

## Accepted Manuscript

Title: Comparison of “time to detection” values between BacT/ALERT® VIRTUO™ and BacT/ALERT® 3D instruments for clinical blood cultures samples

Authors: Francesco Congestrì, Maria Federica Pedna, Michela Fantini, Michela Samuelli, Pasqua Schiavone, Arianna Torri, Stefania Bertini, Vittorio Sambri



PII: S1201-9712(17)30166-2  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2017.06.012>  
Reference: IJID 2968

To appear in: *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*

Received date: 24-4-2017  
Revised date: 2-6-2017  
Accepted date: 10-6-2017

Please cite this article as: Congestrì Francesco, Pedna Maria Federica, Fantini Michela, Samuelli Michela, Schiavone Pasqua, Torri Arianna, Bertini Stefania, Sambri Vittorio. Comparison of “time to detection” values between BacT/ALERT® VIRTUO™ and BacT/ALERT® 3D instruments for clinical blood cultures samples. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2017.06.012>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**Comparison of “time to detection” values between  
BacT/ALERT<sup>®</sup> VIRTUO<sup>™</sup> and BacT/ALERT<sup>®</sup> 3D  
instruments for clinical blood cultures samples**

Francesco Congestri<sup>1#</sup>, Maria Federica Pedna<sup>1</sup>, Michela Fantini<sup>1</sup>, Michela Samuelli<sup>1</sup>, Pasqua Schiavone<sup>1</sup>, Arianna Torri<sup>1</sup>, Stefania Bertini<sup>1</sup>, Vittorio Sambri<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Unit of Microbiology, The Greater Romagna Hub Laboratory, Pievesestina di Cesena, Italy;

<sup>2</sup>DIMES, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

<sup>#</sup>Corresponding Author: Unit of Microbiology, The Greater Romagna Hub Laboratory, Piazza della Liberazione 60, 47522 Pievesestina (FC), Italy. Phone: +39 0547 394864, Fax: +39 0547 394865; e-mail: francesco.congestri@auslromagna.it

M.F. Pedna: mpedna@ausl-cesena.emr.it, M. Fantini: michela.fantini@auslromagna.it, M. Samuelli: michelasamuelli@gmail.com, P. Schiavone: pasqua.schiavone@auslromagna.it, A. Torri: arianna.torri@ausl-cesena.emr.it, S. Bertini: sbertini@ausl-cesena.emr.it, V. Sambri: vittorio.sambri@auslromagna.it

## Highlights

- A reduction in times to detection of VIRTUO compared to BacT/ALERT 3D is supposed
- Microorganisms isolated from blood culture were grouped into 8 different categories
- Five of the eight groups of microorganisms positive bottles was detected earlier in VIRTUO
- An earlier detection of microorganisms lead to more rapid use of targeted antibiotic therapy

## ABSTRACT

### *Objectives*

The early detection of bacteraemia and fungemia is of paramount importance to guide the antimicrobial therapy in septic patients. In this study the “time to detection” (TTD) values for the new blood culture system BacT/ALERT<sup>®</sup> VIRTUO<sup>™</sup> (VIRTUO) was evaluated in 1462 positive clinical bottles and compared with the TTD identified for 1601 positive clinical bottles incubated in the BacT/ALERT<sup>®</sup> 3D (BTA 3D).

### *Methods*

The most representative microorganisms isolated from bottles incubated in both blood culture systems were divided into 8 categories (in order of frequency): Coagulase-Negative-Staphylococci (CoNS), *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacteriaceae* (other than *E. coli*), *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus* spp., viridans group streptococci, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Candida* spp.

### *Results*

The comparison of TTD values for the 2 blood culture systems strongly indicate that in the first five groups listed above the growth was detected earlier ( $p < 0.05$ ) by using the VIRTUO incubator.

### *Conclusions*

The new VIRTUO blood culture system can reduce the TTD values in more than 75% of isolated microorganisms.

