# Washington University School of Medicine

# Digital Commons@Becker

2020-Current year OA Pubs

**Open Access Publications** 

5-2-2023

# Whole-exome sequencing prioritizes candidate genes for hereditary cataract in the Emory mouse mutant

Thomas M Bennett Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Yuefang Zhou Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Kacie J Meyer University of Iowa

Michael G Anderson University of Iowa

Alan Shiels Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa\_4

Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons Please let us know how this document benefits you.

### **Recommended Citation**

Bennett, Thomas M; Zhou, Yuefang; Meyer, Kacie J; Anderson, Michael G; and Shiels, Alan, "Whole-exome sequencing prioritizes candidate genes for hereditary cataract in the Emory mouse mutant." G3 Genes|Genomics|Genetics. 13, 5. jkad055 (2023). https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa\_4/1680

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by the Open Access Publications at Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2020-Current year OA Pubs by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact vanam@wustl.edu.



# Whole-exome sequencing prioritizes candidate genes for hereditary cataract in the Emory mouse mutant

Thomas M. Bennett,<sup>1</sup> Yuefang Zhou,<sup>1</sup> Kacie J. Meyer,<sup>2</sup> Michael G. Anderson,<sup>2</sup> Alan Shiels<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

\*Corresponding author: Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid Ave., Box 8096, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA. Email: shiels@wustl.edu.

#### Abstract

The Emory cataract (*Em*) mouse mutant has long been proposed as an animal model for age-related or senile cataract in humans—a leading cause of visual impairment. However, the genetic defect(s) underlying the autosomal dominant *Em* phenotype remains elusive. Here, we confirmed development of the cataract phenotype in commercially available *Em/J* mice [but not ancestral Carworth Farms White (CFW) mice] at 6–8 months of age and undertook whole-exome sequencing of candidate genes for *Em*. Analysis of coding and splice-site variants did not identify any disease-causing/associated mutations in over 450 genes known to underlie inherited and age-related forms of cataract and other lens disorders in humans and mice, including genes for lens crystallins, membrane/cytoskeleton proteins, DNA/RNA-binding proteins, and those associated with syndromic/systemic forms of cataract. However, we identified three cata-ract/lens-associated genes each with one novel homozygous variant including predicted missense substitutions in *Prx* (p.R167C) and *Adamts10* (p.P761L) and a disruptive in-frame deletion variant (predicted missense substitutions in *Prx* and *Adamts10* were absent in CFW and over 35 other mouse strains. *In silico* analysis predicted that the missense substitutions in *Prx* and *Adamts10* were borderline neutral/damaging and neutral, respectively, at the protein function level, whereas, that in *Abhd12* was functionally damaging. Both the human counterparts of *Adamts10* and *Abhd12* are clinically associated with syndromic forms of cataract known as Weil-Marchesani syndrome 1 and polyneuropathy, hearing loss, ataxia, retinitis pigmentosa, and cataract syndrome, respectively. Overall, while we cannot exclude *Prx* and *Adamts10*, our data suggest that *Abhd12* is a promising candidate gene for cataract in the *Em/J* mouse.

Keywords: lens, cataract, CFW-Em/J mouse, Prx, Adamts10, Abhd12

#### Introduction

Clouding of the crystalline lens, or cataract(s), is commonly associated with aging and, despite advances in surgical treatment, age-related or senile cataract is a leading cause of visual impairment (low vision and blindness) worldwide (GBD 2019 Blindness and Vision Impairment Collaborators 2021). Cataract may also be inherited either as an isolated phenotype or as part of a multisystem disease typically with an early-onset (birth to 40 years), and over 450 underlying genes have been identified including those for lens crystallins, connexins and other membrane proteins, ocular transcription factors, and RNA-binding proteins (Shiels and Hejtmancik 2017, 2019, 2021) (https://cat-map.wustl. edu). Hereditary forms of cataract also afflict many domesticated animals including sheep, cattle, horses/ponies, and over 60 breeds of dogs (Pinard and Basrur 2011; Wilson et al. 2012; Mellersh 2014; Murgiano et al. 2014; Ricketts et al. 2015). In addition, numerous inbred strains of laboratory rats and mice serve as animal models of human cataract including the Shumiya cataract rat and senescence-accelerated mouse strains (Mori et al. 2006; Graw 2019).

The Emory cataract (Gene symbol: Em) mutation arose spontaneously in an inbred colony of Carworth Farms White (CFW) mice, and due to the relatively late onset of autosomal dominant lens opacities at 6–8 months of age, the Em mouse was originally proposed (in 1981) as an animal model for human senile cataract (Kuck et al. 1981; Kuck 1990). In vivo imaging of the Em mouse lens revealed that the cataract progressed slowly with variable severity in four stages starting with irregularly round opacification confined to the central region of the anterior superficial cortex by 2 months of age (stage-1), progressing into the anterior deep cortex by 6 months (stage-2), then to the supranuclear region by 7-8 months (stage-3), and eventually to total lens opacification by 10–12 months (stage-4) (Takizawa and Sasaki 1986). Histopathological studies of Em lenses using light and electron microscopy have detected early ultrastructural changes including acellular regions in the anterior epithelium by 2 weeks of age and swelling of the anterior cortical fibers by 1–2 months of age (Uga et al. 1988). Cataract onset was delayed in Em mice fed a calorie (20-40%) restricted diet (Taylor et al. 1989, 1995a, 1995b; Scrofano et al. 1998a, 1998b), and cataract grading studies have shown that beyond 6 months of age, Em females develop cataract

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: January 10, 2023. Accepted: February 28, 2023

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Genetics Society of America.

more rapidly (7–11 months) than age-matched males, whereas, the final cataract severity ( $\geq$ 13 months) was indistinguishable between sexes (Shang et *al.* 2002).

Biochemical studies of Em lenses have found a range of abnormalities including increased levels of protein insolubility, Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions, oxidized glutathione, and membrane lipid peroxidation (Kuck 1990). Lens gene expression studies have detected downregulation of several mRNA transcripts for crystallins (e.g. Cryaa) and major intrinsic protein or aquaporin-0 (MIP/AQP0), and up-regulation of adhesion related kinase (Ark) receptor tyrosine kinase associated with cataract in the Em lens (Shi and Bekhor 1992; Sheets et al. 2002). Further, ultrastructural and immunochemical studies have shown that cortical cataract formation in the Em lens was associated with premature proteolytic-cleavage of MIP/AQP0 and gap-junction alpha-8 protein or connexin-50 (GJA8/Cx50) C-termini resulting in abnormal wavy square-array junctions and smaller gap-junctions, respectively, compared to wild-type lens fiber cells (Biswas et al. 2014). Finally, imbalances in the lens crystallin proteome and changes in transfer RNA-derived fragments have been associated with cataract development in the Em mouse (Schmid et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2022). However, all of these observed changes are likely secondary to the underlying genetic defect(s). Here we have undertaken a whole-exome sequencing approach to analyze variants in candidate genes for Em.

#### Materials and methods Mice

CFW-Em/J (Em/J, Stock no. 001998) and C57BL/6J (B6J, Stock no. 000664) mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). CFW(SW) mice (Strain code 024) were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA, USA). Lens imaging of conscious mice was performed using a slit-lamp at 25× magnifications (SL-D7; Topcon, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a digital camera (D800; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) under identical image acquisition and processing settings. Anterior chamber imaging of mice was performed by spectral domain-ocular coherence tomography using a 12-mm bore (Bioptigen Envisu, Leica Microsystems, Deerfield, IL, USA). Briefly, mice were anesthetized with ketamine (100 mg/Kg body-weight) and xylazine (10 mg/Kg body-weight) and both eyes immediately hydrated with balanced salt solution (BSS, Alcon Laboratories, Fort Worth, TX, USA). Radial volume scan parameters within the Bioptigen InVivoVueClinic software were set to: 2-mm diameter, 1,000 A-scans/B-scan, 100 B-scans/ volume, 1 frame/B-scan, and 1 volume. Central corneal thickness was measured within the Bioptigen InVivoVueClinic Sofware using vertical angle-locked B-scan calipers. Mice were humanely euthanized according to the American Veterinary Medical Association and the eyes were removed. Whole globes or dissected lenses immersed in phosphate buffered saline (#P4417-100TAB, MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA, USA) were imaged with a dissecting microscope (Stemi 2000, Zeiss, Thornwood, NY, USA) equipped with a digital camera (Spot Insight, Sterling Heights, MI, USA). All mouse studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Washington University in St. Louis and the University of Iowa in compliance with the Institute for Laboratory Animal Research guidelines.

#### Exome sequencing and variant analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from mouse spleen using the Gentra Puregene Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and quantified by absorbance at 260 nm (NanoDrop 2000, Wilmington, DE, USA). Whole exome capture was achieved using the SureSelect Mouse All Exon (50 Mb) Kit that targets over 221,784 exons from 24,306 genes (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) followed by next-generation sequencing (1 lane, paired-end reads 2×101 bps) on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 system using the Multiplexing Sample Preparation Oligo-nucleotide Kit and the HiSeq 2000 Paired-End Cluster Generation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturers' instructions and as briefly described (Mackay et al. 2014, 2015). Raw sequence data were aligned to the mouse reference genome (build mm10) by NovoalignMPI (www.novocraft.com), and sequence variants were called using the Sequence Alignment/Map format (SAMtools) and Picard programs (http://samtools.sourceforge. net/). Target coverage and read-depth were reviewed by the Integrated Genomics Viewer (IGV; http://www.broadinstitute. org/igv/). Called variants were reviewed using the SNP and Variation Suite software (SVS 8.9.1, Golden Helix, Bozeman, MT, USA). Variant effects on protein function were predicted using the Sorting Intolerant from Tolerant (SIFT) web server (https:// sift.bii.a-star.edu.sg/) (Sim et al. 2012) and the Protein Variation Effect Analyzer (PROVEAN) web server (http://provean.jcvi.org) (Choi and Chan 2015).

