Department of Applied Mathematics
Faculty of EEMCS

University of Twente
The Netherlands

P.O. Box 217
7500 AE Enschede
The Netherlands

Phone: +31-53-4893400
Fax: +31-53-4893114

Email: memo@math.utwente.nl
www.math.utwente.nl/publications

Memorandum No. 1743

Path-kipas Ramsey numbers

A.N.M. Salman and H.J. Broersma

December, 2004

ISSN 0169-2690

Path-Kipas Ramsey Numbers

A. N. M. Salman and H. J. Broersma
Department of Applied Mathematics
Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science,
University of Twente
P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands
emails: a.n.m.salman@math.utwente.nl, h.j.broersma@math.utwente.nl

Abstract

For two given graphs F and H, the Ramsey number $R(F,H)$ is the smallest positive integer p such that for every graph G on p vertices the following holds: either G contains F as a subgraph or the complement of G contains H as a subgraph. In this paper, we study the Ramsey numbers $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m)$, where P_n is a path on n vertices and $\hat{K}_m$ is the graph obtained from the join of K_1 and P_m. We determine the exact values of $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m)$ for the following values of n and m: $1 \leq n \leq 5$ and $m \geq 3$; $n \geq 6$ and (m is odd, $3 \leq m \leq 2n-1$) or (m is even, $4 \leq m \leq n+1$); $6 \leq n \leq 7$ and $m = 2n-2$ or $m \geq 2n$; $n \geq 8$ and $m = 2n-2$ or $m = 2n$ or ($q \cdot n - 2q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - q + 2$ with $3 \leq q \leq n-5$) or $m \geq (n-3)^2$; odd $n \geq 9$ and ($q \cdot n - 3q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q$ with $3 \leq q \leq (n-3)/2$) or ($q \cdot n - q - n + 4 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q$ with $(n-1)/2 \leq q \leq n-4$). Moreover, we give lower bounds and upper bounds for $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m)$ for the other values of m and n.

Keywords: kipas, path, Ramsey number
AMS Subject Classifications: 05C55, 05D10

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, all graphs are finite and simple. Let G be such a graph. We write $V(G)$ or V for the vertex set of G and $E(G)$ or E for the edge set of G. The graph $\overline{G}$ is the *complement* of G, i.e., the graph obtained from the complete graph on $|V(G)|$ vertices by deleting the edges of G. The graph $H = (V', E')$ is a *subgraph* of $G = (V, E)$ if $V' \subseteq V$ and $E' \subseteq E$ (implying that the edges of H have all their end vertices in V').

If $e = \{u, v\} \in E$ (in short, $e = uv$), then u is called *adjacent* to v, and u and v are called *neighbors*. For $x \in V$, define $N(x) = \{y \in V | xy \in E\}$ and $N[x] = N(x) \cup \{x\}$. If $S \subset V(G)$, $S \neq V(G)$, then $G - S$ denotes the subgraph of G induced by $V(G) \setminus S$. If $e \in E(G)$, then $G - e = (V(G), E(G) \setminus \{e\})$.

We denote by P_n, C_n, and K_n the *path*, the *cycle* and the *complete graph* on n vertices, respectively. A *wheel* W_m is the graph on $m+1$ vertices obtained from a cycle on m vertices by adding a new vertex and edges joining it to all the vertices of the cycle. A *kipas* $\hat{K}_m$ is the graph on $m+1$ vertices obtained from the join of K_1 and P_m. The vertex corresponding to K_1 is called the *hub* of the kipas. For illustration, consider $\hat{K}_9$ in Figure 1.



Figure 1: The kipas $\hat{K}_9$

Given two graphs F and H, the *Ramsey number* $R(F, H)$ is defined as the smallest positive integer p such that every graph G on p vertices satisfies the following condition: G contains F as a subgraph or $\overline{G}$ contains H as a subgraph.

