

Generic physiologically based kinetic modelling for farm animals: Part I. Data collection of physiological parameters in swine, cattle and sheep



PII:	S0378-4274(19)30346-7
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2019.10.021
Reference:	TOXLET 10606
To appear in:	Toxicology Letters
Received Date:	7 June 2019
Revised Date:	9 October 2019
Accepted Date:	22 October 2019



This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier.



Generic physiologically based kinetic modelling for farm animals: Part I. Data collection of physiological parameters in swine, cattle and sheep

L.S. Lautz¹, J.L.C.M. Dorne², R. Oldenkamp¹, A.J. Hendriks¹, A.M.J. Ragas^{1,3}

¹ Department of Environmental Science, Institute for Water and Wetland Research, Radboud University Nijmegen, Houtlaan 4, 6525 XZ Nijmegen, The Netherlands

² European Food Safety Authority, Via Carlo Magno 1A, 43126 Parma, Italy

³ Department of Science, Faculty of Management, Science & Technology, Open University, 6419 AT Heerlen, The Netherlands

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 (0)24 365 23 93. E-mail address: I.lautz@science.ru.nl Address: Radboud University Nijmegen, Houtlaan 4, 6525 XZ Nijmegen, The

Netherlands

Highlights

- Structured data collection of physiological parameters for swine, cattle and sheep
- 110 scientific publications identified with data for a range of species breeds
- Meta-analyses to quantify intra-species variability and uncertainty

Abstract

Physiologically based kinetic (PBK) models for farm animals are of growing interest in food and feed safety with key applications for regulated compounds including quantification of tissue concentrations, kinetic parameters and the setting of safe exposure levels on an internal dose basis. The development and application of these models requires data for physiological, anatomical and chemical specific parameters. Here, we present the results of a structured

data collection of anatomical and physiological parameters in three key farm animal species (swine, cattle and sheep). We performed an extensive literature search and meta-analyses to quantify intra-species variability and associated uncertainty of the parameters. Parameters were collected for organ weights and blood flows in all available breeds from 110 scientific publications, of which 29, 48 and 33 for cattle, sheep, and swine, respectively. Organ weights were available in literature for all three species. Blood flow parameter values were available for all organs in sheep but were scarcer in swine and cattle. Furthermore, the parameter values showed a large intra-species variation. Overall, the parameter values and associated variability provide reference values which can be used as input for generic PBK models in these species.

Keywords: farm animals; physiology; blood flow; organ; meta-analyses;

Introduction

Physiologically based kinetic (PBK) models are increasingly being used by scientific advisory bodies and regulatory authorities to estimate internal concentrations of chemicals or their metabolites in a various body fluids and tissues (Paini et al., 2017). These models can be applied to support exposure assessment to perform route-to-route extrapolation, to evaluate biomonitoring data, to characterise variability between individuals, and to quantify variability and uncertainty in physiological and kinetic parameters (Beaudouin et al., 2010; Bois et al., 2010; EFSA, 2014; Fierens et al., 2016; Huizer et al., 2014; McNally et al., 2012). In the pharmacology field, PBK models are also applied in drug discovery and development (EMA, 2018).

PBK models are built by integrating mathematical relationships describing the kinetics of a chemical in different physiological compartments of an organism processes. A number of parameters are required to build a PBK model and these can be divided into physiological/anatomical descriptors (e.g., blood flow, organ volumes), and biochemical descriptors (e.g., partition coefficients, protein binding) (EFSA, 2014; WHO, 2010). Biochemical descriptors are chemical-specific, whereas the physiological and anatomical descriptors are species-specific. It is foreseen that systematic data collection of physiological parameters in relevant species, and the creation of open source databases will support the development and application of PBK models in risk assessment (Madden et al., 2019). In addition, quantification of variability and uncertainty associated with these parameters (e.g. Marchov Chain

Monte Carlo) and global sensitivity analysis using probabilistic approaches, are becoming increasingly important to investigate key variables that impact on model outputs and to support validation of the models (Bois et al., 2010; Li et al., 2019a).

Over twenty years ago, Brown et al. (1997) published a thorough comparative data collection of physiological and anatomical parameters for four standard laboratory test species and humans which provided a basis to develop generic PBK models for those species. Especially for human, various databases have been described (Madden et al., 2019). For fish species, an anatomical and physiological database for four species (rainbow trout, fathead minnow, zebra fish and European stickleback) has recently been published (Grech et al., 2019). For sheep and swine, only limited data have been published, e.g. mean physiological data for one sheep breed (Merino) and small pigs (25kg), both often used for preclinical drug studies (Upton, 2008). Thorough data for anatomical and physiological parameters in a range of farm species and breeds are currently lacking, impeding the development of generic open source PBK models and this has been highlighted in recent review papers (Lautz et al., 2019b; Li et al., 2019a; Lin et al., 2016).

This paper aims to perform a systematic data collection on physiological and anatomical parameters for swine, cattle and sheep as key farm animal species by means of extensive literature searches and meta-analyses. A part II of this manuscript describes the development of the generic PBK models in swine, cattle and sheep, their validation using global sensitivity analysis as well as case studies to predict blood and tissue concentrations for compounds that are renally excreted (Lautz et al., 2019a).

Material and Methods

Extensive Literature Search

Extensive literature searches were conducted in PubMed and Google Scholar until December 2018 to identify all relevant peer-reviewed publications reporting physiological parameters, such as organ volumes and blood flow rates, using relevant keywords described in Table 1. Each reference identified in the extensive literature searches and its associated bibliography were screened for relevance based on title and abstract. In a next step, the full text of each individual study was scrutinised and evaluated critically for their relevance. Parameter values for body weight, organ weight, and values for blood flow distribution were extracted when available for healthy, mature animals. Animals were classified as healthy when no information on altered health

conditions were given. When parameters for healthy animals were not available, healthy, juvenile animals (pigs >25 kg, sheep and cattle: ruminants only) were included. Data for animals fed on a conventional (grass, corn-based) diet were included. In contrast, data for animals fed an altered diet such as protein rich or protein poor diet, or with added supplements were excluded to collect all parameters under physiological conditions. Data for animals with non-typical traits (e.g. obese animals), kept under adverse environmental conditions, or exposed to drugs or toxic agents, were excluded. Mean values for organ weight, represented as a fraction of body weight, were only derived if studies explicitly provided body weight data or data presented as percent body weight. If organ blood flow was reported in ml/100g/min or similar units, the mean organ weight was used to calculate the percent of cardiac output.

