

University of Groningen

Muscular and kinematic features in speed skaters indicate a task-specific dystonia

Nijenhuis, Beorn; Tijssen, Marina A.J.; van Zutphen, Tim; Elting, Jan Willem; Schalkwijk, Aron H.P.; Otten, Egbert; van der Eb, Jeroen

Published in:
Clinical Neurophysiology

DOI:
[10.1016/j.clinph.2023.02.168](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2023.02.168)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2023

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Nijenhuis, B., Tijssen, M. A. J., van Zutphen, T., Elting, J. W., Schalkwijk, A. H. P., Otten, E., & van der Eb, J. (2023). Muscular and kinematic features in speed skaters indicate a task-specific dystonia. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 149, 113-120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2023.02.168>

Copyright


Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



Muscular and kinematic features in speed skaters indicate a task-specific dystonia



Beorn Nijenhuis^{a,b,*}, Marina A.J. Tijssen^a, Tim van Zutphen^b, Jan Willem Elting^a, Aron H.P. Schalkwijk^a, Egbert Otten^c, Jeroen van der Eb^d

^a University Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Neurology, NL-9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands

^b University of Groningen/Faculty Campus Fryslân, Wirdumerdijk 34, 8911 CE Leeuwarden, The Netherlands

^c University Groningen, Department of Movement Sciences, NL-9713 AV Groningen, The Netherlands

^d Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science (LIACS), P.O. Box 9512, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

HIGHLIGHTS

- Muscle and movement activity in skater's cramp resembles a task specific dystonia.
- Statistical parametric mapping revealed over-active muscles and movements.
- Problems were persistent irrespective of intensity.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Accepted 19 February 2023

Available online 1 March 2023

Keywords:

Dystonia

Speed Skating

Electromyography

Kinematics

Statistical non-Parametric Mapping

ABSTRACT

Objective: Skater's cramp is a movement disorder in speed skaters. We investigated whether affected skaters matched the disease profile of task-specific dystonia, specifically whether there was evidence of maladaptive muscle activity occurring simultaneously with aberrant movements (jerking). We further examined different skating intensities, positing no change would be more indicative of task-specific dystonia.

Methods: We analyzed video, kinematic and muscle activity in 14 affected skaters. We measured the angular velocity and electromyographic activity of normalized speed skating strokes using one dimensional statistical non-parametric mapping. Skaters were matched with comparably skilled controls, and filled out a bespoke clinical questionnaire.

Results: Skaters' impacted leg showed over-activation in the peroneus longus, tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius that coincided with higher foot movement compared to their healthy leg and controls. This pattern persisted regardless of skating intensity. Clinical features indicated it was task-specific and painless with common trigger factors including stress, equipment change, and falling.

Conclusions: We showed aberrant muscular and kinematic activity in a movement disorder in speed skaters indicative of task-specific dystonia.

Significance: Understanding skater's cramp as a task-specific dystonia could reduce the damage that misdiagnosis and unsuccessful invasive operations have caused. Our quantitative method has value in testing future treatment efficacy.

© 2023 International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Dystonia is characterized by 'sustained or intermittent muscle contractions causing abnormal, often repetitive, movements, postures (Albanese et al., 2013). Task-specific dystonia (TSD) only

occurs during the performance of a complex skill in an isolated body part, rarely generalizing beyond highly related movements (Stahl and Frucht, 2017). TSD has been noted in manually complex occupations like painting and watchmaking (Horisawa et al., 2016; Stahl and Frucht, 2017), is quite common in musicians (Altenmüller and Jabusch, 2010) and is prevalent in many sports such as running and golf (Lenka and Jankovic, 2021). In all cases, fine motor coordination is compromised and muscular over-activity, jerking and a general loss of motor-control is common.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Neurology, University Medical Centre Groningen, PO Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands.

E-mail address: b.g.nijenhuis@rug.nl (B. Nijenhuis).

Clinically, features of TSD include an insidious onset over a course of weeks or months (Sadnicka et al., 2016), as well as triggering factors such as stress and equipment change in the period before TSD onset (Pearce, 2005; Sadnicka et al., 2018). The exact pathophysiology of TSD is not known, however it is thought to be partly caused by corrupted motor engrams that arise due to arduous over-practicing (Altenmüller and Jabusch, 2010). Physiologically this often appears as dysregulation of cortical and sub-cortical networks that drive fine motor control through inhibition (Bäumer et al., 2016; Furuya and Hanakawa, 2016; Gallea et al., 2018; Stinear and Byblow, 2004). The resultant corrupted engrams affect a subsection of a skilled movement resulting in patterned and stereotypical jerking movements, muscular over-activation and co-activation at a specific moment while executing the skill (Adler et al., 2005; Ahmad et al., 2018; Altenmüller and Jabusch, 2010; Sadnicka et al., 2016).

