PEDAGOGY AND ICT USE

IN SCHOOLS AROUND THE WORLD FINDINGS FROM THE IEA SITES 2006 STUDY



SITES 2006 IS A PROJECT OF
THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE
EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT (IEA)

CERC Studies in Comparative Education

PEDAGOGY AND ICT USE

IN SCHOOLS AROUND THE WORLD FINDINGS FROM THE IFA SITES 2006 STUDY

> **Edited By NANCY LAW** WILLEM J PELGRUM TJEERD PLOMP



SERIES EDITOR

Mark Mason, Director, Comparative Education Research Centre The University of Hong Kong, China

FOUNDING EDITOR (AND CURRENTLY ASSOCIATE EDITOR)

Mark Bray, Director, International Institute for Educational Planning (IIEP) UNESCO, France

ASSOCIATE EDITOR

Anthony Sweeting, Comparative Education Research Centre The University of Hong Kong, China

INTERNATIONAL EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Robert Arnove, Indiana University, USA
Beatrice Avalos, Santiago, Chile
Nina Borevskaya, Institute of Far Eastern Studies, Moscow, Russia
Michael Crossley, University of Bristol, United Kingdom
Gui Qin, Capital Normal University, China
Gita Steiner-Khamsi, Teachers College, Columbia University, USA

Comparative Education Research Centre

Faculty of Education, The University of Hong Kong Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China

© Comparative Education Research Centre First published 2008

ISBN 978-1-4020-8927-5

e-ISBN 978-1-4020-8928-2

Library of Congress Control Number 2008932307

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the written permission of the publisher.

Coordination and layout design of this publication by



Centre for Information Technology in Education Faculty of Education The University of Hong Kong

Cover design by Clement Ng

Contents

List	of Tables	xiii
List	of Figures	xvii
List	of Boxes	xxi
List	of Appendices	xxiii
List	of Online Appendices	xxiii
Abł	previations	xxvii
Ack	nowledgements	xxix
Seri	es Editor's Foreword	xxxi
For	eword by Niki Davis	xxxiii
Wil	apter One: Introduction to SITES 2006 lem PELGRUM and Nancy LAW	
1.1	Previous SITES modules 1.1.1 SITES Module 1 1.1.2 SITES-M2	2 2 7
1.2	SITES 2006 in brief	9
1.3	Countries participating in SITES 2006	10
1.4	Outline of this book	10
Nar	apter Two: Study Design and Methodology ncy LAW, Willem PELGRUM, Christian MONSEUR, Fall RSTENS, Joke VOOGT, Tjeerd PLOMP and Ronald E. AN	•
2.1	Emerging pedagogies for lifelong learning and connectedness in the 21st century	16
2.2	Conceptual framework and research questions	18
	2.2.1 Conceptual framework	18
	2.2.2 Research questions	19

vi	Contents		
2.3	Design (2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5	Teacher questionnaire (core component) Teacher questionnaire (optional component) School questionnaires The national context questionnaire The instrument design process	21 21 23 24 26 26
2.4	Samplin	ng	27
2.5	The field	d trial	30
2.6	Online o	data collection	31
2.7	Method 2.7.1 2.7.2	ological issues Development and reliability of scale indicators Reporting standards for IEA studies	33 33 34
2.8	Summa	ry	35
	_	ree: National Contexts NDERSON and Tjeerd PLOMP	
3.1	Research 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4	h questions relating to the four spheres Demographics Structure of the education systems Pedagogy ICT-related policy and activities	38 38 39 39
3.2	Method	s overview	39
3.3	Within-3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4	sphere (univariate) findings Pedagogy Structure of the education systems Pedagogy and curriculum ICT	40 40 41 46 51
3.4	Nationa 3.4.1 3.4.2	l policies for ICT and pedagogical reform Alberta, Canada Catalonia, Spain	56 56 56

56

57

57

57

58

3.4.3

3.4.4

3.4.5

3.4.6

3.4.7

Chile

Denmark

Estonia

Finland

Chinese Taipei

			Contents	xv
	3.4.8	France		59
	3.4.9	Hong Kong SAR		59
	3.4.10	Israel		59
	3.4.11	Italy		60
	3.4.12	Japan		60
	3.4.13	Lithuania		61
	3.4.14	Moscow City, Russian Federation		61
	3.4.15	Norway		62
	3.4.16	Ontario, Canada		62
	3.4.17	Russian Federation		62
	3.4.18	Singapore		63
	3.4.19	Slovak Republic		64
	3.4.20			64
	3.4.21			64
	3.4.22	Thailand		65
3.5	Conclus	ions		65
Will	I ICT lem PELG			
4.1	Introduc	ction		67
4.2	Condition	ons at the school level		68
	4.2.1	Vision		68
	4.2.2	Infrastructure (hardware and software)		74
	4.2.3	Support (technical and pedagogical)		90
	4.2.4	Staff development		94
	4.2.5	Leadership development priorities		101
	4.2.6	Organization and management		104
4.3	_	orincipals' perceptions of the presence of lifelong g pedagogy in schools: A comparison between 199 6		108
4.4	Relation	ships between school-level conditions		114
4.5	Summar	ŶV		118
-	4.5.1	Pedagogical practices		118
	4.5.2	Vision of school leaders on pedagogy and ICT		118
	4.5.3	Infrastructure		119
	4.5.4	Pedagogical and technical support		119