**Keywords:** Bacteraemia; Sepsis; Blood culture systems; Microorganisms causing sepsis; Time to detection; Early sepsis detection

## **INTRODUCTION**

The incidence of sepsis is increasing dramatically since the last decade, causing a considerable morbidity and a high rate of mortality in patients (Shankar-Hari et al. 2016). A rapid identification of the causal agent is crucial for a correct diagnosis, a consequent pathogen oriented therapy and a better patient outcome (Liao et al. 2009). Blood culture (BC) is still the microbiological gold standard in the diagnosis of sepsis and it is of fundamental importance having a continuous monitoring system that detects any growing microorganism as rapidly as possible. To date the BacT/ALERT<sup>®</sup> VIRTUO<sup>™</sup> (VIRTUO) system is the only BC incubator that features a fully automated loading and unloading of the bottles.

This improves the stability of the incubation temperature and consequently a reduction of the “Time to Detection” (TTD) is conceivable. TTD is calculated from the beginning of the incubation until a positive signal is detected: this parameter can be influenced by various factors, including the initial bacterial load, the source of the infection, the volume of the inoculum, the speed of growth of each individual microorganism and the presence of antibiotics and host serum factors (Rogers and Oppenheim 1998, Jorgensen et al. 1997). Furthermore, the new VIRTUO BC system uses a different algorithm (BacT/ALERT VIRTUO™ Microbial Detection System User Manual (2014) bioMérieux, Inc. Marcy-l’Etoile–France) for the colorimetric detection of microbial growth in respect to the one in use with the BacT/ALERT 3D from the same manufacturer. Some recent reports (Altun et al. 2016, Deol et al. 2016), confirmed a reduction of the TTD values for VIRTUO in comparison with the BacT/ALERT 3D system.

To date, the performance of the VIRTUO system in term of TTD for clinical samples has never been published (the only set of data available belongs to a poster presented at the 26<sup>th</sup> ECCMID 2016 meeting (Cheong et al. 2016)). A few recent studies (Altun et al. 2016, Deol et al. 2016, Cheong et al. 2016) have compared the TTD between bottles incubated in the VIRTUO and in the BacT/ALERT 3D. The main limitation of these studies is represented by the use of “artificial samples” prepared by spiking different concentrations of distinct bacterial species into blood obtained from healthy donors. This model is of course lacking the potential interfering factors that are well known for clinical samples

(Wilson 2004, Weinstein et al. 1997). The aim of this study is to evaluate the hypothetical differences in the TTD values between the two BC incubation system from bioMérieux, VIRTUO and BacT/ALERT 3D, using clinical blood cultures.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The study was performed in two different periods (the first of three months and the second including four months) from January 1<sup>st</sup> 2015 to March 31<sup>st</sup> 2016 and involved a total of 3063 positive BCs derived from the routine workflow at Unit of Microbiology of the Greater Romagna Hub Laboratory, Pievesestina (FC), Italy. In detail: from January 1<sup>st</sup> to March 31<sup>st</sup> 2015, 1601 bottles were incubated into the BacT/ALERT 3D and from December 1<sup>st</sup> 2015 to March 31<sup>st</sup> 2016, 1462 bottles were incubated in the new system VIRTUO, that was just introduced into the routine workflow of the laboratory. All the diverse categories of bottles (FA Plus (aerobic), FN Plus (anaerobic) and PF plus (pediatric)) sequentially detected positive by the instruments were included in the study and for each bottle the TTD was calculated. In a second step, according to some unexpected results, it was decided to repeat the analysis dividing aerobic from anaerobic bottles. Only bottles showing a polymicrobial growth at the gram staining were excluded.

Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer (MALDI-TOF MS) was used for microbial identification (VITEK<sup>®</sup> MS, bioMérieux Clinical Diagnostics). Isolated microorganisms were grouped into 8 different categories as summarized in Table 1. Considering that *Escherichia coli*

was the most frequently isolated microorganism for both the two blood culture systems a single category was created and distinctly evaluated from other members of Enterobacteriaceae. Because of the low frequency of isolation, anaerobic bacteria, alpha-haemolytic streptococci, non fermentative gram negative bacilli (other than *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*), corynebacteria and *Bacillus* spp. were not included in the study. Statistical analyses were performed using the R open source software (available at: <https://www.r-project.org> and accessed last time on July 12 2016). Before significance testing, normality distribution of analyzed groups was examined by using the Shapiro–Wilk test. It follows from this analysis that all the groups data are not normally distributed. TTD differences between VIRTUO and BacT/ALERT 3D were compared by Mann–Whitney U non parametric test. To confirm our findings the TTD were also compared with the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. These further statistical analyses were performed using Stata software version 14.2 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA). Differences with p-value less than 0.05 alpha level were considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

The most frequently isolated microorganisms were, as expected, coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) followed by *E.coli*, *Enterobacteriaceae* (other than *E. coli*), *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus* spp., viridans group streptococci, *P. aeruginosa* and *Candida* spp.. The above enlisted categories of microorganisms, taken together, represent 95% and 93% of the total

microorganisms isolated with BacT/ALERT 3D and VIRTUO during the study period, respectively. As expected, *S. epidermidis* was the most represented microorganism in the CoNS group. Similarly, *K. pneumoniae* was the most common microorganism among the *Enterobacteriaceae* (other than *E. coli*). *S. pneumoniae* and *E. faecalis* were the main species among viridans streptococci and enterococci, respectively. *C. albicans* followed by *C. glabrata* showed the highest frequency in the yeast group. Although there are significant differences in the numbers of some species of microorganisms isolated in the two systems (i.e. *Klebsiella oxytoca*) we must consider that TTD differences between two systems was calculated comparing the whole entire category and not the single species of microorganisms include in each group. The median TTD differences between the eight groups of microorganisms were calculated and are reported in Table 2.

Median TTD values were shorter for bottles incubated into the VIRTUO system for the following five groups of microorganisms: CoNS, *E. coli*, *Enterobacteriaceae* (other than *E. coli*), *S. aureus* and viridans group streptococci. These five categories represented, during the study period, 84% and 81% of the total isolated microorganisms for the VIRTUO and BactALERT 3D system, respectively. The median TTD reduction in the VIRTUO system was particularly relevant for *Enterobacteriaceae* group (other than *E.coli*) and *E.coli*, respectively 29.8% and 20.8%. It should be specified that the number of positive bottles for *Enterobacteriaceae* (other than *E.coli*) incubated in the VIRTUO



system is approximately twice respect the number of bottles positive for the same group of microorganisms incubated in BacT/ALERT 3D, but despite this, the TTD median difference between the two blood culture systems in this group of microorganisms is still statistically significant. In addition, also for the CoNS a median TTD reduction of 4 hours and 7 minutes was observed. This is of particular relevance because these microorganisms, in most of the blood cultures, are likely contaminants (Hall and Lyman 2006) and their early identification would prevent an unneeded antimicrobial therapy that is associated with increased health care costs and development of multi-drug resistant microorganisms.

In this study *S. aureus* is one of the most commonly isolated pathogen (the third in terms of overall frequency) with a TTD significant reduction of 1 hour 4 minutes for the bottles incubated into the VIRTUO system compared to BacT/ALERT 3D. *S. pneumoniae* is the most represented microorganism of the viridans group streptococci: also in this case, taking together all the isolates of the group, a significant TTD reduction of 2 hours in favour of VIRTUO based incubation was shown. It is needless to underline the relevance of a faster detection in blood culture for these two classes of microorganisms and the crucial role that this shorter detection time could have in term of clinical outcome for the infected patients. Regarding *P. aeruginosa* isolates, the median TTD detected for bottles incubated into the VIRTUO was shorter (2 hours 20 min) than those detected by BacT/ALERT 3D, but this difference was not statistically significant

(P-value: 0.14). A similar feature was detected in the *Candida* spp. group where the median TTD value was shorter for bottles incubated in the VIRTUO system, but the difference was lacking in statistical significance.

