

Vincenzo De Filippis · Basudeb Dhara

## Some results concerning $n$ - $\sigma$ -centralizing mappings in semiprime rings

Received: 21 July 2013 / Accepted: 1 December 2013 / Published online: 15 January 2014  
© The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed integer. Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $S$  an additive subgroup of  $R$ ,  $\sigma, \tau$  two endomorphisms of  $R$  and  $F : R \rightarrow R$  an additive mapping of  $R$ . In the present paper, we prove that

- (1) if  $R$  is  $(n + 1)!$ -torsion free,  $S$  is  $(n + 1)$ -power closed and  $[F(x), \sigma(x)^n] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in S$ , then  $[F(x), \sigma(x)^n] = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ ;
- (2) if  $R$  is  $3!$ -torsion free,  $S$  is square closed and  $[[F(x), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in S$ , then  $[[F(x), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ .

We also consider a number of applications in semiprime rings with derivations,  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations and generalized derivations, and extend some known results in the literature.

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 16W25 · 16R50 · 16N60

### المخلص

ليكن  $n \geq 1$  عدداً صحيحاً ثابتاً. لتكن  $R$  حلقة نصف أولية و  $S$  زمرة جزئية جمعية من  $R$ ، و  $\sigma, \tau$  تشاكلين داخليين لـ  $R$  و  $F: R \rightarrow R$  راسماً جمعياً لـ  $R$ . في هذه الورقة نثبت أنه

- (1) إذا كانت  $R$  بدون التواء- $(n + 1)!$ ، و  $S$  مغلقة تحت قوى- $(n + 1)$ ، و  $[F(x), \sigma(x)^n] \in Z(R)$  لجميع قيم  $x \in S$ ، فإن  $[F(x), \sigma(x)^n] = 0$  لجميع قيم  $x \in S$ .
- (2) إذا كانت  $R$  بدون التواء-  $3!$ ، و  $S$  مغلقة تحت التربيع، و  $[[F(x), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)] \in Z(R)$  لجميع قيم  $x \in S$ ، فإن  $[[F(x), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)] = 0$  لجميع قيم  $x \in S$ .

نعتبر أيضاً عدداً من التطبيقات للحلقات نصف الأولية مع اشتقاقات، و اشتقاقات- $(\sigma, \tau)$  و اشتقاقات مُعمَّمة، ونمدد بعض النتائج المعروفة مسبقاً.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $R$  be an associative ring. Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer. A ring  $R$  is said to be  $n$ -torsion free if, for  $x \in R$ ,  $nx = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ . For  $x, y \in R$ , the commutator of  $x, y$  is denoted by the symbol  $[x, y]$  and is defined by  $[x, y] = xy - yx$ .

V. De Filippis  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Messina,  
Contrada Di Dio, Messina 98166, Italy  
E-mail: defilippis@unime.it

B. Dhara (✉)  
Department of Mathematics, Belda College, Belda, Paschim Medinipur 721424,  
West Bengal, India  
E-mail: basu\_dhara@yahoo.com



Recall that  $R$  is prime if  $aRb = 0$  implies either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , and is semiprime if  $aRa = 0$  implies  $a = 0$ . An additive mapping  $D : R \rightarrow R$  is called a derivation, if  $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $F : R \rightarrow R$  is called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation  $D : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $F(xy) = F(x)y + xD(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . By this definition, every derivation is a generalized derivation of  $R$ , but the converse is not true in general.

Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $R$  and  $n$  a positive integer.  $S$  is said to be  $n$ -power closed, if  $x^n \in S$  for all  $x \in S$ . A mapping  $f$  from  $R$  to  $R$  is called  $n$ -centralizing (resp.,  $n$ -commuting) on  $S$ , if  $[f(x), x^n] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in S$  (resp.,  $[f(x), x^n] = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ ). Many authors have studied the commuting and centralizing mappings in prime and semiprime rings. This work was initiated by Posner [16] who proved that a prime ring  $R$  admitting a nonzero centralizing derivation is commutative. Mayne [15] proved the analogous result for centralizing automorphisms. Since then a number of authors have extended these results of Posner and Mayne in several directions (see [1, 2, 4–8, 11, 12, 14, 18, 19]). In these papers, the maps considered are derivations, endomorphisms, generalized derivations or any arbitrary additive maps in prime or semiprime rings. In [6], Brešar gave the complete structure of additive commuting maps on prime rings. More precisely, Brešar proved the following two striking results:

