Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Antonino Catalano, University of Messina, Italy

REVIEWED BY Angelo Alito, University of Messina, Italy Giulia Leonardi, Policlinico "G. Martino", Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Renqing Zhao renqing.zhao@yzu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 15 March 2023 ACCEPTED 21 June 2023 PUBLISHED 14 July 2023

CITATION

Feng F, Xu H, Sun Y, Zhang X, Li N, Sun X, Tian X and Zhao R (2023) Exercise for prevention of falls and fall-related injuries in neurodegenerative diseases and agingrelated risk conditions: a meta-analysis. *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1187325. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1187325

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Feng, Xu, Sun, Zhang, Li, Sun, Tian and Zhao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Exercise for prevention of falls and fall-related injuries in neurodegenerative diseases and aging-related risk conditions: a meta-analysis

Feifei Feng^{1,2}, Haocheng Xu¹, Yu Sun¹, Xin Zhang¹, Nan Li¹, Xun Sun¹, Xin Tian¹ and Renging Zhao^{1*}

¹College of Physical Education, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, China, ²School of Humanities and Education, Guangzhou Nanyang Polytechnic College, Guangzhou, China

Introduction: Neurodegenerative diseases often cause motor and cognitive deterioration that leads to postural instability and motor impairment, while aging-associated frailty frequently results in reduced muscle mass, balance, and mobility. These conditions increase the risk of falls and injuries in these populations. This study aimed to determine the effects of exercise on falls and consequent injuries among individuals with neurodegenerative diseases and frail aging people.

Methods: Electronic database searches were conducted in PubMed, Cochrane Library, SportDiscus, and Web of Science up to 1 January 2023. Randomized controlled trials that reported the effects of exercise on falls and fall-related injuries in neurodegenerative disease and frail aging people were eligible for inclusion. The intervention effects for falls, fractures, and injuries were evaluated by calculating the rate ratio (RaR) or risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results: Sixty-four studies with 13,241 participants met the inclusion criteria. Exercise is effective in reducing falls for frail aging people (RaR, 0.75; 95% Cl, 0.68–0.82) and participants with ND (0.53, 0.43–0.65) [dementia (0.64, 0.51–0.82), Parkinson's disease (0.49, 0.39–0.69), and stroke survivors (0.40, 0.27–0.57)]. Exercise also reduced fall-related injuries in ND patients (RR, 0.66; 95% Cl, 0.48–0.90) and decreased fractures (0.63, 0.41–0.95) and fall-related injuries (0.89, 0.84–0.95) among frail aging people. For fall prevention, balance and combined exercise protocols are both effective, and either short-, moderate-, or long-term intervention duration is beneficial. More importantly, exercise only induced a very low injury rate per participant year (0.007%; 95% Cl, 0–0.016) and show relatively good compliance with exercise (74.8; 95% Cl, 69.7%–79.9%).

Discussion: Exercise is effective in reducing neurodegenerative disease- and aging-associated falls and consequent injuries, suggesting that exercise is an effective and feasible strategy for the prevention of falls.

KEYWORDS

falls, fall-related injuries, neurodegenerative diseases, aging, exercise

Introduction

Falls and subsequent injuries contribute to a major health problem for older people worldwide (1–4). It has been estimated that one-third of community-dwelling people aged 65 years and over have at least one fall each year (5, 6). Falls can have serious consequences, such as fractures and head injuries (7). Approximately 10% of falls result in serious injuries contributing to a major source of morbidity and mortality (5, 6, 8).

Aging and neurodegenerative diseases are two deleterious conditions that increase the risk of falls and subsequent injuries (9-13). Frailty represents a decline in multiple systems due to a mixture of physiological and psychological factors, such as low muscle mass and strength, slow walking speed, functional impairment, and weakness (14, 15). Frailty caused by aging often results in lower leg muscle strength (16, 17), poor balance and posture control (18, 19), and lack of flexibility (20), which raises the risk of falling for older people. The risk factors for falls are worsened in neurodegenerative diseases. Impaired gait, balance, and posture are common symptoms of dementia, Parkinson's disease, and stroke. These symptoms impair posture control and motor capacity, which greatly elevate the fall risk (21, 22). For example, stroke survivors with serious impairment in gait and balance have a much higher risk of falling than healthy adults of a similar age, showing nearly seven times more fall rates (23). Since those people have to confront increased falling and fall-related injuries in their daily lives, developing effective strategies to prevent falls and subsequent injuries is an emergent task.

Exercise has been recognized as an effective approach to fall prevention; it targets improving or maintaining muscle mass and strength, bone mass, balance and posture control, gait, and other aspects of physical functioning (18, 24-27). Therefore, strategies that aim to modify those risk factors are recommended for preventing falls and subsequent injuries (28). To date, several meta-analyses and Cochrane Reviews (29-31) have evaluated the beneficial effects of exercise in the prevention of falls in healthy community-dwelling people. However, it is still unknown whether exercise could modify the risk factors and reduce falls and injuries in frail aging people and individuals with neurodegenerative diseases. Addressing the question is important because falls and serious injuries are more likely to occur in those high-risk people. Given the fact that the exact aspects of the exercise regimens such as intervention effects, safety, and complication of exercise have not been evaluated, it turns out to be a challenge both for those individuals and their physicians in determining whether to choose exercise as a strategy for fall prevention. To address those concerns, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to determine the effect of exercise on falls and subsequent injuries in frail aging people and individuals with neurodegenerative diseases (stroke, dementia, and Parkinson's disease). The efficacy, safety, and compliance of exercise protocols were also evaluated.

Methods

Search strategy and inclusion criteria

This systematic review and meta-analysis adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (32). This meta-analysis was not registered. The search strategies for electronic databases are listed in Supplementary Texts S1–S4. Two authors (CX and YS) conducted the electronic database searches in PubMed, Cochrane Library, SportDiscus, and Web of Science using a predetermined protocol up to 1 January 2023. After the removal of duplicates, the articles were screened on the basis of titles and abstracts, and another author (XZ) reviewed them independently. The full text of the articles then was drawn and reviewed for eligibility independently by BZ and CZ. To identify unpublished studies, the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) search portal and ClinicalTrials.gov were searched up to 1 January 2023. The reference lists of the relevant systematic reviews were screened manually to identify further potentially relevant citations. No language restriction was applied.

Eligible studies should meet the following criteria: (1) randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that investigated the effects of exercise on the rate of falls; (2) studies compared exercise intervention group with a comparator group, such as no intervention, attention, or sham exercise (e.g., light physical activities), which was expected to have no effects on muscle strength, balance, or mobility, etc.; (3) if studies compared several groups (e.g., nutrition supplementations, placebo, and exercise groups), the groups with placebo and exercise interventions were considered for inclusion; and (4) participants aged \geq 60 years (mean or median age) and either had neurodegenerative diseases or aging-associated frailty. The included participants who had neurodegenerative diseases such as dementia, Parkinson's disease, and stroke were not particularly restricted by the subtypes and stages of the diseases, but they needed to have the ability to perform the exercise protocol. Frail aging people included in the original studies had some of the conditions at enrollment that predisposed participants to be at high risk of falling, including lower limb weakness, impaired balance, slow reaction time, a history of falling, and other functional deficits. The common community-dwelling older people without those obvious health conditions mentioned above were not included in this review and have been addressed elsewhere (29-31, 33).

Outcome measures

Outcomes were as follows:

- I. Primary outcomes: the rate of falls (falls per person-year).
- II. Secondary outcomes: (1) rate of fall-related injuries (injuries caused by a fall, e.g., fall-induced wound, head trauma, medical care, or hospitalization, according to original investigators); (2) number of people who sustained one or more fractures.

