

JOURNAL de Théorie des Nombres de BORDEAUX

anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux

Hao CHEN et Liqing XU

Counterexamples to the Woods Conjecture in dimensions $d \geq 24$

Tome 31, n° 3 (2019), p. 723-726.

http://jtnb.centre-mersenne.org/item?id=JTNB_2019__31_3_723_0

© Société Arithmétique de Bordeaux, 2019, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.centre-mersenne.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://jtnb.centre-mersenne.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.centre-mersenne.org/>*

Counterexamples to the Woods Conjecture in dimensions $d \geq 24$

par HAO CHEN et LIQING XU

RÉSUMÉ. Soit \mathbf{N}_d le maximum des rayons de recouvrement des réseaux d -dimensionnels unimodulaires possédants d vecteurs minimaux indépendants. En 1972, A. C. Woods a conjecturé que $\mathbf{N}_d \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}$. En 2005, C. T. McMullen a démontré que la conjecture de Woods implique la célèbre conjecture de Minkowski. La conjecture de Woods est prouvée pour $d \leq 9$. En 2016, Regev, Shapira et Weiss ont trouvé des contre-exemples à la conjecture de Woods pour $d \geq 30$. Dans cet article, nous donnons des contre-exemples à la conjecture de Woods pour $d \geq 24$. La question reste donc ouverte pour les dimensions $10 \leq d \leq 23$.

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbf{N}_d be the greatest value of covering radius over all well-rounded unimodular d dimensional lattices. In 1972 A. C. Woods conjectured that $\mathbf{N}_d \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}$. C. T. McMullen proved that the Woods conjecture implies the celebrated Minkowski's conjecture in 2005. The Woods conjecture has been proved for $d \leq 9$. In 2016 Regev, Shapira and Weiss gave counterexamples for the Woods conjecture for $d \geq 30$. In this paper we give counterexamples to the Woods conjecture in dimensions $d \geq 24$. Then the unknown dimensions of the Woods conjecture are 14 dimensions $10 \leq d \leq 23$.

1. Introduction

A d dimensional lattice $\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ is the set of all integer coefficient linear combinations of d linearly independent vectors $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_d$.

$$\mathbf{L} := \{\mathbf{x} = x_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \dots + x_d\mathbf{b}_d : x_1 \in \mathbf{Z}, \dots, x_d \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

The volume of this lattice is

$$\text{vol}(\mathbf{L}) = |\det(\mathbf{b})|$$

where \mathbf{b} is the $d \times d$ matrix with d rows $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_d$. \mathbf{L} is called unimodular if $\text{vol}(\mathbf{L}) = 1$. The length of shortest nonzero lattice vectors is $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{0}} \{\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}\}$. If lattice vectors with the length $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L})$ in \mathbf{L} span \mathbf{R}^d ,

Manuscrit reçu le 25 janvier 2019, révisé le 15 mars 2019, accepté le 8 avril 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11H31, 11H99.

Mots-clés. Lattice, Woods conjecture, Minkowski conjecture.

We are grateful to the referee for their helpful comments. This research was supported by the NSFC Grant 11531002 and the Major Program Guangdong Basic and Applied Research Grant 2019B030302008.

it is called well-rounded. An unimodular lattice \mathbf{L} is called critical if the function $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L})$ attains a local maximum at \mathbf{L} . We refer [1] for the detail of lattice. It was proved by Voronoi that a critical lattice is well-rounded, we refer this result to [2, Chpt. 6].

The covering radius $r(\mathbf{L}) = \max_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^d, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}} \{\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle}\}$. Let

$$\mathbf{N}_d = \max_{\mathbf{L} \text{WR, vol}(\mathbf{L})=1} \{r(\mathbf{L})\}$$

be the greatest value of covering radius over all well-rounded unimodular d dimensional lattices. The following conjecture was due to A. C. Woods [8] and has been proved for $d \leq 9$ (see [3]).

Conjecture 1.1 (The Woods conjecture). $\mathbf{N}_d \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}$.

Let $N(\mathbf{y}) = |y_1 \dots y_d|$ for $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathbf{R}^d$. For a vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbf{R}^d$, the lattice $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{Z} := \{(a_1 x_1, \dots, a_d x_d) : x_1 \in \mathbf{Z}, \dots, x_d \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. The following conjecture due to H. Minkowski has been studied for many years.