In 1967 Geréncser and Gyárfás [4] determined all Ramsey numbers for paths versus paths. After that, Ramsey numbers $R(P_n, H)$ for paths versus other graphs H have been investigated in several papers, for example: Parsons [6] when H is a complete graph; Faudree, Lawrence, Parsons and Schelp [2] when H is a cycle; Parsons [7] when H is a star; Burr, Erdös, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp [1] when H is a sparse graph; Häggkvist [5] when H is a complete bipartite graph; Faudree, Schelp and Simonovits [3] when H is a tree; Salman and Broersma when H is a fan [8]; Surahmat and Baskoro [10], Salman and Broersma [9] when H is a wheel. We study Ramsey numbers for paths versus kipases.

2 Main results

In this paper we determine the Ramsey numbers $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m)$ for the following values of n and m: $1 \leq n \leq 5$ and $m \geq 3$; $n \geq 6$ and (m is odd, $3 \leq m \leq 2n-1$) or (m is even, $4 \leq m \leq n+1$); $6 \leq n \leq 7$ and $m = 2n-2$ or $m \geq 2n$; $n \geq 8$ and $m = 2n-2$ or $m = 2n$ or ($q \cdot n - 2q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - q + 2$ with $3 \leq q \leq n-5$) or $m \geq (n-3)^2$; odd $n \geq 9$ and ($q \cdot n - 3q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q$ with $3 \leq q \leq (n-3)/2$) or ($q \cdot n - q - n + 4 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q$ with $(n-1)/2 \leq q \leq n-4$). The Ramsey numbers for 'small' paths versus kipases or paths versus 'small' kipases will be given in Corollary 2. The Ramsey numbers for paths versus 'large' kipases will be given in Corollary 5 and Corollary 7. Moreover, we also give nontrivial lower bounds and upper bounds for $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m)$ for (odd $n \geq 11$ and $q \cdot n - q + 3 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 3q + n - 3$ with $2 \leq q \leq (n-7)/2$) or (even $n \geq 8$ and $q \cdot n - q + 3 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q + n - 2$

with $2 \leq q \leq n-5$) or ($n \geq 6$ and m is even, $n+2 \leq m \leq 2n-4$) in Corollary 8, Corollary 9 and Theorem 10.

In [9] we have determined the Ramsey numbers for paths versus wheels for the values of m and n that are presented in Theorem 1. This theorem provides upper bounds that yield several exact Ramsey numbers for paths versus kipases.

Theorem 1.

$$R(P_n, W_m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \textit{for } n = 1 \textit{ and } m \geq 3 \\ m+1 & \textit{for either } (n = 2 \textit{ and } m \geq 3) \\ & \textit{or } (n = 3 \textit{ and even } m \geq 4) \\ m+2 & \textit{for } (n = 3 \textit{ and odd } m \geq 5) \\ 3n-2 & \textit{for either } (n = 3 \textit{ and } m = 3) \\ & \textit{or } (n \geq 4 \textit{ and } m \textit{ is odd}, 3 \leq m \leq 2n-1) \\ 2n-1 & \textit{for } n \geq 4 \textit{ and } m \textit{ is even}, 4 \leq m \leq n+1. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2.

$$R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \textit{for } n = 1 \textit{ and } m \geq 3 \\ m+1 & \textit{for either } (n = 2 \textit{ and } m \geq 3) \\ & \textit{or } (n = 3 \textit{ and even } m \geq 4) \\ m+2 & \textit{for } (n = 3 \textit{ and odd } m \geq 5) \\ 3n-2 & \textit{for either } (n = 3 \textit{ and } m = 3) \\ & \textit{or } (n \geq 4 \textit{ and } m \textit{ is odd}, 3 \leq m \leq 2n-1) \\ 2n-1 & \textit{for } n \geq 4 \textit{ and } m \textit{ is even}, 4 \leq m \leq n+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The graphs

$$\begin{cases} P_1 & \text{for } n = 1 \text{ and } m \geq 3 \\ mP_1 & \text{for } n = 2 \text{ and } m \geq 3 \\ \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor K_2 & \text{for } n = 3 \text{ and } m \geq 4 \\ 3K_{n-1} & \text{for } (n = 3 \text{ and } m = 3) \\ & \text{or } (n \geq 4 \text{ and } m \text{ is odd, } 3 \leq m \leq 2n-1) \\ 2K_{n-1} & \text{for } n \geq 4 \text{ and } m \text{ is even, } 4 \leq m \leq n+1 \end{cases}$$

give lower bounds for $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m)$ for the values of m and n in Corollary 2. Since $\hat{K}_m$ is a subgraph of W_m, Theorem 1 completes the proof. □

The next lemma plays a key role in our proofs of Lemma 4 and Lemma 6. The proof of this lemma has been given in [8].