Study selection and data collection

Two authors (CX and YS) performed data abstraction independently using a standard collection data form designed for this meta-analysis. In cases of disagreement, an agreement was achieved through discussion with another author (XZ). If insufficient data were presented in the original studies, we contacted investigators for detailed information. The following data were extracted: sample size, participant age, countries, study design, exercise interventions (category, intensity, frequency, and duration), participant health conditions, attrition, exercise compliance, exercise-related injuries, length of follow-up, number or rate of falls, fall-related injuries, and fractures.

Risk of bias assessment

The risk of bias assessment for each included study followed the recommendations of the Cochrane Collaboration (34). For each trial, pairs of members of the review team (CX and YS) reported the following key domains: sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, incomplete outcome data, and selective reporting. Each domain was judged to be low, unclear, or high risk of bias. The final assessment for all studies was presented in a "risk of bias" table.

Statistical analysis

We reported the treatment effects for rate of falls as rate ratio (RaR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). For fall-induced injuries and the number of participants experiencing fractures, we reported risk ratio (RR) and 95% CI. We used results reported at the last measurement for trials that monitored falls, fall-related injuries, and fractures during follow-up. We used RaR or hazard ratio (HR) with 95% CI if these were reported in the trials. If RaR was not reported, but appropriate raw data were available, we calculated it using the reported rate of falls in each group, or we calculated the rate of falls in each group from the total number of falls and the actual total length of time were monitored (person-years) for participants contributing data. We used reported estimates of RR or HR with 95% CI for fractures if available. If RR was not reported and appropriate data were available, we calculated RR and 95% CI using STATA software. Random-effects models were used for calculating RaR for falls and evaluating RR for fall-induced injuries and fractures.

We first calculated the summary effects of exercise on falls, fallrelated injuries, and fractures in frail aging people and participants with neurodegenerative diseases (dementia, Parkinson's disease, and stroke), respectively. Subgroup analysis was conducted to estimate the intervention effects on falls by grouping exercise categories (combined exercise, strength training, balance training, and aerobic exercise) and intervention durations (<6 months, 6–12 months, and ≥12 months), respectively.

We used Cochran's Q test (p<0.1 considered significance) and I² (<40%, 40%–<75%, and \geq 75% for low, moderate, and high heterogeneity) to assess between-study heterogeneity. The test for the overall effects (z score) was regarded as significant at p<0.05. STATA version 15 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) was used for performing a meta-analysis.

Sensitivity and publication bias analyses

We carried out a sensitivity analysis to explore the possible impact of risk of bias on pooled estimates of treatment effects. Trials with high risk or unclear bias in domains were removed from the analysis, and sensitivity analysis was performed for falls, fall-related injuries, and fractures among frail aging people and participants with neurodegenerative diseases. To determine publication bias, we constructed funnel plots for falls, fall-related injuries, and fractures with treatment effects (RaR/RR) estimated from individual studies against a measure of study size (standard error of log RaR/log RR). We used Egger's test to examine the likelihood of the presence of small-study effects.

Results

Study selection

The electronic database searches identified and screened 4,173 abstracts, of which 4,075 were excluded because of either unrelated to the topic (n=2,878) or duplicate studies (n=1,197). A total of 98 full-text articles were reviewed for eligibility, and 43 studies were excluded for the reasons: (1) no reporting data of interest (n=16), (2) no RCTs (n=15), (3) no exercise intervention group (n=7), (4) wrong age (n=2), and (5) no sedentary controls (n=3). A total of 55 studies were eligible for meta-analysis. We also identified nine new trials from previous meta-analyses. Therefore, 64 RCTs in total met the inclusion criteria (Supplementary Figure S1, Supplementary eText S5).

Characteristics of included

Details are provided in the characteristics of included studies (Supplementary eTable S1). Due to the size of the meta-analysis, not all links to references have been inserted in the following text, which can be viewed in Supplementary eText S5. Briefly, 64 RCTs with 13,241 participants (neurodegenerative diseases, 2,539; aging, 10,702) were included, of which 7,040 received exercise intervention and 6,201 complied with the requirements for controls. The characteristics of participants included neurogenerative diseases (stroke, dementia, and Parkinson's disease) and aging-related frailty (Supplementary eTable S1).

The included studies were conducted in 19 countries, the most common countries being Australia (13 trials), the USA (eight trials), Finland (six trials), the UK (eight trials), China (four trials), Japan (four trials), Germany (three trials), France (three trials), and Canada (three trials). The remaining studies were carried out in the Netherlands (two trials), Sweden (two trials), New Zealand (one trial), Brazil (one trial), Hungary (one trial), Denmark (one trial), Iran (one trial), Portland (one trial), Spain (one trial), and Thailand (one trial) (Supplementary eTable S1).

Four types of exercise programs were detected in the included studies, with 42 studies involving combined exercise, 19 performing

balance training, 3 conducting strength training, and 1 carrying out aerobic activities. Combined exercise protocols frequently include several distinct types of exercise (such as strength training, balance exercise, aerobic activities, and impact exercises), which are incorporated into one training class to augment the intervention effects (35, 36). Exercise interventions were delivered in centers (28 studies), homes (16 studies), or centers and homes (20 studies).

Study quality assessment

We applied a widely used methodological quality assessment tool (34) to evaluate the quality of individual studies. Of the 64 included trials, 27 studies scored low risk for all domains, 21 studies marked at least one high-risk domain, and 16 studies marked at least one unclear risk in domains (Supplementary eTable S2). The most common domain that scored a high risk of bias was selective reporting. Blinding in many trials was marked unclear risk, and it was used for outcome assessment but not for participants.

Meta-analysis of neurodegenerative diseases

The between-study heterogeneity was high $(I^2 > 75\%)$ for fall rates and was low ($I^2 < 30\%$) for fractures and fall-related injuries (Figure 1). Exercise generated an overall reduction in fall rates for participants with neurodegenerative diseases (RaR, 0.53; 95% CI, 0.43-0.65). Among the participants, exercise reduced rates of falls for dementia by 36% (0.64, 0.51-0.82), stroke by 60% (0.40, 0.27-0.57), and Parkinson's disease by 51% (0.49, 0.39-0.69) (Figure 1). Exercise also reduced fall-related injuries in participants with neurodegenerative diseases (RR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.48-0.90) (Figure 2) but did not generate beneficial effects on fracture prevention (1.19, 0.73-1.96) (Figure 3). Subgroup analysis suggested that both combined and balance training protocols were effective in reducing fall rates, with RaR of 0.65 (0.52-0.80) and 0.44 (0.34-0.56), respectively (Figure 4). Additionally, for fall prevention, any training durations (<6, 6-<12, and \ge 12 months) are beneficial, with intervention effects 0.48 (0.36-0.45), 0.46 (0.32-0.66), and 0.71 (0.52-0.99), respectively (Figure 5). More importantly, the exercise regimens only generated a low exerciseinduced injury rate per participant year (0.005, 95% CI 0-0.02) and showed good compliance with exercise (78.4%; 95% CI, 66.9%-89.8%).

Although the funnel plots for falls showed that there existed somewhat asymmetry (Supplementary Figure S2), Egger's test suggested that there existed weak evidence for the presence of small-study effects (p=0.068) (Supplementary eTable S3). The funnel plots for fall-related injuries and fractures seemed to exist asymmetry (Supplementary Figures S3, S4), but the likelihood of the appearance of small-study effects was weak for fractures and fall-related injuries (Egger's test: p=0.151, p=0.018). Sensitivity assessment indicated that the intervention effects were still significant for falls (0.67, 0.48–0.93) and fall-related injuries (0.71, 0.51–0.98), and not changed significantly for fractures (1.33, 0.70–2.52) after removing the studies with either high or unclear risk of bias (Supplementary eTable S4).

