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#### Abstract

By using images acquired by the Mercury dual imaging system (MDIS) on-board the MESSENGER spacecraft during 2008-2015 and available DTMs, a new 1:3,000,000-scale geological map of the Shakespeare quadrangle of Mercury has been compiled. The quadrangle is located between latitudes $22.5^{\circ}-65.0^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and longitudes $270.0^{\circ}-180.0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and covers an area of about 5 million $\mathrm{km}^{2}$. The mapping was based on photo-interpretation performed on a reference monochromatic basemap of reflectance at $166 \mathrm{~m} /$ pixel resolution. The geological features were digitized within a geographic information system with a variable mapping scale between $1: 300,000$ and $1: 600,000$. This quadrangle is characterized by the occurrence of three main types of plains materials and four basin materials (pertaining to the Caloris basin), whose geologic boundaries have been here redefined compared to the previous map of the quadrangle. The stratigraphic relationships between the craters were based on three main degradation morphologies. Furthermore, previously unmapped tectonic landforms were detected and interpreted as thrusts or wrinkle ridges.
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## 1. Introduction

Mercury's surface was photographed for the first time (1974) by the probe Mariner 10 (M10), launched by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). A goal of the M10 mission was the geologic mapping of Mercury's surface (Holt, 1978) that was divided into 15 quadrangles by the International Astronomical Union. The M10 remotely sensed images (best resolution at $1.0-1.5 \mathrm{~km}$, see Head et al., 2007; Spudis \& Guest, 1988; Strom, 1987) revealed an intensely cratered surface attributed to the 'late heavy bombardment' event (see Head et al., 2007; Strom, Trask, \& Guest, 1975) which is thought to have affected the inner solar system about 4 billion years ago (Fassett \& Minton, 2013). Tectonic landforms, such as lobate scarps, high-relief ridges and wrinkle ridges, were recognized (e.g. Melosh \& Dzurisin, 1978; Melosh \& McKinnon, 1988; Pechmann \& Melosh, 1979; Solomon, 1977; Strom et al., 1975; Watters \& Nimmo, 2010) and several 'terrain units’ (see Trask \& Guest, 1975) were distinguished according to their morphologies and textures. With the first geological maps of some quadrangles at $1: 5,000,000$-scale, published in the early 1980s by the United States Geological Survey (USGS), the former 'terrain units' were renamed as 'geologic provinces’ (see McCauley \& Wilhelms, 1971) and defined as: plain materials, basin materials and
crater materials. The units of plain materials were distinguished as smooth, intermediate and intercrater plains materials (De Hon, Scott, \& Underwood, 1981; Guest \& Greeley, 1983; King \& Scott, 1990; McGill \& King, 1983; Schaber \& McCauley, 1980; Trask \& Dzurisin, 1984). Basin materials with peculiar morphologies were distinguished in H 03 and were associated with the Caloris basin (i.e. Caloris Group, see McCauley, Guest, Schaber, Trask, \& Greeley, 1981), the largest impact crater on Mercury (D $\sim 1550 \mathrm{~km}$, see Buczkowski, Denevi, Ernst, Fassett, \& Byrne, 2015; Kerber et al., 2009). In addition to this, craters were mapped according to their degree of degradation and grouped into five classes from the oldest c 1 to the youngest c5 (McCauley et al., 1981).

During the 2008-2015 period, a new data set of images was acquired by the Mercury dual imaging system (MDIS) instrument within the MESSENGER (MErcury Surface, Space ENvironment, GEochemistry, and Ranging) NASA mission (Solomon et al., 2001, 2008).