# Polymerase chain reaction amplification and sequencing

Allele-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was performed in a GeneAmp 9700 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Grand Island, NY, USA) using Top Taq mastermix kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and three (forward, reverse, nested) gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table 1) followed by horizontal agarose-gel electrophoresis (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) with GelRed (Biotium, Hayward, CA, USA) as described (Shiels *et al.* 2008). PCR-Sanger sequencing was performed in both directions using M13-tailed gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table 1) with the BigDye Terminator v3.1 kit and a 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) as described (Mackay *et al.* 2014, 2015).

#### Results

#### Em/J mouse lens phenotype

Em/J inbred albino mice are reported to be homozygous for an autosomal dominant cataract (Em) phenotype that arose spontaneously in an inbred colony of CFW mice at Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA (Kuck et al. 1981; Kuck 1990) (https://www.jax. org). CFW mice were derived from so-called Swiss mice and have been maintained as an outbred population for many generations causing reduced linkage disequilibrium between nearby alleles/markers compared to inbred mouse strains-rendering them useful for genetic analysis of complex traits (Lynch 1969; Chia et al. 2005; Yalcin et al. 2010; Parker et al. 2016). First, we performed a gross comparison of postmortem eyes and lenses from Em/J (mutant sub-strain) and CFW (ancestral strain) mice (n =  $\geq$ 3 animals) before and after obvious cataract formation in the former. While Em/J and CFW eyes were grossly indistinguishable at post-natal day 21 (P21), we observed occasional, unilateral, displacement of the pupil margin in both strains (Fig. 1a). Upon dissection, the otherwise clear lenses from pupil-displaced Em/J eyes were found to be misshapen with an "egg-shaped" appearance (Fig. 1b). Similarly, at 7 months of age, CFW lenses were transparent with an occasional, unilateral, egg-shaped appearance (Fig. 1c and d). These observations suggested that variable pupil displacement may be due to an adhesion between the iris



**Fig. 1**. Lens phenotype of *Em/J* mice. Dark-field (a, f, h) and bright-field (b–d, e, g) dissecting microscope images of *Em/J* eye (a) and lenses (b, e–h) and CFW lenses (c, d) at P21 (a, b), 7 months of age (c–f), and 9 months of age (g, h). Arrow in (a) indicates pupil displacement. Arrows in (h) indicate signs of liquefaction. Scale bar: 500 µm.

and lens capsule (suspected posterior synechia) that resulted in asymmetric lens growth. Regardless, occasional pupil displacement with a misshapen lens in the *Em/J* mutant sub-strain was likely derived from the ancestral CFW strain. In *Em/J* lenses at 7

months of age, severe, bilateral, cortical, cataract was manifest without signs of lens rupture (Fig. 1e and f) and by 9 months of age, *Em/J* lenses appeared slightly shrunken with signs of liquefaction in the outer cortex region surrounding the nucleus (Fig. 1g

and h). Slit-lamp examination of conscious Em/J mice (without pupil dilation or anesthesia) at 7 months of age confirmed the presence of bilateral, cortical cataract with mild inter-ocular variability and, in one case, a suspected synechia without other signs of ocular inflammation (Fig. 2a1 and a3). Otherwise, Em/J (and CFW) eyes had clear corneas and translucent irises with unremarkable central corneal thickness (average CCT = 99.4  $\mu$ m  $\pm$  SD 2.51, n = 6 eyes) and anterior chamber dimensions (Supplementary Fig. 1) (Lively *et al.* 2010).

Subsequently, in an effort to genetically map the *Em* phenotype to a mouse chromosome, we began an F2 intercross with the C57BL/6J (B6J) genome reference strain (Sarsani *et al.* 2019). However, F1 progeny failed to develop the characteristic cataract phenotype by 9–12 months of age suggesting that heterozygous *Em* mice developed cataract much later than homozygotes and/ or that the cataract exhibited reduced penetrance on the B6J genetic background—making further genetic mapping of *Em* challenging.

#### Exome metrics and variant analysis

For both *Em/J* and CFW exome samples, over 86% of total paired-end reads were mapped to the C57BL/6J mouse reference genome assembly (Genome Reference Consortium Mouse Build 38 or GRCm38/mm10) and over 86% of mapped reads were present in the captured exome sequences (Supplementary Table 2). Over 98% of each exome achieved a read depth of  $\geq 10 \times$  coverage and over 93% of each achieved 25× coverage. Unexpected gaps in coverage were found on chromosome-4 of CFW (32 exons) and on chromosome Y of *Em/J* (140 exons). However, close inspection of these regions of low coverage using the IGV (https://software.broadinstitute.org/software/igv/) did not reveal credible candidate genes for ocular abnormalities.

Exome variant call files were filtered against the National Center for Biotechnology Information RefSeq genes 59 and dbSNP 146 public databases using the SNP and Variation Suite (SVS) software package version 8.9.1 (https://www.goldenhelix. com). SVS generated over 87,000 variants that were subdivided into 13 sub-types, including intron > synonymous > missense > splice-site > untranslated region (UTR) > non-coding exon > upstream gene > downstream gene > in-frame insertions/deletions (indels) > frameshift > stop-codon > initiator (start) codon > disruptive in-frame indels in descending order of abundance (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 3). We excluded intron variants, synonymous variants, upstream and downstream intergenic variants, non-coding variants, and most UTR variantsaccounting for over 80% of the total filtered variants (Table 1). Of the remainder, we focused on (1) predicted missense variants including single nucleotide variants (SNVs) resulting in amino-acid substitutions, in-frame indels, and disruptive inframe indels and (2) predicted loss-of-function (LoF) variants including splice-acceptor/donor variants, frameshift variants, stopgain/loss variants, and start-codon variants (Supplementary Table 3). Of these missense (~15,000) and LoF (~419) variants, the vast majority (>90%) had reference SNP (rs) identifiers effectively excluding them as candidate genes for *Em* (Supplementary Table 3).

Since *Em/J* (and CFW) mice are albinos with pink eyes, we first sought to confirm the presence of an underlying mutation in the tyrosinase gene (Tyr) on chromosome-7 (Beermann *et al.* 2004). IGV confirmed that both strains were homozygous for the classical albino missense mutation (c.G308C, p.C103S) in exon-1 of Tyr (Supplementary Table 3). In addition, we found that both *Em/J* and CFW mice were homozygous for a nonsense mutation

(p.Y347X) in exon-7 of the gene for phosphodiesterase 6 betasubunit (*Pde6b*) on chromosome-5 (Supplementary Table 3) that underlies an autosomal recessive form of retinal (rodphotoreceptor) degeneration 1 (rd1, rodless)—consistent with prior reports that CFW mice undergo early-onset retinal degeneration, (Kuck et al. 1981; Serfilippi et al. 2004; Han et al. 2013).

#### Variant analysis of known genes for cataract

Our priority was to exclude the possibility that a well-known gene for cataract was causative in the Em/J mutant mouse. Currently, over 450 genes across the genome (autosomes, X, and mitochondrial chromosomes) have been associated with inherited and age-related forms of cataract and other lens disorders-with or without other ocular and/or systemic abnormalities-in humans and mice (https://cat-map.wustl.edu, www.omim.org). Such genes include those for lens crystallins (e.g. Cryaa, Cryab, Cryba1, Cryba2, Crybb1, Crybb2, Cryga-f, Crygs, Cryz), transmembrane proteins (e.g. Gja3, Gja8, Mip/Aqp0, Lim2, Epha2) membraneassociated proteins (e.g. Chmp4b, Fyco1, Efna5, Prx, Lctl/Klph), cytoskeletal proteins (Bfsp1, Bfsp2, Vim), transcription factors (e.g. Hsf4, Pitx3, Foxe3, Pax6, Prox1, Yap1), RNA-binding proteins (e.g. Tdrd7, Celf1), a lysosomal enzyme (Dnase2b), and syndromic/systemic forms of cataract (e.g. Galk1, Gcnt2, Pxdn, Agk, Ftl1, Phyh). In addition, several genes that serve important roles in lens cell biology but have not yet been directly associated with cataracts are listed in the Cat-Map database (https://cat-map.wustl.edu). These include genes coding for lens membrane proteins (Grifin, Kl), a cytoskeleton/chaperone protein (Lgsn), organelle degradation proteins (Bnip3l/Nix, Plaat3, Cdk1), and an epithelial cell protein (Lenep). First, we matched the Cat-Map gene list against Em/J and CFW exome variants with predicted loss-of-function and obtained 1 hit for frameshift variants, 3 hits for stop-gain/loss variants, and 0 hits for splice-donor/acceptor and start-codon variants (Supplementary Table 3). Second, we matched the Cat-Map genes against Em/J and CFW exome variants predicted to be missense. We obtained 114 hits with missense SNVs, 6 hits with in-frame indels, and 2 hits with disruptive in-frame indels (Supplementary Table 3). Third, we pooled all the variant hits without rs identifiers in dbSNP 146 to give a list of 43 variants including 39 missense SNVs, 1 stop-gained variant, 1 frameshift variant, 1 in-frame insertion variant, and 1 disruptive in-frame deletion variant found in 26 Cat-Map genes (Table 2).

