ITC, DEPARTMENT OF EARTH OBSERVATION



UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

DO HEALTH RISK PERCEPTIONS MOTIVATE WATER -AND HEALTH-RELATED BEHAVIOUR?

CARMEN ANTHONJ, PHD ASSISTANT PROFESSOR GEOHEALTH, FOCUS ON WATER, HEALTH, DECISIONS

I wish to give an account of the kinds of waters, namely, of such as are wholesome and such as are unwholesome, and what bad and what good effects may be derived from water; for water contributes much towards health.

Hippocrates (460-377 b.c.)

WHO AM I

2020- TT Assistant Professor GeoHealth - ITC

2020 Postdoc – University Edinburgh I Business, Social Sciences
2018-2020 Postdoc – University North Carolina I Global Health
2017 Consultant Water & Health – World Bank, WHO, UNICEF
2017 Postdoc – Environment Agency Berlin I Water, Environment, Health
2017 PhD – University Clinics Bonn I Medical Geography
2012 Diplom – University Bonn I Geography



WATER AND HEALTH RESEARCH

INFRASTRUCTURE, DISEASE MONITORING, EXPOSURE, PERCEPTIONS, BEHAVIOUR IDENTIFYING SOLUTIONS, INFORMING HEALTH-RELATED DECISION-MAKING



SYSTEMATIC REVIEW ON RISK PERCEPTIONS AND BEHAVIOUR IN THE CONTEXT OF WATER AND HEALTH

Anthonj, C., Setty, K., Ferrero, G., Al-Mounawara A. Yaya, Poague, K.I.H., Marsh, A.J., Augustijn, E.-W., 2022.
 Do health risk perceptions motivate water - and health-related behaviour? A systematic literature review.
 Science of the Total Environment 819, 152902. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152902



THE TEAM



Karen Setty Environmental Health Scientist, ICF Water safety planning Giuliana Ferrero Freelance WASH Consultant Visiting Associate Professor at IHE Delft

Al-Mounawara Abiodoun Yaya Research Assistant, UNC Microbiome Core HACI, chronic infections



Kasandra Poague PhD candidate at ITC, University of Twente WASH in schools



Ellen-Wien Augustijn Assistant Professor at ITC, University of Twente Risk perceptions



Alan Marsh Postdoctoral Research Associate at UNC Microbiome Core Microbiomes, prebiotics



Carmen Anthonj Assistant Professor at ITC, University of Twente Risk perceptions, water and health





DO HEALTH RISK PERCEPTIONS MOTIVATE WATER -AND HEALTH-RELATED BEHAVIOUR?

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect
Science of the Total Environment

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv

Review

Do health risk perceptions motivate water - and health-related behaviour? A systematic literature review

Carmen Anthonj ^a.*, Karen E. Setty ^{b,c}, Giuliana Ferrero ^{d,e}, Al-Mounawara A. Yaya ^{f,g}, Kasandra Isabella Helouise Mingoti Poague ^a, Alan J. Marsh ^{f,g}, Ellen-Wien Augustijn ^a

^a Faculty of Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation, ITC, University of Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands

- ^b ICF, Durham, NC, USA
- ^e The Aquaya Institute, P.O. Box 1603, San Anselmo, CA 94979, USA
- ⁴ WASH consulting, Delft, the Netherlands
- " IHE Delft Institute for Water Education, Delft, the Netherlands

¹ Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA ⁸ UNC Microbiome Core, Center for Gastrointestinal Biology and Disease, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

HIGHLIGHTS

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

 First systematic review of risk perceptions and behaviours in the context of water and health from different continents, countries, settings and contexts around the globe

 Topics dominating literature relate to drinking water, sanitation, hygiene (WASH), waste, health risks, diseases and mental health, and preventative measures

Evidence of perceptions determining behaviour, e.g. drinking water sources and water safety

 Contextualization with disease prevention, health seeking, variations over space, geography, socioeconomy, time, and cultural context

 Relevance for WASH governance in terms of policy, awareness raising, education and behaviour change, particularly in the face of the ongoing unprecedented pandemic

Water- and health-related risk perception and behaviour literature reports information from countries around the globe, conducted in various settings and contexts, among different target populations, from various disciplinary angles, using different methods, theories and approaches. Evidence of perceptions determining behaviour is provided particularly related to drinking water sources and water safety.



