

Available at www.sciencedirect.com

### **ScienceDirect**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/IJMYCO





## Chronic infection with non-tuberculous mycobacteria in patients with non-CF bronchiectasis: Comparison with other pathogens

# Giulia Bonaiti, Stefano Aliberti<sup>\*</sup>, Paola Faverio, Sara Lonni, Almerico Marruchella, Sara Annoni, Alberto Pesci

Health Science Department, University of Milan Bicocca, AO San Gerardo, Monza, Italy

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 November 2014 Accepted 23 November 2014 Available online 6 January 2015

Keywords: Bronchiectasis Non-tuberculous mycobacteria NTM Pseudomonas aeruginosa Colonization

### ABSTRACT

Introduction: The aim of this study is to compare characteristics of non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis (NCFBE) patients with chronic infections with non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) versus those with Pseudomonas aeruginosa or other colonizations.

*Methods*: This was an observational, perspective study of consecutive NCFBE adult patients attending the outpatient bronchiectasis clinic at the San Gerardo Hospital in Monza, Italy, during 2012 and 2013. Patients with a chronic infection were included in the study and divided into three groups: those with NTM (Group A); those with P. *aeruginosa* (Group B); and those with other pathogens (Group C). Patients with both NTM and another pathogen were included in Group A. Comparison among the three study groups was performed using  $X^2$  or Fisher exact test for categorical variables or Kruskal–Wallis or Mann–Whitney test for continuous variables.

Results: A total of 146 patients (median age 67 years, 40% males) were enrolled: 19 belonged to Group A, 34 to Group B and 93 to Group C. Within group A, 6 patients had only NTM isolation, 7 patients had NTM and P. *aeruginosa* co-infection and 6 patients had NTM plus another pathogen. The most common isolated pathogens among NTM was *Mycobacterium avium complex* (15 patients, 79%). A total of 4 patients (21%) with NTM were on active treatment. Patients affected by NTM pulmonary infection had a significantly less severe clinical, functional and radiological involvement compared with patients colonized by P. *aeruginosa*, see Table.

Conclusions: Colonization with P. aeruginosa seems to have the highest impact on the clinical, functional and radiological status of patients with NCFBE. No specific characteristics

E-mail address: stefano.aliberti@unimib.it (S. Aliberti).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmyco.2014.11.040

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Health Science Department, University of Milan Bicocca, Respiratory Unit, AO San Gerardo, Via Pergolesi 33, 20052 Monza, Italy. Tel.: +39 0392339284; fax: +39 0392339437.

<sup>2212-5531/© 2015</sup> Asian-African Society for Mycobacteriology. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

	Group A (NTM) n = 19	Group B (P. aeruginosa) n = 34	Group C (Others) n = 93	p Value*	p Value <sup>#</sup>	p Value⁺
Age (years), median (IQR)	70 (64–75)	74 (67–79)	66 (53–72)	0.001	0.172	0.050
Male, n (%)	8 (42)	15 (44)	36 (33)	0.660	-	-
BMI, median (IQR)	22 (19–26)	24 (21–25)	24 (21–27)	0.352	-	-
BSI, median (IQR)	5 (4–9)	12 (8.5–16)	5 (3–7)	0.001	0.001	0.090
Bhalla score,	21 (15–34)	36 (30.5–40.5)	16 (10.5–21.5)	0.001	0.016	0.076
median (IQR)						
Idiopathic etiology, n (%)	8 (42)	11 (32)	37 (40)	0.721	-	-
Post-infective etiology, n (%)	8 (42)	16 (47)	29 (31)	0.244	-	-
Exacerbations/y, median (IQR)	1 (0-2)	2 (1.5–3.5)	2 (1-2)	0.040	0.024	0.132
FEV1%, median (IQR)	85 (59.75–109.5)	58.5 (48.25–74)	84 (62–102)	0.002	0.010	0.857
FVC%, median (IQR)	94.5 (70–109.75)	65 (56–81.5)	88 (69.5–101.5)	0.003	0.003	0.270
*Among the three groups: <sup>#</sup> Group A vs. Group B; <sup>+</sup> Group A vs. Group C; BMI: Body mass index; BSI: bronchiectasis severity index; y: year.						

may help to identify NTM *versus* other pathogen colonizations. Thus, diagnostics for atypical mycobacteria should be performed on all patients with NCFBE, as suggested by recent international guidelines.

© 2015 Asian-African Society for Mycobacteriology. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.