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#### Abstract

Toric metrics on a line bundle of an abelian variety $A$ are the invariant metrics under the natural torus action coming from Raynaud's uniformization theory. We compute here the associated Monge-Ampère measures for the restriction to any closed subvariety of $A$. This generalizes the computation of canonical measures done by the first author from canonical metrics to toric metrics and from discrete valuations to arbitrary non-archimedean fields.


Résumé. - (Mesures de Monge-Ampère pour les métriques toriques sur les variétés abéliennes) Les métriques toriques sur un fibré en droites sur une variété abélienne $A$ sont les métriques invariantes sous l'action naturelle du tore issue de la théorie de l'uniformisation de Raynaud. Nous calculons les mesures de Monge-Ampère associées pour les restrictions à toutes les sous-variétés fermées de $A$. Ceci généralise des travaux du premier auteur sur le calcul des mesures canoniques pour des valuations discrètes au cas des métriques toriques pour des corps non archimédiens arbitraires.

## 1. Introduction

Abelian varieties are projective geometrically integral group varieties over a field. They play a distinguished role in arithmetic geometry. Let $X$ be a closed subvariety of an abelian variety $A$ over a number field $K$. The group structure of $A$ makes it easier to understand the structure of the $K$-rational points of $X$. For example, Faltings [16] showed the Bombieri-Lang conjecture for such $X$. No finiteness statements are sensible for $\bar{K}$-rational points of $X$, instead we are looking for density statements for special points. The Manin-Mumford conjecture, proven by Raynaud [33], states that the set of torsion points of $X$ is dense if and only if $X$ is the translate of an abelian subvariety by a torsion point.
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The height of a $\bar{K}$-rational point of a projective variety measures the arithmetic complexity of its coordinates. In the case of an abelian variety $A$, there are canonical heights called NéronTate heights. A natural generalization of the Manin-Mumford conjecture is the Bogomolov conjecture which claims that if the closed subvariety $X$ of $A$ has dense small points, then $X$ is again a torsion translate of an abelian subvariety. This was shown by Ullmo [36] for a curve inside its Jacobian and by Zhang [39] in full generality. All the above statements have analogues in the case of a function field $K$ where one has to take into account that constant abelian varieties are also a source for points of height 0 . The function field variant of the Bogomolov conjecture is called the geometric Bogomolov conjecture which was harder to prove than the number field case. It was shown by Gao and Habegger [19] in the case of the function field of a curve and generalized by Cantat-Gao-Habegger-Xie [11] to arbitrary function fields, but both assuming that $K$ has characteristic 0 . In arbitrary characteristics, the geometric Bogomolov conjecture was shown by Xie and Yuan [37] using reduction steps by Yamaki and the Manin-Mumford conjecture over function fields by Hrushowski [28] and Pink-Roessler [31].
Ullmo's and Zhang's argument relies on an equidistribution theorem for small points due originally to Szpiro-Ullmo-Zhang [35], later generalized by Yuan [38]. This equidistribution strategy also works to some extent in the case of function fields as shown for totally degenerate abelian varieties in [21]. In constrast to the number field case, the equidistribution has then to be with respect to a non-archimedean place and takes place on the associated Berkovich space. The argument relies on a precise description of canonical measures of $X$, see below for more details. This description holds for all abelian varieties $A[23]$ and was the key in Yamaki's argument showing that it is enough to prove the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties $A$ with good reduction at all places of $K$. In the present paper, we will generalize the description of canonical measures of $X$.
For the remainder of the introduction, we consider an algebraically closed field $K$ endowed with a complete non-archimedean absolute value and non-trivial value group $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}$. For a projective variety $X$, we will perform analytic considerations on the associated Berkovich space $X^{\text {an }}$. The notion of continuous semipositive metrics of a line bundle $L$ over $X$ goes back to Zhang and is recalled in Section 2.5. For such a metric $\|\cdot\|$, Chambert-Loir [12] has introduced non-archimedean Monge-Ampère measures $c_{1}(L,\|\cdot\|)^{\wedge} \operatorname{dim}(X)$ which are positive Radon measures on $X^{\text {an }}$, see [12], [21] and Section 2.6.
Assume now that $X$ is a closed subvariety of an abelian variety $A$ over $K$ and let $d:=\operatorname{dim}(X)$. For a rigidified ample line bundle $L$ of $A$, there is a canonical metric $\|\cdot\|_{L}$ of $L$. Since $\|\cdot\|_{L}$ is a continuous semipositive metric, we get the canonical measure

$$
\mu_{L}:=c_{1}\left(\left.L\right|_{X},\|\cdot\|_{L}\right)^{\wedge d}
$$

on the Berkovich analytification $X^{\text {an }}$ of $X$. If $X, A$ and $L$ are defined over a discretely valued field, then it was shown in [23] that the support of $\mu_{L}$ has a piecewise linear structure with a polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{D}$ such that

$$
\mu_{L}=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{D}} r_{\sigma} \mu_{\sigma}
$$

where $r_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mu_{\sigma}$ is a Lebesgue measure on the polytope $\sigma$. Note that lower dimensional polytopes are also allowed. The goal of this paper is to generalize these results, removing the discreteness assumption about the field of definition and replacing canonical metrics by a Publications mathématiques de Besançon - 2023
more general class called toric metrics. As we will see, toric metrics on $L$ are the variations of canonical metrics by combinatorial means.
We continue with the above setup confirming that $K$ is any algebraically closed nonarchimedean field with non-trivial absolute value. The Raynaud extension for the abelian variety $A$ is a canonical exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow T^{\text {an }} \longrightarrow E^{\text {an }} \xrightarrow{q} B^{\text {an }} \longrightarrow 0
$$

of abelian analytic groups over $K$ which are all algebraic with $T$ a torus of rank $n$ and $B$ an abelian variety of good reduction. Raynaud's uniformization theory gives a canonical description $A^{\text {an }}=E^{\text {an }} / \Lambda$ where $E$ is a group scheme of finite type over $K$ and $\Lambda$ is a discrete subgroup of $E^{\text {an }}$ contained in $E(K)$. Note that the quotient map $p: E^{\text {an }} \rightarrow A^{\text {an }}$ is in general not algebraic. Moreover, there is a canonical tropicalization trop: $E^{\text {an }} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ mapping $\Lambda$ homeomorphically onto a lattice of $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $N$ is the cocharacter lattice of $T$. It induces a canonical tropicalization

$$
\overline{\text { trop }}: A^{\text {an }} \longrightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}} / \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)
$$

We say that a continuous metric $\|\cdot\|$ of the rigidified line bundle $L$ of $A$ is toric if there is a function $\phi: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $p^{*}\|\cdot\|=e^{-\phi \text { otrop }} p^{*}\|\cdot\|_{L}$.
There is a rigidified line bundle $H$ on $B$ such that we have an identification $p^{*}\left(L^{\text {an }}\right)=q^{*}\left(H^{\text {an }}\right)$ as $\Lambda$-linearized cubical line bundles on $E^{\text {an }}$. The metric $q^{*}\left(\|\cdot\|_{H}\right)$ does not descend to $L^{\text {an }}$ and the obstruction leads to a cocycle $\left(z_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)}$ encoding all tropical information about the line bundle $L$, see Section 4 for details.

Theorem 1.1. - There is a bijective correspondence between continuous toric metrics $\|\cdot\|$ on $L^{\text {an }}$ and continuous functions $f: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the cocycle rule

$$
f(\omega+\lambda)=f(\omega)+z_{\lambda}(\omega) \quad\left(\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}, \lambda \in \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)\right)
$$

The correspondence is determined by

$$
f \circ \operatorname{trop}=-\log \left(p^{*}\|\cdot\| / q^{*}\|\cdot\|_{H}\right)
$$

If $L$ is ample, then the function $f$ is convex if and only if the metric $\|\cdot\|$ is semipositive.
This will be shown in Proposition 4.9 and Theorem 4.10. To deduce semipositivity from convexity, we will use an approximation result by piecewise linear convex functions satisfying the cocycle rule which was done in [9]. The converse uses arguments from the theory of weakly smooth forms on Berkovich analytic spaces given in [25] and recalled in Appendix A.
Recall that $X$ is a closed $d$-dimensional subvariety of the abelian variety $A$. In Section 7, we show that for any ample line bundle $L$ on $A$, the support $S_{X}$ of the canonical measure $c_{1}\left(\left.L\right|_{X},\|\cdot\|_{L}\right)^{\wedge d}$ has a canonical piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear structure not depending on the choice of $L$. In fact, we will show that it is a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-skeleton in the sense of Ducros [14].

Theorem 1.2. - The canonical tropicalization map $\overline{\operatorname{trop}}: A^{\text {an }} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}} / \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$ restricts to a piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear map $S_{X} \rightarrow \overline{\operatorname{trop}}\left(X^{\mathrm{an}}\right)$ which is surjective and finite-to-one.

This result was shown in [21] in the special case of $X, L, A$ being defined over a discretely valued field and was crucial in Yamaki's reduction step mentioned above. We prove at the end of the paper that this holds for any algebraically closed non-archimedean field $K$.

The main result of this paper describes the non-archimedean Monge-Ampère measure of a continuous toric metric in terms of the classical real Monge-Ampère measure MA $(f)$ associated to a convex function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, see Section 2.3.

Theorem 1.3. - There is a polytopal $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-decomposition $\Sigma$ of the canonical subset $S_{X}$ such that for any ample line bundle $L$ on $A$ with continuous toric metric $\|\cdot\|$ corresponding to the convex function $f$ as in Theorem 1.1, there is a multiplicity $m_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0$ associated to $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that

$$
c_{1}\left(\left.L\right|_{X},\|\cdot\|\right)^{\wedge d}(\Omega)=m_{\sigma} \cdot \operatorname{MA}(f)(\overline{\operatorname{trop}}(\Omega))
$$

for any Lebesgue measurable subset $\Omega$ of $\operatorname{relint}(\sigma)$. The multiplicity $m_{\sigma}$ depends only on $X$, $L$ and $\sigma$, but not on the toric metric $\|\cdot\|$.

In the special case of the canonical metric, we can say more:
Corollary 1.4. - The above polytopal decomposition $\Sigma$ has the property that for any ample line bundle $L$ of $A$, there is $r_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ associated to $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that

$$
c_{1}\left(\left.L\right|_{X},\|\cdot\|_{L}\right)^{\wedge d}=\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} r_{\sigma} \mu_{\sigma}
$$

where $\mu_{\sigma}$ is a fixed choice of a Lebesgue measure on the polytope $\sigma \in \Sigma$.
We begin proving Theorem 1.3 by showing a variant (given in Theorem 6.2) for the pullback to a strictly polystable alteration of $X$ where the support is contained in the union of the canonical faces of the skeleton which are non-degenerate with respect to the alteration. The existence of such a strictly polystable alteration follows from a result of Adiprasito, Liu, Pak and Temkin [1]. In Theorem 7.8, we will see that the induced morphism from the union of these non-degenerate faces to $S_{X}$ is a piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear surjective map which is finite-to-one. Then Theorem 1.3 follows from the projection formula (36).
The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 fixes the notation and gives the preliminaries on convex geometry, non-archimedean geometry, formal models and semipositive metrics, and real and non-archimedean Monge-Ampère measures. In Section 3, we deal with piecewise linear convex approximations of convex functions in a purely combinatorial setting. The main result is Proposition 3.8 where we show that such an approximation is possible by preserving a cocycle rule. The approximations can be chosen such that the underlying domains of linearity are transversal to a given fixed set of polytopes. This will be crucial later. In Section 4, we first recall Raynaud's uniformization theory. Then we introduce toric metrics and prove Theorem 1.1. Finally, we recap the theory of formal Mumford models of an abelian variety $A$ over $K$. Mumford models have the advantage that they can be described in combinatorial terms on $\overline{\operatorname{trop}}\left(A^{\text {an }}\right)=N_{\mathbb{R}} / \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$.
In Section 5, we first recall strictly polystable alterations for a closed subvariety $X$ of $A$, the piecewise linear structure of the skeleton $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ of the underlying strictly polystable formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ over $K^{\circ}$ and that any polytopal decomposition of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ leads to a formal model $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$ of the generic fiber of $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ which dominates $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$. Then we relate this construction to the formal Mumford models of $A$ and give a combinatorial formula for the degree of an irreducible component of the special fiber of $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$ under a transversality assumption. All the material from Section 5 is a direct generalization of [23, Section 5] from the strictly semistable to the strictly polystable case. In Section 6, we prove the variant of Theorem 1.3 on the strictly polystable alteration. We use the piecewise linear approximation from Proposition 3.8 to reduce to the Publications mathématiques de Besançon - 2023
piecewise linear case and then the claim is a direct consequence of the combinatorial degree formula from Section 5. Finally, in Section 7, we prove the claims about the canonical subset.
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## 2. Notation and preliminaries

2.1. Basic conventions. - The set of natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ includes 0 . A lattice in a finite dimensional real vector space is a discrete subgroup which generates the vector space. For an abelian group $M$ and a subgroup $G$ of $\mathbb{R}$, we set $M_{G}:=M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G$. By a compact space, we mean a quasi-compact Hausdorff space.
A ring is always assumed to be commutative and with 1 . The group of invertible elements in a ring $A$ is denoted by $A^{\times}$. A variety over a field $F$ is an integral scheme which is of finite type and separated over Spec $F$.
By Bourbaki's approach to measure theory, a positive Radon measure on a locally compact Hausdorff space $X$ can be seen as a positive linear functional on the space of compactly supported continuous real functions $C_{c}(X)$ of $X$. By the Riesz represention theorem, such a function is given by $f \rightarrow \int_{X} f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)$ for a unique regular Borel measure $\mu$ on $X$. A sequence of Radon measures $\mu_{k}$ is called weakly convergent to a Radon measure $\mu$ on $X$ if

$$
\lim _{k} \int_{X} f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu_{k}(x)=\int_{X} f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)
$$

for all $f \in C_{c}(X)$.
2.2. Convex geometry. - Let $N$ be a free abelian group of rank $n$ and $M=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ its dual. A function $f: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called affine if $f=u+c$ for some $u \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $u$ is called the slope of $f$. For a subring $A$ of $\mathbb{R}$ and a $A$-submodule $\Gamma$ of $\mathbb{R}$, we say that $f$ is $(A, \Gamma)$-affine if $u \in M_{A}$ and $c \in \Gamma$.
A finite intersection of half-spaces $\{f \leq 0\}$ for affine functions $f$ on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called a polyhedron in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is called a $(A, \Gamma)$-polyhedron if the affine functions $f$ can be chosen $(A, \Gamma)$-affine. A polytope is a bounded polyhedron. The relative interior of a polyhedron $\sigma$ is denoted by relint $(\sigma)$. For a polyhedron $\sigma$, a face is the intersection of $\sigma$ with the boundary of a half-space containing $\sigma$. By convention, we allow $\sigma$ and $\emptyset$ also as faces of $\sigma$. The notation $\tau \prec \sigma$ means that $\tau$ is a face of $\sigma$. A polyhedral complex in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a locally finite set $\mathscr{C}$ of polyhedra in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that for $\sigma \in \mathscr{C}$, the faces of $\sigma$ are in $\mathscr{C}$ and for $\sigma, \rho \in \mathscr{C}$ we have that $\sigma \cap \rho$ is a common face of $\sigma$ and $\rho$. The support of $\mathscr{C}$ is defined by $|\mathscr{C}|:=\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathscr{C}} \sigma$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\mathscr{C}_{k}:=\{\sigma \in \mathscr{C} \mid \operatorname{dim}(\sigma)=k\}$. A function $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a closed subset $C$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called piecewise linear if there is a polyhedral complex $\mathscr{C}$ with support $C$ such that $\left.f\right|_{\sigma}$ is affine for all $\sigma \in \mathscr{C}$. If we can choose $\mathscr{C}$ as a $(A, \Gamma)$-polyhedral complex (i.e. a polyhedral complex consisting of $(A, \Gamma)$-polyhedra) such that all $\left.f\right|_{\sigma}$ are $(A, \Gamma)$-affine functions, then we call $f$ piecewise $(A, \Gamma)$-linear.
More generally, a piecewise $(A, \Gamma)$-linear space is a locally compact Hausdorff space $X$ with a compact atlas $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ by charts to $(A, \Gamma)$-polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^{n_{i}}$ such that the transition functions
are (piecewise) $(A, \Gamma)$-affine and such that every point has a neighbourhood in $X$ given by a finite union of $X_{i}$ 's. All the above notions are transferred to $X$ by using the polytopal charts. We refer to [14, Section 0] for more details.
2.3. Real Monge-Ampère measures. - Let $N$ be a free abelian group of rank $n$ with dual $M$ and let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on an open convex subset $\Omega$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then a classical construction from real analysis gives the Monge-Ampère measure MA $(f)$ which is a positive Radon measure on $\Omega$. Let $\lambda_{N}$ be the Haar measure on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ normalized by requiring that the covolume of the lattice $N$ is one. For $f \in C^{2}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{MA}(f)=n!\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\partial_{i j} f\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}\right) \lambda_{N}, \quad \partial_{i j} f=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{j}}
$$