	4.5.5	Staff development	120
	4.5.6	Organization and structure	120
Scie	ence and	re: Pedagogical Orientations in Mathematics and the Use of ICT	ĺ
ivan	icy LAW a	and Angela CHOW	
5.1		gical orientations of mathematics and science around the world	122
	5.1.1	Pedagogical-practice orientations as reflected in teachers' espoused curriculum goals	122
	5.1.2	Pedagogical-practice orientations as reflected in teachers' practices	125
	5.1.3	Pedagogical-practice orientations as reflected in teachers' reports of students' practices	131
	5.1.4	Comparing the teacher-practice and student-practice orientations	134
5.2		ng pedagogical orientations of mathematics and science teachers	137
	5.2.1	ICT-using teacher practices	137
	5.2.2	ICT-using student practices	140
	5.2.3	Comparing overall and ICT-using teacher- practice orientations	143
	5.2.4	Comparing overall and ICT-using student- practice orientations	147
5.3	_	ation of pedagogical activities, learning resources, ent practices, and ICT-use	150
	5.3.1	Types of pedagogical activities	150
	5.3.2	Teachers and students not together in the same place and/or at the same time when learning takes place	155
	5.3.3	Learning resources	158
	5.3.4	Methods of assessing students' learning outcomes and use of ICT during that process	161
5.4	Extent a	nd perceived impacts of ICT-use on teaching and	167
	learning		
	5.4.1	Prevalence of ICT-use in mathematics classrooms and science classrooms	167

		Contents	ix
	5.4.2	Teachers' perceived impact of ICT-use on self	170
	5.4.3	Impact of ICT-use on students as perceived by	172
		mathematics teachers and science teachers	
	5.4.4	Teachers' pedagogical orientation relative to	175
		teachers' perceptions of impact of ICT-use on	
		students	
5.5	Summar	cy .	177
Cha	apter Six	:: Teacher Characteristics, Contextual Factors, an	d
Ho	w These	Affect the Pedagogical Use of ICT	
Nar	icy LAW a	and Angela CHOW	
6.1	Teachers	s' demographic characteristics and pedagogical	182
0,1	uses of I		10_
	6.1.1	Teachers' age	182
	6.1.2	Teachers' gender	186
	6.1.3	Teachers' qualifications	188
	6.1.4	Teachers' self-reported technical and pedagogical	191
		competence in ICT-use	
6.2	Organiz	ational and system-level conditions influencing	194
	ICT-use		
	6.2.1	Teachers' attendance and desire to participate in	194
		ICT-related professional development activities	100
	6.2.2	Obstacles to pedagogical ICT-use as perceived by	198
	6.2.3	teachers Presence of a community of practice in the school	203
	0.2.3	Presence of a community of practice in the school as perceived by teachers	203
		•	206
6.3		explorations of factors influencing teachers' use of	
	ICT 6.3.1	Too shows' ICT composion so	207
	6.3.2	Teachers' ICT-competence Attendance in ICT-related professional	207
	0.5.2	development activities	207
	6.3.3	Obstacles to adopting ICT in teaching	209
	6.3.4	Perceived presence of a community of practice	210
6.4	Teacher	s' pedagogical-practice orientations and their use of	211
0.1	ICT in te		411
6.5		s' vision of pedagogical use of ICT in the future	214
6.6	Summar		217
0.0	~ a	· J	/

x Contents

	apter Sev VOOGT	ven: Satistying Pedagogical Practices Using ICI	
7.1	Backgro	und to this research component	222
7.2	O	of the international option	223
7.3	Some ill	ustrative examples	226
7.4	Extent o	f use	229
7.5	Changes	s in student outcomes	229
7.6	_	s in teaching practices	234
7.7	Person i	nitiating teaching and learning aspects	240
7.8	Summai	у	244
	apter Eig acy LAW	ht: In Search of Explanations	
8.1		ional analysis of ICT-using teacher practices with evel conditions at the system level	251
8.2		rel modeling of ICT-using teacher practices and evel conditions Multilevel modeling on hierarchical data Three-level modeling of teachers' ICT-TP-LLL orientation scores on individual school-level factors	254254255
	8.2.3	Three-level modeling of teachers' ICT-TP-LLL orientation scores on all six school-level factors	258
8.3	Summar	у	261
	apter Ni acy LAW	ne: Summary and Reflections	
9.1	Summar	ry of key findings at teacher, school, and system	264
	9.1.1	Contextual factors pertinent to ICT-use and pedagogical innovation	265
	9.1.2	Pedagogical practices and ICT-use	268
	9.1.3	Impact of ICT-use on students' and teachers' pedagogical orientation	271

		Contents	xv
	9.1.4	Relationships between pedagogy, ICT-use, and school-level factors as perceived by teachers	272
	9.1.5	Relationships between ICT-using teacher practices and school-level factors at the system	273
		level	274
	9.1.6	Relationships between teachers' pedagogical orientation toward ICT-using practices and the contextual conditions at their schools	
9.2	Key find	lings and policy implications	274
	9.2.1	Key findings	275
	9.2.2	Implications of the SITES 2006 findings for ICT-related education policies	276
Refe	erences		279
Not	es on the	Authors	285
App	endix A		289
App	Appendix B		294
App	endix C		295

