abstracts

703P Assessment of disease burden in the phase III CELESTIAL trial of cabozantinib (C) versus placebo (P) in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)

<u>J.F. Blanc</u>¹, T. Meyer², A-L. Cheng³, A.B. El-Khoueiry⁴, I. Cicin⁵, Y. Chen⁶, L. Bolondi⁷, V. Dadduzio⁸, A. Baron⁹, Z-Z. Lin³, J. Adriani¹⁰, R.K. Kelly¹¹, G.K. Abou-Alfa¹² ¹Service d'Hépato-Gastroentérologie et d'Oncologie Digestive, Hôpital Haut-Lévêque, Chu Bordeaux, France, ²Oncology, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK, ³Oncology, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, ⁴Medical Oncology, USC Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA, ⁵Department of Medical Oncology, Trakya University Faculty of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey, ⁶Liou Ying ChiMei Hospital, Department of Medical Oncology, Tainan, Taiwan, ⁷University of Bologna, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Bologna, Italy, ⁸Oncology, Istituto Oncologico Veneto IRCCS, Padua, Italy, ⁹Oncology, California Pacific Medical Center, San Francisco, CA, USA, ¹⁰Clinical Research & Development, Exelixis, Inc, San Francisco, CA, USA, ¹¹Medical Oncology, UCSF Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

Background: Extrahepatic spread (EHS) and macrovascular invasion (MVI) are poor prognostic factors in HCC. In the CELESTIAL trial (NCT01908426), C improved overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) vs P in patients (pts) with previously treated advanced HCC. Median OS was 10.2 mo with C vs 8.0 mo with P (HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.63–0.92; P = 0.0049). Median PFS was 5.2 mo with C versus 1.9 mo with P (HR, 0.44; 95% CI, 0.36–0.52; P < 0.0001). Here, we analyze OS and PFS based on (i) EHS, (ii) MVI, and (iii) the sum of target lesion diameters (SOD) at baseline. Methods: A total of 707 pts, stratified by disease etiology, geographic region, and the presence of EHS and/or MVI, were randomized 2:1 to receive C, 60 mg once daily (N = 470) or P (N = 237). Eligible patients had a pathologic diagnosis of HCC, Child-Pugh score A, and ECOG performance status ≤ 1 . Pts received prior sorafenib and ≤ 2 lines of prior systemic therapy. Tumors were assessed every 8 weeks by investigator. Results: In the overall population, 78% pts had EHS, 30% had MVI and 85% had EHS and/or MVI. Among pts with EHS, 50% had metastasis to the lung, 40% to lymph nodes and 17% to bones. C improved OS (HR \leq 0.8) vs P in pts with or without MVI (Table). C also improved OS vs P in pts with EHS or high SOD. PFS was improved with C irrespective of the extent of the disease.

Table: 703P								
	No. pts		Median OS, mo		OS HR (95% CI)	Median PFS, mo		PFS HR (95% CI)
	c	Р	с	Ρ		с	Ρ	
EHS								
Yes	369	182	9.6	6.9	0.72 (0.58-0.89)	5.0	1.9	0.46 (0.37-0.56)
No	101	55	12.0	12.3	0.96 (0.63-1.46)	5.4	1.9	0.45 (0.31-0.66)
MVI								
Yes	129	81	7.6	5.3	0.75 (0.54-1.03)	3.7	1.8	0.42 (0.31-0.58)
No	339	156	12.4	9.7	0.80 (0.64-1.01)	5.5	1.9	0.48 (0.38-0.59)
SOD								
< median	231	120	12.5	10.5	0.94 (0.71-1.24)	5.5	1.9	0.48 (0.37-0.61)
\geq median	234	117	8.2	5.3	0.58 (0.45-0.76)	4.2	1.9	0.44 (0.34-0.57)

Conclusions: C generally improved OS in pt subgroups defined by extent of disease burden. The presence of MVI, EHS, or high SOD at baseline was associated with shorter OS in both treatment groups.

Clinical trial identification: NCT01908426.

Legal entity responsible for the study: Exelixis.

Funding: Exelixis, Inc.

Disclosure: J.F. Blanc: Consulting or advisory role: Bayer, SP, BMS, Ipsen. T. Meyer: Consulting or advisory role: Bayer, BTG, Ipsen; Research funding: Bayer, BTG. A-L. Cheng: Consulting or Advisory role: Bayer Schering Pharma, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai, Merck. Serono, Novartis, Ono Pharmaceutical, Onxeo; Speakers' bureau: Novartis; Research funding: Sanofi. A.B. El-Khoueiry: Honoraria: BMS, Bayer, Exelixis, EMD Serono, EISAI, Roche, Cytomx, Merck. Consulting or advisory role: BMS, Bayer; Research funding: AstraZeneca, Astex. L. Bolondi: Consulting or advisory role: Bayer, BMS, Sirtex, Guerbet; Speakers bureau: Bayer, BMS, Sirtex, Bracco, Eli-Lilly, Guerbet, Meda-Pharm; Travel accommodations expenses: Bayer, BMS, Bracco, Sirtex, Guerbet, Eli-Lilly. V. Dadduzio: Travel, accommodations, expenses: Baver, Amgen, Astellas, A. Baron: Speakers' bureau: BMS, Merck, Genentech, Lilly, J. Adriani: Employee, Stock ownership: Exelixis. R.K. Kelly: Consulting or advisory role: Genentech for IDMC (self); Bayer, BMS, AstraZeneca, DebioPharm, Agios; Research funding: Adaptimmune, Agios, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Exclisis, Eli Lilly, Medimmune, Merck, Novartis, Regeneron, Sanofi, Taiho, Target Pharma Solutions, Tekmira. G.K. Abou-Alfa: Self consulting role: Agios, Amgen, Antengene, Aptus, Aslan, Astellas, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BMS, Boston Scientifc, Carsgen, Celgene, Casi, Daiichi, Debio, Delcath, Eisai, Exelixis, Halozyme, Inovio, Ipsen, Merck, Onxeo, PCI Biotech, Roche, Sanofi, Servier, Sillajen, Sirtex, Yakult Immediate; Family member consulting: Celgene, CytomX, Gilead, Halozyme, Sanofi, Silenseed; Institutional Research; Agios, Array, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BMS, Casi, Celgene, Exelixis, Genentech, Incyte, Lilly, Mabvax, Medimmune, Momenta, Novartis, OncoMed Pharmaceuticals, Roche. All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.