

1 Effects of three different diets on the fatty acid profile and sensory properties of fresh Pecorino cheese “Primo Sale”

2

3 Isa Fusaro <sup>1</sup>, Melania Giammarco <sup>1\*</sup>, Michael Odinstov Vaintrub <sup>1</sup>, Matteo Chincarini <sup>1</sup>, Anna Chiara Manetta<sup>1</sup>, Ludovica M.  
4 E. Mammi <sup>2</sup>, Alberto Palmonari <sup>2</sup> Andrea Formigoni <sup>2</sup>, Giorgio Vignola <sup>1</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup>Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Teramo, Località Piano D’Accio, 64100 Teramo, Italy

6 <sup>2</sup>Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, via Tolara di Sopra 50, 40064  
7 Ozzano Emilia, Bologna, Italy

8

9

10 Isa Fusaro <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2532-7240>

11 Melania Giammarco <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9256-8685>

12 Michael Odinstov Vaintrub <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4098-6807>

13 Matteo Chincarini <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6369-4992>

14 Anna Chiara Manetta <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8247-7053>

15 Ludovica Maria Eugenia Mammi <https://Orcid.Org/0000-0002-7344-0686>

16 Alberto Palmonari <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3735-8826>

17 Andrea Formigoni <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8109-2482>

18 Giorgio Vignola <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6859-2351>

19

20 **\*Corresponding Author:** Melania Giammarco

21 **Tel** +39-0861266959 **Fax:** +39-0861266928

22 **Email:** mail mgiammarco@unite.it

23

24 The present study has been carried out in the framework of the Project “Demetra” (Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2018–2022,  
25 CUP\_C46C18000530001), funded by the Italian Ministry for Education, University and Research

26

27

28 **Running title:** Fatty Acids and sensory properties of Pecorino Primo Sale

29

30 **ABSTRACT**

31

32 **Objective:** This study aimed to evaluate and compare the effects of three different diets on the fatty acid (FA) profile and  
33 sensory properties of a characteristic Italian fresh cheese: Pecorino "Primo Sale" (PS).

34 **Methods:** Fifty-four sheep were divided into three feeding groups: total mixed ration (TMR) enriched with extruded linseed  
35 (TL), control diet with TMR without any integration (TC), and pasture (P). During cheese production, six cheeses per  
36 experimental group were produced each week, stored for 10 days at 4 °C, and then analyzed for chemical composition, FA  
37 profile, and sensory properties.

38 **Results:** Saturated fatty acids (SFA) were significantly higher in PS from group TC (82.11%) than in cheese from other two  
39 groups (P 75.48% and TL 66.83%). TL and P groups presented higher values of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), 4.35  
40 and 3.65%, respectively, than that of TC group (2.31%). The lowest SFA/UFA ratio was found in TL and P groups, while  
41 the highest was found in the TC group. Vaccenic acid and conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) were higher in group P ( $p < 0.05$ )  
42 than in groups L and TC. Sensory properties of cheese from group P received the highest scores for odor intensity and  
43 friability, while group F had a greater chewing consistency. Overall, all cheeses received good scores for acceptability.

44 **Conclusion:** In conclusion, this study showed how the integration of extruded linseed improved the FA profile of fresh  
45 pecorino cheese PS preserving its sensory properties. Levels of CLA in the PS group achieved using this approach was not  
46 higher than that in a grazing diet. Cheeses from groups P and L contained a higher value of FA, with nutritional implications  
47 for humans, when compared with un-supplemented diet. Linseed may be a good feeding strategy when pasture is not  
48 available.

49 **Keywords:** Pecorino Primo Sale, fresh cheese, extruded linseed, fatty acids, pasture, sensory properties

50 -

51

52

53

54

55

56

메모 [11]: As the Reviewer suggested we have revised this sentences

57 **INTRODUCTION**

58

59 The Mediterranean diet includes several types of fresh cheese products, such as Pecorino “Primo Sale (PS)”, which is a  
60 fresh cheese made from sheep’s milk characterized by a white color and without an evident rind. The name itself means  
61 “first salt” and is used to describe a cheese that is consumed immediately after the first salting with a short storage period  
62 (maximum 15–20 days) and differs from other varieties of Pecorino cheese, which usually have a long period of ripening  
63 (greater than 60 days). It is a product typical of Central and Southern Italy, where the most common dairy sheep system  
64 used to produce milk for pecorino cheese manufacture, like PS, includes native or cultivated pastures. The use of pasture  
65 has a key role in milk and dairy products, remarkably improving nutraceutical compounds, such as polyunsaturated fatty  
66 acids (PUFA) [1]. Usually, the concentration of PUFA in dairy foods can be increased with pasture or with the use of  
67 extruded linseed (EL) supplementation in the ewes’ diet [1]. EL supplementation in the diet enhances the production of the  
68 most important fatty acids (FA) for human health, such as rumenic acid (cis 9 trans11 C18:2), an isomer of conjugated  
69 linoleic acid (CLA) and PUFA n-3 (including eicosapentaenoic acid, EPA, and docosahexaenoic acid, DHA) [2].  
70 Studies have highlighted these FAs for their effects at reducing low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and cholesterol as well as for  
71 their anti-inflammatory, anti-atherogenic, and anti-carcinogenic effects in humans [2].  
72 Therefore, producers are interested in obtaining fresh cheese with healthy characteristics throughout the year, especially  
73 when pasture is not available, as occurs during the winter or summer in Southern and Central Italy. To the best of our  
74 knowledge, no studies reported in the literature have compared the fresh pecorino PS obtained from grazing sheep with that  
75 from sheep fed indoors with a supplemented or un-supplemented diet.  
76 The aim of this study was to evaluate and compare the FA profile and sensory properties of pecorino PS from sheep fed a  
77 diet supplemented with linseed or an un-supplemented diet (farm ration) and from grazing animals.