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Education systems that participated in SITES 2006	10
Table 2.1	Indicators included in the teacher questionnaire and	
	the corresponding question number	23
Table 2.2	Summary of the contents of the school	
	questionnaires	27
Table 3.1	Demographic* factors by country (education system)	42
Table 3.2	Structural factors by education system	44
Table 3.2	Structural factors by education system (Continued)	45
Table 3.3	Pedagogical factors by education system	48
Table 3.3	Pedagogical factors by education system (Continued)	49
Table 3.4	ICT factors by education system	54
Table 3.4	ICT factors by education system (Continued)	55
Table 4.1	Vision of school leaders regarding pedagogy (mean (s.e.))	70
Table 4.2	Percentages(s) of school leaders indicating that ICT-	
	use is very important for achieving specified	
	pedagogical objectives	73
Table 4.3	Percentages (standard errors) of schools in 1998 and	
	2006 able to provide Grade 8 students with access to	
	computers and percentages of these schools with	
	access to internet	75
Table 4.4	Percentages (standard errors) of schools that possessed	
	a certain quantity of projectors ("beamers") for	
	presentation of digital materials	79
Table 4.5	Percentages (standard errors) of schools in which	
	common types of technology applications and facilities	
	were available	81
Table 4.6	Percentages (standard errors) of technology	
	coordinators indicating that common types of	
	technology applications and facilities were not	
	available but needed	83

Table 4.7	Percentages (standard errors) of school principals	
	giving high priority to a number of infrastructure- related issues	84
Table 4.8	Percentages (standard errors) of technology	01
	coordinators indicating that the school's capacity to	
	realize its pedagogical goals was hindered "a lot" by	
	each of the obstacles listed	87
Table 4.9	Percentages (standard errors) of technology	
	coordinators reporting where computers were located	
	in their school*	89
Table 4.10	Percentages (standard errors) of technology	
	coordinators indicating the maintenance options	
	available in their schools	90
Table 4.11	Percentages of schools where specific categories of	
	persons are involved in providing technical support	92
Table 4.12	Average percentages (across education systems) of	
	school principals marking obstacles hindering	
	realization of the school's pedagogical goals "a lot"	96
Table 4.13	Percentages (standard errors) of schools requiring	
	acquisition of knowledge and skills in the listed topics	98
Table 4.14	Percentages (standard errors) of schools using	
	particular channels for teachers to acquire knowledge	
	and skills	99
Table 4.15	Percentages (standard errors) of schools where	
	different types of courses were available for teachers,	
	internally and/or externally	100
Table 4.15	Percentages (standard errors) of schools where	
	different types of courses were available for teachers,	
	internally and/or externally (Continued)	101
Table 4.16	Percentages (standard errors) of schools expressing a	
	high priority for training in several areas	102
Table 4.16	Percentages (standard errors) of schools expressing a	
	high priority for training in several areas (Continued)	103
Table 4.17	Percentages (s.e.) of schools that had taken particular	
	measures relating to management/organizational issues	106
Table 4.18	Percentages (standard errors) of schools that had taken	
	particular organizational actions	107

Table 4.19	Percentages (standard errors) of schools that had taken	
	particular actions (monthly or weekly) regarding	
	internal and external communication	108
Table 4.19	Percentages (standard errors) of schools that had taken	
	particular actions (monthly or weekly) regarding	
	internal and external communication (Continued)	109
Table 4.20	Correlations between school-level indicators aggre-	
	gated at the system level (including only those educa-	
	tion systems which met the sampling standards)	116
Table 5.1a	Listing of how often, on average, the mathematics	
	teachers of each system practiced different methods of	
	organizing teaching and learning activities	152
Table 5.1b	Listing of how often, on average, the science teachers	
	of each system practiced different methods of	
	organizing teaching and learning activities	153
Table 5.2	Frequency with which mathematics teachers were	
	using different learning resources and tools in the	
	target class	160
Table 5.3	Correlations of system means of teacher-practice-	
	orientation scores with corresponding mean impact	
	scores of ICT-use on students as perceived by science	
	teachers	176
Table 6.1a	Number of mathematics teachers in the different age	
	groups and the percentage of mathematics teachers in	
	each age group who had used ICT with their target	
	classes	184
Table 6.1b	Number of science teachers in the different age groups	
	and the percentage of science teachers in each age	
	group who had used ICT with their target classes	185
Table 6.2	Number of male teachers and female teachers and the	
	percentage of teachers in each gender group who used	
	ICT with their target classes	187
Table 6.3	Number of mathematics teachers with different	
	educational qualifications and the percentage of	
	teachers in each qualification category who had used	
	ICT with their target classes	190

Table 6.4	Odds ratios and the levels of statistical significance	
	emerging from the binary logistics regression analysis	
	of the relationship between personal and contextual	
	factors (as perceived by mathematics teachers) and	
	mathematics teachers' pedagogical ICT-use	208
Table 6.5	Odds ratios for the nine pedagogical-orientation scores	
	and the levels of statistical significance that emerged	
	from the binary logistics regression analysis of	
	mathematics teachers' pedagogical ICT-use	213
Table 7.1	Increase in aspects of student outcomes; comparison of	
	perceptions of mathematics teachers and science	
	teachers who were using ICT on a weekly basis and	
	those who were using ICT during a specific period in	
	the school year (% and (s.e.))	234
Table 7.2	Mathematics teachers who perceived increases in	
	student outcomes (% and (s.e.))	236
Table 7.3	Increase in aspects of teaching; comparison of	
	perceptions of mathematics and science teachers who	
	were using ICT on a weekly basis and those teachers	
	using ICT during a specific period of the school year	
	(% and (s.e.))	239
Table 7.4	Mathematics teachers' perceptions of changes	
10.010 771	(increase) in teaching practices (% and (s.e.))	241
Table 7.5	Student as initiator of aspects of teaching and learning;	
146167.0	comparison of perceptions of mathematics teachers	
	and science teachers who were using ICT on a weekly	
	basis with those teachers who were using ICT during a	
	specific period of the school year (% and (s.e.))	244
Table 7.6	Mathematics teachers' perceptions of student as initiator	
10010 7.0	in various aspects of teaching and learning (% and (SE))	245
Table 8.1	Correlations of system-level means of specified school-	210
Tuble 0.1	level factors and the ICT-using teacher-practice	
	orientations of science teachers	252
Table 8.2	Summary of key results for the six single-factor three-	202
14010 0.2	level analyses	257
Table 8.3	Summary of the key results in the three-level analysis	_0,
0.0	with six school factors and one system variable	261