Туре	Keywords
Species	<cow cattle=""> OR</cow>
	<swine> OR</swine>
	<sheep ewe=""> OR</sheep>
Biological and physiological variables	<organ weight=""> OR</organ>
	<cardiac (output)=""> OR</cardiac>
	<adipose body="" fat=""> OR</adipose>
	
	<intestine> OR</intestine>
	<heart> OR</heart>
	<kidney renal=""> OR</kidney>
	
	<pre> <</pre>
	<bone> OR</bone>
	<muscle></muscle>

Table 1. Keywords applied in the extensive literature searches for the data collection of physiological and anatomical parameters in swine, cattle and sheep

Meta-analyses

From the data collection, meta-analyses were performed for each physiological parameter and species obtained. All studies assumed that the physiological and biological parameters followed a normal distribution (arithmetic mean and standard deviation). Organ weight and blood flow fractions were calculated based on the reported arithmetic mean (AM) and standard deviation (SD) (Equations 1 & 2):

$$AM_{f,i} = \frac{AM_{0,i}}{AM_B}$$

(Equation 1)

where $AM_{f,i}$ is the arithmetic mean body weight or blood flow fraction for organ *i*; $AM_{o,i}$ is the arithmetic mean weight or blood flow for organ *i*; and AM_B is the arithmetic mean body weight or cardiac output;

$$SD_{f,i} = AM_{f,i} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{SD_{0,i}}{AM_{0,i}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{SD_B}{AM_B}\right)^2 - 2 \cdot \frac{SD_{0,i} \cdot SD_B}{AM_{0,i} \cdot AM_B} \cdot \rho[O_i, B]}$$
(Equation 2)

where $SD_{f,i}$ is the standard deviation of the body weight or blood flow fraction for organ *i*; $SD_{O,i}$ is the standard deviation of body weight or blood flow for organ *i*; SD_B is the standard deviation of the body weight or cardiac output; $\rho[O_i, B]$ is the Pearson's correlation coefficient between the organ weight or blood flow and body weight or cardiac output, respectively. When $\rho[O_i, B]$ was not given, no correlation was assumed ($\rho[O_i, B]$ =0).

When multiple studies were available for the assessed parameter, the studies were combined using the method described by Ragas and Huijbregts (1998), in which AM and SD were combined based on the sample size of the respective studies (Equation 3 & 4):

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{AM_{combined}} &= \frac{\sum_{x=1}^{x=n} N_x \cdot \mathbf{AM}_x}{\sum_{x=1}^{x=n} N_x} \end{split} \tag{Equation 3} \\ \mathbf{SD_{combined}}^2 &= \frac{\sum_{x=1}^{x=n} [(N_x - 1) \cdot \mathbf{SD}_x^2 + N_x \cdot \mathbf{AM}_x^2 - 2 \cdot N_x \cdot \mathbf{AM}_x \cdot \mathbf{AM}_{combined} + N_x \cdot \mathbf{AM}_{combined}^2]}{(\sum_{x=1}^{x=n} N_x) - 1} \end{aligned} \tag{Equation 4}$$

where $AM_{combined}$ represents the combined mean; AM_x is the mean of study x; SD_x is the standard deviation of individual study x; N_x is the sample size of study x; and n is the number of studies to be combined.

The original dataset reporting all anatomical and physiological parameters included in the meta-analyses is available in excel format on EFSA knowledge junction under the DOI [DOI is reserved at EFSA knowledge junction] with a Creative Common Attribute 4.0 license.

Since dairy cattle and beef cattle are selected for on different traits (i.e. milk yield versus meat yield), a two-tailed Student t-test (p<0.001) was performed to test for significant intra-species differences between dairy cattle and beef cattle.

Results

Anatomical and physiological parameters

Anatomical and physiological parameters were retrieved from 110 scientific publications, i.e. 29 for cattle, 48 for sheep, and 33 for swine. For each species, data was collected for organ weights (adipose tissue, blood, brain, bone, heart, intestine, kidney, liver, lung, muscle, and mammary tissue) and blood flows. In order to get representative physiological values covering the whole species, various breeds were included. For cattle the data on the following breeds were included: Aberdeen Angus, Angus x Gelbvieh, Angus x Hereford, Angus x Simmental, Ayrshire, Braunvieh, Charolais, Friesian x Ayrshire, Gelbvieh, Guernsey, Hereford, Holstein, Holstein x Friesian, Holstein x Gyr, Jersey, Jersey x Limousin, Limousin, Pinzgauer, Red Poll, Salers, Simmental, and Swedish Red and white. For sheep most data §was available for Merino sheep, however also other breeds were described, such as Clun Forest, Dorper, East Friesian, Friesian, INRA 401, Lacaune, Leicester x Swaledale, Omani, Rambouillet, Romney Marsh, Santa Ines, Sarda, Swifter, Targhee, and Western Whiteface. For swine, physiological values for the following breeds were available: Duroc, Duroc x Large White x Yorkshire, Landrace and Landrace crossbreeds, Meishan, Pietrain, Purebred, Wild Boar, Yorkshire, and York x Hampshire. Meta-analyses were conducted to characterise the intra-species variability of the parameters and their associated uncertainty.

Results of the meta-analyses for the most common physiological and anatomical parameter values expressed as percentage of body weight are provided in Table 2. A distinction between dairy cattle and beef cattle was made the weight fraction of muscle, due to significant differences. The highest intraspecies variability was observed in the fraction of brain weight for swine, the fraction of adipose tissue for sheep, and the fraction of lung tissue in cattle. For other parameters, the coefficient of variation was below 30%.

Results of the meta-analyses of blood flow parameter values as percentage of cardiac output are presented in Table 3. A significant difference was observed between dairy cattle and beef cattle for kidney and liver blood flows. Due to these differences, blood flows for dairy cattle and beef cattle are reported separately. From the three species included, sheep is the only one, for which blood flow to each organ was reported in literature. Large intra-species variability for blood flow was observed, especially for the percentage blood flow through the hepatic artery. In sheep and swine, blood flow fractions through the muscles also shows high intra-species variability.

Spec ies		Bod y wei ght	Adip ose tissu e	Blo od	B r a i n	Ca rca ss	H e a r t	Intes tine	Kid ne y	L i v e r	L u n g	Muscle	Mam mary gland	Referen ces
	Ν	389 76	3663	70	1 1 1 6	37 05	1 5 6 6	71	15 57	1 5 9 2	1 5 4 1	54	23	
Cattl	BW (%)	526. 1	18.4	3.8	0 1	12. 8	0 4	1.7	0.2	1 3	0 8	34.0 ^a ; 47.0 ^b	2.2	1-21
e	CV (%)	14	26	12	1 4 0	16	1 5 0	27	14	1 6 0	3 3 0	21 ^b , 16 ^c	34	
	ρ(BW,O W)		0.94	0.9 6	7 4	NA	0 9 6	0.41	0.9 2	9 3	0 9 1	NA	NA	
Shee p	N	212 31	86	10 2	1 3 3	62	3 0 9	84	24 2	2 9 3	2 0 7	62	75	22-53

Table 2. Mean organ weights of cattle, sheep, and swine as percentage of body weight

		50.4	10.2	4 7	0	0.0	0	F 4	0.2	1	1	25.2	4 7	
	BW (%)	58.4	19.2	4.7	2 2	9.8	4 2	5.4	0.3	5 2	1 2	35.3	1.7	
	CV (%)	21	39	21	2 6 0	16	2 1 0	19	24	2	8	24	49	
	ρ(BW,O W)	-	0.9	NA	7 3	NA	3 9	NA	NA	N A	N A	NA	NA	
	Ν	182 21	1586 0	21 6	4 6	49 7	2 5 6 2	206	45 8	2 5 2 3	1 5 0	15880	NA	
Swin	BW (%)	108. 0	17.6	3.0	0 1	9.3	0 4	3.2	0.3	1 7	0 8	45.0	NA	54-76
e	CV (%)	15	27	18	6 8	21	2 3	24	21	1 9	2 7	6	NA	34-70
	ρ(BW,O W)	-	NA	NA	0 7 2	0.9 5	0 9 5	NA	0.9 2	0 9 1	0 7 4 8	0.98	NA	

N: sample size (number of individuals); BW%: percentage body weight; CV: coefficient of variation; rho: ρ(BW,OW); Bodyweight in kg; NA: not available; ^a muscle fraction of dairy cattle; ^b muscle fraction for beef cattle