Fourth, we proceeded to filter these "novel" variants in Cat-Map genes against other public databases including the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) Genome Browser (Lee et al. 2022) and the Ensembl Genome Browser (https://ensembl.org) (Cunningham et al. 2022) in order to access later versions of dbSNP and genomic variants in other mouse strains. Variant coordinates were input to the UCSC Genome Browser and analyzed using the Annotated SNPs from Mouse Strain Comparison Analysis followed by the Ensemble Browser (release 106). Of the 43 first-round variants, 20 were found to have rs identifiers and occurred in other mouse strains (Table 2). A further 19 variants were present in other mouse strains and/or were reference sequence in Em-J, leaving four Cat-Map genes each with a novel variant comprising predicted missense SNVs in Fktn, Prx, and Adamts10, and a disruptive in-frame deletion variant in Abhd12 (Table 2). In order to validate these novel variants, we undertook PCR-Sanger sequencing and/or allele-specific PCR amplification. The Prx and Adamts10 missense SNVs were confirmed to be heterozygous in CFW and homozygous in Em/J mice and both were consistent with predicted p.R167C and p.P761L amino-acid substitutions, respectively (Fig. 3a and b). However, the predicted missense



Fig. 2. Slit-lamp images (25× magnification) of left and right eyes from three *Em/J* mice at 7 months of age (a–c). Arrows in A1 and A3 indicate a suspected synechia associated with pupil displacement.

Table 1. Summar	y of exome	variants	found in	. Em/J	and CFW mic	e.
-----------------	------------	----------	----------	--------	-------------	----

Variant type	Gene region	Total (%) {subtotal}	Effect (Clinically Relevant)
1. Intron	intron	32,467 (37.26%)	Other
2. Synonymous	exon	28,089 (32.24%)	Other
3. Missense	exon	15,011 (17.23%)	Missense
4. Splice-site		4,614 (5.30%)	
splice-acceptor	intron	{33}	Loss-of-function (LoF)
splice-donor	intron	{26}	Loss-of-function
splice-region	intron	{4556}	Other
5. Untranslated region (UTR)		4,479 (5.14%)	
3'-UTR	UTR3	{3034}	Other
5'-UTR	UTR5	{1445}	Missense, other
6. Non-coding exon	exon	717 (0.82%)	Other
7. Upstream gene	upstream_intergenic	577 (0.66%)	Unknown
8. Downstream gene	downstream_intergenic	453 (0.52%)	Unknown
9. In-frame indel		332 (0.38%)	
inframe_deletion	exon	{177}	Missense, other
inframe_insertion	exon	{155}	Missense, other
10. Frameshift	exon	210 (0.24%)	Loss-of-Function
11. Stop codon		147 (0.17%)	
stop_gained (nonsense)	exon	{108}	Loss-of-Function
stop_lost	exon	{20}	Loss-of-Function
stop_retained	exon	{19}	Other
12. Inatiator (start) codon	exon	22 (0.03%)	Loss-of-function
13. Disruptive in-frame indels		20 (0.02%)	
disruptive_inframe_deletion	exon	{9}	Missense
disruptive_inframe_insertion	exon	{11}	Missense
	Total	87,138	

substitution (p.S245T) in Fktn failed validation in CFW and *Em/J* mice (Supplementary Fig. 2). Close inspection of this variant using IGV revealed that it exhibited very low coverage (12.5% of reads) further suggesting that it was a sequencing artefact. Additionally, we found that the disruptive in-frame 6-bp deletion (c.89\_94delTGGACG) in *Abhd12*, predicted to result in a missense substitution (p.L30-A32delinsP), was discordant with PCR-Sanger sequencing. Figure 3c revealed that while the *Abhd12* variant was absent in CFW mice and homozygous in *Em/J* mice, it was more consistent with a 7-bp deletion and 1-bp insertion (c. 88\_94delCTGGACGinsT) predicted to result in the missense substitution p.L30\_A32delinsS. Alternatively, we cannot exclude the possibility that a 5-bp deletion (c.90\_94delGGACG) and a 1-bp deletion (c.88delC), or some other rearrangement, occurred to generate the p.L30\_A32delinsS substitution.

Fifth, we used the SIFT web server (https://sift.bii.a-star.edu.sg/ ) to predict the effects of novel missense SNVs on protein function (Sim et al. 2012). A SIFT score of <0.05 was predicted to be intolerant, whereas, a score of  $\geq 0.05$  was predicted to be tolerated. The Prx substitution (p.R167C) was predicted to be borderline intolerant (score = 0.05), whereas, the Adamts10 substitution (p.P761L) was tolerated (score = 0.33). Since SIFT did not generate a score for the Abhd12 indel substitution, we used the PROVEAN web server (http://provean.jcvi.org) that predicts the functional effects of single and multiple amino-acid substitutions, insertions, and deletions (Choi and Chan 2015). A PROVEAN score less than -2.5 was predicted to be deleterious, whereas, a score greater than -2.5 was predicted to be neutral. The Prx substitution (p.R167C) was predicted to be borderline neutral (score = -2.31), whereas, the Adamts10 substitution (p.P761L) was predicted to be neutral (score = 0.96). By contrast, the Abhd12 indel substitution (p.L30-A32delinsS) was predicted to be functionally deleterious (score = -20.74).

Finally, we compared the transcript expression profile of Prx, Adamts10, and Abhd12 in mouse eye tissues using the BioGPS gene portal (http://biogps.org) (Wu et al. 2016). Figure 4 shows

that all three genes are expressed in the lens at higher levels than in adjacent eye tissues including the iris, ciliary body, and retina. Overall, while we cannot totally exclude *Prx* and *Adamts10*, our variant analysis data suggest that *Abhd12* was the most plausible candidate gene for *Em*.

#### Discussion

The Em mouse inherits a spontaneous, uncharacterized, mutation underlying a reported autosomal dominant form of latemanifest (6-8 months of age), progressive, cortical cataract that has long been proposed as an animal model for age-related cataract in humans (Kuck 1990). We confirmed the cataract phenotype in commercially available homozygous Em/J mutant mice at 6-8 months of age but not in age-matched Em/J heterozygotes or CFW ancestral mice. Using whole-exome sequencing and variant analysis of Em/J and CFW mice, we excluded over 450 known genes for inherited and age-related forms of cataracts-with or without other ocular and/or systemic abnormalities-including those for lens crystallins, membrane and cytoskeletal proteins, DNA or RNA-binding proteins, along with many genes for syndromic/systemic forms of cataract (https:// cat-map.wustl.edu). Our variant analysis culminated in the prioritization of three genes Prx, Adamts10, and Abhd12 each with one predicted missense variant that were not identified in any public genomic database suggesting that they were possible candidates for Em (Table 2). It is noteworthy that the human genes for Adamts10 (ADAMTS10) and Abhd12 (ABHD12) are included in commercially available panels of genes that are routinely sequenced in a clinical setting for the molecular diagnosis of inherited eye diseases including cataract and other lens disorders, anterior segment dysgenesis, and retinal degenerations (e.g. blueprintgenetics.com).

Mutations in the human gene for Prx (PRX, MIM no. 605725) on chromosome 19q13.2 have been associated with autosomal recessive Charcot Marie Tooth disease type 4F (CMT4F, MIM no. 614895) Table 2. Variants in known genes for cataract found by filtering *Em/J* and CFW exome variants against the RefSeq genes 59, dbSNP 146, and ensembl 106 databases.