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Overall conceptual framework for SITES 2006	19
Figure 3.1	Four spheres of contextual factors	38
Figure 4.1	Means and confidence intervals for an indicator of	
Ü	lifelong-learning ICT-vision	71
Figure 4.2	Percentages of schools falling within five student-	
	computer ratio categories	76
Figure 4.3	Percentages of technology coordinators who per-	
	ceived the insufficient number of computers con-	
	nected to the internet as hindering "to a great extent"	
	realization of their pedagogical goals, broken down	
	by student internet-computer-ratio categories	86
Figure 4.4	Means (across items) and confidence intervals of the	
	extent to which technology coordinators reported	
	that technical support was available for teachers	93
Figure 4.5	Means (across items) and confidence intervals of the	
	extent to which school leaders reported that peda-	
	gogical support was available for teachers	95
Figure 4.6	Percentages of school principals averaged across a set	
	of items indicating "a lot" of presence of emerging	
	pedagogy in SITES-M1 (1998) and SITES 2006 (2006)	111
Figure 4.7	Mean score on indicators of the lifelong-learning	
	vision of school principals and perceived presence of	
	this pedagogical paradigm	113
Figure 4.8	Mean score on indicators of presence of lifelong-	
	learning-oriented practices (by school principals) and	
	perceptions of students' engagement in these types of	
	activities by teachers	114
Figure 4.9	Mean score on indicators of lifelong-learning-	
-	pedagogical vision and the number of years	
	education systems had experience with ICT	117

Figure 5.1	Mathematics teachers' and science teachers'	
	pedagogical-practice orientations as reflected in their	
	espoused curriculum goals	126
Figure 5.2	Pedagogical-practice orientations as reflected in	
	mathematics teachers' and science teachers' practices	129
Figure 5.3	Pedagogical-practice orientations as reflected in	
	students' practices and reported by mathematics	
	teachers and science teachers	135
Figure 5.4	Radar diagrams for comparisons of pedagogical	
	orientations across indicator sets and systems	136
Figure 5.5	Radar diagrams showing the teacher-practice and	
	student-practice orientation scores for science	
	teachers in each of the participating systems	138
Figure 5.5	Radar diagrams showing the teacher-practice and	
	student-practice orientation scores for science teachers	
	in each of the participating systems (Continued)	139
Figure 5.6	Mean ICT-using teacher-practice orientations reported	
	by mathematics teachers and science teachers	141
Figure 5.7	Mean ICT-using student-practice orientations reported	
	by mathematics teachers and science teachers	142
Figure 5.8	Radar diagrams on the overall and ICT-using teacher	
	practices for science teachers in each of the participa-	
	ting systems	144
Figure 5.8	Radar diagrams on the overall and ICT-using teacher	
	practices for science teachers in each of the participa-	
	ting systems (Continued)	145
Figure 5.9	Radar diagrams on the overall and ICT-using student	
	practices for science teachers in each of the participa-	
	ting systems	148
Figure 5.9	Radar diagrams on the overall and ICT-using student	
	practices for science teachers in each of the participa-	
	ting systems (Continued)	149
Figure 5.10	Mean frequencies of separation of learners and	
	teachers in space and time in different teaching and	
	learning situations as reported by mathematics	
	teachers and science teachers	157
Figure 5.11	Mean percentages of mathematics teachers and	
	science teachers using the three types of assessment	
	methods	163

Figure 5.12	Mean percentages of mathematics teachers and science teachers using ICT in each of the three types	
	of assessment methods	166
Figure 5.13	Percentages of mathematics teachers and science teachers reporting having used ICT in the teaching	
	and learning activities of their target classes	169
Figure 5.14	Mathematics teachers' and science teachers' perce-	
T: = 4=	ived impact of ICT-use on themselves	171
Figure 5.15	Mathematics teachers' and science teachers' percep-	
	tions of extent of various kinds of impact of ICT-use	454
T	on students	174
Figure 6.1	Mathematics teachers' and science teachers' self-	400
T	reported technical and pedagogical ICT-competence	193
Figure 6.2	Scatterplots of percentages of mathematics teachers'	
	reported use of ICT with their target class and their	
	mean levels of self-reported technical and pedago-	
	gical ICT-competence	195
Figure 6.3	Teachers' participation in professional development	
	activities	197
Figure 6.4	Mean percentages of obstacles within each of the	
	three categories that mathematics teachers and	
	science teachers reported experiencing	201
Figure 6.5	Scatterplots of the percentages of science teachers'	
	reported use of ICT with their target class versus the	
	mean percentages of each of the three kinds of obsta-	
	cles experienced by science teachers when using ICT	
	in the participating systems	202
Figure 6.6	Mathematics teachers' and science teachers' perce-	
	ptions of presence of different aspects of a commu-	
	nity of practice in their schools	205
Figure 6.7	Association between mathematics teachers' and	
O	science teachers' pedagogical-practice orientations	
	and their vision for ICT-use in the coming two years	215
Figure 6.8	Scatterplot of the percentage of science teachers	
0	reporting using ICT with their target class relative to	
	their mean-reported priority for ICT-use in the	
	coming two years	216
Figure 7.1a	Extent and modes of extensive use of ICT by	_10
	mathematics teachers	230

