University of South Carolina Scholar Commons

#### **Faculty Publications**

Pharmacy, College of

2-13-2023

# Comparative-Effectiveness of Oral Beta-Lactams and Fluoroquinolones for Stepdown Therapy in Patients With Enterobacterales Bloodstream Infections: A Retrospective Cohort Study

Lauren Bjork Ph.D.

Teri Hopkins Ph.D.

Linda Yang Ph.D.

Chengwen Teng Pharm.D., Ph.D. University of South Carolina, tengc@mailbox.sc.edu

Xavier Jones BS

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/phar\_facpub

Part of the Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Commons

#### **Publication Info**

Published in *International Journal of Medical Sciences*, Volume 20, Issue 4, 2023, pages 437-443. ©2023 lyspring International Publisher This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

This Article is brought to you by the Pharmacy, College of at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact digres@mailbox.sc.edu.

# Author(s)

Lauren Bjork Ph.D.; Teri Hopkins Ph.D.; Linda Yang Ph.D.; Chengwen Teng Pharm.D., Ph.D.; Xavier Jones BS; Jose Cadena; Elizabeth Walter; and Christopher R. Frei Pham.D., MS

This article is available at Scholar Commons: https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/phar\_facpub/115



**Research Paper** 

International Journal of Medical Sciences

2023; 20(4): 437-443. doi: 10.7150/ijms.80621

# Comparative-Effectiveness of Oral Beta-Lactams and Fluoroquinolones for Stepdown Therapy in Patients with Enterobacterales Bloodstream Infections: A Retrospective Cohort Study

Lauren Bjork, PharmD<sup>1,2,3</sup>; Teri Hopkins, PharmD<sup>1,2,3</sup>; Linda Yang, PharmD<sup>1,2,3</sup>; Chengwen Teng, PharmD, PhD<sup>4</sup>; Xavier Jones, BS<sup>1,2,3</sup>; Jose Cadena, MD<sup>1,2</sup>; Elizabeth Walter, MD<sup>1,2</sup>; Christopher R. Frei, PharmD, MS<sup>1,2,3</sup>

1. South Texas Veterans Health Care System, San Antonio, TX, USA

- 2. Long School of Medicine, University of Texas Health San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA
- 3. College of Pharmacy, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA
- 4. College of Pharmacy, The University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA

🖂 Corresponding author: Lauren Bjork, PharmD, 1201 NW 16th St, Miami, FL 33125; Telephone: 305-575-7000 X14891; Email: Lauren.Bjork@va.gov

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2022.11.08; Accepted: 2023.01.13; Published: 2023.02.13

#### Abstract

**Background:** This study compares treatment failure for patients who received oral beta-lactams (BLs) and fluoroquinolones (FQs) for stepdown treatment of Enterobacterales bloodstream infections (BSIs).

**Methods:** We conducted a single-center, retrospective, age- and sex-matched, cohort study, at a Veterans Affairs (VA) hospital in South Texas. Eligible patients were at least 18 years of age with a monomicrobial BSI treated with a single oral BL or FQ antibiotic. Treatment failure was defined as recurrence or all-cause mortality within 90 days of documented BSI. Bivariate (chi-square, Fisher's Exact, and Wilcoxon Rank Sum) and multivariate (logistic regression) statistical tests were used to compare groups.

**Results:** A total of 130 patients were included in this study, with 65 patients per group. Groups were well balanced with respect to exact age, sex assigned at birth, Caucasian race, source control, intensive care unit admission, and Charlson Comorbidity Index. Importantly, 60% of patients in the BL group had cultures that were resistant to FQs and 71% were prescribed cefpodoxime. Patients in the BL group had higher median (interquartile range [IQR]) Pitt bacteremia scores than those in the FQ group: 2 (1-4) vs. 1 (1-2), p=0.04. Patients in the BL group also had a higher median (IQR) duration of intravenous (IV) antibiotics than those in the FQ group: 5 (3-7) vs. 4 (3-5), p=0.02. Treatment failure was statistically comparable for patients in the BL and FQ groups: 15% vs. 12%, p=0.61. This finding was consistent in a multivariate logistic regression model with group (BL vs. FQ) as the independent variable, treatment failure as the dependent variable, and Pitt bacteremia score and duration of IV antibiotics as covariates (OR: 0.76, 95% CI: 0.27-2.18). One patient in the FQ group experienced *Clostridioides difficile* infection.