Other mouse Comments strains	CBA, DBA = A/A	129, FVB = G/G	129,FVB = A/A	129, CBA = G/G	Em/J =	reference Em/J =	ZALENDE/EJ = Em/J =	'I/I' reference Em/J = Em/J	Protection $P_{\rm COTE}$ $P_{\rm COTE}$ $= -20.74$	129,FVB = G/G	129,FVB = A/A	Em/J low coverage	(2 of 16	(2 of 16 reads) ZALENDE/Eij,// Em/J=	(2 of 16 reads) ZALENDE/EiJ,I/ Em/J = LnJ = A/A reference NOD/SPRET =	(2 of 16 reads) LnJ = A/A NOD/SPRET = G/G Em/J = reference reference; CFW low coverage (2 of 18	ZALENDE/Eij,I/ LnJ = A/A NOD/SPRET = A/A NOD/SPRET = Em/J = Em/J = reference; CFW low coverage (2 of 16 reads) 129,CBA = G/G	ZALENDE/Eij,I/ Lnj = A/A Lnj = A/A NOD/SPRET = Par/j = G/G Em/j = reference; CFW low coverage (2 of 18 reads) 129,CBA = G/G	ZALENDE/Eij.I/ LnJ = A/A LnJ = A/A NOD/SPRET = G/G Em/J = Em/J = Teference: CFW low coverage (2 of 18 129,CBA = G/G 129,CBA = G/G	ZALENDE/Eij.I/ Lnj = A/A NOD/SPRET = (2 of 16 Em/j = G/G Em/j = reference; CFW low coverage (2 of 18 129,CBA = G/G 129,CBA = G/G 129,CBA = G/G	ZALENDE/Eij.I/ LnJ = A/A LnJ = A/A NOD/SPRET = A/A NOD/SPRET = Ference G/G Em/J = reference; CFW low coverage (2 of 18 129,CBA = G/G 129,CBA = G/G 129,CBA = G/G 129,CBA = G/G 129,CBA = G/G 129,CBA = A/A	ZALENDE/Fij.I/ LnJ = A/A NOD/SPRET = A/A NOD/SPRET = Rm/J = reference: CFW low coverage (2 of 18 129,CBA = G/G 129,CBA = G/G 129,CBA = G/G 129,CBA = A/A All strains = C/
Ensembl 106 (UCSC)	rs31675513	rs3666875	rs31714794	rs30740177			- •			rs31738189	rs4224154			-	rs27617540	rs27617540	rs27617540 ] rs231173480	rs27617540 ] rs231173480 rs231173480	rs27617540 1 rs231173480 rs250799899 rs32565905	rs27617540 ] rs231173480 rs250799899 rs325665905 rs32566624	rs27617540 1 rs231173480 rs250799899 rs32565905 rs32566624 rs51947548	rs27617540 1 rs231173480 rs250799899 rs32565905 rs32566624 rs32566624 rs49392164
CFW:Em/ J (IGV)	G/A:A/A	ଟ/ପ:ପ/ପ	A/A:A/A	A/A:G/G	C/C:T/T	C/C:T/T	T/T:C/C	T/T:C/C	ref/ref: del + A/ del + A	ପ/ପ:ପ/ପ	A/A:A/A	T/T:T/ T(A)		C/A:C/C	C/A:C/C G/G:G/G	C/A:C/C G/G:G/G C/C(A):C/ C	C/A:C/C G/G:G/G C C(A):C/ C G/G:G/G	C/A.C/C G/G:G/G C C(A):C/ C G/G:G/G G/G:G/G	C/A.C/C G/G:G/G C/C(A):C/ C G/G:G/G G/G:G/G	C/A:C/C G/G:G/G C/C(A):C/ C G/G:G/G G/G:G/G G/G:G/G	C/A.C/C G/G:G/G C/C(A):C/ C G/G:G/G G/G:G/G G/G:G/G A/A:A/A	C/A.C/C G/G:G/G C/C(A):C/ C G/G:G/G G/G:G/G G/G:G/G A/A.A/A A/A.A/A
Effect (Clinically Relevant)	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense		Missense	Missense Missense	Missense Missense LoF	Missense Missense LoF Missense	Missense Missense LoF Missense Missense	Missense Missense LoF Missense Missense	Missense Missense LoF Missense Missense Missense	Missense Missense Missense Missense Missense Missense	Missense Missense Missense Missense Missense Missense
Sequence Ontology (Clinically Relevant)	missense_	wanant missense_	variant missense_	variant missense_	vanant missense_	variant missense_	wanant missense_	wanant missense_	valiant disruptive_ inframe_ deletion	missense_	vanant missense_	variant missense_ variant		missense_	missense_ variant missense_	missense_ variant missense_ variant stop gained_ variant	missense_ variant missense_ variant variant variant variant missense_	missense_ variant missense_ variant stop gained_ variant missense_ variant missense_	missense_ variant missense_ variant stop gained_ variant missense_ variant missense_ variant missense_	missense_ variant missense_ variant variant variant missense_ variant missense_ variant missense_ variant	missense_ variant missense_ variant variant missense_ variant missense_ variant missense_ variant missense_ variant	missense_ variant missense_ variant stop gained_ variant missense_ variant missense_ variant missense_ variant missense_ variant missense_ variant
HGVS p. (Clinically Relevant)	p.Val2894Ile	p.His80Arg	p.Gly165Ser	p.Thr3346Ala	p.Ser2881Gly	p.Asn2134Asp	p.Val1375Met	p.Val7561le	p.Leu30_ Ala32delinsPro	p.Asn429Ser	p.Glu607Lys	p.Ser245Thr		p.Ser2302Tyr	p.Ser2302Tyr p.Ser63Gly	p.Ser2302Tyr p.Ser63GJy p.Cys119*	p.Ser2302Tyr p.Ser63GJy p.Cys119* p.His60Arg	p.Ser2302Tyr p.Ser63GJy p.Cys119* p.His60Arg p.Thr163Ala	p.Ser2302Tyr p.Ser63Gly p.Cys119* p.His60Arg p.Thr163Ala p.Met659Val	p.Ser2302Tyr p.Ser63Gly p.Cys119* p.His60Arg p.Thr163Ala p.Met659Val	p.Ser2302Tyr p.Ser63GJy p.Cys119* p.His60Arg p.His63Ala p.Met659Val p.Ile864Met p.Ser973Asn	p.Ser63Gly p.Cys119* p.Cys119* p.His60Arg p.Thr163Ala p.Met659Val p.Ile864Met p.Ser973Asn p.Leu1087Pro
Transcript no. and HGVS c. (Clinically Relevant)	NM_001276764:	C.8680G > A NM_027010:	C.239A > G NM_027010:	c.493G > A NM_021408:	c.10036A > G NM_001081088:	c.8641A > G NM_001081088:	c.6400A > G NM_001081088:	c.4123G > A NM_009773:	C.22005 A NM_024465: c.89_94delTGGACG	NM_026193:	с.1286А>С NM_026193:	C.1819G > A NM_139309: C.733T > A		NM_008305:	NM_008305: c.6905C > A NR_027809:n.210A > G,	NM_008305: c.6905C > A NR_027809:n.210A > G, NM_010840:c.187A > G NR_027809:n.503C > A, NM_010840:c.357C > A	NM_008305: c.6905C > A NR_027809210A > G, NM_010840:c.187A > G NR_027809503C > A, NM_010840:c.357C > A NM_0159344:c.179A > G	NM_008305: c.6905C > A NR_027809:n.210A > G, NM_010840:c.187A > G NR_027809:n.503C > A, NM_010840:c.357C > A NM_001159344:c.179A > G NM_001159344:c.487A > G	NM_008305: c.6905C > A NR_027809:n.210A > G, NM_010840:c.187A > G NR_027809:n.503C > A, NM_010840:c.357C > A NM_001159344:c.179A > G NM_001159344:c.1975A > G NM_001159344:c.1975A > G	NM_008305: c.6905C > A NR_027809:n.2187A > G NM_010840:c.187A > G NM_010840:c.187A > G NM_0159344:c.179A > G NM_001159344:c.487A > G NM_001159344:c.487A > G NM_001159344:c.4975A > G NM_001159344:c.487A > G	NM_008305: c.6905C > A NR_027809:n.210A > G, NM_010840:c.187A > G NM_010840:c.357C > A NM_001159344:c.179A > G NM_001159344:c.1975A > G NM_001159344:c.1975A > G NM_001159344:c.25927 > G NM_001159344:c.2518G > A	NM_008305: c.6905C > A NR_027809210A > G, NM_010840:c.187A > G NM_027809503C > A, NM_01059344:c.179A > G NM_001159344:c.487A > G NM_001159344:c.2592T > G NM_001159344:c.2592T > G NM_001159344:c.2592T > G NM_001159344:c.2592T > G NM_001159344:c.2518G > A NM_001159344:c.3260T > C
Gene Region	exon 39	exon 2	exon 39	exon 50	exon 46	exon 38	exon 26	exon 18	exon 18	exon 7	exon 10	exon 6		exon 54	exon 54 exon 2	exon 54 exon 2 exon 3	exon 54 exon 2 exon 3 exon 3	exon 54 exon 2 exon 3 exon 5 exon 5	exon 54 exon 2 exon 3 exon 5 exon 5 exon 11	exon 54 exon 2 exon 3 exon 5 exon 11 exon 11	exon 54 exon 2 exon 3 exon 3 exon 5 exon 11 exon 11 exon 14	exon 54 exon 2 exon 3 exon 5 exon 11 exon 11 exon 14 exon 16
Sequence Ontology (Combined)	missense_	wanant missense_	vananu missense_	variant missense_	variant missense_	variant missense_	vanant missense_	wanant missense_	disruptive_ inframe	deletion missense_	variant missense_	vanant missense_ variant		missense_	missense_ variant missense_	missense_ variant missense_ variant stop_ gained	missense_ variant missense_ variant stop_ gained missense_	missense_ variant missense_ variant stop_ gained missense_ variant missense_	missense_ variant missense_ variant stop_ gained missense_ variant missense_ variant	missense_ variant missense_ variant stop_ gained missense_ variant missense_ variant missense_ variant	missense_ variant missense_ variant stop_ gained missense_ variant missense_ variant missense_ uariant	missense_ variant wariant variant stop_ gained wariant wariant missense_ variant missense_ variant missense_ variant
Gene Names	Dst	Crygf	Crygf	Ush2a	Lrp2	Lrp2	Lrp2	Bub1b	Abhd12	Ap4b1	Ap4b1	Fktn		Hspg2	Hspg2 Mthfr	Hspg2 Mthfr Mthfr	Hspg2 Mthfr Mthfr Casz1	Hspg2 Mthfr Mthfr Casz1 Casz1	Hspg2 Mthfr Mthfr Casz1 Casz1 Casz1 Casz1	Hspg2 Mthfr Mthfr Casz1 Casz1 Casz1 Casz1	Hspg2 Mthfr Mthfr Casz1 Casz1 Casz1 Casz1 Casz1	Hspg2 Mthfr Mthfr Casz1 Casz1 Casz1 Casz1 Casz1 Casz1
Alternates	A	Ċ	A	Ċ	υ	U	F	A		Ċ	A	A		A	A Q	¥ ك ¥	ৰ ৩ ৰ ৩	K U K U U	ਵ ਪ ਵ ਪ ਪ ਪ ਪ	< U < U U U U U	<ul> <li>4 0 0 0 0 4</li> </ul>	ਵ ਪ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ ਨ
Reference	უ	A	ڻ	A	÷	F	U	۲	CGTCCA	A	ڻ	F		U	U Q	υ < υ					U	U < U < < < H U H
Marker coordinates	1:34191473-	SNV 1:65926877- 62m1	51NV 1:65928211-	SNV 1:188810274-	SNV 2:69477073-	SNV 2:69486236-	2:69505255-	SNV 2:118632425- SNNZ	2:150904454- Del	3:103818891-	3:103821362-	51V 4:53737612- SNV		4:137542546-	4:137542546- SNV 4:148041660-	4:137542546- SNV SNV 4:148041660- SNV 4:148043548- 4:148043548- SNV	4:137542546- SNV 4:148041660- 4:148043548- 4:148043548- SNV 4:148929159-	4:137542546- SNV 4:148041660- SNV 4:148043548- 4:148043548- SNV 5NV 4:148929159- SNV 4:148929467-	4:137542546- SNV SNV 4:148041660- SNV 4:148943548- SNV 4:148929159- SNV 4:148929467- SNV 4:148928614-	4:137542546- SNV 4:148041660- SNV 4:148043548- SNV 4:148029159- SNV 4:148029467- SNV 4:14802926159- SNV 4:148029251- SNV 4:148039231-	4:137542546- SNV SNV 4:148041660- SNV 4:148943548- SNV 4:148929159- SNV 4:148929467- SNV 4:148938614- SNV 4:14893291- SNV 4:14893291- SNV 4:148942940- SNV	4:137542546- SNV SNV 4:148041660- SNV 4:148943548- SNV 4:148929467- SNV 4:148929467- SNV 4:148932914- SNV 4:148942940- SNV 4:14894259- SNV SNV 4:14894359- SNV 8:NV 8:NV 8:NV 4:14894359- SNV 8:NV 8:NV 8:NV 8:NV 8:NV 8:NV 8:NV 8:

