

## S1a: When it all begins...

## S1A:4 ROBUST STRATIFICATION OF LUPUS BASED ON LONGITUDINAL GENE EXPRESSION DATA AND DISEASE ACTIVITY PATTERNS

<sup>1</sup>D Toro Dominguez, <sup>1</sup>P Carmona Saez, <sup>1,2</sup>M Alarcon Riquelme. <sup>1</sup>GENYO, PTS, Granada, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Unit of Chronic Inflammatory Diseases, Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm

10.1136/lupus-2018-abstract.1

**Introduction** The heterogeneous clinical presentation of SLE is characterised by the unpredictable appearance of flares and remissions of disease activity associated with organ damage and severe symptomatology. Various attempts to classify lupus clinically have not been successful, still burdened by delayed diagnosis and clinical trial failures. Our aim was to develop and validate a robust method to reproducibly stratify patients with lupus according to longitudinal patterns of disease presentation and gene expression data obtained at several points in time.

**Methods** We calculated correlations among expression values of each gene and SLEDAI across the different time points for each patient. With these, we constructed a bi-dimensional inter-patient matrix. We developed a new approach to select genes strongly correlated with SLEDAI in absolute values across all patients as best genes to stratify patients and filter out the remaining. Finally, we obtain the stratification groups applying consensus clustering that estimates the probability of a patient to belong to a given cluster by random seed permutation.

**Results** Longitudinally, lupus patients group into three clusters. The three clusters shared the same mean SLEDAI and had no differences in the clinical parameters comprising the score. Functionally however, the clusters had clearly differentiated gene expression profiles and cellular profiles representing three different mechanisms of disease progression. We tested the stability of the clusters by different validation methods and obtained a high reproducibility and robustness. Our stratification method could be used in the future to establish and re-design lupus clinical trials and treatment, and may be used in any disease with measurable but variable patterns of disease progression. This work has received support from the EU/EFPIA/Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking PRECISESADS grant n°1 15 565.

## S1A:5 MOLECULAR STRATIFICATION OF AUTOIMMUNE DISEASES BASED ON EPIGENETIC PROFILES

<sup>1</sup>G Barturen, <sup>2</sup>M Kerick, <sup>3</sup>D Alvarez-Errico, <sup>4</sup>R Quintares, <sup>1</sup>E Carnero, <sup>5</sup>D Gemperline, <sup>5</sup>E Dow, <sup>6</sup>L Beretta, <sup>7</sup>JO Pers, <sup>7</sup>Y Renadineau, <sup>8</sup>J Frostegard, <sup>9</sup>M Juarez, <sup>1</sup>Clinical Consortium, <sup>1</sup>Flow Cytometry Group, <sup>10</sup>S Rao, <sup>9</sup>C Chamberlain, <sup>11</sup>J Wojcik, <sup>4</sup>A Segura, <sup>2</sup>J Martin, <sup>3</sup>E Ballestar, <sup>1</sup>ME Alarcón-Riquelme. <sup>1</sup>Centro de Genómica e Investigación Oncológica (GENYO), Pfizer-Universidad de Granada-Junta de Andalucía, Granada, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Instituto de Biomedicina y Parasitología López Neyra, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Granada, Spain; <sup>3</sup>Fundacio Institut d'investigació Biomedica de Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Universidad de Granada, Departamento de Química Analítica, Granada, Spain; <sup>5</sup>Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, USA; <sup>6</sup>Referral Centre for Systemic Autoimmune Diseases, Fondazione IRCCS Ca Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico di Milano, Milan, Italy; <sup>7</sup>INSERM ERI29, EA2216, Université de Brest, Labex IGO, CHRU Morvan, Brest, France; <sup>8</sup>Unit of Chronic Diseases, Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Solna, Sweden; <sup>9</sup>UCB, Slough, UK; <sup>10</sup>Genzyme/Sanofi, Boston, USA; <sup>11</sup>QuartzBio, Geneva, Switzerland

10.1136/lupus-2018-abstract.2

Systemic autoimmune diseases (SADs) are a group of chronic inflammatory conditions with autoimmune aetiology and many common clinical features, leading to a difficult diagnosis or deciding the appropriate treatment. Finding new treatments or applying the existing ones in a more effective way is especially hard in SADs due to the heterogeneity of molecular mechanisms within the same disease class. Based on this premise, the first step towards establishing a precision medicine strategy for SADs is to reclassify these conditions at the molecular level, which might result in a more homogenous stratification in terms of pathological molecular pathways.

It is well known that the interplay of DNA methylation patterns and environmental factors, and between these, is determinant in the regulation of the immune system. This, along with the fact that the genetic contribution to disease is dependent on regulatory variants with very small effects, and the low concordance for autoimmunity in monozygotic twins suggests that epigenetic regulation may play an important role in the development of these diseases. Thus, DNA methylation information might be a valuable marker to reclassify the autoimmune disorders molecularly.

We performed an unsupervised clustering analysis of genome-wide DNA methylation profiling of 437 cases distributed across 7 different clinical entities (rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, systemic sclerosis, primary Sjögren's syndrome, primary antiphospholipid antibody syndrome, mixed connective tissue disease and undifferentiated connective tissue disease) and 115 healthy individuals. In this analysis we were able to identify new groups of patients composed of the different clinical diagnoses but with common biological features.

## S1A:6 MCTD AND SLE: SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES

<sup>1</sup>S Reiserer, <sup>1,2</sup>Ø Molberg, <sup>2</sup>R Gunnarsson, <sup>2</sup>K Lerang. <sup>1</sup>Institute of Medicine, University of Oslo, Norway; <sup>2</sup>Department of Rheumatology, Oslo, Norway

10.1136/lupus-2018-abstract.3

**Background** SLE and MCTD are both chronic immune mediated systemic diseases with similar clinical features. We wanted to compare characteristics and morbidity in addition to mortality prediction models in our large and population based cohorts of SLE and MCTD.

**Method** 243 SLE patients from the Oslo SLE cohort and 145 patients from the Norwegian MCTD cohort were included in the study. Clinical features were based on questionnaires and medical records in the SLE cohort and examination by protocol in MCTD patients. Vital status at the end of the study was obtained from the National Population Register of Norway. Cox regression analyses were used to find the predictive factors of mortality. Variables at a significant level of P less than 0.25 were considered a candidate in the prediction model by manual backward elimination procedure in addition to known mortality predictors.

**Results** SLE patients were more often affected by nephritis and leukopenia, while the proportion of Raynaud's phenomenon and Interstitial Lung Disease (ILD) was larger in MCTD (table 1). More males were diagnosed with MCTD. 25 patients died in the SLE cohort after a mean follow-up of 9 (2) years. 26 patients died in the MCTD cohort after a mean (SD) follow-up of 10 (3) years. Predictors of mortality in multivariable analyses were Lupus Nephritis class III to VI, and