This result is in contrast with the findings of a recent study performed with artificially simulated blood cultures (Altun et al. 2016). This discrepancy may be related to the low number of yeasts isolates in our study. Unexpectedly, in the case of *Enterococcus* spp. detection the median TTD was longer for VIRTUO than BacT/ALERT 3D system, as detailed in Table 2. To better understand this result the median TTD of the two systems were compared dividing TTD for aerobic from the anaerobic bottles. This further median TTD differences analysis (data not shown) revealed that aerobic bottles in the case of detection of the following categories, CoNS group, *E. coli*, Enterobacteriaceae (other than *E. coli*), *S. aureus*, *Enterococcus* spp., showed a statistically significant differences when incubated in VIRTUO compared to BacT/ALERT 3D. In the case of detection of viridans group streptococci, *P. aeruginosa* and *Candida* spp, the difference was not statistically significant. A further statistical analysis was conducted, considering the data as survival data and applying to TTD the log-rank test that reveal a statistically significant TTD differences for CoNS (p-value= 0.000), *E. Coli* (p-value= 0.000), Enterobacteriaceae (other than *E. coli*) (p-value= 0.0002), *S. aureus* (p-value= 0.049) and viridans group streptococci (p-value=0.000) categories. The cumulative percentages (%) over-time function of positive bottles of eight groups of microorganisms in both incubator systems were

calculated and reported in Table 3. In the CoNS group a marked difference of cumulative % is observed after 10h of incubation where the fraction of positive bottles is over three times greater than those in BactALERT. Also for viridans group streptococci the higher difference was observed at 6 h of incubation, while for *E. coli*, Enterobacteriaceae (other than *E. coli*) and *S. aureus* groups was observed at 4h of incubation. Statistical analysis of results (data shown in Table 3) demonstrated that TTD for the 5 groups (CoNS, *E. coli*, Enterobacteriaceae (other than *E. coli*), *S. aureus*, viridans group streptococci) are shorter in VIRTUO than BactALERT 3D.

## DISCUSSION

The differences related to data obtained only from aerobic bottles compared with the analysis performed including the two different categories of (aerobic and anaerobic) bottles together were: the Enterococci group showed a shorter TTD when incubated in VIRTUO compared to BacT/ALERT 3D (P value: 0.049), while viridans group streptococci did not reach a statistically significant values probably because of the low number of samples included in each one (aerobic and anaerobic) type of bottles.

A limitation of this study is the lack of standardization of blood volume inoculated in the bottles, as it is common in clinical samples were it is quite rare to receive blood culture bottles filled with the exact and identical volume of

blood. Further studies with likely a larger number of clinical blood cultures are needed to better understand the increased TTD values identified for the VIRTUO system in the cases of isolation of Enterococci. The ideal feature of a diagnostic blood culture system is a standardized method that is capable to detect the positivity of the largest possible number of pathogens involved in the etiology of sepsis (Opota et al. 2015). The traditional methods for identifying pathogens in bacteremic patients are considered too slow to give a timely response under the clinical point of view in the large majority of the septic patients, and this is even more relevant when the severity of sepsis is high (Gaieski et al. 2010). Recently introduced methods such as rapid PCR (Polymerase chain reaction) based tests and MALDI-TOF MS (Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry) allow the microbiologists to identify the grown pathogens more quickly (Verroken et al. 2016). These technologies, although more faster than the traditional methods, still critically depends on the blood sample positivity after an adequate time of incubation to allow the microorganisms to growth and metabolize.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

The present study showed a reduced TTD for BCs incubated with the VIRTUO system in respect to the predecessor BacT/ALERT 3D incubator for most of the microorganisms isolated in clinical blood cultures. The VIRTUO system enables an earlier management of clinical positive blood cultures thus allowing an appropriate targeted antibiotic therapy in the cases of suspected bloodstream

infection and sepsis, thus allowing for an overall improvement of the patient outcome.

Conflict of interest: none

### **Acknowledgements**

We thank all the personnel of the Unit of Microbiology – Section of Bacteriology- The Greater Romagna Hub Laboratory for their collaboration in managing the positive BCs included in the study. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The policy of the journal on ethical consent has been read and approved by all authors. Standards of animal care (not applicable).

