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#### Abstract

A graph $G$ is chordal if it contains no chordless cycle of length at least four and is $k$-chordal if a longest chordless cycle in $G$ has length at most $k$. In this note it is proved that all $\frac{3}{2}$-tough 5 -chordal graphs have a 2 -factor. This result is best possible in two ways. Examples due to Chvátal show that for all $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a ( $\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon$ )-tough chordal graph with no 2 -factor. Furthermore, examples due to Bauer and Schmeichel show that the result is false for 6 -chordal graphs. © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

We begin with a few definitions and some notation. Other definitions will be given later, as needed. A good reference for any undefined terms is [7]. We consider only undirected graphs with no loops or multiple edges. Let $G$ be a graph. Then $G$ is hamiltonian if it has a Hamilton cycle, i.e., a cycle containing all of its vertices. It is traceable if it has a path containing all of its vertices. Let $\omega(G)$ denote the number of components of $G$. Then $G$ is $t$-tough if $|S| \geqslant t \omega(G-S)$ for every subset $S$ of the vertex set $V$ of $G$ with $\omega(G-S)>1$. The toughness of $G$, denoted $\tau(G)$, is the maximum value of $t$ for which $G$ is $t$-tough (taking $\tau\left(K_{n}\right)=(n-1) / 2$ for all $n \geqslant 1$ ). A $k$-factor

[^0]is a $k$-regular spanning subgraph. Of course, a Hamilton cycle is a 2 -factor. We say $G$ is chordal if it contains no chordless cycle of length at least four and is $k$-chordal if a longest chordless cycle in $G$ has length at most $k$.

Our work was motivated by a desire to understand the relationship between the toughness of a graph and its cycle structure. For a survey of recent work in this area, see [3-5]. Toughness was introduced by Chvátal in [9]. An obvious connection between toughness and hamiltonicity is that being 1-tough is a necessary condition for a graph to be hamiltonian. Chvátal conjectured that there exists a finite constant $t_{0}$ such that every $t_{0}$-tough graph is hamiltonian. This conjecture is still open. Until recently it was believed that the smallest value of $t_{0}$ for which this might be true was $t_{0}=2$. We now know this is false.

Theorem 1.1 (Bauer et al. [1]). For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a $\left(\frac{9}{4}-\varepsilon\right)$-tough nontraceable graph.

Chvátal also conjectured that every $k$-tough graph on $n$ vertices with $n \geqslant k+1$ and $k n$ even has a $k$-factor. This was established in [10].

Theorem 1.2 (Enomoto et al. [10]). Let $G$ be a $k$-tough graph on $n$ vertices with $n \geqslant k+1$ and $k n$ even. Then $G$ has a $k$-factor.

It was also shown in [10] that Theorem 1.2 is best possible.
Theorem 1.3 (Enomoto et al. [10]). Let $k \geqslant 1$. For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $a(k-\varepsilon)$ tough graph $G$ on $n$ vertices with $n \geqslant k+1$ and $k n$ even which has no $k$-factor.

The above results imply that while 2 -tough graphs have 2 -factors, there exists an infinite sequence of graphs without 2 -factors having toughness approaching 2. In [11] it was shown that a similar statement holds for split graphs. A graph $G$ is called a split graph if its vertices can be partitioned into an independent set and a clique.

Theorem 1.4 (Kratsch et al. [11]). Every $\frac{3}{2}$-tough split graph is Hamiltonian.
In [9, p. 223], Chvátal found a sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of non-2-factorable graphs with $\tau\left(G_{n}\right) \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$. These graphs were in fact split graphs.

Theorem 1.5. There is a sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of non-2-factorable split graphs with $\tau\left(G_{n}\right) \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$.

In this note we prove that all $\frac{3}{2}$-tough chordal graphs have a 2 -factor. In fact we prove a bit more.

Theorem 1.6. Let $G$ be a $\frac{3}{2}$-tough 5-chordal graph. Then $G$ has a 2-factor.

Since all split graphs are chordal, the graphs Chvátal constructed in [9] are also chordal. Thus Theorem 1.6 is best possible with respect to toughness. Furthermore, the graphs $G_{l, m}$ in [2, p. 251] are 6-chordal graphs without a 2 -factor. By choosing $l$ and $m$ large the toughness of these graphs can be made to approach 2 from below. Note that Theorem 1.6 is in some sense the definitive result of the form "If $G$ is a $t$-tough $k$-chordal graph, then $G$ has a 2-factor": it follows from the examples in [9] that this is false for $t<\frac{3}{2}$ and any $k$, by Theorem 1.2 it is true for $t \geqslant 2$ and any $k$, and from the examples in [2] it follows that for $\frac{3}{2} \leqslant t<2$ the best one can hope for is a result with $k=5$.

