Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ozgur Karcioglu, Taksim Training and Research Hospital, Türkiye

REVIEWED BY J. Carolyn Graff, University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC), United States Corentin Babakissa, Université de Sherbrooke, Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Sandy Magaña Smagana@austin.utexas.edu

RECEIVED 31 March 2023 ACCEPTED 29 June 2023 PUBLISHED 11 July 2023

CITATION

Magaña S, Eliasziw M, Bowling A and Must A (2023) Racial and ethnic disparities in obesity and contributions of social determinants of health among boys with autism spectrum disorder.

Front. Pediatr. 11:1198073. doi: 10.3389/fped.2023.1198073

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Magaña, Eliasziw, Bowling and Must. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Racial and ethnic disparities in obesity and contributions of social determinants of health among boys with autism spectrum disorder

Sandy Magaña^{1*}, Misha Eliasziw², April Bowling^{3,4} and Aviva Must²

¹Steve Hicks School of Social Work, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, United States, ²Department of Public Health & Community Medicine, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, United States, ³Department of Nursing and Health Sciences, Merrimack College, Andover, MA, United States, ⁴E.K. Shriver Center, UMASS Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA, United States

Children with autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are at greater obesity risk compared to typically developing peers. Although many potential risk factors for this relationship have been identified, the causal chain must be better understood, particularly modifiable social determinants of obesity risk in ASD, and especially for children with ASD from minoritized racial/ethnic groups. We aimed to: (1) examine racial/ethnic disparities in obesity status in boys with ASD; (2) assess associations between social determinants of health and obesity status; and (3) understand if social determinants of health factors mediate the relationship between race/ethnicity and obesity status for these youth. We used data for 124 boys, aged 9-10 with ASD enrolled in an ongoing longitudinal study. Social determinants of health explored included socioeconomic position, Area Deprivation Index, neighborhood safety, food and housing insecurity, and racial/ethnic discrimination. The racial/ethnic distribution was: 17.1% Black, 14.6% Latino, and 68.3% White; average age was 10 years. Both Black (PR 2.57, 95% CI: 1.26-5.26) and Latino boys (PR 2.08, 95% CI: 1.08-4.03) with ASD were more likely to be obese than their White peers. While there were significant differences in some social determinants of health by race/ethnicity, only food insecurity mediated associations between race/ethnicity (Black vs. White) and obesity. The striking disparities in obesity and differences in social determinants of health between Black and Latino children with ASD compared to White children emphasize the need to identify factors that contribute to healthy weight among these children and to address these factors in practice.

KEYWORDS

obesity, weight status, race, ethnicity, health disparities, autism spectrum disorder, social determinants of health

1. Introduction

Obesity prevalence is high among children, and puts them at risk for myriad poor physical health outcomes including Type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and orthopedic conditions (1). Childhood obesity has also been associated with mental health risks, such as decreased social support and depression (2, 3). These health outcomes are linked to the development of chronic disease in adulthood (1, 4). At the same time, an emerging body of research suggests that children with autism spectrum disorders (ASD) have higher prevalence of obesity compared to typically developing (TD) children; for example, a recent meta-analysis found an increased risk of obesity among children with ASD compared to their TD peers (5). Differing associations with obesity by sex or gender among youth with ASD are likely, as are observed among TD youth (6). However, because approximately four males are diagnosed with ASD for every female diagnosed (7), most studies to date have been underpowered to examine moderation by sex and have relied on statistical adjustment to control for sex differences. This is problematic, as such adjustment provides a summary estimate and may mask important differences in the magnitude or direction of associations.

There are a multitude of factors that have been shown to contribute to higher rates of obesity among children with ASD. For example, screen time is higher among youth with ASD (8), and physical activity lower compared to TD youth (9) due to a variety of barriers (10) including a lack of inclusive physical education, sports, and community recreation programs (11). Children with ASD are more likely to display selective eating patterns, which can result in low fruit and vegetable consumption, eat more foods that are high calorie and have low nutrient density, and have higher intake of sugar sweetened beverages (12–14). Importantly, psychotropic medications used to treat many children with ASD, such as second-generation antipsychotics, are associated with significant, and often rapid weight gain (15).

A variety of social determinants of health may affect obesity risk among children with ASD. For example, perceived discrimination and decreased social support have been shown to be associated with increased adiposity in TD youth (16) and both of these domains are elevated in populations with ASD (17). Also, well established social determinants of health, such as socioeconomic status, food insecurity, and housing insecurity maybe more prevalent among families of autistic children, given the increased resource demands they face, such as caregiving and medical costs. For example, Karpur et al. found that households that included a child with ASD had twice the risk of food insecurity of households with TD children only (18). However, to our knowledge, no study to date has investigated whether these social determinants are associated with obesity in children with ASD.

Importantly, there are established disparities in obesity for Black and Latino TD children compared to non-Latino White TD children which are related to social determinants of health. By adolescence, prevalence of overweight and obesity in the United States is approximately 9% higher among African American boys and 14% higher among Latino boys relative to their white counterparts (19). These disparities emerge in early childhood, increase over time (19), and are particularly pronounced in the southeastern United States (20). Important contributors to these disparities include gaps in socioeconomic status, accessibility and affordability of healthy foods, and access to green, safe, and walkable neighborhood environments (21). However, there is also emerging evidence that prenatal adversity (22), high allostatic load, and perceived discrimination in childhood may contribute to obesity development in childhood, particularly among African American and Latino youth whose parents were not US-born (23).

The research on obesity among racial and ethnic minoritized children with ASD is sparse but suggestive of poor outcomes

compared to their counterparts with ASD and non-Latino white children. Data from the National Health Interview Survey found that Black adolescents with ASD had a higher risk than their same-race counterparts without ASD (24). Similarly, A metaanalysis found that non-White children with ASD had higher obesity rates than their typically developing counterparts, while this was not true for non-Latino White children (5). A study using data from the Autism Treatment Network found that Latino children had a higher risk of obesity compared to non-Latino White children with ASD (25). The intersection between ASD and minoritized status when studying childhood obesity is important. In addition to the factors that contribute to obesity among children with ASD, Black and Latino children with ASD are likely to face additional factors associated with social determinants of health, as they are more likely to live in poverty, have higher barriers to physical activity and health eating, and have increased risk of social isolation and perceived discrimination.

To address this gap in the literature, we analyzed a national sample of boys who were of the same ethnicity and race as their parent to address the following research questions: (1) Are there racial/ethnic disparities related to weight status for Black and Latino boys with ASD compared to non-Latino White boys?, (2) What social determinants of health are associated with weight status among boys with ASD?, and (3) Do social determinants of health mediate the relationship between race/ethnicity and weight status?