78

79 **Materials and Methods**

80

81 **Animals, experimental diets, and feeding routine**

82 The experiment was performed according to Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament (European Union, 2010) and  
83 Directive 86/609/EEC (European Economic Community, 1986), which is associated with the protection of animals used for  
84 scientific purposes. No animals were sacrificed during this study, which was carried out in accordance with the guidelines

85 provided by the Animal Welfare Committee of the University of Teramo, Italy. The study was conducted at a farm located  
86 in the Latium region, province of Viterbo (Italy), over a period of approximately 80 days. The 80-day period was considered  
87 appropriate because oilseed supplementation in dairy animals is a two-stage process that requires at least 20 days for the diet  
88 change to exert an effect [3].

89 Three-weeks before the expected date of parturition, 54 Comisana ewes were randomly divided into three homogeneous  
90 groups, balanced for age ( $32 \pm 2$  months), body weight ( $47.5 \pm 1.2$  kg), and number of lactations ( $2.3 \pm 0.5$ ). The selected  
91 ewes were randomly allotted to the following three experimental treatment groups (18 sheep per group):

92 1) P – pasture group: ewes had daily access to pasture for 22 h/day without supplementation (average stocking rate: 15  
93 ewes/ha). The pasture primarily consisted of Sulla (*Hedysarum coronarium*) as well as oats (*Avena sativa*) and clover  
94 (*Trifolium incarnatum*) seeded the previous fall. This composition is typical for pastures in the Latium region.

95 2) TC – control group: ewes had no access to pasture but were housed in straw-bedded pens and received a winter ration as  
96 practiced in Central Italy. Ingredients of the total mix ration (TMR-C) were grass hay at 1100 and 800 g/day of concentrate-  
97 based meal (oat, barley, and soybean) without added fats or supplements.

98 3) TL – linseed-enriched group: ewes had no access to pasture but were housed in straw-bedded pens and received a winter  
99 ration as practiced in Central Italy with addition of extruded linseed. The ingredients of the TMR-L were grass hay at 1100  
100 and 800 g/day of concentrate-based meal with the addition of 0.190 kg of extruded linseed. Linseed, ground to pass through  
101 a 4-mm screen, was extruded in a single screw extruder with a throughput of 1600 kg/h (barrel length: 3.2 m; die diameter:  
102 7 mm; screw speed: 300 rpm; temperature at the end of the barrel: 130–138 °C; duration: 1 min). After extrusion, the  
103 product was dried in a counter flow cooler for 12 min. The ingredients and the chemical compositions of the three diets are  
104 showed in Table 1.

105

#### 106 **Primo Sale sampling**

107 Following the removal of lambs at 4 weeks of age, the ewes were machine-milked twice a day at 6 a.m. and 6 p.m. From  
108 weeks 5 to 8, milk was collected daily from ewes in each treatment group and refrigerated for pecorino production three  
109 times a week. Following each cheese-making process, six pieces of cheese, about 1 kg each, were taken from each  
110 experimental group per week, stored for 10 days at 4 °C, and then analyzed.

111 Briefly, bulk milk was pasteurized at 72 °C for 20 s, cooled to the coagulation temperature (37–39 °C), and then transferred  
112 to a container with 500 UI/5000 L of combined thermophilic and mesophilic starter cultures (WhiteDaily™, Chr Hansen,

113 Hoersholm, Denmark). After acidification, rennet (15 g/100 kg; 75% of chymosin, and 25% of pepsin; 1:18000 strength;  
114 Clerici, Cadorago, Italy) was added to the milk; coagulation began after 30 min of incubation. The curd was broken into  
115 small pieces the size of hazelnuts, portioned in aliquots of 1 kg, transferred into plastic molds, and kept at  $48 \pm 1.5$  °C until  
116 the pH reached  $5.20 \pm 0.1$ . Then, a 20% NaCl water solution was used to salt the cheese in brine. Thereafter, the salted fresh  
117 cheese was stored at  $4$  °C  $\pm 0.5$ .

118

#### 119 **Chemical analysis of the diets and Primo Sale composition**

120 During the trial, samples of pasture and total mixed ration were collected and analyzed for dry matter (DM) content, crude  
121 protein (CP), and ether extract (EE), following the official methods of the AOAC 1990 (Association of Official Analytical  
122 Chemist) [4]. Neutral detergent fibre (NDF), acid detergent fibre (ADF), and lignin (ADL) were analyzed as described by  
123 Van Soest et al. [5]. DM, total proteins, and ash levels were determined according to AOAC International for the cheeses  
124 [6].

125 Cheese lipids were extracted by acid hydrolysis with 20 mL of ethanol and 500  $\mu$ L of hydrochloric acid 3 N. FAME were  
126 extracted using a mix of chloroform and methanol (2:1, vol/vol). Methylation included 70 mg of lipids reconstituted with 1  
127 mL of hexane and 500  $\mu$ L of sodium methoxide in methanol (1:1, vol/vol). Separation of FAME was performed by GC  
128 using a gas chromatograph (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with a capillary column (Restek Rt-2560  
129 Column fused silica 100 m  $\times$  0.25 mm highly polar phase; Restek Corporation, 108 Bellefonte, PA, USA) and a flame  
130 ionization detector (FID). The initial oven temperature was 55 °C, which was held for 4 min and subsequently increased to  
131 175 °C at a rate of 13 °C  $\text{min}^{-1}$ , where it was held for 27 min, increased to 215 °C at a rate of 4 °C  $\text{min}^{-1}$ , and then held for 45  
132 min. Hydrogen was used as the carrier gas and the column head pressure was 175 kPa. Fatty acids were identified by  
133 comparing their retention times with the fatty acid methyl standards (FIM-FAME-7-Mix, Matreya LLC, Pleasant Gap, PA),  
134 and C18:1 trans-11, C18:2 cis-9,trans-11, C18:2 cis-9,cis-11, C18:2 trans-9, trans-11, and C18:2 trans-10, cis-12 (Matreya  
135 LLC), and peak areas were quantified using Agilent Chemstation software.