Cattle 1-21: (Ballarin et al., 2016; Bellmann et al., 2004; Crile and Quiring, 1940; Ellis et al., 2016; Holt et al., 1968; Holtenius and Björnhag, 1989; Hunter, 2010; Jenkins and Ferrell, 1997; Jurie et al., 2007; Long et al., 2010; Long et al., 2012; Martinez et al., 2006; McDowell et al., 1987; Morris et al., 2010; Nephawe et al., 2004; Pfuhl et al., 2007; Ren et al., 2002; Rotta et al., 2015; Swett, 1937; von Soosten et al., 2012; Wood et al., 2013)

Sheep 22-53: (Barnes et al., 1983; Bennett, 1973; Boxenbaum, 1980; Brown and Swan, 2014; Burrin et al., 1990; Carlson et al., 2009; Charismidou et al., 2000; Clarke et al., 2001; Delavaud et al., 2007; Ebinger, 1974; Gardner et al., 2005; Grace, 1983; Graham et al., 1982; Hales and Fawcett, 1993; Holt et al., 1968; Holtenius and Björnhag, 1989; Jenkinson et al., 1995; Juca et al., 2016; Kamalzadeh et al., 1998; Louey et al., 2005; Mahgoub and Lodge, 1998; McCutcheon et al., 1993; Metcalfe et al., 1962; Moss et al., 2005; Neville et al., 2008; Norberg et al., 2005; Pethick and Lindsay, 1982; Reed et al., 2007; Sinclair et al., 2010; Swanson et al., 2008; Tilahun et al., 2014; Wallace et al., 2002)

Swine 54-77: (Andersson-Eklund et al., 1998; Fraga et al., 2009; García-Valverde et al., 2008; He et al., 2015; Holt et al., 1968; Hunter, 2010; Kerr et al., 1995; Kruska and Rohrs, 1974; Martinez et al., 2006; Martinsen et al., 2015; Minervini et al., 2016; Moughan et al., 1990; Müller et al., 2000; Nieto et al., 2012; Njoku et al., 2015; Orcutt et al., 1990; Pekas, 1983; Quinious and Noblet, 1995; Razmaite et al., 2009; Ruusunen et al., 2007; Thein et al., 2003; Tranquilli et al., 1982; Wiseman et al., 2007; Yang and Lin, 2010)

Species		Card iac out put	Adi pos e tiss ue	Brai n	Carc ass	Heart	Kidne Y	Liver HA	Liver PV	Lu ng	M usc le	Mam mary gland	Referer ces
	N	89	1	NA	NA	NA	15	163	163	N A	1	14	
CO Dairy (% Cattle) CV (%	(%)	59.7	6.8	NA	NA	NA	1.6	11.8	54.2	N A	1.9	16.5	1-10
		21	24	NA	NA	NA	19	62	33	N A	30	25	
C Beef (Cattle)	, N	89	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	140	140	N A	1	NA	
	CO (%)	59.7	6.8	NA	NA	NA	NA	3.1	21.5	N A	26. 3	NA	
	CV (%)	21	24	NA	NA	NA	NA	75	30	N A	31	NA	
	N	77	15	41	5	40	131	56	80	8	21	40	
CO (% Sheep) CV (%		6.4	2.3	1.9	6.6	4.5	14.3	2.6	38.5	3. 0	33. 2	7.4	11-30
		26	46	39	42	42	51	123	39	54	10 4	46	
	N	228	11	20	NA	17	17	37	37	11	11	NA	
Swine	CO (%	10.9	11.0	23.0	NA	4.2	9.8	4.7	17.8	2. 1	29. 2	NA	31-41



CV												
(%	34	66	56	NA	43	38	77	32	63	63	NA	
)												

N: sample size (number of individuals); CO%: percentage cardiac output; CV: coefficient of variation; Cardiac output in L/min; Liver HA: liver hepatic artery; Liver PV: Liver portal vein; NA: not available; no correlation assumed between cardiac output and blood flow

Cattle 1-10: (Bell et al., 1976; Boonsanit et al., 2012; Doyle et al., 1960; Ellis et al., 2016; Fisher and Dalton, 1961; Hallowell et al., 2007; Lomax and Baird, 1983; McDowell et al., 1987; Purdie et al., 2008; Will et al., 1962)

Sheep 11-30: (Barnes et al., 1983; Bergman et al., 1971; Di Giantomasso et al., 2003; Dodic et al., 2001; Evans et al., 1998; Fegler and Hill, 1958; Fleet and Mepham, 1985; Freetly and Ferrell, 1997; Hales, 1973; Hales, 1976; Hales and Fawcett, 1993; Liu et al., 2015; Norberg et al., 2005; Pethick and Lindsay, 1982; Runciman et al., 1984; Runciman et al., 1986; Talke et al., 2000; Thompson, 1980; Ullman et al., 2001; Von Engelhardt and Hales, 1977)

Swine 31-41: (Carretero et al., 2010; Kuipers et al., 1999; Kurita et al., 2002; Kurita et al., 2013; Lundeen et al., 1983; Mosing et al., 2015; Shih et al., 2013; Stonestreet et al., 1998; Thein et al., 2003; Tranquilli et al., 1982; van Essen et al., 2018)

Discussion & Conclusion

Here, extensive literature searches and structured data collection on physiological and anatomical parameters in three key farm animal species (swine, cattle and sheep) are described. Subsequently, meta-analyses were performed to quantify intra- and inter-species variation in those parameters. Such information can for example be used to perform a Monte Carlo simulation to analyse the impact of interindividual variability and uncertainty on PBK predictions (Huizer et al., 2012).

Organ weights for all three species were available in literature. The larger variability observed in the brain weight fractions in swine might be caused by large differences in body weight influencing the brain-to-body ratio (Minervini et al., 2016). The variability observed in adipose tissue fractions in sheep might be caused by differences between male and female, but also various breeds (Bennett, 1973; Clarke et al., 2001; Delavaud et al., 2007). It should be noted that the reported organ weight fractions cannot be used directly as input for PBK models since PBK model compartments are defined by volume rather than mass. The density of most organs is ranging from 1.02 – 1.06, meaning mass-to-volume conversion is not necessary (Brown et al., 1997). Blood flow parameter values were available for all organs in sheep but were very limited in cattle. For swine, blood flow parameter values were available for juvenile pigs (body weight range 25-38kg); however, none were available for mature pigs. Furthermore, the parameter values showed a large variation, which may reflect true intra-species differences, but it is more likely that the observed variation is caused by interlaboratory variability using different experimental techniques for blood flow measurements (Brown et al., 1997). With regard to blood flow, experimental studies using radiolabelled microsphere techniques, originally reported by Rudolph and Heymann (1967), have become the preferred method of choice for measuring the distribution of blood flow among and within organs in animals (Hoffman et al., 1977). In addition, data for studies using other techniques for blood flow measurements were also included, such as those using a thermodilution or indicator-dilution method (Bergman et al., 1971; Fleet and Mepham, 1985; Freetly and Ferrell, 1997; Ullman et al., 2001). Consequently, blood flow parameters characterising the vascular system in these three species are likely to have considerable uncertainty.

