UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.





Effect of Electron Beam Irradiation on Structure and Properties of Styrene-Butadiene Rubber



Katarzyna S. Bandzierz, Louis A.E.M. Reuvekamp, Grażyna Przybytniak, Wilma K. Dierkes, <u>Anke Blume</u>, Dariusz M. Bielinski

INTERNATIONAL RUBBER CONFERENCE (IRC), KUALA LUMPUR, 4th – 6th September 2018



Crosslinking Density



Sulfur Curing

Disadvantage of sulfur curing:

- Presence of
 - double bond
 - Sulfur + accelerator in the compound
- 130 160 °C required
- Variety in crosslinks



Peroxide Curing

Disadvantage of peroxide curing:

- Presence of peroxides in the compound
- 130 160 °C required



Alternative crosslinking method: Radiation Curing



Electron beam – beam of high-energetic, accelerated electrons generated in electron accelerator



Alternative crosslinking method: Radiation Curing

- Independent of
 - double bonds
 - curing system
- Curing at room temperature is possible



- Curatives are not necessary
- Process initiatated by high-energy ionizing radiation



C-C crosslink between polymer chains

Degradation of the polymer



The higher the dose and power of radiation the higher crosslinking density.



But which radiation dose is the best for a good performance of the created network?



• E-SBR; KER 1500,

Synthos (Poland);

23.5% of bound styrene

•
$$M_w = 425\ 000\ g/mol$$

- Irradiation with doses: 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200 kGy
- Electron beam:
 - energy of 10 MeV
 - average power of 10 kW
- Irradiation conditions:
 - air atmosphere at room temperature

Reference sample: non-irradiated

Radiation curing leads to:

- C-C crosslink between polymer chains
- Degradation of the polymer

Charlesby-Rosiak tried to quantify both

reactions by sol-gel analysis

Sol-Gel Analysis

0,2 g rubber extracted with THF (30 days) – drying at 60 °C (7 days): \rightarrow insoluble (gel) fraction \rightarrow soluble (sol) fraction s



Soxhlet extractor

Charlesby-Rosiak:
$$s + \sqrt{s} = \frac{p_0}{q_0} + \left(2 - \frac{p_0}{q_0}\right) \left(\frac{D_v + D_g}{D_v + D}\right)$$



s - sol fraction

 p_0 – average chain scission density per radiation dose unit

q₀ – average crosslinking density per radiation dose unit

- D radiation dose
- D_v virtual dose
- D_g gel dose

average chain scission density / average crosslinking density = ca. 1 : 4

Effect of ionizing radiation on gel formation: gel fraction



Higher irradiation leads to higher insoluble (gel) fraction - crosslink density

Gel fraction vs crosslink density



Crosslink density

Samples swollen in toluene for 4 days at RT, dried 4 days at 60 °C, calculation according to Flory-Rehner Samples swollen in toluene for 4 days at RT, dried 4 days at 60 °C, swollen in cyclohexane for 4 days at RT, **freezing point depression** evaluated by DSC (heating rate 5 K/min)



What happens at higher dosage rates?

Crosslink density



What happens at higher dosage rates?

Gel fraction vs crosslink density

Q. Wang, Radiation Physics and Chemistry 78
(2009) 1001 – 1005: Influence on irradiation dosage on crosslinking density of E-SBR



What happens at higher dosage rates? Polymer degradation becomes more likely!

Effect of ionizing radiation on SBR structure: mechanical properties



- Higher crosslinks lead to higher hardness
- Few crosslinks lead to significant increase in modulus

Effect of ionizing radiation on SBR structure: mechanical properties



- Maximum tensile strength: ca. for 100 kGy
- Few crosslinks lead to significant reduction of EaB

Summary

- SBR can be cured by radiation
- Radiation dose influences crosslink density
- Increasing crosslink density influences hardness and stressstrain behavior

Summary

Which radiation dose is the best for a good performance of the created network?

- Required radiation dose for sufficient SBR crosslinking network ca. 150 kGy
- Charlesby-Rosiak model is applicable for radiation-curing process
- Chain scission density / Crosslinking density = ca. 1 : 4

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.



Institute of Polymer and Dye Technology Łódź University of Technology, Poland



Acknowledgements





Thanks to the Ministry of Science and Higher Education (Republic of Poland) for the financial support.



UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.