(continued)

Marker :oordinates	Reference	e Alternates	Gene Names	Sequence Ontology (Combined)	Gene Region	Transcript no. and HGVS c. (Clinically Relevant)	HGVS p. (Clinically Relevant)	Sequence Ontology (Clinically Relevant)	Effect (Clinically Relevant)	CFW:Em/ J (IGV)	Ensembl 106 (UCSC)	Other mouse strains	Comments
s:123511373- SNV	H	Ċ	B3gnt4, Diablo	missense_ variant	exon 1,6	NM_198611:c.800T > G, NM_023232:c.*1138A > C	p.Met267Arg,?	missense_ variant,3_ Drime_ UTR_ variant	Missense, Other	G/G:G/G	rs33118476	129, BALBc = G/ G	
5:91515726- cnnz	υ	Т	Xpc	missense_	exon 1	NM_009531:c.74G > A	p.Arg25Gln	missense_	Missense	C/C:T/T		KK/HIJ = T/T	
7:27516157- SNV	υ	F	Ртх	variant missense_ variant	exon 7	NM_198048:c.499C > T	p.Arg167Cys	varrant missense_ variant	Missense	C/T:T/T			PROVEAN score =
7:27519613- SNN	Ċ	A	Prx	missense_ variant	exon 7	NM_198048:c.3955G > A	p.Val1319Ile	missense_ variant	Missense	G/A:A/A		I/LnJ = A/A	T C 7
7:68226170- Ins	Ţ	GGAGCT GGAGAT	Igf1r	inframeinsertion	exon 21	NM_010513:c.3884_ 3895dupTGGAGATGGAGC	p.Leu1295_ Glu1298dup	inframe_ insertion	Missense	ins/ins: ins/ins	rs213031273	CAST,SPRET = ins/ins	
7:98076096- SNIV	υ	A	Myo7a	missense_	exon 26	NM_001256081:c.3357G > T	p.Lys1119Asn	missense_	Missense	C/A:C/C		0	Em/J = reference
9:49107163- SNIV	υ	Т	Tmprss5	missense_	exon 4	NM_030709:c.262C > T	p.Arg88Cys	missense_	Missense	C/C:T/T		KK/HJJ,MOLF/ 511 – T/T	
9:49114532- 5.4114532-	υ	H	Tmprss5	missense_	exon 10	NM_030709:c.973C > T	p.His325Tyr	missense_	Missense	C/C:T/T		KK/HIJ,MOLF =	
9:53460911-	,	ტ	Atm	frameshift_	exon 46	NM_007499:c.6579dupC	p.Ser2194Glnfs*16	frameshift_	LoF	ref/ins:		Т / Т	Em/J =
9:108489778- CNR7	H	ტ	Lamb2	missense_	exon 31	NM_008483:c.4928T > G	p.Val1643Gly	missense_	Missense	T/G:T/T			Em/J =
10:77057379-	ტ	A	Col18a1	missense	exon 37	NM_001109991:c.3797C > T	p.Pro1266Leu	missense_	Missense	G/G:A/A		BUB = A/A	reterence
11:76210906-	L	υ	Gemin4	missense_	exon 2	NM_177367:c.3028A > G	p.Thr1010Ala	wariant missense_	Missense	T/T:T/C	rs1133566737	C3H,CBA = T/C	
5NV 11:76211485-	υ	Т	Gemin4	wanant missense_	exon 2	NM_177367:c.2449G > A	p.Ala817Thr	wanant missense_	Missense	C/C:C/T		C3H,CBA = C/T	
211:76211500- 51112	υ	Т	Gemin4	wanant missense_	exon 2	NM_177367:c.2434G > A	p.Gly812Ser	variant missense_	Missense	C/C:C/T		C3H,CBA = C/T	
12:44566381-	H	ტ	Nrcam	missense	exon 17	NM_176930:c.1854T > G	p.Ser618Arg	missense	Missense	T/G:T/T		ZALENDE/Eij =	Em/J =
12:84829279-	υ	Н	Ltbp2	missense	exon 7	NM_013589:c.1553G > A	p.Arg518His	missense_	Missense	C/T:C/C		ST = T/T	Em/J =
21NV 17:33549039- SNV	υ	F	Adamts10	variant missense_ variant	exon 19	NR037707:n.2432C > T,	p.P761L	variant missense_ variant	Missense	C/T:T/T			PROVEAN score =
17:34931437- 5257	Ċ	υ	Neu1	missense_	exon 1	NM_1/2619:c.2282C > T NM_010893:c.31G > C	p.Gly11Arg	missense_	Missense	G/G:C/C	rs239331144	NOD, $PWK = C/$	0.96
טאוכ 17:34931450- מאתי	A	ტ	Neu1	missense	exon 1	NM_010893:c.44A > G	p.Tyr15Cys	missense_	Missense	A/A:G/G	rs108210643	NOD,SPRET =	
טערכ 17:34931456- מאודע	υ	Н	Neu1	missense	exon 1	NM_010893:c.5°C > T	p.Ala17Val	missense_	Missense	C/C:T/T	rs217792506	لحالا مربع	
אופ 17:34931461- SNV	υ	Ц	Neu1	vananu missense_ variant	exon 1	NM_010893:c.55C > T	p.Arg19Cys	variant missense_ variant	Missense	C/C:T/T	rs238145843	1/1 NOD,SPRET = T/T	

Table 2. (continued)



**Fig. 3.** Validation of novel variants in Prx, Adamts10, and Abhd12. a) PCR-Sanger sequencing of exon-7 from Prx confirming that CFW and Em/J mice were heterozygous and homozygous for the p.R167C substitution, respectively. b) Allele-specific PCR amplification of exon-19 from Adamts10 with three primers (Supplementary Table 1), indicated by arrows in the adjacent schematic, followed by gel-electrophoresis confirming that CFW and Em/J mice were heterozygous and homozygous, respectively, for the p.P761L substitution. c) PCR-Sanger sequencing of exon-1 from Abhd12 confirming that Em/J mice were homozygous for a p.L30\_A32delinsS substitution that was not present in CFW mice.

and both autosomal dominant and recessive sub-forms of Dejerine-Sottas syndrome/neuropathy (DSS/DSN, MIM no. 145900). Neither of these syndromes include cataract as a

presenting clinical feature. However, PRX variants of uncertain significance (p.R129H, p.V1225M) have been associated with congenital cataract in humans [Yuan et al. 2016; Jones et al. 2022]. Prx



Fig. 4. Expression levels of Prx, Adamts10, and Abhd12 transcripts in mouse eye tissues obtained from the BioGPS gene portal. Lens expression levels indicate fold increase over median level (M) detected across all tissues.

encodes a postsynaptic density protein (PSD95), Drosophila disc large tumor suppressor (DlgA), and zonula occludens-1 protein (ZO-1) or PDZ domain protein known as periaxin that plays a key role in the maturation, packing, and hexagonal membrane organization of mouse lens fiber cells (Maddala *et al.* 2011). The Prx substitution (p.R167C) identified in *Em/J* mice was predicted *in sili*co to have a borderline neutral/damaging effect on protein function. Further, mice lacking Prx did not develop cataract by 6–9 months of age and soon after they succumbed to severe coordination abnormalities and neuropathic pain necessitating euthanasia (Gillespie *et al.* 2000; Maddala *et al.* 2011). However, given its role in lens cell biology and its tentative association with congenital cataract in humans, we cannot exclude Prx as a candidate gene for *Em.* 

Mutations in ADAMTS10 (MIM no. 608990) on human chromosome 19p13.2 have been associated with Weill-Marchesani syndrome 1 (WMS1, MIM no. 277600)-a rare autosomal recessive connective tissue disorder characterized by short stature, brachydactyly, joint stiffness, occasional heart defects, and eye anomalies, including severe myopia (94%), microspherophakia (small, spherical lens, 84%), glaucoma (80%), ectopia lentis (lens displacement, 73%), and cataract (23%) (Faivre et al. 2003; Dagoneau et al. 2004). Adamts10 encodes a secreted metalloproteinase from the ADAMTS [a disintegrin-like and metallopeptidase (reprolysis type) with thrombospondin type 1 motif, 10] superfamily of proteins with diverse roles in embryonic development and human disease (Dubail and Apte 2015; Mead and Apte 2018). However, the Adamts10 missense substitution (p.P761L) identified in Em/J mice was predicted in silico to exert a benign effect on protein function. Whereas mice lacking or mutant for Adamts10 have not been reported to develop WMS1-like lens defects including microspherophakia, ectopia lentis, and cataract (Mularczyk et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2019), homozygous Em/J mice developed cataract with full penetrance-suggesting that Adamts10 is a marginal candidate gene for Em.