- Which water- and health-related topics are covered in the risk perception and behaviour literature?
- In which context are respective studies conducted?
- How are health risk perceptions defined and measured across different settings and contexts?
- What is the evidence on water- and health-related risk perception influencing behaviour?
- Search strategy Perception dimension
 - + risk dimension
 - + behavioural dimension
 - + health and disease dimension
 - + water
 - + programming and/or

policy dimension





SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW (2000-2021)



RESULTING META TABLE

Description of included studies on risk perceptions and behaviours in the context of water and health, based on n = 187 publications (2000-2021).

				-					
Study	Focus		Study coun	try	Setting detail/context	Methods		Study populati	ion
Abu and C		Urban Poor	Ghana		Urban, flooding	g Survey questionnaire		Households	
2018. Ackumey 2012	Study characteristics: description, area,	uli ulcer in	Ghana		Urban, informal	1 Semi-structured interviews		Individuals, no	ot specified
Aibana et	population, methods	e Diseas in	Н						
Akpabio, 2	Rural Haiti	al mirre a	N		Re	esults / discussions			es, community
	Perceived risk factors				_				us community, tative
Akter and		n m	в			theoretical/			
Alemu et a	use of sanitation facilities in rural Ethiopia	n	E	descriptive		methodological	analytic	cal	itatives
2019		S				J			
Allwood e	Health / disease outcomes	nc	Ji						
Aluko et a		S ir a	N						peched
Andrade e 2019	t al., Evaluating the effectiveness of a community-based hygiene promotion	programm in	в						IOIS
Angelo et	Associations between perceptions and	d with	d with Tanzania		Rural	Semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions,		Individuals, not specified, children	
	behaviours					intervention/evaluation			
Anthonj el 2019.	exposure among Kenyan wetland communities	sease	Kenya		Rural, wetland, flooding, drought	Survey questionnaire, semi-structu group discussions	red interviews, focus	Farmers, pasto leaders, health representative	rralists, community 1 providers, political 5
Anthonj et 2018.	t al., Health Risk Perceptions Are Associated with Domestic Use of Basic Wa Sanitation Services-Evidence from Rural Ethiopia	ter and	Ethiopia		Rural	Survey questionnaire, case control intervention/evaluation	study, spot check,	Households	
	et al., Water, sanitation and hygiene in wetlands. A case study from the Ewaso Nar		Kenya		Rural wetland	Survey questionnaire, spot check, semi-structured		Farmers, pastoralists	

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW RESULTS: DESCRIPTIVE

SPACE AND TIME







GEOGRAPHY



CHARACTERISTICS AND CONTEXTS

- Rural (56%), urban (35%), peri-urban (10%), informal (2%)
- Mainly household or individual level; few studies in schools, health facilities, etc.
- Little indication of specific ecological context; few studies in islands, coasts, wetlands, etc.
- Little indication on environmental condition; few studies on flooding, drought, landslides, etc.
- Mainly research on general population; some studies on children, women, community leaders, healthcare providers, indigenous community, political representatives.
- Sciences: health; natural & environmental; social & political; development; planning; statistics; MEL; human rights; public administration, education



TOPICS AND GEOGRAPHICAL VARIATION

- Drinking water source (73%) consumption, choice
- Water safety (71%) quality, treatment, safe storage
- Hygiene (40%) handwashing, hand drying, menstrual hygiene
- Sanitation (32%)
- Waste management (24%)
- Wastewater management (13%)
- Risks: Water contamination (E. coli, arsenic, lead, micropollutants) (19%); mosquitoes (10%); proximity to water (6%); irrigated agriculture (5%)