By using MDIS basemaps, a new 1:3,000,000-scale geological map of the H03 Shakespeare quadrangle (previously mapped at $1: 5,000,000$-scale by Guest \& Greeley, 1983) has been compiled, following criteria adopted for the $1: 3 \mathrm{M}$ geologic mapping of the Raditladi (Mancinelli, Minelli, Pauselli, \& Costanzo, 2016) and

Victoria (Galluzzi et al., 2016) quadrangles. The H03 quadrangle is located at middle-latitude of the northern hemisphere of Mercury and covers an area that represents $6.5 \%$ of the total planet surface. The new map represents the first complete cartographic product of the Shakespeare quadrangle at this scale. The most evident novelty, with respect to the previous published map of the quadrangle (Guest \& Greeley, 1983), is the adoption of a different crater classification which solves some stratigraphic inconsistences of the previous five-class classification. Moreover, a better correlation between morphology and geological boundaries led to a significant redefinition of the spatial distribution of the intercrater and intermediate plain materials.

## 2. Data and methods

### 2.1. Map data

Mapping was based on photo-geologic interpretation of a $166-\mathrm{m} /$ pixel resolution monochrome basemap of the Shakespeare quadrangle (Figure 1(a)), released by the NASA's planetary data system. This basemap, derived from the mosaicking of four BDR (map
projected Basemap reduced Data Record) tiles, represents the MDIS product with the highest resolution available to date. To complete mapping near the quadrangle limits, nine BDR tiles from adjacent quadrangles were mosaicked with the reference basemap to produce $5^{\circ}$ overlap at its boundaries (Figure 1(b)). A suite of a lower resolution basemaps (Table 1) were also consulted because their different lighting conditions have facilitated the mapping. Basemaps were used in combination with available topographic data (two DTMs) produced from MESSENGER Mercury Laser Altimeter (MLA) data and data sets by Zuber et al., 2012. Topography was useful in sectors with non-optimal lighting geometry (e.g. solar incidence angles $<30^{\circ}$ or $>80^{\circ}$ ) where morphologies are either masked by a flat lighting conditions or long shadows. One DTM covers only the northern part of the quadrangle (Figure 2(a)) with a cell-size resolution of 500 m . The other DTM covers the entire quadrangle with a $665-\mathrm{m}$ resolution (Figure 2(b)), although its quality decreases southwards due to the increase towards the equator of the spacing between the MLA tracks (up to $\sim 100 \mathrm{~km}$ at $22.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ). Map data were georeferenced adopting the datum used in the data sets released by the MESSENGER team, in which Mercury's radius ( 2439.7 km ) is


Figure 1. (a) BDR basemap at $\sim 166 \mathrm{~m} /$ pixel resolution of the H 03 quadrangle displayed in Lambert Conformal Conic (LCC) projection with standard parallels at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $58^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. (b) BDR tiles (displayed in Equirectangular projection) mosaicked to obtain the reference basemap with $5^{\circ}$ overlap (red box) at its boundaries. The quadrangles are identified by their code (e.g. $\mathrm{H}-03$, where H is the abbreviation for Hermes), their official name derives from topographic features (e.g. Shakespeare) and their former name (e.g. Caduceata) based on albedo features. The value ( $6.5 \%$ ) represents the percentage of area covered by the quadrangle.

Table 1. List of used basemaps.

| Original basemap | Resolution (m/pixel) | Source |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| MDIS_BDR_256PPD_Hxxdd |  |  |
| 20130514_complete_mono_basemap | 166 | http://pds-imaging.jpl.nasa.gov/data/messenger/msgrmds_4001/ |
| MDIS_v8_750nm_250mpp | 250 | http://messenger.jhuapl.edu/the_mission/mosaics.html |
| MDIS_v7_750nm_250mpp | 250 | http://messenger.jhuapl.edu/the_mission/mosaics.html |
| MDIS_v6_750nm_250mpp | 250 | http://messenger.jhuapl.edu/the_mission/mosaics.html |
| MDIS_v1_hiw_250mpp | 250 | http://messenger.jhuapl.edu/the_mission/mosaics.html |
| MDIS_v1_hie_250mpp | 250 | http://messenger.jhuapl.edu/the_mission/mosaics.html |
| M10Filt_M1_M2_M3_NAC | 250 | http://messenger.jhuapl.edu/the_mission/mosaics.html |
| MDIS_v0_3color | 500 | http:///astrogeology.usgs.gov/maps/mencury-messenger-global-mosaic |
| MDIS_v0a_3color | 332 | http://messenger.jhuapl.edu/the_mission/mosaics.html |
| MDIS_v5_color | 332 | http://messenger.jhuapl.edu/the_mission/mosaics.html |
| Enhanced_color_mosaic | 665 | http://messenger.jhuapl.edu/the_mission/mosaics.html |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{xx}$ indicates the quadrangles and dd indicates the tiles NP, NW, NE, SW, SE shown in Figure 1.