**Theorem 1.1** [6, Proposition 3.1] *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $U$  be a Jordan subring of  $R$ . If an additive mapping  $F$  of  $R$  into itself is centralizing on  $U$ , then  $F$  is commuting on  $U$ .*

**Theorem 1.2** [6, Theorem 3.2] *Let  $R$  be a prime ring. If an additive mapping  $F$  of  $R$  is commuting on  $R$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in C$  and an additive mapping  $\xi : R \rightarrow C$ , such that  $F(x) = \lambda x + \xi(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

Moreover, Bell & Martindale [3] and Deng & Bell [7] studied the centralizing and  $n$ -centralizing derivations on some subsets of semiprime rings.

In [7], Deng and Bell proved the following theorems:

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer, let  $R$  be an  $n!$ -torsion free semiprime ring and  $I$  a nonzero left ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  admits a nonzero derivation  $D$  such that  $D(I) \neq 0$  and  $n$ -centralizing on  $I$ , then  $R$  contains a nonzero central ideal.*

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $R$  be a 6-torsion free semiprime ring and  $I$  a nonzero left ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  admits a nonzero derivation  $D$  such that  $D(I) \neq 0$  and the map  $x \mapsto [D(x), x]$  is centralizing on  $I$ , then  $R$  contains a nonzero central ideal.*

Recently, Dhara and Ali [9] have studied these results replacing derivation  $D$  with a generalized derivation  $F$  of  $R$ .

The notion of  $n$ -commuting and  $n$ -centralizing maps is extended to  $n$ - $\sigma$ -commuting and  $n$ - $\sigma$ -centralizing maps. Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $R$ ,  $n$  a positive integer and  $\sigma$  an endomorphism of  $R$ . The mapping  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be  $n$ - $\sigma$ -commuting ( $n$ - $\sigma$ -centralizing) on  $S$  if  $[f(x), \sigma(x)^n] = 0$  for all  $x \in S$  (resp.,  $[f(x), \sigma(x)^n] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in S$ ).

For convenience, we shall write 1- $\sigma$ -commuting and 1- $\sigma$ -centralizing maps as  $\sigma$ -commuting and  $\sigma$ -centralizing maps, respectively.

In [13], Lee studied the  $\sigma$ -commuting maps in semiprime rings and determine the complete structure of  $\sigma$ -commuting maps. In the present article, we study the  $n$ - $\sigma$ -centralizing maps and we show in  $(n+1)!$ -torsion free semiprime rings  $R$  that any  $n$ - $\sigma$ -centralizing additive map is  $n$ - $\sigma$ -commuting in an  $(n+1)$ -power closed additive subgroup of  $R$ . This result can be applied to extend some recent results related to derivations and generalized derivations [1, 10, 17] to the central case.

## 2 Main Results

We begin with the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed integer. Let  $R$  be an  $(n+1)!$ -torsion free semiprime ring,  $\sigma$  an endomorphism of  $R$  and  $S$  an  $(n+1)$ -power closed additive subgroup of  $R$ . If  $F : R \rightarrow R$  is an additive mapping such that  $[F(x), \sigma(x)^n] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in S$ , then  $[F(x), \sigma(x)^n] = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ .*



*Proof* Let  $x \in S$  and  $t = [F(x), \sigma(x)^n]$ . Then  $t \in Z(R)$ . Linearizing our hypothesis  $[F(x), \sigma(x)^n] \in Z(R)$ , we get

$$[F(y), \sigma(x)^n] + [F(x), \sigma(x)^{n-1}\sigma(y) + \sigma(x)^{n-2}\sigma(y)\sigma(x) + \dots + \sigma(y)\sigma(x)^{n-1}] \in (R)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Putting  $y = x^{n+1}$ , the above relation yields

$$[F(x^{n+1}), \sigma(x)^n] + [F(x), \sigma(x)^{2n} + \sigma(x)^{2n} + \dots + \sigma(x)^{2n}] \in Z(R),$$