Unlike the case with split graphs, however, it is not true that all $\frac{3}{2}$-tough chordal graphs are hamiltonian.

Theorem 1.7 (Bauer et al. [1]). For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $a\left(\frac{7}{4}-\varepsilon\right)$-tough chordal nontraceable graph.

Recently, Chen et al. [8] have shown that every 18 -tough chordal graph is hamiltonian. We now conjecture the following.

Conjecture. Every 2-tough chordal graph is hamiltonian and for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $a(2-\varepsilon)$-tough chordal nonhamiltonian graph.

Returning to 2 -factors, it is natural to ask how large the minimum vertex degree of a $t$-tough $(1 \leqslant t<2)$ graph can be, if the graph contains no 2 -factor. This problem was answered in [2] for $1 \leqslant t \leqslant \frac{3}{2}$ and for infinitely many $t$ satisfying $\frac{3}{2} \leqslant t<2$. A key lemma (Lemma 8) in [2] is the basis for the proof of our main result. Of course, any paper dealing with sufficient conditions for a graph to have a regular factor relies heavily on a well-known theorem of Belck [6] and Tutte [12]. This result is given in Section 2. The proof of our main result appears in Section 3.

## 2. Preliminary results

Let $G$ be a graph. If $A$ and $B$ are subsets of $V$ or subgraphs of $G$, and $v \in V$, we use $e(v, B)$ to denote the number of edges joining $v$ to a vertex of $B$, and $e(A, B)$ to denote $\sum_{v \in A} e(v, B)$. We use $\langle A\rangle$ to denote the subgraph of $G$ induced by $A$. A vertex $v \in V$ will be called complete if $v$ is adjacent to every other vertex in $V$, and is called simplicial if the subgraph induced by the neighborhood of $v$ is complete.

Our proof of Theorem 1.6 relies heavily on a theorem that characterizes those graphs not containing a 2 -factor. This theorem is a special case of the theorems of Belck [6] and Tutte [12]. For disjoint subsets $A, B$ of $V(G)$ let odd $(A, B)$ denote the number of components $H$ of $G-(A \cup B)$ with $e(H, B)$ odd, and let

$$
\Theta(A, B)=2|A|+\sum_{y \in B} d_{G-A}(y)-2|B|-\operatorname{odd}(A, B) .
$$

Theorem 2.1 (Belck [6] and Tutte [12]). Let $G$ be any graph. Then
(i) for any disjoint sets $A, B \subseteq V(G), \Theta(A, B)$ is even;
(ii) the graph $G$ does not contain a 2-factor if and only if $\Theta(A, B) \leqslant-2$ for some disjoint pair of sets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$.

We call a pair $(A, B)$ of disjoint subsets of $V(G)$ with $\Theta(A, B) \leqslant-2$ a Tutte pair for $G$. Note that in any Tutte pair $(A, B)$ for $G$ we have $B \neq \emptyset$, since by definition $\sum_{y \in B} d_{G-A}(y) \geqslant \operatorname{odd}(A, B)$ and so $\Theta(A, B) \leqslant-2$ implies $|B|>|A| \geqslant 0$. We define a Tutte pair $(A, B)$ to be minimal if $\Theta\left(A, B^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 0$ for any proper subset $B^{\prime} \subseteq B$. Clearly any graph without a 2 -factor contains a minimal Tutte pair.

The next lemma follows easily from a result in [10]. The proof also appears in [2].

Lemma 2.2. Let $G$ be a graph having no 2-factor. If $(A, B)$ is a minimal Tutte pair for $G$, then $B$ is an independent set.

To facilitate the proof in the next section we define a Tutte pair $(A, B)$ to be a strong Tutte pair if $B$ is an independent set.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.6

We begin with the following lemma, which is also implicit in [2].
Lemma 3.1. Let $v$ be a simplicial vertex in a non-complete graph $G$. Then $\tau(G-v) \geqslant$ $\tau(G)$.