Figure 2. MLA topography available for the H03 quadrangle (Zuber et al., 2012). (a) MLA north pole coverage. (b) MLA global coverage.
approximated to 2440.0 km . The most suitable projection at middle-latitudes is the Lambert conformal conic (LCC, see Davies, Dwornik, Gault, \& Strom, 1978), as it reduces area distortion. The LCC conventionally uses two standard parallels $\left(30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right.$ and $\left.58^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$ fixed at a distance of $1 / 6$ and $5 / 6$ of the latitudinal range (Deetz \& Adams, 1945). The scale of features is accurate along the standard parallels, slightly smaller between them and slightly larger beyond them.

### 2.2. Mapping criteria and geodatabase

The geological features were digitized using Esri ArcGIS where a spatial geodatabase was structured following the USGS guidelines (Tanaka, Skinner, \& Hare, 2011). The geodatabase includes three feature classes containing polylines (linear features and geologic contacts) and polygons (surface features) as shown in Table 2.

Craters were distinguished into those with a diameter between 10 and 20 km ('small') and craters with $D>20 \mathrm{~km}$ ('major'). In agreement with other authors (see Fassett, Kadish, Head, Solomon, \& Strom, 2011), we chosen $D>20 \mathrm{~km}$ to assure that the observed population consists of primary impact craters. On Mercury, secondary craters can have diameters up to, or greater than, 10 km and locally even up to 20 km (Strom et al.,

2011; Strom, Chapman, Merline, Solomon, \& Head, 2008). For small craters only rim crests were mapped, whereas major craters and the related materials were grouped into degradation classes. Instead of the previously used five classes of degradation (M10 c1-c5; see McCauley et al., 1981, recently reviewed by Kinczyk, Prockter, Chapman, \& Susorney, 2016), we used a simplified classification with three degradation classes (c1-c3; see Galluzzi et al., 2016) in which craters and related materials are distinguished according to their overlapping relationships. Crater morphology is primarily controlled by their dimensions and subsequent impacts, thereby smaller craters are more prone to be degraded than larger ones; this might lead to erroneous interpretations of stratigraphic age,

Table 2. Types of mapped features (right column) and the class to which they belong (left column).

| Features classes | Geologic elements |
| :--- | :--- |
| Polylines: linear features | Crests of crater rim <br> Morpho-structures (e.g. faults, wrinkle <br> ridges) <br> Volcanic structures (e.g. irregular pit) <br> Polylines converted to <br> polygons: geologic <br> contacts <br> Unit boundaries <br> Crater materials _ _certain or approximateSecondary crater clusters and crater chains <br> Hollow clusters <br> Bright deposits and light coloured ejecta |

since smaller craters can appear older than they are (see McCauley et al., 1981). Moreover, with the previous classification, degraded craters sometimes superimpose less degraded craters, mostly in heavily cratered terrain. The use of only three classes solves these stratigraphic inconsistencies, because reduces the error in assigning relative ages, privileging stratigraphic order rather than morphological appearance. The new classification groups the youngest fresh craters (c3 - well-preserved craters) and the oldest heavily degraded craters (c1very degraded craters older) into two end-member classes, with the remainder into a class (c2 - moderately degraded craters) which encompasses all the intermediate morphologies, considered stratigraphically coeval (Figure 3(a-c)). Rim crests of large craters, still visible but covered by other units or intensely degraded, were mapped as 'buried or subdued crater rim'.

