

Asbestos-related cancers in Brazil

Cânceres relacionados ao asbesto no Brasil

Cánceres relacionados con el asbesto en Brasil

*Benedetto Terracini*¹
*Francisco Pedra*²
*Ubirani Otero*³

¹ University of Torino, Torino, Italy.

² Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública Sergio Arouca, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil.

³ Instituto Nacional de Câncer José Alencar Gomes da Silva, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil.

Correspondence

F. Pedra
 Centro de Estudos da Saúde do Trabalhador e Ecologia Humana, Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública Sergio Arouca, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rua Leopoldo Bulhões 1480, Edifício 1º de maio, sala 9, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 21041-210, Brasil.
 fpedra@ensp.fiocruz.br

Since Brazil is a major producer, consumer and exporter of asbestos, monitoring the occurrence of asbestos-related diseases is crucial. Whereas other asbestos-related cancers are multifactorial in origin, the major risk factor for mesothelioma is asbestos. In many countries, the occurrence of this malignancy in the population reflects the use of asbestos in the economy. In Brazil, nevertheless, data on mesothelioma are limited and their quality has not been verified. Mortality from mesothelioma (C45 in the 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases – ICD-10) and from pleural cancer (C38.4) in 1980-2010 has been described¹ but incidence data provided by Brazilian Population Based Cancer Registries (PBCR) have received little attention.

Data from the PBCR are collected in a public domain databank: SisBasepop². Six of them exhibited adequate quality criteria for inclusion in the latest update³ of *Cancer Incidence in 5 Continents* (CI5). These cover residents in the cities of Aracaju, Belo Horizonte, Cuiabá, Fortaleza, Goiania and São Paulo, with an overall population of 17.9 million (less than 10% of the total population of the country). According to CI5, in 2003-2007, the pool of these registries collected a total of 82 cases of mesothelioma (51 men and 31 women) and 59 cases of cancer of the pleura not specified as mesotheliomas (35 men and 24 women). Around 75% of cases were collected by

the São Paulo city PBCR, the largest in the country. For both mesotheliomas and other pleural cancers, all annual rates (age-standardized on the world population; ASR) are less than 2 per million inhabitants. For the sake of comparison, corresponding rates for mesothelioma in the pool of Italian Cancer Registries were 18.4 in men and 5.1 in women, whereas rates for pleural cancer were respectively 2.3 and 0.9 (Crocetti E. Letter to Benedetto Terracini, 03/Feb/2015). In Brazilian registries, 89% of mesotheliomas and 80% of pleural cancers were histologically confirmed, and 11% and 7% were Death Certificates (DC) only³. Histologically verified cases in Italy were 97% and 35% while DC only were 0.1% and 8% (Crocetti E. Letter to Benedetto Terracini, 03/Feb/2015).

Thus, the available data provide some information on the probability for a registered case to be a “false positive”. The sensitivity of Brazilian cancer registries in the detection of mesothelioma cases (i.e. the complementary to “false negatives”) is more difficult to estimate. C38.4 cancers are problematic. A French study has estimated that 86% of “pleural cancers” were in fact mesothelioma⁴. Similar findings were obtained from a study in the UK⁵. It is reasonable to believe that this also happens in Brazil. International comparisons on the burden of asbestos-induced cancer have considered jointly C38-4 and C45⁶. In-

cidentally, according to the files of the PBCR, the number of cases coded as C38.4 exceeds those included in CI5².

A noteworthy finding of the Cancer Registry of São Paulo regards cancer of the mediastinum (C38.1-3), for which ASRs of 5 and 3 per million have been estimated in men and women. These rates are based on 122 and 81 cases and are therefore statistically stable. Worldwide, they rate are among the highest: for instance, they are twice as high as in the Italian pool (respectively 2.9 and 1.3). In São Paulo, however, the quality of data is debatable: only 38% of cases were histologically confirmed and 30% were DC only (60% and 5% in Italy). Given the vicinity of the mediastinum and the pleura, there is a need for verifying the origin of these neoplasms.