**Conclusion:** This study suggests that BLs may be as effective as FQs for oral stepdown treatment of Enterobacterales BSI without the potential associated risks. Furthermore, in the setting of FQ-resistant Enterobacterales BSI secondary to urinary source, third generation oral cephalosporins (i.e., cefpodoxime) may be reasonable alternatives.

Keywords: beta-lactams, fluoroquinolones, bloodstream infections

## Background

Gram-negative bloodstream infections (BSI) are commonly treated with an initial course of

intravenous (IV) antibiotics followed by definitive oral stepdown therapy in clinically stable patients

with adequate source control [1-3]. Historically, oral antibiotics with high bioavailability (i.e., fluoroquinolones [FQ]) have been utilized as definitive therapy [4-10]. However, FQ are associated with concerning adverse drug events (ADEs) as well as the emergence of drug resistance [11,12]. Safe and effective alternative oral agents are needed for definitive treatment of Enterobacterales BSI [13]. Prior to this study, there was limited available data to support the use of oral beta-lactams (BLs) for the definitive treatment of BSI [14-16]. The primary concern is that BLs have low oral bioavailability compared to FQs and might require longer durations of therapy. Therefore, patients may have an increased risk of treatment failure with BSIs [14]. In reviewing the existing clinical literature, it is crucial to account for total duration of therapy [17-23].

One retrospective cohort study by Kutob and colleagues demonstrated the effectiveness of oral antibiotics with high bioavailability for definitive therapy of gram-negative BSI. Additionally, they found that the risk of treatment failure increases as bioavailability of the oral regimen declines [14]. Another retrospective cohort study by Mercuro and colleagues also sought to determine if treatment failure rates were comparable between BLs and FQs [15]. Their findings suggest that oral beta-lactams were non-inferior to fluoroquinolones as stepdown therapy for Enterobacterales BSI, with fewer ADE [15]. In a recent multicenter cohort study of patients with E. coli, Klebsiella spp., or Proteus spp. bacteremia from urine source, Sutton and colleagues found no significantly higher risk of recurrent bacteremia, though the overall risk was small (1.5% with BL antibiotics vs. 0.4% with FQ) [16].

Based on the available literature, there are an increasing number of studies assessing the effectiveness of BL therapy for the definitive oral treatment of Enterobacterales BSI [14-16,14-28]. Providers may be hesitant to prescribe oral BLs for this indication, but often have no other oral options based on susceptibilities due to increasing FQ resistance. There is also heterogeneity amongst prior studies in terms of the antibiotics included, both with BL selection and the comparator, as some studies include both FQs and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, and others only include FQs. Most of these studies do not report a significant number of patients that received cefpodoxime, and the probability of target attainment pharmacokinetic (PK) for cefpodoxime is unknown. This study aims to determine if treatment failure rates were comparable in patients who received a BL (primarily cefpodoxime) or FQ for oral stepdown treatment for Enterobacterales BSIs.

# Methods

### Study subjects and definitions

This was a single center retrospective chart review at the South Texas Veterans Health Care System in San Antonio, Texas from January 2008 -December 2018 of patients with BSI due to Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp., or Proteus spp. and a single definitive oral antibiotic therapy. Data were collected from the Veterans Affairs electronic health record. Patients with an inpatient or outpatient pharmacy record for receipt of an oral BL or FQ within 30 days of documented BSI and a positive blood culture for E. coli, Proteus spp., or Klebsiella spp. were assessed for inclusion and exclusion criteria. Patients were included if they were at least 18 years of age with a monomicrobial BSI treated with a single definitive oral antibiotic. Patients treated with a total antibiotic duration < 6 days or > 21 days were excluded. Patients with FQ-resistant organisms were excluded in the FQ group and included in the BL group. In the BL group, none of the organisms appeared to be extended-spectrum-β-lactamase (ESBL) producing strains based on susceptibility patterns. Cefpodoxime susceptibility was assessed using disk diffusion, and all other susceptibilities were obtained with the automated testing platform, Vitek 2 (bioMérieux). There were fewer patients available for the BL group; therefore, groups were matched using a 1:1 ratio based on exact age and sex assigned at birth from the BL group. No patients from the BL group were lost because of matching. The primary outcome was treatment failure defined as a composite of microbiological recurrence at the primary site of infection or all-cause mortality within 90 days. Microbiological recurrence was defined as the isolation of any organism from the same site regardless of symptoms.