Lemma 3. *Let $n \geq 3$ and G be a graph on at least n vertices containing no P_n. Let the paths $P^1, P^2, \ldots, P^k$ in G be chosen in the following way: $\bigcup_{j=1}^k V(P^j) = V(G)$, P^1 is a longest path in G, and, if $k > 1$, P^{i+1} is a longest path in $G - \bigcup_{j=1}^i V(P^j)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Denote by ℓ_j the number of vertices on the path P^j. Let z be an end vertex of P^k. Then:*

(i) $\ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \ldots \geq \ell_k$;

(ii) *If* $\ell_k \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, *then* $N(z) \subset V(P^k)$;

(iii) *If* $\ell_k < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, *then* $|N(z)| \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$.

The following lemma provides upper bounds that yield several exact Ramsey numbers in the sequel.

Lemma 4. *If* $n \geq 4$ *and* $m \geq 2n-2$, *then*

$$R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) \leq \begin{cases} m+n-1 & \text{for } m = 1 \ mod(n-1) \\ m+n-2 & \text{for other values of } m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let G be a graph that contains no P_n and has order

$$|V(G)| = \begin{cases} m+n-1 & \text{for } m = 1 \ mod(n-1) \\ m+n-2 & \text{for other values of } m. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Choose the paths $P^1, \ldots, P^k$ and the vertex z in G as in Lemma 3. Because of (1), not all P^i can have $n-1$ vertices, so $\ell_k \leq n-2$. If $\ell_k < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ then by Lemma 3(iii) we obtain $|N(z)| \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1 \leq n-3$. If $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \leq \ell_k \leq n-2$ then by Lemma 3(ii) we obtain $|N(z)| \leq \ell_k - 1 \leq n-3$. Hence, $|N[z]| \leq n-2$. We will use the following result that has been proved in [2]: $R(P_t, C_s) = s + \lfloor t/2 \rfloor - 1$ for $s \geq \lfloor (3t+1)/2 \rfloor$. We distinguish the following cases.

Case 1 $|N(z)| \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2$ or n is odd and $|N(z)| = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$.
Since $|V(G) \setminus N[z]| \geq m + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$, we find that $\overline{G - N[z]}$ contains a C_m. So, there is a $\hat{K}_m$ in $\overline{G}$ with z as a hub.

Case 2 n is even and $|N(z)| = n/2 - 1$.
Since $|V(G) \setminus N[z]| \geq (m+n-2) - n/2 = m + n/2 - 2$, we find that $\overline{G - N[z]}$ contains a C_{m-1}; denote its vertices by $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{m-1}$ in the order of appearance on the cycle with a fixed orientation. There are $n/2-1$ vertices in $U = V(G) \setminus (V(C_{m-1}) \cup N[z])$, say $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n/2-1}$. If some vertex v_i ($i = 1, \ldots, m-1$) is no neighbor of some vertex u_j ($j = 1, \ldots, n/2-1$), w.l.o.g. assume $v_{m-1}u_1 \notin E(G)$. Then $\overline{G}$ contains a $\hat{K}_m$ with z as a hub and its other vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{m-2}, v_{m-1}, u_1$. Now let us assume each of the v_i is adjacent to all u_j in G. For every choice of a subset of $n/2$ vertices from $V(C_{m-1})$, there is a path on $n-1$ vertices in G alternating between the vertices of this subset and the vertices of U, starting and terminating in two arbitrary vertices from the subset. Since G contains no P_n, there are no edges $v_iv_j \in E(G)$ ($i, j \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$). This implies that $V(C_{m-1}) \cup \{z\}$ induces a K_m in $\overline{G}$. Since G contains no P_n, no v_i is adjacent to a vertex of $N(z)$. This implies that $\overline{G}$ contains a $K_{m+1} - zw$ for any vertex $w \in N(z)$, and hence $\overline{G}$ contains a $\hat{K}_m$ with one of the v_i as a hub.