where $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ is a basis for $M$ viewed as coordinates on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. For any convex function $f$, the construction of the Monge-Ampère measure $\mathrm{MA}(f)$ is local with respect to the open convex set $\Omega$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and continuous with respect to uniform convergence of convex functions and weak convergence of Radon measures.
What we need is that for a conic piecewise linear function $f$ on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ centered at $x \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ (i.e. $f(r(\omega-x)+x)-f(x)=r(f(\omega)-f(x))$ for all $\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $r>0$ ), the measure $\mathrm{MA}(f)$ is the Dirac measure at $x$ with total mass equal to the volume of the dual polytope $\{x\}^{f}$ with respect to the Haar measure $\lambda_{M}$ on $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ normalized such that the lattice $M$ has covolume 1. Here, the dual polytope of $f$ is defined by $\{x\}^{f}=\left\{u \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid\right.$ $f(\omega)-f(x) \geq\langle\omega-x, u\rangle$ for all $\left.\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}\right\}$. We refer to [10, Section 2.7] for details (replacing convex functions by concave functions).
2.4. Non-archimedean geometry. - A non-archimedean field is a field $K$ complete with respect to a given ultrametric absolute value $|\cdot|: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The valuation is $v:=-\log |\cdot|$ and $\Gamma:=v\left(K^{\times}\right)$is the value group. The valuation ring is denoted by $K^{\circ}:=\{\alpha \in K \mid v(\alpha) \geq 0\}$ with maximal ideal $K^{\circ \circ}:=\{\alpha \in K \mid v(\alpha)>0\}$ and residue field $\widetilde{K}:=K^{\circ} / K^{\circ \circ}$.
We consider good non-archimedean analytic spaces as introduced by Berkovich in [2]. They are characterized by the fact that every point has an affinoid neighbourhood. We are occupied with strictly analytic spaces where we can use a closed analytic subspace of a unit ball for this affinoid analytic neighbourhood. We assume that the reader is familiar with the notions from [2]. We apply this to the analytification $X^{\text {an }}$ of a variety $X$ over $K$.
For a point $x$ in a strictly analytic Berkovich space $X$, we have the completed residue field $\mathscr{H}(x)$. We call $x$ an Abhyankar point if the transcendence degree of the graded residue field of $\mathscr{H}(x)$ over $K$ is equal to the local dimension of $x$ at $X$ (in general, we have " $\leq$ " which is Abhyankar's inequality). In classical terms, this graded transcendence degree is $r+d$, where $r$ is the transcendence degree of the usual reductions $(\mathscr{H}(x))^{\sim}$ over $\widetilde{K}$ and $d$ is the dimension of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\left(\left|\mathscr{H}(x)^{\times}\right| \otimes \mathbb{Q}\right) /\left(\left|K^{\times}\right| \otimes \mathbb{Q}\right)$ build from the multiplicative value groups. The important point is that for a point $y$ in a closed analytic subspace $Y$ of $X$, the completed residue field $\mathscr{H}(y)$ is the same for $Y$ as for $X$ and hence if the local dimension of $Y$ at $y$ is strictly smaller than the dimension of $X$ at $y$, it follows that $y$ is not an Abhyankar point of $X$. We refer to [15, Section 1.4] for details.
2.5. Formal models and semipositive metrics. - We consider a non-trivially valued algebraically closed non-archimedean field $K$. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be an admissible formal scheme over $K^{\circ}$ which means that $\mathfrak{X}$ is a flat formal scheme over $K^{\circ}$ locally of topologically finite type such Publications mathématiques de Besançon - 2023
that $\mathfrak{X}$ has a locally finite atlas by formal affine schemes over $K^{\circ}$. The generic fiber of $\mathfrak{X}$ is a paracompact strictly analytic Berkovich space over $K$ which we will denote by $\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}$. This generic fiber is not necessarily a good analytic space, but we will use it later only in the good case as in the algebraic situation below. The special fiber $\mathfrak{X}_{s}$ is a scheme locally of finite type over $K^{\circ}$ and we have a reduction map red: $\mathfrak{X}_{\eta} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{s}$. For details, we refer to [3, Section 1.6] and [6].
Let $X$ be a paracompact strictly analytic space over $K$. A formal $K^{\circ}$-model of $X$ is an admissible formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ over $K^{\circ}$ with an identification $\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}=X$. Let $L$ be a line bundle on $X$. Then a formal model $\mathfrak{L}$ of $L$ is a line bundle $\mathfrak{L}$ on a formal $K^{\circ}$-model $\mathfrak{X}$ of $X$ such that $\left.\mathfrak{L}\right|_{\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}}=L$ along the identification $\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}=X$. We call $\mathfrak{L}$ nef if the line bundle $\mathfrak{L} \mid \mathfrak{X}_{s}$ is nef on the special fiber $\mathfrak{X}_{s}$. The latter means by definition that for every closed curve $Y$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}$, which is proper over the residue field $\widetilde{K}$, we have $\operatorname{deg}_{\mathfrak{L}}(Y) \geq 0$.
A formal model $\mathfrak{L}$ of $L$ induces a continuous metric $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{L}}$ on $L$, see [27, Definition 2.5]. A metric $\|\cdot\|$ on $L$ is called a model metric if there is a non-zero $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\cdot\|^{\otimes k}$ is induced by a formal model $\mathfrak{L}$ of $L^{\otimes k}$. We call a model metric nef if $\mathfrak{L}$ can be chosen as a nef line bundle. A continuous metric on $L$ is called semipositive if it is a uniform limit of nef model metrics on $L$.
In this paper, we work mainly in the algebraic setting where $X=Y^{\text {an }}$ for a proper algebraic variety $Y$ over $K$. Then one can replace formal $K^{\circ}$-models by proper algebraic models of $Y$ over $K^{\circ}$ (see [27, Section 2]), but working with formal models allows additional flexibility and is more convenient.
2.6. Non-archimedean Monge-Ampère measures. - Let $X$ be a proper algebraic variety over $K$ and let $L$ be a line bundle over $X$. A construction originated by ChambertLoir [12] associates to a model metric $\|\cdot\|$ of $L$ a discrete measure $c_{1}(L,\|\cdot\|)^{\wedge n}$ on $X^{\text {an }}$. This can be used to define the Monge-Ampère measure $c_{1}(L,\|\cdot\|)^{\wedge n}$ for any continuous semipositive metric $\|\cdot\|$ of $L^{\text {an }}$ by using that for a uniform limit of nef model metrics $\|\cdot\|_{k}$ on $L^{\text {an }}$ the corresponding sequence of measures $c_{1}\left(L,\|\cdot\|_{k}\right)^{\wedge n}$ converges weakly in the sense of positive Radon measures, see [21, Section 2].
We briefly recall the construction of the Monge-Ampère measure for $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{L}}$. Since $K$ is algebraically closed, the formal models of $X^{\text {an }}$ with reduced special fiber are cofinal in the category of all formal models of $X^{\text {an }}$ with respect to morphisms extending the identity of $X^{\text {an }}[27$, Proof of Proposition 3.5]. This form of the reduced fiber theorem and the projection formula allow us to assume that $\mathfrak{L}$ is a line bundle on a formal $K^{\circ}$-model with $\mathfrak{X}_{s}$ reduced. Then for every irreducible component $Y$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}$, there is a unique point $\xi_{Y} \in X^{\text {an }}$ such that red $\left(\xi_{Y}\right)$ is the generic point of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}$. Such points are called Shilov points for $\mathfrak{X}_{s}$. We set

$$
c_{1}\left(L,\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{L}}\right)^{\wedge n}:=\sum_{Y} \operatorname{deg}_{\mathfrak{L}}(Y) \cdot \delta_{\xi_{Y}}
$$

where $Y$ ranges over all irreducible components of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}$ and where $\delta_{\xi_{Y}}$ is the Dirac measure in the Shilov point $\xi_{Y}$.

## 3. Piecewise linear approximation

In this section, we prove that convex functions can be approximated by suitable generic piecewise linear functions in a setup later used for tropicalizations of abelian varieties.

The setup is as follows: Let $\Gamma$ be a non-trivial divisible subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. In the applications, it will be the value group of a non-trivially valued algebraically closed non-archimedean field $K$. We consider a free abelian group $N$ of rank $n$ and a lattice $\Lambda$ in the base change $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $N$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Later, these data will come naturally from the canonical tropicalization of an abelian variety over $K$. The dual group of $N$ is denoted by $M:=\operatorname{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$. For $m \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$, we set $\langle m, \omega\rangle:=m(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$.
We also fix a set $\Sigma$ of polytopes in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We assume that for $\Delta \in \Sigma$, all faces of $\Delta$ are also included in $\Sigma$. In the following, we will consider a locally finite polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ (or more generally of a piecewise linear space) which means a polyhedral complex $\mathscr{C}$ consisting of polytopes such that the support of $\mathscr{C}$ is the whole ambient space $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. For a polytope $\sigma$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, we will use the linear subspace $\mathbb{L}_{\sigma}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by $\{\omega-\nu \mid \omega, \nu \in \sigma\}$ and the affine subspace $\mathbb{A}_{\sigma}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by $\sigma$.

Definition 3.1. - A locally finite polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called $\Sigma$-transversal if for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and all $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}$ with $\sigma \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}(\sigma \cap \Delta)=\operatorname{dim}(\Delta)+\operatorname{dim}(\sigma)-n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.2. - Let $D(\sigma, \Delta):=\operatorname{dim}(\Delta)+\operatorname{dim}(\sigma)-n$. Recall from linear algebra the dimension formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{L}_{\sigma} \cap \mathbb{L}_{\Delta}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(\Delta)+\operatorname{dim}(\sigma)-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{L}_{\sigma}+\mathbb{L}_{\Delta}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the underlying linear spaces. Transversal intersection of $\mathbb{L}_{\sigma}$ and $\mathbb{L}_{\Delta}$ usually means that $\mathbb{L}_{\sigma}+\mathbb{L}_{\Delta}=N_{\mathbb{R}}$ which is equivalent to $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{L}_{\sigma} \cap \mathbb{L}_{\Delta}\right)=D(\sigma, \Delta)$.
Lemma 3.3. - A locally finite polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $\Sigma$-transversal if it satisfies the following two conditions for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}$ with underlying affine spaces $\mathbb{A}_{\sigma}, \mathbb{A}_{\Delta}$ :
(i) If $D(\sigma, \Delta) \geq 0$, then $\mathbb{L}_{\sigma}+\mathbb{L}_{\Delta}=N_{\mathbb{R}}$.
(ii) If $D(\sigma, \Delta)<0$, then $\mathbb{A}_{\sigma} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\Delta}=\emptyset$.

Proof. - The argument follows [22, Proposition 8.2]. Assume that $\sigma \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$. Then by (i) and (ii), we have $\mathbb{L}_{\sigma}+\mathbb{L}_{\Delta}=N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Using $\sigma \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ and Remark 3.2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\sigma} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\Delta}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{L}_{\sigma} \cap \mathbb{L}_{\Delta}\right)=D(\sigma, \Delta) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If relint $(\sigma) \cap \operatorname{relint}(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$, then we have $\operatorname{dim}(\Delta \cap \sigma)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\sigma} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\Delta}\right)$ and (1) follows from (3). It remains to see that $\operatorname{relint}(\sigma) \cap \operatorname{relint}(\Delta)=\emptyset$ cannot happen. We argue by contradiction. We may assume that $\Delta$ and $\sigma$ are minimal with relint $(\sigma) \cap \operatorname{relint}(\Delta)=\emptyset$. Using that the roles of $\sigma$ and $\Delta$ are symmetric, we may assume that there is a proper face $\sigma^{\prime}$ of $\sigma$ of codimension 1 with $\sigma^{\prime} \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$. Note that $\mathbb{A}_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ divides $\mathbb{A}_{\sigma}$ into two half-spaces, and precisely one contains $\sigma$. By minimality, we have $\operatorname{relint}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \cap \operatorname{relint}(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$. Using also relint $(\sigma) \cap \operatorname{relint}(\Delta)=\emptyset$, we deduce that $\mathbb{A}_{\sigma} \cap \operatorname{relint}(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{A}_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ and hence $\mathbb{A}_{\sigma} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\Delta}=\mathbb{A}_{\sigma^{\prime}} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\Delta}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{dim}(\sigma)$, we have $D\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \Delta\right)<D(\sigma, \Delta)$ which contradicts (3) applied to $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$.

Definition 3.4. - A locally finite polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called $\Lambda$-periodic if for all $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}$ and for all $\lambda \in \Lambda \backslash\{0\}$ the polytope $\Delta+\lambda$ is a face of $\mathscr{C}$ disjoint from $\Delta$.
These conditions ensure that we can see the image $\bar{\Delta}$ of $\Delta$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}} / \Lambda$ as a polytope in $N_{\mathbb{R}} / \Lambda$ and that the set of all $\bar{\Delta}$ is a polytopal decomposition $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}} / \Lambda$.
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3.5. - We fix now the following data for the remaining part of this section. We fix a positive definite inner product $b$ on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in N$ and for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In the applications, such a bilinear form $b$ will be induced by an ample line bundle on the abelian variety $A$.
We also consider a $\Lambda$-cocycle $\left(z_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, i.e. functions $z_{\lambda}: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\lambda+\nu}(\omega)=z_{\lambda}(\omega+\nu)+z_{\nu}(\omega) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\lambda, \nu \in \Lambda$ and all $\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We assume that the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\lambda}(\omega)=z_{\lambda}(0)+b(\lambda, \omega) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\lambda}(0) \in \Gamma \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Using (5) and (6), we see that

$$
z_{\lambda+\nu}(0)-z_{\lambda}(0)-z_{\nu}(0)=z_{\lambda}(\nu)-z_{\lambda}(0)=b(\lambda, \nu)
$$

It follows that $z_{\lambda}(0)$ is a quadratic function in $\lambda$ with associated symmetric bilinear form $b$.
It is in (7) that the fixed divisible subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\mathbb{R}$ shows up first.
Lemma 3.6. - The assumptions on the bilinear form $b$ and on the cocycle $\left(z_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in 3.5 yield $b(\lambda, y) \in \Gamma$ and $\langle m, \lambda\rangle \in \Gamma$ for all $y, \lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $m \in M$.

Proof. - Since $z_{\lambda}(0)$ is a quadratic function in $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with associated symmetric bilinear form $b$, we deduce that

$$
z_{\lambda}(0)+z_{-\lambda}(0)=b(\lambda, \lambda)
$$

and hence we deduce from (7) that $b(\lambda, \lambda) \in \Gamma$. Using that $\Gamma$ is divisible, this holds even for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$. For any $y \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and using again that $\Gamma$ is divisible, we have

$$
b(\lambda, y)=\frac{1}{2}(b(\lambda+y, \lambda+y)-b(\lambda, \lambda)-b(y, y)) \in \Gamma
$$

The non-degeneracy of $b$ and (4) show that

$$
\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow M_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad \lambda \longmapsto b(\lambda, \cdot)
$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces of dimension $n$. For $m \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we conclude that there is $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $\langle m, \cdot\rangle=b(\lambda, \cdot)$. For $y \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we get $\langle m, y\rangle=b(\lambda, y) \in \Gamma$.

Definition 3.7. - Using a fixed cocycle $\left(z_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ as above, we say that $f: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the cocycle rule if

$$
f(\omega+\lambda)=f(\omega)+z_{\lambda}(\omega)
$$

for all $\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
These functions may be seen as tropical theta-functions, see [17] and [30].
In the following, we will call a piecewise linear function $f: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ strictly convex with respect to a locally finite polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ if the function $f$ is convex and if for all $\sigma \in \mathscr{C}$ we have $\left.f\right|_{\sigma}=u_{\sigma}+c_{\sigma}$ with $u_{\sigma} \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $c_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the slopes $u_{\sigma}$ are different for different maximal faces $\sigma \in \mathscr{C}$. This is similar to the notion used in toric
geometry [18] and should not be confused with the notation of strictly convex functions in analysis which has a different meaning.
We can now state the main result of this section.
Proposition 3.8. - Let $\Sigma$ be a finite set of polytopes in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We assume that $\Sigma$ includes with a polytope also all its faces. Under the above assumptions, we consider a convex function $f: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the cocycle rule from Definition 3.7. Then $f$ is the uniform limit of functions $f_{k}$ satisfying the same cocycle rule such that every $f_{k}$ is a piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear strictly convex function with respect to a locally finite $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}_{k}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ which is $\Lambda$-periodic and $\Sigma$-transversal.

For the proof, we need a couple of lemmas first.
Lemma 3.9. - Let $f$ be a convex piecewise linear function satisfying the cocycle rule with respect to the given cocycle $z=\left(z_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ as above. Then the following properties hold:
(i) The maximal domains of linearity are the $n$-dimensional faces of a locally finite polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{D}(f)$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.
(ii) If $f$ is piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear, then $\mathscr{D}(f)$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal decomposition.
(iii) For $\Delta \in \mathscr{D}(f)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have $\Delta+\lambda \in \mathscr{D}(f)$.
(iv) For a maximal domain of linearity $\Delta$ of $f$ and a non-zero $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the open sets $\operatorname{relint}(\Delta)+\lambda$ and $\operatorname{relint}(\Delta)$ are disjoint.