#### Data collection and statistical analysis

Data included demographic characteristics, Charlson comorbidity index, Pitt Bacteremia score, infecting organism, type of inpatient IV antibiotic and duration, and definitive oral antibiotic with dose, frequency, and duration. Late stepdown therapy was defined as more than three days of IV antibiotics. Baseline demographics and clinical variables were compared between groups. Categorical data were analyzed using chi-square and Fisher's exact tests, while numerical data were analyzed using the Wilcoxon Rank Sum test. For treatment failure, a logistic regression multivariate model was constructed with group (BL vs. FQ) as the independent variable, treatment failure as the dependent variable, and Pitt bacteremia score and

duration of IV antibiotics as covariates. Statistical significance was defined as p-values less than an alpha of 0.05. This study was approved by the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio Institutional Review Board (IRB).

#### Results

A total of 130 patients were included in this study, with sixty-five patients per group. Groups were well balanced with respect to median age, sex assigned at birth, Caucasian race, source control, intensive care unit admission, and Charlson Comorbidity Index (Table 1). Patients in the BL group had significantly higher median (interquartile range [IQR]) Pitt bacteremia scores than those in the FQ group: 2 (1-4) vs. 1 (1-2), p=0.04. Though not statistically significant, patients in the BL group had urinary tract infections (UTIs), fewer more intra-abdominal infections (IAIs), fewer K. pneumoniae more *P. mirabilis* infections, and infections. Importantly, 60% of patients in the BL group had FQ-resistant pathogens, which suggests that drug resistance was a factor in the selection of the oral stepdown antibiotic.

Table 1. Baseline Character
-----------------------------

Characteristic	Beta-lactam	Fluoroquinolone	P-value
	Group (n =	Group (n = 65)	
1. (700)	65)	((() =0)	1.00
Age – median (IQR), years	66 (60-78)	66 (60-78)	1.00
Male sex (assigned at birth) – no. (%)	61 (94)	61 (94)	1.00
Caucasian – no. (%)	50 (77)	48 (74)	0.68
Source control – no. (%)	57 (88)	54 (83)	0.46
Intensive care unit (ICU) admission – no. (%)	16 (25)	10 (15)	0.19
Charlson Comorbidity Index – median (IQR)	6 (4-8) *	5 (3-8) %	0.48
Pitt bacteremia score - median (IQR)	2 (1-4)	1 (1-2)	0.04
Source of infection - no. (%)			
Urinary tract (UTI)	52 (80)	45 (69)	0.16
Intra-abdominal (IAI)	6 (9)	14 (22)	0.05
Skin and soft tissue (SSTI)	5 (8)	5 (8)	1.00
Central line associated (CLABSI)	1 (2)	0 (0)	1.00
Pneumonia	0 (0)	1 (2)	1.00
Unknown	1 (2)	0 (0)	1.00
Blood culture pathogen – no. (%)			
E. coli	45 (69)	41 (63)	0.46
K. pneumoniae	11 (17)	20 (31)	0.06
P. mirabilis	9 (14)	4 (6)	0.14
Fluoroquinolone resistance - no. (%)	39 (60)	-	N/A

no. = number; IQR = interquartile range; SD = standard deviation

\* Includes 62/65 patients

% Includes 64/65 patients

Groups were well balanced with respect to IV antibiotics, duration of oral therapy, and total duration of therapy (Table 2). Patients in the BL group had a significantly higher median (IQR) duration of IV antibiotics than those in the FQ group: 5 (3-7) vs. 4 (3-5), p=0.02 (Table 2). Though not statistically

significant, patients in the BL group were more likely to have late (> 3 days) stepdown therapy. Patients in the BL group were most frequently stepped down to cefpodoxime (71%), amoxicillin/clavulanate (14%), cephalexin (12%), and amoxicillin (3%). Patients in the FQ group were most frequently stepped down to ciprofloxacin (85%) and levofloxacin (15%).