Meta-analysis of frail aging people

The heterogeneity across studies was relatively high $(I^2 > 75\%)$ for falls and low ($I^2 < 5\%$) for fractures and fall-related injuries (Figure 1). The exercise was effective in preventing the rate of falls for frail aging people, resulting in a 25% fall reduction (RaR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.68–0.82) (Figure 1). Exercise intervention also benefited the reduction in fractures and fall-related injuries, lowing the risk by 37% (RR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.41-0.95) and 11% (0.89, 0.84-0.95), respectively (Figures 2, 3). Subgroup analysis suggested that both combined and balance exercise regimens were effective in decreasing fall rates, with RaR of 0.73 (0.64-0.83) and 0.74 (0.64-0.84), respectively (Figure 4). Additionally, for fall prevention either short- (<6 months), moderate- (6-<12 months), or long- (\geq 12 months) term intervention durations are beneficial, with intervention effects of 0.68 (0.56-0.83), 0.62 (0.47-0.82), and 0.86 (0.81–0.92), respectively (Figure 5). Moreover, exercise intervention only induced a very low exercise-induced injury rate per participant year (0.008; 95% CI, 0-0.028) and show good compliance with exercise (73.0%; 95% CI, 66.1%-80.0%).

While the funnel plots for fall rates among frail aging people were relatively symmetry (Supplementary Figure S5), there existed a small asymmetry for fractures and fall-related injuries (Supplementary Figures S6, S7). However, Egger's tests showed that there was weak evidence of the presence of small-study effects (p = 0.068 and p = 0.059) (Supplementary eTable S3). Sensitivity analysis suggested that the results remained significant for falls (0.85, 0.78–0.93) and fall-related injuries (0.91, 0.84–0.98), but not significant for fractures (0.71, 0.27–1.91) (Supplementary eTable S4).

Discussion

Frequently, selecting exercise as a preventive strategy for reducing falls and injuries is a difficult choice for people with neurodegenerative diseases or frail aging adults who often confront balance instability and motor impairment. This metaanalysis has provided pooled evidence to confirm the beneficial effects of exercise on reducing falls and fall-related injuries in people with neurodegenerative diseases and frail older people. Fallprevention exercise successfully decreased fractures in people with aging-related frailty. Combined and balance exercises were the common protocols adopted by older people, and any length of intervention duration generated beneficial outcomes for fall prevention.

Falls are a prevalent and serious problem for people with neurodegenerative disease or aging-associated frailty, leading to disability, hospitalization, and mortality (21–23, 28). Doctors frequently encounter challenges in prescribing exercise protocols for those populations. They might wonder, for example, whether exercise is effective in the prevention of falls and subsequent injuries

Permentin Driver, 2019 For example 50 Permentin For example Driver, 2013 For example 50 Permentin For example Driver, 2013 For example For example Driver, 2014 For example For example Driver, 2015 For example For example Driver, 2017 For example For example Driver, 2012 For example For example Driver, 2017		generative Diseases		Liono			
Stroke Survivor Cheng, 2001 5 50 10 24 0.40 0.22 0.72 Marging, 005 24 25 27 24 0.40 0.22 0.72 Taylor Pling, 2014 16 30 28 28 0.53 0.87 0.72 Parkinson's Disease Amorgania 467 175 610 176 0.94 0.027 0.57 Chiwasi Subuctual (Hequared = 28/96, p = 0.00) 0.94 0.28 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.84 0.85 0.83	Derneni Nyman, 2 Ohman, 2 Pikala, 2 Fuente-3 Toots, 20 Wesson, de Souto Subtotal	ia 019 61 016(a) 55 016(b) 148 013 101 007 139 18 232 2013 5 Barreto, 2017 25 1-squared = 89.8%, p = C	42 44 85 61 53 67 87 11 44 .000)	95 41 162 171 11 136 241 11 36	43 22 43 65 19 67 89 11 47	$\begin{array}{c} 0.66 & (0.53, 0.83) \\ 0.67 & (0.50, 0.90) \\ 0.46 & (0.42, 0.51) \\ 0.38 & (0.54, 0.74) \\ 0.38 & (0.24, 0.53) \\ 1.02 & (0.79, 1.31) \\ 0.99 & (0.82, 1.18) \\ 0.46 & (0.24, 0.87) \\ 0.74 & (0.49, 1.13) \\ 0.64 & (0.51, 0.82) \end{array}$	
Parkinson's Disease Antima 2015 467 7115 810 716 0.58 (0.54, 0.22) Chives Seymour, 2016 47 7115 810 716 0.58 (0.54, 0.22) Cau, 2014 18 23 71 19 33 0.44 (0.28, 0.89) Gao, 2014 18 23 71 19 33 0.44 (0.28, 0.89) Gao, 2014 18 23 71 19 33 0.44 (0.28, 0.89) Morris, 2015 193 69 913 67 0.20 (0.20, 0.21) Subtlatal (H-squared + 98.9%, 9 - 0.000) Table (L-quared + 98.9%, 9 - 0.000) Pale (L-quared + 98.9%, 9 - 0.000) Table (L-quared + 98.9%, 9 - 0.000)	Stroke S Barreca, J Cheng, 21 Marigold, Pang, 20 Taylor-Pil Subtotal	Survivor 2004 3 2005 25 8 4 ae, 2014 16 I-squared = 79.9%, p = 0	25 30 22 25 30 .001)	4 10 75 20 28	23 24 26 27 28	0.69 (0.34, 1.39) 0.40 (0.22, 0.72) 0.39 (0.32, 0.48) 0.22 (0.16, 0.29) 0.53 (0.37, 0.78) 0.40 (0.27, 0.57)	
B Ageing Autors 2015 2 15 5 13 0.35 (0.18, 0.67) Barnett, 2003 27 76 37 74 0.71 (0.48, 1.06) Bennett, 2003 11 14 8 0.18 (0.07, 0.46) 0.06) Boongird, 2017 16 24 20 29 0.97 (0.46, 2.04) Boongird, 2017 16 24 20 29 0.97 (0.46, 2.04) Clemson, 2010 12 17 35 12 0.24 (0.20, 0.29) Clemson, 2012 125 135 12 0.24 (0.20, 0.29) Eik/know 10 203 160 253 157 0.06 (0.22, 1.27) Hauer, 2001 10 22 13 21 0.73 (0.34, 1.57) Hauer, 2001 10 22 13 21 0.73 (0.34, 1.57) Hauer, 2001 10 22 13 21 0.73 (0.34, 1.57) Hauer, 2001 13 24 27 28 0.06 (0.23, 1.16) Latham, 2003 164 120 140 0.29 (0.31, 1.14) Lukine	Parkins Ashburn, Canning, Chivers S Gao, 201 Goodwin, Li, 2012 Morris, 22 Sparrow, Subtotal Total (I-sc	bisease 2007 46 2015 467 eymour, 2019 183 4 8 2011 1507 62 193 2016 25 (I-squared = 99.5%, p = 6) uared = 98.9%, p = 0.000	67 115 238 37 61 65 69 11 0.000)	49 810 187 19 3981 186 913 25	67 116 236 39 64 65 67 7	$\begin{array}{c} 0.94 \; (0.62, 1.41) \\ 0.58 \; (0.54, 0.62) \\ 0.97 \; (0.79, 1.19) \\ 0.44 \; (0.28 \; 0.68) \\ 0.44 \; (0.28 \; 0.68) \\ 0.33 \; (0.30, 0.36) \\ 0.20 \; (0.20, 0.21) \\ 0.63 \; (0.56, 0.71) \\ 0.49 \; (0.35, 0.69) \\ 0.53 \; (0.43, 0.65) \end{array}$	
	B Ageing Aranes, 1 Being, 2 Beyer, 20 Boongird, Buchner, Clegg, 20 Clemson, Cl	2015 2 003 27 09 1 2017 66 2017 62 14 7 2010 12 2012 365 2015 533 6 2022 2015 533 7, 2012 125 5009 21 011 10 013 22 010 33 003 164 009 115 58e, 2018 12 2007 327 2007 327 2007 324 2007 324 2007 324 2007 324 2006 118 2007 344 2003 14 2004 344 2016 291 005 391 2016 34 2013 14	15 76 11 24 219 77 213 160 355 127 17 18 22 26 3 35 5 127 17 19 22 26 3 35 5 127 17 19 22 26 3 37 98 28 17 22 17 19 22 28 39 12 22 26 30 37 55 51 127 127 13 22 127 13 22 127 13 22 127 13 22 13 22 127 13 22 13 22 127 13 22 13 22 127 13 22 13 22 13 22 127 13 22 13 22 127 13 22 13 22 127 13 22 13 22 13 22 13 22 13 22 13 22 13 22 13 22 13 22 13 22 13 22 13 22 13 22 22 23 13 22 13 22 22 23 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	5 37 4 20 88 8 35 258 640 259 82 51 13 27 271 29 31 149 2 21 29 31 149 2 21 29 31 27 21 29 31 27 21 29 31 27 21 29 31 27 21 29 31 27 21 29 31 27 21 29 31 27 21 29 31 27 21 29 31 27 21 29 31 27 21 29 31 27 21 29 31 27 12 21 29 31 27 12 21 29 31 27 12 21 29 31 27 12 21 29 31 27 12 21 29 31 27 13 27 12 31 34 27 12 27 12 31 34 27 12 27 12 27 12 27 12 27 13 27 12 27 13 27 13 27 12 27 13 27 12 27 13 27 12 27 13 27 12 27 13 27 12 27 13 27 12 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 2	13 74 8 229 220 30 30 112 1157 354 21 30 31 121 157 30 458 230 31 21 31 21 31 21 31 21 45 22 46 24 45 212 212 214 455 64 31 156 62	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35 \ (0.18, \ 0.67) \\ 0.71 \ (0.48, \ 1.05) \\ 0.71 \ (0.48, \ 1.05) \\ 0.71 \ (0.48, \ 1.05) \\ 0.75 \ (0.58, \ 0.97) \\ 0.64 \ (0.41, \ 1.00) \\ 0.66 \ (0.26, \ 1.67) \\ 0.24 \ (0.20, \ 0.29) \\ 0.80 \ (0.70, \ 0.92) \\ 0.80 \ (0.70, \ 0.92) \\ 0.80 \ (0.70, \ 0.92) \\ 0.80 \ (0.77, \ 10.66, \ 0.89) \\ 0.84 \ (0.76, \ 0.92) \\ 0.77 \ (0.66, \ 0.89) \\ 0.96 \ (0.42, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.42, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.42, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.42, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.42, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.42, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.42, \ 1.57) \\ 0.71 \ (0.45, \ 0.61) \\ 0.85 \ (0.74, \ 0.97) \\ 0.71 \ (0.45, \ 1.69) \\ 1.13 \ (0.47, \ 1.46) \\ 0.59 \ (0.31, \ 1.14) \\ 0.64 \ (0.36, \ 1.15) \\ 0.71 \ (1.46, \ 1.15) \\ 0.92 \ (0.75, \ 1.69) \\ 1.14 \ (0.36, \ 1.15) \\ 0.92 \ (0.75, \ 1.69) \\ 1.14 \ (0.45, \ 1.72) \\ 0.93 \ (0.42, \ 1.65) \\ 0.81 \ (1.67, \ 0.78) \\ 0.83 \ (0.47, \ 1.07) \\ 0.83 \ (0.47, \ 1.07) \\ 1.42 \ (1.01, \ 2.00) \\ 0.63 \ (0.47, \ 0.84) \\ 1.00 \ (0.62, \ 1.63) \\ 1.07 \ (0.84, \ 1.42) \\ 0.75 \ (0.84, \ 0.84) \\ 1.00 \ (0.62, \ 1.64) \\ 1.00 \ (0.64, \ 0.86) \\ 1.00 \ (0.64, \ 0.86) \\ 1.00 \ (0.64, \ 0.86) \\ 1.00 \ (0.64, \ 0.86) \\ 1.00 \ (0.64, \ 0.86) \\ 1.00 \ (0.64, \ 0.86) \\ 1.00 \ (0.64, \ 0.86) \\ 1.00 \ (0.64, \ 0.86) \\ 1.00 \ (0.64, \ 0.86) \\ 1.00 \ (0.64, $	