This shows that $\mathscr{D}(f)$ is almost a $\Lambda$-periodic polytopal decomposition of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, but we can only ensure that $(\Delta+\lambda) \cap \Delta$ is contained in the relative boundary of $\Delta$.
Proof. - A convex piecewise linear function can be written as the maximum (supremum) of affine functions. This shows that the maximal domains of linearity are the $n$-dimensional faces of a locally finite polyhedral decomposition $\mathscr{D}(f)$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $f$ is piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear, then this also shows that every $\Delta \in \mathscr{D}(f)$ is $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-linear.
Let $\Delta$ be a maximal domain of linearity for $f$ and let $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then assumption (6) shows that $\Delta+\lambda$ is a (maximal) domain of linearity for $f$ proving (iii).
It remains to show that $\Delta$ is a polytope and that property (iv) holds for $\Delta$. Using the cocycle rule and that the coycles $z_{\lambda}$ grow quadratically in $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the polyhedron $\Delta$ is indeed bounded and hence is a polytope. Since $\Delta+\lambda$ is also a domain of linearity for $f$, it is clear for $\lambda \in \Lambda \backslash\{0\}$ that $\operatorname{relint}(\Delta)+\lambda$ is disjoint from $\operatorname{relint}(\Delta)$ as otherwise they would agree, hence $\Delta+\lambda=\Delta$ by maximality, and an inductive argument adding successively $\lambda$ would show that $\Delta$ is unbounded.

In the following, we fix a polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ assuming that the lattice $\Lambda$ acts on $\mathscr{C}$ by translation. Recall that the set of maximal faces of $\mathscr{C}$ is denoted by $\mathscr{C}_{n}:=\{\sigma \in \mathscr{C} \mid$ $\operatorname{dim}(\sigma)=n\}$. We choose a fixed set of representatives $\mathscr{N}$ for the $n$-dimensional faces of $\mathscr{C}$ with respect to this $\Lambda$-action.

Lemma 3.10. - A polytope $\Delta^{\prime}$ is in $\mathscr{C}_{n}$ if and only if it has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta+\lambda \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Delta \in \mathscr{N}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Moreover, $\Delta$ and $\lambda$ are uniquely determined by $\Delta^{\prime}$.
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Proof. - This follows from the definition of a system of representatives for a $\Lambda$-action.
Recall that $b$ is a positive definite inner product on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying the assumptions in 3.5.
Lemma 3.11. - Let $f: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a piecewise linear function with respect to $\mathscr{C}$, i.e. for $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}$, there is a slope $m_{\Delta} \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a constant term $c_{\Delta} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=m_{\Delta}+c_{\Delta} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\Delta$. Then $f$ satisfies the cocycle rule if and only if the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\Delta+\lambda}=m_{\Delta}+b(\lambda, \cdot) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the slopes and the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\Delta+\lambda}=c_{\Delta}-\left\langle m_{\Delta}, \lambda\right\rangle+z_{\lambda}(0)-b(\lambda, \lambda) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the constant terms hold for all $\Delta \in \mathscr{N}$ and for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In this case, $f$ is a piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear function if and only if $m_{\Delta} \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $c_{\Delta} \in \Gamma$ for all $\Delta \in \mathscr{N}$.

Proof. - Using that $\mathscr{C}$ is $\Lambda$-periodic and Lemma 3.10, it is clear that $f$ satisfies the cocycle rule if and only if for any $\Delta \in \mathscr{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle m_{\Delta+\lambda}, \omega\right\rangle+\left\langle m_{\Delta+\lambda}, \lambda\right\rangle+c_{\Delta+\lambda}=\left\langle m_{\Delta}, \omega\right\rangle+c_{\Delta}+z_{\lambda}(\omega) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Equivalently, using $z_{\lambda}(\omega)=z_{\lambda}(0)+b(\lambda, \omega)$ from (6), we have the equations (10) and (11). Finally, the last claim follows easily from (10) and (11) by using (4), (7) and Lemma 3.6.

Let $(m, c):=\left(m_{\Delta}, c_{\Delta}\right)_{\Delta \in \mathscr{N}} \in\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$. For $\Delta^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$, Lemma 3.10 shows that there are uniquely determined $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\Delta \in \mathscr{N}$ such that $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta+\lambda$. Inspired by Lemma 3.11, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\Delta^{\prime}}:=m_{\Delta+\lambda}:=m_{\Delta}+b(\lambda, \cdot) \quad \text { and } \quad c_{\Delta^{\prime}}:=c_{\Delta+\lambda}:=c_{\Delta}-\left\langle m_{\Delta}, \lambda\right\rangle+z_{\lambda}(0)-b(\lambda, \lambda) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{(m, c)}:=\sup \left\{m_{\Delta+\lambda}+c_{\Delta+\lambda} \mid \Delta \in \mathscr{N}, \lambda \in \Lambda\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.12. - For every $(m, c):=\left(m_{\Delta}, c_{\Delta}\right)_{\Delta \in \mathscr{N}} \in\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathcal{N}}$, the above procedure defines a convex piecewise linear $f_{(m, c)}$ satisfying the cocycle rule. If $(m, c) \in\left(M_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \Gamma\right)^{\mathfrak{N}}$, then $f_{(m, c)}$ is a piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear function.

Proof. - For $\omega$ in a bounded domain of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, the number $\left\langle m_{\Delta+\lambda}, \omega\right\rangle$ grows at most linearly in $\lambda \in \Lambda$, see (10). Since $z_{\lambda}(0)$ is a quadratic function in $\lambda$ with associated bilinear form $b$, we see that $2 z_{\lambda}(0)-b(\lambda, \lambda)$ is a linear function in $\lambda$ and hence (11) shows that the term $c_{\Delta+\lambda}$ decreases quadratically in $\lambda$. We conclude that on a bounded domain in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ only finitely many $\lambda \in \Lambda$ contribute to the supremum in (14) and hence $f_{(c, m)}$ is a piecewise linear function. By construction, the slopes and the constant terms of $f_{(c, m)}$ transform as in (10) and in (11), respectively. By Lemma 3.11, the function $f_{(c, m)}$ satisfies the cocycle rule. The last claim also follows from Lemma 3.11.

Remark 3.13. - For a bounded domain $\Omega$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and any constant $R \in \mathbb{R}$, the above argument shows that

$$
\left\langle m_{\Delta^{\prime}}, \omega\right\rangle+c_{\Delta^{\prime}} \leq f_{(m, c)}(\omega)-R
$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and for all but finitely many $\Delta^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$. Indeed, we have $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta+\lambda$ with $\Delta \in \mathscr{N}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ by Lemma 3.10. The argument in Lemma 3.12 shows that the slopes increase at most linearly in $\lambda$ while the constant terms decrease quadratically in $\lambda$. This proves the claim.

Let $\operatorname{PL}\left(N_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda, z\right)$ be the space of piecewise linear functions on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying the cocycle rule with respect to the given cocycle $z=\left(z_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. For $f, g \in \operatorname{PL}\left(N_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda, z\right)$, the cocycle rule yields that $f-g$ is $\Lambda$-periodic and hence their distance

$$
d(f, g):=\sup _{\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}}|f(\omega)-g(\omega)|
$$

is a well-defined real number defining a metric on $\operatorname{PL}\left(N_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda, z\right)$. On the finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$, we will use any norm.

Lemma 3.14. - With respect to the above metrics und using Lemma 3.12, we get a uniformly continuous map

$$
\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{PL}\left(N_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda, z\right), \quad(m, c) \longmapsto f_{(m, c)}
$$

Proof. - As all norms on a finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector space are equivalent, we may assume that the distance on $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$ is the max-norm induced by the same norm $\|\cdot\|$ on each factor $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and by the standard norm on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $(m, c)$ and $\left(m^{\prime}, c\right)$ be elements of $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathcal{N}}$ with distance $\delta$. By (13), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(m_{\Delta+\lambda}^{\prime}, c_{\Delta+\lambda}^{\prime}\right)-\left(m_{\Delta+\lambda}, c_{\Delta+\lambda}\right)\right\|=\left\|\left(m_{\Delta}^{\prime}, c_{\Delta}^{\prime}\right)-\left(m_{\Delta}, c_{\Delta}\right)\right\| \leq \delta \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\Delta \in \mathscr{N}$ and all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Using (14), this easily proves uniform continuity.
3.15. - Let $f$ be a convex piecewise linear function satisfying the cocycle rule with respect to the given cocycle $z=\left(z_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Using Lemma 3.9 , we see that $f$ is strictly convex with respect to the polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}:=\mathscr{D}(f)$. Let $\mathscr{N}$ be again a system of representatives for $\mathscr{C}_{n}$ with respect to the $\Lambda$-action. Since $f$ is a convex piecewise linear function, it is the maximum of the affine pieces obtained from $\mathscr{C}_{n}$ which shows that there is $(m, c) \in\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$ such that $f=f_{(m, c)}$ as defined in (14). We use a fixed norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. For $\delta>0$ and $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$, we define the $\delta$-center of $\Delta$ as

$$
C(\Delta, \delta):=\left\{\omega \in \Delta \mid\left\|\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right\| \geq \delta \text { for all } \omega^{\prime} \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \Delta\right\}
$$

It is clear that $C(\Delta, \delta)$ is a polytope contained in $\Delta$. Moreover, we define $B(\Delta, \delta)$ as the set of points in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ with distance $<\delta$ to $\Delta$.

The next results describes the change of $f=f_{(m, c)}$ and the underlying polytopal complex $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{D}(f)$ if we replace $(m, c)$ by sufficiently good approximations in $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$.

Lemma 3.16. - Let $f=f_{(m, c)}$ be a function and $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{D}(f)$ a polytopal decomposition as in 3.15. Then there is a $\delta>0$ and a neighbourhood $U$ of $(m, c)$ in $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$ such that the following properties hold for any $\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right) \in U$ and $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}:=\mathscr{D}\left(f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}\right)$ :
(i) If $f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}(\omega)=\left\langle m_{\Delta}^{\prime}, \omega\right\rangle+c_{\Delta}^{\prime}$ for some $\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$, then $\omega \in B(\Delta, \delta)$.
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(ii) The $\delta$-centers $C(\Delta, \delta)$ are $n$-dimensional polytopes for any $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$.
(iii) For any $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$, there is a unique $n$-dimensional face of $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ containing $C(\Delta, \delta)$.
(iv) Using the notation from (iii), we have $f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}=m_{\Delta}^{\prime}+c_{\Delta}^{\prime}$ on $\Delta^{\prime}$ and the map $\Delta \mapsto \Delta^{\prime}$ is a canonical bijection from $\mathscr{C}_{n}$ onto $\mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}$.
(v) If $\mathscr{C}$ is a $\Lambda$-periodic polytopal decomposition of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see Definition 3.4), then $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ is also a $\Lambda$-periodic polytopal decomposition of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. - Let us pick $\nu \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$. Strict convexity of $f$ and Remark 3.13 applied to $\Omega=\nu$ yield that there is $r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle m_{\nu}, \omega\right\rangle+c_{\nu}=f(\omega)>\left\langle m_{\Delta}, \omega\right\rangle+c_{\Delta}+2 r \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n} \backslash\{\nu\}$ and all $\omega \in \nu$ with distance $\geq \delta$ to $\Delta$. We may choose $r$ so small that the inequality holds for all $\nu \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$ simultaneously. Indeed, this is clear for the representatives $\nu$ in the finite set $\mathscr{N}$ and then by (10) and (11) for all $\Lambda$-translates. Using (16) and (15), we conclude for all $\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$ in a sufficiently small neighbourhood $U$ of $(m, c)$ in $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$ that for any $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle m_{\nu}^{\prime}, \omega\right\rangle+c_{\nu}^{\prime}=f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}(\omega)>\left\langle m_{\Delta}^{\prime}, \omega\right\rangle+c_{\Delta}^{\prime}+r \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n} \backslash\{\nu\}$ and all $\omega \in \nu$ with distance $\geq \delta$ to $\Delta$.
Now suppose that $\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is as in (i). This means that $\left\langle m_{\Delta}^{\prime}, \omega\right\rangle+c_{\Delta}^{\prime}$ is maximal among all $\left\langle m_{\nu}^{\prime}, \omega\right\rangle+c_{\nu}^{\prime}$ with $\nu$ ranging over $\mathscr{C}_{n}$. There is $\nu \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$ with $\omega \in \nu$. It follows from (17) that $\omega \in B(\Delta, \delta)$ proving (i).
For a fixed $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$, it is clear that the $\delta$-center $C(\Delta, \delta)$ is an $n$-dimensional polytope contained in $\Delta$ if we choose $\delta>0$ sufficiently small. Obviously, we can choose such a $\delta$ which works for the finitely many $\Delta \in \mathscr{N}$. Since $\mathscr{N}$ is a system of representatives for $\mathscr{C}_{n}$ with respect to the $\Lambda$-translation, this number $\delta$ works for all $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$ proving (ii).
We still consider $\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right) \in U$. For $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$, let $\Delta^{\prime}$ be the locus where $f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}=m_{\Delta}^{\prime}+c_{\Delta}^{\prime}$. It follows from (i) that $C(\Delta, \delta) \subset \Delta^{\prime}$. Since $C(\Delta, \delta)$ is an $n$-dimensional polytope, it is clear that $\Delta^{\prime}$ is an $n$-dimensional face of $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=\mathscr{D}\left(f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}\right)$ proving (iii) and the first claim in (iv). The definition of $f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}$ based on (14) shows that $f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}$ is given on any maximal domain of linearity by $f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}=m_{\Delta}^{\prime}+c_{\Delta}^{\prime}$ for some $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$. This proves surjectivity of the map in (iv) and injectivity follows from (17).
Now we assume that $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{D}(f)$ is a $\Lambda$-periodic polytopal decomposition of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. To prove (v), we may choose $\delta>0$ so small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\Delta, \delta) \cap(B(\Delta, \delta)+\lambda)=\emptyset \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all non-zero $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and all $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$. This is possible for a single $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$ by using $\Delta \cap(\Delta+\lambda)=\emptyset$ based on the definition of $\Lambda$-periodicity, then obviously also for the finite set of representatives $\mathscr{N}$ for $\mathscr{C}_{n}$ with respect to the $\Lambda$-translation and hence for all $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$ by the usual translation argument. Let $\Delta^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}$. By definition of $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=\mathscr{D}\left(f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}\right)$, there is a $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$ such that $f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}=m_{\Delta}^{\prime}+c_{\Delta}^{\prime}$ on $\Delta^{\prime}$. As seen in (i), we have $\Delta^{\prime} \subset B(\Delta, \delta)$ and hence (18) proves $\Delta^{\prime} \cap\left(\Delta^{\prime}+\lambda\right)=\emptyset$ showing (v).

Let $\Sigma$ be a finite set of polytopes in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. To deal with $\Sigma$-transversality, we look at the following conditions for a polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ assuming again that $\Lambda$ acts by translation on $\mathscr{C}$. We fix a system of representatives $\mathscr{N}$ for $\mathscr{C}_{n}$ with respect to this $\Lambda$-action.
3.17. - Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and let $\Delta_{0}, \ldots, \Delta_{p}$ be pairwise different polytopes in $\mathscr{N}$. We pick linearly independent $m_{i} \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ for $i$ in a finite set $I_{\sigma}$ and $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{A}_{\sigma}$ is given by the intersection of the affine hyperplanes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle m_{i}, \cdot\right\rangle=c_{i} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $i$ ranging over $I_{\sigma}$.
For $\left(m_{\Delta}, c_{\Delta}\right)_{\Delta \in \mathscr{N}}$, we impose the following two conditions:
(i) If $\#\left(I_{\sigma}\right)+p \leq n$, we require that the vectors

$$
\left(m_{\Delta_{j}}-m_{\Delta_{0}}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, p},\left(m_{i}\right)_{i \in I_{\sigma}}
$$

are linearly independent in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$.
(ii) If $\#\left(I_{\sigma}\right)+p=n+1$, we require that the system of $(n+1)$-inhomomogeneous equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle m_{\Delta_{j}}-m_{\Delta_{0}}, \omega\right\rangle & =c_{\Delta_{j}}-c_{\Delta_{0}} \quad(j=1, \ldots, p) \\
\left\langle m_{i}, \omega\right\rangle & =c_{i} \quad\left(i \in I_{\sigma}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

has no solution in the $n$-dimensional variable $\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$.
Lemma 3.18. - There is an algebraic hypersurface $H$ in $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$ such that for all $\left(m_{\Delta}, c_{\Delta}\right)_{\Delta \in \mathscr{N}}$ in the complement of $H$ the above conditions (i) and (ii) hold for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and all pairwise different $\Delta_{0}, \ldots, \Delta_{p} \in \mathscr{N}$ simultaneously.

Proof. - We pick $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and pairwise different polytopes $\Delta_{0}, \ldots, \Delta_{p} \in \mathscr{N}$. Using coordinates with respect to a basis in $M$, condition (i) is equivalent to the non-vanishing of at least one maximal subdeterminant of the matrix formed by the displayed vectors and hence becomes true on the complement of an algebraic hypersurface in $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{V}}}$. Similarly, condition (ii) is equivalent to the non-vanishing of the determinant of the extended $(n+1) \times(n+1)$-matrix of the system of inhomogeneous equations. We conclude that the set of $\left(m_{\Delta}, c_{\Delta}\right){ }_{\Delta \in \mathscr{N}}$ fulfilling both conditions is the complement of an algebraic hypersurface in $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathcal{N}}$.
Using that $\Sigma$ and $\mathscr{N}$ are finite sets, we conclude that there is an algebraic hypersurface $H$ in $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$ such that for all $\left(m_{\Delta}, c_{\Delta}\right)_{\Delta \in \mathscr{N}}$ in the complement of $H$ the conditions (i) and (ii) hold for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and all pairwise different $\Delta_{0}, \ldots, \Delta_{p} \in \mathscr{N}$ simultaneously.