The meta-analyses provide estimates for organ weights and tissue blood flows expressed in percentage of body weight or cardiac outputs. The means of the included parameters do not add up to 100% of body weight or cardiac output. Variability and uncertainty are associated with these estimates particularly because of inter-study variability and data gaps in the availability of physiological parameters for each species. Allometric scaling between species can be used to

estimate mean physiological parameters to fill such data gaps as inputs for PBK modelling for individuals using a mean scaling exponent of 0.75. For intra-species differences, the exponent applied shows higher variation (0.3-1.8) and quantifying intra-species variability and uncertainty for the whole population is more challenging because of data gaps (Feldman and McMahon, 1983; Glazier, 2005; Lindstedt and Schaeffer, 2002). In order to fill in such data gaps for physiological parameters (e.g. blood flow and organ weights), authors have proposed to include a 30% default coefficient of variation associated with mean parameter values in the model to provide quantify intra-species variability and uncertainty for the whole population (Clewell and Clewell, 2008). Finally, global sensitivity analysis for the PBK model is recommended to assess the influence of each parameter on the final model output (Hsieh et al., 2018; McNally et al., 2011).

Overall, the anatomical and physiological parameters reported in the present study provide reference values for the development of generic PBK models and other allometric scaling models in cattle, swine and sheep. It is foreseen that such data collection can be performed for other species of farm animals (e.g. chicken, goat, duck, salmon) and vertebrate species of ecological relevance (e.g. quail and other birds, test fish species) in adults and other life-stages (Li et al., 2019a; Li et al., 2019b). In addition, such anatomical and physiological data should be available as open source databases to allow the scientific community to use and update the datasets when more data become available. In order to facilitate the further use of physiological databases in the field of food and feed safety and risk assessment, the anatomical and physiological databases for the three species have been harmonised with those previously described for fish (Grech et al., 2019) and are available for download on EFSA's knowledge junction together with the codes for the generic PBK models described in the associated manuscript (Lautz et al., 2019a).

Funding information

This work was supported by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) [Contract number: EFSA/SCER/2014/06]

Declaration of interests

□ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

 \boxtimes The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

.

References:

Andersson-Eklund, L., Marklund, L., Lundstrom, K., Haley, C.S., Andersson, K., Hansson, I., Moller, M., Andersson, L., 1998. Mapping quantitative trait loci for carcass and meat quality traits in a wild boar x Large White intercross. J Anim Sci, 76: 694-700. https://doi.org/10.2527/1998.763694x.

Ballarin, C., Povinelli, M., Granato, A., Panin, M., Corain, L., Peruffo, A., Cozzi, B., 2016. The Brain of the Domestic Bos taurus: Weight, Encephalization and Cerebellar Quotients, and Comparison with Other Domestic and Wild Cetartiodactyla. PLoS One, 11: e0154580. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154580.

Barnes, R.J., Comline, R.S., Dobson, A., 1983. Changes in the blood flow to the digestive organs of sheep induced by feeding. Q J Exp Physiol, 68: 77-88. https://doi.org/10.1113/expphysiol.1983.sp002704.

Beaudouin, R., Micallef, S., Brochot, C., 2010. A stochastic whole-body physiologically based pharmacokinetic model to assess the impact of inter-individual variability on tissue dosimetry over the human lifespan. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol, 57: 103-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2010.01.005.

Bell, A.W., Hilditch, T.E., Horton, P.W., Thompson, G.E., 1976. The distribution of blood flow between individual muscles and non-muscular tissues in the hind limb of the young ox (Bos taurus): values at thermoneurality and during exposuer to cold. J Physiol, 257: 229-43. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1976.sp011365.

Bellmann, O., Wegner, J., Rehfeldt, C., Teuscher, F., Schneider, F., Voigt, J., Derno, M., Sauerwein, H., Weingärtner, J., Ender, K., 2004. Beef versus dairy cattle: a comparison of metabolically relevant hormones, enzymes, and metabolites. Livestock Production Science, 89: 41-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livprodsci.2003.12.007.

Bennett, J., 1973. Regional body surface area in sheep J. Agric. Sci. Comb., 81: 429-432. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859600086469.

Bergman, E.N., Havel, R.J., Wolfe, B.M., Bohmer, T., 1971. Quantitative studies of the metabolism of chylomicron triglycerides and cholesterol by liver and extrahepatic tissues of sheep and dogs. J Clin Invest, 50: 1831-9. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci106674.

Bois, F.Y., Jamei, M., Clewell, H.J., 2010. PBPK modelling of inter-individual variability in the pharmacokinetics of environmental chemicals. Toxicology, 278: 256-267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2010.06.007.

Boonsanit, D., Chanpongsang, S., Chaiyabutr, N., 2012. Effects of supplemental recombinant bovine somatotropin and mist-fan cooling on the renal tubular handling of sodium in different stages of lactation in crossbred Holstein cattle. Research in Veterinary Science, 93: 417-426. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2011.07.021.

Boxenbaum, H., 1980. Interspecies variation in liver weight, hepatic blood flow, and antipyrine intrinsic clearance: Extrapolation of data to benzodiazepines and phenytoin. Biopharmaceutics, 8: 165-176. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01065191.

Brown, D.J., Swan, A.A., 2014. Genetic analysis of adult body weight and condition scores in Merino sheep. In: 10th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production Science.

Brown, R.P., Delp, M.D., Lindstedt, S.L., Rhomberg, L.R., Beliles, R.P., 1997. Physiological Parameter Values for Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Models. Toxicology and Industrial Health, 13: 407-484. https://doi.org/10.1177/074823379701300401.

Burrin, D.G., Ferrell, C.L., Britton, R.A., Bauer, M., 1990. Level of nutrition and visceral organ size and metabolic activity in sheep. Br J Nutr, 64: 439-48. https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN19900044.

Carlson, D.B., Reed, J.J., Borowicz, P.P., Taylor, J.B., Reynolds, L.P., Neville, T.L., Redmer, D.A., Vonnahme, K.A., Caton, J.S., 2009. Effects of dietary selenium supply and timing of nutrient restriction during gestation on maternal growth and body composition of pregnant adolescent ewes. J Anim Sci, 87: 669-80. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2007-0837.

Carretero, M., Fontanals, J., Agustí, M., Arguis, M., Martínez-Ocón, J., Ruiz, A., Rios, J., 2010. Monitoring in resuscitation: Comparison of cardiac output measurement between pulmonary artery catheter and NICO. Resuscitation, 81: 404-409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2009.12.021.

Charismidou, M., Bizelis, J., Rogdakis, E., 2000. Metabolic changes during the perinatal period in dairy sheep in relation to level of nutrition and breed. I. Late pregnancy. Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition, 84: 61-72. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0396.2000.00282.x.

Clarke, I.J., Tilbrook, A.J., Turner, A.I., Doughton, B.W., Goding, J.W., 2001. Sex, fat and the tilt of the earth: effects of sex and season on the feeding response to centrally administered leptin in sheep. Endocrinology, 142: 2725-8. https://doi.org/10.1210/endo.142.6.8318.

Clewell, R.A., Clewell, H.J., 2008. Development and specification of physiologically based pharmacokinetic models for use in risk assessment. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 50: 129-143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2007.10.012.

Crile, G., Quiring, D., 1940. A record of the body weight and certain organ and gland weights of 3690 animals. Ohio Journal of Science, 40: 219-260.

Delavaud, C., Bocquier, F., Baumont, R., Chaillou, E., Ban-Tokuda, T., Chilliard, Y., 2007. Body fat content and feeding level interact strongly in the short- and medium-term regulation of plasma leptin during underfeeding and re-feeding in adult sheep. Br J Nutr, 98: 106-15. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007114507704968.

Di Giantomasso, D., May, C.N., Bellomo, R., 2003. Vital Organ Blood Flow During Hyperdynamic Sepsis. Chest, 124: 1053-1059. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.124.3.1053.

