutrient injection pattern and bioremediation of phenanthrene in a clayey soil. J.
- 1027 Hazard. Mater. 184, 798–804. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.08.111
- 1028 Yang, Q., Zhao, H., Liang, H.H., 2015. Denitrification of overlying water by microbial electrochemical
- 1029 snorkel. Bioresour. Technol. 197, 512–514. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2015.08.127

Peer-reviewed version available at Environment International 2020, 137; doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105550

- 1030 Yuan, L., Yang, X., Liang, P., Wang, L., Huang, Z.H., Wei, J., Huang, X., 2012. Capacitive deionization
- 1031 coupled with microbial fuel cells to desalinate low-concentration salt water. Bioresour. Technol.
- 1032 110, 735–738. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.01.137
- 1033 Zhang, B., Feng, C., Ni, J., Zhang, J., Huang, W., 2012. Simultaneous reduction of vanadium (V) and
- 1034 chromium (VI) with enhanced energy recovery based on microbial fuel cell technology. J. Power
- 1035 Sources 204, 34–39. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2012.01.013
- Zhang, Y., Angelidaki, I., 2015a. Submersible microbial desalination cell for simultaneous ammonia
 recovery and electricity production from anaerobic reactors containing high levels of ammonia.
 Bioresour. Technol. 177, 233–239. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.11.079
- Zhang, Y., Angelidaki, I., 2015b. Counteracting ammonia inhibition during anaerobic digestion by
 recovery using submersible microbial desalination cell. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 112, 1478–1482.
 doi:10.1002/bit.25549
- Zhang, Y., Angelidaki, I., 2013. A new method for in situ nitrate removal from groundwater using
 submerged microbial desalination-denitrification cell (SMDDC). Water Res. 47, 1827–1836.
 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2013.01.005
- 1045 Zhang, W., Ruan, X., Bai, Y., Yin, L., 2018. The characteristics and performance of sustainable-
- 1046 releasing compound carbon source material applied on groundwater nitrate in-situ remediation.

1047 Chemosphere 205, 635–642. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.04.133

- Zhou, M., Chi, M., Luo, J., He, H., Jin, T., 2011. An overview of electrode materials in microbial fuel
 cells. J. Power Sources 196, 4427–4435. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2011.01.012
- Zou, S., He, Z., 2018. Efficiently "pumping out" value-added resources from wastewater by
 bioelectrochemical systems: A review from energy perspectives. Water Res. 131, 62–73.
 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.026

1053