

platelet reactivity after a 1-week statin wash-out period entered the PEARL trial. Patients were randomly assigned to atorvastatin (20 mg day, N = 50) or rosuvastatin (10 mg day, N = 50) for 30 days. After another 1-week wash-out period to avoid any carryover effect, cross-over was performed, and patients were switched to the other drug which was continued for 30 days. Platelet reactivity (expressed as P2Y(12) reaction units (PRU) by the point-of-care VerifyNow assay [Accumetrics, San Diego, California]) was measured before and at the end of each 30-day treatment period. High platelet reactivity after clopidogrel was defined as a PRU value > 208.

Results: After the 30-day treatment with atorvastatin, platelet reactivity did not significantly change as compared with baseline, pre-treatment evaluation (119 ± 66 vs 136 ± 59 PRU, NS), with 2 patients only showing a PRU > 208. Similarly, after 30-day treatment with rosuvastatin, platelet reactivity was unchanged as compared with baseline (135 ± 46 vs 128 ± 62 PRU, NS), with PRU > 208 occurring in 3 patients.

Conclusion: Atorvastatin does not negatively affect DAPT as compared with rosuvastatin when is given to stable CAD patients with baseline normal platelet reactivity while on DAPT. (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: [NCT01567774](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01567774)).

doi:[10.1016/j.ijcme.2015.05.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcme.2015.05.018)

Pharmacodynamic comparison of pitavastatin versus atorvastatin on platelet reactivity in patients with coronary artery disease treated with dual antiplatelet therapy – The PORTO Trial

Francesco Pelliccia, Giuseppe Marazzi, Vincenzo Pasceri, Marina Polacco, Michele Schiariti, Cesare Greco, Carlo Gaudio

Department of Cardiology, University of Rome “La Sapienza”, Italy

Background: Levels of platelet reactivity in patients on dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) can be influenced by concomitant treatment with medications (i.e. statins) that inhibit the CYP3A4 system involved in the activation of clopidogrel. Atorvastatin and simvastatin are metabolized by CYP3A4, while pitavastatin is mostly excreted unchanged in bile and undergoes minimal biotransformation through the cytochrome P450 system. The primary objective of this study was to compare the pharmacodynamic effects of a CYP3A4-metabolized statin (atorvastatin) versus a non-CYP3A4-metabolized statin (pitavastatin) in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) treated with DAPT.

Methods: A total of 102 CAD patients receiving DPAT (clopidogrel 75 mg plus aspirin 100 mg) after percutaneous coronary intervention entered the PORTO trial. After a 1-week statin wash-out period, patients were randomly assigned to atorvastatin (20 mg day, N = 51) or pitavastatin (4 mg day, N = 51) for 30 days. After another 1-week wash-out period to avoid any carryover effect, cross-over was performed, and patients were switched to the other drug which was continued for 30 days. Platelet reactivity (expressed as P2Y(12) reaction units (PRU) by the point-of-care VerifyNow assay [Accumetrics, San Diego, California]) was measured before and at the end of each 30-day treatment period. High platelet reactivity after clopidogrel was defined as a PRU value > 208.

Results: After the 30-day treatment period with atorvastatin, platelet reactivity was significantly higher as compared with pre-treatment values (212 ± 96 vs 166 ± 79 PRU, $p = 0.010$), with a more common occurrence of patients showing a PRU > 208 (57% vs. 35%, $p = 0.047$). Conversely, after the 30-day treatment period with pitavastatin, platelet reactivity was unchanged as compared with pre-treatment values (178 ± 81 vs 189 ± 73 PRU, NS), with no difference in the frequency of patients showing a PRU > 208 before and after treatment (41% vs. 37%, NS).

Conclusion: Pitavastatin, a non-CYP3A4-metabolized statin, does not negatively affect DAPT as compared with atorvastatin in CAD patients on DAPT. (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: [NCT01648829](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01648829)).

doi:[10.1016/j.ijcme.2015.05.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcme.2015.05.019)

Additive effects of nutraceuticals to non-pharmacologic intervention to improve lipid profile in the real world clinical practice in European countries – The PIN (Portugal Italy Nutraceutical) Study

Giuseppe Marazzi, Francesco Pelliccia, Giuseppe Campolongo, Rosalba Massaro, Vincenzo Pasceri, Maurizio Volterrani, Cesare Greco, Carlo Gaudio

Department of Cardiology, University of Rome “La Sapienza”, Italy

Introduction: Cardiovascular prevention include a class I indication to statins in addition to non-pharmacologic intervention and prevention strategies in patients deemed to be ‘high risk’ according to current scientific guidelines. In the real world, however, statin treatment is often discontinued due to side effects. In addition, statins are not indicated in those subjects deemed to be ‘low risk’, in whom only non-pharmacologic intervention and prevention strategies are currently prescribed. Along with non-pharmacologic intervention and prevention strategies, newer approaches to reduce cholesterol blood levels currently include nutraceuticals, which are compounds derived from foods with cholesterol lowering actions. The primary objective of this study is twofold: First, to prospectively compare in the real world clinical practice the efficacy and tolerability of non-pharmacologic intervention vs. the combination of non-pharmacologic intervention with a nutraceutical-based protocol in patients in whom statin treatment is not tolerated or is not indicated. Second, to evaluate gender and race/ethnic differences in the hypolipidemic effects of a nutraceutical-based protocol among European countries.

Methods: Class I indication to receive statin treatment but previous (<12 months) withdrawn of a statin due to side effects and unwilling to receive treatment with an alternative statin. Class I indication to receive non-pharmacologic intervention and prevention strategies because of hyperlipidemia with ‘low risk’ classification.

Patients will be assigned at the discretion of their own general practitioner to receive for 1 year either non-pharmacologic intervention and prevention strategies or non-pharmacologic intervention and prevention strategies associated with a commercially available nutraceutical combined pill (1 capsule/day containing red yeast rice 200 mg, policosanol 10 mg, and berberine 500 mg). Primary outcome included reasons for treatment discontinuation use and secondary outcomes included plasma lipids levels.

Results: At entry, 51 patients were randomized to ezetimibe and 50 to placebo. Baseline clinical features and lipid profiles were similar between groups. During the 1-year trial, 5 patients of the nutraceutical-group stopped the pill due to myalgia whereas in the ezetimibe group 4 patients had gastrointestinal intolerance and 3 had fatigue and dizziness (NS). At 1-year evaluation, levels of triglyceride, creatine kinase or liver enzymes were similar between groups. Conversely, total cholesterol level (205 ± 31 vs 241 ± 41 mg/dl, $p = 0.001$) and LDL cholesterol (105 ± 31 vs 131 ± 41 mg/dl, $p = 0.001$) were significantly lower in the nutraceutical-group than in the ezetimibe-group.

Conclusions: A combination of nutraceuticals with lipid-lowering biological activity can significantly decrease cholesterol levels without causing clinical or metabolic side effects and is more effective than ezetimibe 10 mg/day in statin-intolerant patients treated with PCI. (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: [NCT01649986](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01649986)).

doi:[10.1016/j.ijcme.2015.05.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcme.2015.05.020)