that is,

$$[F(x^{n+1}), \sigma(x)^n] + 2nt\sigma(x)^n \in Z(R). \tag{1}$$

Let  $z = [F(x^{n+1}), \sigma(x)^n] + 2nt\sigma(x)^n$ . Since  $x^{n+1} \in S$ , we replace  $x$  with  $x^{n+1}$  in our assumption and get  $[F(x^{n+1}), \sigma(x)^{(n+1)n}] \in Z(R)$ . Now we compute  $[F(x^{n+1}), \sigma(x)^{(n+1)n}] = \sum_{i=0}^n \sigma(x)^{ni} [F(x^{n+1}), \sigma(x)^n] \sigma(x)^{n(n-i)}$ . Since  $[F(x^{n+1}), \sigma(x)^n] = z - 2nt\sigma(x)^n$ , we have that  $[F(x^{n+1}), \sigma(x)^{(n+1)n}] = \sum_{i=0}^n \sigma(x)^{ni} (z - 2nt\sigma(x)^n) \sigma(x)^{n(n-i)} = \sum_{i=0}^n (z\sigma(x)^{n^2} - 2nt\sigma(x)^{n+n^2}) = (n+1)(z\sigma(x)^{n^2} - 2nt\sigma(x)^{n+n^2}) \in Z(R)$ . But  $R$  is  $(n+1)$ -torsion free, so that

$$z\sigma(x)^{n^2} - 2nt\sigma(x)^{n+n^2} \in Z(R). \tag{2}$$

Now commuting  $\sigma(x)^{kn}$  with  $F(x)$  successively, we get

$$[F(x), \sigma(x)^{kn}] = [F(x), \underbrace{\sigma(x)^n \cdot \sigma(x)^n \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(x)^n}_{k \text{ times}}] = kt\sigma(x)^{(k-1)n}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [F(x), [F(x), \sigma(x)^{kn}]] &= kt[F(x), \sigma(x)^{(k-1)n}] = k(k-1)t^2\sigma(x)^{(k-2)n} \\ &= \frac{k!}{(k-2)!}t^2\sigma(x)^{(k-2)n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus commuting  $\sigma(x)^{kn}$  with  $F(x)$  successively  $m$ -times yields

$$[F(x), \dots, [F(x), \sigma(x)^{kn}]] = \frac{k!}{(k-m)!}t^m\sigma(x)^{(k-m)n}.$$

Using this fact, we can write, successively commuting both sides of (2)  $n$ -times with  $F(x)$ , that

$$(n!)zt^n - 2n(n!)t \cdot t^n\sigma(x)^n = 0.$$

Again, commuting with  $F(x)$ , we have

$$-2n(n!)t^{n+2} = 0.$$

As the  $R$  is  $(n+1)!$ -torsion free,  $t^{n+2} = 0$ . Since center of semiprime ring contains no nonzero nilpotent elements, we have  $t = 0$ , as desired. □

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $R$  be a 3!-torsion free semiprime ring,  $\sigma$  an endomorphism of  $R$ ,  $S$  an additive subgroup of  $R$  such that  $u^2 \in S$  for all  $u \in S$  and  $F : R \rightarrow R$  an additive mapping. If the map  $x \mapsto [F(x), \sigma(x)]$  is  $\sigma$ -centralizing on  $S$ , then this map is  $\sigma$ -commuting on  $S$ .*

*Proof* Let  $x \in S$  and  $t = [[F(x), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)]$ . Then  $t \in Z(R)$ . Since  $R$  is 2-torsion free, linearizing our hypothesis we obtain

$$[[F(y), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)] + [[F(x), \sigma(y)], \sigma(x)] + [[F(x), \sigma(x)], \sigma(y)] \in Z(R)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Replacing  $x^2$  with  $y$  in the above relation, we get

$$[[F(x^2), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)] + [[F(x), \sigma(x)^2], \sigma(x)] + [[F(x), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)^2] \in Z(R).$$

But  $[[F(x), \sigma(x)^2], \sigma(x)] = [[F(x), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)^2] = 2t\sigma(x)$ , so that the last relation reduces to

$$[[F(x^2), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)] + 4t\sigma(x) \in Z(R).$$