2. Methods

2.1. Study population

We used data from the Adolescent Brain Cognition Development (ABCD) Study, an ongoing longitudinal study of 9 and 10 year-old children. The baseline sample was recruited from 21 study sites from September 2016 to October 2018. At each study site, consenting parents and their assenting children were recruited largely from public and private schools. Eligibility criteria required that children be attending regular (mainstream) classes in school. The geographic locations comprising the ABCD research sites are nationally distributed and generally represent the range of demographic and socio-economic diversities in the United States. Information about the sample design, recruitment, measures, and compensation is detailed elsewhere (26, 27).

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Autism status

We included only participants with ASD, defined based on a single question on the screening questionnaire, by parents answering yes to the following question: "Has your child been diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder?"

2.2.2. Weight status

Body mass index (BMI) was based on measures of height and weight, which were taken as the average of up to 3 separate

measurements. BMI was calculated as weight in kg divided by height in meters squared. Sex and age-specific BMI z-scores (BMIz) were referenced against the Center of Disease Control 2001 (28). BMIz scores were used to define obesity (\geq 95th percentile) or not (<95th percentile).

2.2.3. Demographic characteristics

Parents answered questions about their age, gender, birth country (outside US versus US born), marital status, number of individuals living in the household, as well as their child's age and gender. Parent-reported ethnicity and races were categorized as Latino, non-Latino White, non-Latino Black, non-Latino Asian, and non-Latino other/multi-racial.

2.2.4. Social determinants of health

Individual socioeconomic position (SEP) scores were calculated from a weighted combination of four highest household education levels and four household income levels, combining education and income into a socioeconomic position score for use in studies of health inequalities (29, 30). The SEP scores range from 1 to 10, with higher scores corresponding to higher socioeconomic positions. An area deprivation index (ADI) was calculated for each household that measures socioeconomic disadvantage from a composite of 17 census variables that include education, income, employment, housing, and household characteristics (30). The ADI scores are percentiles that range from 0 to 100, with higher scores corresponding to higher levels of area deprivation. Neighborhood Safety was measured by 3 yes/no questions to parents: I feel safe walking in my neighborhood, day or night; violence is not a problem in my neighborhood; and my neighborhood is safe from crime. Food and housing insecurity was measured by two yes/no questions to parents asking if there was time in the past 2 months when the family couldn't afford food, or to pay rent or mortgage. Racial/ethnic discrimination was assessed by a single yes/no question.

2.2.5. Statistical analyses

Bivariable analyses consisted of comparing continuous variables among the three race and ethnic groups using analyses of variance and comparing categorical variables using chi-square tests. Generalized linear models using generalized estimation equations were used to derive the estimates and inferences for the BMIz scores and obesity analyses. An autoregressive (1) correlation structure was used to account for the repeated BMIz and weight status measures over time, as well as including the primary parent's and son's baseline ages as covariates in the models. For analyzing BMIz, a normal distribution with an identity link was specified in the models. Adjusted mean differences with corresponding 95% confidence intervals were used to compare the three groups. For analyzing obesity, a binomial distribution with a log link was specified in the models. Adjusted prevalence ratios with corresponding 95% confidence intervals were used to summarize the results. Mediation analyses were conducted to determine the extent that social determinants of health (ADI, neighborhood safety, food and housing insecurity, and racial/ethnic discrimination) explains (i.e., mediates) the relationship between measures of weight status and the three categories of race and ethnicity. For BMIz, the percentage mediated was calculated as the relative difference between the unadjusted and adjusted mean differences. For obesity, the percentage mediated was calculated as the relative difference between the unadjusted and adjusted prevalence ratios. All statistical analyses were carried out using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC), and results with *p*-values < 0.05 deemed statistically significant.

3. Results

The ABCD dataset consisted of 11,876 individuals, of which 201 (1.7%) reported their "child been diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder". Four individuals were excluded because of missing age, gender, ethnicity, or race data, and 13 were excluded because the area deprivation index percentile was missing. Among the 184 children with ASD, 158 (85.9%) were males, of which 123 (77.8%) had the same racial and ethnic identity as their primary parent. The racial and ethnic distribution of the parent-son dyads was: 21 (17.1%) Black, 18 (14.6%) Latino, and 84 (68.3%) White. Given that families were followed longitudinally, annual measurements of weight and height were available from a majority of children. Black children contributed 56 measurements, Latino children contributed 45 measurements, and White children contributed 217 measurements to the BMIz and obesity analyses.

Demographic characteristics of the dyads are reported in **Table 1**. The mean (standard deviation) age of the children at baseline was 10.0 (0.6) years. Black and Latino parents were significantly less educated and financially poorer than White families. These observations are supported by significantly lower mean socioeconomic position scores and higher ADI percentiles among Black and Latino families (*p*-value < 0.001). No child reported experiencing discrimination based on their race or ethnicity.

To answer research question 1, we examined BMIz scores and obesity by race and ethnicity. Although mean BMIz scores were significantly different among the three racial/ethnic groups (p-value = 0.02), the observed differences were primarily in comparison to White children (**Table 2**). Mean differences between Black and Latino children were non-significant. A similar pattern was observed for the obesity results (**Table 2**). Both Black and Latino children were significantly more than twice as likely to be obese compared to White children.

To answer research question 2, we examined whether social determinants of health were associated with BMIz and with obesity (**Table 3**). Socioeconomic position and the ADI were not significantly associated with BMIz despite the mean BMIz scores being almost twice as high among disadvantaged families. Consistent with the BMIz findings, prevalence of obesity almost twice as likely among disadvantaged families (SEP p = 0.05 and ADI p = 0.04). None of the neighborhood safety measures were associated with either BMIz or obesity. Food insecurity and housing insecurity were significantly associated with bMIz

TABLE 1 Sociodemographic characteristics and social determinants of health by race and ethnicity.