OF TWENTE.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW RESULTS: THEORETICAL / METHODOLOGICAL

METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES

Quantitative vs. qualitative vs. mixed methods

- Quantitative approaches commonly applied:
 - Mostly semi-quantitative on-site questionnaire (67%), online (6%), postal (4%), phone (4%)
 - Few case-control (9%) or longitudinal studies (4%), spot checks and inspections (14%), water testing (12%)
- Qualitative approaches frequently employed
 - Semi-structured interviews (34%), focus group discussions (24%)
 - Few participatory studies with choice experiments, exercises, role plays, photovoice or transect walks
 - Intervention studies or evaluation research (24%), including community education or risk communication components related to WASH, health and distribution of WASH-related items.
 - Few studies conducted spatial assessments



RISK PERCEPTION CONCEPTS

Risk perceptions –worry, fear, dread – or perceived seriousness of a disease – e.g. as "serious", "real", or "deadly" - are, to a large extent, **based on prior knowledge and beliefs**. Perceptions are **associated with individual, social and cultural factors**, referring to intuitive evaluations of (health) hazards someone is or might be exposed to. **Health-related actions and inactions are often triggered and influenced by our individual risk perceptions**. A higher perceived risk increases the likelihood of positive attitudes towards protective measures. Risk perception is an important determinant of risk exposure, and to the kind of protective behaviour to be put in place. **Risk perceptions have been described as predictors of behaviour**, consisting of two components: perceived severity of consequences and perceived vulnerability to disease. A mismatch between actual hazard and perceived risk can lead to inappropriate behaviours and compliance to recommended measures.

Behaviour change is complex to achieve. Even when individuals are aware of practices to prevent health implications of preventive behaviour, the **implementation of preventive practice may not take place**. Reasons include disease prevention often not being the primary motivator for health behaviours (instead driven by desires for "order and control").

The **information source of risk communication** is a decisive factor for behaviour change. While media may impact general risk perceptions, they do not necessarily impact the personal risk perceptions that would initiate behaviour responses. Interpersonal information dissemination networks, are often perceived as more credible, efficient and effective.



THEORIES AND ASSESSMENT OF PERCEPTION

The Risks, Attitudes, Norms, Abilities and Self-regulation (RANAS) approach combines causal determinants of health behaviour based on the health belief model (HBM), the theory of planned behaviour, the protection motivation theory, the social cognitive theory, and the health action process approach.

Quantitative survey tools, to determine behavioural factors that increase safe water consumption; arsenic testing; household water treatment.

Behaviour change techniques	Behavioural factors	Desired behaviour						
Information Present facts and scenarios, inform about personal risk, arouse fear	Risk factors Am I aware of the risk? How vulnerable am I? How serious could it be for me?	Behaviour A						
Persuasion Costs/benefits, rewards, prompt to talk to others, describe consequences of behaviour/omission	Attitude factors What are the (monetary/non-monetary) costs/benefits? What feelings arise?	Intention Use Habit						
Norm Point out others' behaviour, public commitment, social pressure, group identity and role models	Norm factors What behaviour is adopted by others? What behaviour do others approve/ disapprove of?	>						
Ability Provide instruction, infrastructure and training, organize social support	Ability factors Do I know how to execute the behaviour? Do I have the confidence to continue to do so?	Behaviour B Intention Use						
Planning Encourage planning, discuss coping with barriers, strengthen commitment	Self-regulation factors Do I feel an obligation? When, where and how do I act? How do I overcome barriers?	Habit						
	1							
Social context	Physical context	Personal context						

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW RESULTS: ANALYTICAL Focus on drinking water