neurodegenerative diseases; (B) frail aging people. RaR, rate ratio; CI, confidence interval; N, number.

and whether it is safe for practice. Our findings indicated that exercise was effective in preventing falls and consequent injuries in those people. It has been recognized that exercise is an effective strategy for correcting the low muscle strength (16, 17), poor balance and posture control (18, 19), immobility (37), and impaired gait (38, 39), etc., all of which are common in patients with dementia, stroke, and Parkinson's disease, and some in frail older people. The findings suggest that adverse components causing falls are correctable in those people. It is important because current reviews mainly evaluate overall effects among common "community living people" (26, 29, 33, 40), but the fact is that more falls and serious consequent injuries frequently occur among older people with risk conditions, such as neurodegenerative diseases and aging-associated frailty. Given the fact that the risk factors of falls are hard to be corrected by pharmacological approach among those high-risk people, exercise provides a promising preventive strategy. This review bettered our understanding of the clinical implications of applying exercise to prevent falls and injuries among older populations in highrisk conditions.

Our findings that combined exercise was one of the optimal exercise protocols for preventing falls agreed with previous reviews (26, 29, 31). The combined exercise incorporates a range of distinct types of exercise and frequently generates a combined improvement in muscle strength, balance function, mechanical loading, and other physical functions (27, 35, 36), all of which are determinants of falls.



FIGURE 2

Effects of exercise on the risk of fall-related injuries. (A) Participants with neurodegenerative diseases; (B) frail aging people. RR, risk ratio; CI, confidence interval: N. number.



interval: N. number

Therefore, combined exercise could serve as a feasible approach for reducing fall risk in frail aging people and patients with neurodegenerative disorders who can adhere to such exercise regimes. This is consistent with previous findings that combined exercise is a common and effective protocol for preventing falls among aging people (31, 41), and it is also used as a fall-prevention strategy for neurodegenerative disorders (42-45).

Impairment of balance is recognized as the most frequent and sensitive risk factor for predisposing falls and subsequent injuries.