136 The surface color of cheeses was measured using a Minolta Chromometer CR-300 (Minolta, Osaka, Japan) with CIELAB  
137  $L^*a^*b^*$  values [7]. The measure of lightness ( $L^*$  values, range 0–100) represents black to white, the measure of redness ( $a^*$   
138 values) describes green to red, and the measure of yellowness ( $b^*$  values) represents blue to yellow.

139 Color measurements were made on fresh cut surfaces and represent the mean of three measurements performed on the  
140 cross-section of the cheese.

141

142 **Evaluation of lipid oxidation in cheese by TBARS-test**

143 Thio-barbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) were measured using a method described by Kristensen and Skibsted [8]  
144 to determine lipid oxidation in cheese . An aliquot of 6.0 g of cheese, exactly weighted, was taken from each sample, 18.00  
145 mL of previously prepared TBA reagent was added, and the resulting mixture was homogenized using an Ultra Turrax  
146 (Heidolph, DiAx 600, Germany) for 2 min until the mixture appeared to be homogeneous. An aliquot (6 mL) of the  
147 suspension was transferred to a Pyrex tube, mixed with 3.5 mL of chloroform, and then mixed gently for 5 min.  
148 Subsequently, the mixture was centrifuged at 6000 ×g for 15 min.. The aqueous solution was placed in a water bath at  
149 100 °C for 10 min and then cooled on ice. The orange-red cyclohexan supernatant was decanted and the absorbance at 532  
150 nm was measured by spectrophotometry (UV-Visible Spectrophotometer, Shimadzu, UV-160A). The results were  
151 expressed as absorbance units at 532 nm per gram of cheese.

152

153 **Primo Sale” sensory properties and acceptability test**

154 The sensory properties of cheese were analyzed using a previously described method, with adaptations [9]. The assessment  
155 was performed by a sensory panel composed of 15 panelists, trained to follow the criteria of ISO 8586-1:1993 standards  
156 [10]. The panelists were not provided with any information regarding the samples to be tasted. In the test, cheese cube  
157 samples (1 cm<sup>3</sup>) were served in random order in a sensory analysis laboratory at room temperature (18 ± 1 °C). A 0–9 scale  
158 was used for to quantify each sensory attribute, with 0 referring to the minimum intensity and 9 to the maximum intensity,  
159 according to sensory analysis methodology [11]. The attributes were: color, paste homogeneity, greasiness, odor intensity,  
160 foreign aromatic smells, chewing consistency, friability, saltiness, acidity, bitterness, sweetness, foreign tastes, and overall  
161 acceptability.

162 Primo Sale cheeses were also evaluated for consumer acceptability [12]. A total of 100 untrained consumers aged 35–45  
163 years and balanced for sex participated in the test. Cubed (1 cm<sup>3</sup>) cheese samples were coded with three-digit random  
164 numbers and served in a sensory analysis laboratory at room temperature (18 ± 1 °C). Each panelist was also provided with  
165 unsalted crackers and a glass of water. For each product, consumers expressed their overall liking and dislike according to  
166 the following sensory inputs: appearance, taste/ flavor, and texture. Consumers rated their liking on a nine-point hedonic  
167 scale labeled on the left end with “extremely unpleasant” [1], at the right end with “extremely pleasant” [9], and in the  
168 center with “neither pleasant nor unpleasant” [10].

169

## 170 **Statistical analysis**

171 Prior to statistical analysis the data on FA composition were processed and the following FA classes and indices were  
172 calculated: MUFA (all FAs with a single double bond), PUFA (all FAs with more than one double bond); SFA (all FAs  
173 without double bonds); UFA (all FAs with one or more double bonds); n-3 ( $\Sigma$  C18:2 t11, c15 + C18:2 c9, c15 + C18:3 c9,  
174 c12,c15 + C:22:5 c7, c10, c13, c16, c19 + EPA + DHA); n-6 ( $\Sigma$  C18:2t9, t12 + C18:2 c9, t12 + C18:2 t9, c12 + C18:2 c9,  
175 c12 + CLA t10,c12 + C20:2 c11, c14 + C20:3 c8, c11, c14 + C20:4 c5, c8, c11, c14); the atherogenic index (AI) was  
176 calculated according to Ulbricht and Southgate [13], as follows:  $(C12:0 + 4 \times C14:0 + C16:0) / (\text{monounsaturated} +$   
177  $\text{polyunsaturated fatty acids})$ ; I-Harris index as a sum of EPA and DHA (14).

178 All data on the chemical and fatty acid composition of cheese were analyzed using GLM Repeated Measures of the SPSS  
179 version 13.0 statistical package, including the fixed effects of dietary treatment and sampling time. The effect of cheese  
180 making time is not reported in the tables because it was not significant. The significance of the fixed effects is presented for  
181 each parameter evaluated and the variance is expressed as standard error. When the variance analysis was significant ( $p <$   
182  $0,05$ ) the differences between the means were compared by the LSD test.