Skater's cramp is a debilitating movement disorder affecting dedicated amateurs and Olympic level speed skaters alike, often after many years of healthy skating. Developing skater's cramp is dangerous, as the loss of motor control can lead to falling and injury, and has caused many, including former Olympians, to quit the sport (Meijer, 2013). It was first described as a sudden inversion or eversion of a speed skater's ankle joint, just before skate placement (Meijer, 2013). Attempts at treating skater's cramp based on it being a peripheral issue have been unsuccessful, and surgeries resulting from suggested diagnoses such as compartment syndrome and arterial occlusion have led to needless additional risk for affected skaters (de Koning-Tijssen, 2014; Nijenhuis et al., 2019). In an attempt to better understand skater's cramp and improve treatment outcomes the clinical diagnosis of task-specific dystonia was proposed by a group of neurologists specializing in movement disorders, in 2014 (de Koning-Tijssen, 2014). Importantly, TSD can only be diagnosed clinically, as there is no quantitative means of diagnosis. Despite this, a growing body of research has linked the condition to key muscle and movement features whose presence can support the diagnosis of TSD. These include 1) a task-specific repetitive jerking of a limb during a complex movement combined with 2) muscular over-activation (Sadnicka et al., 2016).

The first of these two features was identified in a case control study investigating if skater's cramp was a TSD. Five affected skaters and five controls underwent video and kinematic analysis employing inertial measurement unit (IMU) sensors and found a patterned, active and stereotypical jerking of the impacted foot (Nijenhuis et al., 2019). However, missing from this experiment was measurement of muscle activity, therefore this method could not show whether the aberrant movement resulted from muscular over-activation, another key symptom of TSD. For example, in runners, researchers combined EMG and movement analysis assuming that a combination of repetitive patterned muscle and movement activity needed to be present simultaneously to suggest TSD as a diagnosis (Ahmad et al., 2018). We chose this same approach in our current study, and therefore combined EMG and kinematics to investigate our first major research question: 1) Does the involuntary movement in skater's cramp coincide with muscular over-activity in the lower leg at the moment of skate placement?

Furthermore, we tested whether the problem was persistent irrespective of skating intensity, assuming that differences dependent on intensity would be more indicative of a peripheral problem than a central one. We posited this based on evidence that after a peripheral change, (such as muscle damage or neuropathy) muscular and kinematic patterns of a flawed movement can change depending on the intensity of its execution (Gilgen-Ammann et al., 2017, p. 400; Satkunskiene et al., 2015). For example, if skater's cramp were a mechanical instability eliciting compensatory stabilization in the impacted limb, increased intensity/speed may

result in higher activation to maintain control. Conversely, if the problem is a TSD over-activation is less likely to be influenced by increased intensity, as has been observed in golfers and runners (Lenka and Jankovic, 2021; Smith et al., 2000; Wu and Jankovic, 2006). It is thought this is partly because the motor engrams dysregulated in TSD are so complex as to scale to a wide range of different intensities (Sadnicka et al., 2018). Therefore for our second research question we asked: 2) Does muscular over-activity remain constant despite different skating intensities?

We aimed to answer these questions for a larger cohort of skaters with skater's cramp (15), comparing them with a control group of healthy skaters matched for skating skill-level. We hypothesized affected skaters would show consistent muscular over-activation in their impacted leg, and that this pattern would occur irrespective of skating intensity.

2. Methods

2.1. Population

Participants were recruited by publication on a popular Dutch speedskating website. Based on 50 initial responses, 15 participants were selected (convenience sampling) and an oral interview was conducted to ascertain whether they fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria were: more than ten years of speed skating experience with a former practice frequency of minimum twice per week and at least 5 years of unaffected skating before symptom onset. Furthermore, the abnormal movements had to occur only during skating and needed to be described by the participant as an active patterned jerking of the lower limb that occurred at skate placement. Participants were asked to fill out a bespoke questionnaire before they began their participation in the rest of the experiment. It was based on a previous case-study questionnaire investigating demographic and clinical information on skater's cramp (Table 1) (Nijenhuis et al., 2019). They were further submitted to a physical exam by a neurologist (MT and AS). Due to Covid-19 restrictions, 3 of the 15 affected participants could not be examined but reported no further neurological complications. Exclusion criteria: participants who exhibited other neurological disorders during the medical examination and skaters who reported sprains, tendonitis or other current injuries. 15 controls were recruited from the same skating clubs as affected participants through contacting coaches and skaters individually. Controls were matched based on experience (years of skating) and dedication (hours of skating per week). We performed an independent samples t-test to compare experience and dedication with the affected group. Mann Whitney was used when normality (tested with the Shapiro Wilk test) or equality variance (tested with Levene's test) were violated. Controls were further excluded if they suffered from any injuries reported in the questionnaire. The study was reviewed by the Medical Ethical Committee of the University Medical Hospital of Groningen (UMCG) ruled IRB approval was not necessary for this study (M119.241754). Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

2.2. Experiment

2.2.1. Skating exercise design

Skaters skated two sets of two laps and two sets of four laps on a 400 meter ice track at 60% and 80% of their approximate maximum speed. Skaters subjectively self-rated their intensity, which was additionally measured in both groups with lap-times calculated using video footage from go-pro recordings. These two intensities were chosen so as to ensure a speed sufficient for normal and natural skating movements, while not incurring overly high levels

Table 1
Clinical Features.