Multiple risk factors, such as aging, neurodegenerative diseases, immobilization, and decreased physical activities, potentially decrease the individual's ability to balance and posture control. Balance exercise is effective in correcting those risk factors and then shows favorable changes in reducing falls in aging people (33, 40) and populations with neurodegenerative disorders (43, 46-48). The benefits of balance training in preventing fall risk were also corroborated by a Cochrane review in older people (31). Strength exercise, however, did not generate significant changes in fall

A Neurodegenerative	Diseases			
Balance Barreca, 2004 Cheng, 2001 Gao, 2014 Li, 2012 Marigold, 2005 Nyman, 2019 Pang, 2018 Sparrow, 2016 Taylor-Piliae, 2014 Subotal (I-squared = 9	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23 24 39 65 26 43 27 7 28	$\begin{array}{c} 0.69 & (0.34, 1.39) \\ 0.40 & (0.22, 0.72) \\ 0.44 & (0.28, 0.69) \\ 0.33 & (0.30, 0.36) \\ 0.39 & (0.32, 0.48) \\ 0.66 & (0.53, 0.83) \\ 0.22 & (0.16, 0.29) \\ 0.63 & (0.56, 0.71) \\ 0.53 & (0.37, 0.78) \\ 0.44 & (0.34, 0.58) \end{array}$	
Combined Exercise Ashburn, 2007 Canning, 2015 Chivers Seymour, 2015 Goodwin, 2011 Ohman, 2016(b) Pitkala, 2016(b) Pitkala, 2016 Rolland, 2007 Toots, 2018 Wesson, 2018 Wesson, 2018 de Souto Barreto, 2017 Subotal (I-squared = \$	46 67 49 467 115 81(0 183 238 187 1507 61 396 55 44 41 148 85 162 101 61 177 11 53 11 139 67 132 232 87 241 5 11 11 25 44 36 66.9%, p = 0.000)	67 116 236 31 64 22 43 65 19 6 67 89 11 47	$\begin{array}{c} 0.94 \ (0.62, 1.41) \\ 0.58 \ (0.54, 0.62) \\ 0.97 \ (0.79, 1.19) \\ 0.40 \ (0.39, 0.41) \\ 0.67 \ (0.50, 0.90) \\ 0.46 \ (0.42, 0.51) \\ 0.63 \ (0.54, 0.74) \\ 0.36 \ (0.24, 0.53) \\ 1.02 \ (0.79, 1.31) \\ 0.99 \ (0.82, 1.18) \\ 0.46 \ (0.24, 0.87) \\ 0.47 \ (0.49, 1.13) \\ 0.65 \ (0.52, 0.80) \end{array}$	
B Ageing				1
Balance Arantes, 2015 El-Khoury, 2015 Hirase, 2015 Logghe, 2009 Nitz, 2004 Sakamoto, 2006 Sakamoto, 2006 Sakamoto, 2003 Skelton, 2003 Wolf, 2003 Subtotal (I-squared = 7	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13 354 30 131 36 212 455 2 31 64 0 141	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35 & (0.18, 0.67) \\ 0.84 & (0.76, 0.92) \\ 0.46 & (0.35, 0.61) \\ 1.21 & (0.85, 1.72) \\ 0.93 & (0.49, 1.77) \\ 0.66 & (0.55, 0.78) \\ 0.68 & (0.65, 0.78) \\ 0.69 & (0.62, 0.76) \\ 1.00 & (0.62, 1.63) \\ 0.73 & (0.61, 0.86) \\ 0.74 & (0.64, 0.84) \\ \end{array}$	
Combined Exercise Barnett, 2003 Beijng, 2009 Beyer, 2007 Boongird, 2017 Buchner, 1997 Clemson, 2010 Clemson, 2010 Clemson, 2012 Badgati, 2016 Elley, 2008 Hauer, 2001 Hauer, 2001 Hauer, 2001 Hauer, 2001 Lin, 2007 Liu-Ambrose, 2010 Koyacs, 2013 Kuse, 2010 Liu-Ambrose, 2007 Morgan, 2004 Patil, 2015 Rosendahl, 2008 Salminen, 2009 Sherrington, 2014 Simulores, 2010 Uusi-Rast, 2015 Yang, 2012 Subtotal (I-square = 6	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	74 8 29 220 30 12 157 80 157 80 157 80 121 21 21 21 21 458 30 30 30 30 30 46 52 52 50 52 51 57 110 9 62 55 62	$\begin{array}{c} 0.71 & (0.48, 1.05) \\ 0.18 & (0.07, 0.46) \\ 0.97 & (0.46, 2.04) \\ 0.75 & (0.58, 0.97) \\ 0.64 & (0.41, 1.00) \\ 0.24 & (0.20, 0.29) \\ 0.80 & (0.70, 0.22) \\ 0.977 & (0.66, 0.89) \\ 0.96 & (0.72, 1.27) \\ 0.97 & (0.64, 0.88) \\ 0.96 & (0.72, 1.27) \\ 0.77 & (0.54, 1.57) \\ 0.46 & (0.36, 1.15) \\ 0.46 & (0.36, 1.15) \\ 0.46 & (0.36, 1.15) \\ 0.46 & (0.36, 1.15) \\ 0.46 & (0.36, 1.15) \\ 0.46 & (0.36, 1.15) \\ 0.46 & (0.36, 1.15) \\ 0.46 & (0.36, 1.15) \\ 0.46 & (0.36, 1.15) \\ 0.46 & (0.36, 1.15) \\ 0.47 & (0.71, 0.47) \\ 0.47 & (0.47, 0.81) \\ 0.47 & (0.47, 0.81) \\ 0.42 & (0.72, 0.82) \\ 0.47 & (0.47, 0.81) \\ 0.47 & (0.47, 0.81) \\ 0.47 & (0.47, 0.81) \\ 0.47 & (0.47, 0.87) \\ 0.57 & (0.37, 0.87) \\ 0.73 & (0.64, 0.83) \\ \end{array}$	
Hesistance Training Clegg, 2014 Latham, 2003 Subtotal (I-squared = 1	7 40 8 164 120 149 6.7%, p = 0.273)	30 123	0.66 (0.26, 1.67) 1.13 (0.87, 1.46) 1.04 (0.72, 1.51)	
				1

FIGURE 4

Effects of exercise on fall rates categorized by exercise types. (A) Participants with neurodegenerative diseases; (B) frail aging people. RaR, rate ratio; CI, confidence interval; N, number.

prevention in frail aging people. This is in accordance with a previous study that revealed that the effects of strength exercise on fall risk were uncertain (31). It is indicated that strength training is less effective for the management of falls in frail aging people and participants with neurodegenerative disorders. Our findings further indicated that, for improving the poor status of aging-associated frailty and neurogenerative diseases, even a relatively shorter exercise program (<6 months) could generate favorable results. Therefore, those results suggested that exercise was a feasible strategy for managing adverse outcomes of the poor status of older people.

Evidence based on the included RCTs showed that fallprevention exercise only generated a relatively low injury rate among frail aging people, but it is unclear in patients with neurodegenerative diseases due to the lack of injury data. One population-based study (49) conducted a 24-month exercise program with 352 exercising older people, and only reported 4 injuries during the intervention and another study (50) on aging populations reported no injury during training. However, only one study (51) reported exercise-related injuries among a population of 231 Parkinson's disease participants and revealed two injuries during exercising time. The compliance of exercise was relatively good for both aging people and participants with neurodegenerative disorders. Two included studies (52, 53) reported the percentage of exercise compliance of approximately 84% and 79% for older people and dementia patients during the 12-month exercise intervention period. Additionally, the combined exercise program exhibited relatively high adherence to exercise (73% and 79%) for older participants (54) and dementia patients (53). Furthermore, balance training also