Dodic, M., Samuel, C., Moritz, K., Wintour, E.M., Morgan, J., Grigg, L., Wong, J., 2001. Impaired cardiac functional reserve and left ventricular hypertrophy in adult sheep after prenatal dexamethasone exposure. Circ Res, 89: 623-9. https://doi.org/10.1161/hh1901.097086.

Doyle, J.T., Patterson, J.L., Jr., Warren, J.V., Detweiler, D.K., 1960. Observations on the circulation of domestic cattle. Circ Res, 8: 4-15. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.8.1.4.

Ebinger, P., 1974. A cytoarchitectonic volumetric comparison of brains in wild and domestic sheep. Z Anat Entwicklungsgesch, 144: 267-302. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00522811.

EFSA, 2014. Modern methodologies and tools for human hazard assessment of chemicals. EFSA Journal, 12: 3638-3725. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3638.

Ellis, J.L., Reynolds, C.K., Crompton, L.A., Hanigan, M.D., Bannink, A., France, J., Dijkstra, J., 2016. Prediction of portal and hepatic blood flow from intake level data in cattle. J Dairy Sci, 99: 9238-9253. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10383.

EMA, 2018. Guideline on the qualification and reporting of physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modelling and simulation.

Evans, W., Phernetton, T.M., Magness, R.R., 1998. 17beta-estradiol effect on critical cardiac output with reduction of cardiac output in oophorectomized sheep. Am J Physiol, 275: H57-64. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.1998.275.1.H57.

Fegler, G., Hill, K.J., 1958. Measurement of blood flow and heat production in the splanchnic region of the anaesthetized sheep. Q J Exp Physiol Cogn Med Sci, 43: 189-96. https://doi.org/10.1113/expphysiol.1958.sp001316.

Feldman, H.A., McMahon, T.A., 1983. The 3/4 mass exponent for energy metabolism is not a statistical artifact. Respir Physiol, 52: 149-63. 10.1016/0034-5687(83)90002-6.

Fierens, T., Van Holderbeke, M., Standaert, A., Cornelis, C., Brochot, C., Ciffroy, P., Johansson, E., Bierkens, J., 2016. Multimedia & PBPK modelling with MERLIN-Expo versus biomonitoring for assessing Pb exposure of pre-school children in a residential setting. Sci Total Environ, 568: 785-793. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.03.194.

Fisher, E., Dalton, R., 1961. Determination of the cardiac output of cattle and horses by the injection method. Br Vet J, 118: 143-151.

Fleet, I.R., Mepham, T.B., 1985. Mammary uptake of amino acids and glucose throughout lactation in Friesland sheep. J Dairy Res, 52: 229-37. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029900024092.

Fraga, A.L., Thomaz, M.C., Kronka, R.N., Budiño, F.E.L., Huaynate, R.A.R., Scandolera, A.J., Ruiz, U.S., Nadai, A., 2009. Qualitative feed restriction for heavy swines: effect on digestibility and

weight of organs of digestive tract, and environmental impact of feces. Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, 61: 1353-1363.

Freetly, H.C., Ferrell, C.L., 1997. Oxygen consumption by and blood flow across the portal-drained viscera and liver of pregnant ewes1. Journal of Animal Science, 75: 1950-1955. https://doi.org/10.2527/1997.7571950x.

García-Valverde, R., Barea, R., Lara, L., Nieto, R., Aguilera, J.F., 2008. The effects of feeding level upon protein and fat deposition in Iberian heavy pigs. Livestock Science, 114: 263-273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2007.05.005.

Gardner, D.S., Tingey, K., Van Bon, B.W., Ozanne, S.E., Wilson, V., Dandrea, J., Keisler, D.H., Stephenson, T., Symonds, M.E., 2005. Programming of glucose-insulin metabolism in adult sheep after maternal undernutrition. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol, 289: R947-54. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00120.2005.

Glazier, D.S., 2005. Beyond the '3/4-power law': variation in the intra- and interspecific scaling of metabolic rate in animals. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc, 80: 611-62. 10.1017/s1464793105006834.

Grace, N., 1983. Amounts and distribution of mineral elements associated with fleece-free empty body weight gains in the grazing sheep. New Zealand Jounral of Agricultural Reseach, 26: 59-70. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.1983.10420952.

Graham, A., Nicol, A., Christopherson, R., 1982. Rumen motility repsonses to adrenaline and noradrenaline and organ weights of warm- and cold-acclimated sheep. Canadian Journal of Animal Science, 62: 777-786. https://doi.org/10.4141/cjas82-095.

Grech, A., Tebby, C., Brochot, C., Bois, F.Y., Bado-Nilles, A., Dorne, J.-L., Quignot, N., Beaudouin, R., 2019. Generic physiologically-based toxicokinetic modelling for fish: Integration of environmental factors and species variability. Science of The Total Environment, 651: 516-531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.163.

Hales, J.R., 1973. Radioactive microsphere measurement of cardiac output and regional tissue blood flow in the sheep. Pfluegers Archiv, 344: 119-132. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00586546.

Hales, J.R., 1976. Effects of acute cold exposure on the distribution of cardiac output in the sheep. Pflügers Archiv, 366: 153-157. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00585871.

Hales, J.R., Fawcett, A.A., 1993. Wool production and blood supply to skin and other tissues in sheep. J Anim Sci, 71: 492-8. https://doi.org/10.2527/1993.712492x.

Hallowell, G.D., Potter, T.J., Bowen, I.M., 2007. Methods and normal values for echocardiography in adult dairy cattle. Journal of Veterinary Cardiology, 9: 91-98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvc.2007.10.001.

He, Y., Li, X., Zhang, F., Su, Y., Hou, L., Chen, H., Zhang, Z., Huang, L., 2015. Multi-breed genomewide association study reveals novel loci associated with the weight of internal organs. Genet Sel Evol, 47: 87. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-015-0168-7.

Hoffman, J.I., Heymann, M.A., Rudolph, A.M., Payne, B.D., 1977. Uses and abuses of the radioactive microsphere method of measuring regional blood flow. Bibl Anat: 20-3.

Holt, J.P., Rhode, E.A., Kines, H., 1968. Ventricular volumes and body weight in mammals. Am J Physiol, 215: 704-15. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplegacy.1968.215.3.704.

Holtenius, K., Björnhag, G., 1989. The significance of water absorption and fibre digestion in the omasum of sheep, goats and cattle. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology, 94: 105-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-9629(89)90792-5.

Hsieh, N.H., Reisfeld, B., Bois, F.Y., Chiu, W.A., 2018. Applying a Global Sensitivity Analysis Workflow to Improve the Computational Efficiencies in Physiologically-Based Pharmacokinetic Modeling. Front Pharmacol, 9: 588. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.00588.

Huizer, D., Huijbregts, M.A., van Rooij, J.G., Ragas, A.M., 2014. Testing the coherence between occupational exposure limits for inhalation and their biological limit values with a generalized PBPK-model: the case of 2-propanol and acetone. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol, 69: 408-15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2014.05.004.

Huizer, D., Oldenkamp, R., Ragas, A.M., van Rooij, J.G., Huijbregts, M.A., 2012. Separating uncertainty and physiological variability in human PBPK modelling: The example of 2-propanol and its metabolite acetone. Toxicol Lett, 214: 154-65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2012.08.016.