Sub.	Sex	Age	AAO	ROO (weeks)	Duration (years)	Rem	QS	Pain	Leg	TS	Triggering Factors	PMC	NE
1	M	45	34	Sudden	10	0	1	0	R	1	Change in training partner. Focus on new Technique	Heart Defect, (stenosis).	H
2	M	73	62	Sudden	2	0	1	0	R	1	Nothing Reported	0	NA
3	M	52	51	DNR	2	1	0	0	L	1	Equipment(new shoes)	0	H
						temporary							
4	F	19	17	DNR	1	0	1	0	L	1	Equipment (new blades)	0	H
5	M	61	53	26	4	0	1	0	L	1	Fall (skating)	0	NA
6	M	68	64	Sudden	0	0	0	0	R	1	Stress (general)	0	H
7	M	59	53	Sudden	4	0	0	0	L	1	Broken Ankle	0	H
8	F	41	32	13	9	0	1	0	L	1	Fall during skating	0	H
9	M	52	46	20	7	0	0	0	L	1	Stress (general)	0	H
10	F	52	45	2	6	0	1	0	L	1	Nothing Reported	Meniscus Operation	NA
11	M	48	45	104	2	0	0	0	L	1	Stress at work	Lower lumbar neuropathy (age 12)	H
12	M	19	17	DNR	1	0	1	0	L	1	Equipment: new skates.	Broken ankle (age 14)	H
13	M	48	19	10	28	1	1	0	L	1	Intensive Training Period	Depression	H
						temporary							
14	M	24	20	9	2	0	1	0	L	1	Equipment: new skates.	0	H
Mean		47	40	17 weeks	6								
SD		17	17	30									

Sub: Subject, NA: not available, F: female, M: male, AAO: age at onset, ROO: rate of onset, Rem.: Remission, QS: Quit Skating, L: left, R: right, TS: Task Specific, PMC: pre-existing medical condition, NE: Neurological Examination, H: healthy, C: complications.

of exhaustion before data collection was complete. High speed video recordings of all participants were collected from behind skaters at a fixed distance using a head mounted GoPro video camera (GoPro Hero7, 1440p, 120fps). The GoPro was fixed to the head of a high level speed skater (BN) and outcome variables consisted of a qualitative analysis of skaters’ movements.

2.2.2. EMG stroke cycle classification

Skaters were fitted with two Inertial Measurement Units (IMUs) (Shimmer3 shimmersensing.com) fixed to the toe-end of their skates to be referred to as ‘skate sensors’ (Eb et al., 2020), running a bespoke software package, SkateView (Eb et al., 2017), designed to correctly capture and classify speed skating strokes without the need for prior positional calibration. SkateView detected when a skate landed on the ice, ‘skate placement’, and the moment it lifted off the ice, ‘take off’, forming time-blocks of an off-ice swing phase and an on-ice contact phase (similar to stance and swing phases in a walking gait-cycle). Time-synchronized to these skate sensors, 8 channels of surface EMG sampling at 512 Hz (Shimmer3 EMG) were placed on the following leg muscles: peroneus longus, tibialis anterior, gastrocnemius (medial side), soleus, rectus femoris, gluteus medius, semitendinosus and adductor longus. We chose these muscles as they had the highest likelihood of being involved in the skating movement. The 512 Hz sampling rate was chosen to ensure optimal syncing between the 8 Shimmer3 sensors during data collection. EMG stroke cycles were time normalized with SkateView from take-off to take-off by concatenating the individually time normalized swing phase and contact phase so that ‘skate-placement’ (comparable to ‘heel strike’ in a walking gait-cycle) was at the center (50%) of every complete (100%) normalized time-block. For a detailed depiction of our method see Fig. 1a. EMG data was rectified and then filtered using a Journ e filter (Journ e et al., 1983) employing a band-pass filter between 10 and 50 Hz (a form of envelope filter). The high-pass filter (10 Hz) was required due to the dynamic acceleration and deceleration of speed skating movements. To control for the inter-participant variance in the amplitude of surface-EMG signals caused by external factors (skin conductance etc.), all statistical analyses were performed on ‘y-normalized’ strokes by taking each individual stroke and dividing it by the average of that stroke (y-axis normalization). We chose this course because the presence of extra activity was

interesting *relative* to the rest of the cycle and compared to the healthy leg.