### **References**

**Altun O**, Almuhayawi M, Lüthje P, Taha R, Ullberg M, Özenci V. Controlled Evaluation of the New BacT/ALERT Virtuo Blood Culture System for Detection and Time to Detection of Bacteria and Yeasts. *J Clin Microbiol* 2016; Apr;54(4):1148-51. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.03362-15>

**Cheong YS**, Chew KL, Jureen R. Evaluation of the BacT/ALERT® Virtuo and the BacT/ALERT® 3D automated microbial detection systems. Poster presentation to 26<sup>th</sup> ECCMID Amsterdam, Netherlands.

**Deol P**, Ullery M, Totty H, Viray J, Spontak J, Adamik M, Dunne W. Rapid time to detection difference between the BacT/ALERT® VIRTUO™ and the

BacT/ALERT® 3D. Poster presentation to 26<sup>th</sup> ECCMID Amsterdam, Netherlands.

**Gaieski DF**, Mikkelsen ME, Band RA, Pines JM, Massone R, Furia FF, Shofer FS, Goyal M. Impact of time to antibiotics on survival in patients with severe sepsis or septic shock in whom early goal-directed therapy was initiated in the emergency department. *Crit Care Med* 2010;Apr;38(4):1045-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2010.05.049>

**Hall KK**, Lyman JA. Updated review of blood culture contamination. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2006; 19(4): 788–802. <https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00062-05>

**Jorgensen JH**, Mirrett S, McDonald LC, Murray PR, Weinstein MP, Fune J, Trippy CW, Masterson M, Reller LB. Controlled clinical laboratory comparison of BACTEC plus aerobic/F resin medium with BacT/ALERT aerobic FAN medium for detection of bacteremia and fungemia. *J Clin Microbiol* 1997; Jan;35(1):53-8. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.39.2.622-624.2001>

**Liao CH**, Lai CC, Hsu MS, Huang YT, Chu FY, Hsu HS, Hsueh PR. Correlation between time to positivity of blood cultures with clinical presentation and outcomes in patients with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bacteraemia: prospective cohort study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009; Dec;15(12):1119-25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02720.x>

**Opota O**, Croxatto A, Prod'hom G, Greub G. Blood culture-based diagnosis of bacteraemia: state of the art. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2015; Apr;21(4):313-22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2015.01.003>

**Rogers MS**, Oppenheim BA. The use of continuous monitoring blood culture systems in the diagnosis of catheter related sepsis. *J Clin Pathol* 1998; Aug;51(8):635-7. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.51.8.635>

**Shankar-Hari M**, Phillips GS, Levy ML, Seymour CW, Liu VX, Deutschman CS, Angus DC, Rubenfeld GD, Singer M. Developing a New Definition and Assessing New Clinical Criteria for Septic Shock: For the Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3) Sepsis Definitions Task Force. *JAMA* 2016; Feb 23;315(8):775-87. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.0289>

**Verroken A**, Defourny L, le Polain de Waroux O, Belkhir L, Laterre PF, Delmée M, Glupczynski Y. Clinical Impact of MALDI-TOF MS Identification and Rapid Susceptibility Testing on Adequate Antimicrobial Treatment in Sepsis with Positive Blood Cultures. *PLoS One* 2016; 11(5). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0156299>

**Wilson ML**. Outpatient blood cultures: progress and unanswered questions. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2004; Dec;23(12):879-80. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-004-1234-1>

**Weinstein MP**, Towns ML, Quartey SM, Mirrett S, Reimer LG, Parmigiani G, Reller LB. The clinical significance of positive blood cultures in the 1990s: a prospective comprehensive evaluation of the microbiology, epidemiology, and outcome of bacteremia and fungemia in adults. *Clin Infect Dis* 1997; Apr;24(4):584-602. <https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/24.4.584>

**Table 1** Isolated microorganisms (grouped into 8 categories) for each BC incubation system were evaluated. The number of isolates for each species is reported. The percentage of each species in the overall group composition is also indicated.