183 Sensory and acceptability data were normalized and subjected to analysis of variance for repeated measures with diet as the  
184 sole factor. Duncan's test was used to determine the whether the groups were significantly different from each other.

185

## 186 **RESULTS**

187

### 188 **Chemical composition of Primo Sale**

189 The chemical composition of PS is shown in Table 2. The percentage of crude protein (CP) differed between the three  
190 groups at 37.59% (TL), 40.86% (TC), and 45.05% (P). The total fat percentage of the PS was affected ( $p < 0.05$ ) by dietary  
191 treatment; cheeses made from milk of the TL and TC groups had a higher fat content (47.30 and 48.41%, respectively) than  
192 cheese from the P group (44.32%). There was no significant difference in the dry matter of the cheeses between the groups,  
193 at 57.62, 59.64, and 57.32%, in the TC, TL, and P groups, respectively,

194 The TBARS, pH, water activity (aw), and acidity of PS were not influenced by dietary treatment. Conversely cheeses from  
195 the TL group presented higher luminosity ( $L^*$ ) than those from the TC and P groups, while cheese from the P group

196 presented higher levels of response on the yellow-blue scale (b\*). A negative value on the red-green scale (a\*) was recorded  
197 for all three groups, with no significant difference.

198

#### 199 **Fatty acid profile of PS**

200 FA analysis of PS is shown in Table 3. The proportion of total SFA was higher in PS ( $p < 0.05$ ) from the TC group  
201 (82.11%) compared with the products obtained from sheep-fed pasture (75.48%) or extruded linseed diets (66.83%). A  
202 significantly higher concentration of PUFA ( $p < 0.001$ ) was observed in cheese from the TL group (4.35%) compared with  
203 cheese from the TC group (2.31%), whereas an intermediate value was observed with P treatment (3.55%). Overall, the  
204 higher ratio of SFA/UFA was found in the TL and P groups, which were very similar (2.02% and 2.58%, respectively),  
205 whilst the lowest was found in the TC group (3.20%). The content of n-3 FA was significantly higher ( $p < 0.001$ ) in PS  
206 from the TL group than in PS from the TC and P groups; in the latter group, the n-3 content was at an intermediate level.

207 Significantly higher levels ( $p < 0.05$ ) of vaccenic acid (VA) and CLA were observed in the PS from group P compared to  
208 that from group TC, while the values of VA and CLA from group TL were higher than those from TC but lower than those  
209 from P ( $p < 0.05$ ). The I-Harris index, which is the sum of EPA+DHA, was significantly higher in PS from the P and TL  
210 groups than in PS from the TC group ( $p < 0.05$ ). The AI was lower ( $p < 0.001$ ) in the L group than in the TC group, whereas  
211 the value in the P group was slightly higher than that in the TL group.

212

#### 213 **Sensory properties of PS**

214 The sensory properties of cheese are shown in Table 4. Extruded linseed supplementation and pasture diet affected the color  
215 and paste homogeneity ( $p < 0.05$ ). Color homogeneity was higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) in groups P and TL than in group TC, while  
216 paste homogeneity was lower ( $p < 0.05$ ) in group TC than in groups P and TL. Higher scores ( $p < 0.05$ ) in cheese of group  
217 TC compared to that from groups P and TL were registered for chewing consistency. Friability was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ )  
218 higher in groups P and TL. PS from group P also scored higher for odor intensity ( $p < 0.05$ ). All cheeses received good  
219 scores for their overall acceptability, with no statistically significant differences in the mean values between groups P and  
220 TL, which were 6.38 for TL and 6.48 for P.

221

222

223



224 **DISCUSSION**

225

226 Pasture influenced the CP content of cheese ( $p < 0.05$ ), and this effect could be due to the different composition of the diet.

227 The content of NDF and lignin (ADL) are lower in pasture; therefore, our hypothesis is that the diet with pasture resulted in

228 higher digestibility, which can also influence the total dry matter intake and the nutrient availability for rumen microbiota

229 and consequently for animals. The EE of cheeses was due to lower levels of NDF in the P diet, which can reduce acetate

230 production in the rumen. As expected, the fatty acid composition in pasture is characterized by the highest content of

231 linolenic acid, which can influence the bio-hydrogenation process in the rumen leading the production of some

232 intermediates (C18:2 trans 10, cis 12) that can depress the *de novo* synthesis of fatty acids in the mammary gland. These

233 results are consistent with previous results in sheep and cows [15,16]. This response has been previously reported in dairy

234 sheep fed fresh Italian ryegrass with a low level of NDF, as in the present study [17].

235 There was no difference in the TBARS value between the three groups of cheese. These results may be due to the freshness

236 of PS (10 days of aging), with a low level of lipid oxidation, which normally occurs after long periods of seasoning [18].

237 The literature report that a high concentration of PUFA and a long ripening time predispose the cheese to a greater lipid

238 oxidation [19]. These results indicated that in a fresh cheese with a high PUFA concentration, such as those of groups P and

239 TL, the oxidation level does not differ compared to that in cheese with poor PUFA, such as the cheese from group TC.

240 Instrumental color analysis revealed several differences between three groups. The  $b^*$  values were significantly higher in PS

241 from the P group compared with that from the other groups, which was not surprising due to the high levels of carotenoids

242 present in the pasture-based diet. Similar results were found in cheese from grazing cows [20]. Lightness values ( $L^*$ ) of

243 linseed-enriched products have provided contrasting results in other studies. In our study, color differences among groups

244 could be due to the higher concentration of SFA in unsupplemented cheeses than in those from groups P and TL. Hurtoud

245 and collaborators [21] reported no significant effects of linseed supplementation on the color analysis of butter samples,

246 while Lerch et al. [22] observed higher L values in milk products from cows supplemented with EL. The level of EL

247 integration in the diet could influence the color parameters. Based on our experience, we suggest that integration of 0.190

248 kg/capo/die could affect the instrumental color of PS.