Figure 7.1b	Extent and modes of extensive use of ICT by science	221
E: 7.2-	teachers	231
Figure 7.2a	Mathematics teachers' perceptions of changes in student outcomes due to ICT	232
Figure 7.2b	Science teachers' perceptions of changes in student	232
riguie 7.20	outcomes due to ICT	233
Figure 7.3a	Mathematics teachers' perceptions of changes in	233
rigure 7.5a	teaching practices due to ICT use in the specified	
	pedagogical activity	237
Figure 7.3b	Science teachers' perceptions of changes in teaching	201
1160107.50	practices due to ICT use in the specified pedagogical	
	activity	238
Figure 7.4a	Mathematics teachers' identification of person	200
rigare 7.1a	initiating aspects of teaching and learning	242
Figure 7.4b	Science teachers' identification of person initiating	212
1164167.10	aspects of teaching and learning	243
Figure 7.5	Percentages of mathematics teachers reporting that	_ 10
	their Grade 8 students initiated the content and	
	learning goals of the specified pedagogical activity	246
Figure 7.6	Percentages of mathematics teachers reporting that	
O	their Grade 8 students initiated determination of the	
	location, planning of time, and time needed for	
	learning content related to the specified pedagogical	
	activity	247
Figure 7.7	Percentages of mathematics teachers reporting that	
	their Grade 8 students initiated getting started on,	
	choosing learning resources for, organizing grouping,	
	and choosing learning activities related to the	
	specified pedagogical activity	248
Figure 7.8	Percentages of mathematics teachers reporting that	
=	their Grade 8 students initiated deciding when to	
	take a test, demonstrate achievement, monitor	
	progress, and provide feedback in relation to the	
	specified pedagogical practice	249

List of Boxes

Box 1.1	Types of pedagogical practices examined in SITES-M1	5
Box 4.1	Question to school principals about resource priorities	85
Box 5.1	List of curriculum-goal items contributing to the three	
	goal-orientation scores	124
Box 5.2	List of teacher practices associated with the three	
	teacher-practice orientations	127
Box 5.3	List of items pertaining to the three student practices	131
Box 5.4	List of assessment methods included in the teacher	
	questionnaire	162
Box 5.5	Kinds of impact of ICT-use on teachers	170
Box 5.6	Kinds of impact of ICT-use on students	173
Box 6.1	Technical and pedagogical professional development	
	activities listed in the teacher questionnaire	196
Box 6.2	The three categories of obstacles experienced by teachers	
	in their use of ICT in teaching	199
Box 6.3	Different aspects of the presence of a community of	
	practice in schools	204
Box 6.4	Areas of priority for use of ICT in the next two years	
	listed according to the pedagogical-practice orientations	
	evident within these areas	214
Box 7.1	Overview of pedagogy in an industrial society versus an	
	information society	224
Box 7.2	Instruction for the description of most satisfying	
	pedagogical practice	225
Box 7.3	Examples of most-satisfying pedagogical practices in	
	mathematics from countries participating in the	
	international option	227
Box 7.4	Examples of most-satisfying pedagogical practices in	
	science from countries participating in the international	
	option	228
Box 8.1	Meaning of the abbreviations for the six school-level	
	predictors included in the multilevel analysis models	255

List of Appendices

Appendix A	SITES 2006 Personnel	289
Appendix B	Systems participating in the three SITES modules	294
Appendix C	Binary logistic regression	295

List of Online Appendices

Due to the limitation of space, some analysis results are not presented in the printed version of the report, but are made available online at http://www.sites2006.net/appendix. The following is the list of online appendices, including (a) the list of survey questionnaires, (b) the list of online tables of results, and (c) the list of online figures of results.

List of survey questionnaires

WQ1	$Question naire for \ National \ Research \ Coordinators$
WQ2	Principal Questionnaire
WQ3	Technical Questionnaire
WQ4	Teacher Questionnaire

List of online tables of results

Table W4.1	Percentages of schools per student-Internet-computer ratio category (only for schools possessing computers)
Table W4.2	Development of student-computer ratio's between 1998 and 2006

Table W4.3	Percentages (standard errors) of schools where certain numbers (0, 1, 2-5, or more than 5) PDAs, graphical calculators or smart boards where available
Table W4.4	Percentages (standard errors) of schools where certain percentages of students (<10%, 10-24%, etc.) brought their own PDA, graphical calculator or laptop to school
Table W4.5	Percentages (standard errors) of school principals indicating "a lot" of presence of approaches reflected in items underlying the indicator shown in Figure 4.6
Table W5.2S	Frequency with which science teachers were using different learning resources and tools in the target class
Table W5.3M	Correlations of system means of teacher-practice- orientation scores with corresponding mean impact scores of ICT-use on students as perceived by mathematics teachers
Table W6.3S	Number of science teachers with different educational qualifications and the percentage of teachers in each qualification category who had used ICT with their target classes
Table W6.4S	Odds ratios and the levels of statistical significance emerging from the binary logistics regression analysis of the relationship between personal and contextual factors (as perceived by science teachers) and science teachers' pedagogical ICT-use
Table W6.5S	Odds ratios for the nine pedagogical-orientation scores and the levels of statistical significance that emerged from the binary logistics regression analysis of science teachers' pedagogical ICT-use
Table W7.2S	Science teachers who perceived increases in student outcomes (% and (s.e.))
Table W7.4S	Science teachers' perceptions of changes (increase) in teaching practices (% and (s.e.))
Table W7.6S	Science teachers' perceptions of student as initiator in various aspects of teaching and learning (% and (s.e.))