Let $\Sigma$ be a finite set of polytopes in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $H$ be the hypersurface in $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$ from Lemma 3.18.

Lemma 3.19. - Let $f=f_{(m, c)}$ for a strictly convex piecewise linear function with respect to $\mathscr{C}$ satisfying the cocycle rule. Then there is an open neighbourhood $U$ of $(m, c) \in$ $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$ such that for any $\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right) \in U \backslash H$, the maximal domains of linearity form a polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{D}\left(f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}\right)$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ which is $\Sigma$-transversal.
Proof. - Let us choose $\delta>0$ and the open neighbourhood $U$ of $(m, c)$ as in Lemma 3.16. It is enough to show that for $\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right) \in U \backslash H$, the polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}:=\mathscr{D}\left(f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}\right)$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $\Sigma$-transversal. Since $\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right) \notin H$, the conditions (i) and (ii) from 3.17 are satisfied. Publications mathématiques de Besançon - 2023

We want to use the criterion from Lemma 3.3. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\Delta^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}^{\prime}$. For $\mathbb{A}_{\sigma}$, we use the description in (19) and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}(\sigma)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\sigma}\right)=n-\#\left(I_{\sigma}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{0}^{\prime} \cap \cdots \cap \Delta_{p}^{\prime}$ for some pairwise different $\Delta_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, \Delta_{p}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}$. By the bijective correspondence between $\mathscr{C}_{n}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}$ from Lemma 3.16, we know that for every $j=0, \ldots, p$, there is a unique $\Delta_{j} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$ such that the $\delta$-center $C\left(\Delta_{j}, \delta\right)$ of $\Delta_{j}$ contains $\Delta_{j}^{\prime}$. By definition, we have $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=\mathscr{D}\left(f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}\right)$ and hence Lemma 3.16-(iv) shows

$$
\Delta_{j}^{\prime}=\left\{\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \mid\left\langle m_{\Delta_{j}}^{\prime}, \omega\right\rangle+c_{\Delta_{j}}^{\prime}=f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}(\omega)\right\}
$$

We conclude that $\mathbb{A}_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ is the set of solutions of the $p$ inhomogeneous linear equations

$$
\left\langle m_{\Delta_{j}}^{\prime}-m_{\Delta_{0}}^{\prime}, \omega\right\rangle=c_{\Delta_{0}}^{\prime}-c_{\Delta_{p}}^{\prime} \quad(j=1, \ldots, p)
$$

in $\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Using Lemma 3.17-(i) and the underlying linear space $\mathbb{L}_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\Delta^{\prime}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{L}_{\Delta^{\prime}}\right)=n-p \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(\sigma, \Delta^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(\sigma)+\operatorname{dim}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)-n=n-p-\#\left(I_{\sigma}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume first $D\left(\sigma, \Delta^{\prime}\right) \geq 0$. Then Lemma 3.17-(i) yields that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{L}_{\sigma} \cap \mathbb{L}_{\Delta^{\prime}}\right)=n-p-\#\left(I_{\sigma}\right)
$$

It follows from Remark 3.2 that condition (i) in Lemma 3.3 is fulfilled.
Now we assume that $D\left(\sigma, \Delta^{\prime}\right)<0$. Recall that $\mathbb{A}_{\sigma} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ is the set of solutions of the $p+\#\left(I_{\sigma}\right)$ inhomogeneous linear equations in 3.17-(ii). Using (22), the number of equations is $>n$ and hence 3.17 -(ii) yields that $\mathbb{A}_{\sigma} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\emptyset$. It follows that condition (ii) in Lemma 3.3 is also fulfilled and hence this lemma proves $\Sigma$-transversality of $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.8. - By [9, Proposition 8.2.6], the function $f$ is a uniform limit of piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear functions satisfying the cocycle rule. So we may assume that the function $f$ is piecewise linear, but we do not require any rationality for $f$ at the moment. Let $\mathscr{C}:=\mathscr{D}(f)$ be the locally finite polytopal decomposition of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ given by the maximal domains of linearity for $f$ as in Lemma 3.9-(i). We have seen in Lemma 3.9-(iv) that $\mathscr{C}$ is almost $\Lambda$-periodic and so we replace $\mathscr{C}$ by the barycentric subdivision which is a locally finite $\Lambda$-periodic simplex decomposition of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ in the sense of Definition 3.4. We decrease the value of the piecewise linear function $f$ slightly in the barycenters of the faces. Using an inductive procedure starting with the barycenters of the $n$-dimensional faces and a small enough change, the resulting piecewise linear function is strictly convex with respect to this new subdivision $\mathscr{C}$. Of course, we have to take care during this procedure that this strictly convex $f$ still satisfies the cocycle rule which can be easily done using Lemma 3.11.
We have seen in Lemma 3.9-(iii) that $\Lambda$ acts on $\mathscr{C}$ by translation. We fix a system of representatives $\mathscr{N}$ of $\mathscr{C}_{n}$ with respect to this $\Lambda$-action. For $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}$, let $m_{\Delta} \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the slope of $\left.f\right|_{\Delta}$ and let $c_{\Delta} \in \mathbb{R}$ be the constant term. We set $(m, c):=\left(m_{\Delta}, c_{\Delta}\right)_{\Delta \in \mathscr{N}} \in\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$. Using that $f$ is a convex piecewise linear function, it is clear from 3.11 that

$$
f=f_{(m, c)}=\sup \left\{m_{\Delta+\lambda}+c_{\Delta+\lambda} \mid \Delta \in \mathscr{N}, \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}
$$

We fix $\varepsilon$ with $0<\varepsilon<1$. Our goal is to define a function $f^{\prime}: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\left|f-f^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon$ and the desired properties. Our strategy is to define the approximation $f^{\prime}$ by picking an
approximation $\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$ of $(m, c)$ in $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \Gamma\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$ and then setting $f^{\prime}:=f_{\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}$. By Lemmas 3.9 and 3.12 , such an $f^{\prime}$ is a strictly convex piecewise linear function with respect to the polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}:=\mathscr{D}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, we have seen there that $f^{\prime}$ satisfies the cocycle rule with respect to the given cocycle $z$. In the following, we will deduce the desired properties for $f^{\prime}$ assuming that the approximations $\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$ of $(m, c)$ are sufficiently good. This will always mean that the imposed conditions hold in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of ( $m, c$ ) in the space of all approximations $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \Gamma\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$. More precisely, we choose $\delta>0$ and the open neighbourhood $U$ of $(m, c)$ in $\left(M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)^{\mathscr{N}}$ as in Lemma 3.16. In particular, Lemma 3.16-(v) shows that the polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=\mathscr{D}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ is $\Lambda$-periodic as $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{D}(f)$ was assumed to be $\Lambda$-periodic.
Choosing $U$ sufficently small, the continuity in Lemma 3.14 ensures that we have

$$
\left|f-f^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon
$$

for all $\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right) \in U$. We may assume that this $U$ works also for Lemma 3.19 and we denote the algebraic hypersurface from there again by $H$. We conclude from this result that $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=\mathscr{D}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ is $\Sigma$-transversal for any $\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right) \in U \backslash H$. Note that by dimensionality, the open set $U \backslash H$ is non-empty. By density of $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $M$ and by density of $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}$, there is $\left(m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right) \in\left(M_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \Gamma\right)^{\mathscr{N}} \cap U$. By Lemma 3.12 , the function $f^{\prime}$ is piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear, which in turn implies that $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ is a locally finite $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal decomposition using Lemma 3.9-(ii). Since $\left|f-f^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon$, this proves the proposition.

Remark 3.20. - We note that Proposition 3.8 also holds for an infinite set $\Sigma$ which includes with a polytope all its faces if there is a finite set of polytopes $\Sigma^{\prime}$ such that $\Sigma \subset \Sigma^{\prime}+\Lambda$. To see this we may assume that if $\Sigma^{\prime}$ contains a polytope $\sigma$, then it includes all the faces of $\sigma$. Now we can apply Proposition 3.8 to the finite set $\Sigma^{\prime}$ and finally we note that $\Sigma^{\prime}$-transversality of the locally finite polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}_{k}$ is equivalent to $\Sigma^{\prime}+\Lambda$ transversality using that $\mathscr{C}_{k}$ is $\Lambda$-translation invariant as well.
In $[22,8.1]$, the notion of $\Sigma$-generic polytopal decompositions has been defined and it was shown that such decompositions are $\Sigma$-transversal. It is proven in the second author's thesis [34] that we can more generally assume for the approximations $f_{k}$ in Proposition 3.8 that $\frac{1}{m} \mathscr{C}_{k}$ are $\Sigma$-generic polytopal decompositions for all non-zero $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This will not be used in this paper.

## 4. Toric metrics

Let $K$ be an algebraically closed non-archimedean field with additive value group $\Gamma$. We consider an abelian variety $A$ over $K$. Recall from [5, Theorem 9.5.4] that a rigidified line bundle $L$ on $A$ has a canonical metric $\|\cdot\|_{L}$. If $L$ is ample, then $\|\cdot\|_{L}$ is a continuous semipositive metric of $L^{\text {an }}[21,2.10]$.
4.1. - We first recall Raynaud's uniformization theory based on Raynaud's program announced in [32] and worked out by Bosch and Lütkebohmert [7], see also [2, Section 6.5] for the formulations in the language of Berkovich spaces. There is a unique compact subgroup $A_{0}$ of $A^{\text {an }}$, which is an analytic subdomain, and the generic fiber of a formal group scheme $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ over $K^{\circ}$, whose special fiber is a semiabelian variety. There is a unique formal affine torus Publications mathématiques de Besançon - 2023
$\mathbb{T}_{0}$ over $K^{\circ}$, which is a closed formal subgroup of $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$, and we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{0} \xrightarrow{q_{0}} \mathfrak{B} \longrightarrow 0
$$

of formal group schemes over $K^{\circ}$ where $\mathfrak{B}$ is a formal abelian scheme, i.e. $\mathfrak{B}$ has good reduction. Note that $\mathfrak{B}$ is the formal completion of an abelian scheme $\mathscr{B}$ over $K^{\circ}$ (see [7, Section 7] for the argument). Let $M$ be the character lattice of $\mathbb{T}_{0}$ and hence $\mathbb{T}_{0}=\operatorname{Spf}\left(K^{\circ}\{M\}\right)$. We denote by $T=\operatorname{Spec}(K[M])$ the associated torus over $K$, then pushout with respect to $\mathbb{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{an}} \rightarrow T^{\mathrm{an}}$ gives the Raynaud extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow T^{\mathrm{an}} \longrightarrow E^{\mathrm{an}} \xrightarrow{q} B^{\mathrm{an}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

which is an exact sequence of abelian analytic groups over $K$. Here, the analytification of the abelian variety $B$ is the generic fiber of $\mathfrak{B}$. The exact sequence is algebraic, but the canonical morphism $p: E^{\text {an }} \rightarrow A^{\text {an }}$ is only an analytic group morphism. The kernel $\Lambda$ of $q$ is a discrete subgroup of $E(K)$ and we write $A^{\text {an }}=E^{\text {an }} / \Lambda$ as an identification.
4.2. - The Raynaud uniformization $E^{\text {an }}$ of $A$ comes with a canonical tropicalization map. Using that $E^{\mathrm{an}}=\left(A_{0} \times T^{\mathrm{an}}\right) / \mathbb{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{an}}$ with respect to the embedding $\mathbb{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{an}} \rightarrow A_{0} \times T^{\text {an }}$ given by $t \rightarrow\left(t, t^{-1}\right)$, we see that the classical tropicalization map trop: $T^{\mathrm{an}} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ for the cocharacter lattice $N=\operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ extends to a continuous proper map trop: $E^{\text {an }} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is a basic fact that trop maps $\Lambda$ isomorphically onto a lattice in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. By passing to the quotient, we get

$$
\overline{\operatorname{trop}}: A^{\text {an }} \longrightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}} / \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)
$$

called the canonical tropicalization map of $A$. Note that the target $N_{\mathbb{R}} / \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$ is homeomorphic to the $n$-fold power of the unit circle $S^{1}$ and that trop might be seen as a canonical deformation retraction of $A^{\text {an }}$ onto its canonical skeleton [2, Section 6.5].
4.3. - A line bundle $F$ on $E^{\text {an }}$ descends to $A^{\text {an }}=E^{\text {an }} / \Lambda$ if and only if $F$ admits a $\Lambda$ linearization over the action of $\Lambda$ on $E^{\text {an }}$. Then we have $F=p^{*}\left(L^{\text {an }}\right)$ for the line bundle $L^{\text {an }}=F / \Lambda$ on $A^{\text {an }}$. Using rigidified line bundles, it is shown in [7, Proposition 6.5] that there is a line bundle $H$ on $B$, unique up to tensoring with a line bundle $E_{u}$ of $B$ induced from $E$ by pushout with the character $u \in M$, such that $q^{*}\left(H^{\text {an }}\right) \cong p^{*}\left(L^{\text {an }}\right)$ as $\Lambda$-linearized cubical line bundles. Using that as an identification and the canonical metrics on $L$ and $H$, we note that $p^{*}\|\cdot\|_{L} / q^{*}\|\cdot\|_{H}$ is a continuous function on $E^{\text {an }}$ which factors through the canonical tropicalization and hence there are functions $z_{\lambda}: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $\lambda \in \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\log \left(p^{*}\|\cdot\|_{L} / q^{*}\|\cdot\|_{H}\right)(\gamma \cdot x)=-\log \left(p^{*}\|\cdot\|_{L} / q^{*}\|\cdot\|_{H}\right)(x)+z_{\lambda}(\operatorname{trop}(x)) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E^{\text {an }}$ and $\gamma \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda=\operatorname{trop}(\gamma)$, see [23, 4.3]. These functions are trop( $\Lambda$ )-cocycles in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\lambda+\nu}(\omega)=z_{\lambda}(\omega+\nu)+z_{\nu}(\omega) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is a unique symmetric bilinear form $b$ on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\lambda}(\omega)=z_{\lambda}(0)+b(\lambda, \omega) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\lambda \in \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$ and $\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$. It follows that $z_{\lambda}$ is a quadratic function with associated bilinear form $b$. Using the polarization induced by $L$, we have seen

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(\lambda, \cdot) \in M=\operatorname{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

in [8, Remarks 7.1.2, 8.1.3]. Since $\|\cdot\|_{H}$ is a model metric, we deduce from (23)

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\lambda}(0) \in \Gamma \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The line bundle $L$ is ample if and only if $H$ is ample and $b$ is positive definite, see [7, Theorem 6.13]. We conclude that the assumptions in 3.5 are satisfied.
4.4. - Now we consider any line bundle $F$ on $E^{\text {an }}$ with $F=q^{*}\left(H^{\text {an }}\right)$ for a rigidified line bundle $H$ on $B$. Using the canonical metric $\|\cdot\|_{H}$ of $H$, there is a bijective correspondence between continuous metrics $\|\cdot\|$ on $F$ and continuous real functions on $E^{\text {an }}$ given by

$$
\|\cdot\| \longmapsto-\log \left(\|\cdot\| / q^{*}\|\cdot\|_{H}\right) .
$$

Definition 4.5. - A continuous metric $\|\cdot\|$ on $F$ is called toric if the corresponding function factors through the canonical tropicalization of $E$.

Remark 4.6. - Using 4.4, we get a bijective correspondence between continuous toric metrics $\|\cdot\|$ on $F$ and continuous functions $f: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
f \longmapsto\|\cdot\|_{f}:=e^{-f \circ \text { trop }} \cdot q^{*}\|\cdot\|_{H} .
$$

Remark 4.7. - As in 4.3, we assume that $F=q^{*}(H)=p^{*}\left(L^{\mathrm{an}}\right)$ leading to the cocycle $\left(z_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. For a continuous toric metric $\|\cdot\|_{f}$ on $F$, it is clear that $\|\cdot\|_{f}=p^{*}\|\cdot\|$ for a continuous metric $\|\cdot\|$ of $L$ if and only if $f$ satisfies the cocycle rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\omega+\lambda)=f(\omega)+z_{\lambda}(\omega) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\omega \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\lambda \in \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$.
In the following, we consider a rigidified line bundle $L$ on $A$ with canonical metric $\|\cdot\|_{L}$.
Definition 4.8. - We call a continuous metric $\|\cdot\|$ on $L^{\text {an }}$ toric if the function $-\log \left(\|\cdot\| /\|\cdot\|_{L}\right)$ is $A_{0}$-invariant.

Proposition 4.9. - Let $F=p^{*}\left(L^{\mathrm{an}}\right)=q^{*}\left(H^{\mathrm{an}}\right)$ with cocycle $\left(z_{\lambda}\right)$ as in 4.3. Then there is a bijective correspondence between continuous toric metrics $\|\cdot\|$ on $L^{\mathrm{an}}$ and continuous functions $f$ on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying the cocycle rule (28), where the function $f_{\|\cdot\|}$ associated to $\|\cdot\|$ is characterized by

$$
p^{*}\|\cdot\|=e^{-f_{\|} \cdot \| \text { otrop }} \cdot q^{*}\|\cdot\|_{H}
$$

Proof. - This follows from Remark 4.7.
Theorem 4.10. - Under the assumptions in Proposition 4.9, assume that $L$ is ample. Then the function $f_{\|\cdot\|}$ is convex if and only if the continuous metric $\|\cdot\|$ on $L^{\text {an }}$ is semipositive.