Hunter, R.P., 2010. Interspecies allometric scaling. In: Cunningham, F., Elliott, J., Lees, P. (Eds.), Handbook of experimental pharmacology. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 139-57.

Jenkins, T.G., Ferrell, C.L., 1997. Changes in proportions of empty body depots and constituents for nine breeds of cattle under various feed availabilities. J Anim Sci, 75: 95-104. https://doi.org/10.2527/1997.75195x.

Jenkinson, C.M.C., Peterson, S.W., Mackenzie, D.D.S., McDonald, M.F., McCutcheon, S.N., 1995. Seasonal effects on birth weight in sheep are associated with changes in placental development. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 38: 337-345. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.1995.9513135.

Juca, A.F., Faveri, J.C., Melo Filho, G.M., Ribeiro Filho, A.L., Azevedo, H.C., Muniz, E.N., Pedrosa, V.B., Pinto, L.F., 2016. Effects of birth type and family on the variation of carcass and meat traits in Santa Ines sheep. Trop Anim Health Prod, 48: 435-43. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-015-0971-8.

Jurie, C., Picard, B., Hocquette, J.F., Dransfield, E., Micol, D., Listrat, A., 2007. Muscle and meat quality characteristics of Holstein and Salers cull cows. Meat Sci, 77: 459-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2007.04.014.

Kamalzadeh, A., Koops, W.J., van Bruchem, J., Tamminga, S., Zwart, D., 1998. Feed quality restriction and compensatory growth in growing sheep: development of body organs. Small Ruminant Research, 29: 71-82. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4488(97)00111-9.

Kerr, B.J., McKeith, F.K., Easter, R.A., 1995. Effect on performance and carcass characteristics of nursery to finisher pigs fed reduced crude protein, amino acid-supplemented diets. J Anim Sci, 73: 433-40. https://doi.org/10.2527/1995.732433x.

Kruska, D., Rohrs, M., 1974. Comparative--quantitative investigations on brains of feral pigs from the Galapagos Islands and of European domestic pigs. Z Anat Entwicklungsgesch, 144: 61-73. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00518633.

Kuipers, J.A., Boer, F., Olofsen, E., Olieman, W., Vletter, A.A., Burm, A.G., Bovill, J.G., 1999. Recirculatory and compartmental pharmacokinetic modeling of alfentanil in pigs: the influence of cardiac output. Anesthesiology, 90: 1146-57.

Kurita, T., Morita, K., Kazama, T., Sato, S., 2002. Influence of cardiac output on plasma propofol concentrations during constant infusion in swine. Anesthesiology, 96: 1498-503.

Kurita, T., Uraoka, M., Jiang, Q., Suzuki, M., Morishima, Y., Morita, K., Sato, S., 2013. Influence of cardiac output on the pseudo-steady state remifentanil and propofol concentrations in swine. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand, 57: 754-60. https://doi.org/10.1111/aas.12076.

Lautz, L.S., Hoeks, S., Oldenkamp, R., Hendriks, A.J., Dorne, J.L.C.M., Ragas, A.M.J., 2019a. Generic physiologically-based kinetic modelling for farm animals: Part II. Predicting tissue concentrations of chemicals in swine, cattle, and sheep. Toxicology Letters, this issue.

Lautz, L.S., Oldenkamp, R., Dorne, J.L., Ragas, A.M.J., 2019b. Physiologically based kinetic models for farm animals: Critical review of published models and future perspectives for their use in chemical risk assessment. Toxicology in Vitro, 60: 61-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2019.05.002.

Li, M., Cheng, Y.-H., Chittenden, J.T., Baynes, R.E., Tell, L.A., Davis, J.L., Vickroy, T.W., Riviere, J.E., Lin, Z., 2019a. Integration of Food Animal Residue Avoidance Databank (FARAD) empirical methods for drug withdrawal interval determination with a mechanistic population-based interactive physiologically based pharmacokinetic (iPBPK) modeling platform: example for flunixin meglumine administration. Archives of Toxicology https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-019-02464-z.

Li, M., Mainquist-Whigham, C., Karriker, L.A., Wulf, L.W., Zeng, D., Gehring, R., Riviere, J.E., Coetzee, J.F., Lin, Z., 2019b. An integrated experimental and physiologically based

pharmacokinetic modeling study of penicillin G in heavy sows. J Vet Pharmacol Ther https://doi.org/10.1111/jvp.12766.

Lin, Z., Gehring, R., Mochel, J.P., Lave, T., Riviere, J.E., 2016. Mathematical modeling and simulation in animal health - Part II: principles, methods, applications, and value of physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling in veterinary medicine and food safety assessment. J Vet Pharmacol Ther https://doi.org/10.1111/jvp.12311.

Lindstedt, S.L., Schaeffer, P.J., 2002. Use of allometry in predicting anatomical and physiological parameters of mammals. Laboratory Animals, 36: 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1258/0023677021911731.

Liu, N.T., Kramer, G.C., Khan, M.N., Kinsky, M.P., Salinas, J., 2015. Is heart-rate complexity a surrogate measure of cardiac output before, during, and after hemorrhage in a conscious sheep model of multiple hemorrhages and resuscitation? J Trauma Acute Care Surg, 79: S93-100. https://doi.org/10.1097/ta.000000000000573.

Lomax, M.A., Baird, G.D., 1983. Blood flow and nutrient exchange across the liver and gut of the dairy cow. Effects of lactation and fasting. Br J Nutr, 49: 481-96. https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN19830057.

Long, N.M., Prado-Cooper, M.J., Krehbiel, C.R., DeSilva, U., Wettemann, R.P., 2010. Effects of nutrient restriction of bovine dams during early gestation on postnatal growth, carcass and organ characteristics, and gene expression in adipose tissue and muscle. J Anim Sci, 88: 3251-61. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2009-2512.

Long, N.M., Tousley, C.B., Underwood, K.R., Paisley, S.I., Means, W.J., Hess, B.W., Du, M., Ford, S.P., 2012. Effects of early- to mid-gestational undernutrition with or without protein supplementation on offspring growth, carcass characteristics, and adipocyte size in beef cattle. J Anim Sci, 90: 197-206. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2011-4237.

Louey, S., Cock, M.L., Harding, R., 2005. Long term consequences of low birthweight on postnatal growth, adiposity and brain weight at maturity in sheep. J Reprod Dev, 51: 59-68. https://doi.org/10.1262/jrd.51.59.

Lundeen, G., Manohar, M., Parks, C., 1983. Systemic distribution of blood flow in swine while awake and during 1.0 and 1.5 MAC isoflurane anesthesia with or without 50% nitrous oxide. Anesth Analg, 62: 499-512.

Madden, J.C., Pawar, G., Cronin, M.T.D., Webb, S., Tan, Y.-M., Paini, A., 2019. In silico resources to assist in the development and evaluation of physiologically-based kinetic models. Computational Toxicology, 11: 33-49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2019.03.001.

Mahgoub, O., Lodge, G., 1998. A comparative study on growth, body composition and carcass tissue distribution in Oamni sheep and goats. The Journal of Agricultural Science, 131: 329-339. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859698005887.

Martinez, M., Mahmood, I., Hunter, R.P., 2006. Interspecies allometric scaling: prediction of clearance in large animal species: part II: mathematical considerations. J Vet Pharmacol Ther, 29: 425-32. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2885.2006.00787.x

Martinsen, K.H., Odegard, J., Olsen, D., Meuwissen, T.H., 2015. Genetic variation in efficiency to deposit fat and lean meat in Norwegian Landrace and Duroc pigs. J Anim Sci, 93: 3794-800. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-9174.