Treatment failure was statistically comparable for patients in the BL and FQ groups: 15% vs. 12%, p=0.61. This finding was consistent in a multivariate logistic regression model with group (BL vs. FQ) as the independent variable, treatment failure as the dependent variable, and Pitt bacteremia score and duration of IV antibiotics as covariates (OR: 0.76, 95% CI: 0.27-2.18). 90-day recurrence (BL: 9%, FQ: 5%, p=0.49) and 90-day all-cause mortality (BL: 6%, FQ 8%, p=1.00) were statistically comparable. One patient in the FQ group experienced *Clostridioides difficile* infection.

Т	able	2.	Antibiotic	Therapy
---	------	----	------------	---------

Treatment	Beta-lactam Group (n = 65)	Fluoroquinolone Group (n = 65)	P-value
Intravenous (IV) antibiotic - no. (%)			
Beta-lactam	62 (95)	61 (94)	1.00
Fluoroquinolone	2 (3)	4 (6)	0.68
Aminoglycoside	1 (2)	0 (0)	1.00
Duration of IV therapy – median (IQR), days	5 (3-7)	4 (3-5)	0.02
Oral (PO) antibiotic - no. (%)			
Beta-lactams			
Cefpodoxime	46 (71)		N/A
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	9 (14)		N/A
Cephalexin	8 (12)		N/A
Amoxicillin	2 (3)		N/A
<u>Fluoroquinolones</u>			
Ciprofloxacin		55 (85)	N/A
Levofloxacin		10 (15)	N/A
Duration of PO therapy – median (IQR), days	10 (7-11)	10 (7-12)	0.32
Stepdown therapy (IV to PO)			
Early (≤ 3 days) – no. (%)	22 (34)	32 (49)	0.08
Late (> 3 days) – no. (%)	43 (66)	33 (51)	0.08
Total duration of therapy – median (IQR), days	14 (13-16)	14 (13-16)	0.41

no. = number; IQR = interquartile range; SD = standard deviation

#### Discussion

This retrospective study found comparable rates of treatment failure with oral BLs compared to FQs for definitive treatment of Enterobacterales BSI – primarily due to urine source. During the time period since this study was completed, a growing body of evidence to support oral BL treatment for this indication has been published [14-16,25-28]. Our study is unique because it primarily compares the use of cefpodoxime vs. ciprofloxacin and reports the rates of FQ resistance (60%) within the BL group. Additionally, our study includes a 90-day follow-up period for the primary outcome, which differs from most studies conducted both prior to and after our study.

A prior 2016 retrospective cohort study suggests is inverse relationship there an between bioavailability of definitive oral antibiotics and risk of treatment failure in patients with gram-negative BSI, although only one patient in this study received cefpodoxime [14]. In 2018, a retrospective observational cohort study was the first to compare BLs to FQs for oral stepdown therapy for Enterobacterales BSI. BLs were found to be non-inferior to FQs for the primary outcome of clinical success; microbiological success and 30-day readmissions were comparable between groups. Notably, patients were more likely to complete their BL therapy without experiencing ADEs compared to those treated with FQ therapy [15]. In contrast, a recent 2022 retrospective matched cohort study found that patients receiving less bioavailable antibiotics compared to highly bioavailable antibiotics for gram negative BSI were associated with worse clinical outcomes [27]. Recurrent BSI at 90 days was the primary factor driving the composite outcome. No data was provided on rates of FQ resistance in these two studies [14,15,27]. Most BL patients were treated with either amoxicillin/clavulanate, amoxicillin, or cephalexin; cefpodoxime was not included.