List of online figures of results

Figure W5.5M Radar diagrams showing the teacher-practice and student-practice orientation scores in the subject of mathematics for each of the participating systems Figure W5.5M Radar diagrams showing the teacher-practice and student-practice orientation scores in the subject of mathematics for each of the participating systems (Continued) Figure W5.8M Radar diagrams on the overall and ICT-using teacher practices in the subject of Mathematics for each of the participating systems Figure W5.8M Radar diagrams on the overall and ICT-using teacher practices in the subject of Mathematics for each of the participating systems (Continued) Figure W5.9M Radar diagrams on the overall and ICT-using student practices in the subject of Mathematics for each of the participating systems Figure W5.9M Radar diagrams on the overall and ICT-using student practices in the subject of Mathematics for each of the participating systems (Continued) Figure W6.2S Scatterplots of percentages of science teachers' reported use of ICT with their target class and their mean levels of self-reported technical and pedagogical ICTcompetence Figure W6.5M Scatterplots of the percentages of mathematics teachers' reported use of ICT with their target class versus the mean percentages of each of the three kinds of obstacles experienced by science teachers when using ICT in the participating systems

Scatterplot of the percentage of mathematics teachers Figure W6.8M reporting using ICT with their target class relative to their mean-reported priority for ICT-use in the coming two years

Figure W7.5S Percentages of science teachers reporting that their Grade 8 students initiated the content and learning goals of the specified pedagogical activity

Figure W7.6S Percentages of science teachers reporting that their Grade 8 students initiated determination of the location, planning of time, and time needed for learning content related to the specified pedagogical activity

Figure W7.7S Percentages of science teachers reporting that their Grade 8 students initiated getting started on, choosing learning resources for, organizing grouping, and choosing learning activities related to the specified pedagogical activity

Figure W7.8S Percentages of science teachers reporting that their Grade 8 students initiated deciding when to take a test, demonstrate achievement, monitor progress, and provide feedback in relation to the specified pedagogical practice

Abbreviations

BECTA British Educational Communications and

Technology Agency

CAB Alberta Province, Canada
CAD computer-aided design
CFA confirmatory factor analysis

CHL Chile

COP community of practice COT Ontario Province, Canada

DNK Denmark

DPC IEA Data Processing and Research Center

ECT Catalonia, Spain

EMB Education and Manpower Bureau of Hong Kong

ERT European Roundtable of Industrialists

EST Estonia

EU European Union

FIN Finland FRA France

GDP gross domestic product

GIS geographic information system

HKG Hong Kong SAR

HLM Hierarchical Linear and Nonlinear Modelling

ICC international coordinating committee

ICT information and communication technology

ICT-EXP Mean length of experience that schools in a system

had with using ICT for pedagogical practices

ICT-TP-LLL score for ICT-using teacher practices oriented

towards promoting lifelong learning

IEA International Association for the Evaluation of

Educational Achievement

ISCED International Standard Classification of Education

ISR Israel

IT information technology

xxviii Abbreviations

ITA Italy JPN Japan

LEADERSHIP Principal's priority for leadership development

LLL lifelong learning

LMS learning management system

LTU Lithuania

MPITE Masterplan for IT in Education (Singapore)
NCES National Center for Educational Statistics
NCQ national coordinator questionnaire

NOR Norway

NRC national research coordinator

ODC online data collection

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and

Development

PC personal computer

PD professional development PDA personal digital assistant PEDASUP level of pedagogical support

PISA Programme for International Student Assessment PSTD Programma di sviluppo delle tecnologie didattiche

RUM Moscow, Russian Federation

RUS Russian Federation

SAR Special Administrative Region

Acknowledgements

From the Executive Director of the IEA, Dr Hans Wagemaker

The International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA) has, for 50 years, conducted comparative research studies focusing on educational policies, practices and outcomes in more than 90 countries around the world. Organized around a secretariat located in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, and a data-processing center in Hamburg, Germany, the IEA, through its various projects, continues to study and report on widely varying topics and subject matters, including the use and impact of information technology in education. This volume reports the outcome of the IEA's most recent study in this area.

The IEA is particularly indebted to the directors of this project, Professor Nancy Law, Professor Tjeerd Plomp, and Dr Hans Pelgrum, for their leadership. We also strongly acknowledge the guidance provided by the members of the steering committee. Projects like SITES are not possible without a considerable amount of financial support. In this regard, I thank the Ford Foundation, the countries that contributed financially to this project and, in particular, the governments of Norway and Japan for their financial input. Also critical to the success of international projects such as SITES is the willingness of participating countries to commit to a set of common goals and procedures. Many teachers and principals gave willingly of their time, and for that I and my secretariat colleagues are continually thankful. Finally, I extend particular and sincere thanks to the national research coordinators, whose input has made this project a success and this volume possible.