Solodemographic variablesVisite of the second s		Black (<i>n</i> = 21)	Latino (<i>n</i> = 18)	White (<i>n</i> = 84)	<i>P</i> -value			
Age of	Sociodemographic variables							
App of primary parent (year), mean, (standard deviation)Jar. (a)Jar. (b)Jar. (b)Gender of primary parent, n (%)Is (ass.)Is (ass.)VVVNakeJar. (b)Jar. (b)VVV </td <td>Age of child (years), mean (standard deviation)</td> <td>10.2 (0.5)</td> <td>9.9 (0.5)</td> <td>9.9 (0.6)</td> <td>0.12</td>	Age of child (years), mean (standard deviation)	10.2 (0.5)	9.9 (0.5)	9.9 (0.6)	0.12			
NameNa	Age of primary parent (years), mean. (standard deviation)	38.7 (8.2)	39.3 (7.9)	41.8 (6.3)	0.11			
Control <t< td=""><td>Gender of primary parent n (%)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Gender of primary parent n (%)							
mainmainmainmainmainmainmainmainMainMainMainMainMainMainMainBith country of primay parent, n (%)Bith cou	Female	10 (05 7)	16 (88 0)	70 (04.0)	0.40			
JamaJointJointJointJointJointBirth coursely of primary parent, n (%)19 (00.5)9 (00.0)8 (00.6)4 (0.00)Contrale United States19 (00.5)9 (00.0)10 (0.1)6 (0.00)Marrial status, n (%)11 (0.1)6 (0.00)10 (0.1)6 (0.00)Marriad no partnered11 (0.10)10 (0.0)6 (0.00)6 (0.00)10 (0.0)6 (0.00)Named or partnered10 (0.0)10 (0.0)6 (0.0)10 (0.0)6 (0.0)10	Male	3(143)	2(111)	5 (6 0)	0.40			
Bith county or primaly parent, n (w)600081 000.80 000.80 000.00000Outside States10 000.10 000		5 (14.5)	2 (11.1)	5 (0.0)				
United StatesOptionView	Birth country of primary parent, n (%)	10 (00 5)	0 (50.0)	02 (00 0)	.0.001			
Outane statesOutane		19 (90.5)	9 (50.0)	83 (98.8)	<0.001			
Marial status, n (%)Vision 1 (15.8)10 (2.		2 (9.5)	9 (50.0)	1 (1.2)				
Not maried nor partnered162,307 (38.910 (2.0)0.02Numied iving in household, median, (interquartile range)5 (4-04 (4-5)4 (4-5)0.020Highest household education, n (%)5 (4-00 (0.00)6 (3.00)5 (5.0)0 (0.00)10 (0.0)10 (0.	Marital status, n (%)							
Marnel or partnered10 (47)11 (61.061.741Number ling in houschold, micrequarit rango5 (4.6)1.4.5.1.4.5.0.000Highesthout-control6 (26.0)7.0.0005 (26.0)2.4.0.00Bigh shool6 (26.0)6 (26.0)0.0.0000.0.0000.0.000Colleger or oxotinal6 (26.0)6 (26.0)0.0.0000.0.0000.0.000Highesthout-control6 (26.0)7.0.0002.4.0.0000.0.0000.0.000Not working9 (42.0)4 (22.0)1.1.0.300.0.0000.0.0000.0.000Part-time working1 (48.0)0.0.005 (26.0)0.0.0000.0.0000.0.0000.0.000Sto000-frage1 (48.0)1 (48.0)1 (48.0)0.0.000.0.000.0.000	Not married nor partnered	11 (52.4)	7 (38.9)	19 (22.6)	0.02			
Number living in household, median, (interquaritie range)5 (1-40)5 (4-50)6 (40.0)100.00 </td <td>Married or partnered</td> <td>10 (47.6)</td> <td>11 (61.1)</td> <td>65 (77.4)</td> <td></td>	Married or partnered	10 (47.6)	11 (61.1)	65 (77.4)				
Higheshousehold education, n (%)Use of a set of a	Number living in household, median, (interquartile range)	5 (4-6)	4 (3-5)	4 (4–5)	0.02			
Gradescole11 (4.7)0 (10.0)0 (10	Highest household education, n (%)							
High cold Colleg or vacai and Colleg or vacai and 	Grade school	1 (4.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	< 0.001			
College vacational University or postgraduate or postgraduate6 (36.8)5 (37.8)5 (37.8)University or postgraduate or postgraduate9 (30.8)9 (30.8)9 (30.8)9 (30.8)Highest neuroschold9 (40.2)1 (14.8)0 (00.0)6 (30.7)Partien working1 (14.8)0 (00.0)6 (30.7)9 (30.8)Fuldetime working1 (14.8)0 (10.0)1 (14.8)1 (14.	High school	6 (28.6)	7 (38.9)	5 (5.9)				
University or postgraduate8 (a)	College or vocational	6 (38.6)	5 (27.8)	24 (28.6)				
Highest household employment statusNot working9 (42)4 (42)1 (1 (3)Part-time working1 (4 (2))1 (4 (2))1 (4 (2))Part-time working1 (4 (2))4 (4 (7))6 (8 (2))Huitme working1 (5 (1))4 (4 (7))4 (4 (7))4 (4 (7))Sto00o-Sto9psy1 (1 (4))1 (5 (1))4 (1 (4))4 (1 (4))Sto00o-Sto9psy0 (0)1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))Sto00o-Sto9psy0 (0)1 (1 (4))3 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))Sto00o-Sto9psy0 (0)1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))Sto00o-Sto9psy1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))Sto00o-Sto9psy1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))1 (1 (4))Sto10o-Sto10o-Sto9psy1 (1 (4)) <td< td=""><td>University or postgraduate</td><td>8 (38.1)</td><td>6 (33.3)</td><td>55 (65.5)</td><td></td></td<>	University or postgraduate	8 (38.1)	6 (33.3)	55 (65.5)				
Networking9 (42.9)4 (12.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)Part-morking1 (13.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)Bult-movoking1 (13.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)Stotoon1 (13.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)1 (13.2)Stotoon1 (13.2)	Highest household employment status							
Partime working1 (4)0 (0.0)5 (5.7)Fulture working1 (4)1 (4.7)6 (8 (0.9)Household income, n (%)5 (7.1)1 (2 (6.7)1 (2 (6.7)1 (2 (6.7)S50,000-574,5991 (14)1 (15)1 (15)1 (14)S75,000-599,599.591 (14)3 (16)1 (14)1 (15)Stotopor sparser1 (14)3 (16)1 (14)1 (14)Socioeconnic position score, man (standard deviation)1 (14)1 (15)4 (13)4 (14)Socioeconnic position score dar legen (standard deviation)5 (3 (3)3 (3)3 (3)3 (3)3 (3)Area Deprivation Index at Sta Precentic en higher, n (%)1 (15)1 (15)1 (15)1 (15)3 (13)3	Not working	9 (42.9)	4 (22.2)	11 (13.2)	0.03			
Pal-line workingIn (15.2)In (17.2)In (17.2)In (17.2)Household income, n(%)15.01%15.01%15.01%15.01%15.01%15.01%S50000-S74,9990.00010.0010.0010.0010.0015.01%15.01%S10000 or greater0.0000.00010.00 <t< td=""><td>Part-time working</td><td>1 (4.8)</td><td>0 (0.0)</td><td>5 (5.9)</td><td></td></t<>	Part-time working	1 (4.8)	0 (0.0)	5 (5.9)				
Household income, n (%)USUSUS<550,000	Full-time working	11 (52.4)	14 (77.8)	68 (80.9)				
<550.0015 (71.4)12 (67.7)24 (28.6)0/04\$50.000-\$74.9991 (4.8)1 (5.5)4 (4.8)\$75.000-\$599.9990 (0.0)0 (0.0)1 (51.7)\$100.000 or greater4 (10.0)3 (1.6)3 (4.0)Refused to answer1 (4.8)2 (1.1)4 (4.7)Socioeconomic position score, mean (standard deviation)50 (3.1)4.8 (3.0)7.5 (2.7)Socioeconomic position score, to lower, n (%)11 (61.0)11 (61.0)19 (22.0)<0001	Household income, n (%)							