Given practical challenges in microbiology labs, such as lack of updated susceptibility testing panels to reflect current CLSI breakpoints, some hospitals may need to rely on cefpodoxime over other more highly bioavailable oral BLs. For example, during the time period of this study, our lab was unable to routinely report cefazolin susceptibilities for this reason, and our automated susceptibility testing panel also did not include amoxicillin/clavulanic acid. Therefore, use of cefpodoxime was high despite agents like cephalexin and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid having more optimal serum concentrations and pharmacodynamic target attainment [14].

More recently, a large Veteran cohort study by Sutton and colleagues found no difference in risk of recurrent bacteremia between FQs and BLs for bacteremia with suspected urine source. Interestingly, though there was no statistically significant difference, recurrent bacteremia was three times more likely in the BL group (1.5% vs 0.4%). The authors concluded that even if this result had met statistical significance, in the setting of such infrequent events, this is not a clinically meaningful difference. Recurrence rates in our cohort were higher, which may be due to the longer 90-day follow-up period [16]. None of the prior literature focused primarily on BSI due to urinary source. Saad and colleagues conducted a multicenter retrospective cohort study comparing clinical cure in patients with urinary tract and BSI who were stepped down to oral BLs compared to FQs. Of the 207 patients included, results suggest comparable clinical cure rates between groups at 30 days and none of the patients received cefpodoxime like other studies [28].

Robust PK studies have not been performed for cefpodoxime; therefore, a consensus guidance for treatment of gram-negative BSI recommends against routine use of cefpodoxime due to lack of data on PK target attainment [29]. This study is one of the first to describe cefpodoxime use in the setting of Enterobacterales BSI. It could be a reasonable alternative to ciprofloxacin, especially in patients with adequate source control and urinary source of infection. Mogle and colleagues investigate the clinical considerations of prescribing BLs as step-down therapy for Enterobacterales BSI. Their primary concern is the uncertainty of BL dosing required to attain specific pharmacodynamic targets, especially in the setting of unknown minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) [24]. At our institution, we are unable to obtain MICs for cefpodoxime, as susceptibility is reported based on Kirby-Bauer testing. The majority of cefpodoxime patients in our study (70%) received 200 mg by mouth (PO) twice daily (BID). To overcome the low oral bioavailability of cefpodoxime, higher doses (i.e., 400 mg PO BID) could be utilized as there are no major concerns for ADEs. In a recent meta-analysis of patients with Enterobacterales BSI, FQs may reduce the chances of recurrence compared to BLs. However, the authors noted that this may be attributed to the suboptimal dosing of BL regimens [25]. Although lower cefpodoxime dosing was used in our study, outcomes appear to be comparable to those patients who received more highly bioavailable agents. Further clinical investigation is warranted to confirm if the high dose cefpodoxime regimen provides additional benefit over traditional dosing and to determine the adequate treatment duration.

In our cohort, total duration of therapy was approximately 14 days. A recent randomized controlled trial demonstrated that 7 days was non-inferior to 14 days for uncomplicated gramnegative bacteremia [23]. However, oral BLs were underrepresented in this study (< 20% of overall cohort), which limits the ability to apply these findings when oral BLs are used as stepdown therapy. A desirability of outcome ranking (DOOR) analysis including this study suggests that short course (7 days) of antibiotics has comparable clinical outcomes to conventional course (14 days) in this patient population [30]. Given the limited data for shorter durations of therapy when oral BLs are used, the 14-day duration of therapy used in our study may still be clinically applicable. In addition of total treatment duration, the average duration of IV therapy was comparable to other studies at approximately 5 days [17-23,28,30]. It is important to note that IV duration in our study was on average one day shorter in the FQ group (4 days vs. 5 days). The clinical significance of this difference in IV duration is unknown.

Strengths of this study were that the groups were matched based on exact age and sex assigned at birth. Despite the retrospective design, groups were regarding well balanced overall baseline characteristics. The two areas where the groups differed most were the source of infection (more IAI in the FQ group) and infecting pathogen (more K. pneumoniae in the FQ group and more P. mirabilis in the BL group). Secondly, source control was obtained in most patients. Third, these data add to our limited knowledge in utilizing oral third generation cephalosporins in this patient population, which are known to achieve lower serum concentrations than more commonly used oral BLs. Lastly, rates of treatment failure were consistent with prior publications [14,15].