DRINKING WATER SAFETY AND HEALTH RISK

- Risk perceptions determining **use of basic drinking water** and sanitation services. Perceiving water quality as good, or worrying about unsafe water causing diarrhoea increased use of basic water services – Ethiopia (Anthonj et al., 2018)
- Water quality information affecting beliefs about health risks posed by source water, with perceived water safety declining where water indicated contamination - consequent purchase and use of water treatment – Cambodia (Brown et al., 2017).
- Behaviour change campaign resulting in higher **self-reported drinking water chlorination**, individual health risk perception and knowledge on diarrhoea, perceived efforts and benefits of water treatment. Increased perceived vulnerability to diarrheal diseases and improved understanding of association between water and disease Chad (Lilje & Mosler, 2018)
- Perceiving in-house water after storage, handling, and treatment as safe where microbial contamination is not readily observable or germ theory not recognized, determining beliefs on water quality and willingness to pay Cambodia (Orgill et al., 2013).

DRINKING WATER SAFETY AND HEALTH RISK

- Perceiving health risks predicting drinking water source choice (tap water), pointing to perceived safety and taste being key barriers to drinking fluoridated water from the tap -United States (Family et al., 2019).
- Regular consumption of well water with an arsenic concentration above the acceptable government standard, with **willingness to reduce exposure** being positively related to awareness of the health risks Bangladesh (Parvez et al., 2006).
- Hazard level and proximity to an environmental hazard had the largest influences on **intentions to test the water and mitigate exposure** United States (Severtson, 2013).
- Association between awareness and **switching to a safe source** equal to associations between awareness and using surface water (with or without treatments) or using an existing well after treatment or increasing the depth Bangladesh (Parvez et al., 2006).
- Individuals (88%) would buy and drink bottled water following a 'do not drink' notice.
 but 44% would still drink the polluted tap water UK (Rundblad, 2008).

OTHER RESULTS

- **Disease prevention**
 - Despite awareness that Zika virus is transmitted through mosquito bite, only half of surveyed teachers identified vector control as preventive strategy or cleaned their water containers to prevent breeding -Philippines (Gregorio et al., 2019)
- Space, geography, time, socioeconomic differences
 - Information provision on waterborne health risks changed in beliefs about drinking water safety among households with lower than median wealth and education, households living in less developed area, and corresponded with purchase and use of water purification tablets - Cambodia, (Brown et al., 2017).
 - Minority children more likely to drink mostly bottled water compared to the majority population, as they perceived bottled water as safer, cleaner, better tasting, or more convenient US (Gorelick et al., 2011)
- Health beliefs, cultural context
 - Misconceptions about tap water fluoridation and differences in beliefs about tap water safety were found to be prevalent across different income levels in urban areas – US (Family et al., 2019).



SYSTEMATIC REVIEW RESULTS: DISCUSSION

EXTENSIVE EVIDENCE

- Perception and resulting behaviour / intention to perform behaviour
- Variations across households, gender, income, location, weather, exposure, cultural context
- Risk perceptions changing over time? Lack of detail, lack of longitudinal studies (4%)
 - Decreased knowledge or adherence to risk-informed practices over time (Brown et al., 2017)
 - Improved adherence by follow-up reinforcement measures (Scobie et al., 2012).
 - Immediate cost to practising behaviours perceived as greater than long-term benefits (Ellis et al., 2020).
 - Cognitive biases often lead individuals to discount future benefits and favour immediate rewards.
- Unexpected events, sensitive life stages (e.g. pregnancy) heightening attention to risks
 - Reduced usage of well water contaminated by arsenic for drinking and cooking among pregnant women perceiving risk (He et al. 2018).
 - Success of information intervention on safe water storage and hand hygiene at attaining behavioural improvements (Davis et al., 2011).



WASH GOVERNANCE POLICY, AWARENESS, EDUCATION, BEHAVIOUR CHANGE

- Policy: Suggested use of risk perception studies to identify communities at risk and their challenges, to inform national policies, and tailor targeted contextualized interventions
- Awareness: Campaigns to increase knowledge and facilitate participation in prevention practices. Multimedia, face-to-face, mass media, community and home visits effective. Target varied audiences, be timely, relevant, understandable, and based on personal & social norms.
- Education: Educational programmes should be target group specific, and embedded in local socio-cultural (e.g. involvement of traditional leaders) and literacy contexts. Active teaching and learning most effective, regular/continuous, long-term programmes be more sustainable.
- **Behaviour change**: Interventions successfully shaping risk perceptions lead to subsequent improvements in health behaviours. Lack of capacity to recognize and understand problem, lack of possibilities and means to implement and sustain behavioural changes, lead to a failure to enact change. Need for periodic campaigns to reinforce knowledge, attitudes, practices. Programmes could be planned and implemented in a series of cycles to (a) monitor achievement of outcomes and (b) modify or adapt as needed.