Proof. - We first assume that $f:=f_{\|\cdot\|}$ is convex. Then semipositivity of $\|\cdot\|$ is just a reformulation of [9, Proposition 8.3.1].
Conversely, assume that $\|\cdot\|$ is semipositive. Using currents and forms on Berkovich spaces introduced by Chambert-Loir and Ducros [13], it is shown in [25, Theorem 1.3] that the first Chern current $c_{1}(L,\|\cdot\|)$ is positive. This means that the current evaluated at the pullback of a compactly supported smooth positive Lagerberg form with respect to a smooth tropicalization map is non-negative. The canonical tropicalization map of $A$ is (locally) not necessarily a smooth tropicalization map [25, Section 17], but it is a harmonic tropicalization map, see [25, Proposition 16.2]. We show in the appendix that the above fact also holds Publications mathématiques de Besançon - 2023
for pull-backs with respect to harmonic tropicalization maps. We conclude that $d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}[f]$ is a positive current on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. By [29, Proposition 2.5], this is equivalent for $f$ to be convex.

Next, we will see that if $f_{\|\cdot\|}$ is a piecewise linear function, then $\|\cdot\|$ is a model metric given by an explicit construction due to Mumford.
4.11. - Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a locally finite $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal decomposition of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ for the cocharacter lattice $N$ from the Raynaud extension. Then there is an associated Mumford model $\mathscr{E}$ of $E$. This is a scheme locally of finite type over $K^{\circ}$ with generic fiber $E$ and reduced special fiber $\mathscr{E}_{s}$. In this context, it is often more convenient to work with formal $K^{\circ}$-models and we denote the formal completion of $\mathscr{E}$ along the special fiber by $\mathfrak{E}$. We refer to [23, Section 4] and [9, 8.2.2] for the construction and the following properties.

There is a bijective correspondence between the irreducible components $Y$ of $\mathscr{E}_{s}=\mathfrak{E}_{s}$ and the vertices $\omega$ of $\mathscr{C}$ given by the facts that the generic point of $Y$ has a unique preimage $\xi$ in $E^{\text {an }}$ with respect to the reduction map and that $\operatorname{trop}(\xi)$ is a vertex $\omega$ of $\mathscr{C}$.
Let $\phi: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a piecewise $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-linear function. Then $\phi$ determines a line bundle $\mathscr{O}_{\mathfrak{E}}(\phi)$ on $\mathfrak{E}$ which is a formal model of $\mathscr{O}_{E}$ determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\log \|1\|_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathfrak{E}}(\phi)}=\phi \circ \text { trop } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $E^{\text {an }}$ where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathfrak{E}}(\phi)}$ is the associated formal metric.
4.12. - Let $L$ be a line bundle on $A$ with $F=p^{*}\left(L^{\mathrm{an}}\right)=q^{*}\left(H^{\mathrm{an}}\right)$ and cocycle $\left(z_{\lambda}\right)$ as in 4.3. We assume that the locally finite $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}$ is $\operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$-periodic in the sense of 3.4. Then $\mathfrak{A}:=\mathfrak{E} / \Lambda$ is a formal $K^{\circ}$-model for $A$ called the formal Mumford model associated to $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$, where $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$ is the polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C} / \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$ of $\overline{\operatorname{trop}}\left(A^{\text {an }}\right)=$ $N_{\mathbb{R}} / \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$.
Recall from [7, Theorem 6.7] that $H$ has a model $\mathscr{H}$ on $\mathscr{B}$, unique up to isomorphism. We denote by $\mathfrak{H}$ the associated formal model on $\mathfrak{B}$. The morphism $q: E \rightarrow B$ extends uniquely to a morphism $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}$ which we also denote by $q$. For a $\operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$-periodic function $\phi: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{H}(f):=q^{*} \mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathfrak{E}}(\phi), \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(\lambda):=\phi(\lambda)+z_{\lambda}(0)$ for $\lambda \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Note that we have defined $z_{\lambda}(0)$ only for $\lambda \in \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$, but we have seen in 4.3 that $z_{\lambda}(0)$ is a quadratic function in $\lambda$ and hence extends uniquely to a quadratic function on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. The $\Lambda$-periodicity of $\phi$ is equivalent to the cocycle rule for $f$. This yields that $\mathfrak{L}(f):=\mathfrak{H}(f) / \Lambda$ is a line bundle on the formal Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{E} / \Lambda$ such that $\mathfrak{L}(f)$ is a formal model of $L$. By Proposition 4.8 , the formal metric $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{L}(f)}$ is the toric metric of $L$ associated to $f$.

The following result will be crucial for computing toric Monge-Ampère measures.
Proposition 4.13. - Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a semipositive toric metric on an ample line bundle $L$ of $A$ and let $\Sigma$ be a finite set of polytopes in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ including all its faces. Then $\|\cdot\|$ is the uniform limit of semipositive model metrics $\|\cdot\|_{k}$ with the following properties:
(i) For any $k$, there is a non-zero $m_{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\cdot\|^{\otimes m_{k}}$ is the formal metric associated to a formal model $\mathfrak{L}_{k}$ of $L^{\otimes m_{k}}$ on a formal Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}_{k}$ of $A$.
(ii) The Mumford models $\mathfrak{A}_{k}$ are associated to locally finite $\operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$-periodic $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal decompositions $\mathscr{C}_{k}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ which are $\Sigma$-transversal (see Definition 3.1).
(iii) For any $k$, there is a piecewise $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-linear strictly convex function $g_{k}$ with respect to $\mathscr{C}_{k}$ satisfying the cocycle rule such that $\mathfrak{L}_{k}=\mathfrak{L}\left(g_{k}\right)$ by the construction in 4.12.

Proof. - We have seen in 4.3 that there is an ample line bundle $H$ with $p^{*}\left(L^{\text {an }}\right)=q^{*}\left(H^{\text {an }}\right)$ for an ample line bundle $H$ on $B$ leading to the cocycle $\left(z_{\lambda}\right)$. By Proposition 4.8, the toric metric $\|\cdot\|$ corresponds to a continuous function $f: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the cocycle rule. By Theorem 4.10, the function $f$ is convex. We have seen in 4.3 that the cocycle $\left(z_{\lambda}\right)$ satisfies the assumptions required in 3.5 and hence we may apply Proposition 3.8. We conclude that $f$ is the uniform limit of piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear functions $f_{k}$ satisfying the cocycle rule. Moreover, we may assume that every $f_{k}$ is a strictly convex piecewise linear function with respect to a locally finite $\Lambda$-periodic $\Sigma$-transversal polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}_{k}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. By Proposition 4.8 and Theorem 4.10, the function $f_{k}$ corresponds to a semipositive toric metric $\|\cdot\|_{k}$ of $L$. Since $f_{k}$ satisfies the cocycle rule, we deduce from (25) and (26) that there is a non-zero $m_{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_{k}:=m_{k} f_{k}$ is piecewise $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-linear. By 4.12, we get that $\|\cdot\|_{k}^{\otimes m_{k}}$ is the formal metric induced by the line bundle $\mathfrak{L}\left(g_{k}\right)$ on the formal Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}_{k}$ associated to $\mathscr{C}_{k}$.

Remark 4.14. - Due to the analytic nature of the quotient, formal Mumford models $\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{E} / \Lambda$ of $A$ as in 4.12 are not necessarily algebraic. But in Proposition 4.13, we may also assume that every formal Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}_{k}$ is the formal completion of an algebraic model $\mathscr{A}_{k}$ of $A$ and that a positive tensor power of the model metric $\|\cdot\|_{k}$ is induced by an ample model on $\mathscr{A}_{k}$. Using [9, Remark 8.2.7], this follows from strict convexity of the $f_{k}$.

## 5. Strictly polystable alterations

In this section, we recall strictly polystable alterations and their refinements obtained from polytopal decompositions of the skeletons. Let $K$ be an algebraically closed non-archimedean field $K$ with non-trivial additive valuation $v$ and value group $\Gamma:=v\left(K^{\times}\right)$as a subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. We will study strictly polystable alterations for a closed subvariety $X$ of an abelian variety $A$ over a non-trivially valued algebraically closed non-archimedean field $K$ and we will relate it to Mumford models of $A$. At the end, we give a degree formula which is rather technical, but will be crucial for computing the Monge-Ampère measure of toric metrics in the next section. The material covered generalizes [23, Section 5] from strictly semistable to strictly polystable alterations; the arguments remain the same.
5.1. - Let $Y$ be any reduced scheme locally of finite type over a field. Then $Y$ has a canonical stratification. The strata of codimension 0 are the irreducible components of the normality locus of $Y$, the strata of codimension 1 are the irreducible components of the normality locus of the complement of the previous normality locus and so on, see [4, Section 2]. The strata are partially ordered by inclusion of their closures.
5.2. - We recall the notion of toric schemes. Let $T=\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{r}$ be a split torus over $K$ with toric coordinates $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ leading to the classical tropicalization map

$$
\operatorname{trop}:\left(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{r}\right)^{\text {an }} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r}, \quad p \longmapsto\left(v\left(p_{1}\right), \ldots, v\left(p_{r}\right)\right)
$$
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For a $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytope $\Delta$ of $\mathbb{R}^{r}$, there is an associated toric formal scheme $\mathfrak{U}_{\Delta}=\operatorname{Spf}\left(A_{\Delta}\right)$ over $K^{\circ}$ given by

$$
A_{\Delta}:=\left\{\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}} a_{m} x_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots x_{r}^{m_{r}} \mid \lim _{|m| \rightarrow \infty} v\left(a_{m}\right)+m \cdot \omega=\infty \text { for all } \omega \in \Delta\right\}
$$

where $m \cdot \omega$ is the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{r}$ and $|m|=m_{1}+\cdots+m_{r}$. More generally, for any $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{D}$ of $\Delta$, we get an associated toric formal scheme $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$ over $K^{\circ}$ with open subsets $\mathfrak{U}_{\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in \mathscr{D}$ by gluing. These are admissible formal schemes with generic fiber $\operatorname{trop}^{-1}(\Delta)$ and reduced special fiber. We refer to $[22$, Section 4] for more details and to [24] for an algebraic description of these toric schemes.
Note that $T^{\text {an }}$ has a canonical skeleton $\operatorname{Sk}(T)$ given by the weighted Gauss norms and a canonical retraction map $\tau_{T}: T^{\text {an }} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sk}(T)$ such that trop $\circ \tau_{T}=$ trop and such that the tropicalization map restricts to a homeomorphism from $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{U}_{\Delta}\right)$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{r}$, see [2, Section 6.3]. Then we define $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{U}_{\Delta}\right):=\operatorname{Sk}(T) \cap \operatorname{trop}^{-1}(\Delta)$.
5.3. - A non-degenerate strictly polystable formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ over $K^{\circ}$ is an admissible formal scheme with reduced special fiber defined as follows. The formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ is covered by open affine formal schemes $\mathfrak{U}^{\prime}$ with etale morphisms $\psi: \mathfrak{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}_{\Delta}$ to an affine toric formal scheme associated to a $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-standard polysimplex $\Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^{r}$. Here, the number $r$ might depend on $\mathfrak{U}^{\prime}$ and a standard polysimplex is the product of standard simplices $\Delta_{j}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{r_{j}}$ with $r=\sum r_{j}$ of the form $\Delta_{j}=\left\{\omega \in[0,1]^{r_{j}} \mid \omega_{1}+\cdots+\omega_{r_{j}} \leq \gamma_{j}\right\}$ for some $\gamma_{j} \in \Gamma_{\geq 0}$. If $\mathfrak{U}_{s}^{\prime}$ has a unique minimal stratum which maps to the minimal stratum of the special fiber of $\mathfrak{U}_{\Delta}$, then we call $\left(\mathfrak{U}^{\prime}, \psi\right)$ a building block of $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$. By shrinking the above covering, we deduce easily that every non-degenerate strictly polystable formal scheme is covered by building blocks. We refer to [4, Section 1]for more details.
5.4. - Berkovich has shown that for a strictly polystable formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ over $K^{\circ}$, there is a skeleton $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$, given as a closed subset of $\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime}$, and a canonical retraction map $\tau: \mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ which is a proper strong deformation retraction of $\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime}$, see [4, Theorem 5.2].
In fact, the skeleton is constructed from the building blocks $\psi: \mathfrak{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}_{\Delta}$ using $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathfrak{U}_{\eta}^{\prime}=$ $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{U}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{U}^{\prime}\right)=\psi^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{U}_{\Delta}\right)\right)$. Since $\psi$ restricts to a homeomorphism from $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{U}^{\prime}\right)$ onto $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{U}_{\Delta}\right)$ and the latter is mapped by trop homeomorphically onto the polysimplex $\Delta$, we can endow the skeleton $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ with a piecewise $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-linear structure coming with canonical faces $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{U}^{\prime}\right)$ related to the building blocks such that the canonical face $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{U}^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to the polysimplex $\Delta$ via trop $\circ \psi$. We refer to [4, Section 5] for details.
The canonical faces are in bijective correspondence to the strata of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{\prime}$. The canonical face $\Delta_{S}$ of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ corresponding to a stratum $S$ of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is determined by relint $\left(\Delta_{S}\right)=\tau\left(\operatorname{red}^{-1}(S)\right)$. This stratum-face correspondence is order reversing and hence the irreducible components of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{\prime}$ correspond to the vertices of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$.

In the following, we define a polytope in $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ as a (convex) polytope contained in a canonical face of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ identifying the latter with a polysimplex $\Delta$ as above.

Definition 5.5. - Let $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ be a strictly polystable formal scheme over $K^{\circ}$ with skeleton $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$. Then a polytopal subdivision of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is a finite set $\mathscr{D}$ of polytopes in $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ such that for every stratum $S$ the set $\mathscr{D}_{S}:=\left\{\Delta \in \mathscr{D} \mid \Delta \subset \Delta_{S}\right\}$ is a polytopal decomposition of $\Delta_{S}$.
5.6. - Let $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ be a strictly polystable formal scheme over $K^{\circ}$ with generic fiber $X^{\prime}$ and let $\mathscr{D}$ be a $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal subdivision of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ as above. Then there is an associated formal $K^{0}$-model $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$ of $X^{\prime}$ with reduced special fiber and with a morphism $\iota: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ extending the identity on $X^{\prime}$. Locally, over a building block $\mathfrak{U}^{\prime}$ with etale morphism $\psi: \mathfrak{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}_{\Delta}$, the preimage $\mathfrak{U}^{\prime \prime}$ of $\mathfrak{U}^{\prime}$ with respect to $\iota^{\prime}$ is given by the cartesian diagram

of formal schemes over $K^{\circ}$ and in general we obtain $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\iota^{\prime}$ by gluing. Here, we used the induced polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{D}_{S}$ of $\Delta=\Delta_{S}$ and the canonical morphism $\iota$ of the toric formal $K^{\circ}$-models from 5.2. We refer to [23, Section 5.6] for more details in the strictly semistable case and to [23, Remark 5.19] for the generalization to the polystable case.
5.7. - We will now describe the crucial properties of the above formal $K^{\circ}$-model $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$. We refer to [23, Proposition 5.7, Corollary 5.8] for the arguments which generalize to our polystable setting [23, Remark 5.19]. There is again a bijective order-reversing correspondence between the strata $R$ of $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$ and the faces $\sigma$ of $\mathscr{D}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\operatorname{red}\left(\tau^{-1}(\operatorname{relint}(\sigma))\right), \quad \operatorname{relint}(\sigma)=\operatorname{trop}\left(\operatorname{red}^{-1}(Y)\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y$ is any non-empty subset of $R$. We have $\operatorname{dim}(\sigma)=\operatorname{codim}\left(R, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and hence the irreducible components $Y$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{\prime \prime}$ are in bijective correspondence to the vertices $\xi$ of $\mathscr{D}$. The vertex corresponding to $Y$ is the unique point $\xi$ of $X^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{red}(\xi)$ being the generic point of $Y$.
Let $R$ be a stratum of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{\prime \prime}$ with corresponding face $\Delta \in \mathscr{D}$. Then relint $(\Delta)$ is contained in the relative interior of a unique canonical face $\Delta_{S}$ of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ corresponding to a stratum $S$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{\prime}$. Then $R$ is a fiber bundle over $S$ via $\iota^{\prime}$ with the fiber being a torus of rank $\operatorname{codim}\left(\Delta, \Delta_{S}\right)$ and hence $R$ is smooth. The closure of $R$ is the union of the strata corresponding to the faces $\sigma \in \mathscr{D}$ with $\Delta \subset \sigma$.

Definition 5.8. - Let $X$ be a proper variety over $K$ with formal $K^{\circ}$-model $\mathfrak{X}$ over $K^{\circ}$. Then a strictly polystable alteration is a generically finite proper morphism $X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ from a smooth variety $X^{\prime}$ over $K$ which extends to a morphism $\varphi: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ for a non-degenerate strictly polystable formal $K^{\circ}$-model $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ of $X^{\prime}$.