Case 3 Suppose that there is no choice for P^k and z such that one of the former cases applies. Then $|N(w)| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ for any end vertex w of a path on ℓ_k vertices in $G - \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} V(P^j)$. This implies all neighbors of such w are in $V(P^k)$ and $\ell_k \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$. So for the two end vertices z_1 and z_2 of P^k we have that $|N(z_i) \cap V(P^k)| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \geq \ell_k/2$. By standard arguments in hamiltonian graph theory, we can find an index $i \in \{2, \dots, \ell_k - 1\}$ such that $z_1 v_{i+1}$ and $z_2 v_i$ are edges of G. It is clear that we can find a cycle on ℓ_k vertices in G. This implies that any vertex of $V(P^k)$ could serve as w. By the assumption of this last case, we conclude that there are no edges in G between $V(P^k)$ and the other vertices. This also implies that all vertices of P^k have degree at least m in $\overline{G}$.

We now turn to P^{k-1} and consider one of its end vertices w. Since $\ell_{k-1} \geq \ell_k \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$, similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3 show that all neighbors of w are on P^{k-1}. If $|N(w)| < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, we get a $\hat{K}_m$ in $\overline{G}$ as in Case 1 or Case 2. So we may assume $|N(w_i) \cap V(P^{k-1})| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \geq \ell_{k-1}/2$ for both end vertices w_1 and w_2 of P^{k-1}. By similar arguments as before we obtain a cycle on ℓ_{k-1} vertices in G. This implies that any vertex of $V(P^{k-1})$ could serve as w. By the assumption of this last case, we conclude that there are no edges in G between $V(P^{k-1})$ and the other vertices. This also implies that all vertices of P^{k-1} have degree at least $m-1$ in $\overline{G}$. (Note that P^{k-1} can have $n-1$ vertices, whereas $\ell_k \leq n-2$.)

Repeating the above arguments for $P^{k-2}, \dots, P^1$ we eventually conclude that all vertices of G have degree at least $m-1$ in $\overline{G}$. Now let $H = \overline{G} - V(P^k)$. Then all vertices in $V(H)$ have degree at least $m - 1 - \ell_k \geq m/2 + (n-1) - 1 - \ell_k \geq \frac{1}{2}(m + 2n - 4 - \ell_k - (n-2)) = \frac{1}{2}(m + n - 2 - \ell_k) = \frac{1}{2}(|V(H)| - 1)$. Hence, there exists a Hamilton path in H. Since $|V(H)| \geq m$ and z is a neighbor of all vertices in H (in $\overline{G}$), it is clear that $\overline{G}$ contains a $\hat{K}_m$ with z as a hub. This completes the proof of Lemma 4. □

Corollary 5. *If* $(4 \leq n \leq 6$ *and* $m = 2n-2$ *or* $m \geq 2n)$ *or* $(n \geq 7$ *and* $m = 2n-2$ *or* $m = 2n$ *or* $m \geq (n-3)^2)$ *or* $(n \geq 8$ *and* $q \cdot n - 2q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - q + 2$ *with* $3 \leq q \leq n-5)$, *then*

$$R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) = \begin{cases} m + n - 1 & \text{for } m = 1 \bmod (n-1) \\ m + n - 2 & \text{for other values of } m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let r denote the remainder of m divided by $n-1$, so $m = p(n-1) + r$ for some $0 \leq r \leq n-2$. Then for $(4 \leq n \leq 6$ and $m = 2n-2$ or $m \geq 2n)$ or $(n \geq 7$ and $m = 2n-2$ or $m = 2n$ or $m \geq (n-3)^2)$ or $(n \geq 8$ and $q \cdot n - 2q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - q + 2$ with $3 \leq q \leq n-5)$, the graphs

$$\begin{cases} (p-1)K_{n-1} \cup 2K_{n-2} & \text{for } r = 0 \\ (p+1)K_{n-1} & \text{for } r = 1 \text{ or } 2 \\ (p+r+1-n)K_{n-1} \cup (n+1-r)K_{n-2} & \text{for other values of } r \end{cases}$$

show that

$$R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) > \begin{cases} m+n-2 & \text{for } m = 1 \; mod(n-1) \\ m+n-3 & \text{for other values of } m. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4 completes the proof. □