McCutcheon, S., NBlair, H., Purchas, R., 1993. Body composition and organ weights in fleeceweight-selected and control Romney rams. New Zealand Jounral of Agricultural Reseach, 36: 445-449. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.1993.10417745.

McDowell, G.H., Gooden, J.M., Leenanuruksa, D., Jois, M., English, A.W., 1987. Effects of exogenous growth hormone on milk production and nutrient uptake by muscle and mammary tissues of dairy cows in mid-lactation. Aust J Biol Sci, 40: 295-306. https://doi.org/10.1071/BI9870295.

McNally, K., Cotton, R., Cocker, J., Jones, K., Bartels, M., Rick, D., Price, P., Loizou, G., 2012. Reconstruction of Exposure to m-Xylene from Human Biomonitoring Data Using PBPK Modelling, Bayesian Inference, and Markov Chain Monte Carlo Simulation. J Toxicol, 2012: 760281. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/760281.

McNally, K., Cotton, R., Loizou, G.D., 2011. A Workflow for Global Sensitivity Analysis of PBPK Models. Frontiers in pharmacology, 2: 31-31. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2011.00031.

Metcalfe, J., Meschia, G., Hellegers, A., Prystowsky, H., Huckabee, W., Barron, D.H., 1962. Observations on the growth rates and organ weights of fetal sheep at altitude and sea level. Q J Exp Physiol Cogn Med Sci, 47: 305-13. https://doi.org/10.1113/expphysiol.1962.sp001614.

Minervini, S., Accogli, G., Pirone, A., Graïc, J.-M., Cozzi, B., Desantis, S., 2016. Brain Mass and Encephalization Quotients in the Domestic Industrial Pig (Sus scrofa). PLoS ONE, 11: e0157378. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157378.

Morris, C.A., Bottema, C.D., Cullen, N.G., Hickey, S.M., Esmailizadeh, A.K., Siebert, B.D., Pitchford, W.S., 2010. Quantitative trait loci for organ weights and adipose fat composition in Jersey and Limousin back-cross cattle finished on pasture or feedlot. Anim Genet, 41: 589-96. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2010.02058.x

Mosing, M., Kutter, A.P., Iff, S., Raszplewicz, J., Mauch, J., Bohm, S.H., Tusman, G., 2015. The effects of cardiac output and pulmonary arterial hypertension on volumetric capnography derived-variables during normoxia and hypoxia. J Clin Monit Comput, 29: 187-96. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10877-014-9588-0.

Moss, T.J.M., Doherty, D.A., Nitsos, I., Sloboda, D.M., Harding, R., Newnham, J.P., 2005. Effects into adulthood of single or repeated antenatal corticosteroids in sheep. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 192: 146-152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2004.06.065.

Moughan, P.J., Smith, W.C., Stevens, E.V.J., 1990. Allometric growth of chemical body components and several organs in the pig (20–90 kg liveweight). New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 33: 77-84. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.1990.10430663.

Müller, E., Moser, G., Bartenschilager, H., Geldermann, H., 2000. Trait values of growth, carcass and meat quality in Wild Boar, Meishan and Pietrain pigs as well as their crossbred generations. Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics, 117: 189-202. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0388.2000.00239.x.

Nephawe, K.A., Cundiff, L.V., Dikeman, M.E., Crouse, J.D., Van Vleck, L.D., 2004. Genetic relationships between sex-specific traits in beef cattle: mature weight, weight adjusted for body condition score, height and body condition score of cows, and carcass traits of their steer relatives. J Anim Sci, 82: 647-53. https://doi.org/10.2527/2004.823647x.

Neville, T.L., Ward, M.A., Reed, J.J., Soto-Navarro, S.A., Julius, S.L., Borowicz, P.P., Taylor, J.B., Redmer, D.A., Reynolds, L.P., Caton, J.S., 2008. Effects of level and source of dietary selenium on maternal and fetal body weight, visceral organ mass, cellularity estimates, and jejunal vascularity in pregnant ewe lambs1. Journal of Animal Science, 86: 890-901. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2006-839.

Nieto, R., Lara, L., Barea, R., Garcia-Valverde, R., Aguinaga, M.A., Conde-Aguilera, J.A., Aguilera, J.F., 2012. Response analysis of the Iberian pig growing from birth to 150 kg body weight to changes in protein and energy supply. J Anim Sci, 90: 3809-20. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2011-5027.

Njoku, C.P., Adeyemi, O.A., Sogunle, O.M., Aina, A.B.J., 2015. Growth performance, carcass yield and organ weight of growing pigs fed different levels of feed. Slovak Journal of Animal Science, 48: 16-22.

Norberg, A., Brauer, K.I., Prough, D.S., Gabrielsson, J., Hahn, R.G., Uchida, T., Traber, D.L., Svensen, C.H., 2005. Volume turnover kinetics of fluid shifts after hemorrhage, fluid infusion, and the combination of hemorrhage and fluid infusion in sheep. Anesthesiology, 102: 985-94.

Orcutt, M.W., Forrest, J.C., Judge, M.D., Schinckel, A.P., Kuei, C.H., 1990. Practical means for estimating pork carcass composition. J Anim Sci, 68: 3987-97. https://doi.org/10.2527/1990.68123987x.

Paini, A., Leonard, J.A., Kliment, T., Tan, Y.M., Worth, A., 2017. Investigating the state of physiologically based kinetic modelling practices and challenges associated with gaining regulatory acceptance of model applications. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol, 90: 104-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2017.08.019.

Pekas, J.C., 1983. Effect of gastric-feeding on feed consumption, growth, organ size, and body composition of swine. Appetite, 4: 87-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6663(83)80005-1.

Pethick, D.W., Lindsay, D.B., 1982. Acetate metabolism in lactating sheep. Br J Nutr, 48: 319-28. https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN19820116.

Pfuhl, R., Bellmann, O., Kühn, C., Teuscher, F., Ender, K., Wegner, J., 2007. Beef versus dairy cattle: a comparison of feed conversion, carcass composition, and meat quality. Arch Anim Breed, 50 https://doi.org/10.5194/aab-50-59-2007.

Purdie, N.G., Trout, D.R., Poppi, D.P., Cant, J.P., 2008. Milk Synthetic Response of the Bovine Mammary Gland to an Increase in the Local Concentration of Amino Acids and Acetate. Journal of Dairy Science, 91: 218-228. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007-0492.

Quinious, N., Noblet, J., 1995. Prediction of tissular body composition from protein and lipid deposition in growing pigs. J Anim Sci, 73: 1567-75. https://doi.org/10.2527/1995.7361567x.

Ragas, A.M., Huijbregts, M.A., 1998. Evaluating the coherence between environmental quality objectives and the acceptable or tolerable daily intake. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol, 27: 251-64. https://doi.org/10.1006/rtph.1998.1212.

Razmaite, V., Kerziene, S., Jatkauskiene, V., 2009. Body and carcass measurements and organ weights of Lithuanian indigenous pigs and their wild boar hybrids. Animal Science Papers and Reports, 27: 331-342.

Reed, J.J., Ward, M.A., Vonnahme, K.A., Neville, T.L., Julius, S.L., Borowicz, P.P., Taylor, J.B., Redmer, D.A., Grazul-Bilska, A.T., Reynolds, L.P., Caton, J.S., 2007. Effects of selenium supply and dietary restriction on maternal and fetal body weight, visceral organ mass and cellularity estimates, and jejunal vascularity in pregnant ewe lambs. J Anim Sci, 85: 2721-33. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2006-785.