Remark 5.9. - It has been shown in [1, Theorem 5.2.19] that a strictly polystable alteration always exists, at least when $\mathfrak{X}$ is algebraic. By [27, Lemma 2.4], any formal $K^{\circ}$-model is dominated by the formal completion of an algebraic $K^{\circ}$-model.
5.10. - Now we fix the following setup. Let $X$ be a closed subvariety of the abelian variety $A$. We use the Raynaud extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow T^{\text {an }} \longrightarrow E^{\text {an }} \xrightarrow{q} B^{\text {an }} \longrightarrow 0
$$

and the notation from the previous section. We choose a formal Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ of $A$ over $K^{\circ}$ associated to a $\operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$-periodic ( $\left.\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma\right)$-polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}_{0}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and we denote by $\mathfrak{X}$ the closure of $X^{\text {an }}$ in $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ as in [20, Proposition 3.3]. It is called closure as it is similar Publications mathématiques de Besançon - 2023
to the construction of the schematic closure of $X$ in an algebraic model of $A$ over $K^{\circ}$. We assume that there is a strictly polystable alteration $\varphi_{0}: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$. We denote the generic fiber of $\varphi_{0}$ by $f: \mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\text {an }}$. Using Remarks 4.14 and 5.9 , for any closed subvariety $X$ of $A$ such a Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ with a strictly polystable alteration for $\mathfrak{X}$ exists.
5.11. - By [23, Proposition 5.11, Remark 5.19], there is a unique map

$$
\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}: \operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \overline{\operatorname{trop}}\left(A^{\text {an }}\right)=N_{\mathbb{R}} / \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)
$$

with $\bar{f}_{\text {aff }} \circ \tau=\overline{\operatorname{trop}} \circ f$. For every canonical face $\Delta^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$, there is a unique face $\bar{\Delta}$ of the polytopal decomposition $\overline{\mathscr{C}_{0}}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}} / \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$ such that $\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{relint}(\bar{\Delta})$. Moreover, the restriction of $\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}$ to $\Delta^{\prime}$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-affine map. We denote by $f_{\text {aff }}: \operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ a lift of $\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}$ which might be multi-valued and which is unique up to $\operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$-translation. Note that the restriction of $f_{\text {aff }}$ to a canonical face $\Delta^{\prime}$ is a single-valued affine function, unique up to trop $(\Lambda)$-translation.
5.12. - Using the uniformization $A^{\text {an }}=E^{\text {an }} / \Lambda$, there is a multi-valued continuous lift $F: \mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow E^{\text {an }}$ of $f$ which is unique up to $\Lambda$-translation. Then $q \circ F$ extends to a multi-valued continuous morphism $G: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ for the formal abelian scheme $\mathfrak{B}$ over $K^{\circ}$ associated to $B$. To omit multi-valued morphisms, we consider a stratum $S$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{\prime}$. One can show that the restriction of $G$ to $\bar{S}$ is a morphism which is canonical up to $q(\Lambda)$-translation. This is based on the fact that $\operatorname{red}_{\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}}^{-1}(\bar{S})$ is contractible as its skeleton $\Delta_{S}$ is contractible and hence the restriction of $f$ to $\operatorname{red}_{\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}}^{-1}(\bar{S})$ lifts to the universal cover $E^{\text {an }}$ of $A^{\text {an }}$. We refer to [23, Remarks 5.16 and 5.19] for details.
5.13. - Let $L$ be a rigidified line bundle on $A$. Then there is a rigidified line bundle $H$ on $B$ with $p^{*}\left(L^{\mathrm{an}}\right)=q^{*}\left(H^{\mathrm{an}}\right)$ and cocycle $\left(z_{\lambda}\right)$ as in 4.3. Let us consider a function $h: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is piecewise $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-linear with respect to the $\operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$-periodic $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$ be the associated formal Mumford model of $A$, let $\mathfrak{L}=\mathfrak{L}(h)$ be the line bundle on $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$ induced by $h$ and let $\mathfrak{H}$ be the model of $H$ on $\mathfrak{B}$, see 4.12 . Similarly as in $[23,5.17]$, we see that $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ has the $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal subdivision

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}=\left\{\Delta_{S} \cap \bar{f}_{\text {aff }}^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}) \mid S \text { stratum of } \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, \bar{\sigma} \in \overline{\mathscr{C}_{1}}\right\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $f: \mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\text {an }}$ extends to a morphism $\varphi_{1}: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{1}$. Here, we use the formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$ over $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ associated to the subdivision $\mathscr{D}$ by the construction in 5.6.
Our goal is to compute the degree of an irreducible component $Y$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{\prime \prime}$ with respect to (the pull-back of) $\mathfrak{L}$. By 5.7, Y corresponds to a vertex $\xi_{Y}$ of $\mathscr{D}$. Let $\bar{\sigma}$ be the unique face of $\overline{\mathscr{C}_{1}}$ such that $\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}\left(\xi_{Y}\right)$ is contained in $\operatorname{relint}(\bar{\sigma})$. Since $\xi_{Y}$ is a vertex of the polytopal subdivison given by (33), we conclude that $\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}$ is injective on $\Delta_{S}$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{\mathrm{aff}}\left(\xi_{Y}\right)=\bar{f}_{\mathrm{aff}}\left(\Delta_{S}\right) \cap \bar{\sigma} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Delta_{S}$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytope, the underlying linear space $\mathbb{L}_{\Delta_{S}}$ has a well-defined $\mathbb{Z}$-linear structure which we will use to compute Monge-Ampère measures in the following result.

Proposition 5.14. - Using the above notation, we assume that $h \circ f_{\text {aff }}$ is convex in $\xi_{Y}$ and we denote by $h_{Y}$ the induced conic convex function in $\xi_{Y}$ on the linear space $\mathbb{L}_{\Delta_{S}}$. If the intersection in (34) is transversal, which means $\operatorname{dim}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)=\operatorname{codim}\left(\sigma, N_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$, then

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{\mathfrak{L}}(Y)=\frac{d!}{e!} \cdot \operatorname{deg}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\bar{S}) \cdot \operatorname{MA}\left(h_{Y}\right)\left(\left\{\xi_{Y}\right\}\right)
$$

where $d:=\operatorname{dim}(X)$, e is the dimension of the stratum $S$ and the real Monge-Ampère measure on the right is computed with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear structure of $\mathbb{L}_{\Delta_{S}}$.

Proof. - Note that the Monge-Ampère measure $\operatorname{MA}\left(h_{Y}\right)$ is a discrete measure on $\Delta_{S}$ supported in the vertex $\xi_{Y}$ of $\mathscr{D}$, see 2.3 for a description. The strictly semistable case has been proven in [23, Proposition 5.18] and the arguments generalize to the case of strictly polystable alterations, see [23, Remark 5.19].

## 6. Monge-Ampère measures of toric metrics

In this section, we use the results from the previous sections to compute the Monge-Ampère measures of toric metrics on a closed $d$-dimensional subvariety $X$ of an abelian variety $A$ over an algebraically closed non-archimedean field $K$ with non-trivial value group $\Gamma$. To describe Monge-Ampère measures of toric metrics on $X^{\text {an }}$, we choose a formal Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ of $A$ and a strictly polystable alteration $\varphi_{0}: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ for the closure $\mathfrak{X}$ of $X^{\text {an }}$ in $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ as in 5.10. We will first compute the Monge-Ampère measures for the pull-back metrics on $\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime}$ and then we will use the projection formula with respect to the generic fiber $f: \mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\text {an }}$ of $\varphi_{0}$.
6.1. - Recall the uniformization $A^{\text {an }}=E^{\text {an }} / \Lambda$ from the Raynaud extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow T^{\mathrm{an}} \longrightarrow E^{\mathrm{an}} \xrightarrow{q} B^{\mathrm{an}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the formal abelian scheme over $K^{\circ}$ with generic fiber $B^{\text {an }}$. We fix an ample line bundle $L$ on $A$. We have seen in 4.3 that $L$ has an associated ample line bundle $H$ on $B$ and we denote by $\mathfrak{H}$ the associated formal model of $H$ on $\mathfrak{B}$.
By Proposition 4.6 and denoting the cocharacter lattice of $T$ by $N$, a continuous toric metric $\|\cdot\|$ on $L^{\text {an }}$ corresponds to a function $f_{\|\cdot\|}: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfying the cocycle rule. The metric $\|\cdot\|$ is semipositive if and only if $f_{\|\cdot\|}$ is a convex function, see Theorem 4.10.
For a canonical face $\Delta_{S}$ with associated stratum $S$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{\prime}$, there is an affine map $f_{\text {aff }}: \Delta_{S} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ which is canonical up to trop( $\Lambda$ )-translation and a morphism $G: \bar{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{s}$ which is canonical up to $q(\Lambda)$-translation, see 5.11 and 5.12 .

Theorem 6.2. - Using the above notation, a continuous semipositive toric metric $\|\cdot\|$ on the ample line bundle $L$ and an e-dimensional stratum $S$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
c_{1}\left(f^{*} L, f^{*}\|\cdot\|\right)^{\wedge d}(\Omega)=\frac{d!}{e!} \cdot \operatorname{deg}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\bar{S}) \cdot \operatorname{MA}\left(\left.f_{\|\cdot\|} \circ f_{\text {aff }}\right|_{\operatorname{relint}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)}\right)(\Omega)
$$

for any Lebesgue measurable subset $\Omega$ of $\operatorname{relint}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ where $\operatorname{deg}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\bar{S}):=\operatorname{deg}_{G^{*} \mathfrak{H}}(\bar{S})$.
In case of a discretely valued complete field $K$, a strictly semistable alteration $\varphi_{0}$ and the canonical metric for $L$, this result has been shown in [23, Theorem 6.7].

Proof. - Both sides of the claim are continuous with respect to uniform convergence of the semipositive metrics and weak convergence of Radon measures, hence by Proposition 4.13 we may assume that $\|\cdot\|$ is a semipositive model metric of $L$ determined on a formal Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$ associated to a locally finite trop $(\Lambda)$-periodic $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and that $h:=f_{\|\cdot\|}$ is a piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear strictly convex function with respect to $\mathscr{C}_{1}$. We may even assume for a given finite set $\Sigma$ of polytopes in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ that $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ is $\Sigma$-transversal. We use this for the set $\Sigma$ consisting of the polytope $f_{\text {aff }}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ and all its faces.
Publications mathématiques de Besançon - 2023

We have also seen that there is a non-zero $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m h$ is piecewise $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-linear. If we replace $(L,\|\cdot\|)$ by $\left(L^{\otimes m},\|\cdot\|^{\otimes m}\right)$, then both sides of the claim are multiplied by $m^{d}$ and hence we may assume that $h=f_{\|\cdot\|}$ is piecewise $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-linear. Then Proposition 4.13 shows that $\|\cdot\|$ is the model metric associated to the model $\mathfrak{L}:=\mathfrak{L}(h)$ of $L$ on $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$.
The polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ induces a formal $K^{\circ}$-model $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$ of $X$ over $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ given by the polytopal subdivision $\mathscr{D}$ of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ from (33) and a morphism $\varphi_{1}: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{1}$ as in 5.13. By construction, the metric $f^{*}\|\cdot\|$ is the formal metric associated to the model $\varphi_{1}^{*}(\mathfrak{L})$ of $f^{*}(L)$ on $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$. It follows from 5.13 that the Monge-Ampère measure $c_{1}\left(f^{*}(L), f^{*}\|\cdot\|\right)$ is supported in the vertices of $\mathscr{D}$, as the latter are the Shilov points $\xi_{Y}$ for the irreducible components $Y$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{\prime \prime}$. On the other hand, we note that $\left.h \circ f_{\mathrm{aff}}\right|_{\Delta_{S}}$ is a piecewise linear convex function with respect to $\mathscr{D}_{S}=\mathscr{D} \cap \Delta_{S}$ and hence the Monge-Ampère measure MA $\left(\left.g \circ f_{\text {aff }}\right|_{\text {relint }\left(\Delta_{S}\right)}\right)$ is a discrete measure supported in those vertices of $\mathscr{D}$ which are contained in relint $\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$, see 2.3. It remains to check the claim for $\Omega$ consisting of a single vertex $\xi_{Y}$ of $\mathscr{D}$. Then we may replace $h \circ f_{\text {aff }}$ on the right hand side by $h_{Y}$ for the conic piecewise linear convex function $h_{Y}$ in $\xi_{Y}$ induced by $h \circ f_{\text {aff }}$ and the claim follows from Proposition 5.14. Note that the transversality assumption there holds as $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ is $\Sigma$-transversal.

In the setting of Theorem 6.2, we call the canonical face $\Delta_{S}$ of the skeleton $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ nondegenerate with respect to $f$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\Delta_{S}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dim}(G(S))=\operatorname{dim}(S) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, the second condition in (35) does not depend on the choice of $G$. We define $\mathrm{Sk}_{\mathrm{nd}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ as the union of all non-degenerate canonical faces with respect to $f$.

Proposition 6.3. - Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a continuous semipositive toric metric on the ample line bundle $L$ of $A$. Using the above notation, the support of the Monge-Ampère measure $c_{1}\left(f^{*} L, f^{*}\|\cdot\|\right)^{\wedge d}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Sk}_{\mathrm{nd}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$. This applies in particular to the canonical metric $\|\cdot\|_{L}$ of the ample line bundle $L$ and then the above support agrees with $\mathrm{Sk}_{\mathrm{nd}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. - It follows from the proof above and especially from the degree formula in Proposition 5.14 that the support of the Monge-Ampère measure $c_{1}\left(f^{*} L, f^{*}\|\cdot\|\right)^{\wedge d}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Sk}_{\mathrm{nd}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$. If $\|\cdot\|$ is the canonical metric $\|\cdot\|_{L}$ of $L$, then the restriction of $c_{1}\left(f^{*} L, f^{*}\|\cdot\|_{L}\right)^{\wedge d}$ to the relative interior of a canonical face $\Delta_{S}$ of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is a multiple of the Lebesgue measure on relint $\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ as $f_{\|\cdot\|_{L}} \circ f_{\text {aff }}$ is a quadratic function on relint $\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$. It follows from the positive definiteness of the bilinear form associated to the ample line bundle $L$ that this multiple is non-zero if and only if $\Delta_{S}$ is non-degenerate with respect to $f$. We conclude in this case that the support of $c_{1}\left(f^{*} L, f^{*}\|\cdot\|_{L}\right)^{\wedge d}$ agrees with $\mathrm{Sk}_{\mathrm{nd}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$.

Remark 6.4. - We note that Theorem 6.2 also yields a formula for the Monge-Ampère measure of the toric metric $\|\cdot\|$ restricted to $\left.L\right|_{X}$ by using the projection formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\left(\left.L\right|_{X},\|\cdot\|\right)^{\wedge d}=f_{*}\left(c_{1}\left(f^{*} L, f^{*}\|\cdot\|\right)^{\wedge d}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show in the next section that $X^{\text {an }}$ has a smallest subset $S_{X}$ containing the supports of all these canonical measures and that $S_{X}$ has a canonical piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear structure.

## 7. The canonical subset

As in the previous section, we consider a closed $d$-dimensional subvariety $X$ of an abelian variety $A$ over an algebraically closed non-archimedean field $K$ with non-trivial value group $\Gamma$. We will show that the supports of canonical measures on $X^{\text {an }}$ give rise to a canonical subset $S_{X}$ of $X^{\text {an }}$ endowed with a canonical piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear structure.
We will start with the definition of the canonical subset of $X^{\text {an }}$. Then we will recall $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$ skeletons introduced by Ducros which will be an important tool to proof our main results at the end.
Let $L$ be a rigidified ample line bundle on $A$ and let $\|\cdot\|_{L}$ be the canonical metric of $L$.
Definition 7.1. - The support of the Radon measure $c_{1}\left(\left.L\right|_{X},\|\cdot\|_{L}\right)^{\wedge d}$ is called the canonical subset of $X^{\text {an }}$ and will be denoted by $S_{X}$.

Remark 7.2. - We have seen in Remark 5.9 that there is a Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ associated to a $\operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$-periodic $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}_{0}$ such that for the closure $\mathfrak{X}$ of $X$ in $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$, we have a strictly polystable alteration $\varphi_{0}: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$. Let $f: \mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\text {an }}$ be the generic fiber of $\varphi_{0}$. By Remark 6.3, the support of $c_{1}\left(f^{*} L, f^{*}\|\cdot\|_{L}\right)^{\wedge d}$ is equal to $\operatorname{Sk}_{\mathrm{nd}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ and hence the projection formula (36) proves

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{X}=f\left(\mathrm{Sk}_{\mathrm{nd}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 7.3. - The canonical subset $S_{X}$ does not depend on the choice of the ample line bundle L. Moreover, for any continuous semipositive metric $\|\cdot\|$ on $L^{\text {an }}$, the support of the Radon measure $c_{1}\left(\left.L\right|_{X},\|\cdot\|\right)^{\wedge d}$ is contained in $S_{X}$.

Proof. - Since $\mathrm{Sk}_{\mathrm{nd}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ does not depend on the ample line bundle $L$, the first claim follows from (37). By Remark 6.3, the support of $c_{1}\left(f^{*} L, f^{*}\|\cdot\|\right)^{\wedge d}$ is contained in $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)_{\text {nd }}$ and hence the second claim follows from the projection formula (36).