From the Volume Editors and Authors

The international collaborative effort that is SITES 2006 was made possible through the contribution of many persons. We thank the NRCs of the 22 education systems that participated in this study (Appendix A gives names and contact details). They contributed substantially to its design, including questionnaire development. They also translated the instruments (where necessary) and collected the data from schools and teachers in their countries. We greatly appreciate the cooperation of the

schools (roughly 9,000), their principals, and the technology coordinators and teachers (around 35,000). The international coordination of SITES 2006 was run by a consortium consisting of (1) an international coordination centre at the University of Twente (Tjeerd Plomp, study director, and W. J. Pelgrum, international coordinator), (2) the University of Hong Kong (Nancy Law and her team at the Centre for Information Technology in Education, University of Hong Kong), and (3) the IEA Data Processing and Research Center (DPC) in Hamburg.

We express our sincere thanks to Christian Monseur, University of Liège, the sampling coordinator for the study, and to our colleagues at the DPC for their expertise throughout SITES 2006. In particular, we acknowledge the DPC for designing an online data collection (ODC) system that proved very well tailored for this large-scale international comparative study. This system marked the first use of ODC in the history of international comparative assessments. We also greatly appreciate the many thoughtful suggestions received at various stages of the study from Ronald Anderson and Alfons ten Brummelhuis as members of the study's international steering committee. We are particularly grateful to the gracious hospitality of our hosts during NRC meetings held outside of the study consortium: Pornpun Waitayangkoon, Somsri Tangmongkollert (Phuket, Thailand), Renata Picco, and Roberto Melchiori (Frascati, Italy).

We furthermore appreciate the contribution of the IEA secretariat, in particular, Barbara Malak, for running the translation verifications of the questionnaires used in each participating system. The advice given by the IEA editorial committee, in particular, from David Robitaille, University of British Columbia, helped us fine-tune the structure and style of the writing in this book, and thereby contributed much to its improvement over earlier drafts. Paula Wagemaker worked under great time pressure and did an excellent copyediting job on the manuscript. We also acknowledge our colleagues at our own institutions. S. Schele at the University of Twente helped format some of the tables and figures. Angela Chow, Cindy Yip, Albert Chan, and Man-Wai Lee at the University of Hong Kong contributed to the data-analysis; Albert Chan put in great efforts designing the table and figure format and did most of the formatting and layout of the manuscript; and Clement Ng designed the cover of this book. Finally, we express our gratitude to the Ford Foundation and the participating national centers for financing the international overhead costs of this study.

Series Editor's Foreword

The International Association for the Evaluation of Academic Achievement, or IEA, conducts studies in countries across the world that are explicitly comparative, but although this might be the first reason to welcome this volume into the CERC Studies in Comparative Education Series, it is certainly not the last. This book reports and analyses the findings of the Second Information Technology in Education Study (SITES 2006), which was conducted under the auspices of the IEA. This is the first time that a book in this series has been solely dedicated to an IEA study, so why have we decided to publish this one in particular? Well, perhaps it's about time. One of the earliest volumes in the series – the sixth, in fact, published in 1999 - was Neville Postlethwaite's International Studies of Educational Achievement: Methodological Issues. Seventeen volumes and nine years later, we're publishing one such study. Postlethwaite's introduction to international survey studies and engagement with methodological issues that included sampling, instrument construction, and data collection, management and analysis, contributed critical insights to this highly significant and substantial field of comparative education research, and is today viewed as one of the key methodological texts in the field. This study, reported by Nancy Law, Willem Pelgrum and Tjeerd Plomp, represents the best of what Postlethwaite set down. The editors of this book are widely recognized as among the leading scholars globally in the field of information and communications technology (ICT) in education. And Nancy Law's Centre for Information Technology in Education (CITE) is recognized as a leading academic centre in the field.

One of the consequences of the increasing rate of globalization has been a reconsideration of national goals of education, which in some cases has contributed to national declarations of educational purposes that indicate an apparent need for education to go beyond the teaching of knowledge and skills to preparing younger generations to contribute to innovation and problem solving as members of a team. Such changes in educational goals have also brought about changes in methods of organizing and conducting teaching and of enhancing learning, as well as changes in roles played by teachers and learners. This book reports on a comparative study of ICT in education in the context of such global changes in policies and practices in education. Hence it is as much a book on pedagogy and changes in educational goals and practices as it is a book on ICT. The findings reported in this book will be valuable for education policy makers, practitioners, researchers and anyone else interested in understanding the changes in pedagogical practices in classrooms around the world, and the roles played by ICT in those changes. The book also sheds light on how policies and strategies at the school and system levels might influence whether and how ICT is to be used in classrooms.

In the Series Editor's Foreword to the previous volume published in this series, a month prior to the publication of this volume, I mentioned that CERC has recently been described, by the Co-Editor of the *Comparative Education Review*, David Post, as "one of the world's most important publishers of research in the field of comparative education". This volume, in its application of comparative education's research methods to the field of information and communications technology in education, is yet another reason why.

Mark Mason

Editor CERC Studies in Comparative Education Series

Director Comparative Education Research Centre The University of Hong Kong

Foreword

How is information and communication technology (ICT) changing teaching and learning practices in secondary schools worldwide in the 21st-century? This is the central question addressed by researchers involved in the series of surveys comprising the Second Information Technology in Education Study (SITES). The question is a multifaceted one, with each facet raising additional questions relating to both theory and practice. These include the following:

- What traditional and new pedagogies are evident in the 21st century?
- What is the role of ICT in the teaching and learning process?
- What ICT-infrastructure is available in schools?
- How can teachers and their administrators be prepared for effective practice?
- How have these conditions and considerations changed since the first SITES survey in 1998?
- What are the trends within and between national education systems?
- What do the differences and similarities between these systems suggest?
- How should change be promoted in education in order to support teachers in their work?
- Is there evidence that key strategic factors commonly found in ICT-related educational policies do influence teachers' pedagogical use of ICT?