Limitations include the retrospective design, small sample size, and inadequate study power. We did a sample size calculation before the study, but did not find enough patients in the BL group to meet the sample size requirements. We screened and included all patients in the BL group (n=65) that met study criteria, plus an equal number of matched patients from the FQ group (n=65), so this was a populationbased study versus a sample-based study for the BL group. The effect sizes were very small (< 5%) for all comparisons; these effect sizes were below the limit of detection for this study; therefore, it is possible to have type II error (failure to detect a statistically significant difference when one exists).

We did not know the source of infection at the time of group assignment. We determined the source of infection later, during the chart review. Also, with so few patients available for the BL group, we did not want to impose too many matching criteria as it would drop our BL group size even more. We could not include source of infection in the final multivariate model, because so many different sources made the model unstable. We recommend that if someone were to repeat this study in a larger health system, they should limit the study to only patients with urinary tract infections, because that was the source of infection for 80% of the patients in our BL group.

To account for potential confounders and any selection bias, Pitt Bacteremia Scores, Charlson

Comorbidity Indexes, and ICU admission were collected and reported. These were found to be comparable between groups, although Pitt Bacteremia Score was higher in the BL group. This could potentially put the BL patients at higher risk of mortality. In addition to source and severity of illness, there was potential for changes in prescribing practices over the 10-year period studied. This is unlikely to impact our results, as the two groups were well balanced by date of positive blood culture. Another limitation of the retrospective design was the inability to closely monitor and identify ADEs. The safety endpoint of C. difficile only occurred in one patient. Since the VA is a closed system, patients would have returned to the VA for further management and follow-up, although it is possible some ADEs were not captured. Additionally, since microbiological recurrence was defined as the isolation of any organism from the same site regardless of symptoms, we could have included asymptomatic patients. Lastly, this study included primarily elderly Caucasian males and may not be generalizable to other patient populations.

# Conclusion

Additional prospective research is needed to determine the optimal oral BL stepdown agents, including both dosing and treatment duration. This study suggests that BLs may be as effective as FQs for oral stepdown treatment of Enterobacterales BSI without the potential associated risks.

# Abbreviations

ADE: adverse drug event; BID: twice daily; BL: beta-lactam; BSI: bloodstream infection; CI: confidence interval; FQ: fluoroquinolone; IAI: intraabdominal infection; ICU: intensive care unit; IV: intravenous; MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration; PO: by mouth; RR: risk ratio; UT: University of Texas; UTI: urinary tract infection; VA: Veterans Affairs.

## Acknowledgements

No funding was sought for this research study. Dr. Frei was supported in part by a NIH Clinical and Translational Science Award (UL1 TR002645) while this study was being conducted. This material is the result of work supported with resources and the use of facilities at the South Texas Veterans Health Care System. The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the National Institutes of Health.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the University of Texas (UT) Health Science Center at San Antonio Institutional Review Board (IRB). The need for consent was waived by the IRB.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Author contributions**

Study concept and design: LB, TH, JC, EW, CF. Data and statistical analysis: LB, CF. Interpretation of data: LB, TH, LY, JC, EW. Drafting of the manuscript: LB, TH, CT, CF. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: all authors. Study supervision: TH.

#### **Competing interests**

Dr. Frei received grants to his institution, for research, from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals.