Set  $z = [[F(x^2), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)] + 4t\sigma(x) \in Z(R)$ . By our hypothesis, we can write  $[[F(x^2), \sigma(x)^2], \sigma(x)^2] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in S$ . This yields

$$\begin{aligned} [[F(x^2), \sigma(x)^2], \sigma(x)^2] &= [[F(x^2), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)]\sigma(x)^2 + 2\sigma(x)[[F(x^2), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)]\sigma(x) \\ &\quad + \sigma(x)^2[[F(x^2), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[[F(x^2), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)] = z - 4t\sigma(x)$ , we have from above that  $[[F(x^2), \sigma(x)^2], \sigma(x)^2] = (z - 4t\sigma(x))\sigma(x)^2 + 2\sigma(x)(z - 4t\sigma(x))\sigma(x) + \sigma(x)^2(z - 4t\sigma(x)) = -16t\sigma(x)^3 + 4z\sigma(x)^2 \in Z(R)$ . This implies  $[[F(x), \sigma(x)], -16t\sigma(x)^3 + 4z\sigma(x)^2] = 0$ . Now using the fact that  $[[F(x), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)^k] = kt\sigma(x)^{k-1}$ , where  $k \geq 1$  any integer, we get  $-48t^2\sigma(x)^2 + 8zt\sigma(x) = 0$ . Again this implies  $[[F(x), \sigma(x)], -48t^2\sigma(x)^2 + 8zt\sigma(x)] = 0$ . This gives  $-96t^3\sigma(x) + 8zt^2 = 0$ . Thus we have  $0 = [[F(x), \sigma(x)], -96t^3\sigma(x) + 8zt^2] = -96t^3[[F(x), \sigma(x)], \sigma(x)] = -96t^4$ . Since  $R$  is 3!-torsion free, we have  $t^4 = 0$ . As the center of semiprime ring contains no nonzero nilpotent elements, we conclude  $t = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Application to generalized $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation

Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be two endomorphisms of  $R$ . By a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $D$ , we mean an additive mapping  $D : R \rightarrow R$  satisfying the condition  $D(xy) = D(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)D(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $G : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation if there exists a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $D$  such that  $G(xy) = G(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)D(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ .

Recently in [1], Ali and Chaudhry proved that if  $R$  is a semiprime ring and  $G$  a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $R$  associated with the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $D$  of  $R$ , such that  $[G(x), \sigma(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $D(R)[R, R] = 0$  and  $D(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ , where  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are two automorphisms of  $R$ .

Using Theorem 2.1, the above result is extended to central case. Moreover, the situation studied is when  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are two epimorphisms of  $R$ .

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be two epimorphisms of  $R$ . Suppose that  $G$  is a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $R$  associated with the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $D$  of  $R$ . If  $[G(x), \sigma(x)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $D(R)$  is contained in a central ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof* By Theorem 2.1, we have that  $G$  is  $\sigma$ -commuting on  $R$ , that is,

$$[G(x), \sigma(x)] = 0 \tag{3}$$

for all  $x \in R$ . By linearizing, above relation gives

$$[G(y), \sigma(x)] + [G(x), \sigma(y)] = 0 \tag{4}$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Replacing  $yx$  for  $y$  in (4), we get

$$[G(y)\sigma(x) + \tau(y)D(x), \sigma(x)] + [G(x), \sigma(y)\sigma(x)] = 0 \tag{5}$$

for all  $x, y \in R$  which implies

$$[G(y), \sigma(x)]\sigma(x) + [\tau(y)D(x), \sigma(x)] + [G(x), \sigma(y)]\sigma(x) + \sigma(y)[G(x), \sigma(x)] = 0 \tag{6}$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Using (3) and (4), from above we get

$$[\tau(y)D(x), \sigma(x)] = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Since  $\tau$  is an epimorphisms of  $R$ , we have  $[RD(x), \sigma(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . This implies  $0 = [R^2D(x), \sigma(x)] = R[RD(x), \sigma(x)] + [R, \sigma(x)]RD(x) = [R, \sigma(x)]RD(x)$ , again implying  $[R, \sigma(x)]R[D(x), \sigma(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . In particular  $[D(x), \sigma(x)]R[D(x), \sigma(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Since  $R$  is semiprime ring,  $[D(x), \sigma(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then by [13, Corollary 2], we conclude that  $D(R)$  is contained in a central ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free prime ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be two epimorphisms of  $R$ . Suppose that  $G$  is a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $R$  associated with the nonzero  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $D$  of  $R$ . If  $[G(x), \sigma(x)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*



### 4 Application to pair of derivations

In a recent paper [10], Fosner and Vukman proved the following: If  $R$  is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $f : R \rightarrow R$  an additive mapping satisfying  $[f(x), x^2] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $[f(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . As an application of this result, they proved that if  $[D^2(x) + G(x), x^2] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $D, G : R \rightarrow R$  are two derivations, then  $D$  and  $G$  both maps  $R$  into its center.