249 There were significant differences in FA, which has nutritional implications for human health (Table 3). The significantly lower

250 SFA content in group TL compared to that in groups P and TC indicate a healthier cheese product. A meta-analysis

251 investigated the association between milk and dairy consumption and cardiovascular diseases (CVD), and reported that high

252 levels of SFA in dairy products are associated with a high risk of CVD [2]. Linseed supplementation and pasture resulted in  
253 a lower SFA/UFA ratio compared to the TC group, due to the lower concentration of SFA in P and TL, and the higher value  
254 of PUFA and MUFA compared to the TC group. These results are consistent with those of Cabiddu et al. [17]. The  
255 scientific literature state that dairy products enriched with n-3FA are considered healthy. Therefore, the levels of these  
256 beneficial fatty acids are fundamental in the prevention of CVD; however, unfortunately, in milk products the  
257 concentrations of EPA and DHA are highly variable compared to fish and vegetable oils [10]. Our results revealed a higher  
258 value for I-Harris index (the sum of EPA and DHA) in P and TL groups compared to the TC group; EPA and DHA  
259 represent the major n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, which play key physiological roles in health and disease. These two  
260 FAs are the elongation-desaturation products of n-3 FAs, such as alfa linoleic acid (ALA); in human tissue only 5–15% of  
261 ALA could be converted in EPA and less than 1% of ALA is reliably converted to DHA [23]. ALA is a major component of  
262 n-3 FAs; therefore, it is important to obtain dairy products with high n-3FAs concentration. Cabiddu et al. [17] found a  
263 better n-3 FA profile in milk from fresh pasture, whilst our results showed that the n-3FA content was higher in cheese from  
264 the TL group compared to that from the P group. This is probably due to a different kind of pasture, which in our study, was  
265 a mixture of legumes and sulla while in that of Cabiddu and coworkers [17] was the Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*),  
266 underlining the better ALA/LNA ratio in pasture compared to the supplemented groups.

267 The higher value of C18:1 trans-11 (VA) in PS from groups P and TL compared with that from TC was not surprising,  
268 because specific C18 chains are found at higher concentrations in these diets. VA is a common intermediate in the  
269 biohydrogenation of both linoleic and linolenic acids, and the concentration of VA in milk is directly related to the diet [24].  
270 The higher concentration of VA in group P than in groups TC and TL may be due to the increased levels of *Butyrivibrio*  
271 *fibrisolvens* in the rumen of sheep fed with pasture. *B. fibrisolvens* is responsible for the hydrogenation of linoleic acid and  
272 linolenic to vaccenic acid (25). In our studies, it is possible that linoleic acid contained in extruded linseed was not easily  
273 accessible to ruminal microbiota or encumbered the action of *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* differently than did pasture [25].  
274 However, pasture may not be a stable source of FA, and cheese FA quality tends to vary during the year, following seasonal  
275 grazing quality and availability. Among the cheese obtained from barn-held ewes, the PS of group TL had a higher content  
276 of VA, which is directly associated with the positive effect of linseed on C:18 FA isomers in the milk of dairy animals.  
277 Similarly, the levels of rumenic acid, the principal isomer of CLA, were significantly higher in PS from group P ( $p < 0.05$ )  
278 than in PS from group TC. These results demonstrate that the use of linseed or pasture can improve the concentration of  
279 CLA in fresh pecorino cheese PS better than an unsupplemented diet.

280 Most previous studies on the sensory properties of Pecorino were conducted on ripening pecorino cheese. This was the first  
281 study to investigate fresh pecorino cheese obtained with different feeding regimes and the effects of animal diets on cheese  
282 sensory properties. Cheese from groups TC and TL received lower color scores. This may be due to the higher  
283 concentration of carotenoids in pasture. Carotene is a pigment present in large amounts in green forage, which contributes to  
284 the yellow coloration of dairy products [26]. The higher yellowness intensity perceived by panelists for P cheeses confirmed  
285 the results concerning instrumental color (Table 2), with a higher  $b^*$  index reported for the same cheeses. The high score for  
286 chewing consistency of cheeses from group TC could be attributed to the higher content of SFA, which gives the cheese a  
287 more solid structure. Caroprese and co-workers [27] found that PUFA- and CLA-enriched butter and cheese were softer and  
288 less firm than conventional butter and cheese. The higher friability values of cheeses from groups P and TL could also be  
289 correlated to the less rigid structure due to the higher PUFA content, making it less difficult to fracture compared to that of  
290 the TC group. According to Esposito et al. [28], the pasture-based diet resulted in a less difficulty to fracture, moreover, it  
291 received a higher score for floral and herbaceous odors. Similar results were obtained by Bonanno et al. [29]. The odor  
292 intensity was significantly higher in cheese from group P, with no significant differences observed between groups TC and  
293 TL. The impact of pasture on odor intensity has been documented for other cheese products [30]. Overall, all cheeses  
294 received positive evaluations for acceptability, even if untrained consumers were not able to detect the differences that  
295 could be discriminated by the trained panelists between PS cheeses.