Based on the dominant contractional nature of Mercury's tectonics (Byrne et al., 2014; Di Achille et al., 2012; Melosh \& Dzurisin, 1978; Spudis \& Guest, 1988), the morpho-structures such as arcuate scarps and lineament systems are commonly interpreted as thrust or high-angle reverse faults (Dzurisin, 1978; Strom et al., 1975; Watters \& Nimmo, 2010; Watters,

Robinson, \& Cook, 1998). The structures were here classified as thrusts and mapped as 'certain' or 'uncertain', whether or not the break in slope was clear and sharp, respectively (Figure 4(a,b)). The structures showing a less prominent ridge within smooth plains and basins were generally mapped as wrinkle ridges.

On the base of rules similar to the ones adopted for structures, the geologic contacts were mapped as 'certain' where they are clear and sharp, or 'approximate' where they are uncertain or gradational. Considering the uneven resolution of the basemap and the final output, the contacts were drawn at a scale varying between $1: 300,000$ and 1:600,000 according to the USGS guidelines (see Tanaka et al., 2011). Other geomorphological elements such as 'hollows' (shallow depressions at subkilometre scale, typically surrounded by bright deposits and generally occurring in impact craters; see Blewett et al., 2011; Thomas, Rothery, Conway, \& Anand, 2014), crater chains and clusters, light coloured ejecta and bright deposits have also been mapped when their width is $>3 \mathrm{~km}$. The geologic units were distinguished according to their morphological aspects and following definitions of previous authors (e.g. Guest \& Greeley, 1983; Spudis \& Guest, 1988).


Figure 3. Type-localities for the three crater classes found within the H03 quadrangle. (a) 'Ahmad Baba' crater ( $232^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 58^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) c3 class. (b) 'Whitman’ crater ( $249^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) c2 class. (c) Unknown crater $\left(239^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 47^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right) \mathrm{c} 1$ class.


Figure 4. (a) Thrust structures that crosscut a c1 crater ( $\mathrm{D} \sim 49 \mathrm{~km}$; centred at $230^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 29^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ). (b) Thrusts were mapped as certain where the break in slope is clear and sharp and as uncertain where the break in slope is less clear and evident.

## 3. Geological background

Spudis (1985; see review by Spudis \& Guest, 1988) defined a Mercurian time-stratigraphic system based on the M10 geologic mapping (Holt, 1978) and the definition and subdivision of the Caloris Group by McCauley et al. (1981). The Caloris Group identifies a complex geologic landform, recognized for the first time by Trask and Guest (1975), which has been associated with the impact region of the Caloris basin. The first geological map of the Shakespeare quadrangle was compiled by Guest and Greeley (1983) using the Caloris Group as a chronostratigraphic marker. From the basin rim outward, several units in Guest and Greeley (1983) were distinguished as follows: Caloris Montes Formation, Nervo Formation, Van Eyck Formation and Odin Formation (see Guest \& Greeley, 1983; McCauley et al., 1981). The Caloris Montes Formation consists of a prominent massif ring composed of individual blocks surrounding the Caloris basin and was interpreted as uplifted bedrock (Trask \& Guest, 1975). The Nervo Formation identifies a unit filling depressions between these mountains and was interpreted as a mixture of fallback material and impact melt (Spudis \& Guest, 1988). The Van Eyck Formation exhibits two main facies: lineated facies (see Trask \& Guest, 1975), formed by a sub-radial pattern of long ridges and grooves well-preserved northeast of the basin, and secondary crater facies (see Schaber \& McCauley, 1980) mapped in the south of the basin along the Tolstoj quadrangle. The Odin Formation was described as hummocky plains characterized by closely spaced gentle hills that extend up to many hundreds of kilometres from the Caloris Montes (Trask \& Guest, 1975). The Van Eyck and Odin formations were considered ejecta of Caloris (Fassett et al., 2009; Guest \& Greeley, 1983; McCauley et al., 1981; Schaber \& McCauley, 1980; Spudis \& Guest, 1988).