Lemma 6. *If n is odd, $n \geq 7$ and $q \cdot n - q + 3 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q + n - 2$ with $2 \leq q \leq n-5$, then $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) \leq m + n - 3$.*

Proof. The proof is modelled along the lines of the proof of Lemma 4. Let G be a graph on $m+n-3$ vertices, and assume G contains no P_n. We will show that $\overline{G}$ contains a $\hat{K}_m$. Choose the paths $P^1, \ldots, P^k$ and the vertex z in G as in Lemma 3. Since $|V(G)| = m+n-3$ with $n \geq 7$ and $q \cdot n - q + 3 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q + n - 2$ with $2 \leq q \leq n-5$, $k \geq q+2$, and therefore not all P^i can have more than $n-3$ vertices. So $\ell_k \leq n-3$. By similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4, this implies $|N(z)| \leq n-4$. We will use the following result that has been proved in [2]: $R(P_t, C_s) = s + \lfloor t/2 \rfloor - 1$ for $s \geq \lfloor (3t+1)/2 \rfloor$. We distinguish the following cases.

Case 1 $|N(z)| \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2$.
Since $|V(G) \setminus N[z]| \geq m + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$, we find that $\overline{G - N[z]}$ contains a C_m. So, there is a $\hat{K}_m$ in $\overline{G}$ with z as a hub.

Case 2 $|N(z)| = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$.
Since $|V(G) \setminus N[z]| = (m+n-3) - \lfloor n/2 \rfloor = m + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2$, we find that $\overline{G - N[z]}$ contains a C_{m-1}; denote its vertices by $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{m-1}$ in the order of appearance on the cycle with a fixed orientation. There are $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$ vertices in $U = V(G) \setminus (V(C_{m-1}) \cup N[z])$, say $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1}$. If some vertex v_i $(i = 1, \ldots, m-1)$ is no neighbor of some vertex u_j $(j = 1, \ldots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1)$, w.l.o.g. assume $v_{m-1}u_1 \notin E(G)$. Then $\overline{G}$ contains a $\hat{K}_m$ with z as a hub and its other vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{m-2}, v_{m-1}, u_1$. Now let us assume each of the v_i is adjacent to all u_j in G. For every choice of a subset of $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ vertices from $V(C_{m-1})$, there is a path on $n-2$ vertices in G alternating between the vertices of this subset and the vertices of U, starting and terminating in two arbitrary vertices from the subset. Let $z_1 \in N(z)$. Since G contains no P_n, there are no edges $v_i z \in E(G)$ and $v_i z_1 \in E(G)$ $(i \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\})$ and there is at most one edge $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ (for some $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$). Assume (at most) $v_1 v_2 \in E(G)$. This implies $\overline{G}$ contains a $\hat{K}_m$ with hub v_{m-1} and its other vertices $v_1, z, v_2, z_1, v_3, \ldots, v_{m-4}, v_{m-3}, v_{m-2}$.

Case 3 Suppose that there is no choice for P^k and z such that one of the former cases applies. Then $|N(w)| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ for any end vertex w of a path on ℓ_k vertices in $G - \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} V(P^j)$. This implies all neighbors of such w are in $V(P^k)$ and $\ell_k \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$. So for the two end vertices z_1 and z_2 of P^k we have that $|N(z_i) \cap V(P^k)| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \geq \ell_k/2$. By similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4 we obtain a cycle on ℓ_k vertices in G. This implies that any vertex of $V(P^k)$ could serve as w. By the assumption of this last case, we conclude that there are no edges in G between

$V(P^k)$ and the other vertices. This also implies that all vertices of P^k have degree at least m in $\overline{G}$.