\$50,000-\$74,999 1 (4.8) 1 (4.8) 1 (4.8) 4 (4.8) \$75,000-\$99,999 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.0) 3 (16.7) \$100,000 or greater 4 (19.0) 2 (1.0) 3 (14.0) \$Colose on seps, 999 1 (4.8) 2 (1.1) 4 (4.7) \$Colose conomic position score, mean (standard deviation) 5 0 (3.1) 4 8 (3.0) 7 (5.7) \$Colose conomic position score, 4 or lower, n (%) 11 (6.1) 19 (22.6) <0.001	<\$50,000	15 (71.4)	12 (66.7)	24 (28.6)	0.004			
\$75000-\$99,999000015 (17.9)\$100.000 or greater4 (19.0)3 (16.7)37 (44.0)Refused to answer1 (4.8)2 (11.1)4 (4.7)Socioeconomic position score, an (standard deviation)50 (31.0)13 (61.0)11 (51.0)20.000Socioeconomic position score, an (standard deviation)69.3 (32.9)39.9 (22.0)31.4 (22.0)Area Deprivation Index percentile, mean (standard deviation)69.3 (32.0)39.9 (22.0)31.4 (22.0)Area Deprivation Index at 85th percentile or higher, n (%)11 (52.4)11 (52.4)11.1 (53.0)10.000National Walkability Index, mean (standard deviation)12.3 (44.0)12.1 (39.0)0.0000.0000.0000.0000.000Neighborhood stafe form crime, n (%)14 (66.7)12 (66.7)6 (78.6)0.0000.00	\$50,000-\$74,999	1 (4.8)	1 (5.5)	4 (4.8)				
\$100,000 or greater4 (190)3 (16.7)3 (14.0)Refused to answer1 (4.8)2 (11.1)4 (4.7)Socioeconomic position score, mean (standard deviation)50 (3).14.8 (3)7.5 (2.7)<0.001	\$75,000-\$99,999	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	15 (17.9)				
Refused to answer1 (4.8)2 (11.)4 (4.7)Socioeconomic position score, mean (standard deviation)5.0 (3.1)4.8 (3.0)7.5 (2.7)<.0.001	\$100,000 or greater	4 (19.0)	3 (16.7)	37 (44.0)				
Socioeconomic position score, mean (standard deviation) 50 (31) 4.8 (30) 7.5 (2.7) <0.001 Socioeconomic position score 4 or lower, n (%) 13 (61.9) 11 (61.1) 19 (22.6) <0.001	Refused to answer	1 (4.8)	2 (11.1)	4 (4.7)				
Socioeconomic position score 4 or lower, n (%)10 (1.0.)10 (2.0.)<0.001Area Deprivation Index percentile, man (standard deviation)6.93 (3.2.)9.9 (24.2.)3.1.4 (2.1.)<0.001	Socioeconomic position score, mean (standard deviation)	5.0 (3.1)	4.8 (3.0)	7.5 (2.7)	< 0.001			
Area Deprivation Index percentile, mean (standard deviation)69.03 (39)99.04(20)81.4 (2.1)0.0001Area Deprivation Index at 85th percentile or higher, n(%)11.05.011.05.012.01.0010.01.000.0021National Walkability Index, mean (standard deviation)12.03.0012.03.0010.10.000.0020National Walkability Index 10 or lower, n(%)0.00262.08.052.07.0049.05.000.0020Neighborhood safety12.06.0012.06.0066.07.000.00200.0020Violence is not a problem in my neighborhood, n(%)10.07.0010.07.0010.07.000.0020My neighborhood is safe from crime, n(%)0.010.02.000.02.000.02.00Food and housing security10.05.0010.05.000.02.000.02.00In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family needed food but couldn't afford to buy on to go out to get it, n(%)8.09.000.00.000.00.00In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family neutoful part part part of the go out to get it, n(%)10.02.000.00.000.00.00In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family neutoful part part part part of the go out to get it, n(%)0.00.000.00.000.00.00Discretination20.0010.00.000.00.000.00.000.00.000.00.00Discretination0.00.000.00.000.00.000.00.000.00.000.00.00Discretination0.00.000.00.000.00.000.00.000.00.000.00.00 <t< td=""><td>Socioeconomic position score 4 or lower, n (%)</td><td>13 (61.9)</td><td>11 (61.1)</td><td>19 (22.6)</td><td>< 0.001</td></t<>	Socioeconomic position score 4 or lower, n (%)	13 (61.9)	11 (61.1)	19 (22.6)	< 0.001			
Area Deprivation Index at 85th percentile on higher, n (%)11 (52, 0)11 (52, 0)3 (3, 6)<0.001National Walkability Index, mean (standard deviation)12.3 (44)12.1 (39)10.1 (38)0.002National Walkability Index 10 or lower, n (%)6 (28.6)5 (27.8)49 (58.3)0.008Neighborhood safety14 (66.7)12 (66.7)66 (78.6)0.37.7Violence is not a problem in my neighborhood, n (%)10 (47.6)10 (47.6)12 (66.7)61 (72.6)My neighborhood is safe from crime, n (%)0.0110 (47.6)12 (66.7)61 (72.6)0.09My neighborhood is safe from crime, n (%)10 (47.6)10 (47.6)12 (66.7)61 (72.6)0.09In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family needed food but couldn't afford to buy it or go out to get it, n (%)3 (16.7)8 (9.5)0.40In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family needef food but couldn't afford to go out to get it, n (%)0.400.400.40Discrimitation23 (16.7)8 (9.5)0.400.41Discrimitation00.000.000.000.00Discrimitation54521711 (13.6)0.41Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n00.0010.0010.00Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n54511 (13.6)Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n21 (37.6)10 (37.6)10 (37.6)Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n21 (37	Area Deprivation Index percentile, mean (standard deviation)	69.3 (32.9)	39.9 (24.2)	31.4 (22.1)	< 0.001			
National Walkability Index, mean (standard deviation)0.00National Walkability Index 10 or lower, n (%)6 (28.0)5 (27.8)49 (58.0)Neighborhood safety14 (66.7)12 (66.7)6 (67.8.0)0.00Neighborhood, and yon night, n (%)14 (66.7)12 (66.7)6 (67.8.0)0.01Notone is not a problem in my neighborhood, n (%)10 (07.0)10 (07.0)10 (07.0)6 (07.0)0.00My neighborhood is safe from rine, n (%)0.0010 (07.0)10 (07.0)10 (07.0)0.000.00Hor past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family neighborhood ring of on to get i, n (%)3 (16.7)11 (13.0)0.010.010.01In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family neighborhood ring of to get i, n (%)3 (16.7)11 (13.0)0.010.010.01In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family neighborhood ring of to get i, n (%)3 (16.7)11 (13.1)0.010.010.01In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family neighborhood ring of to get i, n (%)3 (16.7)11 (13.1)0.01<	Area Deprivation Index at 85th percentile or higher, n (%)	11 (52.4)	1 (5.6)	3 (3.6)	< 0.001			
National Walkability Index 10 on lower, n (%)9 (0 (8)9 (0 (8)9 (0 (8))Heigheberhood safety14 (6A)12 (6A)6 (7A)0 (7A) <td>National Walkability Index, mean (standard deviation)</td> <td>12.3 (4.4)</td> <td>12.1 (3.9)</td> <td>10.1 (3.8)</td> <td>0.02</td>	National Walkability Index, mean (standard deviation)	12.3 (4.4)	12.1 (3.9)	10.1 (3.8)	0.02			
Neighborhood safety If cell safe walking in my neighborhood, day or night, n (%) If cell safe walking in my neighborhood, n (%)	National Walkability Index 10 or lower, n (%)	6 (28.6)	5 (27.8)	49 (58.3)	0.008			