Proof. First denote $G-v$ by $G_{v}$. Note that if $G_{v}$ is complete, then

$$
\tau\left(G_{v}\right)=\frac{\left|V\left(G_{v}\right)\right|-1}{2}=\frac{|V(G)|-2}{2} \geqslant \tau(G) .
$$

Suppose $\tau\left(G_{v}\right)<\tau(G)$. Then there exists $X \subseteq V\left(G_{v}\right)$ such that $\omega\left(G_{v}-X\right) \geqslant 2$ and $|X| / \omega\left(G_{v}-X\right)<\tau(G)$. However $\omega(G-X) \geqslant \omega\left(G_{v}-X\right) \geqslant 2$, since the neighbors of $v$ in $G$ induce a complete subgraph. But this gives $|X| / \omega(G-X) \leqslant|X| / \omega\left(G_{v}-X\right)<\tau(G)$, a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $G$ be a $\frac{3}{2}$-tough 5 -chordal graph having no 2 -factor and $(A, B)$ be a strong Tutte pair for $G$, existing by Lemma 2.2. Thus $\Theta(A, B) \leqslant-2$. Let $C=V(G)-(A \cup B)$. Since $B$ is an independent set of vertices, $\sum_{y \in B} d_{G-A}(y)=e(B, C)$. Hence by Theorem 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2|A|+e(B, C) \leqslant 2|B|+\operatorname{odd}(A, B)-2 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Among all possible choices, we choose $G$ and the strong Tutte pair $(A, B)$ as follows:
(i) $|V(G)|$ is minimal;
(ii) $|E(G)|$ is maximal, subject to (i);
(iii) $|B|$ is minimal, subject to (i) and (ii);
(iv) $|A|$ is maximal, subject to (i)-(iii).

We now show that $G$ has properties (a)-(g) below.
(a) For any $x \in B$ and any component $H$ of $\langle C\rangle, e(x, H) \leqslant 1$.

Proof of $(a)$ : Let $x \in B$ with $d_{G-A}(x)=k$, and let $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{j}$ denote the components of $\langle C\rangle$ to which $x$ is adjacent. If $j \leqslant k-1$, delete $x$ from $B$ and add $x$ to $C$ (thus redefining $B$ and $C$ ). Since odd $(A, B)$ has decreased by at most $j \leqslant k-1$, it is easy to check that $\Theta(A, B)$ has increased by at most 1 . Thus, we still have $\Theta(A, B) \leqslant-2$ (by Theorem 2.1(i)) and we contradict (iii).
(b) The vertices of $A$ are complete.

Proof of $(b)$ : If not, form a new graph $G^{\prime}$ by adding the edges required to make the vertices of $A$ complete. Clearly $G^{\prime}$ is still $\frac{3}{2}$-tough and $(A, B)$ is still a strong Tutte pair for $G^{\prime}$. Obviously, no chordless cycle of $G^{\prime}$ can contain a vertex of $A$. Since $G$ is 5 -chordal, it follows that $G^{\prime}$ is also 5 -chordal. Thus we contradict (ii).
(c) For any $y \in C, e(y, B) \leqslant 1$.

Proof of $(c)$ : Suppose that $e(y, B) \geqslant 2$ for some $y \in C$. Delete $y$ from $C$ and add $y$ to $A$ (thus redefining $A$ and $C$ ). It is easy to check that ( $A, B$ ) remains a strong Tutte pair. Thus we contradict (iv).
(d) Each component of $\langle C\rangle$ is a complete graph.

Proof of $(d)$ : If not, form a new graph $G^{\prime}$ by adding the edges required to make each component $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{s}$ of $\langle C\rangle$ a complete graph. Clearly, $G^{\prime}$ is still 3/2-tough and $(A, B)$ is still a strong Tutte pair for $G^{\prime}$. Assuming $G^{\prime}$ is not 5 -chordal, let $C^{*}$ be a shortest chordless cycle in $G^{\prime}$ of length at least 6 . Clearly $C^{*}$ can not contain a vertex of $A$, nor can it have more than two vertices from any component of $\langle C\rangle$. Since $B$ is independent, $C^{*}$ is of the form

$$
C^{*}: b_{1} T_{1}^{\prime} b_{2} T_{2}^{\prime} \cdots b_{k} T_{k}^{\prime} b_{1}
$$

where, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, each $T_{i}^{\prime}$ represents an edge $t_{i}^{1} t_{i}^{2}$ of a component $C_{i}$ in $G^{\prime}$.
Form the cycle $C^{* *}$ in $G$ by taking $C^{*}$ and substituting $T_{i}$ for $T_{i}^{\prime}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$, where $T_{i}$ is a shortest $t_{i}^{1}-t_{i}^{2}$ path in $C_{i}$ in $G$. The graph $G$ is 5 -chordal, so $C^{* *}$ has a chord. Since any chord of $C^{* *}$ must join a vertex of $B$ and a vertex of $C$ and $C^{*}$ is a chordless cycle in $G^{\prime}$, we may assume, without loss of generality, that there exists a chord $b_{1} u$ of $C^{* *}$ such that

- $u$ is an internal vertex of some $T_{i}$, say of $T_{m}$, and
- the cycle $b_{1} T_{1} b_{2} T_{2} \cdots b_{m} U b_{1}$, where $U$ is the $t_{m}^{1}-u$ subpath of $T_{m}$, is chordless.