Proposition 7.4. - If $\psi: A \rightarrow B$ is a finite homomorphism of abelian varieties over $K$, then we have $S_{\psi(X)}=\psi\left(S_{X}\right)$.
Proof. - Let $L$ be a rigidified ample line bundle on $A$. Note that $\psi^{*}(L)$ is ample and that $\psi^{*}\|\cdot\|_{L}$ is the canonical metric of $\psi^{*}(L)$. Then the claim follows from the projection formula

$$
\psi_{X, *}\left(c_{1}\left(\psi_{X}^{*} L, \psi_{X}^{*}\|\cdot\|_{L}\right)\right)^{\wedge d}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\psi_{X}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(\left.L\right|_{X},\|\cdot\|_{L}\right)^{\wedge d}
$$

for Monge-Ampère measures where $\psi_{X}: X \rightarrow \psi(X)$ is given by $\psi$.
We will show below that $S_{X}$ is a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-skeleton of $X^{\text {an }}$ as defined by Ducros [14, 4.6]. We will now briefly recall these notions for $X^{\text {an }}$, but they can be used more generally for any topologically separated strictly analytic space (see [14, Section 4]).
7.5. - For any strictly analytic domain $Y$ in $X^{\text {an }}$ and an $m$-tuple $g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}\right)$ of invertible analytic functions on $Y$, we define the tropicalization map

$$
\operatorname{trop}_{g}: Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}, \quad y \longmapsto\left(-\log \left|g_{1}(y)\right|, \ldots,-\log \left|g_{m}(y)\right|\right)
$$

A compact subset $P$ of $X^{\text {an }}$ consisting of Abhyankar points is called an analytic $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$ polytope if there is a strictly analytic domain $Y$ containing $P$ and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m} \in \mathscr{O}(Y)^{\times}$such that $\operatorname{trop}_{g}$ induces a homeomorphism of $P$ onto a finite union of $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with the following properties for the induced piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear structure on $P$ : For any strictly Publications mathématiques de Besançon - 2023
subdomain $Z$ of $X^{\text {an }}$ and any $h \in \mathscr{O}(Z)^{\times}$, we require that $P \cap Z$ is a piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear subspace of $P$ and that the restriction of $-\log |h|$ to $P \cap Z$ is piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear.
A (locally) closed subset $S$ of $X^{\text {an }}$ is called a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-skeleton if the analytic $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-polytopes contained in $S$ form an atlas for a piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear structure on $S$. It follows from [14, 4.1.2] that the piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear structure on $S$ is completely determined by the underlying set $S$ and the analytic structure of $X^{\text {an }}$.

We will use the following criterion of Ducros.
Lemma 7.6. - Let $Y$ be an integral strictly affinoid space over $K$ and let $S$ be a compact subset of $Y$ consisting of Abhyankar points. Then $S$ is an analytic $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-polytope of $Y$ if the following properties hold:
(i) $\operatorname{trop}_{g}(S)$ is a piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ for any $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m} \in \mathscr{O}(Y) \backslash\{0\}$;
(ii) there are $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m} \in \mathscr{O}(Y) \backslash\{0\}$ such that the restriction of trop $_{g}$ to $S$ is injective.

Since $S$ consists of Abhyankar points, the analytic functions $g_{j}$ are nowhere zero on $S$ and hence $\operatorname{trop}_{g}(S) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$.

Proof. - This is criterion 2) in [14, Lemma 4.4].
Lemma 7.7. - Let $Y$ be an integral strictly affinoid space over $K$. Then a finite union of analytic $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-polytopes of $Y$ is an analytic $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-polytope of $Y$.

Proof. - By induction, it is enough to show for analytic $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-polytopes $P$ and $Q$ of $Y$ that $P \cup Q$ is an analytic $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-polytope of $Y$. We will use the criterion of Ducros from Lemma 7.6. Let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m} \in \mathscr{O}(Y) \backslash\{0\}$. Since $\operatorname{trop}_{g}(P)$ and $\operatorname{trop}_{g}(Q)$ are finite unions of $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, it follows that the same is true for $\operatorname{trop}_{g}(P) \cup \operatorname{trop}_{g}(Q)$ proving (i) for $P \cup Q$. To prove (ii), we include in the list $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ the functions appearing in (ii) for the analytic $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-polytopes $P$ and $Q$. Then trop $g_{g}$ restricts to an injective function on $P$ and also to an injective function on $Q$. We will enlarge the list to get an injective function on $P \cup Q$. For any $x \in P$, there is at most one $y \in Q$ such that $\operatorname{trop}_{g}(x)=\operatorname{trop}_{g}(y)$. Since $Y$ is affinoid, there is an analytic function $h$ on $Y$ with $|h(x)| \neq|h(y)|$. Including $h$ in the list, we conclude that $x$ is the only point in $P \cup Q$ mapping to trop ${ }_{g}(x)$. By continuity, the same holds for all $x^{\prime}$ in a neighbourhood of $x$ in $P$. By compactness of $P$, we conclude that we may add a finite number of non-zero analytic functions of $Y$ to the list $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ to ensure that trop ${ }_{g}$ is injective on $P \cup Q$. This proves (ii) and hence $P \cup Q$ is an analytic ( $\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma$ )-polytope.

We will frequently use the following notions introduced in Section 4: We have the uniformization $A^{\text {an }}=E^{\text {an }} / \Lambda$ from the Raynaud extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow T^{\text {an }} \longrightarrow E^{\text {an }} \xrightarrow{q} B^{\text {an }} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $B^{\text {an }}$ is the generic fiber of a formal abelian scheme $\mathfrak{B}$ over $K^{\circ}$. Let $N$ be the cocharacter lattice of the torus $T$ and let $\overline{\text { trop }}: A^{\text {an }} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}} / \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$ the canonical tropicalization.

Theorem 7.8. - The canonical subset $S_{X}$ of $X^{\mathrm{an}}$ is a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-skeleton of $X^{\mathrm{an}}$ for any closed subvariety $X$ of $A$. For any strictly polystable alteration $\varphi_{0}: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ as in Remark 7.2 with generic fiber $f: \mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\text {an }}$ and any canonical face $\Delta_{S}$ of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ which is non-degenerate with respect to $f$, the morphism $f$ induces a piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear isomorphism $\Delta_{S} \rightarrow f\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$.

Proof. - By definition, the support of a Radon measure is closed, so $S_{X}$ is closed in $X^{\text {an }}$ and hence compact. We choose a strictly polystable alteration $\varphi_{0}: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ as in Remark 7.2. Let $f: \mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\text {an }}$ be the generic fiber. The skeleton $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-skeleton of $\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime}[14$, Exemple 4.8] and hence it consists of Abhyankar points. Since Abhyankar points cannot be contained in a lower dimensional closed analytic subset, we conclude that $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is contained in the finite part of the generically finite morphism $f$. By [15, 1.4.14], it follows that $f\left(\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ consists of Abhyankar points. In particular, this holds for $S_{X}$.
To show that $S_{X}$ is a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-skeleton of $X^{\text {an }}$, we may argue G-locally at any $x \in X^{\text {an }}$ with respect to the Grothendieck topology induced by the strictly analytic domains of $X^{\text {an }}$, see [14, Proposition 4.9]. If $x \notin S_{X}$, then $S_{X}$ is empty in a neighbourhood of $x$ and the claim holds. So we may assume that $x \in S_{X}$. We recall from 5.10 that $\mathfrak{X}$ is the closure of $X$ in the Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ associated to a trop $(\Lambda)$-periodic $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}_{0}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We pick a lift $\widetilde{x} \in E^{\text {an }}$ of $x$ with respect to the quotient morphism $p: E^{\text {an }} \rightarrow A^{\text {an }}=E^{\text {an }} / \Lambda$. There is a unique $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{0}$ such that $\operatorname{trop}(\widetilde{x}) \in \operatorname{relint}(\Delta)$. We fix torus coordinates $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of $T$ giving $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$. It is explained in [23, 4.2] that there is a formal affine open covering of $\mathfrak{B}$ such that, for the generic fiber $W$ of any member of the covering, the morphism $q: E^{\text {an }} \rightarrow B^{\text {an }}$ splits over $W$ and such that for $y \in \operatorname{trop}^{-1}(W)$, the canonical tropicalization is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{trop}(y)=\operatorname{trop}_{h}(y)=\left(-\log \left|h_{1}(y)\right|, \ldots,-\log \left|h_{n}(y)\right|\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{j}:=p_{1}^{*}\left(x_{j}\right)$ for the first projection $p_{1}$ with respect to the splitting $q^{-1}(W) \cong T^{\text {an }} \times W$. We pick such a $W$ with $q(\widetilde{x}) \in W$. Since $\operatorname{trop}(\widetilde{x}) \in \operatorname{relint}(\Delta)$, we deduce that $U_{\Delta, W}:=$ $\operatorname{trop}^{-1}(\Delta) \cap q^{-1}(W) \cong U_{\Delta} \times W$ is a strictly affinoid domain of $E^{\text {an }}$ containing $\widetilde{x}$, where $U_{\Delta}$ is the polytopal domain of $T^{\text {an }}$ given by the preimage of $\Delta$ with respect to the classical tropicalization map $T^{\text {an }} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
The quotient $A^{\text {an }}=E^{\text {an }} / \Lambda$ and the construction of the Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ identifies $q^{-1}(W) / \Lambda$ with the generic fiber $V_{\Delta, W}$ of a formal affine open subset $\mathfrak{V}_{\Delta, W}$ of $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ such that $x \in V_{\Delta, W}$. We will view $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}$ as invertible analytic functions on $V_{\Delta, W}$. It follows from (38) and the definitions that $\left.\operatorname{trop}_{h} \circ f\right|_{\mathrm{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)}$ is a lift of $\left.\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}\right|_{\mathrm{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)}$ from $\overline{\operatorname{trop}}\left(A^{\text {an }}\right)=N_{\mathbb{R}} / \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$ to $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $\Delta_{S}$ is a canonical face of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ which is non-degenerate with respect to $f$, then we conclude that the restriction of $\operatorname{trop}_{h}$ to $f\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ is injective.
Since $x \in S_{X}$, we know that $x$ is an Abhyankar point and hence $x \in X_{\text {reg }}^{\mathrm{an}}$. We conclude that $X^{\text {an }}$ is G-locally integral at $x$ and hence there is an integral strictly affinoid domain $Y$ of $X^{\text {an }}$ with $x \in Y \subset V_{\Delta, W}$. By [14, 4.6.1], it is enough to prove that $S_{X} \cap Y$ is a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-analytic polytope of $Y$. Note that $S_{X}$ is the union of $f\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ with $S$ ranging over all canonical faces $\Delta_{S}$ of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ which are non-degenerate with respect to $f$. Therefore Lemma 7.7 yields that it is enough to show that $f\left(\Delta_{S}\right) \cap Y$ is an analytic $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-polytope of $Y$ for any canonical face $\Delta_{S}$ which is non-degenerate with respect to $f$. To show this, we will use the criterion of Ducros recalled in Lemma 7.6. Let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ be non-zero analytic functions on $Y$. Since $\Delta_{S}$ consists of Abhyankar points, the restriction of any $g_{j}$ to $f\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ is invertible and hence there is a strictly affinoid neighbourhood $Z$ of $f\left(\Delta_{S}\right) \cap Y$ in $Y$ such that every $g_{j}$ is an invertible analytic function on $Z$. Note that $Z^{\prime}:=f^{-1}(Z)$ is a strictly analytic domain of $\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime}$ and we have $\Delta_{S} \cap f^{-1}(Y)=\Delta_{S} \cap Z^{\prime}$. The analytic function $g_{j}^{\prime}:=g_{j} \circ f$ is invertible on $Z^{\prime}$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{trop}_{g}\left(f\left(\Delta_{S}\right) \cap Y\right)=\operatorname{trop}_{g^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{S} \cap f^{-1}(Y)\right)=\operatorname{trop}_{g^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{S} \cap Z^{\prime}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Since $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-skeleton and $Z^{\prime}$ is a strictly analytic domain in $\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime}$, it follows from $[14$, 4.6.2, 4.6.3] that $\Delta_{S} \cap Z^{\prime}$ is a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-skeleton in $Z^{\prime}$. By [14, 4.6.4], the map trop ${ }_{g^{\prime}}$ is piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear on $\Delta_{S} \cap Z^{\prime}$ and hence we deduce from (39) that $\operatorname{trop}_{g}\left(f\left(\Delta_{S}\right) \cap Y\right)$ is a finite union of $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. This proves (i) of the criterion.
Now we choose for $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ the restrictions of the functions $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}$ to $Y$. We have already seen that the restriction of $\operatorname{trop}_{h}$ to $f\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ is injective. We conclude that the same is true for the restriction to the subset $f\left(\Delta_{S}\right) \cap Y$ which proves (ii) of the criterion. Then the criterion yields that $f\left(\Delta_{S}\right) \cap Y$ is an analytic $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-polytope of $Y$ proving that $S_{X}$ is a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-skeleton.
Since $\operatorname{trop}_{h}$ is injective on $f\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ for any non-degenerate canonical face $\Delta_{S}$ of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$, we get an induced piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear isomorphism $f\left(\Delta_{S}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{trop}_{h}\left(f\left(\Delta_{S}\right)\right)$. We have seen that $\left.\operatorname{trop}_{h} \circ f\right|_{\Delta_{S}}$ is a lift of $\left.\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}\right|_{\Delta_{S}}$ and hence a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear isomorphism of $\Delta_{S}$ onto $\operatorname{trop}_{h}\left(f\left(\Delta_{S}\right)\right)$. Therefore $f$ induces a piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear isomorphism $\Delta_{S} \rightarrow f\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$.

By Theorem 7.8, the set $S_{X}$ has a canonical piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear structure.
Corollary 7.9. - There is a polytopal $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-decomposition $\Sigma$ of the canonical subset $S_{X}$ such that for any rigidified ample line bundle $L$ on $A$ with canonical metric $\|\cdot\|_{L}$, we have

$$
c_{1}\left(\left.L\right|_{X},\|\cdot\|_{L}\right)^{\wedge d}=\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} r_{\sigma} \mu_{\sigma}
$$

where $\mu_{\sigma}$ is a fixed choice of a Lebesgue measure on the polytope $\sigma$ and where $r_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $r_{\sigma}>0$ for all maximal $\sigma$.

Proof. - We choose a strictly polystable alteration $\varphi_{0}: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ as in Remark 7.2 with generic fiber $f: \mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\text {an }}$. We have seen in Theorem 7.8 that $f$ restricts to a surjective piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear map $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)_{\text {nd }} \rightarrow S_{X}$ with finite fibers. It follows that there is a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$ polytopal decomposition $\Sigma^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)_{\text {nd }}$ refining the canonical face structure of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\Sigma:=f\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a polytopal decomposition of $S_{X}$. Note that $f$ restricts to a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-affine isomorphism $\sigma^{\prime} \rightarrow \sigma:=f\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$ for all $\sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$. We conclude that the push-forward of a Lebesgue measure on $\sigma^{\prime}$ is a Lebesque measure on $\sigma$. Using the projection formula (36), the claim follows from Remark 6.3.

The following tropical description of the canonical Monge-Ampère measures has been shown in [23, Theorem 1.1] in the special case of $K$ being the completion of the algebraic closure of a field with a discrete valuation. This statement is crucially used in Yamaki's reduction theorem, which is a major contribution to the proof of the geometric Bogomolov conjecture by Xie and Yuan [37]. We show here that this tropical description is true for any algebraically closed non-trivially valued non-archimedean field $K$.