I feel safe walking in my neighborhood, day or night, n (%) 14 (66.7) 12 (66.7) 66 (78.6) 0.37 Violence is not a problem in my neighborhood, n (%) 10 (47.6) 12 (66.7) 61 (72.6) 0.09 My neighborhood is safe from crime, n (%) 8 (38.1) 10 (55.6) 49 (58.3) 0.25 Food and housing security	Neighborhood safety							
Violence is not a problem in my neighborhood, n (%)10 (47.6)12 (66.7)61 (72.6)0.09My neighborhood is safe from crime, n (%)8 (38.1)10 (55.6)49 (58.3)0.25Food and housing securityIn the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family needed food but couldn't afford to buy it or couldn't afford to go out to get it, n (%)8 (9.5)0.40In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family didn't pay the full amount of the rent or mortgage because they could not afford it, n (%)3 (16.7)8 (9.5)0.40Discrimination5 (23.8)3 (16.7)11 (13.1)0.47To the past 12 months, I have felt discriminated against because of race, ethnicity, or color, n (%)0 (0.0)0 (0.0)0 (0.0)Discrimination564521710Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n 564521710Baseline, n (%)11 (37.5)18 (40.0)84 (38.7)0.981-year follow-up, n (%)21 (37.5)17 (37.8)76 (35.0)2-year follow-up, n (%)14 (25.0)10 (22.2)57 (26.3)	I feel safe walking in my neighborhood, day or night, n (%)	14 (66.7)	12 (66.7)	66 (78.6)	0.37			
My neighborhood is safe from crime, n (%) 49 (58.3) 0.25 Food and housing security 500 and ho	Violence is not a problem in my neighborhood, n (%)	10 (47.6)	12 (66.7)	61 (72.6)	0.09			
Food and housing security In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family needed food but couldn't afford to buy it or ould of the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family didn't pay the full amount of the rent or mortgage because they could not afford it, n (%) 3 (16.7) 11 (13.1) 0.47 In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family didn't pay the full amount of the rent or mortgage because they could not afford it, n (%) 3 (16.7) 11 (13.1) 0.47 Discrimination 5 (23.8) 3 (16.7) 11 (13.1) 0.47 In the past 12 months, I have felt discriminated against because of race, ethnicity, or color, n (%) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1.00 Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n 56 45 217 1.03 Baseline, n (%) 21 (37.5) 18 (40.0) 84 (38.7) 0.98 1-year follow-up, n (%) 21 (37.5) 17 (37.8) 76 (35.0) 2-year follow-up, n (%) 14 (25.0) 10 (22.2) 57 (26.3)	My neighborhood is safe from crime, n (%)	8 (38.1)	10 (55.6)	49 (58.3)	0.25			
In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family needed food but couldn't afford to buy it or couldn't afford to go out to get it, n (%)8 (9.5)8 (9.5)0.40In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family didn't pay the full amount of the rent or mortgage because they could not afford it, n (%)3 (16.7)11 (13.1)0.47DiscriminationDiscriminationIn the past 12 months, I have felt discriminated against because of race, ethnicity, or color, n (%)0 (0.0)0 (0.0)0 (0.0)1.00Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n 56452171Baseline, n (%)21 (37.5)18 (40.0)84 (38.7)0.981-year follow-up, n (%)14 (25.0)10 (22.2)57 (26.3)	Food and housing security							
couldn't afford to go out to get it, n (%)Interpret of the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family didn't pay the full amount of the rent or mortgage because they could not afford it, n (%)3 (16.7)11 (13.1)0.47DiscriminationDiscriminated against because of race, ethnicity, or color, n (%)0 (0.0)0 (0.0)0 (0.0)1.00Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n 56452171Baseline, n (%)21 (37.5)18 (40.0)84 (38.7)0.981-year follow-up, n (%)14 (25.0)10 (22.2)57 (26.3)	In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family needed food but couldn't afford to buy it or	4 (19.1)	3 (16.7)	8 (9.5)	0.40			
In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family didn't pay the full amount of the rent or mortgage 5 (23.8) 3 (16.7) 11 (13.1) 0.47 DiscriminationDiscrimination0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1.00 Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1.00 Baseline, n (%) 11 (3.1) 0.47 I vigota follow-up, n (%) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)2 vigota follow-up, n (%) 10 (20.2) 57 (26.3)	couldn't afford to go out to get it, n (%)							
Discrimination 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1.00 In the past 12 months, I have felt discriminated against because of race, ethnicity, or color, n (%) 56 45 21.7 Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n 56 18 (40.0) 84 (38.7) 9.98 Baseline, n (%) 21 (37.5) 17 (37.8) 76 (35.0) 9.98 1-year follow-up, n (%) 14 (25.0) 10 (22.2) 57 (26.3)	In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family didn't pay the full amount of the rent or mortgage because they could not afford it, n (%)	5 (23.8)	3 (16.7)	11 (13.1)	0.47			
In the past 12 months, I have felt discriminated against because of race, ethnicity, or color, n (%) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1.00 Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n 56 45 217 Baseline, n (%) 21 (37.5) 18 (40.0) 84 (38.7) 1-year follow-up, n (%) 21 (37.5) 17 (37.8) 76 (35.0) 2-year follow-up, n (%) 14 (25.0) 10 (22.2) 57 (26.3)	Discrimination							
Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n 56 45 217 Baseline, n (%) 21 (37.5) 18 (40.0) 84 (38.7) 0.98 1-year follow-up, n (%) 21 (37.5) 17 (37.8) 76 (35.0) 2 2-year follow-up, n (%) 14 (25.0) 10 (22.2) 57 (26.3)	In the past 12 months, I have felt discriminated against because of race, ethnicity, or color, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1.00			
Baseline, n (%) 21 (37.5) 18 (40.0) 84 (38.7) 0.98 1-year follow-up, n (%) 21 (37.5) 17 (37.8) 76 (35.0) 2-year follow-up, n (%) 14 (25.0) 10 (22.2) 57 (26.3)	Total visits contributed to weight analyses, n	56	45	217				
1-year follow-up, n (%)21 (37.5)17 (37.8)76 (35.0)2-year follow-up, n (%)14 (25.0)10 (22.2)57 (26.3)	Baseline, n (%)	21 (37.5)	18 (40.0)	84 (38.7)	0.98			
2-year follow-up, n (%) 14 (25.0) 10 (22.2) 57 (26.3)	1-year follow-up, n (%)	21 (37.5)	17 (37.8)	76 (35.0)				
	2-year follow-up, n (%)	14 (25.0)	10 (22.2)	57 (26.3)				