Thank you for your kind attention!



katarzyna.bandzierz@gmail.com

a.blume@utwente.nl

This paper is already published in: Radiat. Phys. Chem. 2018, 149, 14–25, DOI: 0.1016/j.radphyschem.2017.12.011



Radiation curing leads to:

- C-C crosslink between polymer chains
- Degradation of the polymer

1959: Charlesby and Pinner tries to quantify both reactions by sol-gel analysis

Sol-Gel Analysis

0,2 g rubber extracted with THF (30 days) – drying at 60 °C (7 days): \rightarrow insoluble (gel) fraction \rightarrow soluble (sol) fraction s



Soxhlet extractor

Sol-Gel Analysis

Charlesby-Pinner equation

$$s + \sqrt{s} = \frac{p_0}{q_0} + \frac{2}{q_0 u_{2,0} D}$$

s – sol fraction

 p_0 – average chain scission density per radiation dose unit q_0 – average crosslinking density per radiation dose unit $u_{2,0}$ – average degree of polymerization of the primary polymer chains

D – radiation dose

Charlesby-Pinner equation

Assumptions:

- Chain scission and crosslinking occur at random spatial distribution and proportionally to radiation dose
- Ratio between chain scission and crosslinking is constant over the whole range of doses
- Crosslinking leads to formation of tetra-functional crosslinks X, not tri-functional endlinks Y
- Initial molecular weight distribution is random:

polydispersity index PDI = $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n = 2$

 $(\overline{M}_w$ - weight-average molecular weight

 \overline{M}_n - number-average molecular weight)

Chain scission vs crosslinking

Charlesby-Pinner equation $s + \sqrt{s} = \frac{p_0}{q_0} + \frac{2}{q_0 u_{2,0} D}$ 2.0 $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n > 2$ 1.5 $s + \sqrt{s}$ $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n=2$ 1.0 $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n < 2$ 0.5 0.0 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 $\frac{1}{n}$ / kGy⁻¹ \rightarrow Limitation of this model if $\frac{\overline{M}_w}{\overline{M}_n} \neq 2$

Chain scission vs crosslinking

$$\rightarrow$$
 Limitation of this model if $\frac{\overline{M}_w}{\overline{M}_n} \neq 2$



Charlesby-Pinner equation

NO linear correlation!

 $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n > 2$

(GPC: PDI =
$$\frac{\overline{M}_w}{\overline{M}_n}$$
 = 2, 8)

Chain scission vs crosslinking

 \rightarrow Limitation of Charlesby-Pinner if $\frac{\overline{M}_w}{\overline{M}_n} \neq 2$

Charlesby-Rosiak equation

$$s + \sqrt{s} = \frac{p_0}{q_0} + \left(2 - \frac{p_0}{q_0}\right) \left(\frac{D_v + D_g}{D_v + D}\right)$$

s – sol fraction

 p_0 – average chain scission density per radiation dose unit

 q_0 – average crosslinking density per radiation dose unit

- D radiation dose
- D_v virtual dose
- D_g gel dose

Effect of ionizing radiation on SBR structure: DSC glass transition temperature (T_g)



Increase of T_g: formation of crosslinks

Yield of chain scission (G_s) and crosslinking (G_x)

Condition for effective crosslinking: $G_s/G_x < 4$

	G _s /G _x
Investigated SBR	0.49
cis-1,4 BR [1]	0.10
EPDM [2]	0.26

- SBR, BR and EPDM can be crosslinked by irradiation
- Irradiation of SBR leads to higher chain scission than in EPDM or BR

[1] Kozlov et al., Vysokomol. Soedin. A+, 11 (1969) 2230-2237[2] Geissler et al., Macromol. Chem. Physic. 179 (1978) 697-705

Effect of ionizing radiation on SBR structure

Why does the irradiation of SBR leads to higher chain scission than in EPDM or BR?



- styrene ring absorbs radiation dissipate it = more resistant to crosslinking but also to degradation
- styrene blocks stiffen the polymer chain = crosslinking is less likely

Used E-SBR; KER 1500, Synthos (Poland); 23.5% of bound styrene

	<mark>G</mark> _ in µmol / J [3]
SBR (16% of styrene)	0.30
SBR (28% of styrene)	0.16
SBR (85% of styrene)	0.03

Increasing amount of styrene hinders crosslinking.