Mutations in ABHD12 (MIM no. 613599) on human chromosome 20p11.21 have been associated with a rare, autosomal recessive syndrome characterized by (demyelinating) polyneuropathy, hearing loss, (cerebellar) ataxia, retinitis pigmentosa, and (early-onset) cataract (PHARC-MIM no. 612674) (Fiskerstrand et al. 2010; Nishiguchi et al. 2014; Nguyen et al. 2021). PHARC syndrome is a neurodegenerative disease with variable onset, severity, and progression of neurological, auditory, and ophthalmological symptoms that may confuse diagnosis with other "deaf-blind" phenotypes including Refsum disease (MIM 266500) (Fiskerstrand et al. 2009, 2010; Eisenberger et al. 2012; Yoshimura et al. 2015; Thimm et al. 2020). We note that Refsum disease is caused by mutations in the gene encoding phytanoyl-CoA hydroxylase (PHYH; MIM 602026), the mouse counterpart of which (Phyh) was excluded here (Supplementary Table 3). Typically, polyneuropathy, hearing loss, and ataxia present in the first to third decades of life; however, cases of PHARC syndrome without manifest ataxia and hearing loss have been documented (Nguyen et al. 2021). Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) and cataracts usually present in the second or third decades; however, the ophthalmic spectrum of PHARC syndrome includes cases with congenital cataracts and cases of "non-syndromic" RP with posterior polar cataract in the absence of other symptoms (Nishiguchi et al. 2014; Nguyen et al. 2021). While we did not observe obvious gait defects and did not test for hearing loss in Em/J mice, we note that studies of an Abhd12-related retinal phenotype are confounded since Em/J mice are also homozygous for the Pde6b<sup>rd1</sup> retinal degeneration mutation. In both mice and zebrafish, loss of ABHD12 function caused a PHARC-like syndrome including neurological, auditory, and retinal defects (Blankman et al. 2013; Tingaud-Sequeira et al. 2017). Whereas cataract was not reported in Abhd12-null mice from 5-18 months of age, inhibition of lens clarification during eye development was observed in abhd12 knock-down zebrafish larvae (Tingaud-Sequeira et al. 2017). Since Abhd12 encodes a serine hydrolase abhydrolase domain-containing protein 12 (or lysophosphatidylserine lipase ABHD12) that catalyzes the hydrolysis of 2-arachidonoyl glycerol (2-AG) to arachidonic acid and glycerol, PHARC syndrome has been classified as both an inborn error of endocannabinoid metabolism and an inborn error of phospholipid metabolism involving elevated levels of lysophosphatidylserine lipids and the endocannabinoid 2-AG (Fiskerstrand et al. 2010; Blankman et al. 2013; Lamari et al. 2013; Wortmann et al. 2015; Leishman et al. 2019). Unlike the variants in Prx and Adamts10 above, the Abhd12 variant (p.L30\_A32delinsS) found in Em/J mice was predicted in silico to be strongly damaging at the protein function level. We note that genetic mutations in several other lipid metabolism enzymes are known to elicit cataracts in humans, including lanosterol synthase (LSS, MIM no. 600909; autosomal recessive congenital cataract, CTRCT44, MIM no. 616509) (Zhao et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2021) and acylglycerol kinase (AGK, MIM no. 610345; Sengers syndrome, MIM no. 212350; and a nonsyndromic form of autosomal recessive congenital cataract, CTRCT38, MIM no. 614691) (Aldahmesh et al. 2012; Mayr et al. 2012). Further, loss of the lipid kinase phosphatidylinositol-4phosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit type  $2\alpha$  (PI3K-C2 $\alpha$ ) leads to early senescence and cataract development in humans, mice, and zebrafish (Gulluni et al. 2021). Taken overall, the cross-species association with human cataract, predicted enzymatic dysfunction, and relatively strong expression in the mouse lens (Fig. 4) supports Abhd12 as a credible candidate gene for Em and suggests that available Em/J mice may serve as an animal model for the cataract associated with human PHARC syndrome.

There are certain caveats concerning phenotype inheritance that impact this candidate gene study of Em/J mice. First, we were unable to map the Em locus to a chromosome possibly due to delayed onset and/or reduced penetrance of the reported autosomal dominant cataract inheritance (Kuck et al. 1981). We note that two sub-strains of Em mice have been reported, one with "early-cataract" onset at 5-6 months of age and the other with late-cataract onset at 8-9 months (Kuck 1990). While the 5- to 6-month-cataract sub-strain was reportedly bred to genetic homogeneity, it remains unclear that the early-onset and late-onset cataract represent homozygous and heterozygous Em phenotypes, respectively (Kuck 1990). Second, since mutations in PRX, ADAMTS10, and ABHD12 are all associated with autosomal recessive syndromes in humans, we cannot rule out the possibility that Em exhibits autosomal recessive inheritance in mice. The Em phenotype arose spontaneously in an individual male (from an inbred CFW colony) that "exhibited bilateral cataracts at 11 months of age" (Kuck et al. 1981). Two male siblings of the affected male later developed cataract at 17 and 18 months; however one died. Progeny of the two male founders were entered into a large breeding program (~1,000 mice) that necessitated mating of suspected cataract (i.e. pre-symptomatic) parental mice since cataract-onset occurred beyond prime breeding age. Such breeding over several years generated the Em sub-strain with "a continually improving yield of cataracts" and "a high probability of cataract formation" (Kuck et al. 1981) that may have included carriers of an autosomal recessive cataract phenotype. Finally, in the absence of firm genetic linkage data for the Em phenotype, we were limited to analyzing known genes for cataract/lens disorders and therefore cannot exclude the possibility that a currently unidentified gene for cataract is involved.

In conclusion, whole-exome sequencing and variant analysis has prioritized three candidate genes for *Em* that are associated with lens cell biology and human cataracts. Although we cannot formally exclude *Prx* and *Adamts10*, our data suggest that Abhd12 is a promising candidate gene for *Em*. Further studies, including gene-editing (e.g. CRISPR-Cas9) to engineer knock-in mouse models and/or induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) in vitro models of lens development and cataract, will be required to confirm or exclude the causative role of all three candidate genes in generating the *Em/J* lens phenotype. Ultimately, if *Prx*, *Adamts10*, and *Abhd12* are excluded as causative genes for *Em* further variant analysis of the exome data presented here may contribute to the identification of a novel gene for cataract.

## Data availability

Supplemental Material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10. 25387/g3.21861504. Supplementary Table 3 contains Em/J and CFW exome variants filtered against RefSeq genes 59, dbSNP 146, and Ensembl 106 databases. The exome datasets (FASTQ files) generated during this study are available in the Short Read Archive (SRA) repository (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/) under Accession number: PRJNA852584.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the Genome Technology Access Center (GTAC) at Washington University School of Medicine for help with genomic analysis.

## Funding

This research was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants EY012284 (AS), EY018825 (MGA), and a Core Grant for Vision Research (EY02687) and an unrestricted grant to the Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences from Research to Prevent Blindness.

## **Conflicts of interest statement**

The author(s) declare no conflict of interest.

# Literature cited

- Aldahmesh MA, Khan AO, Mohamed JY, Alghamdi MH, Alkuraya FS. Identification of a truncation mutation of acylglycerol kinase (AGK) gene in a novel autosomal recessive cataract locus. Hum Mutat. 2012;33(6):960–962. doi:10.1002/humu.22071.
- Beermann F, Orlow SJ, Lamoreux ML. The Tyr (albino) locus of the laboratory mouse. Mamm Genome. 2004;15(10):749–758. doi:10. 1007/s00335-004-4002-8.
- Biswas SK, Brako L, Gu S, Jiang JX, Lo WK. Regional changes of AQP0-dependent square array junction and gap junction associated with cortical cataract formation in the Emory mutant mouse. Exp Eye Res. 2014;127(10):132–142. doi:10.1016/j.exer. 2014.07.020
- Blankman JL, Long JZ, Trauger SA, Siuzdak G, Cravatt BF. ABHD12 Controls brain lysophosphatidylserine pathways that are deregulated in a murine model of the neurodegenerative disease PHARC. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(4):1500–1505. doi:10. 1073/pnas.1217121110.
- Chia R, Achilli F, Festing MF, Fisher EM. The origins and uses of mouse outbred stocks. Nat Genet. 2005;37(11):1181–1186. doi: 10.1038/ng1665.
- Choi Y, Chan AP. PROVEAN Web server: a tool to predict the functional effect of amino acid substitutions and indels.