2.3. Statistical analysis

2.3.1. Does the involuntary movement in skater’s cramp coincide with muscular over-activity?

We initially qualitatively compared video footage (see Video, Supplemental Skater’s Cramp Example Video 1, 2, 3) with EMG and kinematic data from time normalized strokes (Fig. 1). To assess how skater’s cramp presented on a group level, we employed one dimensional statistical non-parametric mapping (SnPM) (Robinson et al., 2015) (see Appendix A for details) using *MATLAB 2018a (MATLAB, MathWorks, Natick, USA)* to compare differences between the impacted and the healthy leg in skater’s cramp, and the left and the right leg in control participants. Using a non-parametric paired samples t-test (SnPM{t}) employing permutation (Nichols and Holmes, 2002; Robinson et al., 2015; Zoffoli et al., 2017), we individually compared the normalized muscle activity in 8 muscles in one leg, with the correspondent 8 muscles in the other leg. Additionally we compared the angular velocity of the two IMU sensors (skate sensors) that were attached to the skater’s feet. We used only the angular velocity values around the z rotational axis of the sensor (capturing inversion/eversion of the skater’s foot). To account for individual differences in inversion/eversion, the absolute value of the angular rotation in degrees per second ($|deg/sec|$) was compared for the impacted and non-impacted leg in the region of interest (ROI) of skate placement (40%-50% of the completed gait cycle [Fig. 1a]) using one dimensional statistical parametric mapping (SPM) (Robinson et al., 2015). We chose this ROI to capture the period pre-skate placement. Because the skating movement is bilaterally symmetrical in the straightaway, we tested the null hypothesis of no difference between legs in muscle and movement activity.

2.3.2. Does muscular over-activity remain constant despite different skating intensities?

To test whether intensity of skating at 60% and 80% made any differences to skater’s cramp we performed an additional SnPM analysis in the form of a two way repeated measures ANOVA (SnPM{F}) (Appendix A). The dependent variable was EMG activity




Fig. 1. a,b: Time normalized electromyography (EMG) of one affected participant over multiple strokes of the straightaway. In orange is shown a visual guide to one skating stroke. c: absolute angular velocity of one affected participant over multiple strokes. As skating is symmetrical in the straightaway, the impacted (red/gold) and healthy (dark and light blue) legs can be directly compared.

and the two within subject factors (independent variables) were leg: left vs right leg, and intensity: 60% vs 80%. We focused specifically on the swing phase, ignoring any differences in intensity during the contact phase, as these would be expected in healthy individuals due to differences in pushing intensity between the 60% and 80%. In addition to identification of any differences between 60% and 80% in the swing phase, we also looked for possible interaction effects to evaluate if differences in intensity were dependent on whether a leg was cramping.

3. Results

3.1. Population

We included 15 affected subjects (12 Male;3 Female) with skater's cramp. One participant was excluded from the affected group due to inconsistencies in responses to the bespoke questionnaire. The participant initially reported task-specificity and a patterned active jerking in the inclusion interview, but later reported task-general and bilateral instability when filling out the questionnaire, and was therefore omitted from our analysis. The remaining 14 participants had a mean age of 47 (STD 17) (see Table 1) and had 21 (STD 9) years of skating experience. Mean age of onset for skater's cramp was 40 (STD 17). Subjects 2, 5 and 10 were excluded from the neurological examination due to health concerns related to the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic. There were no peripheral neurological abnormalities reported in the remaining subjects. Four affected subjects reported pre-existing medical conditions, including a heart defect, knee operation, broken ankle and depression. Controls had a mean age of 36 (STD 16) and 22 (STD 10) years of skating experience. Control participants were not age matched, as they were selected based on experience and level and dedication. Experience in years of skating was the same between affected and control skaters (Mean affected \pm SD: 23 \pm 0.11 vs Mean control \pm SD: 24 \pm 12), $t(1,25) = -1.15, p = .76$, as was hours of weekly practice (Mean affected \pm SD: 5 \pm 3 vs Mean control \pm SD: 7 \pm 6), $W = 68.5, p = .62$.

3.2. Clinical findings

Symptoms presented only while skating and unilaterally. The rate of symptom onset was insidious, reaching full severity within weeks or months (median: 9 weeks). Subsequently, the condition stabilized, with 12 subjects reporting no remission after onset, even following many years of skating. Subject 3 and subject 13 reported a remission in symptoms, however this was temporary. The persistence of symptoms resulted in 9 subjects quitting skating, and no full recoveries. All subjects reported the condition was painless. Trigger factors were varied, but fell into 3 major groups: high stress, a change in equipment or a fall.