The intercrater plain materials display as hummocky texture surface resulting from a high density of superposed impact craters, generally $D<10 \mathrm{~km}$ (Guest \& Greeley, 1983; Spudis \& Guest, 1988). Even though their origin remains debated, they were interpreted as the oldest unit on the planet (Guest \& Greeley, 1983; Head et al., 2007; Spudis \& Guest, 1988; Whitten, Head, Denevi, \& Solomon, 2014). The smooth plain materials were described as flat to gently rolling plains with numerous wrinkle ridges (Spudis \& Guest, 1988; Trask \& Guest, 1975) showing a low density of large and degraded craters. These plains represent the youngest unit (Denevi et al., 2013; Whitten et al., 2014) and is thought to have a volcanic origin (Denevi et al., 2013; Fassett et al., 2009; Head et al., 2009; Spudis \& Guest, 1988; Strom et al., 1975; Trask \& Guest, 1975; Trask \& Strom, 1976; Whitten et al., 2014). The intermediate plain materials were described as flat to rolling plains with fewer superposed craters
than intercrater plain materials (Guest \& Greeley, 1983; Schaber \& McCauley, 1980). They were interpreted as volcanic materials older than smooth plain materials or as shock-melt materials (Guest \& Greeley, 1983; Spudis \& Guest, 1988). Recently, some authors have considered this unit representative of intercrater areas partly flooded by the younger smooth plain materials (Denevi et al., 2013).

Three main types of landforms associated with crustal shortening are recognized on Mercury: lobate scarps, high-relief ridges and wrinkle ridges (Dzurisin, 1978; Melosh \& McKinnon, 1988; Spudis \& Guest, 1988; Strom et al., 1975; Watters, 1988; Watters \& Nimmo, 2010). The lobate scarps are the most represented and prominent tectonic features and consist of sinuous or arcuate scarps with scalloped edges (Spudis \& Guest, 1988; Strom et al., 1975). They have been interpreted as thrust faults that propagate through all plains materials (Massironi, Byrne, \& Van Der Bogert, 2015; Strom et al., 1975; Watters \& Nimmo, 2010). The high-relief ridges are prominent landforms generally with no evidence of significant offset, interpreted as a surface expression of high-angle reverse faults to transpressive faulting (Dzurisin, 1978; Massironi et al., 2015; Melosh \& McKinnon, 1988; Watters \& Nimmo, 2010). They can merge laterally into lobate scarps suggesting a related origin (Byrne et al., 2014; Watters \& Nimmo, 2010; Watters, Cook, \& Robinson, 2001). The wrinkle ridges are landforms related to folding and thrust faulting that show a broad low-relief arch with superimposed ridges and mainly occur in the smooth plains and basin interior plains (Korteniemi, Walsh, \& Hughes, 2015; Watters, 1988; Watters \& Nimmo, 2010). Further, landforms that express extensional deformation were recognized within some large basins like Caloris (e.g. Rembrandt, Rachmaninoff, Raditladi, see Murchie et al., 2008; Prockter et al., 2010; Solomon et al., 2008; Watters et al., 2009c), in which a complex network of linear and sinuous narrow troughs occurs (Blair et al., 2013; Klimczak et al., 2013; Melosh \& McKinnon, 1988; Strom et al., 1975; Watters, Nimmo, \& Robinson, 2005). Several groups of troughs were also observed on smooth plains at high northern latitudes and surrounding Caloris (Head et al., 2011; Klimczak et al., 2012; Watters et al., 2012).

## 4. Shakespeare quadrangle (H03) geologic map

The geological map of the Shakespeare quadrangle (H03) shows the distribution of the units pertaining to plain materials, basin materials and crater materials. The intercrater plain (ICP) and smooth plain (SP) materials are the main plain materials occurring in the quadrangle, whereas the intermediate plain (IMP) materials occur only as small patches, mostly in the
eastern sector of the map (Figure $5(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c})$ ). The basin materials are associated with the Caloris basin (centred at $30.0^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 165.0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, Raditladi quadrangle), the most prominent geomorphological feature of the quadrangle, and are distinguished according to four formations termed with official names (see McCauley et al., 1981). Crater-related materials include central peaks, peak rings, floors and ejecta.