Now we apply Theorem 2.1 to extend these results of [10] to central case.

**Theorem 4.1** *If  $R$  is a 3!-torsion free semiprime ring and  $f : R \rightarrow R$  an additive mapping satisfying  $[f(x), x^2] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $[f(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

*Proof* By Theorem 2.1, since  $R$  is a 3!-torsion free semiprime ring,  $[f(x), x^2] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$  implies  $[f(x), x^2] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then by [10, Theorem 2],  $[f(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . □

We generalize the second results of derivations as follows:

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $R$  be an  $n!$ -torsion free semiprime ring,  $I$  an ideal of  $R$  and  $D, G : R \rightarrow R$  two derivations such that  $D(I) \neq 0$  and  $G(I) \neq 0$ . If  $[D^2(x) + G(x), x^n] = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $D(I)$  and  $G(I)$  are contained in nonzero central ideals of  $R$ .*

*Proof* Linearizing the given identity, we get

$$[D^2(y) + G(y), x^n] + [D^2(x) + G(x), yx^{n-1} + \dots + x^{n-1}y] = 0 \tag{7}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Replacing  $y$  with  $yx$ , we get

$$[(D^2(y) + G(y))x + 2D(y)D(x) + yD^2(x) + yG(x), x^n] + [D^2(x) + G(x), (yx^{n-1} + \dots + x^{n-1}y)x] = 0,$$

that is,

$$[D^2(y) + G(y), x^n]x + 2[D(y)D(x), x^n] + [y(D^2(x) + G(x)), x^n] + [D^2(x) + G(x), (yx^{n-1} + \dots + x^{n-1}y)]x + (yx^{n-1} + \dots + x^{n-1}y)[D^2(x) + G(x), x] = 0.$$

As  $[D^2(x) + G(x), x^n] = 0$  for all  $x \in I$  from (7), we get that

$$2[D(y)D(x), x^n] + [y, x^n](D^2(x) + G(x)) + (yx^{n-1} + \dots + x^{n-1}y)[D^2(x) + G(x), x] = 0. \tag{8}$$

Now, putting  $y = xy$  in (8), we have

$$2[(D(x)y + xD(y))D(x), x^n] + x[y, x^n](D^2(x) + G(x)) + x(yx^{n-1} + \dots + x^{n-1}y)[D^2(x) + G(x), x] = 0. \tag{9}$$

Left multiplying (8) by  $x$  and subtracting from (9), we obtain that

$$2[D(x)yD(x), x^n] = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Since  $R$  is 2-torsion free, from above relation we have

$$D(x)yD(x)x^n - x^n D(x)yD(x) = 0 \tag{10}$$

for all  $x \in I$ . Replacing  $y$  with  $yD(x)z$ , we get

$$D(x)yD(x)zD(x)x^n - x^n D(x)yD(x)zD(x) = 0. \tag{11}$$

By (10), this can be written as

$$D(x)yx^n D(x)zD(x) - D(x)yD(x)x^n zD(x) = 0,$$

which is

$$D(x)y[D(x), x^n]zD(x) = 0$$

for all  $x \in I$ . This implies

$$[D(x), x^n]y[D(x), x^n]z[D(x), x^n] = 0.$$

That is  $([D(x), x^n]I)^3 = 0$ . Since  $R$  is semiprime ring,  $[D(x), x^n]I = 0$ . Thus  $[D(x), x^n] \subseteq I \cap \text{ann}(I) = 0$ . Then by [11],  $D(I)$  is contained in a nonzero central ideal of  $R$ . Thus  $D(I) \subseteq Z(R)$  and so  $D^2(I) \subseteq Z(R)$ . Therefore, our hypothesis gives

$$[G(x), x^n] = 0$$

for all  $x \in I$ . By same argument,  $G(I)$  is contained in a nonzero central ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

Now, applying Theorem 2.1, we have the following:

**Theorem 4.3** *Let  $R$  be an  $(n + 1)!$ -torsion free semiprime ring,  $I$  an ideal of  $R$  and  $D, G : R \rightarrow R$  two derivations such that  $D(I) \neq 0$  and  $G(I) \neq 0$ . If  $[D^2(x) + G(x), x^n] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $D$  and  $G$  both are contained in nonzero central ideals of  $R$ .*