Stu	udies	Exercise Events	N Events	<u>el</u> 3 N	RaR (95% CI)	
A Ne	urodegenerative di	sease				
< I As Ba Ga Ga Ga Ga Ma Mu Pa Sp Ta To Ta To Su Su	6 months htburn, 2007 irreca, 2004 ao, 2014 oodwin, 2011 arigold, 2005 orris, 2015 mman, 2018 oarrow, 2018 oarrow, 2018 ods, 2018 esson, 2013 ubtotal (I-squared = 99.	46 3 8 1507 25 193 61 4 25 16 232 5 2%, p = 0.00	67 49 25 4 37 19 61 3981 22 75 69 913 42 95 25 20 11 25 30 28 87 241 11 11 00) 30	67 23 39 64 26 67 43 27 7 28 89 11	$\begin{array}{c} 0.94 \ (0.62, 1.41) \\ 0.69 \ (0.34, 1.39) \\ 0.44 \ (0.28, 0.69) \\ 0.40 \ (0.39, 0.41) \\ 0.39 \ (0.32, 0.48) \\ 0.20 \ (0.20, 0.21) \\ 0.66 \ (0.53, 0.83) \\ 0.22 \ (0.16, 0.29) \\ 0.63 \ (0.56, 0.71) \\ 0.53 \ (0.37, 0.78) \\ 0.99 \ (0.82, 1.18) \\ 0.46 \ (0.24, 0.87) \\ 0.48 \ (0.36, 0.65) \end{array}$	
6 1 Ca Ch Li, Pu de Su	to <12 months anning, 2015 reng, 2001 2012 Jente-González, 2021 s Souto Barreto, 2017 ibtotal (I-squared = 95.6	467 5 62 11 25 5%, p = 0.00	115 810 30 10 65 186 53 11 44 36 0)	116 24 65 19 47	$\begin{array}{c} 0.58 & (0.54, 0.62) \\ 0.40 & (0.22, 0.72) \\ 0.33 & (0.30, 0.36) \\ 0.36 & (0.24, 0.53) \\ 0.74 & (0.49, 1.13) \\ 0.46 & (0.32, 0.66) \end{array}$	
≥1 Ch Of Pit Ro Su	2 months nivers Seymour, 2019 nman, 2016(a) nman, 2016(b) tkala, 2013 biland, 2007 ubtotal (I-squared = 93.6	183 55 148 101 139 6%, p = 0.00	238 187 44 41 85 162 61 171 67 136 0)	236 22 43 65 67	0.97 (0.79, 1.19) 0.67 (0.50, 0.90) 0.46 (0.42, 0.51) 0.63 (0.54, 0.74) 1.02 (0.79, 1.31) 0.71 (0.52, 0.99)	
B Age	eing					
<pre>< < Ar Ar Be Ci H H H H H H H H H H L L L L L L C O M K Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si</pre>	6 months antes, 2015 pling, 2009 egg, 2014 auer, 2001 rase, 2015 m, 2013 tham, 2003 rygan, 2004 tz, 2004 organ, 2004 bysendahl, 2008 egrist, 2016 nulders, 2010 addmard (1-squared = 80.0	2 1 7 10 26 10 164 1 115 34 21 141 291 34 41 0%, p = 0.00	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13 8 30 21 30 52 123 46 131 110 36 96 156 45 64	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35 \ (0.18, \ 0.67) \\ 0.18 \ (0.07, \ 0.46) \\ 0.66 \ (0.26, \ 1.67) \\ 0.73 \ (0.34, \ 1.57) \\ 0.46 \ (0.35, \ 0.61) \\ 0.46 \ (0.35, \ 0.61) \\ 0.46 \ (0.35, \ 0.61) \\ 0.46 \ (0.35, \ 1.61) \\ 0.59 \ (0.31, \ 1.46) \\ 0.59 \ (0.31, \ 1.41) \\ 0.59 \ (0.47, \ 1.51) \\ 0.93 \ (0.49, \ 1.77) \\ 0.78 \ (0.65, \ 0.93) \\ 0.56 \ (0.51, \ 0.61) \\ 0.63 \ (0.47, \ 0.84) \\ 0.68 \ (0.56, \ 0.83) \\ \end{array}$	
6 fi Da Ha Iliffi Sa Sa Sk Ya Su	to <12 months emson, 2010 adgari, 2016 aines, 2009 fe, 2014 akamoto, 2006 akamoto, 2013 etelton, 2005 ung, 2012 bibtotal (I-squared = 95.	12 202 21 402 118 114 391 14 0%, p = 0.00	17 35 160 258 19 51 798 271 315 121 410 152 50 352 59 26 00)	12 157 31 458 212 455 31 62	$\begin{array}{c} 0.24 \ (0.20, \ 0.29) \\ 0.77 \ (0.66, \ 0.89) \\ 0.67 \ (0.46, \ 0.88) \\ 0.85 \ (0.74, \ 0.97) \\ 0.66 \ (0.55, \ 0.78) \\ 0.83 \ (0.67, \ 1.03) \\ 0.69 \ (0.62, \ 0.76) \\ 0.57 \ (0.37, \ 0.87) \\ 0.62 \ (0.47, \ 0.82) \end{array}$	
a 1 a Ba Ba Ba Ba Ba Ba Cl Elli Firi Firi Liu Liu Ma Ma Sa Sh Su Su Su	12 months meth. 2003 yer, 2007 songlid, 2017 ichner, 1997 emson, 2012 Khoury, 2015 leiberger, 2012 use, 2010 J-Ambrose, 2013 use, 2014 use, 201	27 16 66 53 365 533 22 22 23 31 22 24 32 12 24 327 448 243 177 121 127 127 33%, p = 0.06	76 37 24 20 219 88 75 18 213 224 352 640 155 299 127 82 32 29 41 31 28 16 172 235 2151 136 172 82 32 29 11 125 28 16 174 404 202 271 171 123 92 171 145 170 55)	74 29 220 30 105 354 157 80 30 38 24 172 214 175 204 297 169 95 141	$\begin{array}{c} 0.71 \ (0.48, \ 1.05) \\ 0.97 \ (0.46, \ 2.04) \\ 0.75 \ (0.58, \ 0.97) \\ 0.64 \ (0.41, \ 1.00) \\ 0.80 \ (0.70, \ 0.92) \\ 0.80 \ (0.70, \ 0.92) \\ 0.80 \ (0.70, \ 0.92) \\ 0.96 \ (0.82, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.82, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.82, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.82, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.82, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.82, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.82, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.82, \ 1.13) \\ 0.96 \ (0.82, \ 1.14) \\ 0.93 \ (0.82, \ 1.05) \\ 0.93 \ (0.83, \ 1.05) \\ 0.93 \ (0.83, \ 1.05) \ (0.83, \ 1.05) \ (0.83, \ 1.05) \ (0.83, \ 1.05) \ (0.83, \ 1.05) \ (0.83, \ 1.05) \ (0.83, \ 1.05) \ (0.83, \ 1.05) \ (0.83, \ 1.05) \ (0.83, \ 1.05) \ (0.83, \ 1.05)$	
						0.3 1 3
						Favours intervention Favours control

FIGURE 5

Effects of exercise on fall rates categorized by exercise durations. (A) Participants with neurodegenerative diseases; (B) frail aging people. RaR, rate ratio; CI, confidence interval; N, number.

indicated that adherence to exercise was relatively good, with 80% and 82% for aging people and stroke survivors, respectively (47, 55). Together, based on existing evidence, exercise is recommended as a practicable strategy for reducing fall risk for frail aging people and individuals with neurodegenerative disorders.

The heterogeneity across studies was high for falls and low for injurious falls and fractures. The high heterogeneity was mainly due to some participants experiencing high fall rates in some included studies (51, 56); for example, one study enrolled Parkinson's disease people, and of the 195 who returned their falls calendars, 142 fell more than once and 86 people were multiple fallers who fell more than two times during follow-up (57). Twenty-one studies showed

high risk and 16 studies marked unclear risk in at least one domain. Selective reporting was the most common domain that scored high risk, and the blinding domain was frequently ranked as an unclear risk in some studies. It is suggested that the risk of bias in studies on exercise and fall prevention was mainly caused by selective reporting and blinding. The sensitivity analysis demonstrated that our results on falls and fall-related injuries were stable, but not significant for fracture risk among frail aging people, which implied that the certainty of evidence on fracture prevention was not high. Although funnel plots for falls showed small asymmetry among neurodegenerative diseases, the evidence of the appearance of small-study effects was weak.