### 4.1. Mapped units

### 4.1.1. Plain materials

The oldest unit in the quadrangle is represented by the ICP materials that are interpreted as remnants of volcanic flows (Denevi et al., 2013). The ICPs are rolling plains with hummocky surface texture generated by the high density of superposed craters. They mainly occur in the eastern sector of the map between and around large c1 and c2 craters. In the central sector of the quadrangle they are surrounded by SPs with sharp contacts, while westward, in the region of Caloris, they are almost absent. The IMP materials display smoothed morphologies and poorly defined boundaries, with more overlapped craters than the SPs. They occur as patches among the ICP in the eastern area of H03 and their outcropping area is less extensive than that mapped in the previous $1: 5 \mathrm{M}$ map of the quadrangle. The SP materials appear from flat to gently rolling and poorly cratered plains extensively outcropping in the northeast of Caloris (Suisei Planitia) and in the central (Sobkou Planitia) and southern (Budh Planitia) sectors of the quadrangle. In the eastern area, they occur as small patches mainly around the Scarlatti crater $\left(259.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 40.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$ and within large old basins. They show sharp contacts with adjacent units (e.g. ICP) but become gradational with the Odin Formation westward. In agreement with previous authors (Guest \& Greeley, 1983; Schaber \& McCauley, 1980), the SP within Caloris (SPc) have been distinguished because they represent a fill unit that obscures
the original floor of the basin (see McCauley et al., 1981) and are affected by extensional deformation. They were interpreted as thick impact-melt sheets (Guest \& Greeley, 1983) or as volcanic materials emplaced after the basin formation (Guest \& Greeley, 1983; Murchie et al., 2008). In agreement with Denevi et al. (2013) and Ostrach et al. (2015), we have also distinguished the northern SP (SPn), confined to the north polar region, because they show an age slightly younger than the other SP. Only a small portion of SPn occurs in the NE corner of the map. The SP and SPn large expanses are thought to be volcanic (Denevi et al., 2013).

### 4.1.2. Basin materials - Caloris Group

As formalized by McCauley et al. (1981), the basin materials in the H 03 quadrangle are associated with the Caloris Group.

The Caloris Montes Formation (CMF) constitutes a prominent mountain ring surrounding the Caloris basin, locally interrupted towards the southeast, from about $26.0^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to $24.0^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $184.0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. This unit forms the rim crest of the basin and it was interpreted as uplifted bedrock (Trask \& Guest, 1975).

The Nervo Formation (NF) is a unit occurring in depressions between CMF massifs showing more rugged morphology than SP. The NF lies topographically above the SPc and the SP surrounding the Caloris basin and its boundaries are not easily recognizable. It was interpreted by Spudis and Guest (1988) as fallback material mixed with impact melt.

The Van Eyck Formation (VEF), named after the homonymous crater $\left(43.2^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 201.8^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$, is only represented by the lineated facies. It consists of long ridges, generally sub-radial to Caloris, and grooves sharply bounded by the adjacent Odin Formation (OF) and SP. In the quadrangle, the VEF extends for about 1000 km eastward from the outer edge of CMF and NF and it was interpreted as ejecta from Caloris (Buczkowski et al., 2015; Fassett et al., 2009).


Figure 5. The range of plain morphologies within the H03 quadrangle. (a) Comparison of textures surface between SP and ICP in the central sector of the quadrangle, highlighted by clear albedo contrasts. (b) Example of SP with slightly corrugated surface texture with respect to the flatter shown in (a). (c) Example of ICP in the eastern sector of the quadrangle and IMP that occur as small patches.

The OF extends for about 850 km outward from the inner edge of the basin and shows two distinct morphological characteristics. In the east sector of the Odin Planitia $\left(24.0^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 195.0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ and slightly north of it $\left(32.0^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right.$, and between $190.0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $205.0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), it is constituted by low and tightly spaced hills (Figure 6(a)), while NE of Caloris it appears as a knobby plain among the VEF ( $40.0^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 190.0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ). The knobs, interpreted as ejecta blocks of Caloris (Fassett et al., 2009; Schaber \& McCauley, 1980), occur within fine texture material (defined 'bottom material' by Guest \& Greeley, 1983) that has identical surface characteristics to that of the SP (Figure 6 (b)). The two morphologies are not easily discernible from each other since they are often compenetrated and their boundaries are difficult to trace. The OF shows gradational boundaries with the adjoining SP.