Theorem 7.10. - The canonical tropicalization map $\overline{\text { trop }: ~} A^{\text {an }} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}} / \Lambda$ gives a surjective piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear map $S_{X} \rightarrow \overline{\operatorname{trop}}\left(X^{\mathrm{an}}\right)$ with finite fibers.
Proof. - The proof follows the same lines as in [23, Section 7] and so we only give a sketch, mainly pointing out the necessary adaptions. We have seen in Theorem 7.8 that the canonical subset $S_{X}$ of $X^{\text {an }}$ is a $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-skeleton in $X^{\text {an }}$, which implies that $\overline{\text { trop }}$ induces a piecewise $(\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma)$-linear map $S_{X} \rightarrow \overline{\operatorname{trop}}\left(X^{\text {an }}\right)$. We use a strictly polystable alteration $\varphi_{0}: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ as in Remark 7.2 with generic fiber $f: \mathfrak{X}_{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\text {an }}$. Since $\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}$ agrees with $\overline{\operatorname{trop}} \circ f$ on $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$, it follows easily from $S_{X}=f\left(\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{nd}}\right)$ that the piecewise linear map $S_{X} \rightarrow \overline{\operatorname{trop}}\left(X^{\text {an }}\right)$ has
finite fibers. It remains to prove surjectivity. We have to show that for any $\bar{\omega} \in \overline{\operatorname{trop}}\left(X^{\text {an }}\right)$ there is a canonical face $\Delta_{S}$ of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ which is non-degenerate with respect to $f$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\omega} \in \bar{f}_{\text {aff }}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)=\overline{\operatorname{trop}}\left(f\left(\Delta_{S}\right)\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $d-e$ be the local dimension of $\overline{\operatorname{trop}}\left(X^{\text {an }}\right)$ at $\bar{\omega}$. Using the density of the value group $\Gamma$, we may assume that $\bar{\omega} \in N_{\Gamma} / \operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$ and that $\bar{\omega}$ is not contained in a polytope $\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}\left(\Delta_{T}\right)$ of lower dimension.
Our tropical dimensionality assumption at $\bar{\omega}$ allows us to find a $\operatorname{trop}(\Lambda)$-periodic $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$ polytopal decomposition $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that for the unique $\Delta \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$ with $\bar{\omega} \in \operatorname{relint}(\bar{\Delta})$ we have $\overline{\operatorname{trop}}\left(X^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cap \bar{\Delta}=\{\bar{\omega}\}$ and $\operatorname{codim}(\Delta)=d-e$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 6.2, we have a canonical morphism $\varphi_{1}: \mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{1}$ to the Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$ associated to $\mathscr{C}_{1}$, where $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$ is the formal scheme over $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ associated to the subdivision $\mathscr{D}=\left\{\Delta_{S} \cap \bar{f}_{\text {aff }}^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}) \mid\right.$ $S$ stratum of $\left.\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, \bar{\sigma} \in \overline{\mathscr{C}_{1}}\right\}$. The analytic domain $\overline{\operatorname{trop}}^{-1}(\bar{\Delta})$ is the generic fiber of a formal open subset $\mathfrak{U}$ of $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$. Let $\mathfrak{X}_{1}$ be the closure of $X$ in $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$. The special fiber of $\mathfrak{X}_{1}$ has an irreducible component $Y$ intersecting $\mathfrak{U}$. Since the map $\varphi_{1}$ induces a surjective proper map $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{1}$, there is an irreducible component $Y^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{\prime \prime}$ mapping onto $Y$. Let $\xi^{\prime}$ be the vertex of $\mathscr{D}$ corresponding to $Y^{\prime}$ (see 5.7), then one deduces from the choice of $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ that $\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=\bar{\omega}$. We claim that the unique canonical face $\Delta_{S}$ of $\operatorname{Sk}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\xi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{relint}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ is non-degenerate with respect to $f$ which then proves (40) and the theorem.
Using that relint $\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ contains a vertex of $\mathscr{D}$, one deduces that $\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}$ is injective on $\Delta_{S}$ proving the first condition for non-degeneracy with respect to $f$. Since $\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ contains $\bar{\omega}$, we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\bar{f}_{\text {aff }}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)\right)=d-e$, hence the corresponding stratum $S$ is $e$-dimensional. Let $G: \bar{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be the morphism from 6.1. Then it is clear that $\operatorname{dim}(G(S)) \leq e$ and it remains to show equality. It is shown in [23, Proposition 4.8] that the strata of the special fiber of the formal Mumford model $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$ correspond bijectively to the faces of $\overline{\mathscr{C}}_{1}$. Using the construction of Mumford models, there is a canonical multi-valued morphism $q_{1}: \mathfrak{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$. The restriction of $q_{1}$ to a stratum closure becomes a single-valued morphism which is canonical up to translation. We have seen in 5.7 that the dense stratum of $Y^{\prime}$ is a fiber bundle over $S$ which can be used together with $\varphi_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=Y$ to show that $G(\bar{S})=q_{1}(Y)$ for a suitable choise of the morphism $q_{1}: Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{s}$. By [23, Proposition 4.8] again, if $W_{\bar{\Delta}}$ is the stratum corresponding to $\bar{\Delta}$, then $\bar{W}_{\bar{\Delta}}$ is a fiber bundle over $\mathfrak{B}_{s}$ with fiber isomorphic to the codim $(\Delta)$-dimensional toric variety given by the star of $\Delta$. Since $\xi:=f\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ is a point of $X^{\text {an }}$ with reduction equal to the generic point of $Y$ and since $\overline{\operatorname{trop}}(\xi)=\bar{f}_{\mathrm{aff}}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=\bar{\omega} \in \operatorname{relint}(\bar{\Delta})$, we conclude that $Y$ is contained in $\bar{W}_{\bar{\Delta}}$. As this stratum has relative dimension $d-e$ over $\mathfrak{B}_{s}$ and since $d=\operatorname{dim}(Y)$, we deduce that $\operatorname{dim}\left(q_{1}(Y)\right) \geq e$. We conclude that

$$
e \geq \operatorname{dim}(G(S))=\operatorname{dim}\left(q_{1}(Y)\right) \geq e
$$

proving equality everywhere and hence $\Delta_{S}$ is non-degenerate with respect to $f$.

## Appendix A. Differential forms, currents and positivity

Here, we summarize results about differential forms and currents on tropical and nonarchimedean spaces. Let $N$ be a free abelian group of rank $n$ and $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ the base extension to $\mathbb{R}$. In the applications below, we usually take $N=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and hence $N_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, which amounts to fix a basis in the lattice $N$.
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A.1. - In Lagerberg's thesis [29], he has introduced a bigraded differential sheaf of $\mathbb{R}$ algebras $A^{\bullet \bullet}$ on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ with differentials $d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}$. We call the elements Lagerberg forms. They have similar properties as the complex $(p, q)$-forms.
More generally, by restriction we get a bigraded differential sheaf $A^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ of $\mathbb{R}$-algebras with differentials $d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}$ on any tropical cycle $S$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a dual notion of currents on $S$, see [26, Section 3]. The elements of $A^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ can be seen as functions on $S$ which we call smooth functions.
A.2. - There is a unique involution $J$ of the sheaf $A^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ which leaves the smooth functions invariant and satisfies $J d^{\prime}=d^{\prime \prime} J$. A smooth $(p, p)$-form $\alpha$ on $S$ is called positive if

$$
\alpha=(-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{j} \alpha_{j} \wedge J \alpha_{j}
$$

for smooth non-negative functions $f_{j}$ and smooth $(p, 0)$-forms $\alpha_{j}$ on $S$. Again, positive forms are obtained from positive forms on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the latter are studied in [8]. In particular, we deduce that positive Lagerberg forms on $S$ are closed under products.
A Lagerberg current $T$ on $S$ is called of type $(p, q)$ if it acts on the compactly supported $(p, q)$ forms on $S$. A Lagerberg current $T$ on $S$ of type $(p, p)$ is called symmetric if $T J=(-1)^{p} T$. A positive Lagerberg current is a symmetric Lagerberg current $T$ of type ( $p, p$ ) on $S$ such that $T(\alpha) \geq 0$ for all compactly supported smooth $(p, p)$ forms $\alpha$ on $S$.

In the following, we denote by $X$ a good strictly analytic space over a non-trivially valued non-archimedean field $K$. Recall that Berkovich introduced the boundary $\partial X$ of $X$ in [2, Section 3.1]. We call $X$ boundary-free if $\partial X=\emptyset$. The analytification of an algebraic variety over $K$ is always boundary-free [2, Theorem 3.4.1].
A.3. - Let $W$ be a compact strictly analytic domain in $X$. We call $h: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ a smooth tropicalization map if all the coordinate functions are given by $h_{i}=-\log \left|f_{i}\right|$ for invertible analytic functions $f_{i}$ on $W$. We call $h$ a harmonic tropicalization map if all the $h_{i}$ are harmonic functions, see [25, Section 7]. Since every smooth function is harmonic, every smooth tropicalization map is harmonic. For a harmonic tropicalization map $h$, a generalization of Berkovich of the Bieri-Groves theorem from tropical geometry shows that the tropical variety $h(W)$ is a finite union of $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of dimension at most $\operatorname{dim}(W)$.

Chambert-Loir and Ducros [13] used smooth tropicalization maps to introduce smooth $(p, q)$ forms on Berkovich spaces. In [25], the smooth tropicalization maps were replaced by harmonic tropicalization maps to obtain a larger class of weakly smooth forms with better cohomological behavior. The constructions can be summarized as follows.

Proposition A.4. - There is a bigraded differential sheaf $A_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ (resp. $A^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ ) of $\mathbb{R}$-algebras on $X$ with an alternating product $\wedge$ and differentials $d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}$ satisfying the following properties:
(i) For a morphism $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ of good strictly analytic spaces, there is a functorial homomorphism $f^{*}: A_{X} \rightarrow f_{*} A_{X^{\prime}}$ of sheaves of bigraded differential $\mathbb{R}$-algebras.
(ii) If $h: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a smooth (resp. harmonic) tropicalization map on a compact strictly analytic subdomain $W$ of $X$, there is an injective homomorphism

$$
h^{*}: A^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}(h(W)) \rightarrow A^{\bullet \bullet}(W)
$$

of bigraded differential $\mathbb{R}$-algebras lifting smooth Lagerberg forms from $h(W)$ to $W$.
(iii) Using the above notation, we have $(h \circ f)^{*}=f^{*} \circ h^{*}$.
(iv) For $\omega \in A(X)$, any $x \in X$ has a strictly affinoid neighbourhood $W$ with a smooth (resp. harmonic) tropicalization map $h: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\left.\omega\right|_{W}=h^{*}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in A(h(W))$.

We call $A_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.A^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}\right)$ the sheaf of smooth (resp. weakly smooth) forms. These sheaves of bigraded differential $\mathbb{R}$-algebras are characterized up to unique isomorphisms by (i)-(iv).
$\boldsymbol{A} .5$. - If $X$ is also boundary-free and separated, Chambert-Loir and Ducros introduced currents of type $(p, q)$ as continuous linear functionals acting on compactly supported smooth forms of bidegree $(p, q)$ [13, Section 4]. The analogous continuous linear functionals on the space of compactly supported weakly smooth forms are called strong currents. We denote by $D_{p, q}^{\mathrm{sm}}$ (resp. $D_{p, q}$ ) the sheaf of currents (resp. strong currents) of type $(p, q)$ on $X$.
For any smooth (resp. weakly smooth) form $\omega$, the theory of integration for top dimensional forms $\left[13\right.$, Section 3] yields an associated current $[\omega]_{\text {sm }}$ (resp. strong current $[\omega]$ ) similarly as in complex analysis, see [13, Section 4.3] and [25, Section 11].
A.6. - We call a smooth (resp. weakly smooth) $(p, p)$-form on $X$ positive if it is locally given by the pull-back of a smooth positive Lagerberg form with respect to a smooth (resp. harmonic) tropicalization map in the sense of Proposition A.4-(iv). Again, there is a unique involution $J$ acting on $A$ and on its subsheaf $A_{\text {sm }}$ which leaves the weakly smooth functions invariant and satisfies $d^{\prime} J=J d^{\prime \prime}$.
Now assume that $X$ is also boundary-free and separated. By duality, we also get a Lagerberg involution $J$ acting on $D^{\text {sm }}$ (resp. $D$ ). A (strong) current $T$ of type ( $p, p$ ) on $X$ is called symmetric if $T J=(-1)^{p} T$. We say that a symmetric (strong) current $T$ of type $(p, p)$ is positive if $T(\omega) \geq 0$ for all compact supported (weakly) smooth positive forms $\alpha$ of bidegree $(p, p)$ on $X$.
A.7. - Still assuming $X$ boundary-free and separated, we assume that $L$ is a line bundle on $X$ endowed with a continuous metric $\|\cdot\|$. Then the first Chern current (resp. strong first Chern current) of $(L,\|\cdot\|)$ is given as follows: Locally, we choose an open subset $U$ of $X$ which is a trivialization of $L$ over $U$. Hence there is a frame $s \in L(U)$. Then the first Chern current (resp. strong first Chern current) of ( $L,\|\cdot\|$ ) is given on $U$ by $d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}[-\log \|s\|]_{\mathrm{sm}}$ (resp. $\left.d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}[-\log \|s\|]\right)$. As this does not depend on the choice of the trivialization, this defines a globally defined (strong) current, see [13, Section 6.4]. Note that the restriction of the strong first Chern current to compactly supported smooth forms agrees with the first Chern current.

The following result has been shown by Chambert-Loir and Ducros [13, Lemme 5.5.3] for smooth tropicalization maps. Their argument generalizes to harmonic tropicalization maps. For convenience of the reader, we will provide the proof here.

Proposition A.8. - Let $X$ be a compact good strictly analytic space over $K$ of pure dimension $d$ with a harmonic tropicalization map $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We consider a smooth function $f: h(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}[f \circ h]$ is a positive strong current on $X \backslash \partial X$ if and only if the restriction of $f$ to any d-dimensional face of the tropical variety $h(X)$ is convex.
Proof. - We assume first that $d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}[f \circ h]$ is a positive strong current on $X \backslash \partial X$. Using results of Berkovich and Ducros [14, Theorem 3.4], the tropical variety $h(X)$ is the support Publications mathématiques de Besançon - 2023
of a $(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)$-polytopal complex of dimension at most $d$ such that $h(\partial X)$ is contained in faces of dimension at most $d-1$. Let $\Delta$ be any $d$-dimensional face of $h(X)$. Then positivity of $d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}[f \circ h]$ on $X \backslash \partial X$ yields that $d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}[f]$ is a positive current on relint $(\Delta)$ and hence $f$ is a convex function on the relative interior of $\Delta$ by [29, Proposition 2.5]. By continuity of $f$, we conclude that $f$ is convex on $\Delta$.
To prove the converse, we assume that the restriction of $f$ to any $d$-dimensional face of $\Delta$ is convex. Let $\omega$ be a positive weakly smooth form on $X \backslash \partial X$ with compact support and of bidegree $(n-1, n-1)$. Using the theorem of Stokes, we have to prove that

$$
\int_{X \backslash \partial X} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}(f \circ h) \wedge \omega \geq 0
$$

We view $\omega$ as a compactly supported weakly smooth form on $X$. Since $X$ is good, there is a family $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of strictly affinoid subdomains of $X$ whose interiors $U_{i}^{\circ}$ cover $X$ and harmonic tropicalization maps $h_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{i}}$ such that $\left.\omega\right|_{U_{i}}=h_{i}^{*}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ for some smooth positive ( $n-1, n-1$ )-Lagerberg form $\alpha_{i}$ on $h_{i}\left(U_{i}\right)$. By [13, Proposition 3.3.6], there is a smooth partition of unity $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ subordinated to the covering $\left(U_{i}^{\circ}\right)_{i \in I}$. We may assume that the tropicalization map $h_{i}$ is a refinement of $h$, i.e. there is a ( $\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma$-affine map $L_{i}: h_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \rightarrow h(X)$ such that $h=L_{i} \circ h_{i}$. Then the function $f_{i}:=f \circ L_{i}$ is a smooth function on $h_{i}(X)$ with convex restriction to each $d$-dimensional face. We conclude that

$$
\int_{X \backslash \partial X} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}(f \circ h) \wedge \omega=\sum_{i \in I} \int_{U_{i}} \varphi_{i} \cdot h_{i}^{*}\left(d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} f_{i} \wedge \alpha_{i}\right)
$$

The support $K_{i}$ of the weakly smooth form $\eta_{i}:=\varphi_{i} \cdot h_{i}^{*}\left(f_{i} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{i}\right)$ is a compact subset of $U_{i}^{\circ} \cap(X \backslash \partial X)=U_{i} \backslash \partial U_{i}$ [2, Proposition 3.1.3]. It follows from [13, Lemme 3.2.5] that we can apply [13, Proposition 3.4.4] to this compact subset $K_{i}$ of $U_{i} \backslash \partial U_{i}$. We conclude that there is a strictly affinoid neighbourhood $V_{i}$ of $\operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{i}\right)$ in $U_{i}$ and a smooth tropicalization $\operatorname{map} F_{i}: V_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_{i}}$ which satifies $\left.\varphi_{i}\right|_{V_{i}}=\phi_{i} \circ F_{i}$ on $V_{i}$ for a smooth function $\phi_{i}$ on $F_{i}\left(V_{i}\right)$. Replacing $F_{i}$ by a harmonic tropicalization map refining $h_{i}$, we may assume that $F_{i}=h_{i}$. We conclude that

$$
\int_{X \backslash \partial X} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}(f \circ h) \wedge \omega=\sum_{i \in I} \int_{V_{i}} h_{i}^{*}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \cdot h_{i}^{*}\left(d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} f_{i} \wedge \alpha_{i}\right)=\int_{h_{i}\left(V_{i}\right)} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} f_{i} \wedge\left(\phi_{i} \alpha_{i}\right) .
$$

Since $\phi_{i} \alpha_{i}$ is a positive Lagerberg form on $h_{i}\left(V_{i}\right)$ and since $d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} f_{i}$ is a positive Lagerberg form on each maximal face $\Delta$ of $h_{i}\left(V_{i}\right)$ as $\left.f_{i}\right|_{\Delta}$ is a smooth convex function, the above integral is non-negative proving the claim.

Corollary A.9. - With the setup of Proposition A. 8 and assuming h is a smooth tropicalization map, we have $d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}[f \circ h]$ is a positive strong current on $X \backslash \partial X$ if and only $d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}[f \circ h]_{\mathrm{sm}}$ is a positive current on $X \backslash \partial X$.

Proof. - This follows immediately from Proposition A. 8 as the same criterion holds for positivity of the current $d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}[f \circ h]_{\mathrm{sm}}$ on $X \backslash \partial X$ with respect to the smooth tropicalization map $h$, see [13, Lemme 5.5.3].

In the following, we assume that $X$ is a good strictly analytic boundary-free separated Berkovich space (for example the analytification of an algebraic variety). We consider a line bundle $L$ over $X$ endowed with a continuous semipositive metric $\|\cdot\|$. It was shown in [25,

Theorem 1.3] that the first Chern current of $(L, h)$ is positive. Using the above, the same arguments show the following result:

Theorem A.10. - Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a continuous semipositive metric on $L$. Then the strong first Chern current of $(L,\|\cdot\|)$ is positive.

Proof. - By restriction to the irreducible components of $X$, we may assume that $X$ is of pure dimension. By assumption, the metric $\|\cdot\|$ is a uniform limit of semipositive model metrics. Since such a limit obviously preserves positivity of the strong first Chern current, we may assume that $\|\cdot\|$ is a semipositive model metric. Then [25, 7.14, Proposition 7.10] yields that $\|\cdot\|$ is locally a uniform limit of smooth metrics with positive first Chern currents. By Corollary A.9, the strong first Chern currents of the smooth metrics are also positive. As the claim is local, the above limit argument shows positivity of the strong first Chern current of $(L,\|\cdot\|)$.
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