and with obesity; food insecurity was associated with a more than 3-fold increase in obesity prevalence and housing insecurity with a nearly 2-fold increase.

To address research question 3, **Table 4** presents the results of the mediation analyses, which quantify the extent that each social

determinant of health explains the association between race/ ethnicity and BMIz and obesity for those determinants that were significantly associated with weight outcomes. In general, the social determinants of health were found to be weak mediators. Only food insecurity reached the level of being a partial

TABLE 2 Body mass index and obesity status by race and ethnicity.

	Black (<i>n</i> = 56)	Latino (<i>n</i> = 45)	White (<i>n</i> = 217)	P-value
BMIz, mean (se)	1.17 (0.31)	1.04 (0.25)	0.38 (0.14)	0.02
Mean difference	0.79	0.66	Reference	
95% confidence interval	(0.08-1.49)	(0.09–1.24)		
P-value	0.03	0.02		
Obese, <i>n</i> (%)	21 (40.3)	15 (32.6)	36 (15.7)	0.02
Prevalence ratio	2.57	2.08	Reference	
95% confidence interval	(1.26-5.26)	(1.08-4.03)		
P-value	0.01	0.03		

Estimates and *p*-values were derived from generalized linear models using generalized estimating equations and adjusted for primary parent's and son's baseline ages.

mediator, explaining approximately one-quarter of the association between race/ethnicity and obesity.

4. Discussion

In this paper, we examined racial and ethnic health disparities among boys with ASD in relation to an important health outcome for children: obesity. Health disparities are differences in healthrelated outcomes and services that are associated with social and economic disadvantage (31). It is important to identify such disparities in order to take actions to increase health equity for all. Using a US-based national sample, we found striking disparities in obesity between Black and Latino children compared to White children with ASD. Black and Latino boys had significantly higher rates of obesity compared to White boys with ASD, and Latino boys had significantly higher rates of obesity compared to White boys. While these findings are not surprising, it is disturbing. We know that among typically developing populations, Black and Latino children have higher rates of obesity compared to White children (19). There is also robust evidence that children with ASD have higher rates of obesity compared to typically developing peers (5). However, this is the first time to our knowledge that racial and ethnic disparities in obesity have been examined in a sample of boys with ASD, which eliminates residual confounding due to sex.

In order to work towards equity, identifying modifiable and structural factors that may contribute to higher rates of obesity among Black and Latino children with ASD is crucial. Therefore, we examined the relationship of selected social determinants of health to BMIz and obesity among the children in our study and assessed the extent to which any of the social determinants of health explained the observed disparity. We observed strong associations between socioeconomic status, area deprivation, food insecurity, and housing insecurity and obesity among boys with ASD of all races and ethnicities, consistent with findings in TD populations (19, 32–35). In contrast, we did not observe associations with community safety variables such as neighborhood walkability, which had been identified as an obesity risk factor in many studies of TD children (36, 37). Our incongruent findings may reflect the additional safety concerns parents have regarding

TABLE 4 Percentage of BMIz and obese relationships with race and ethnicity mediated by selected social determinants of health.

	BMlz Black vs. White	BMIz Latino vs. White	Obese Black vs. White	Obese Latino vs. White	
Socioeconomic po	sition score	4 or lower (vs	. 5 or higher)	
Percentage mediated	11.3%	12.2%	18.7%	13.3%	
P-value	0.14	0.24	0.20	0.10	
Area Deprivation Index at 85th percentile or higher (vs. lower than then 85th)					
Percentage mediated	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
P-value	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family needed food but couldn't afford to buy it or couldn't afford to go out to get it (vs. not)					
Percentage mediated	5.9%	6.5%	29.1%	22.7%	
P-value	0.18	0.25	0.02	0.18	
In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family didn't pay the full amount of the rent or mortgage because they could not afford it (vs. not)					
Percentage mediated	6.7%	0.4%	6.2%	15.1%	
P-value	0.19	0.42	0.13	0.42	

Estimates and *p*-values were derived from generalized linear models using generalized estimating equations and adjusted for primary parent's and son's baseline ages.

TABLE 3 Association of social determinants of health with BMIz and with obesity.