### 4.1.3. Crater materials

Central peaks, peak rings and ejecta follow the designation of the crater class to which they belong, while crater floors are distinct according to their morphologies and crater density. To assess crater class, rim sharpness and ejecta texture are considered.

Fresh carters with continuous and sharp rims and associated well-preserved ejecta, central peaks and peak rings have been classified as c3. They usually display ejecta blankets, bright ray systems and dark or bright halos. The largest may also display radial secondary craters (i.e. crater chains).

Craters have been classified as c2 have generally continuous rims but are degraded or slightly subdued. Central peaks and peak rings are still recognizable but often superposed by other craters. For this crater class, the distal ejecta are not easily distinguishable, except for the largest craters.

Craters with a strongly degraded rim crest, often discontinuous and just exposed, have been mapped as cl . The rims usually rise above the ICP or as relicts among the SP. Central peaks are seldom recognizable and the ejecta blankets are barely distinguishable from the surrounding ICP. For these craters, secondary crater chains are generally unrecognizable.

Rough and moderately or intensely cratered materials on craters floor have been mapped as hummocky floor materials (cfh). Generally, they correspond to crater wall debris in c3 or to very degraded floors in c2 and c1 craters. Floors that are smooth and flat have been mapped as smooth floor materials (cfs) and form c3 and c2 craters floor or occur as resurfaced floors inside large cl craters.

### 4.2. Relative age estimate and overall stratigraphy

The relative ages have been determined for the SP and ICP by using the method described in Crater Analysis Techniques Working Group (1979) and Neukum (1983). The units' relative ages are expressed by a cumulative size-frequency distribution diagram (CSFD) obtained by using the crater counting technique. We have considered three sample areas for each unit (Figure 7(a)) where the SP and ICP have a good areal extent and representative crater density, but excluded areas of secondary crater clusters. The counted craters have $D \geq 5 \mathrm{~km}$ and do not include crater chains. In accordance with other authors (see Denevi et al., 2013), the plotted data (Figure 7(b)) confirm a younger age of the SP with respect to the ICP (Figure 7(c)).

The mapping process and the above considerations have allowed reconstruction of a relative stratigraphic scheme of the mapped units in the quadrangle (see 'correlation of map units' in the Main Map). In


Figure 6. (a) Low hills of the OF concentric to the rim of Caloris. In some places the boundary with the adjacent SP is gradational (approximate contact marked with dashed line indicated by arrows). (b) OF - knobby facies constituted by small blocks (yellow arrows), interpreted as Caloris ejecta, surrounded by smoothed material. The OF occurs between the ridges and grooves of the VEF.


Figure 7. (a) Six study areas (three for each unit) considered to determine the relative age of the SP and ICP units. (b) CSFDs for SP and ICP. (c) Comparison between the CSFD and the Relative-SFD (Rplot) diagrams of the two units.
agreement with previous authors, we consider the ICP earlier than the end of the Late Heavy Bombardment (Trask \& Guest, 1975), confirming that it is the oldest unit in the quadrangle (see Whitten et al., 2014). Based on previous work, the IMP are considered slightly younger than the ICP (Whitten et al., 2014). Several authors estimated an age of $3.7-3.9 \mathrm{Ga}$ of the SP (Denevi et al., 2013; Fassett et al., 2009; Head et al., 2011; Strom et al., 2008; 2011), that coincides with our estimate based on the crater density distribution. The units of the Caloris Group are considered coeval among them and slightly older than SPc. For SPn's age has been considered the minimum age limit of the SP, setted to 3.69 Ga by Ostrach et al. (2015).

Crater materials are constrained by the superposition of younger craters to older. The relative constraints with other geologic units are given by the observation that the cl craters occur as remnant rims within the SP and the c2 overlap the SP in the central sector of the quadrangle, but they are rare westwards, above the units of the Caloris Group.

