



Italian Journal of Animal Science

ISSN: (Print) 1828-051X (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tjas20

## Near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) characterization of European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) from different rearing systems

Duilio Majolini, Angela Trocino, Gerolamo Xiccato & Andrea Santulli

**To cite this article:** Duilio Majolini, Angela Trocino, Gerolamo Xiccato & Andrea Santulli (2009) Near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) characterization of European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) from different rearing systems, Italian Journal of Animal Science, 8:sup2, 860-862, DOI: <u>10.4081/ijas.2009.s2.860</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2009.s2.860

Ы

Copyright 2009 Taylor & Francis Group LLC

Published online: 07 Mar 2016.

C	Ø,

Submit your article to this journal  $\square$ 

Article views: 25



View related articles 🖸

Citing articles: 3 View citing articles 🖸

## Near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) characterization of European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) from different rearing systems

Duilio Majolini<sup>1</sup>, Angela Trocino<sup>1</sup>, Gerolamo Xiccato<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Santulli<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze Animali, Università di Padova

<sup>2</sup>Istituto di Biologia Marina, Consorzio Universitario di Trapani, Italy

*Corresponding author*: Gerolamo Xiccato. Dipartimento di Scienze Animali. Facoltà di Agraria, Università di Padova. Viale dell'Università 16, 35020 Legnaro (Padova), Italy - Tel. +39 049 8272639 - Fax: +39 049 8272669 - Email: gerolamo.xiccato@unipd.it

**ABSTRACT** - The present study aimed to predict by NIRS the proximate chemical composition and some carcass traits of sea bass coming from 11 farms with different rearing systems (extensive, intensive in land-based basins, sea cages) and located in northern (Friuli, Veneto), central (Tuscany) and southern (Puglia and Sicily) Italy. NIRS analysis of freeze dried sea bass fillets gave fairly good predictions of slaughter weight and fillet yield ( $R^2cv=0.48-0.55$ ), while results for carcass yield were poor. NIRS analysis was highly predictive for the condition factor ( $R^2cv=0.790$ , SECV=0.09) and for water, ether extract and gross energy showing high correlations ( $R^2cv>0.90$ ) with NIR spectral information and high accuracy (SECV=0.67%, 0.46% and 0.38 kJ/g for water, ether extract and energy, respectively). Crude protein prediction showed lower performance, even if still good, compared to previous variables ( $R^2cv=0.734$ , SECV=0.34). The score plot of principal component analysis showed intensively-reared sea bass separated from extensively reared fish.

Key words: Sea bass, NIRS, Chemical composition, Carcass traits, Rearing system.

**Introduction** - A high competition exists among several Countries in the Mediterranean area (Greece, Italy, Turkey, etc.) producing European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) from aquaculture systems, which decreases market prices (Monfort, 2007). As for other food, the qualification and geographic differentiation could improve the image and price of cultivated sea bass. However, the analytical methods used for fish quality characterization are time-consuming and expensive. Although NIRS can provide wide and quick information on the quality of different fish species (Lin *et al.*, 2006; Faso-lato *et al.*, 2008), it has been little used on European sea bass (Xiccato *et al.*, 2004). The present study is part of a multidisciplinary research funded by the *Ministero delle Politiche Agricole e Forestali* (MI-PAF) devoted to characterize European sea bass coming from 11 farms with different rearing systems (extensive, intensive in land-based basins or sea cages) distributed in different regions of Northern, Central and Southern Italy (see also Messina *et al.*, 2009; Tulli *et al.*, 2009). This paper presents the results of NIRS analysis to predict some carcass traits and proximate composition of sea bass and to possibly differentiate fish according to the rearing system.

**Material and methods -** Three hundred forty European sea bass were caught over a four-month period (December 2007-February 2008) in 11 Italian fish farms characterized by different rearing systems (three extensive lagoons, five intensive land-based basins, three sea cages) located in Northern (Friuli-Venezia Giulia and Veneto), Central (Tuscany) and Southern (Puglia and Sicily) Italy. The fish were killed by immersion in ice slurry and dissected within 24 hours after catch. The fillets were separated from about half of the caught fishes (n=164) and analysed for different physical, sensorial

and chemical characteristics (Messina *et al.*, 2009; Tulli *et al.*, 2009). Freeze-dried fillets were analysed for proximate composition and gross energy by AOAC methods and submitted to NIRS analysis in the 1100-2500 nm range with a 2 nm step by a monochromator spectrometer (InfraAlyser 500, Bran+Luebbe GmbH, Norderstedt, Germany). Absorbance spectra were collected and transformed in second derivative calculated over a 20-point smoothing segment (Unscrambler version 7.0, CAMO ASA, Trondheim, Norway). To predict some carcass traits (slaughter weight, carcass and fillet yield, and condition factor) and fillet chemical composition (water, crude protein, ether extract, and energy), calibration equations were calculated on transformed spectra by partial least square regression (PLSR) and full cross-validation using the procedures described by Xiccato *et al.* (2004). Prediction equations were evaluated in terms of coefficient of determination in calibration (R<sup>2</sup>c) and cross-validation (R<sup>2</sup>cv), standard error of calibration (SEC) and of cross-validation (SECV). The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to evaluate spectral data according to origin.