Thus, for the time being, any estimate of incidence rates of mesotheliomas and pleural cancer and their time trends in Brazil is hardly more than a guess. With the exception of São Paulo, the Brazilian cancer registries overlap only to a limited extent with the geographical areas characterized by asbestos-related industrial activities. Massive industrial use of asbestos in Brazil started around 1975, decades later than in North America and Europe⁷. The time elapsed to 2007 is short compared to latent periods of mesotheliomas re-

corded in epidemiological studies. Contrary to other recently industrialized countries (eg. Mexico), Brazil has imported negligible amounts of amphiboles from South Africa: virtually all asbestos used in Brazil has been chrysotile⁸. Mesotheliomas in Brazilian workers exposed to asbestos⁹ confirm the ability of chrysotile to induce mesotheliomas, as stated by International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)¹⁰.

MEDLINE does not record any analytical epidemiological (either case-control or occupational cohort) study carried out in Brazil on the association between asbestos and mesothelioma. The association with lung cancer has been investigated in one study only, carried out early after the expansion of industrial asbestos use¹¹.

In conclusion, unravelling the measure of the carcinogenic effects of asbestos in Brazil requires an assessment of the extent of underdiagnosis and underregistration of mesothelioma cases. In addition, whereas the carcinogenicity of chrysotile is out of discussion¹⁰, analytical epidemiological methods should be applied in order to quantify the damage caused by this hazard in Brazil. Excluding construction activities, workers exposed to asbestos in Brazil have been grossly estimated to be about 74,000¹².

Contributors

All authors participated to the formulation of the project, data collection, drafting, revision and final approval of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Authors are grateful to the Italian Association of Cancer Registries, in the person of Dr. Emanuele Crocetti, for tabulations prepared ad hoc for the present paper.

1. Pedra F, Silva P, Mattos I, Castro H. Mesothelioma mortality rate in Brazil, 1980 to 2010. *Rev Bras Cancerol* 2014; 60:199-206.
2. Instituto Nacional de Cancer José Alencar da Silva. SisBasepop registros de câncer de base populacional. Rio de Janeiro: Instituto Nacional de Cancer José Alencar da Silva. <http://www2.inca.gov.br/wps/wcm/connect/estatisticas/site/home/rcbp/> (accessed on 17/Dec/2014).
3. Forman D, Bray F, Brewster DH, Gombe Mbalawa C, Kohler B, Piñeros M, et al. Cancer incidence in five continents. v. X. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2014. (IARC Scientific Publications, 164).
4. Le Stang N, Belot A, Gilg Soit Ilg A, Rolland P, Astoul P, Bara S, et al. Evolution of pleural cancers and malignant pleural mesothelioma incidence in France between 1980 and 2005. *Int J Cancer* 2010; 126:232-8.
5. Harding AH, Darnton AJ. Asbestosis and mesothelioma among British asbestos workers (1971-2005). *Am J Ind Med* 2010; 53:1070-80.
6. Park EK, Takahashi K, Hoshuyama T, Cheng TJ, Delgermaa V, Le GV, et al. Global magnitude of reported and unreported mesothelioma. *Environ Health Perspect* 2011; 119:514-8.
7. Virta RL. Worldwide asbestos supply and consumption trends from 1900 through 2003. <http://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/2006/1298/> (accessed on 11/Feb/2015).
8. Harington JS, McGlashan ND, Chelkowska EZ. South Africa's export trade in asbestos: demise of an industry. *Am J Ind Med* 2010; 53:524-34.
9. Algranti E. Audiência Pública Amianto - Eduardo Algranti. <http://www.fundacentro.gov.br/multi-media/detalhe-do-video/2012/12/audiencia-publica-amianto-eduardo-algranti> (accessed on 17/Sep/2014).
10. International Agency for Research on Cancer. Asbestos, (chrysotile, mosite, crocidolite, tremolite, actinolite, and anthophyllite). In: International Agency for Research on Cancer, editor. Metals, arsenic, dusts and fibres. A review of human carcinogens. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2012. p. 219-309. (Monograph on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, 100C).
11. Wünsch-Filho V, Moncau JE, Mirabelli D, Boffetta P. Occupational risk factors of lung cancer in São Paulo, Brazil. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1998; 24:118-24.
12. Departamento da Indústria da Construção, Federação das Indústrias do Estado de São Paulo. O papel dos produtos de amianto na cadeia da construção civil. Dimensão econômica e efeitos concorrenciais. <http://www.fiesp.com.br/indices-pesquisas-e-publicacoes/o-papel-dos-produtos-de-amianto-na-cadeia-da-construcao-civil-aspectos-relevantes-da-dimensao-economica-da-cadeia-dos-produtos-de-amianto/> (accessed on 26/Jun/2014).

Submitted on 25/Feb/2015

Approved on 16/Mar/2015