



Development of two multiplex PCRs for microsatellite analysis in Alpine chamois (*Rupicapra r. rupicapra*)

D. Soglia, S. Sartore, S. Maione, F. La Neve, L. Rossi, E. Ferroglio, R. Rasero & P. Sacchi

To cite this article: D. Soglia, S. Sartore, S. Maione, F. La Neve, L. Rossi, E. Ferroglio, R. Rasero & P. Sacchi (2005) Development of two multiplex PCRs for microsatellite analysis in Alpine chamois (*Rupicapra r. rupicapra*), Italian Journal of Animal Science, 4:sup2, 61-63, DOI: [10.4081/ijas.2005.2s.61](https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2005.2s.61)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2005.2s.61>



© 2005 Taylor & Francis Group LLC



Published online: 03 Mar 2016.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 72



View related articles [↗](#)

Development of two multiplex PCRs for microsatellite analysis in Alpine chamois (*Rupicapra r. rupicapra*)

D. Soglia, S. Sartore, S. Maione, F. La Neve,
L. Rossi, E. Ferroglio, R. Rasero, P. Sacchi

Dipartimento Produzioni Animali Epidemiologia ed Ecologia, Università di Torino, Italy

Corresponding author: Dominga Soglia. Dipartimento Produzioni Animali Epidemiologia ed Ecologia. Via L. da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco, Italy – Tel: +39 011 6709256 – Fax: +39 011 6709240 – Email: dominga.soglia@unito.it

RIASSUNTO – Allestimento di due PCR multiple per l'analisi di popolazioni di Camoscio delle Alpi (*Rupicapra r. rupicapra*) mediante marcatori microsatelliti. *Allo scopo di elaborare un protocollo per l'analisi della struttura genetica di popolazioni di camosci italiani dell'arco alpino, sono stati individuati 16 microsatelliti già utilizzati per gli ungulati selvatici e mappati su cromosomi diversi in capra, pecora e bovino. Sulla base di analisi preliminari condotte su un ristretto numero di campioni dodici marcatori sono risultati sufficientemente polimorfi nel camoscio e coamplificabili. Per automatizzare le analisi e ridurre i tempi sono state messe a punto due PCR multiple con cui sono stati analizzati 42 campioni provenienti da differenti colonie. L'elevato contenuto informativo dei marcatori coamplificati dimostra che le due PCR multiple sono strumenti utili nelle indagini di genetica di popolazione nel camoscio.*

KEY WORDS: chamois, microsatellite, multiplex PCR, DNA.

INTRODUCTION – The study of the genetic diversity gives important information about structure, subdivision in subunits and evolution of populations. Chamois (*Rupicapra rupicapra*, Linneus 1758) are mountain ungulates belonging to the subfamily *Caprinae*. They are presently distributed over most of the medium to high altitude mountains in the Southern Europe. Ten distinct geographical populations have been recognised as subspecies (Masini and Lovari, 1988); one of this subspecies, *R. r. rupicapra*, includes also the chamois living on the Italian Alps.

Microsatellites are the most suitable markers in order to obtain refined pictures of the biodiversity of species (Perez *et al.*, 2002). Indeed, they are hypervariable, uniformly distributed in the genome, and quite easy to analyse. The use of the automatic analyser limits cost and time of tests and improves the reliability of the results reducing the variability in scoring. In order to develop a tool for the genetic analysis of the Italian Alpine chamois, we tested a set of loci commonly used in cattle, sheep and goats. The purposes of this study were (1) to identify a panel of microsatellites highly informative in chamois and (2) to develop and validate multiplex protocols for ABI Prism® 310 Genetic Analyzer.

MATERIAL AND METHODS – From 42 chamois individual samples of blood or tissue were collected and preserved at -20°C. Two different kits were used to isolate DNA from tissue and blood according to the manufacturer protocols: NucleoSpin® Tissue (Macherey-Nagel GmbH, Dueren, Germany) and Invisorb Spin Blood Mini Kit (Invitex GmbH, Berlin, Germany) respectively. Sixteen dinucleotide-repeat microsatellite markers were chosen using information from literature (Table 1): they included 5 caprine, 3 ovine, and 8 bovine loci

mapped on different chromosomes. Some of them have been already used for wild ungulates (Perez *et al.*, 2000; Muntwyler *et al.*, 2002; Sacchi *et al.*, 2002). In order to combine the primers for multiplex PCR analyses, the markers were chosen on the basis of their polymorphism, allele size ranges, and possibility of co-amplifying. Loci with non-overlapping allele lengths were chosen to have the same fluorescent dye (Table 1).

Table 1. Microsatellite loci.

| Map location | | Primer | | | | |
|--------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Locus | chromosome | source | Reference | μ M | label | |
| 1° | INRA005 | BTA12 | cattle | Perez <i>et al.</i> , 2000 | 0.05 | HEX |
| 1° | OarFCB304 | OAR19 | sheep | Muntwyler <i>et al.</i> , 2002 | 0.08 | 6-FAM |
| 1° | SRCRSP05 | CHI21 OAR21 | goat | Perez <i>et al.</i> , 2000 | 0.04 | NED |
| 1° | SRCRSP08 | CHI unknown | goat | Perez <i>et al.</i> , 2000 | 0.20 | NED |
| 1° | INRA040 | BTA2 | cattle | Perez <i>et al.</i> , 2000 | 0.08 | 6-FAM |
| 2° | BM1258 | BTA23 | cattle | Muntwyler <i>et al.</i> , 2002 | 0.20 | 6-FAM |
| 2° | BM1329 | OAR6 BTA6 | cattle | Muntwyler <i>et al.</i> , 2002 | 0.25 | 6-FAM |
| 2° | BMS332 | BTA26 | cattle | Stone <i>et al.</i> , 1995 | 0.05 | NED |
| 2° | CSR247 | OAR14 | sheep | Muntwyler <i>et al.</i> , 2002 | 0.20 | HEX |
| 2° | INRA011 | BTA1 | cattle | Perez <i>et al.</i> , 2000 | 0.04 | 6-FAM |
| 2° | McM527 | OAR5 | sheep | Muntwyler <i>et al.</i> , 2002 | 0.15 | HEX |
| 2° | TGLA325 | BTAXY | cattle | George <i>et al.</i> , 1992 | 0.05 | HEX |
| | INRA063 | CHI18 OAR14 BTA18 | cattle | Perez <i>et al.</i> , 2000 | | 6-FAM |
| | SRCRSP06 | CHI19 | goat | Perez <i>et al.</i> , 2000 | | HEX |
| | SRCRSP14 | CHI unknown | goat | Perez <i>et al.</i> , 2000 | | NED |
| | SRCRSP15 | CHI unknown | goat | Perez <i>et al.</i> , 2000 | | 6-FAM |

1° = first multiplex PCR; 2° = second multiplex PCR; μ M = primer concentration in multiplex mix reaction

Two multiplex PCRs were developed using the selected primers set that showed to amplify in simplex conditions and yielded polymorphic patterns in the Alpine chamois. The multiplex amplifications were prepared in 10 μ l reactions containing 1 μ l of genomic DNA, 50 μ M of each dNTP, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, PCR Buffer 1X (QIAGEN SpA, Milano, Italy), and 0.4U HotStarTaq (QIAGEN SpA, Milano, Italy). Primer concentration ranged from 0.04 to 0.25 μ M (Table 1). PCRs were carried out on a GeneAmp PCR System 2400 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Protocols included an initial denaturing step of 15 min at 95°C followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 1 min at 58°C and 1 min at 72°C. Final extension was performed at 72°C for 7min. The fragments were separated using the ABI PRISM 310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystem, Foster City, CA). The data were analysed with GeneScan® Analysis Software V3.1.2. Allele scoring was done with GenoTyper® Software V3.7. Summary statistics were obtained using Cervus V.2 (Marshall *et al.*, 1998) and GENEPOP (Raymond and Rousset, 1995).

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS – In a preliminary phase, simplex PCRs were carried out on 10 samples. In simplex conditions two out of the 16 markers could not be amplified (SRCRSP15 and 14) and two loci (INRA063 and SRCRSP06) showed no polymorphism, so they were discarded. The remaining 12 polymorphic markers were combined into two multiplex PCRs. Individual multiplex patterns were in accordance with the corresponding simplex patterns. The markers grouped in the same multiplex and labelled with the same fluorescent dye showed no overlapping. For nearly all loci the peak intensities showed well-balanced PCR products. “N+1” peaks, produced by the HotStarTaq, and “stutter” peaks produced by polymerase slippage during elongation did not interfere with the correct allele scoring.

The two multiplex PCRs were used to analyse the 42 samples (Table 2). A total of 114 alleles were detected

across the 12 loci. The average PIC was 0.749 (sd 0.108). The average observed number of alleles per locus was 9.5 (5÷19). The average observed and expected heterozygosity were 0.681 (sd 0.125) and 0.784 (sd 0.102), respectively. Six loci showed significant heterozygosity deficiency that could arise from non-amplifying alleles or population subdivision. In the case of INRA040 and BM1329 no amplification was obtained in 38% and in 24% of samples, respectively. These results suggest the existence of non-amplifying alleles at high frequencies in agreement with Perez *et al.* (2002). Consequently, both markers should be discarded from further investigations. At the other loci the heterozygosity deficiency is probably due to samples subdivision. In fact, the 42 individuals belonged to geographically isolated colonies.

In conclusion we identified ten microsatellite markers highly informative and easy to combine in multiplex PCRs. The developed protocols will be suitable tools to analyse genetic characteristics of Italian Alpine chamois.

Table 2. Summary statistics.

| Locus | Allele Number | N. typed individuals | H(O) | H(E) | P-value | PIC |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
| <i>FCB304</i> | 7 | 42 | 0.762 | 0.785 | ns | 0.743 |
| <i>INRA005</i> | 5 | 42 | 0.667 | 0.680 | ns | 0.635 |
| <i>INRA040</i> | 19 | 26 | 0.731 | 0.926 | *** | 0.902 |
| <i>SRCRSP05</i> | 7 | 42 | 0.714 | 0.789 | ns | 0.752 |
| <i>SRCRSP08</i> | 10 | 40 | 0.675 | 0.841 | *** | 0.813 |
| <i>BMS332</i> | 9 | 42 | 0.762 | 0.745 | ns | 0.706 |
| <i>BM1258</i> | 11 | 42 | 0.595 | 0.863 | *** | 0.836 |
| <i>BM1329</i> | 6 | 32 | 0.656 | 0.822 | * | 0.782 |
| <i>CSD247</i> | 14 | 41 | 0.707 | 0.852 | ** | 0.826 |
| <i>INRA011</i> | 12 | 42 | 0.833 | 0.842 | ns | 0.812 |
| <i>McM527</i> | 7 | 42 | 0.333 | 0.538 | *** | 0.497 |
| <i>TGLA325</i> | 7 | 42 | 0.738 | 0.731 | ns | 0.681 |

N. typed individuals = number of individual samples that gave PCR products.

H(O) = observed heterozygosity.

H(E) = Hardy-Weinberg expected heterozygosity.

P-value = probability score test performed using the GENEPOP software.

ns = not significant; * = P<0.05; ** = P<0.01; *** = P<0.001.

PIC = Polymorphism Information Content.

REFERENCES – **Georges**, M. Massey, J.M., 1992. Polymorphic DNA markers in Bovidae. Patent WO 92/13102. **Masini**, F., Lovari, S., 1988. Systematics, Phylogenetic relationships, and dispersal of the chamois (*Rupicapra* spp.) Quaternary Res, 30:339-349. **Marshall**, T.C., Slate, J., Kruuk, L.E.B., Pemberton, J.M., 1998. Statistical confidence for likelihood-based paternity inference in natural populations. Mol. Ecol. 7:639-655. **Muntwyler**, J., Obexer-Ruff, G., Gaillard, C., Glowatzki-Mullis, M.L., 2002. Proc. 28th Int. Conf. Anim. Genet. Gottingen, Germany, 152. **Pérez**, T., Albornoz, J., Domínguez, A., 2000. A panel of bovine and caprine microsatellites suitable as markers in chamois. Anim. Genet. 31(5): 344-5. **Pérez**, T., Albornoz, J., Domínguez, A., 2002. Phylogeography of chamois (*Rupicapra* spp.) inferred from microsatellites. Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 25(3): 524-534. **Raymond**, M., Rousset, F., 1995. GENEPOP: population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. J. Hered. 86: 248-249. **Sacchi**, P., Rasero, R., Cauvin, E., Sartore, S., Maione, S., Bassano, B., Meneguz, G., Blasi, M., 2002. Microsatellite analysis of genetic diversity in Alpine ibex (*Capra ibex*). Proc. 28th Int. Conf. Anim. Genet. Gottingen, Germany, 131. **Stone**, R.T., Pulido, J.C., Duyk, G.M., Kappes, S.M., Keele, J.W., Beattie, C.W., 1995. A small-insert bovine genomic library highly enriched for microsatellite repeat sequences. Mamm. Genome 6 (10): 714-724.