	BMIz mean and mean difference $(n = 318)$	P-value	Obesity prevalence and prevalence ratio $(n = 318)$	P-value
Socioeconomic position score 4 or lower (vs 5 or higher)	0.91 vs 0.45 0.46	0.08	0.32 vs 0.18 1.78	0.05
Area Deprivation Index at 85 th percentile or higher (vs lower than then 85 th)	0.98 vs 0.56 0.42	0.24	0.39 vs 0.20 1.94	0.04
National Walkability Index 10 or lower (vs 11 or higher)	0.52 vs 0.70-0.18	0.46	0.25 vs 0.20 1.27	0.43
I feel safe walking in my neighborhood, day or night (vs not)	0.59 vs 0.68-0.09	0.77	0.22 vs 0.22 1.00	0.98
Violence is not a problem in my neighborhood (vs not)	0.60 vs 0.64-0.04	0.87	0.19 vs 0.28 0.69	0.22
My neighborhood is safe from crime (vs not)	0.61 vs 0.62-0.01	0.97s	0.22 vs 0.23 0.96	0.89
In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family needed food but couldn't afford to buy it or couldn't afford to go out to get it (vs not)	1.52 vs 0.48 1.04	0.006	0.58 vs 0.18 3.26	< 0.001
In the past 12 months, there has been a time when the immediate family didn't pay the full amount of the rent or mortgage because they could not afford it (vs not)	1.15 vs 0.51 0.64	0.03	0.38 vs 0.19 1.96	0.03

Estimates and p-values were derived from generalized linear models using generalized estimating equations and adjusted for primary parent's and son's baseline ages.

independent physical activity in their children with ASD, which make typical neighborhood safety characteristics less salient (38, 39).

To assess whether any of the social determinants of health helped to explain disparities in obesity, we first examined whether the determinants differed by race/ethnicity. We found that Black and Latino children scored significantly higher on the ADI, and that Black and Latino parents were more likely to feel that violence as a problem in their neighborhood compared to White parents; these findings parallel the literature on the general US population (21). We did not identify significant racial/ethnic differences in food or housing insecurity or in children's perception of racial/ethnic discrimination; no child reported that they felt discriminated against because of their race, ethnicity, or color. However, these findings should be interpreted with caution given the small sample size and their lack of consistency with other studies. When we examined whether any of the social determinants of health mediated the relationship between race/ethnicity and obesity, only food insecurity among Black autistic boys emerged as a significant mediator of this relationship. Taken together, this suggests that while Black families with an autistic child may not be at greater risk of food insecurity compared to Whites in this sample, such insecurity may play a larger role in diet quality through mechanisms such as food deserts. This would be consistent with the worse area deprivation scores we found among Black families.

Some noteworthy limitations of our study warrant discussion. Autism status was based on parent report on a screening questionnaire rather than a neuropsychological assessment or multi-item measure. This may have resulted in inclusion of boys who would not have met diagnostic criteria. Similarly, the social determinants of health measures we relied upon are subject to misclassification. However, we would not expect any measurement error to differ by race/ethnicity. While the ABCD data is large national study, the number of children identified with ASD is small, limiting the power to detect group differences, especially between Black and Latino boys. A larger sample may allow us to better explain the association of social determinants on obesity among children with ASD for example. Further, because of the small sample and 4-to-1 male to female ratio, the sample did not have an adequate number of girls for a separate analysis.

In conclusion, our findings suggest substantial racial/ethnic disparities exist among children with ASD, mirroring the disparities observed in neurotypical youth. Additional research is needed to better elucidate unique social determinants of obesity risk in these populations and further study is needed in girls with ASD. Given the myriad health consequences of obesity for all children, more attention needs to be paid to the maintenance of healthy weight of Latino and Black boys with ASD and to identifying and addressing modifiable factors that are associated with unhealthy weight among children with ASD from Black and Latino communities.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. Data are available on The National Institute of Mental Health Data Archive (NDA) website.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the conceptualization and design and interpretation of the analyses and collaborated on the initial draft and revisions to the manuscript. ME designed and conducted the analyses. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This project is/was supported by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) under UT4MC41898. The Healthy Weight Research Network. The information, content and/or conclusions are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as the official position or policy of, nor should any endorsements be inferred by HRSA, HHS or the U.S. Government.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the participants and the ABCD open science model that provided access to these data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Must A, Strauss RS. Risks and consequences of childhood and adolescent obesity. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. (1999) 23:S2.

2. Anderson SE, Cohen P, Naumova EN, Jacques PF, Must A. Adolescent obesity and risk for subsequent major depressive disorder and anxiety disorder: prospective evidence. *Psychosom Med.* (2007) 69(8):740–7. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e31815580b4

3. Small L, Aplasca A. Child obesity and mental health: a complex interaction. *Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am*. (2016) 25(2):269–82. doi: 10.1016/j.chc.2015.11.008

4. Daniels SR. Complications of obesity in children and adolescents. Int J Obes. (2009) 33:S6–S65. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2009.20

5. Kahathuduwa CN, West BD, Blume J, Dharavath N, Moustaid-Moussa N, Mastergeorge A. The risk of overweight and obesity in children with autism spectrum disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* (2019) 20 (2):1667–979. doi: 10.1111/obr.12933

6. Shah B, Cost KT, Fuller A, Birken CS, Anderson LN. Sex and gender differences in childhood obesity: contributing to the research agenda. *BMJ Nutr Prev Health*. (2020) 3(2):387. doi: 10.1136/bmjnph-2020-000074

7. Werling DM, Geschwind DH. Sex differences in autism spectrum disorders. *Curr Opin Neurol.* (2013) 26(2):146. doi: 10.1097/WCO.0b013e32835ee548

8. Must A, Phillips SM, Curtin C, Anderson SE, Maslin M, Lividini K, et al. Comparison of sedentary behaviors between children with autism spectrum disorders and typically developing children. *Autism.* (2014) 18(4):376-84. doi: 10. 1177/1362361313479039

9. Corvey K, Menear KS, Preskitt J, Goldfarb S, Menachemi N. Obesity, physical activity and sedentary behaviors in children with an autism spectrum disorder. *Matern Child Health J.* (2015) 20:1–11. doi: 10.1007/s10995-015-1844-5

10. Tyler K, MacDonald M, Menear K. Physical activity and physical fitness of school-aged children and youth with autism spectrum disorders. *Autism Res Treat.* (2014) 2014:312163. doi: 10.1155/2014/312163

11. Hickingbotham MR, Wong CJ, Bowling AB. Barriers and facilitators to physical education, sport, and physical activity program participation among children and adolescents with psychiatric disorders: a systematic review. *Transl Behav Med.* (2021) 11(9):1739–50. doi: 10.1093/tbm/ibab085

12. Bandini L, Anderson S, Curtin C, Cermak SA, Evans E, Scampini R, et al. Food selectivity in children with autism Spectrum disorders and typically developing children. *J Pediatr.* (2010) 157(2):259–64. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2010.02.013

13. Hubbard KL, Anderson SE, Curtin C, Must A, Bandini LG. A comparison of food refusal related to characteristics of food in children with autism spectrum disorder and typically developing children. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* (2014) 114 (12):1981–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2014.04.017