Table 1. Car pos (no.	<ul> <li>Carcass traits and fillet chemical composition (on fresh weight) of sea bass (no.=164).</li> </ul>								
		Minimum	Maximum	Mean	s.d.				
Slaughter weight (S)	N), g	269	1032	588	169				
Condition factor1		0.79	1.59	1.19	0.18				
Carcass yield, % SW	r	80.0	93.2	86.9	2.5				
Fillet yield, % SW		38.9	54.8	47.9	3.1				
Water, %		61.3	79.7	71.4	3.7				
Ether extract, %		0.17	16.0	7.3	3.6				
Crude protein, %		16.2	26.8	19.5	0.9				
Enerav, kl/a		4.09	10.96	7.54	1.56				

<sup>1</sup>Condition factor=slaughter weight/total length<sup>3</sup>x100.

Table 2. Performance of calibration and crossvalidation to predict some carcass traits and the chemical composition of sea bass fillets analysed by NIRS.

	· · · ·						
	Calibration			Full cross-	Full cross-validation		
	Factors	R <sup>2</sup> cv	SEC	R <sup>2</sup> cv	SECV		
Slaughter weight, g	6	0.656	96	0.551	113		
Condition factor	8	0.861	0.08	0.790	0.09		
Carcass yield, %	1	0.239	2.06	0.221	2.09		
Fillet yield, %	8	0.645	1.76	0.480	2.22		
Water, %	3	0.968	0.62	0.964	0.67		
Ether extract, %	2	0.986	0.42	0.984	0.46		
Crude protein, %	9	0.845	0.25	0.734	0.34		
Energy, kJ/g	3	0.945	0.36	0.939	0.38		

Results and conclusions - Sea bass slaughter weight varied from 269 to 1032 g (Table 1) in the calibration set due to differences among farms and because two commercial sizes were collected in the same farm, when possible. Variation in other fish traits, like carcass and fillet yield and condition factor, depended both on the rearing system and the farm, as discussed by Tulli et al. (2009) and Messina et al. (2009). Chemical composition showed a wide variation, with ether extract from 0.17 to 16.0%, crude protein from 16.2 to 26.8% and energy from 4.09 to 10.96 kJ/g, depending on rearing system and feeding regime (natural or artificial). NIRS analysis on carcass traits gave different results: predictions of slaughter weight and fillet yield were fairly good (R<sup>2</sup>cv=0.48-0.55), while prediction of the carcass yield was poor (Table 2). Differently, NIRS prediction of the condition factor was successful with a low associated prediction error (R<sup>2</sup>cv=0.790, SECV=0.09). The condition factor represents a measure of the fish shape, relating fish weight and length, which changes with the feeding regime and the fish fattening condition.

Results of NIRS prediction of chemical composition of freeze-dried fillets showed high correlations ( $R^2cv>0.90$ ) between NIR spectral information and water, ether extract and energy concentration and high accuracy in NIRS prediction (SECV=0.67%, 0.46% and 0.38 kJ/g for water, ether extract and energy). Crude protein prediction showed lower, even if still good, performance compared to previous variables ( $R^2cv=0.734$ , SECV=0.34). Similar performance in NIRS prediction of fillet chemical composition was obtained by Xiccato *et al.* (2004) in cultivated sea bass.

Using PCA, most of the variability of spectral data was explained by the first PC (92%) (Figure 1). The score plot showed extensively-reared sea bass separated from intensively-reared ones. Within the extensive system, farms were differentiated along the second PC (E1 and E4 separated from E2). Sea cages fish, lying between intensively- and extensively-reared sea bass, were partially confused with these latter: fish of the intensive farm I4 were positioned next to sea bass of the sea-cage G4 and fish from sea-cage G3 were distributed among the intensively-reared sea bass.

Our results confirm NIRS as a useful tool for sea bass characterisation and authentication. Differences in the feeding regimes and commercial slaughter weight among farms of the same rearing system seemed to have a major influence on fish NIR spectra and quality than environmental conditions of farming.

Figure 1. Principal component analysis of NIRS data: score plot (E: fish from extensive lagoons; I: fish from intensive in land-based basins; G: fish from sea cages).



This study was funded by the Ministero delle Politiche Agricole e Forestali, VI Plan of fishery and aquaculture, Program "Quality and food safety of national farmed sea bass: definition of indicators and application of innovative instruments", Research code 6-C-156.

**REFERENCES - Fasolato**, L., Manfrin, A., Corrain, C., Perezzani, A., Arcangeli, G., Rosteghin, M., Novelli, E., Lopparelli, R.M., Balzan, S., Mirisola, M., Serva, L., Segato, S., Bianchi, E., 2008. Assessment of quality-parameters and authentication in sole (*Solea vulgaris*) by NIRS (Near Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy). Industrie Alimentari 47:355-361. Lin, M., Mousavi, M., Al-Holy, M., Cavinato, G., Rasco, B.A., 2006. Rapid Near Infrared Spectroscopic method for the detection of spoilage in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fillet). J. Food Sci 71:S18-S23. Messina, C., Mistretta, G., Conte, L., Tazzoli, M., Santulli, A., 2009. Biochemical and nutritional traits of sea bass (*Dicentrachus labrax*) from different rearing systems. It. J. Anim. Sci. in press. Monfort, M.C., 2007. Marketing of aquacultured seabass and seabream from the Mediterranean basin. Studies and Reviews General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean 82, 50 pp. Tulli, F., Balenovic, I., Messina, M., Messina, C., Tibaldi, E., 2009. Geometric morphometrics and biometry in sea bass (*D. labrax*) from different rearing systems. It. J. Anim. Sci. in press. Xiccato, G., Trocino, A., Tulli, F., Tibaldi, E., 2004. Prediction of chemical composition and origin identification of European seabass (*Dicentrachus labrax* L.) by Near Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy (NIRS). Food Chemistry 86:275-281.