LIMITATIONS

- Identification and inclusion of all relevant literature due to complex search strategy
- Exclusion of gray literature and non-English documents
- Self-reported results or syndromic surveillance
- Cross-comparison of different geographical and organizational scales, disciplinary perspectives, methodological approaches and definitions
- Context-specific, therefore, our analyses and visualizations serve as a general impression only



CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- First systematic review of risk perceptions and behaviours in the context of water and health from different continents, countries, settings, target populations and contexts around the globe
- Topics dominating literature relate to drinking water, sanitation, hygiene (WASH), waste, health risks, diseases and mental health, and preventative measures. Focus on drinking water safety
- Relevance of local risk perceptions and indigenous knowledge for WASH governance, particularly in the face of the ongoing unprecedented pandemic, to identify population at risk and determinants of behaviour for development or improvement of targeted contextualized interventions and policies
- Future research to focus more on
 - Changes over time, and more in-depth analyses on geographic and other variation
 - Comparison of results across disciplinary angles using different methods, theories and approaches
- Huge dataset that would allow for more analyses in future



PAST AND FUTURE STUDIES

RISK PERCEPTION STUDIES

Health risk perceptions informing wetland management in Kenya

Improving Monitoring and Water Point Functionality in Ethiopia School book knowledge for WASH and health education interventions

after visiting the

Modeling COVID-19 risk perception and coping appraisal in Netherlands

Climate-resilient WASH for those left behind in cities in Germany



Risk perceptions of waterrelated infectious diseases in different exposure groups

Improved health-based wetland management



Patterns, trends, factors associated with functional water points

Improvement in terms of practitioner response Map over time level of detail pupils study WASH & disease prevention

Wash your hands before preparing

food

Potential integration of school book knowledge into WASH education interventions

Simulation of COVID-19 outbreak

Identification of priority setting (time, type) of measures by the Dutch government

Targeted measures per age groups and economy



Water & health insecurity perceptions

Co-designing data collection methods / analytical techniques, jointly identifying interventions



Mixing methods, inter-disciplinary, transdisciplinary

THANK YOU!

https://www.itc.nl/global-impact/geohealth/projects/water-security-wash-and-global-health/

> Contact: Carmen Anthonj c.anthonj@utwente.nl

WATER, HEALTH AND DESICIONS



Water, sanitation, hygiene (WASH) and health in schools "Schools, depending on their access to and quality of water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) and the implementation of healthy behaviours, can be critical for the control

Drinking water infrastructure: Rainwater harvesting

and spread of many infectious diseases, including COVID-19".



While rainwater harvesting can result in numerous benefits for consumers and the water sector overall, it's not always clear how to effectively promote and increase the prevalence of this practice. (Photo by Joshua Tsu on Unsplash)

COVID-19 and water, sanitation and hygiene in schools. Implications, challenges, solutions.

The role that children play in the transmission pathways of COVID-19 remains unclear. What we know is ...

Water Security, Global Health and Decision-making



How geography can assist policy-makers and practitioners, how it can lead to practical recommendations and interventions, and how it can inform policymakers to tackle and solve health challenges.



Coronavirus in wastewater in space and time

How can spatial data help policymakers on wastewater issues in the Netherlands? An interview with Oladapo Hassan.



Water and sanitation in healthcare facilities

Within this research project, we take a comprehensive look at comparable datasets on WASH in HCFs from 11 countries.

Risk perceptions and behaviours



0