By (a) we have $1<m<k$. But then $b_{1} T_{1}^{\prime} b_{2} T_{2}^{\prime} \cdots b_{m} t_{m}^{1} u b_{1}$ is a chordless cycle in $G^{\prime}$ of length at least 6 which is shorter than $C^{*}$, contradicting the choice of $C^{*}$. Thus $G^{\prime}$ is 5 -chordal and we contradict (ii).
(e) For any $y \in C, e(y, B)=1$ (and thus $e(B, C)=|C|)$.

Proof of $(e)$ : Suppose now that $C$ contains a vertex $y$ with $e(y, B)=0$. It follows from (b) and (d) that $v$ is simplicial. Hence by Lemma 3.1, $\tau(G-y) \geqslant \tau(G)$. Furthermore, $(A, B)$ is still a strong Tutte pair for the 5 -chordal graph $G-y$. Hence, by (i), the graph $G-y$ contradicts the choice of $G$.
(f) $|B| \geqslant 2$.

Proof of $(f)$ : We saw earlier that $|B|>|A| \geqslant 0$, and so $|B| \geqslant 1$. Suppose $B=\{x\}$. Since $(A, B)$ is a Tutte pair with $|B|=1$ and $|A|=0$, we have $e(B, C) \leqslant \operatorname{odd}(A, B)$ by (1). If $e(B, C) \geqslant 2$, then $\omega(G-B) \geqslant \operatorname{odd}(A, B) \geqslant e(B, C) \geqslant 2>|B|$, and $G$ is not 1-tough. If $e(B, C)=1$, then $G$ is not 1-tough either. Hence $|B| \geqslant 2$.
$(\mathrm{g})$ odd $(A, B)=\omega(\langle C\rangle)$.
Proof of $(g)$ : Suppose there exists a component $C_{i}$ in $\langle C\rangle$ with $e\left(C_{i}, B\right)=\left|C_{i}\right|$, an even integer. Let $y$ be any vertex in $C_{i}$. Add $y$ to $A$, thus redefining $A$ and $C$. It is easy to see that $(A, B)$ is still a strong Tutte pair for $G$. Thus we contradict (iv).

Hence $G$ and its minimal Tutte pair $(A, B)$ have properties (a) $-(\mathrm{g})$. Set $s=\omega(\langle C\rangle)=$ odd $(A, B)$.

Consider the components $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{s}$ of $\langle C\rangle$ and let $y_{j} \in V\left(C_{j}\right)$. Define $X=$ $A \cup C-\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}\right\}$. Since $B$ is independent and $e\left(y_{i}, B\right)=1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$, we have $\omega(G-X)=|B| \geqslant 2$. For convenience let $a=|A|, b=|B|$ and $c=|C|$. Using properties (e), (g) and inequality (1), we have

$$
\frac{3}{2} \leqslant \frac{|X|}{\omega(G-X)}=\frac{a+c-s}{b}=\frac{a+e(B, C)-\operatorname{odd}(A, B)}{b} \leqslant \frac{2 b-a-2}{b} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \geqslant 2 a+4 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To complete the proof we establish the following.
Claim. $b \geqslant c-s+1$.

Once the claim is established, it follows that

$$
\frac{3}{2} \leqslant \frac{|X|}{\omega(G-X)}=\frac{a+c-s}{b} \leqslant \frac{a+b-1}{b} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \leqslant 2 a-2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that (2) and (3) are contradictory completes the argument.
Proof of Claim. Form a bipartite graph $F$ from $G$ by deleting $A$ and contracting each component of $\langle C\rangle$ into a single vertex. By (a), $F$ has no multiple edges. The key observation is that since $G$ is 5 -chordal, $F$ is a forest. Otherwise, let $C_{F}$ be a shortest cycle in $F$. Then $C_{F}$ is of the form

$$
C_{F}: b_{1} T_{1} b_{2} T_{2} \cdots b_{p} T_{p} b_{1}
$$

where each $T_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p$, represents the contracted component $C_{i}$. By (d) and (e), it follows that the 2 edges incident with each $T_{i}$ in $C_{F}$ correspond to edges $b_{i} t_{i}^{1}, b_{i+1} t_{i}^{2}$, where $t_{i}^{1} t_{i}^{2}$ is an edge in $C_{i}$. It follows that $G$ has a chordless cycle of length at least 6 , a contradiction.

## Hence

$$
\sum_{v \in C} d_{F}(v)=c=|E(F)| \leqslant|V(F)|-1=b+s-1
$$

Thus $b+s-1 \geqslant c$ and the claim is established.
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