3.3. Statistical analysis of EMG/Kinematics

3.3.1. Does the involuntary movement in skater's cramp coincide with muscular over-activity?

There was evidence of consistent muscular over-activity and maladaptive movements occurring only at skate placement. This was apparent in qualitative analysis of video of an affected skater (see Video, Supplemental Skater's Cramp Example Video 1, 2, 3, which demonstrates skater's cramp), and comparing it with EMG and kinematic analysis (Fig. 1). Additionally, across the entire affected group, muscles of the impacted lower limb showed higher relative activity compared to the corresponding muscles of the contralateral non-impacted limb only during the swing phase and only at skate placement (Fig. 2). When comparing group wide muscle activity between the legs in a paired samples t-test using $SnPM\{t\}$, the impacted peroneus longus, tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius showed higher activity than contralateral non-impacted muscles, crossing the critical threshold $\{t\} = 4.051, 4.205$ and 4.012 , forming a suprathreshold cluster with a likelihood of being reproduced in repeated random samplings of $p = .002, p = .001$ and $p = .003$ respectively (Fig. 2). The deviations in these three muscles occurred at 42.1–48.9%, 45.1–50%, 48.5–52% of the normalized stroke cycle respectively. This moment of higher muscle activity corresponded with a moment of higher absolute angu-

lar velocity at 49%-50% of the normalized stroke cycle, where the impacted foot crossed the critical threshold: $\{t\} = 3.311$, forming a suprathreshold cluster of $p = .048$ (Fig. 2). All these findings corresponded with video analysis, showing jerking that had a consistent pattern and moment of onset (see Video, Supplemental Skater's Cramp Example Video 1, 2, 3, which demonstrates skater's cramp). In sum, kinematic and muscular deviation in the normalized gait cycle occurred simultaneously, and both appeared to occur only during the moment where skater's cramp presented visually. There were no differences between legs in muscle activity or movement activity, and no visual indication of any problems in the control group.

On average there was one instance of under-activation later in the stroke cycle, where the gastrocnemius crossed the critical threshold $\{t\} = 3.991$, creating a suprathreshold cluster, $p = .003$ at the moment equating to 92% of the completed stroke cycle. Despite this lower activation, there were no visual indications of instability and no kinematic differences at that moment.

3.3.2. Does muscular over-activity remain constant despite different skating intensities?

Between 60% and 80% intensity, lap times decreased by 4.6 (SD 3.7) seconds and 5.4 (SD 3.4) seconds per lap for the affected and control group respectively. Over-activity remained consistent at different intensities. There was no difference in muscle activity in the skater's cramp group at 60% vs 80% skating intensity using a two way Repeated Measures ANOVA. In Fig. 3b the result for the within subjects factor intensity (60% vs 80%) is depicted and shows there was no crossing of the significance threshold $\{t\} = 15.9$ for this factor, indicating no difference. Fig. 3c shows that despite there being no difference in intensity, the peroneus of the impacted leg was over-active relative to the healthy leg, showing a crossing of the significance threshold $\{t\} = 15.6$ at time period 42%-48%, supra-threshold cluster: $p = .0004$. Fig. 3d shows there was no

interaction effect between intensity and leg with no crossing of the threshold $\{t\} = 16.6$, indicating intensity did not differ based on whether the leg was impacted or non-impacted. Results of this analysis were the same for the gastrocnemius and tibialis muscles (Appendix B, Fig. B.1)

4. Discussion

Our study's results support our hypothesis that skater's cramp is a TSD. As with other forms of lower limb TSD (Ahmad et al., 2018; Cutsforth-Gregory et al., 2016), angular velocity showed a repetitive stereotyped jerking of the foot that occurred simultaneously with over-activity in muscles of the impacted leg (Fig. 1), and was task-specific (affected skaters reported the problem did not occur outside of speedskating). Video evidence supported our quantitative findings, showing the same active repetitive jerking symptoms occurred in all affected skaters (Video 1, 2, 3), although the severity of jerking appeared to vary per participant. Furthermore, intensity of skating did not influence maladaptive over-activation of the impacted muscles (Fig. 3), similar to other forms of TSD (Smith et al., 2000). Finally, the clinical history of the skaters in our study was compatible with TSD (Katz et al., 2013; Moura et al., 2017; Sadnicka et al., 2016) with the rate of symptom onset progressing insidiously over weeks or months, and triggering factors such as stress, equipment change or a fall coinciding with symptom onset (Table 1).