Ren, M.Q., Wegner, J., Bellmann, O., Brockmann, G.A., Schneider, F., Teuscher, F., Ender, K., 2002. Comparing mRNA levels of genes encoding leptin, leptin receptor, and lipoprotein lipase between dairy and beef cattle. Domest Anim Endocrinol, 23: 371-81. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0739-7240(02)00179-0.

Rotta, P.P., Filho, S.C., Gionbelli, T.R., Costa, E.S.L.F., Engle, T.E., Marcondes, M.I., Campos, M.M., Menezes, A.C., Lobo, A.A., 2015. Effects of day of gestation and feeding regimen in Holstein x Gyr cows: II. Maternal and fetal visceral organ mass. J Dairy Sci, 98: 3211-23. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8282.

Rudolph, A.M., Heymann, M.A., 1967. The circulation of the fetus in utero. Methods for studying distribution of blood flow, cardiac output and organ blood flow. Circ Res, 21: 163-84.

Runciman, W.B., Ilsley, A.H., Mather, L.E., Carapetis, R., Rao, M.M., 1984. A sheep preparation for studying interactions between blood flow and drug disposition. I: Physiological profile. Br J Anaesth, 56: 1015-28. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/56.9.1015.

Runciman, W.B., Mather, L.E., Ilsley, A.H., Carapetis, R.J., Upton, R.N., 1986. A sheep preparation for studying interactions between blood flow and drug disposition VI: effects of general or subarachnoid anastehsia on blood flow and chlormethiazole disposition. BJA: British Journal of Anaesthesia, 58: 1308-1316. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/58.11.1308.

Ruusunen, M., Partanen, K., Pösö, R., Puolanne, E., 2007. The effect of dietary protein supply on carcass composition, size of organs, muscle properties and meat quality of pigs. Livestock Science, 107: 170-181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2006.09.021.

Shih, P.-C., Hung, Y.-C., Chen, Y.-L., Tsai, H.-J., Chen, C.-Y., Huang, C.-J., 2013. Agreement of cardiac output measurement between pulse contour analysis and thermodilution in various body positions: a porcine study. Journal of Surgical Research, 181: 315-322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2012.07.012.

Sinclair, L.A., Weerasinghe, W.M., Wilkinson, R.G., de Veth, M.J., Bauman, D.E., 2010. A supplement containing trans-10, cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid reduces milk fat yield but does not alter organ weight or body fat deposition in lactating ewes. J Nutr, 140: 1949-55. https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.110.126490.

Stonestreet, B.S., Ocampo, S.S., Oh, W., 1998. Reductions in cardiac output in hypoxic young pigs: systemic and regional perfusion and oxygen metabolism. Journal of Applied Physiology, 85: 874-882. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1998.85.3.874.

Swanson, T.J., Hammer, C.J., Luther, J.S., Carlson, D.B., Taylor, J.B., Redmer, D.A., Neville, T.L., Reed, J.J., Reynolds, L.P., Caton, J.S., Vonnahme, K.A., 2008. Effects of gestational plane of nutrition and selenium supplementation on mammary development and colostrum quality in pregnant ewe lambs. J Anim Sci, 86: 2415-23. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2008-0996.

Swett, W., 1937. Comparative conformation, anatomy, and udder characteristics of cows of certain beef and dairy breeders. Journal of Agricultural Research, 55: 239-288.

Talke, P.O., Traber, D.L., Richardson, C.A., Harper, D.D., Traber, L.D., 2000. The effect of alpha(2) agonist-induced sedation and its reversal with an alpha(2) antagonist on organ blood flow in sheep. Anesth Analg, 90: 1060-6. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000539-200005000-00011.

Thein, E., Becker, M., Anetzberger, H., Hammer, C., Messmer, K., 2003. Direct assessment and distribution of regional portal blood flow in the pig by means of fluorescent microspheres. J Appl Physiol (1985), 95: 1808-16. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00362.2003.

Thompson, G.E., 1980. The distribution of blood flow in the udder of the sheep and changes brought about by cold exposure and lactation. J Physiol, 302: 379-86.

Tilahun, M., Kefelegn, K., Abebe, G., Goetsch, A.L., 2014. Feed intake, digestibility, weight gain, and slaughter characteristics influenced by genetic percentage of Boer in goats and Dorper in sheep in the central highlands of Ethiopia. Trop Anim Health Prod, 46: 593-602. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-013-0532-y.

Tranquilli, W.J., Manohar, M., Parks, C.M., Thurmon, J.C., Theodorakis, M.C., Benson, G.J., 1982. Systemic and regional blood flow distribution in unanesthetized swine and swine anesthetized with halothane + nitrous oxide, halothane, or enflurane. Anesthesiology, 56: 369-79.

Ullman, J., Eriksson, S., Rundgren, M., 2001. Effects of losartan, prazosin and a vasopressin V1receptor antagonist on renal and femoral blood flow in conscious sheep. Acta Physiol Scand, 171: 99-104. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-201X.2001.00780.x.

Upton, R., 2008. Organ weights and blood flows of sheep and pig for physiological pharmacokinetic modelling. Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods, 58: 198-205.

van Essen, G.J., te Lintel Hekkert, M., Sorop, O., Heinonen, I., van der Velden, J., Merkus, D., Duncker, D.J., 2018. Cardiovascular Function of Modern Pigs Does not Comply with Allometric Scaling Laws. Scientific Reports, 8: 792. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18775-z.

Von Engelhardt, W., Hales, J.R., 1977. Partition of capillary blood flow in rumen, reticulum, and omasum of sheep. Am J Physiol, 232: E53-6. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1977.232.1.E53.

von Soosten, D., Meyer, U., Piechotta, M., Flachowsky, G., Danicke, S., 2012. Effect of conjugated linoleic acid supplementation on body composition, body fat mobilization, protein accretion, and energy utilization in early lactation dairy cows. J Dairy Sci, 95: 1222-39. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-4548.

Wallace, J.M., Bourke, D.A., Aitken, R.P., Leitch, N., Hay, W.W., Jr., 2002. Blood flows and nutrient uptakes in growth-restricted pregnancies induced by overnourishing adolescent sheep. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol, 282: R1027-36. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00465.2001.

WHO, 2010. Characterization and Application of Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Models in Risk Assessment, World Health Organization (WHO), Geneva, Switzerland.

Will, D.H., Alexander, A.F., Reeves, J.T., Grover, R.F., 1962. High altitude-induced pulmonary hypertension in normal cattle. Circ Res, 10: 172-7. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.10.2.172.

Wiseman, T.G., Mahan, D.C., Peters, J.C., Fastinger, N.D., Ching, S., Kim, Y.Y., 2007. Tissue weights and body composition of two genetic lines of barrows and gilts from twenty to one hundred

twenty-five kilograms of body weight. J Anim Sci, 85: 1825-35. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2006-407.

Wood, K.M., Awda, B.J., Fitzsimmons, C., Miller, S.P., McBride, B.W., Swanson, K.C., 2013. Influence of pregnancy in mid-to-late gestation on circulating metabolites, visceral organ mass, and abundance of proteins relating to energy metabolism in mature beef cows. J Anim Sci, 91: 5775-84. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2013-6589.

Yang, T.S., Lin, J.H., 2010. Variation of heart size and its correlation with growth performance and vascular space in domestic pigs. Animal Science, 64: 523-528. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1357729800016155.

our al contra