296

## 297 **CONCLUSION**

298 This work highlighted how the use of extruded linseed influenced the fatty acid composition of fresh pecorino cheese  
299 “Primo Sale.” The most interesting aspect of the present study was the comparison of fatty acid profiles of cheese obtained  
300 from ewes fed an extruded linseed and pasture diet. In fact, extruded linseed supplementation was more effective at  
301 reducing the SFA and increasing the PUFA n-3 FA in cheese compared to that obtained from pasture ewes, indicating that  
302 consumption of these products may have a positive effect on human health.

303 However, levels of CLA in PS achieved using this approach were not higher than that in a grazing diet. This study  
304 demonstrated that the integration of sheep diets with linseed improved the fatty acid profile of fresh pecorino cheese “Primo  
305 Sale” and could be a good feeding strategy to apply in ewe farms when pasture is not available.

306 Nevertheless, pasture is a viable option relative to an unsupplemented diet because it combines a good product quality with  
307 low feeding costs. The cheese obtained from grazing animals received a high score for color and odor perception by trained  
308 panelists and received a good score for acceptability as the PS cheeses obtained from other two treatment groups.

309

#### 310 **Acknowledgements**

311

312 The authors gratefully acknowledge the collaboration of all technical staff working at the Laboratories of Food and Feed  
313 Chemical analysis of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine of Teramo (Italy), with special thanks to Dr. Lorella Di Giuseppe.

314 We also thank the “Azienda Agricola Gasbarri” for providing farm support.

315

#### 316 **Conflict of interest statement**

317 None of the authors report a financial or personal relationship with other individuals or organizations that could  
318 inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360

**References**

1. Cabiddu A, Decandia M, Addis M, Piredda G, Pirisi A, Molle G. Managing Mediterranean pastures in order to enhance the level of beneficial fatty acids in sheep milk. *Small Rum Res* 2005;59:69–180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2005.05.005>

2. Wang Y, Jacome-Sosa MM, Proctor SD. The role of ruminant trans fat as a potential nutraceutical in the prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Food Res Int* 2012;46:460-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.08.019>

3. Harvatine KJ, Boisclair YR, Bauman DE. Recent advances in the regulation of milk fat synthesis. *Animal* 2009;3:40-54. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731108003133>

4. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis. 15th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, VA. 1990.

5. Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J Dairy Sci* 1991;74:3583-97. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(91\)78551-2](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78551-2)

6. AOAC International. Official methods of analysis AOAC International. 17th ed. Washington, DC; 2000.

7. Hunter RS. Scales for measurements of color differences. *Measurements for appearances journal*; Wiley Ed; 1975.

8. Kristensen D, Skibsted LH. Comparison of three methods based on electron spin resonance spectrometry for evaluation of oxidative stability of processed cheese. *J Agric Food Chem* 1999;47:3099-104. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf981396p>

- 361 9. Branciaro R, Mughetti L, Ranucci D, et al. Influence of manufacturing procedure on the compositional and sensory  
362 properties of n-3 fatty acid-enriched Pecorino cheese. J. Dairy Res 2014;81:455-61.  
363 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002202991400048X>  
364
- 365 10. ISO. 8586-1: Assessors for Sensory Analysis, Part 1. Guide to the Selection, Training and Monitoring of Selected  
366 Assessors. International Organization for Standardization, Paris; 1993.  
367
- 368 11. ISO 13299 Standards 2003 Sensory analysis—Methodology—General guidance for establishing a sensory profile.  
369 Gebneva, International Standard Organization; 2003.  
370
- 371 12. Kähkönen P, Tuorila H, Rita H. How information enhances acceptability of a low-fat spread. Food Qual Prefer.  
372 1996;7:87–94. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-3293\(96\)90204-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-3293(96)90204-9)
- 373 12 Ulbricht TLV, Southgate DAT Coronary heart disease: seven dietary factors. Lancet 1991;338:985-92.  
374 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736\(91\)91846-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(91)91846-M)  
375
- 376 14. Harris WS, Assad B, Poston C. Tissue omega-6/omega-3 fatty acid ratio and risk for coronary heart disease. Am J  
377 Cardiol 2006;98:191–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.12.023>  
378
- 379 15. Gomez-Cortes P, Bach A, Luna P, Juarez M, de la Fuente MA. Effects of extruded linseed supplementation on n-3  
380 fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acid in milk and cheese from ewes. J Dairy Sci 2009;92:4122-34.  
381 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2008-1909>  
382
- 383 16. Salfer JJ, Morelli MC, Ying Y, Allen MS, Harvatine KJ. The effects of source and concentration of dietary fiber,  
384 starch, and fatty acids on the daily patterns of feed intake, rumination, and rumen pH in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci  
385 2018;101:11-21. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15071>  
386