In a retrospective case review of task-specific lower limb dystonia, 13 runners and seven other athletes including cycling, dancing and speed walking showed inversion of the foot and maladaptive muscle activation to be among the most suggestive features of TSD (Cutsforth-Gregory et al., 2016). Similarly, in a case study of TSD in runners, researchers detected kinematic and EMG evidence of an aberrant repetitive and consistent jerk (Ahmad et al., 2018). These studies reported problems arose after many years of healthy




Fig. 2. a,c: Muscle and movement activity for the affected (above) and control (below) group. The dashed rectangle indicates the 10% of normalized time preceding skate placement. b,d: In statistical non parametric mapping (SnPM) paired samples t-test average relative muscle activity in the peroneus longus, tibialis anterior and medial side of the gastrocnemius are higher in the impacted leg at approximately 40–50% of completed gait cycle. Higher absolute angular velocity is shown at approximately 49–50%. Probability (p) values in grey with red star indicate probability that the adjacent suprathreshold clusters was reproduced in repeated random samplings.

Comparison of Muscle Activity at 60% and 80% Skating Intensity in the Peroneus Longus (Affected Skaters)




Fig. 3. a: Visual depiction of the factors of the two-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) showing within subjects factor leg: Mean relative muscle activity in the impacted leg (red) compared to the non-impacted leg (blue); and within subjects factor intensity: 60% skating intensity (dotted lines) and 80% skating intensity (dashed lines). The gold square indicates the area of the swing phase. b: Results for within subjects factor intensity: muscle activity at 60% or 80% skating intensity showing no difference. c: Results for within subjects factor leg showed the relative activity of the impacted leg was higher than the healthy leg nearing the end of the swing phase as indicated by a supra-threshold cluster at 43–49% of stroke-cycle exceeding the critical threshold 15.599, $p = .0004$. d: No interaction effect between factor intensity and leg, indicating there was no difference in skating intensity dependent on whether the leg was affected or healthy.

performance and often without peripheral injury or pre-existing conditions. Our observations found affected skaters matched these muscular, kinematic and clinical features. We found stereotyped muscular over-activation in the peroneus longus, tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius, combined with patterned jerking (Fig. 2) that arose suddenly after many years of healthy skating with no neurological issues reported and most skaters reporting no pre-existing medical conditions (Table 1). Thus we can conclude muscular, kinematic and clinical features of skater’s cramp together form a set of observations that mirror many other forms of TSD. Importantly the combination of these features together is highly elucidative. For example, co-contraction (caused by fear as a result of instability) may produce a muscular over-activation, but would likely not produce an extra movement that was so highly consistent as seen in skater’s cramp. As TSD is still clinically diagnosed,

our findings cannot unequivocally confirm our hypothesis, even as they provide compelling evidence for it.

A recent model has been proposed to explain certain key features of TSD that matches well with our observations of skater’s cramp (Sadnicka et al., 2018). In it, the primary cause of TSD is suggested to be a sudden peripheral change triggering ‘sensory motor reorganization’ (Sadnicka et al., 2018) precipitating in the rapid development of task-specific over-activation (Leijnse et al., 2015; Nutt et al., 1988; Pearce, 2005; Piro Richardson et al., 2017). This is thought to occur when intense repetition causes the formation of longer sequences of encoded motor engrams whose efficiency causes the loss of intermediate-level representations (Ramkumar et al., 2016). With the loss comes less ability to adapt to sudden environmental changes (equipment change or an injury) leading to engram corruption triggering disinhibition in motor networks

that results in patterned, consistent and task-specific cramping (Sadnicka and Rosset-Llobet, 2019). Because corruption may be triggered by myriad factors, TSD can arise without injury, suddenly, after many years of healthy performance and remains persistent and unresponsive to peripheral interventions (Altenmüller and Jabusch, 2010). Within the context of this proposed model, skater's cramp matched these features, as we found it to be a task-specific over-activity in healthy skaters (Fig. 2), with a sudden unpredictable onset that was persistent (Table 1), and unresponsive to attempted interventions including physio guided stretching, dry needling, and surgery for compartment syndrome.

Interestingly, there was also under-activation, with the impacted gastrocnemius showing less activity at 92% of the completed stroke cycle in skaters on average (Fig. 2). This moment was unrelated to the jerking movement that indicated skater's cramp at skate placement. Higher levels of exhaustion in the impacted leg may explain this finding, as it is known to reduce EMG output. Supporting this suggestion, under-activity occurred at the highest period of relative muscular output in the cycle. Further research is required controlling for fatigue to confirm this. An alternative explanation is y-normalization effects from our signal processing, where the elevated muscle activity at the jerking moment leads to lower relative muscle activity in other parts of the cycle (appearing as under-activation). The visual indicators of lower activity in the peroneus longus and tibialis both before and after skate placement suggests this.