Conjecture 1.2 (Minkowski's conjecture). *For any unimodular lattice \mathbf{L} in \mathbf{R}^d ,*

$$\sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^d} \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}} N(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \leq 2^{-d}.$$

The equality holds if and only if there exist positive a_1, \dots, a_d such that $a_1 a_2 \dots a_d = 1$ and $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{Z}^n$.

In 2005 McMullen's breakthrough JAMS paper [4] it was proved that the Woods conjecture implies Minkowski's conjecture. Hence Minkowski's conjecture is true for $d \leq 9$ (see [3]). Unfortunately in 2016, counterexamples to the Woods conjecture was given for $d \geq 30$ by Regev, Shapira and Weiss in [5] and a lower bound for the Woods invariant $\mathbf{N}_d > c \frac{d}{\sqrt{\log d}}$ was proved. In the papers [6, 7] of U. Shapira and B. Weiss stable lattices were suggested to replace well-rounded lattices in McMullen's approach.

In this paper we construct counterexamples to the Woods conjecture only using simpler lattices \mathbf{D}_n . Then counterexamples to the Woods conjecture in dimensions $d \geq 24$ are constructed. In Regev–Shapira–Weiss's approach the 15 dimensional laminated lattice was used. From our construction the Woods conjecture is unknown now for only 14 dimensions $d = 10, 11, \dots, 23$.

2. Counterexamples to the Woods conjecture in dimensions $d \geq 24$

As in [5] set $C(\mathbf{L}) = 4r^2(\mathbf{L})$. The Woods conjecture can be re-formulated as follows.

Conjecture 2.1 (The Woods conjecture). *Let \mathbf{L} be an unimodular lattice then $C(\mathbf{L}) \leq d$.*

The main idea of the construction of [5] is as follows. Let $\mathbf{L} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{\Lambda}_{15} + \alpha_2 \mathbf{Z}^n$ where $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{15}$ is the 15 dimensional laminated lattice, which is critical and then well-rounded. They choose suitable α_1 and α_2 such that \mathbf{L} is a well-rounded unimodular lattice. Then

$$C(\mathbf{L}) = \alpha_1^2 C(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{15}) + \alpha_2^2 C(\mathbf{Z}^n) = \alpha_1^2 C(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{15}) + \alpha_2^2 n$$

This gave counterexamples for $n \geq 15$. Then counterexamples to the Woods conjecture in dimensions $d \geq 30$ were constructed. It is a surprise that actually the root lattice \mathbf{D}_m as follows gives us better counterexamples to the Woods conjecture.

In the general case set $\mathbf{L} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{L}_1 \oplus \alpha_2 \mathbf{Z}^n$, where \mathbf{L}_1 is a dimension m lattice with volume $\text{vol}(\mathbf{L}_1)$ and the length of shortest non-zero lattice vector $\lambda(\mathbf{L}_1)$. If we want the lattice \mathbf{L} unimodular, then $\alpha_1^m \alpha_2^n = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\mathbf{L}_1)}$. If $\alpha_1 \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_1) = \alpha_2$, this lattice is well-rounded. Hence when

$$\alpha_1 = \left(\frac{1}{\text{vol}(\mathbf{L}_1) \lambda(\mathbf{L}_1)^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{m+n}}$$

and

$$\alpha_2 = \left(\frac{\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_1)^m}{\text{vol}(\mathbf{L}_1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{m+n}},$$

the lattice \mathbf{L} is unimodular and well-rounded.

Set $\mathbf{D}'_m = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) : x_1 + \dots + x_m = \text{even}\}$. It is well-known that this is a well-rounded lattice and

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{D}'_m) = \sqrt{2}$$

The shortest lattice vectors in \mathbf{D}'_m is of the form $(0, \dots, \pm 1, 0, \dots, 0, \pm 1, 0, \dots)$. Its covering radius is $r(\mathbf{D}'_m) = \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2}$ and its volume is 2. We refer to [1] for the detail. Set $\mathbf{D}_m = \{\frac{1}{2^{1/m}}(x_1, \dots, x_m) : x_1 + \dots + x_m = \text{even}\}$. It is clear that lattice vectors $\frac{1}{2^{1/m}}(0, \dots, \pm 1, 0, \dots, 0, \pm 1, 0, \dots)$ with length $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2^{1/m}}$ are the shortest non-zero lattice vectors in \mathbf{D}_m . They span \mathbf{R}^m and this is a well-rounded lattice in \mathbf{R}^m . This is an unimodular lattice. Moreover $\lambda_1(\mathbf{D}_m) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2^{1/m}}$, $r(\mathbf{D}_m) = \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2^{m+1}}$ and $C(\mathbf{D}_m) = \frac{m}{2^{2m}}$.