14. Suarez MA, Crinion KM. Food choices of children with autism spectrum disorders. Int J Sch Health. (2015) 2(3):1–5. doi: 10.17795/intjsh-27502

15. Shin L, Bregman H, Frazier J, Noyes N. An overview of obesity in children with psychiatric disorders taking atypical antipsychotics. *Harv Rev Psychiatry.* (2008) 16 (2):69–79. doi: 10.1080/10673220802073915

16. CdO B, Bastos JL, González-Chica DA, Peres MA, Paradies YC. Interpersonal discrimination and markers of adiposity in longitudinal studies: a systematic review. *Obes Rev.* (2017) 18(9):1040-9. doi: 10.1111/obr.12564

17. Mazumder R, Thompson-Hodgetts S. Stigmatization of children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders and their families: a scoping study. *Rev J Autism Dev Disord.* (2019) 6:96–107. doi: 10.1007/s40489-018-00156-5

18. Karpur A, Vasudevan V, Lello A, Frazier TW, Shih A. Food insecurity in the households of children with autism spectrum disorders and intellectual disabilities in the United States: analysis of the national survey of children's health data 2016–2018. *Autism.* (2021) 25(8):2400–11. doi: 10.1177/13623613211019159

19. Min J, Wen X, Xue H, Wang Y. Ethnic disparities in childhood BMI trajectories and obesity and potential causes among 29,250 US children: findings from the early childhood longitudinal study-birth and kindergarten cohorts. *Int J Obes.* (2018) 42 (9):1661–70. doi: 10.1038/s41366-018-0091-4

20. Bethell C, Simpson L, Stumbo S, Carle AC, Gombojav N. National, state, and local disparities in childhood obesity. *Health Aff.* (2010) 29(3):347–56. doi: 10.1377/ hlthaff.2009.0762

21. Isong IA, Rao SR, Bind M-A, Avendaño M, Kawachi I, Richmond TK. Racial and ethnic disparities in early childhood obesity. *Pediatrics*. (2018) 141(1). doi: 10. 1542/peds.2017-0865

22. Dixon B, Peña M-M, Taveras EM. Lifecourse approach to racial/ethnic disparities in childhood obesity. *Adv Nutr.* (2012) 3(1):73–82. doi: 10.3945/an.111. 000919

23. Schmeer KK, Tarrence J. Racial-ethnic disparities in inflammation: evidence of weathering in childhood? *J Health Soc Behav.* (2018) 59(3):411–28. doi: 10.1177/0022146518784592

24. Phillips KL, Schieve LA, Visser S, Boulet S, Sharma AJ, Kogan MD, et al. Prevalence and impact of unhealthy weight in a national sample of US adolescents with autism and other learning and behavioral disabilities. *Matern Child Health J.* (2014) 18:1964–75. doi: 10.1007/s10995-014-1442-y

25. Hill AP, Zuckerman KE, Fombonne E. Obesity and autism. *Pediatrics*. (2015) 136(6):1051-61. doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-1437

26. Barch DM, Albaugh MD, Avenevoli S, Chang L, Clark DB, Glantz MD, et al. Demographic, physical and mental health assessments in the adolescent brain and cognitive development study: rationale and description. *Dev Cogn Neurosci.* (2018) 32:55–66. doi: 10.1016/j.dcn.2017.10.010

27. Garavan H, Bartsch H, Conway K, Decastro A, Goldstein R, Heeringa S, et al. Recruiting the ABCD sample: design considerations and procedures. *Dev Cogn Neurosci.* (2018) 32:16–22. doi: 10.1016/j.dcn.2018.04.004

28. Ogden CL, Kuczmarski RJ, Flegal KM, Mei Z, Guo S, Wei R, et al. Centers for disease control and prevention 2000 growth charts for the United States: improvements to the 1977 national center for health statistics version. *Pediatrics*. (2002) 109(1):45–60. doi: 10.1542/peds.109.1.45

29. Lindberg MH, Chen G, Olsen JA, Abelsen B. Combining education and income into a socioeconomic position score for use in studies of health inequalities. *BMC Public Health.* (2022) 22(1):1–11. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-13366-8

30. Maroko AR, Doan TM, Arno PS, Hubel M, Yi S, Viola D. Peer reviewed: integrating social determinants of health with treatment and prevention: a new tool to assess local area deprivation. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2016) 13. doi: 10.5888/pcd13. 160221

31. Braveman P. What are health disparities and health equity? We need to be clear. *Public Health Rep.* (2014) 129(1_Suppl 2):5-8. doi: 10.1177/00333549141291S203

32. Nobari TZ, Whaley SE, Blumenberg E, Prelip ML, Wang MC. Severe housingcost burden and obesity among preschool-aged low-income children in Los Angeles county. *Prev Med Rep.* (2019) 13:139–45. doi: 10.1016/j.pmedr.2018.12.003

33. Pierre CS, Ploeg MV, Dietz WH, Pryor S, Jakazi CS, Layman E, et al. Food insecurity and childhood obesity: a systematic review. *Pediatrics.* (2022) 150(1). doi: 10.1542/peds.2021-055571

34. Townsend N, Rutter H, Foster C. Age differences in the association of childhood obesity with area-level and school-level deprivation: cross-classified multilevel analysis of cross-sectional data. *Int J Obes.* (2012) 36(1):45–52. doi: 10. 1038/ijo.2011.191

35. Vazquez CE, Cubbin C. Socioeconomic status and childhood obesity: a review of literature from the past decade to inform intervention research. *Curr Obes Rep.* (2020) 9:562–70. doi: 10.1007/s13679-020-00400-2

36. Allport L, Song M, Leung CW, McGlumphy KC, Hasson RE. Influence of parent stressors on adolescent obesity in African American youth. *J Obes.* (2019) 2019:562–70. doi: 10.1155/2019/1316765

37. Lovasi GS, Neckerman KM, Quinn JW, Weiss CC, Rundle A. Effect of individual or neighborhood disadvantage on the association between neighborhood walkability and body mass index. *Am J Public Health.* (2009) 99(2):279–84. doi: 10.2105/AJPH. 2008.138230

38. An R, Yang Y, Hoschke A, Xue H, Wang Y. Influence of neighbourhood safety on childhood obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Obes Rev.* (2017) 18(11):1289–309. doi: 10.1111/obr.12585

39. Lee J, Healy S, Haegele JA. Environmental and social determinants of leisuretime physical activity in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Disabil Health J.* (2022) 15(4):101340. doi: 10.1016/j.dhjo.2022.101340