GROUP	MICROORGANISMS INCLUDED IN EACH GROUP	VIRTUO		BTA 3D	
		N° of isolates	% of frequency	N° of isolates	% of frequency
CoNS	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	266	59%	378	64%
	<i>Staphylococcus hominis</i>	86	19%	87	15%
	<i>Staphylococcus capitis</i>	51	11%	52	9%
	<i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i>	21	5%	33	6%
	<i>Staphylococcus warneri</i>	8	2%	13	2%
	other CoNS species	19	4 %	27	4%
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	376	100%	423	100%
<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> (other than <i>E. coli</i> )	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	116	46%	64	52%
	<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	34	13%	1	1%
	<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	25	10%	7	6%
	<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	25	10%	14	11%
	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	22	9%	16	13%
	<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	6	2%	1	1%
	<i>Raoultella planticola</i>	10	4%	2	2%
	<i>Providencia stuartii</i>	2	1%	4	3%
	<i>Morganella morganii</i>	2	1%	8	6%
	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	1	0%	5	4%
other species	9	4%	2	2%	
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	139	100%	163	100%
Viridans group streptococci	<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	36	37%	40	45%
	<i>Streptococcus anginosus</i>	23	24%	4	4%
	<i>Streptococcus mitis</i>	20	21%	10	11%
	<i>Streptococcus gallolyticus</i>	5	5%	13	15%
	<i>Streptococcus parasanguinis</i>	4	4%	5	6%
	<i>Streptococcus sanguinis</i>	3	3%	5	6%
	<i>Aerococcus viridans</i>	3	3%	-	-
	<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i>	1	1%	5	6%
	<i>Streptococcus infantarius</i>	-	-	4	4%
	<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>	-	-	2	2%
	other species	2	2%	1	1%
<i>Enterococcus spp.</i>	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	39	60%	78	76%
	<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	24	37%	23	22%
	<i>Enterococcus avium</i>	2	3%	0	0%
	<i>Enterococcus casseliflavus</i>	-	-	2	2%
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	46	100%	42	100%
<i>Candida species</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	24	65%	35	54%
	<i>Candida glabrata</i>	8	21%	19	29%
	<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	5	14%	11	17%



**Table 2** Median differences in the TTD detected by using the VIRTUO and BactAlert 3D systems for the 8 groups of microorganisms. (\*: difference with statistical significance,  $p < 0.05$ ).

Microorganisms categories	BTA 3D			VIRTUO			Median TTD difference (hours and minutes between VIRTUO and BTA 3D)	Variation (%)	P-value
	N° positive bottles	Frequency of isolation over the total number of bottles evaluated	Median TTD	N° positive bottles	Frequency of isolation over the total number of bottles evaluated	Median TTD			
CoNS	590	36.9%	22h42m	451	30.8%	18h35m	4hours 7 min*	-18,1%	< 0.0001
Escherichia coli	423	26.4%	10h36m	376	25.7%	8h35	2hours 1 min*	-20,8%	< 0.0001
Enterobacteriaceae (other than E. coli)	124	7.7%	11h02m	252	17.4%	8h	3hours 2 min*	-29,8%	< 0.0001
Staphylococcus aureus	163	10.2%	13h54m	139	9.5%	12h50m	1hour 4 min*	-12,2%	0.035
Viridans group streptococci	89	5.6%	13h	97	6.6%	11h	2 hours*	-16%	0.0303
Enterococcus species	103	6.6%	10h42m	65	4.4%	11h54m	-1 hour 12 min	11,2%	0.96
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	42	2.5%	16h30m	46	3.1%	14h10	2hours 20 min	-13.9%	0.14
Candida species	65	4.1%	22h48m	37	2.5%	20h47m	2hours 1 min	-9,2%	0.431



TTD (h)	Candida species	
	VIRTUO	BTA 3D
0	0.00%	0.00%
2	0.00%	1.54%
4	5.41%	7.69%
6	8.11%	9.23%
8	10.81%	13.85%
10	21.62%	16.92%
20	48.65%	41.54%
30	72.97%	70.77%
40	78.38%	76.92%
50	78.38%	81.54%
60	86.49%	83.08%
120	.	.