Skaters' cramping remained consistent regardless of whether skaters skated at 60% or 80% intensity (Fig. 3b). These findings agree with a previous study of skater's cramp where extra weight was placed on a skater's foot, hypothesizing this would cause a higher swing amplitude if the limb were modeled as a torsion spring (Enoka, 2008). Similar to these findings, we proposed that increased intensity would have caused higher muscle activation to maintain control if skater's cramp were a mechanical instability. We posit that it was due to the centrally driven nature of skater's cramp that it was not impacted by the added mass or higher intensity. This consistency is typical of TSD, where flawed movements often maintain a persistent pattern despite being performed in differing external circumstances (Albanese et al., 2013). It is thought this is because, despite being highly task-specific, these engrams can likely remain active during a wide range of different limb speeds and masses (Altenmüller and Jabusch, 2009; Sadnicka and Kornysheva, 2018). Researchers posit that if these engrams are corrupted, it would result in movement flaws that persist within a wide range of intensities (Sadnicka et al., 2016). Therefore, we suggest that the consistency in intensity shown in skater's cramp is likely an indicator of a similar centrally driven problem, making task-specific dystonia a more likely etiology. Admittedly, these findings are preliminary and meant to support an approach to differentiate movement issues, in particular those that are centrally driven. Future research may consider employing a battery of tests using weights and differences in intensity to aid in the diagnosis of new forms of TSD.

5. Limitations

Quantitative evidence-based protocols for identifying TSD do not exist, therefore our attempt at diagnosis was based on the best current understanding of TSD, and employing new ways of measuring it with kinematics and EMG. As in other studies, we identified clear kinematic cues of dystonia using accelerometry and related it to EMG measures, however we did not correct for electro-mechanical delay (the early onset of muscle activation compared to force or motion), because the time disparity had no bearing on the strength of our major findings. Furthermore, surface

EMG is susceptible to variance in amplitude due to the accuracy of sensor placement, differences in morphology and skin conductance, etc. For these reasons our findings remain suggestive, but not conclusive. A more detailed analysis with sub-dermal EMG looking at individual participants may be required.

5.1. Conclusion

This is the first substantial cohort tested attempting to diagnose skater's cramp as a TSD, a condition that has previously often been misdiagnosed and improperly treated using invasive surgeries. Clinical, kinematic and EMG features support the diagnosis: TSD. The method developed in this study should be used in a future within subjects design to test the efficacy of TSD related interventions to attempt to improve symptoms in skaters affected by skater's cramp.

Acknowledgements

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The authors would like to thank physiotherapist, Nynke Siderius, for consultancy on sensor placement and Remco van der Zwaan for data collection. We would further like to thank Thialf Innovation Lab for providing access to speed skating facilities. Finally we thank the affected skaters who took part, and consented to be filmed and measured in the pursuit of better understanding this problem.

Financial disclosures

BN- has nothing to disclose. MT- reports grants from the Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMW) Topsubsidie (91218013), the European Fund for Regional Development from the European Union (01492947) and the province of Friesland, the Stichting Wetenschapsfonds Dystonie and unrestricted grants from Actelion and Merz. TZ-has nothing to disclose. JWE-has nothing to disclose. AS-has nothing to disclose. EO-has nothing to disclose. JE-STW project: Realtime Feedback in Speed Skating supported by the NWO (Dutch Research Council) (12870).

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. No authors have any further conflicts of interest to report. Authors declare that the results of the study have been presented in a clear, honest and transparent way without fabrication, falsification or inappropriate manipulation.

Declarations

I as representative of myself and all authors affirm that the submission of this manuscript is in compliance with the following ethical requirements: This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and all persons provided informed consent prior to being included in the study. The study was reviewed by the Medical Ethical Committee of the university medical hospital of Groningen (UMCG) ruled IRB approval was not necessary for this study (M119.241754). The authors declare that participants depicted in all appended video examples provided signed consent to be filmed and that any resultant videos from filming could be used in scientific research and the presentation of scientific research.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2023.02.168>.