The strength and limitations of this study

The strength of this meta-analysis was that based upon 64 RCTs, we had a unique opportunity to address the effects of exercise on falls and injuries among people with neurodegenerative disease or frail aging people. The findings have the potential to benefit those people through the provision of recommendations on exercise intervention protocols. The main limitation of this study was that the heterogeneity across studies for fall prevention was high, which might lower the certainty of evidence. Another limitation was that for exercise intervention studies, it was difficult to blind participants, so the included studies were frequently blinded for outcome assessment, which might cause a risk of bias. Additionally, the certainty of the evidence for fracture prevention in frail aging people was not high, which indicated that any explanations of the results should be taken with caution.

Conclusion

This meta-analysis has provided pooled evidence to reveal that exercise is an effective strategy for preventing falls in frail aging people and individuals with neurological diseases. Moreover, exercise can also reduce fractures and fall-related injuries in aging people and prevent fall-induced injuries in patients with neurodegenerative diseases. However, the results should be interpreted cautiously due to the heterogeneity across studies, the single blinding strategy in exercise interventions, and the quality of some included studies. Nevertheless, our findings have clinical importance because it provides older people with high-risk conditions with an efficient strategy for the prevention of falls and subsequent injuries. Future studies should target the associations of exercise and fall prevention in people with other health conditions, e.g., hypertension, diabetes, or cardiovascular diseases.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

References

1. Montero-Odasso M, van der Velde N, Martin FC, Petrovic M, Tan MP, Ryg J, et al. World guidelines for falls prevention and management for older adults: a global initiative. *Age Ageing* (2022) 51(9):afac205. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afac205

2. Stewart Williams J, Kowal P, Hestekin H, O'Driscoll T, Peltzer K, Yawson A, et al. Prevalence, risk factors and disability associated with fall-related injury in older adults in low- and middle-incomecountries: results from the WHO study on global AGEing and adult health (SAGE). *BMC Med* (2015) 13:147. doi: 10.1186/s12916-015-0390-8

3. Haagsma JA, Olij BF, Majdan M, van Beeck EF, Vos T, Castle CD, et al. Falls in older aged adults in 22 European countries: incidence, mortality and burden of disease from 1990 to 2017. *Inj Prev* (2020) 26(Supp 1):i67–74. doi: 10.1136/injuryprev-2019-043347

4. Moreland B, Kakara R, Henry A. Trends in nonfatal falls and fall-related injuries among adults aged >/=65 years - United States, 2012-2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2020) 69(27):875–81. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6927a5

Author contributions

RZ and FF contributed to the study conception and drafted the manuscript. HX, YS, NL, XZ, XS, and XT contributed to the literature search, data extraction, data analysis, and data interpretation. All authors have given final approval to the version being published.

Funding

This study was funded partly by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20201435) and Young Innovative Talents Project of General Colleges and Universities of Guangdong Province Department of Education (2020WQNCX230). The funders have no role in writing the manuscript and determining the submission of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2023.1187325/ full#supplementary-material

5. Campbell AJ, Borrie MJ, Spears GF, Jackson SL, Brown JS, Fitzgerald JL. Circumstances and consequences of falls experienced by a community population 70 years and over during a prospective study. *Age Ageing* (1990) 19(2):136–41. doi: 10.1093/ageing/19.2.136

6. Tinetti ME, Speechley M, Ginter SF. Risk factors for falls among elderly persons living in the community. *N Engl J Med* (1988) 319(26):1701–7. doi: 10.1056/NEJM198812293192604

7. Peel NM, Kassulke DJ, McClure RJ. Population based study of hospitalised fall related injuries in older people. *Inj Prev* (2002) 8(4):280–3. doi: 10.1136/ip.8.4.280

8. Burns ER, Stevens JA, Lee R. The direct costs of fatal and non-fatal falls among older adults — United States. J Saf Res (2016) 58:99–103. doi: 10.1016/j.jsr.2016.05.001

9. Al-Aama T. Falls in the elderly: spectrum and prevention. *Can Fam Physician* (2011) 57(7):771–6.

10. Dionyssiotis Y. Analyzing the problem of falls among older people. Int J Gen Med (2012) 5:805–13. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S32651

11. Pelicioni PHS, Menant JC, Latt MD, Lord SR. Falls in Parkinson's disease subtypes: risk factors, locations and circumstances. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* (2019) 16(12):2216. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16122216

12. Zhang W, Low L-F, Schwenk M, Mills N, Gwynn JD, Clemson L. Review of gait, cognition, and fall risks with implications for fall prevention in older adults with dementia. *Dementia Geriatric Cogn Disord* (2019) 48(1-2):17–29. doi: 10.1159/000504340

13. Goto Y, Otaka Y, Suzuki K, Inoue S, Kondo K, Shimizu E. Incidence and circumstances of falls among community-dwelling ambulatory stroke survivors: a prospective study. *Geriatrics Gerontol Int* (2019) 19(3):240–4. doi: 10.1111/ggi.13594

14. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, et al. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* (2001) 56 (3):M146–56. doi: 10.1093/gerona/56.3.m146

15. van den Bergh JP, van Geel TA, Geusens PP. Osteoporosis, frailty and fracture: implications for case finding and therapy. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* (2012) 8(3):163–72. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2011.217

 Bemben DA, Fetters NL, Bemben MG, Nabavi N, Koh ET. Musculoskeletal responses to high- and low-intensity resistance training in early postmenopausal women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* (2000) 32(11):1949–57. doi: 10.1097/00005768-200011000-00020

17. Patil R, Uusi-Rasi K, Tokola K, Karinkanta S, Kannus P, Sievanen H. Effects of a multimodal exercise program on physical function, falls, and injuries in older women: a 2-year community-based, randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc* (2015) 63 (7):1306–13. doi: 10.1111/jgs.13489

18. Howe TE, Rochester L, Neil F, Skelton DA, Ballinger C. Exercise for improving balance in older people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2011) 11):CD004963. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004963.pub3

19. Beling J, Roller M. Multifactorial intervention with balance training as a core component among fall-prone older adults. *J Geriatr Phys Ther* (2009) 32(3):125–33. doi: 10.1519/00139143-200932030-00008

20. Emilio EJ, Hita-Contreras F, Jimenez-Lara PM, Latorre-Roman P, Martinez-Amat A. The association of flexibility, balance, and lumbar strength with balance ability: risk of falls in older adults. *J Sports Sci Med* (2014) 13(2):349–57.

21. Aguero-Torres H, Fratiglioni L, Guo Z, Viitanen M, von Strauss E, Winblad B. Dementia is the major cause of functional dependence in the elderly: 3-year follow-up data from a population-based study. *Am J Public Health* (1998) 88(10):1452–6. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.88.10.1452

22. Fasano A, Canning CG, Hausdorff JM, Lord S, Rochester L. Falls in Parkinson's disease: a complex and evolving picture. *Mov Disord* (2017) 32(11):1524–36. doi: 10.1002/mds.27195

23. Kerse N, Parag V, Feigin VL, McNaughton H, Hackett ML, Bennett DA, et al. Falls after stroke: results from the Auckland regional community stroke (ARCOS) study, 2002 to 2003. *Stroke* (2008) 39(6):1890–3. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.509885

24. Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Rep* (1985) 100(2):126–31.