We now turn to P^{k-1} and consider one of its end vertices w. Since $\ell_{k-1} \geq \ell_k \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$, similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3 show that all neighbors of w are on P^{k-1}. If $|N(w)| < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, we get a $\hat{K}_m$ in $\overline{G}$ as in Case 1 or Case 2. So we may assume $|N(w_i) \cap V(P^{k-1})| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \geq \ell_{k-1}/2$ for both end vertices w_1 and w_2 of P^{k-1}. By similar arguments as before we obtain a cycle on ℓ_{k-1} vertices in G. This implies that any vertex of $V(P^{k-1})$ could serve as w. By the assumption of this last case, we conclude that there are no edges in G between $V(P^{k-1})$ and the other vertices. This also implies that all vertices of P^{k-1} have degree at least $m-2$ in $\overline{G}$. (Note that P^{k-1} can have $n-1$ vertices, whereas $\ell_k \leq n-3$.)

Repeating the above arguments for $P^{k-2}, \ldots, P^1$ we eventually conclude that all vertices of G have degree at least $m-2$ in $\overline{G}$. Now let $H = \overline{G} - V(P^k)$. Then all vertices in $V(H)$ have degree at least $m - 2 - \ell_k \geq m/2 + n - 2 - \ell_k \geq \frac{1}{2}(m + 2n - 4 - \ell_k - (n-3)) = \frac{1}{2}(m + n - 1 - \ell_k) = \frac{1}{2}(|V(H)| + 2)$. This implies there exists a Hamilton cycle in H. Since $|V(H)| \geq m$ and z is a neighbor of all vertices in H (in $\overline{G}$), it is clear that $\overline{G}$ contains a $\hat{K}_m$ with z as a hub. This completes the proof of Lemma 6. □

Corollary 7. *If* ($n = 7$ *and* $m = 15$) *or* (n *is odd,* $n \geq 9$ *and* ($q \cdot n - 3q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q$ *with* $3 \leq q \leq (n-3)/2$) *or* ($q \cdot n - q - n + 4 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q$ *with* $(n-1)/2 \leq q \leq n-4$))*, then* $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) = m + n - 3$.

Proof. For $n = 7$ and $m = 15$, the graph $3K_6$ and for odd $n \geq 9$ and $m = q \cdot n - 2q - j$ with either ($3 \leq q \leq (n-3)/2$ and $0 \leq j \leq q-1$) or ($(n-1)/2 \leq q \leq n-5$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-q-4$), the graph $(q-j-1)K_{n-2} \cup (j+2)K_{n-3}$ shows that $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) > m + n - 4$. Lemma 6 completes the proof. □

Corollary 8. *If* n *is odd,* $n \geq 11$ *and* $q \cdot n - q + 3 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 3q + n - 3$ *with* $2 \leq q \leq (n-7)/2$*, then*

$$m + n - 3 \geq R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) \geq max \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n-1} \right\rfloor (n-1) + n, \; m + \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{\lceil m/(n-1) \rceil} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $t = \left\lceil \frac{m}{n-1} \right\rceil$ and s denote the remainder of $m-1$ divided by t. Then for m and n satisfying $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{n-1} \right\rfloor (n-1) + n \geq m + \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{t} \right\rfloor$, the graph tK_{n-1} shows that $R(P_n, F_m) > \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n-1} \right\rfloor (n-1) + n - 1$.

For other values of m and n, the graph $sK_{\lceil (m-1)/t \rceil} \cup (t-s+1)K_{\lfloor (m-1)/t \rfloor}$ shows that $R(P_n, F_m) > m - 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{\lceil m/(n-1) \rceil} \right\rfloor$.

The upper bound comes from Lemma 6. □

Corollary 9. *If n is even, $n \geq 8$ and $q \cdot n - q + 3 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q + n - 2$ with $2 \leq q \leq n - 5$, then $m + n - 2 \geq R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) \geq max \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n-1} \right\rfloor (n-1) + n,\ m + \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{\lceil m/(n-1) \rceil} \right\rfloor \right\}$.*

Proof. Let $t = \left\lceil \frac{m}{n-1} \right\rceil$ and s denote the remainder of $m - 1$ divided by t. Then for m and n satisfying $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{n-1} \right\rfloor (n-1) + n \geq m + \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{t} \right\rfloor$, the graph tK_{n-1} shows that $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) > \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n-1} \right\rfloor (n-1) + n - 1$.