#### References

- Barlam TF, Cosgrove SE, Abbo LM, MacDougall C, Schuetz AN, Septimus EJ, Srinivasan A, Dellit TH, Falck-Ytter YT, Fishman NO, Hamilton CW, Jenkins TC, Lipsett PA, Malani PN, May LS, Moran GJ, Neuhauser MM, Newland JG, Ohl CA, Samore MH, Seo SK, Trivedi KK. Implementing an antibiotic stewardship program: Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. Clin Infect Dis 2016;62:e51-77. PMCID: PMC5006285.
- Mermel LA, Allon M, Bouza E, Craven DE, Flynn P, O'Grady NP, Raad II, Rijnders BJ, Sherertz RJ, Warren DK. Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intravascular catheter-related infection: 2009 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2009;49:1-45. PMCID: PMC4039170.
- Hooton TM, Bradley SF, Cardenas DD, Colgan R, Geerlings SE, Rice JC, Saint S, Schaeffer AJ, Tambayh PA, Tenke P, Nicolle LE, Infectious Diseases Society of A. Diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of catheter-associated urinary tract infection in adults: 2009 International Clinical Practice Guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2010;50:625-663
- Fass RJ, Plouffe JF, Russell JA. Intravenous/oral ciprofloxacin versus ceftazidime in the treatment of serious infections. Am J Med 1989;87:164S-168S
- Peacock JE, Jr., Pegram PS, Weber SF, Leone PA. Prospective, randomized comparison of sequential intravenous followed by oral ciprofloxacin with intravenous ceftazidime in the treatment of serious infections. Am J Med 1989;87:185S-190S
- Paladino JA, Sperry HE, Backes JM, Gelber JA, Serrianne DJ, Cumbo TJ, Schentag JJ. Clinical and economic evaluation of oral ciprofloxacin after an abbreviated course of intravenous antibiotics. Am J Med 1991;91:462-470
- Amodio-Groton M, Madu A, Madu CN, Briceland LL, Seligman M, McMaster P, Miller MH. Sequential parenteral and oral ciprofloxacin regimen versus parenteral therapy for bacteremia: a pharmacoeconomic analysis. Ann Pharmacother 1996;30:596-602
- Cunha BA. Oral antibiotic therapy of serious systemic infections. Med Clin North Am 2006;90:1197-1222