- 387 [17. Cabiddu A, Addis M, Fiori M, Spada S, Decandia M, Molle G. Pros and cons of the supplementation with oilseed](#)  
388 [enriched concentrates on milk fatty acid profile of dairy sheep grazing Mediterranean pastures. \*Small Rumin Res\*](#)  
389 [2017;147:63-72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2016.11.019>](#)  
390
- 391 [18. Collins YF, McSweeney PLH, Wilkinson MG. Lipolysis and free fatty acid catabolism in cheese: a review of](#)  
392 [current knowledge. \*Int Dairy J\* 2003;13:841-66. \[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946\\(03\\)00109-2\]\(https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946\(03\)00109-2\)](#)  
393
- 394 [19. Bendall JG. Aroma compounds of fresh milk from New Zealand cows fed different diets. \*J Agric Food Chem\*](#)  
395 [2001;49:4825-32. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf010334n>](#)  
396
- 397 [20. Martin B, Verdier-Metz I, Buchin S, Hurtaud C, Coulon JB. How do the nature of forages and pasture diversity](#)  
398 [influence the sensory quality of dairy livestock products? \*Anim Sci\* 2005;81:205-12. <https://doi.org/10.1079/ASC50800205>](#)  
399
- 400 [21. Hurtaud C, Chesneau G, Coulmier D, Peyraud JL. Effects of extruded linseed or alfalfa protein concentrate in](#)  
401 [interaction with two levels of concentrates on milk production and composition in dairy cows. \*Livestock Sci\* 2013;158:64-](#)  
402 [73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2013.10.002>](#)  
403
- 404 [22. Lerch S, Ferlay A, Graulet B, et al. Extruded linseeds, vitamin E and plant extracts in corn silage-based diets of](#)  
405 [dairy cows: Effects on sensory properties of raw milk and uncooked pressed cheese. \*Int Dairy J\* 2015;51:65-74.](#)  
406 [<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2015.07.006>](#)  
407
- 408 [23. Vannice G, Rasmussen H. Position of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics: dietary fatty acids for healthy adults.](#)  
409 [\*J Acad Nutr Diet\* 2014;114:136-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2013.11.001>](#)  
410
- 411 [24. Biondi L, Valvo MA, Di Gloria, et al. Changes in ewe milk fatty acids following turning out to pasture. \*Small Rum\*](#)  
412 [Res 2008; 75:17-23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2007.07.004>](#)  
413

- 414 25. Klieve AV, Hennessy D, Ouwerkerk D, Forster, RJ, Mackie RI, Attwood GT. Establishing populations of  
415 Megasphaera elsdenii YE 34 and Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens YE 44 in the rumen of cattle fed high grain diets. J Appl Microb  
416 2003;95:621-630. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2003.02024.x>
- 417
- 418 26. Nozière P, Graulet B, Lucas A, et al. Carotenoids for ruminants: From forages to dairy products. Anim Feed Sci  
419 Technol 2006;131:418-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2006.06.018>
- 420
- 421 27. Caroprese M, Sevi A, Marino R, Santillo A, Tateo A, Albenzio M. Composition and textural properties of  
422 Mozzarella cheese naturally-enriched in polyunsaturated fatty acids. J Dairy Res 2013;80:276-82.  
423 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002202991300023X>
- 424
- 425 28. Esposito G, Masucci F, Napolitano F, et al. Fatty acid and sensory profiles of Caciocavallo cheese as affected by  
426 management system. J Dairy Sci 2014;97:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7292>
- 427
- 428 29. Bonanno A, Di Grigoli A, Mazza F, et al. Effects of ewes grazing sulla or ryegrass pasture for different daily  
429 durations on forage intake, milk production and fatty acid composition of cheese. Animal. 2016;10:2074-82.  
430 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731116001130>
- 431
- 432 30. Bergamaschi M, Cipolat-Gotet C, Stocco G, et al. Cheesemaking in highland pastures: Milk technological  
433 properties, cream, cheese and ricotta yields, milk nutrients recovery, and products composition. J Dairy Sci 2016;99:9631-  
434 46. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-11199>

435



436 **Table 1.** Ingredients (% DM), chemical composition and FA composition of the experimental diets.

437

	Dietary treatment <sup>1</sup>		
	TC	TL	P
<i>Ingredient</i>			
Grass hay	56.79	56.79	....
Oatmeal	12.91	10.33	....
Barley meal	12.91	10.33	....
Soybean meal	15.85	11.20	....
Extruded linseed	....	9.81	....
Mineral and vitamin mix	1.55	1.55	....
<i>Chemical composition</i>			
DM	89.12	90.56	16.72
CP	20.71	19.97	19.57
EE	2.86	4.83	2.50
NDF	39.24	44.75	29.77
ADF	25.48	25.2	21.91
ADL	3.68	3.93	1.41
<i>Fatty acid profile (% of total FA)</i>			
C12:0	0.15	0.18	0.17
C14:0	0.6	0.2	0.30
C16:0	18.8	8.8	12.7
C16:1	1.01	0.98	1.1
C16:1c9	0.3	0.1	0.2
C18:0	2.6	4.1	1.11
C18:1c9	23.8	21.1	1.81
C18:2c9, c12	40.0	13.3	11.5

C18:3c9, c12, c15	9.1	42.9	71.2
C18:1c11	1.0	0.6	0.8

---

438  
439 <sup>1</sup>:TC: unsupplemented diet; TL: diet supplemented with extruded linseed; P: pasture based diet.

440 DM = dry matter; CP = crude protein; EE = ether extract; NDF = neutral detergent fiber; ADF = acid detergent fiber; ADL = acid  
441 detergent lignin.

442

Accepted Article

443 **Table 2.** Chemical composition (% of DM), thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), pH, and color  
 444 coordinates (L\*, a\*, b\*) of Primo Sale cheese from ewes fed with three different diets.

	Dietary treatment <sup>1</sup>			Significance <sup>2</sup>	SE
	TC	TL	P		
DM	57.62	59.46	57.32	NS	1.23
Ash	7.12	6.99	6.15	NS	1.89
CP	40.86 <sup>b</sup>	37.59 <sup>a</sup>	45.05 <sup>c</sup>	*	1.25
EE	48.41 <sup>b</sup>	47.30 <sup>b</sup>	44.32 <sup>a</sup>	*	1.89
TBARS	0.47	0.34	0.38	NS	1.65
pH	5.19	5.18	5.20	NS	1.74
L*	76.41 <sup>a</sup>	81.97 <sup>c</sup>	79.92 <sup>b</sup>	*	0.98
a*	-2.45	-2.31	-2.11	NS	0.32
b*	13.30 <sup>a</sup>	13.84 <sup>a</sup>	14.67 <sup>b</sup>	*	0.21

445

446 <sup>1</sup>:TC:unsupplemented diet; TL:diet supplemented with extruded linseed; P:pasture based diet.