References

- Adler CH, Crews D, Hentz JG, Smith AM, Caviness JN. Abnormal co-contraction in yips-affected but not unaffected golfers: Evidence for focal dystonia. *Neurology* 2005;64:1813. <https://doi.org/10.1212/01.WNL.0000162024.05514.03>.
- Ahmad OF, Ghosh P, Stanley C, Karp B, Hallett M, Lungu C, et al. Electromyographic and Joint Kinematic Patterns in Runner's Dystonia. *Toxins* 2018;10:166. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins10040166>.
- Albanese A, Bhatia K, Bressman SB, DeLong MR, Fahn S, Fung VSC, et al. Phenomenology and classification of dystonia: a consensus update. *Mov Disord* 2013;28:863–73. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.25475>.
- Altenmüller E, Jabusch H-C. Focal dystonia in musicians: phenomenology, pathophysiology and triggering factors. *Eur J Neurol* 2010;17:31–6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03048.x>.
- Altenmüller E, Jabusch H-C. Focal hand dystonia in musicians: phenomenology, etiology, and psychological trigger factors. *J Hand Ther* 2009;22:144–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jht.2008.11.007>.
- Bäumer T, Schmidt A, Heldmann M, Landwehr M, Simmer A, Tönniges D, et al. Abnormal interhemispheric inhibition in musician's dystonia - Trait or state? *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2016;25:33–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2016.02.018>.
- Cutsforth-Gregory JK, Ahlskog JE, McKeon A, Burnett MS, Matsumoto JY, Hassan A, et al. Repetitive exercise dystonia: a difficult to treat hazard of runner and non-runner athletes. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2016;27:74–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2016.03.013>.
- Eb J van der, Gereats S, Knobbe A. Enhancing the performance of elite speed skaters using SkateView: a new device to measure performance in speed skating. *Proceedings* 2020;49:133. <https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2020049133>.
- Eb J van der, Zandee W, Bogaard T van den, Geraets S, Veeger D, Beek P. Towards real-time feedback in high performance speed skating. In: W. Potthast, A. Niehoff, S. David (editors). *Proceedings of the 35th Conference of the International Society of Biomechanics in Sports (ISBS 2017)* (pp. 152-155). ISBS Proceedings Archive 2017;35(1). International Society of Biomechanics in Sports.
- Enoka R. *Neuromechanics of Human Movement*. fourth ed. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2008.
- Furuya S, Hanakawa T. The curse of motor expertise: Use-dependent focal dystonia as a manifestation of maladaptive changes in body representation. *Neurosci Res* 2016;104:112–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neures.2015.12.001>.
- Gallea C, Herath P, Voon V, Lerner A, Ostuni J, Saad Z, et al. Loss of inhibition in sensorimotor networks in focal hand dystonia. *NeuroImage Clin* 2018;17:90–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.10.011>.
- Gilgen-Ammann R, Taube W, Wyss T. Gait Asymmetry During 400- to 1000-m High-Intensity Track Running in Relation to Injury History. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2017;12:S2–160. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2016-0379>.
- Horisawa S, Takeda N, Taira T. Watchmaker's Dystonia. *Mov Disord Clin Pract* 2016;3:102–3. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mdc3.12236>.
- Journée HL, van Manen J, van der Meer JJ. Demodulation of e.m.g.s. of pathological tremours. Development and testing of a demodulator for clinical use. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 1983;21:172–5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02441533>.
- Katz M, Byl NN, San Luciano M, Ostrem JL. Focal task-specific lower extremity dystonia associated with intense repetitive exercise: a case series. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2013;19:1033–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2013.07.013>.
- Leijne JNAL, Hallett M, Sonneveld GJ. A multifactorial conceptual model of peripheral neuromusculoskeletal predisposing factors in task-specific focal hand dystonia in musicians: etiologic and therapeutic implications. *Biol Cybern* 2015;109:109–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00422-014-0631-5>.
- Lenka A, Jankovic J. Sports-Related Dystonia. *Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov (N Y)* 2021;11:54. <https://doi.org/10.5334/tohm.670>.
- de Koning-Tijssen M. Over de schaatser met de zwabbervoet. *FysioPraxis* 2014; p. 22–5.
- Meijer L. Een schaatser met een zwabbervoet. *FysioPraxis* 2013;22–5.
- Moura RC, de Carvalho Aguiar PM, Bortz G, Ferraz HB. Clinical and epidemiological correlates of task-specific dystonia in a large cohort of Brazilian music players. *Front Neurol* 2017;8:73. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00073>.
- Nichols T, Holmes A. Nonparametric permutation tests for functional neuroimaging: a primer with examples. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2002;15:1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.1058>.
- Nijenhuis B, Schalkwijk AHP, Hendriks S, Zutt R, Otten E, Tijssen MAJ. Skater's cramp: a possible task-specific dystonia in Dutch ice-skaters. *Mov Disord Clin Pract* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mdc3.12799>.
- Nutt JG, Muentner MD, Aronson A, Kurland LT, Melton LJ. Epidemiology of focal and generalized dystonia in Rochester, Minnesota. *Mov Disord* 1988;3:188–94. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.870030302>.
- Pearce JMS. A note on scrivener's palsy. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2005;76:513. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2004.044743>.
- Pirio Richardson S, Altenmüller E, Alter K, Alterman RL, Chen R, Frucht S, et al. Research priorities in limb and task-specific dystonias. *Front Neurol* 2017;8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00170>.
- Ramkumar P, Acuna DE, Berniker M, Grafton ST, Turner RS, Kording KP. Chunking as the result of an efficiency computation trade-off. *Nat Commun* 2016;7:12176. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12176>.
- Robinson MA, Vanrenterghem J, