A new 3,4-dihydroxypyrrolidine-based material for molecular recognition

Stefano Cicchi, Valentina Paschetta, and Alberto Brandi*

Università degli Studi di Firenze, Dipartimento di Chimica Organica "Ugo Schiff" Polo Scientifico, via della Lastruccia 13, I-50019, Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy E-mail: <u>alberto.brandi@unifi.it</u>

Dedicated to Professor Branko Stanovnik on his 65th anniversary (received 05 Aug 03; accepted 01 Oct 03; published on the web 17 Oct 03)

Abstract

The synthesis of a new pseudopeptide material based on a chiral pyrrolidine skeleton is described. One of these new compounds interacts, in chloroform solution, selectively with amines.

Keywords: Pyrrolidine, molecular recognition, amino acid, *C*₃ symmetry

Introduction

Molecular recognition phenomena are the basis of any biological process and extensive effort has been spent to understand the mechanism of such processes and to discover new examples and applications. Since large part of recognition processes, particularly those in biological systems, are based on the formation of hydrogen bonds between host and guest molecules,¹ most effort are devoted to the construction of a host abundant of hydrogen bond donating groups as, aminoacids, carbohydrates units and sulfonamides.² One direction chosen by researcher on the way to build up a synthetic enzyme, consists of the selection of scaffold onto which easily accessible optically pure units, containing elements necessary for recognition, are implemented.^{2,3} Trimesic acid (benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylic acid) has been often used as a rigid scaffold for the grafting of proper substituents and, recently, it has been demonstrated that amide derivatives of trimesic acid can adopt, in the solid phase, a bell shaped arrangement with the three carbonyl group pointing towards the same side of the aromatic ring.⁴ Such arrangement give rise to a cavity that could host small molecules properly substituted.⁵ The potential for application in molecular recognition processes is evident. Along this track we propose now a new material 1 (figure 1) based on a pseudo peptidic branch, built up around a C_2 symmetric pyrrolidine skeleton, implemented in a rigid frame of trimesic acid. In this article the synthesis

of three derivatives, compounds **1a-c**, characterized by the presence of three different aminoacids, as well as the application of compound **1a** to the selective recognition of amines are described.



Figure 1

Results and Discussion

The synthesis of **1a-c** was devised in a convergent way, *i.e.* by the synthesis of branches that are inserted in the central scaffold. The approach is suitable for further application in combinatorial-like synthesis.



Scheme 1

The branches **4a-c** were easily synthesized in high yield by dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) mediated coupling of protected aminoacids, **3**, with (3S,4S)-*N*-benzyl-3,4-dihydroxypyrrolidine (**2**) (scheme 1). The C_2 symmetry of these derivatives is reflected into their ¹H and ¹³C NMR

spectra. The two aminoacidic residues are equivalent as well as the two methyne and methylene groups of the pyrrolidine ring. Reductive debenzylation of **4a-c** afforded in quantitative yields compounds **5a-c** (scheme 1). Reaction of pyrrolidines **5a-c** with benzene-1,3,5-tricarbonyl chloride afforded compound **1a-c** in 57%, 40% and 57% yield respectively.

Again the overall C_3 symmetry of compounds **1a-c** is confirmed by the simple ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of these compounds. The spectra of compound **1a** are representative of all three compounds. Concerning the ¹H NMR spectrum, compound **1a** showed a single resonance at 7.89 ppm for the three equivalent protons of the central aromatic ring. The amide moieties that link the pyrrolidine rings to the core hamper the free rotation along the N-C bond disrupting the C_2 simmetry of the two substituents of the branches and the H3 and H4 of each pyrrolidine ring resonate as separate signals giving rise to a multiplet at 5.24 ppm. Nevertheless the three groups grafted onto the central aromatic ring result equivalent. Also the ¹³C NMR spectrum confirmed the absence of C_2 simmetry for each pyrrolidine nucleus (different signal for the two methylene groups at 52.4 and 50.5 ppm) but the equivalence of the three branches.

Tertiary aromatic amides are not planar for steric reasons. In tertiary benzamides steric hindrance surrenders to conjugation when the amide is twisted at an angle 57° to the ring.⁶ For this reason the three group grafted around the aromatic core of trimesic acid do not lie in the same plane but stretch out in space with the possibility to build a network of hydrogen bonds that could give rise to a bell shaped structure in solution.⁷



Figure 2. Minimum energy conformation for compound 1a.

The result of a molecular mechanics study (Monte Carlo method, Force field MMFF94, 7744 cycles)⁸ on a model compound showed that the minimum energy conformation is the one depicted in figure 2. This bell shaped conformation is stabilized by four hydrogen bond which link together the three branches of the molecule.

Compound **1a-c** do not self-aggregate in solution as it is shown by ¹H NMR spectroscopy: no variation of chemical shift was evidenced recording spectra at increasing concentration from 10^{-3} to 10^{-1} M in CDCl₃. A FT-IR analysis for compound **1a** did not confirm definitely the presence of intramolecular hydrogen bonds in chloroform. However the spectrum at dilute (10^{-3} M) concentration presented two slightly defined NH bands at 3450 and 3440 cm⁻¹ that collapsed in a single broader resonance at 3440 cm⁻¹ by increasing the concentration to 10^{-2} M due to intra and intermolecular hydrogen bonding.

To verify the possibility of molecular recognition towards substrates prone to form hydrogen bond interactions we registered ¹H NMR spectra of 1 : 1 mixtures of hosts **1a-c** and various structurally differentiated guests. A marked variation (at least 0.2 ppm) in chemical shift of any signal was considered to indicate an interaction worthwhile to be deeper analysed.

Compound **1a** revealed to interact with amines, aminoalcohols and, to a lower extent, with primary and secondary amides while no interaction was evidenced with carboxylic acids, ammonium salt, carboxylates, alcohols and tertiary amides. Compounds **1b-c** did not show any appreciable interaction towards any of these substrates. A deeper analysis was performed to quantify the interaction of **1a** towards piperidine for which the strongest interaction was evidenced (shift of 1 ppm for the NH proton at 1:1 piperidine/**1a** ratio). In figure 3 is reported the titration curve of piperidine (NH signal) with **1a**.



Figure 3. Titration curve of piperidine with 1a.

The graphic shown in figure 2 indicates the saturation obtained increasing the concentration of host **1a** from $5x10^{-4}$ to $2.4x10^{-2}$ M. The non-linear regression analysis data for titration of piperidine indicated a K_{ass}= 380 ± 80 M⁻¹ together with a $\delta_{\infty} = 2.14$ ppm.⁹

A Job plot, showing a maximum at 0.5 for the system piperidine-**1a** confirms a 1 : 1 interaction between the two molecules (Figure 4). 10



Figure 4. Job plot for the system piperidine-1a.

To verify if the presence of three group grafted onto the aromatic ring is crucial for the recognition process, the complexation ability of 1a was compared with that of compounds 6 and 7, similar to 1a, but lacking of its C_3 symmetry. Compounds 6 and 7 were obtained through reaction of 5 with benzoyl and terephtaloyl chloride respectively (figure 5). None of the substrates screened with 1a showed an interaction with 6 and 7, even increasing the concentration of the host, ruling out also the possible acid-base reaction between piperidine and compound 1a.



Figure 5

The C_3 symmetry of compound **1a**, therefore, must induce an appropriate arrangement of hydrogen bond donating groups which lacks in compounds **6** and **7**, unable to interact with any substrates, and reveals essential to build up the complexation. Such arrangement might be described on the basis of the structure depicted in Figure 2. The selectivity observed and the 1:1 interaction between piperidine and compound **1a** must derive, therefore, from a positive balance between attractive and repulsive interactions. Steric factors are probably responsible for the absence of interactions found between amines and **1b** or **1c**. A molecular mechanic study, in analogy to what reported for **1a**, showed that the presence of substituents bulkier than Me on the aminoacidic residue hampers the formation of the bell shape conformation. In conclusion we have described the synthesis of a new compound characterised by an overall C_3 symmetry and by six terminal aminoacidic residues which showed selective complexing ability towards amines, aminoalcohols and, to a minor extent, amides. The extension of the synthetic procedure to obtain combinatorial libraries of these compounds and dendrimeric derivatives is currently ongoing in our laboratories, as well as experiments to gain a deeper insight in the interaction process.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. All operations were carried out under inert gas and with anhydrous solvents where required. Rf values refer to TLC on 0.25 mm silica gel plates (Merck F254) with the same eluent used for the chromatographic separation of compounds. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (in CDCl₃ solution) were recorded at 200 MHz and 50 MHz respectively, with a Varian Gemini; the chemical shifts for ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are given in ppm from TMS. IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer 881 spectrophotometer. Optical rotation measurements were carried out with a Jasco DIP-370 polarimeter.

General procedure of synthesis of diesters 4a-c

A solution of 375 mg (1.94 mmol) of pyrrolidine **2** in 30 ml of anydrous CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature and under nitrogen atmosphere, was added with an *N*-*t*-Boc protected aminoacid (alanine **3a**, phenyl alanine **3b** and lysine **3c**) (3.88 mmol) and DMAP (20 mg, 0.16 mmol). The solution was then added with DCC (825 mg, 4 mmol) and stirred for 24 h. The final suspension was filtered and the solution concentrated to afford the crude reaction mixture which was purified by flash column chromatography.

Diester (4a). 86% yield. R_f (ethyl acetate, petroleum ether 1:2) = 0.43. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 15.5$ (c = 1.11, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3440, 2981, 1741, 1709, 1602, 1155 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 7.30 (m, 5H), 5.14 (bs, 2H, CHO), 4.92 (m, 2H), 4.28 (m, 2H, CH-CH₃), 3.65 (AB system, 2H, -CH₂-Ph), 3.05 (dd, J = 10.6, 6.3 Hz, 2H, NCHH), 2.59 (dd, J = 10.6, 4.4 Hz, 2H, NCHH), 1.42 (s, 18H, C-(CH₃)₃), 1.38 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 6H, CH-CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 172.8 (s), 155.0 (s), 138.2 (s), 128.8 (d), 128.1 (d), 127.1 (d), 80.1 (s), 78.5 (d), 59.5 (t), 57.8 (t), 49.2 (d), 28.8 (q), 18.5 (q). Anal calcd. for C₂₇H₄₁N₃O₈ (535.64): C 60.54, H 7.71, N 7.84. Found C 60.59, H 7.72, N 7.52%.

Diester (4b). 95% yield. $R_f (CH_2Cl_2, MeOH 20:1) = 0.81. [\alpha]_D^{20} = 46.0 (c = 1.05, CHCl_3). IR (CHCl_3): 3439, 2978, 1706, 1156 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl_3): 7.30 (m, 10H, Ph), 7.17 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.00 (m, 4H, CHO- and N-$ *H*), 4.58 (m, 2H, CH-Bn), 3.58 (AB system, 2H, N-CH₂-Ph), 3.08 (m, 2H, CH-CH₂-Ph), 2.90 (dd, <math>J = 10.6, 6.6 Hz, 2H, NCHH), 2.48 (dd, J = 10.6, 3.7 Hz, 2H, NCHH), 1.43 (s, 18H, C-(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl_3): 171.2 (s), 155.0 (s), 137.6 (s), 135.9 (s), 129.4 (d), 128.8 (d), 128.6 (d), 128.4 (d), 127.3 (d), 127.1 (d), 79.9 (s, C-(CH₃)₃), 77.7(d, CHO), 59.5 (t), 57.8 (t), 54.3 (d), 38.3 (t), 28.26 (q). Anal. Calcd. for C₃₉H₄₉N₃O₈ (687.83): C 68.10, H 7.18, N 6.11. Found C 68.02, H 7.45, N 6.38%.

Diester (4c). 80% yield. R_f (ethyl acetate, petroleum ether 1:1) = 0.58. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = 5.5 (c = 1.06, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3447, 2977, 1707, 1594, 1158 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 7.29 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.11 (m, 4H, CHO- and N-*H*), 4.89 (m, 2H, N-*H*), 4.25 (m, 2H, C-*CH*-NH), 3.60 (AB system, 2H, CH₂-Ph), 3.12 (m, 6H, CHH-NBn and CH₂-N), 2.59 (m, 2H, CHH- NBn), 1.74 (m, 7H, - (CH)₂-), 1.42 (s, 18H, C-(CH₃)₃), 1.40(s, 18H, C-(CH₃)₃) 1.40 (m, 5H, (CH)₂). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 172.3 (s), 155.9 (s), 137.3 (s), 128.7 (d), 128.3 (d), 127.3 (d), 79.9 (d), 79.1 (d), 78.5 (s), 59.5 (t), 57.86 (t), 53.27 (d), 40.15 (t), 32.03 (t), 29.4 (t), 28.5 (q), 28.36 (q), 22.5 (t). Anal. calcd. for C₄₃H₇₁N₅O₁₂ (850.06): C 60.76, H 8.42, N 8.24. Found C 60.88, H 8.41, N 7.91.

General procedures of reductive debenzylation of diesters 4a-c

A solution of the diester (1 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL) was added with 100 mg of 20% Pd(OH)₂/C and the resulting suspension was stirred under H₂ atmosphere for 12 h. The suspension was then filtered and the solution concentrated to afford the crude secondary amine in quantitative yield. The crude amines were immediately used without futher purification.

General procedures of synthesis of triamides of trimesic acid 1a-c

A solution of the amine 5 (1 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (12 mL) was added with DMAP (20 mg, 0.16 mmol) and DIPEA (1.06 mL, 6 mmol). The solution was cooled in an ice bath and added with benzene-1,3,5-tricarbonyl chloride (87 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1 eq). The solution was stirred under inert atmosphere for 2 h. The solution was then diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) and washed with 0.5 M HCl, saturated NaHCO₃ and finally with brine. The organic phase was dried with Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to afford the crude reaction mixture which was purified by passage on a short pad of silica gel.

Triamide (1a). 57% yield. R_f (ethyl acetate, petroleum ether 5:1) = 0.52. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = -30.1 (c = 1.00, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3442, 2980, 1751, 1708, 1636, 1153 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 7.89 (s, 3H, central core H), 5.24 (m, 6H, CHO-), 5.09 (m, 6H, N-*H*), 4.25 (m, 6H, *H*-C-CH₃), 4.0 (m, 6H, *H*-CH-N), 3.8 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 3H, *H*-CH-N), 3.6 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 3H, *H*-CH-N), 1.40 (m, 72H, C-(CH₃)₃ e CH-CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 172.0 (s), 167.6 (s), 154.9 (s), 136.4 (s), 128.38 (d), 80.1 (s), 75.5 (d), 74.1 (d), 52.4 (t), 50.5 (t), 49.2 (d), 28.3 (q), 18.23 (q). Anal. calcd. for C₆₉H₁₀₅N₉O₂₇(1492.63): C 55.52, H 7.09, N 8.44. Found C 55.80, H 7.32, N 8.66 %.

Triamide (**1b**). 40% yield. $R_f(CH_2Cl_2, MeOH 20:1) = 0.55$. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -0.8$ (c = 1.00, CHCl_3). IR (CHCl_3): 3443, 2977, 1752, 1708, 1634, 1152 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl_3): 7.7 (s, 3H, aromatic core H), 7.20 (m, 30H, Ph), 5.05 (m, 6H, N-*H*), 4.86 (m, 6H, CHO), 4.46 (m, 6H, *H*C-Bn), 3.60 (m, 6H, *H*-CH-N), 3.38 (m, 6H, *H*-CH-N), 3.02 (m, 12H, CH-CH₂-Ph), 1.42 (s, 27H, C-(CH₃)₃), 1.37 (s, 27H, C-(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl_3): 170.7 (s), 167.4 (s), 154.8 (s), 136.3 (s) 135.5 (s), 129.2 (d), 128.7 (d), 128.3 (d), 127.3 (d), 80.2 (s), 75.3 (d), 73.9 (d), 54.7 (d), 52.2 (t), 50.4 (t), 38.8 (t), 28.3 (q). Anal. calcd for $C_{105}H_{129}N_9O_{27}$ (1949.22): C 64.70, H 6.67, N 6.47. Found C 64.47 H 6.81 N 6.13%.

Triamide (1c). 57% yield. R_f (ethyl acetate petroleum ether 3:1) = 0.62. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = -18.1 (c = 1.00, CHCl₃) IR (CHCl₃): 3449, 2980, 1706, 1629, 1157 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 7.86 (s, 3H, aromatic core H), 5.23 (m, 12H, CHO- and N-H), 4.87 (m, 6H, N-H), 4.4-3.9 (m, 12H), 3.85-3.60 (m, 6H, CH₂N anello), 3.10 (m, 12H, CH₂NH), 2.00-1.00 (144H, -CH₂- and -C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 171.7 (s), 167.5 (s), 156.1 (s), 155.4 (s), 136.3 (s), 128.5, (d), 80.0 (d), 79.1 (d), 75.6 (s), 74.3 (s), 53.4 (d), 52.4 (t), 50.4 (t), 39.9 (t), 31.79 (t), 29.6 (t), 28.46 (q), 28.3 (q), 22.5 (t). Anal. calcd. for C₁₁₇H₁₉₅N₁₅O₃₉ (2435.90): C 57.69, H 8.07, N 8.62. Found. C 57.86, H 8.21, N 8.39 %.

General procedure of synthesis of amide 6 and diamide 7

A solution of the amine **5a** (1 mmol, 446 mg) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (12 mL) was added with DMAP (20 mg, 0.16 mmol) and DIPEA (4 mmol, 0.7 mL). The solution was cooled in an ice bath and added with benzoyl chloride (1 mmol, 1 eq., 140 mg) or terephtaloyl chloride (0.5 mmol, 1 eq., 101 mg). The solution was stirred under inert atmosphere for 2 h. The solution was then diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) and washed with 0.5 M HCl, saturated NaHCO₃ and finally with brine. The organic phase was dried with Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to afford the crude reaction mixture which was purified by passage on a short pad of silica gel.

Amide (6). 93% yield. R_f (ethyl acetate petroleum ether 1:1) = 0.34. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -7.22$ (c = 1.02, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3440, 2983, 1749, 1708, 1627, 1154 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 7.55-7.3 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.2 (bs, 2H, CHO-), 4.40-4.00 (m, 3H, *H*-CH-NBz and CH₃-C*H*-NH), 3.84 (m, 2H, *H*-CH-N), 3.62 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1H, *H*-CH-N), 1.40 (m, 24H, C-(CH₃)₃ and CH-CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 172.2 (s), 170.1 (s), 155.1 (s), 135.7 (s), 130.4 (d), 128.5 (d), 127.3 (d), 80.1 (s), 75.4 (d), 74.2 (d), 52.5 (t), 50.0 (t), 49.2 (d), 28.2 (q), 18.0 (q). Anal. calc. for C₂₇H₃₉N₃O₉ (549.62): C 59.00, H 7.15, N 7.64. Found C 59.20, H 7.30, N 7.29 %.

Diamide (7). 68% yield. R_f (ethyl acetate petroleum ether 5:1) = 0.43. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -7.4$ (c = 1.00, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3446, 2982, 1750, 1708, 1629, 1153 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 7.59 (s, 4H, Ph), 5.28 (m, 2H, CHO-), 5.18 (m, 2H, CHO-), 4.93 (m, 4H, N-*H*), 4.4-4.0 (m, 6H, *H*-CH-N and C*H*-CH₃), 4.0-3.5 (m, 6H, H-C*H*-N), 1.4 (m, 48H, C-(C*H*₃)₃ e CH-C*H*₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 172.2 (s), 169.1 (s), 155.1 (s), 137.6 (s), 127.6 (d), 80.2 (s), 75.3 (d), 74.2 (d), 52.5 (t), 50.1 (t), 49.2 (d), 28.2 (q), 18.3 (q). Anal. calcd. for C₄₈H₇₂N₆O₁₈ (1021.13): C 56.46, H 7.11, N 8.23. Found C 56.71 H 7.24 N 8.36.

Acknowledgments

Degussa A.G. is gratefully acknowledged for a generous gift of enantiopure (3S, 4S)-*N*-benzyl-3,4-dihydroxypyrrolidine (**2**)

References

- 1. *Molecular Recognition: Chemical and Biochemical Problems* Roberts S. M. Ed., RSC, Special Publication n. 78, 1990.
- (a) Gutsche, C. D. *Calixarenes*, Monographs in Supramolecular chemistry no. 1, RSC: Cambridge, 1989. (b) Diederich, F. *Cyclophanes*, Monographs in Supramolecular chemistry no. 2, RSC: Cambridge 1991. (c) *Supramolecular control of structure and reactivity*, Hamilton, A.D., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, 1996. (d) Vogtle, F. *Supramolecular Chemistry, an Introduction*, Wiley: Chichester, 1991
- 3. Rebek, J. Jr. Top. Curr. Chem. 1988, 149, 189.
- 4. (a) Jorgensen, M.; Krebs, F. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 4717. (b) Azumaya, I.; Kagechika, H.; Yamaguchi, K.; Shudo, K. *Tetrahedron* 1995, 51, 5277. (c) in solution phase: Tor, Y.; Libman, J.; Shanzer, A.; Felder, C. E.; Lifson, S. J. Am Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 749 (d) Armstrong, S. K.; Clunas, S.; Muir, K. W. Synthesis 1999, 993.
- (a) Moberg, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 248. (b) Kraft, A.; Osterodt, F.; Frohlic, R. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6425.
- 6. Bowles, P.; Clayden, J.; Helliwell, M.; McCarthy, C.; Tomkinson, M.; Westlund, N. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I 1997, 2607.
- 7. Cicchi, S.; Goti, A.; Rosini, C.; Brandi, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 2591.
- 8. Pc Spartan Pro Suite (wavefunction, Inc., 18401 Von Karman, Suite 370, Irvine California, 92612.
- 9. Wilcox, C. S.; Cowart, M. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 46, 5563.
- 10. Connors, K. A. Binding Constants; Wiley Interscience: New York, 1987.