- Park TY, Choi JS, Song TJ, Do JH, Choi SH, Oh HC. Early oral antibiotic switch compared with conventional intravenous antibiotic therapy for acute cholangitis with bacteremia. Dig Dis Sci 2014;59:2790-2796
- Watson CM, Al-Hasan MN. Bloodstream infections and central line-associated bloodstream infections. Surg Clin North Am 2014;94:1233-1244
- Shehab N, Lovegrove MC, Geller AJ, Rose KO, Weidle NJ, Budnitz DS. US emergency department visits for outpatient adverse drug events, 2013-2014. JAMA 2016;316:2115-2125. PMCID: PMC6490178.
- Pepin J, Saheb N, Coulombe MA, Alary ME, Corriveau MP, Authier S, Leblanc M, Rivard G, Bettez M, Primeau V, Nguyen M, Jacob CE, Lanthier L. Emergence of fluoroquinolones as the predominant risk factor for Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea: a cohort study during an epidemic in Quebec. Clin Infect Dis 2005;41:1254-1260
- Brigmon MM, Bookstaver PB, Kohn J, Albrecht H, Al-Hasan MN. Impact of fluoroquinolone resistance in Gram-negative bloodstream infections on healthcare utilization. Clin Microbiol Infect 2015;21:843-849
- Kutob LF, Justo JA, Bookstaver PB, Kohn J, Albrecht H, Al-Hasan MN. Effectiveness of oral antibiotics for definitive therapy of Gram-negative bloodstream infections. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2016;48:498-503
- Mercuro NJ, Stogsdill P, Wungwattana M. Retrospective analysis comparing oral stepdown therapy for enterobacteriaceae bloodstream infections: fluoroquinolones versus beta-lactams. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2018;51:687-692
- Sutton JD, Stevens VW, Chang NN, Khader K, Timbrook TT, Spivak ES. Oral beta-Lactam antibiotics vs fluoroquinolones or trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole for definitive treatment of Enterobacterales bacteremia from a urine source. JAMA Netw Open 2020;3:e2020166. PMCID: PMC7545306.
- Havey TC, Fowler RA, Daneman N. Duration of antibiotic therapy for bacteremia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care 2011;15:R267. PMCID: PMC3388653.
- Havey TC, Fowler RA, Pinto R, Elligsen M, Daneman N. Duration of antibiotic therapy for critically ill patients with bloodstream infections: A retrospective cohort study. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol 2013;24:129-137. PMCID: PMC3852449.
- Coats J, Rae N, Nathwani D. What is the evidence for the duration of antibiotic therapy in Gram-negative bacteraemia caused by urinary tract infection? A systematic review of the literature. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 2013;1:39-42
- Swamy S, Sharma R. Duration of treatment of Gram-negative bacteremia. Are shorter courses of antimicrobial therapy feasible? Infectious Diseases in Clinical Practice 2016;24:155-160
- Nelson AN, Justo JA, Bookstaver PB, Kohn J, Albrecht H, Al-Hasan MN. Optimal duration of antimicrobial therapy for uncomplicated Gram-negative bloodstream infections. Infection 2017;45:613-620
- 22. Chotiprasitsakul D, Han JH, Cosgrove SE, Harris AD, Lautenbach E, Conley AT, Tolomeo P, Wise J, Tamma PD, Antibacterial Resistance Leadership G. Comparing the outcomes of adults with Enterobacteriaceae bacteremia receiving short-course versus prolonged-course antibiotic therapy in a multicenter, propensity score-matched cohort. Clin Infect Dis 2018;66:172-177. PMCID: PMC5849997.
- 23. Yahav D, Franceschini E, Koppel F, Turjeman A, Babich T, Bitterman R, Neuberger A, Ghanem-Zoubi N, Santoro A, Eliakim-Raz N, Pertzov B, Steinmetz T, Stern A, Dickstein Y, Maroun E, Zayyad H, Bishara J, Alon D, Edel Y, Goldberg E, Venturelli C, Mussini C, Leibovici L, Paul M, Bacteremia Duration Study G. Seven versus 14 days of antibiotic therapy for uncomplicated Gram-negative bacteremia: A noninferiority randomized controlled trial. Clin Infect Dis 2019;69:1091-1098
- Mogle BT, Beccari MV, Steele JM, Fazili T, Kufel WD. Clinical considerations for oral beta-lactams as step-down therapy for Enterobacteriaceae bloodstream infections. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2019;20:903-907
- Punjabi C, Tien V, Meng L, Deresinski S, Holubar M. Oral fluoroquinolone or trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole vs. beta-lactams as step-down therapy for Enterobacteriaceae bacteremia: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Open Forum Infect Dis 2019. PMCID: PMC6785705.
- Tamma PD, Conley AT, Cosgrove SE, Harris AD, Lautenbach E, Amoah J, Avdic E, Tolomeo P, Wise J, Subudhi S, Han JH, Antibacterial Resistance Leadership G. Association of 30-day mortality with oral step-down vs continued intravenous therapy in patients hospitalized with Enterobacteriaceae bacteremia. JAMA Intern Med 2019;179:316-323. PMCID: PMC6439703.
- 27. Mponponsuo K, Brown KA, Fridman DJ, Johnstone J, Langford BJ, Lee SM, MacFadden DR, Patel SN, Schwartz KL, Daneman N. Highly versus less bioavailable oral antibiotics in the treatment of gram negative bloodstream infections: a propensity-matched cohort analysis. Clin Microbiol Infect 2022

- Saad S, Mina N, Lee C, Afra K. Oral beta-lactam step down in bacteremic E. coli urinary tract infections. BMC Infect Dis 2020;20:785. PMCID: PMC7576740.
- Heil EL, Bork JT, Abbo LM, Barlam TF, Cosgrove SE, Davis A, Ha DR, Jenkins TC, Kaye KS, Lewis JS, 2nd, Ortwine JK, Pogue JM, Spivak ES, Stevens MP, Vaezi L, Tamma PD. Optimizing the management of uncomplicated gram-negative bloodstream infections: consensus guidance using a modified delphi process. Open Forum Infect Dis 2021;8:ofab434. PMCID: PMC8561258.
- 30. Howard-Anderson J, Dai W, Yahav D, Hamasaki T, Turjeman A, Koppel F, Franceschini E, Hill C, Sund Z, Chambers HF, Fowler VG, Jr., Boucher HW, Evans SR, Paul M, Holland TL, Doernberg SB. A desirability of outcome ranking analysis of a randomized clinical trial comparing seven versus fourteen days of antibiotics for uncomplicated gram-negative bloodstream infection. Open Forum Infect Dis 2022;9:ofac140. PMCID: PMC9125302.