### 4.3. Structural features

Thrusts and wrinkle ridges mainly occur within the OF and SP unit in the western sector of the quadrangle. Orientation analyses show that the main trend of thrusts is aligned $\sim \mathrm{N} 40^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, in the area to the NE of Caloris, whereas the wrinkle ridges are mainly aligned $\sim \mathrm{N} 10^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. To the E of Caloris, the azimuth-frequency
diagram shows a preferential NNE-SSW trend for both kinds of structures (Figure 8(a)). In the eastern sector of the quadrangle fewer structures were detected due to a higher surface roughness that made their identification more difficult. Statistical analyses of all structures within the H03 quadrangle show that the main trend is represented by the $\mathrm{N} 40^{\circ}-45^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $\mathrm{N} 15^{\circ}-20^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ azimuthal domain for thrusts and wrinkle ridges, respectively (Figure 8(b)).

## 5. Conclusions

The MESSENGER images have allowed production of a more detailed $1: 3 \mathrm{M}$ cartographic product of the Shakespeare quadrangle with respect to the previous 1:5M scale map (Guest \& Greeley, 1983), based on Mariner 10 images. The craters with $D>20 \mathrm{~km}$ were grouped into three degradation classes (c1-c3) by integrating morphology, craters size and stratigraphy, which allowed us to avoid the contradictions of the previous classification (c1-c5). The c3 craters are the most abundant and widespread over the whole quadrangle, whereas the $c 2$ and $c 1$ craters mainly occur in the eastern sector of the quadrangle, in heavily cratered terrain.

The western sector of the mapped area is occupied by a portion of the Caloris basin and associated ejecta which are embayed and partly covered by SPs. Caloris ejecta appear as a discontinuous annulus around the basin and are widespread from its rim up to $215.0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and between $61.0^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $22.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ outwards.


Figure 8. (a) Distribution of the mapped structures surrounding the Caloris basin and relative azimuth-frequency diagrams showing the main trend for thrusts and wrinkle ridges, respectively. These structures have been gathered according to two preferential orientations: in the NE, NE-SW trending thrusts appear to form a radial pattern with respect to the Caloris basin geometry, whereas the wrinkle ridges are mainly oriented between $\mathrm{N} 10^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $\mathrm{N} 15^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. To the East of the basin, the NNE-SSW oriented thrusts and wrinkle ridges appear to have a non-radial geometry with respect to Caloris. (b) Global distribution of all mapped structures in the H03 quadrangle and relative azimuth-frequency diagrams that show $\mathrm{N} 40^{\circ}-45^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $\mathrm{N} 15^{\circ}-20^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ azimuthal domains for thrusts and wrinkle ridges, respectively.

The SPs also characterize the central sector of the quadrangle, where they are overlapped by c2 and c3 craters. Some of these c3 craters show well-developed bright rays that extend up to $\sim 850 \mathrm{~km}$. The ICPs dominate in the eastern part of the quadrangle, in which also some patches of IMPs occur. The detected morpho-structures, that mostly deform the units around the Caloris basin, have contributed to an assessment of the deformation pattern of the quadrangle and will contribute to better evaluation of past stress states of the planet. This geologic map fills the gap between the two $1: 3,000,000$-scale maps by Mancinelli et al. (2016) and Galluzzi et al. (2016) and can be considered an important support to future advanced local studies and target selection for the scheduled ESA-JAXA BepiColombo mission to Mercury.

## Software

This map was produced using Esri ArcGIS 10.2. The BDR tiles were mosaicked using the USGS Integrated Software for Imagers and Spectrometers version 3 (ISIS3). For crater counting and plotting techniques we used Crater Tools (Kneissl, Van Gasselt, \& Neukum, 2011) and Craterstats2 (see Michael \& Neukum, 2010), respectively. We used Tools for Graphics and Shapes and Polar Plots by Jenness Enterprises (Jenness, 2011, 2014) for azimuthal analysis.
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