447 DM = dry matter; CP = crude protein; EE = ether extract.

448 <sup>2</sup>:(p < 0.05); NS not significant

449 Different letters in the same row indicate significant differences (a,b,c: p < 0.05)

450

451 **Table 3.** Content of some classes of fatty acids (expressed in mg/100 g) and indices of Primo Sale made from ewes fed with  
 452 three different diets

	Dietary treatment <sup>1</sup>			Significance <sup>2</sup>	SE
	TC	TL	P		
SFA	82.11 <sup>c</sup>	66.83 <sup>a</sup>	75.48 <sup>b</sup>	**	1.64
MUFA	15.51 <sup>A</sup>	28.64 <sup>B</sup>	25.60 <sup>B</sup>	***	1.90
PUFA	2.31 <sup>a</sup>	4.35 <sup>c</sup>	3.55 <sup>b</sup>	*	0.54
UFA	17.82 <sup>a</sup>	32.98 <sup>c</sup>	29.15 <sup>b</sup>	*	1.11
SFA/UFA	4.60 <sup>b</sup>	2.02 <sup>a</sup>	2.58 <sup>a</sup>	**	1.58
n-3	0.48 <sup>c</sup>	1.79 <sup>a</sup>	0.78 <sup>b</sup>	**	0.69
n-6	1.46	1.37	1.31	NS	1.35
n-3/n-6	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.91 <sup>c</sup>	0.59 <sup>b</sup>	*	1.56
CLA	0.31 <sup>a</sup>	0.56 <sup>b</sup>	0.77 <sup>c</sup>	*	2.01
VA	1.02 <sup>a</sup>	2.25 <sup>b</sup>	2.99 <sup>c</sup>	*	0.98
AI	5.28 <sup>C</sup>	1.89 <sup>A</sup>	3.05 <sup>B</sup>	***	1.07
I-Harris	0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.09 <sup>b</sup>	0.08 <sup>b</sup>	*	1.87

453  
 454 <sup>1</sup>:TC:un-supplemented diet; TL: diet supplemented with extruded linseed; P:pasture based diet  
 455 <sup>2</sup>:\* (p < 0.05); \*\* (p < 0.01); \*\*\* (p < 0.001); NS: not significant;  
 456 Different letters in the same row indicate significant differences (a,b,c: p < 0.05, p < 0.01; A,B,C: p < 0.001)

457  
 458 The data correspond to analysis of fresh Primo Sale cheese from bulk tank milk. SE: standard error; SFA= saturated fatty acid; MUFA = monounsaturated  
 459 fatty acid; PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acid; UFA: unsaturated fatty acid; n-3= C18:2 t11, c15 + C18:2 c9, c12, c15 + C:22:5 c7, c10,  
 460 c13, c16, c19 + EPA + DHA; n-6=(S C18:2 t9, t12 + C18:2 c9, t12 + C18:2 t9, c12 + C18:2 c9, c12 + CLA t10, c12 + C20:2 c11, c14 + C20:3 c8, c11, c14  
 461 + C20:4 c5, c8, c11, c14; AI: Atherogenic Index (C12:0 + 4 × C14:0 + C16:0)/(MUFA + PUFA); VA= Vaccenic acid (C18:1 trans -11); CLA= conjugated  
 462 linoleic acid; I-Harris = (EPA+ DHA)

463

464 **Table 4.** Sensory properties ratings (scale 0–9) and acceptability test of Primo Sale made from ewes fed with three different  
 465 diets

466

	Dietary treatment <sup>1</sup>			Significance <sup>2</sup>	SE
	TC	TL	P		
Colour	6.04 <sup>a</sup>	6.45 <sup>a</sup>	7.64 <sup>b</sup>	*	0.92
Paste homogeneity	5.96 <sup>a</sup>	6.57 <sup>b</sup>	6.22 <sup>b</sup>	*	0.56
Greasiness	6.50	6.31	6.33	NS	0.25
Odour intensity	5.32 <sup>a</sup>	5.34 <sup>a</sup>	6.69 <sup>b</sup>	*	0.98
Foreign aromatic smells	1.32	1.38	1.26	NS	0.58
Chewing consistency	5.36 <sup>b</sup>	4.34 <sup>a</sup>	4.56 <sup>a</sup>	*	0.78
Friability	4.43 <sup>a</sup>	5.86 <sup>b</sup>	5.52 <sup>b</sup>	*	0.56
Saltiness	5.32	5.83	5.33	NS	0.15
Acidity	1.79	1.55	1.74	NS	0.14
Bitterness	3.57	3.41	3.22	NS	0.89
Sweetness	4.64	4.72	4.15	NS	0.56
Foreign tastes	1.29	1.48	1.19	NS	1.01
<i>Acceptability test</i>					
Overall liking	7.64	7.83	7.48	NS	0.89
Appearance	6.52	6.89	6.24	NS	0.77
Taste/Flavour	6.12	6.35	6.25	NS	0.70
Texture	7.01	6.97	7.03	NS	0.52

467

468 <sup>1</sup>: TC: un-supplemented diet; TL: diet supplemented with extruded linseed; P: pasture-based diet.

469 <sup>2</sup>:(p < 0.05);

470 Different letters in the same row indicate significant differences (a,b,c: p < 0.05)

471

472