For other values of m and n, the graph $sK_{\lceil (m-1)/t \rceil} \cup (t - s + 1)K_{\lfloor (m-1)/t \rfloor}$ shows that $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) > m - 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{\lceil m/(n-1) \rceil} \right\rfloor$.

The upper bound comes from Lemma 4. □

Theorem 10. *If $n \geq 6$ and m is even with $n + 2 \leq m \leq 2n - 4$, then*

$$m + \left\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \right\rfloor - 2 \geq R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) \geq \begin{cases} 2n - 1 & \text{for } n + 2 \leq m \leq n + \lfloor n/3 \rfloor \\ \frac{3m}{2} - 1 & \text{for } n + \lfloor n/3 \rfloor < m \leq 2n - 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $n \geq 6$ and m is even with $n + 2 \leq m \leq n + \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$, the graph $2K_{n-1}$ shows that $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) > 2n - 2$. For $n \geq 6$ and m is even, $n + \lfloor n/3 \rfloor < m \leq 2n - 4$, the graph $K_{m/2} \cup 2K_{m/2-1}$ shows that $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) > \frac{3m}{2} - 2$.

Let G be a graph on $m + \lfloor 3n/2 \rfloor - 2$ vertices, and assume G contains no P_n. Choose the paths $P^1, \ldots, P^k$ and the vertex z in G as in Lemma 3. By Lemma 3, $|N(z)| \leq n - 2$. Hence, $|V(G) \setminus N[z]| \geq m + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$. We can apply the result from [2] that $R(P_n, C_m) = m + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$ for m is even and $2 \leq n \leq m$. This implies that $\overline{G - N[z]}$ contains a C_m. So, there is a $\hat{K}_m$ in $\overline{G}$ with z as a hub (there is even a wheel on $m + 1$ vertices). □

3 Conclusion

In this paper we determined the exact Ramsey numbers for paths versus kipases of varying orders. The numbers are indicated in Table 1. We used different shadings to distinguish the results in the previous section that led to these numbers. The white elements indicate open cases. For these cases we established lower bounds and upper bounds for $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m)$.



Table 1: The Ramsey numbers for paths versus kipases

References

[1] S.A. Burr, P. Erdös, R.J. Faudree, C.C. Rousseau and R.H. Schelp, Ramsey numbers for the pair sparse graph-path or cycle, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **269** (2) (1982), 501–512.

[2] R.J. Faudree, S.L. Lawrence,T.D. Parsons and R.H. Schelp, Path-cycle Ramsey numbers, *Discrete Mathematics* **10** (1974), 269–277.

[3] R.J. Faudree, R.H. Schelp and M. Simonovits, On some Ramsey type problems connected with paths, cycles and trees, *Ars Combinatoria* **29A** (1990), 97–106.

[4] L. Geréncser and A. Gyárfás, On Ramsey-type problems, *Annales Universitatis Scientiarum Budapestinensis, Eötvös Sect. Math.* **10** (1967), 167–170.

[5] R. Häggkvist, On the path-complete bipartite Ramsey numbers, *Discrete Mathematics* **75** (1989), 243–245.

[6] T.D. Parsons, The Ramsey numbers $r(P_m, K_n)$, *Discrete Mathematics* **6** (1973), 159–162.

[7] T.D. Parsons, Path-star Ramsey numbers, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory* Series B **17** (1974), 51–58.

[8] A.N.M. Salman and H.J. Broersma, Path-fan Ramsey numbers, *Accepted for publication in Discrete Applied Mathematics* (2004).

[9] A.N.M. Salman and H.J. Broersma, On Ramsey numbers for paths versus wheels, *Accepted for publication in Discrete Mathematics* (2004).

[10] Surahmat and E.T. Baskoro, On the Ramsey number of a path or a star versus W_4 or W_5, *Proceedings of the 12th Australasian Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms* (2001), 174–178.