Let $\mathbf{L} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{D}_m + \alpha_2 \mathbf{Z}^n$. Then if $\alpha_1 = 2^{-\frac{n(m-2)}{2m(m+n)}}$ and $\alpha_2 = 2^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+n)}}$, \mathbf{L} is a well-rounded unimodular lattice.

From α_1 and α_2 we have

$$C(\mathbf{L}) = 2^{-\frac{n+2}{m+n}} \cdot m + 2^{\frac{m-2}{m+n}} \cdot n$$

When $m = n+4$, $2^{-\frac{n+2}{m+n}} = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $2^{\frac{m-2}{m+n}} = 2^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $C(\mathbf{L}) = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(n+4) + 2^{\frac{1}{2}}n$ and $C(\mathbf{L}) > 2.12320343n + 2\sqrt{2}$. When $n \geq 10$,

$$C(\mathbf{L}) > 2n + 4$$

So when $d = 2n + 4 \geq 24$, $C(\mathbf{L}) > d = 2n + 4$. When $m = n + 5$ and $d = 2n + 5$ and a similar result can be proved when $n \geq 12$. For dimensions $d = 25$ and $d = 27$, a simple computation as follows leads to the conclusion.

The case of $d = 25$. We set $m = 15, n = 10$. Then $C(\mathbf{L}) = 2^{-\frac{12}{25}} \cdot 15 + 2^{\frac{13}{25}} \cdot 10 > 10.75466 + 14.339 > 25$.

The case of $d = 27$. We set $m = 15, n = 12$. Then $C(\mathbf{L}) = 2^{-\frac{14}{27}} \cdot 15 + 2^{\frac{13}{27}} \cdot 12 > 10.47132 + 16.754119 > 27$.

So we have the following result.

Theorem 2.2. Set $\alpha_1 = 2^{-\frac{n(m-2)}{2m(m+n)}}$ and $\alpha_2 = 2^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+n)}}$. Then $\mathbf{L} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{D}_m + \alpha_2 \mathbf{Z}^n$ is unimodular and well-rounded. Moreover

- (1) $C(\mathbf{L}) > m + n$ when $m = n + 4, n \geq 10$;
- (2) $C(\mathbf{L}) > m + n$ when $m = n + 5, n \geq 12$;
- (3) $C(\mathbf{L}) > m + n$ when $m = 15, n = 10$;
- (4) $C(\mathbf{L}) > m + n$ when $m = 15, n = 12$.

Then the Woods conjecture is not true for dimensions $d \geq 24$.

References

- [1] J. H. CONWAY & N. J. A. SLOANE, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 290, Springer, 1988.
- [2] P. M. GRUBER & C. G. LEKKERKERKER, *Geometry of numbers*, 2nd ed., North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 37, North-Holland, 1987.
- [3] L. KATHURIA & M. RAKA, “On conjectures of Minkowski and Woods for $n = 9$ ”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.5743>, 2014.
- [4] C. T. McMULLEN, “Minkowski’s conjecture, well-rounded lattices and topological dimensions”, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **18** (2005), no. 3, p. 711-734.
- [5] O. REGEV, U. SHAPIRA & B. WEISS, “Counterexamples to a conjecture of Woods”, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (2017), no. 13, p. 2443-2446.
- [6] U. SHAPIRA & B. WEISS, “A volume estimate for the set of stable lattices”, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **352** (2014), no. 11, p. 875-879.
- [7] ———, “Stable lattices and the diagonal group”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **18** (2016), no. 8, p. 1753-1767.
- [8] A. C. WOODS, “Covering six-space with spheres”, *J. Number Theory* **4** (1972), p. 157-180.

Hao CHEN

The College of Information Science and Technology/Cyber Security, Jinan University
Guangzhou, Guangdong Province 510632, China

E-mail: haochen@jnu.edu.cn

Liqing XU

The College of Information Science and Technology/Cyber Security, Jinan University
Guangzhou, Guangdong Province 510632, China

E-mail: lqxu1@jnu.edu.cn