Bioinformatics. 2015;31(16):2745–2747. doi:0.1093/ bioinformatics/btv195.

- Cunningham F, Allen JE, Allen J, Alvarez-Jarreta J, Amode MR, Armean IM, Austine-Orimoloye O, Azov AG, Barnes I, Bennett R, *et al.* Ensembl 2022. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50(D1):D988–D995. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab1049.
- Dagoneau N, Benoist-Lasselin C, Huber C, Faivre L, Megarbane A, Alswaid A, Dollfus H, Alembik Y, Munnich A, Legeai-Mallet L, et al. ADAMTS10 Mutations in autosomal recessive Weill-Marchesani syndrome. Am J Hum Genet. 2004;75(5): 801–806. doi:10.1086/425231.
- Dubail J, Apte SS. Insights on ADAMTS proteases and ADAMTS-like proteins from mammalian genetics. Matrix Biol. 2015;44– 46(5-7):24–37. doi:10.1016/j.matbio.2015.03.001
- Eisenberger T, Slim R, Mansour A, Nauck M, Nurnberg G, Nurnberg P, Decker C, Dafinger C, Ebermann I, Bergmann C, *et al.* Targeted next-generation sequencing identifies a homozygous nonsense mutation in ABHD12, the gene underlying PHARC, in a family clinically diagnosed with Usher syndrome type 3. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2012;7(9):59. doi:10.1186/1750-1172-7-59
- Faivre L, Dollfus H, Lyonnet S, Alembik Y, Megarbane A, Samples J, Gorlin RJ, Alswaid A, Feingold J, Le Merrer M, et al. Clinical homogeneity and genetic heterogeneity in Weill-Marchesani syndrome. Am J Med Genet A. 2003;123A(2):204–207. doi:10.1002/ ajmg.a.20289.
- Fiskerstrand T, H'Mida-Ben Brahim D, Johansson S, M'Zahem A, Haukanes BI, Drouot N, Zimmermann J, Cole AJ, Vedeler C, Bredrup C, et al. Mutations in ABHD12 cause the neurodegenerative disease PHARC: an inborn error of endocannabinoid metabolism. Am J Hum Genet. 2010;87(3):410–417. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg. 2010.08.002.
- Fiskerstrand T, Knappskog P, Majewski J, Wanders RJ, Boman H, Bindoff LA. A novel Refsum-like disorder that maps to chromosome 20. Neurology. 2009;72(1):20–27. doi:10.1212/01.wnl. 0000333664.90605.23.
- GBD 2019 Blindness and Vision Impairment Collaborators; Vision Loss Expert Group of the Global Burden of Disease Study. Causes of blindness and vision impairment in 2020 and trends over 30 years, and prevalence of avoidable blindness in relation to VISION 2020: the right to sight: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet Glob Health. 2021;9(2): e144-e160. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30489-7.
- Gillespie CS, Sherman DL, Fleetwood-Walker SM, Cottrell DF, Tait S, Garry EM, Wallace VC, Ure J, Griffiths IR, Smith A, et al. Peripheral demyelination and neuropathic pain behavior in periaxindeficient mice. Neuron. 2000;26(2):523–531. doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(00)81184-8.
- Graw J. Mouse models for microphthalmia, anophthalmia and cataracts. Hum Genet. 2019;138(8–9):1007–1018. doi:10.1007/s00439-019-01995-w.
- Gulluni F, Prever L, Li H, Krafcikova P, Corrado I, Lo WT, Margaria JP, Chen A, De Santis MC, Cnudde SJ, *et al.* PI(3,4)P2-mediated cytokinetic abscission prevents early senescence and cataract formation. Science. 2021;374(6573):eabk0410. doi:10.1126/science. abk0410.
- Han J, Dinculescu A, Dai X, Du W, Smith WC, Pang J. Review: the history and role of naturally occurring mouse models with *Pde6b* mutations. Mol Vis. 2013;19(12):2579–2589.
- Jones JL, McComish BJ, Staffieri SE, Souzeau E, Kearns LS, Elder JE, Charlesworth JC, Mackey DA, Ruddle JB, Taranath D, et al. Pathogenic genetic variants identified in Australian families with paediatric cataract. BMJ Open Ophthalmol. 2022;7(1): e001064. doi:10.1136/bmjophth-2022-001064.

- Kuck JF. Late onset hereditary cataract of the Emory mouse. A model for human senile cataract. Exp Eye Res. 1990;50(6):659–664. doi: 10.1016/0014-4835(90)90110-g.
- Kuck JF, Kuwabara T, Kuck KD. The Emory mouse cataract: an animal model for human senile cataract. Curr Eye Res. 1981;1(11): 643–649. doi:10.3109/02713688109001868.
- Lamari F, Mochel F, Sedel F, Saudubray JM. Disorders of phospholipids, sphingolipids and fatty acids biosynthesis: toward a new category of inherited metabolic diseases. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2013;36(3):411–425. doi:10.1007/s10545-012-9509-7.
- Lee BT, Barber GP, Benet-Pages A, Casper J, Clawson H, Diekhans M, Fischer C, Gonzalez JN, Hinrichs AS, Lee CM, *et al.* The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2022 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50(D1):D1115–D1122. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab959.
- Leishman E, Mackie K, Bradshaw HB. Elevated levels of arachidonic acid-derived lipids including prostaglandins and endocannabinoids are present throughout ABHD12 knockout brains: novel insights into the neurodegenerative phenotype. Front Mol Neurosci. 2019;12(5):142. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2019.00142
- Lively GD, Jiang B, Hedberg-Buenz A, Chang B, Petersen GE, Wang K, Kuehn MH, Anderson MG. Genetic dependence of central corneal thickness among inbred strains of mice. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2010;51(1):160–171. doi:10.1167/iovs.09-3429.
- Lynch CJ. The so-called Swiss mouse. Lab Anim Care. 1969;19(2): 214–220.
- Mackay DS, Bennett TM, Culican SM, Shiels A. Exome sequencing identifies novel and recurrent mutations in GJA8 and CRYGD associated with inherited cataract. Hum Genomics. 2014;8(1):19. doi:10.1186/s40246-014-0019-6.
- Mackay DS, Bennett TM, Shiels A. Exome sequencing identifies a missense variant in EFEMP1 Co-segregating in a family with autosomal dominant primary open-angle glaucoma. PLoS One. 2015; 10(7):e0132529. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132529.
- Maddala R, Skiba NP, Lalane R 3rd, Sherman DL, Brophy PJ, Rao PV. Periaxin is required for hexagonal geometry and membrane organization of mature lens fibers. Dev Biol. 2011;357(1):179–190. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.06.036.
- Mayr JA, Haack TB, Graf E, Zimmermann FA, Wieland T, Haberberger B, Superti-Furga A, Kirschner J, Steinmann B, Baumgartner MR, et al. Lack of the mitochondrial protein acylglycerol kinase causes Sengers syndrome. Am J Hum Genet. 2012;90(2):314–320. doi:10. 1016/j.ajhg.2011.12.005.
- Mead TJ, Apte SS. ADAMTS Proteins in human disorders. Matrix Biol. 2018;71–72(10):225–239. doi:10.1016/j.matbio.2018.06.002
- Mellersh CS. The genetics of eye disorders in the dog. Canine Genet Epidemiol. 2014;1(1):3. doi:10.1186/2052-6687-1-3.
- Mori M, Li G, Abe I, Nakayama J, Guo Z, Sawashita J, Ugawa T, Nishizono S, Serikawa T, Higuchi K, et al. Lanosterol synthase mutations cause cholesterol deficiency-associated cataracts in the Shumiya cataract rat. J Clin Invest. 2006;116(2):395–404. doi:10. 1172/JCI20797.
- Mularczyk EJ, Singh M, Godwin ARF, Galli F, Humphreys N, Adamson AD, Mironov A, Cain SA, Sengle G, Boot-Handford RP, et al. ADAMTS10-mediated tissue disruption in Weill-Marchesani syndrome. Hum Mol Genet. 2018;27(21):3675–3687. doi:10.1093/hmg/ ddy276.
- Murgiano L, Jagannathan V, Calderoni V, Joechler M, Gentile A, Drogemuller C. Looking the cow in the eye: deletion in the NID1 gene is associated with recessive inherited cataract in Romagnola cattle. PLoS One. 2014;9(10):e110628. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0110628.
- Nguyen XT, Almushattat H, Strubbe I, Georgiou M, Li CHZ, van Schooneveld MJ, Joniau I, De Baere E, Florijn RJ, Bergen AA, et al.

The phenotypic spectrum of patients with PHARC syndrome due to variants in ABHD12: an ophthalmic perspective. Genes (Basel). 2021;12(9):1404. doi:10.3390/genes12091404.

- Nishiguchi KM, Avila-Fernandez A, van Huet RA, Corton M, Perez-Carro R, Martin-Garrido E, Lopez-Molina MI, Blanco-Kelly F, Hoefsloot LH, van Zelst-Stams WA, et al. Exome sequencing extends the phenotypic spectrum for ABHD12 mutations: from syndromic to nonsyndromic retinal degeneration. Ophthalmology. 2014;121(8):1620–1627. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2014.02.008.
- Parker CC, Gopalakrishnan S, Carbonetto P, Gonzales NM, Leung E, Park YJ, Aryee E, Davis J, Blizard DA, Ackert-Bicknell CL, *et al.* Genome-wide association study of behavioral, physiological and gene expression traits in outbred CFW mice. Nat Genet. 2016;48(8):919–926. doi:10.1038/ng.3609.
- Pinard CL, Basrur PK. Ocular anomalies in a herd of Exmoor ponies in Canada. Vet Ophthalmol. 2011;14(2):100–108. doi:10.1111/j.1463-5224.2010.00847.x.
- Ricketts SL, Pettitt L, McLaughlin B, Jenkins CA, Mellersh CS. A novel locus on canine chromosome 13 is associated with cataract in the Australian Shepherd breed of domestic dog. Mamm Genome. 2015;26(5–6):257–263. doi:10.1007/s00335-015-9562-2.
- Sarsani VK, Raghupathy N, Fiddes IT, Armstrong J, Thibaud-Nissen F, Zinder O, Bolisetty M, Howe K, Hinerfeld D, Ruan X, et al. The genome of C57BL/6J "Eve", the mother of the laboratory mouse genome reference strain. G3 (Bethesda). 2019;9(6):1795–1805. doi: 10.1534/g3.119.400071.
- Schmid PWN, Lim NCH, Peters C, Back KC, Bourgeois B, Pirolt F, Richter B, Peschek J, Puk O, Amarie OV, et al. Imbalances in the eye lens proteome are linked to cataract formation. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2021;28(2):143–151. doi:10.1038/s41594-020-00543-9.
- Scrofano MM, Jahngen-Hodge J, Nowell TR Jr, Gong X, Smith DE, Perrone G, Asmundsson G, Dallal G, Gindlesky B, Mura CV, et al. The effects of aging and calorie restriction on plasma nutrient levels in male and female Emory mice. Mech Ageing Dev. 1998a; 105(1–2):31–44. doi:10.1016/s0047-6374(98)00077-3.
- Scrofano MM, Shang F, Nowell TR Jr, Gong X, Smith DE, Kelliher M, Dunning J, Mura CV, Taylor A. Aging, calorie restriction and ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis in the livers of Emory mice. Mech Ageing Dev. 1998b;101(3):277–296. doi:10.1016/s0047-6374(97)00178-4.
- Serfilippi LM, Pallman DR, Gruebbel MM, Kern TJ, Spainhour CB. Assessment of retinal degeneration in outbred albino mice. Comp Med. 2004;54(1):69–76.
- Shang F, Nowell T Jr, Gong X, Smith DE, Dallal GE, Khu P, Taylor A. Sex-linked differences in cataract progression in Emory mice. Exp Eye Res. 2002;75(1):109–111. doi:10.1006/exer.2002.1174.
- Sheets NL, Chauhan BK, Wawrousek E, Hejtmancik JF, Cvekl A, Kantorow M. Cataract- and lens-specific upregulation of ARK receptor tyrosine kinase in Emory mouse cataract. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2002;43(6):1870–1875.
- Shi S, Bekhor I. Profile of messenger RNA decay in the Emory mouse lens in cataractogenesis and in aging. Exp Eye Res. 1992;55(4): 629–636. doi:10.1016/s0014-4835(05)80175-7.
- Shiels A, Bennett TM, Knopf HL, Maraini G, Li A, Jiao X, Hejtmancik JF. The EPHA2 gene is associated with cataracts linked to chromosome 1p. Mol Vis. 2008;14(11):2042–2055.
- Shiels A, Hejtmancik JF. Mutations and mechanisms in congenital and age-related cataracts. Exp Eye Res. 2017;156(3):95–102. doi: 10.1016/j.exer.2016.06.011
- Shiels A, Hejtmancik JF. Biology of inherited cataracts and opportunities for treatment. Annu Rev Vis Sci. 2019;5(1):123–149. doi:10. 1146/annurev-vision-091517-034346.

- Shiels A, Hejtmancik JF. Inherited cataracts: genetic mechanisms and pathways new and old. Exp Eye Res. 2021;209(8):108662. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2021.108662
- Sim NL, Kumar P, Hu J, Henikoff S, Schneider G, Ng PC. SIFT Web server: predicting effects of amino acid substitutions on proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40(W1):W452–W457. doi:10.1093/nar/gks539.
- Takizawa A, Sasaki K. In vivo observations of cataract development in Emory mouse. Ophthalmic Res. 1986;18(4):243–247. doi:10. 1159/000265441.
- Taylor A, Jahngen-Hodge J, Smith DE, Palmer VJ, Dallal GE, Lipman RD, Padhye N, Frei B. Dietary restriction delays cataract and reduces ascorbate levels in Emory mice. Exp Eye Res. 1995a;61(1): 55–62. doi:10.1016/s0014-4835(95)80058-1.
- Taylor A, Lipman RD, Jahngen-Hodge J, Palmer V, Smith D, Padhye N, Dallal GE, Cyr DE, Laxman E, Shepard D, et al. Dietary calorie restriction in the Emory mouse: effects on lifespan, eye lens cataract prevalence and progression, levels of ascorbate, glutathione, glucose, and glycohemoglobin, tail collagen breaktime, DNA and RNA oxidation, skin integrity, fecundity, and cancer. Mech Ageing Dev. 1995b;79(1):33–57. doi:10.1016/0047-6374(94)01541-s.
- Taylor A, Zuliani AM, Hopkins RE, Dallal GE, Treglia P, Kuck JF, Kuck K. Moderate caloric restriction delays cataract formation in the Emory mouse. FASEB J. 1989;3(6):1741–1746. doi:10.1096/fasebj. 3.6.2703107.
- Thimm A, Rahal A, Schoen U, Abicht A, Klebe S, Kleinschnitz C, Hagenacker T, Stettner M. Genotype-phenotype correlation in a novel ABHD12 mutation underlying PHARC syndrome. J Peripher Nerv Syst. 2020;25(2):112–116. doi:10.1111/jns.12367.
- Tingaud-Sequeira A, Raldua D, Lavie J, Mathieu G, Bordier M, Knoll-Gellida A, Rambeau P, Coupry I, Andre M, Malm E, *et al.* Functional validation of ABHD12 mutations in the neurodegenerative disease PHARC. Neurobiol Dis. 2017;98(2):36–51. doi:10. 1016/j.nbd.2016.11.008
- Uga S, Tsuchiya K, Ishikawa S. Histopathological study of Emory mouse cataract. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 1988;226(1): 15–21. doi:10.1007/BF02172710.
- Wang LW, Kutz WE, Mead TJ, Beene LC, Singh S, Jenkins MW, Reinhardt DP, Apte SS. Adamts10 inactivation in mice leads to persistence of ocular microfibrils subsequent to reduced fibrillin-2 cleavage. Matrix Biol. 2019;77(4):117–128. doi:10.1016/ j.matbio.2018.09.004
- Wilson GR, Morton JD, Palmer DN, McEwan JC, Gately K, Anderson RM, Dodds KG. The locus for an inherited cataract in sheep maps to ovine chromosome 6. Mol Vis. 2012;18(5):1384–1394.
- Wortmann SB, Espeel M, Almeida L, Reimer A, Bosboom D, Roels F, de Brouwer AP, Wevers RA. Inborn errors of metabolism in the biosynthesis and remodelling of phospholipids. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2015;38(1):99–110. doi:10.1007/s10545-014-9759-7.
- Wu C, Jin X, Tsueng G, Afrasiabi C, Su AI. BioGPS: building your own mash-up of gene annotations and expression profiles. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(D1):D313–D316. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1104.
- Yalcin B, Nicod J, Bhomra A, Davidson S, Cleak J, Farinelli L, Osteras M, Whitley A, Yuan W, Gan X, et al. Commercially available outbred mice for genome-wide association studies. PLoS Genet. 2010; 6(9):e1001085. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001085.
- Yoshimura H, Hashimoto T, Murata T, Fukushima K, Sugaya A, Nishio SY, Usami S. Novel ABHD12 mutations in PHARC patients: the differential diagnosis of deaf-blindness. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 2015;124(1\_suppl):77S–83S. doi:10.1177/000348941557 4513.
- Yuan L, Yi J, Lin Q, Xu H, Deng X, Xiong W, Xiao J, Jiang C, Yuan X, Chen Y, et al. Identification of a PRX variant in a Chinese family

with congenital cataract by exome sequencing. QJM. 2016;109-(11):731–735. doi:10.1093/qjmed/hcw058.

- Zhang G, Kang L, Li P, Ran Q, Chen X, Ji M, Guan H. Genome-wide repertoire of transfer RNA-derived fragments in a mouse model of age-related cataract. Curr Eye Res. 2022;47(10):1397–1404. doi: 10.1080/02713683.2022.2110263.
- Zhao L, Chen XJ, Zhu J, Xi YB, Yang X, Hu LD, Ouyang H, Patel SH, Jin X, Lin D, et al. Lanosterol reverses protein aggregation in

cataracts. Nature. 2015;523(7562):607–611. doi:10.1038/nature1 4650.

Zhao M, Mei T, Shang B, Zou B, Lian Q, Xu W, Wu K, Lai Y, Liu C, Wei L, et al. Defect of LSS disrupts lens development in cataractogenesis. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9(12):788422. doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.78 8422

Editor: A. McCallion