Because these questions are interconnected, the SITES 2006 researchers recognized that if we are to make sense of changes in pedagogical practices as a result of ICT-use, then we need to view those practices in terms of the interacting layers in the 22 education systems surveyed. The evidence presented in this report was therefore drawn from "layers" within each education system, most notably from principals and technology coordinators within the set of schools sampled for each system and from at least two mathematics and two science

teachers teaching Grade 8 classes in each school. The evidence presented here also relates to a comparison across 15 of the 22 systems between the data gathered from the 2006 survey and that gathered from the 1998 survey (Pelgrum & Anderson, 1999).

The SITES researchers took extraordinary care with the thousands of questionnaires in many languages that came out of these surveys to ensure the data they contained could be compared across levels, systems, and time. The information that has emerged from the surveys confirms the complexity of change relative to ICT in education and the need for ecological perspectives on the socio-cultural changes occurring in education worldwide. The diversity of factors that influence a teacher's adoption of ICT can also be envisioned in layers that frame perspectives of the classroom as nested within the school, the local area, the region, and the global "biosphere" of education. For example, current theoretical models describe multi-staged adoption of ICT in a classroom that stems from each teacher's current concerns, with these, in turn, inter-connected with the vision of the leader of the department and the school (Davis, 2008).

The chapters of this book have been carefully organized to take readers through three layers of educational ecologies and their interactions, and also to educate readers on the many methodological challenges that beset the SITES researchers and the ways in which they solved them. Technology also played its part in the research process, with the participating systems able to engage in online data collection if they so chose, and with researchers having access to analytical tools including relational analysis with multi-level modeling. Building on the SITES 2003 case studies of innovative practice (Kozma et al., 2003), the researchers involved in SITES 2006 categorized pedagogical practices into traditional and two complementary aspects of 21st-century pedagogy, namely lifelong-learning and connectedness.

The findings presented in this book are fascinating and valuable. If the relevant agents within each system act on the implications arising out of these findings, we should see a considerably more effective use of the very large investments made worldwide in ICT in education. It is relevant to note here that publication of this important book coincides with UNESCO's release of its ICT-competency standards for teachers (UNESCO, 2008), which in itself is a confirmation that governments, experts, and practitioners increasingly are recognizing the important role that ICT can play in supporting educational improvement and reform.

The book's recommendations not only combine well but also verify an ecological perspective that could have better informed past initiatives. For example, adoption of SITES 2006 recommendation 5, "Policies that adopt a balanced, holistic approach catering for leadership development, professional development, pedagogical and technical support for ICT-use as well as improved ICT-infrastructure in schools will be more successful than policies focusing on one or two strategic areas,"could have avoided the widely publicized challenges of inadequate leadership development and infrastructure experienced in mandatory ICT-related teacher training in the UK (Davis, Preston & Sahin, 2008). In addition, the positive effect of recommendation 5 would be amplified many times if combined with recommendation 7, which links school development into the broader curriculum framework of the system or nation, and even more so if it were to include the 21st-century student outcomes emphasized in recommendation 1.

If our society is to adjust to and avoid damaging turmoil, alienation, and the threat of disintegration, then the impact and potential of ICT must be at everyone's fingertips. In short, we all have a role in its development (Dutton, 2004). It may be impossible to change our 19th-and 20th-century education systems to serve new generations equitably, but we must strive to do so. Lifelong learning and connectedness are essential additions to education designed for the 21st century, but they will not take firm root unless they are aligned with development of appropriate ICT-related pedagogies across and within our interlinked educational ecosystems, and herein lies the importance of this report on the SITES 2006 survey. This book provides the world with an extraordinarily valuable comparative study, and I recommend it to leaders of all education systems.

References

Davis, N.E. (in press). How may teacher learning be promoted for educational renewal with IT? Models and theories of IT diffusion. In J.M. Voogt & G.A. Knezek (Eds.), *International handbook of information technology in primary and secondary education*. New York: Springer.

Davis, N.E., Preston, C., & Sahin, I. (in press). ICT teacher training: Evidence for multi-level evaluation from a national initiative. *British Journal of Educational Technology*.

- Dutton, W. (2004). Social transformation in an information society: Rethinking access to you and the world. Paris: UNESCO WSIS Publication Series. Retrieved July 22, 2007, from http://portal.unesco.org/ci/en/ev.php-URL_ID=12848&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html
- Kozma, R.B. (Ed.). (2003). *Technology, innovation, and educational change: A global perspective*. Eugene, OR: International Society for Technology in Education.
- Pelgrum, W.J., & Anderson R.E. (Eds.) (1999). *ICT and the emerging paradigm for life-long learning*. Amsterdam: International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement.
- UNESCO. (2008). *UNESCO's ICT competency standards for teachers: Towards ICT skills for teachers*. Retrieved January 10, 2008, from http://cst.unesco-ci.org/sites/projects/cst/default.aspx

Niki Davis

Past President of the Society of IT in Teacher Education

Director, Iowa State University Center for Technology in Learning & Teaching
Ames, Iowa, USA