**Corollary 4.4** *Let  $R$  be an  $(n + 1)!$ -torsion free prime ring,  $I$  an ideal of  $R$  and  $D, G : R \rightarrow R$  two derivations. If  $[D^2(x) + G(x), x^n] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in I$ , then either  $D = G = 0$  or  $R$  is commutative.*

## 5 Application to pair of generalized derivations

In a recent paper [17], Rehman and De Filippis proved the following:

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer, and let  $R$  be a semiprime  $n!$ -torsion free ring. If  $R$  admits generalized derivations  $F$  and  $G$  associated with nonzero derivations  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively, such that  $[F^2(x) + G(x), x^n] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $R$  contains a nonzero central ideal;
- (2)  $f = 0$ ,  $g(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ , and there exist  $a, b \in U$  such that  $F(x) = ax$ ,  $G(x) = bx + g(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ , and  $a^2 + b \in C$ , where  $C$  is the extended centroid of  $R$ .

Now, applying Theorem 2.1, we can state the result for the central case as follows:

**Theorem 5.2** *Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer, and let  $R$  be a semiprime and  $(n + 1)!$ -torsion free ring. If  $R$  admits generalized derivations  $F$  and  $G$  associated with nonzero derivations  $f$  and  $g$  respectively, such that  $[F^2(x) + G(x), x^n] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ , then one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $R$  contains a nonzero central ideal;
- (2)  $f = 0$ ,  $g(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ , and there exist  $a, b \in U$  such that  $F(x) = ax$ ,  $G(x) = bx + g(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ , and  $a^2 + b \in C$ , where  $C$  is the extended centroid of  $R$ .

**Acknowledgments** B. Dhara is supported by a grant from National Board for Higher Mathematics (NBHM), India. Grant No. is NBHM/R.P. 26/ 2012/Fresh/1745 dated 15.11.12.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

## References

1. Ali, F.; Chaudhry, M.A.: On generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations of semiprime rings. Turk. J. Math. **34**, 1–6 (2010)
2. Beidar, K.I.; Fong, Y.; Lee, P.H.; Wong, T.L.: On additive maps in prime rings satisfying the Engel condition. Commun. Algebra **25**(12), 3889–3902 (1997)
3. Bell, H.E.; Martindale, W.S.: Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings. Can. Math. Bull. **30**(1), 92–101 (1987)
4. Brešar, M.; Hvala, B.: On additive maps of prime rings. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **51**, 377–381 (1995)
5. Brešar, M.: On certain pairs of functions of semiprime rings. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **120**(3), 709–713 (1994)
6. Brešar, M.: Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings. J. Algebra **156**, 385–394 (1993)
7. Deng, Q.; Bell, H.E.: On derivations and commutativity in semiprime rings. Commun. Algebra **23**(10), 3705–3713 (1995)
8. Deng, Q.: On  $N$ -centralizing mappings of prime rings. Proc. R. Irish Acad. **93A**(2), 171–176 (1993)



9. Dhara, B.; Ali, S.: On  $n$ -centralizing generalized derivations in semiprime rings with applications to  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Algebra Appl.* **11**(6), Paper No. 1250111 (2012)
10. Fošner, A.; Vukman, J.: Some results concerning additive mappings and derivations on semiprime rings. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **78**(3–4) 575–581 (2011)
11. Lanski, C.: An Engel condition with derivation for left ideals. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **125**(2), 339–345 (1997)
12. Lee, P.H.; Lee, T.K.: Lie ideals of prime rings with derivations. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* **11**, 75–80 (1983)
13. Lee, T.K.:  $\sigma$ -commuting mappings in semiprime rings. *Commun. Algebra* **29**(7), 2945–2951 (2001)
14. Lee, T.K.; Lee, T.C.: Commuting mappings in semiprime rings. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* **24**, 259–268 (1996)
15. Mayne, J.: Centralizing automorphisms of prime rings. *Can. Math. Bull.* **19**, 113–115 (1976)
16. Posner, E.C.: Derivation in prime rings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **8**, 1093–1100 (1957)
17. Rehman, N.; De Filippis, V.: On  $n$ -commuting and  $n$ -skew commuting maps with generalized derivations in prime and semiprime rings. *Siberian Math. J.* **52**(3), 516–523 (2011)
18. Sharma, R.K.; Dhara, B.: Skew-commuting and commuting mappings in rings with left identity. *Result. Math.* **46**, 123–129 (2004)
19. Vukman, J.: Derivations on semiprime rings. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **53**, 353–359 (1995)