25. Cadore EL, Rodríguez-Mañas L, Sinclair A, Izquierdo M. Effects of different exercise interventions on risk of falls, gait ability, and balance in physically frail older adults: a systematic review. *Rejuvenation Res* (2013) 16(2):105–14. doi: 10.1089/rej.2012.1397

26. Zhao R, Feng F, Wang X. Exercise interventions and prevention of fall-related fractures in older people: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Epidemiol* (2017) 46(1):149–61. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw142

27. Zhao R, Zhao M, Xu Z. The effects of differing resistance training modes on the preservation of bone mineral density in postmenopausal women: a meta-analysis. *Osteoporos Int* (2015) 26(5):1605–18. doi: 10.1007/s00198-015-3034-0

28. Karinkanta S, Piirtola M, Sievanen H, Uusi-Rasi K, Kannus P. Physical therapy approaches to reduce fall and fracture risk among older adults. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* (2010) 6(7):396–407. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2010.70

29. de Souto Barreto P, Rolland Y, Vellas B, Maltais M. Association of long-term exercise training with risk of falls, fractures, hospitalizations, and mortality in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med* (2018) 179 (3):394–405. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.5406

30. Sherrington C, Fairhall N, Wallbank G, Tiedemann A, Michaleff ZA, Howard K, et al. Exercise for preventing falls in older people living in the community: an abridged cochrane systematic review. *Br J Sports Med* (2020) 54(15):885–91. doi: 10.1136/ bjsports-2019-101512

31. Sherrington C, Fairhall NJ, Wallbank GK, Tiedemann A, Michaleff ZA, Howard K, et al. Exercise for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2019) 1:CD012424. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD012424.pub2

32. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DGPRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Ann Intern Med* (2009) 151(4):264–9. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

33. Gillespie LD, Robertson MC, Gillespie WJ, Sherrington C, Gates S, Clemson LM, et al. Interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2012) 9):CD007146. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007146.pub3

34. Higgins J, Green S. *Cochrane reviewers' handbook 5.0.1 (updated September 2008).* The Cochrane Library, Chichester, UK: Wiley (2008).

35. Martyn-St James M, Carroll S. A meta-analysis of impact exercise on postmenopausal bone loss: the case for mixed loading exercise programmes. Br J Sports Med (2009) 43(12):898–908. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.2008.052704

36. Zhao RQ, Zhang MY, Zhang Q. The effectiveness of combined exercise interventions for preventing postmenopausal bone loss: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* (2017) 47(4):241–51. doi: 10.2519/ jospt.2017.6969

37. Meijers JMM, Halfens RJG, Neyens JCL, Luiking YC, Verlaan G, Schols JMGA. Predicting falls in elderly receiving home care: the role of malnutrition and impaired mobility. *J nutrition Health Aging* (2013) 16(7):654–8. doi: 10.1007/s12603-012-0010-7

38. Stalenhoef PA, Diederiks JPM, de Witte LP, Schiricke KH, Crebolder HFJM. Impact of gait problems and falls on functioning in independent living persons of 55 years and over: a community survey. *Patient Educ Couns* (1999) 36(1):23–31. doi: 10.1016/s0738-3991(98)00071-8

39. Baczkowicz D, Szczegielniak J, Proszkowiec M. Relations between postural stability, gait and falls in elderly persons-preliminary report. *Ortop Traumatol Rehabil* (2008) 10(5):478-85.

40. El-Khoury F, Cassou B, Charles MA, Dargent-Molina P. The effect of fall prevention exercise programmes on fall induced injuries in community dwelling older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* (2013) 347:f6234. doi: 10.1136/bmj.f6234

41. Zhao R, Bu W, Chen X. The efficacy and safety of exercise for prevention of fallrelated injuries in older people with different health conditions, and differing intervention protocols: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Geriatrics* (2019) 19(1):341. doi: 10.1186/s12877-019-1359-9

42. Ashburn A, Fazakarley L, Ballinger C, Pickering R, McLellan LD, Fitton C. A randomised controlled trial of a home based exercise programme to reduce the risk of falling among people with Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* (2007) 78 (7):678–84. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2006.099333

43. Gao Q, Leung A, Yang Y, Wei Q, Guan M, Jia C, et al. Effects of tai chi on balance and fall prevention in Parkinson's disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* (2014) 28(8):748–53. doi: 10.1177/0269215514521044

44. Brett L, Stapley P, Meedya S, Traynor V. Effect of physical exercise on physical performance and fall incidents of individuals living with dementia in nursing homes: a randomized controlled trial. *Physiother Theory Pract* (2021) 37(1):38–51. doi: 10.1080/09593985.2019.1594470

45. Dean CM, Rissel C, Sherrington C, Sharkey M, Cumming RG, Lord SR, et al. Exercise to enhance mobility and prevent falls after stroke: the community stroke club randomized trial. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* (2012) 26(9):1046–57. doi: 10.1177/1545968312441711

46. Marigold DS, Eng JJ, Dawson AS, Inglis JT, Harris JE, Gylfadottir S. Exercise leads to faster postural reflexes, improved balance and mobility, and fewer falls in older persons with chronic stroke. *J Am Geriatr Soc* (2005) 53(3):416–23. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53158.x

47. Taylor-Piliae RE, Hoke TM, Hepworth JT, Latt LD, Najafi B, Coull BM. Effect of tai chi on physical function, fall rates and quality of life among older stroke survivors. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* (2014) 95(5):816–24. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2014.01.001

48. Sparrow D, DeAngelis TR, Hendron K, Thomas CA, Saint-Hilaire M, Ellis T. Highly challenging balance program reduces fall rate in Parkinson disease. J Neurol Phys Ther (2016) 40(1):24–30. doi: 10.1097/NPT.00000000000111

49. El-Khoury F, Cassou B, Latouche A, Aegerter P, Charles MA, Dargent-Molina P. Effectiveness of two year balance training programme on prevention of fall induced injuries in at risk women aged 75-85 living in community: ossebo randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* (2015) 351:h3830. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h3830

50. Buchner DM, Cress ME, de Lateur BJ, Esselman PC, Margherita AJ, Price R, et al. The effect of strength and endurance training on gait, balance, fall risk, and health services use in community-living older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* (1997) 52 (4):M218–24. doi: 10.1093/gerona/52A.4.M218

51. Canning CG, Sherrington C, Lord SR, Close JC, Heritier S, Heller GZ, et al. Exercise for falls prevention in Parkinson disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Neurology* (2015) 84(3):304–12. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000001155

52. Freiberger E, Haberle L, Spirduso WW, Zijlstra GA. Long-term effects of three multicomponent exercise interventions on physical performance and fall-related psychological outcomes in community-dwelling older adults: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc* (2012) 60(3):437–46. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03859.x

53. Pitkala KH, Poysti MM, Laakkonen ML, Tilvis RS, Savikko N, Kautiainen H, et al. Effects of the Finnish Alzheimer disease exercise trial (FINALEX): a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA Intern Med* (2013) 173(10):894–901. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.359

54. Uusi-Rasi K, Patil R, Karinkanta S, Kannus P, Tokola K, Lamberg-Allardt C, et al. Exercise and vitamin d in fall prevention among older women: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med* (2015) 175(5):703–11. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.0225

55. Li F, Harmer P, Fisher KJ, McAuley E, Chaumeton N, Eckstrom E, et al. Tai chi and fall reductions in older adults: a randomized controlled trial. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* (2005) 60(2):187–94. doi: 10.1093/gerona/60.2.187

56. Goodwin VA, Richards SH, Henley W, Ewings P, Taylor AH, Campbell JL. An exercise intervention to prevent falls in people with Parkinson's disease: a pragmatic randomised controlled trial. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* (2011) 82(11):1232–8. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2011-300919

57. Morris ME, Taylor NF, Watts JJ, Evans A, Horne M, Kempster P, et al. A home program of strength training, movement strategy training and education did not prevent falls in people with Parkinson's disease: a randomised trial. *J Physiother* (2017) 63(2):94–100. doi: 10.1016/j.jphys.2017.02.015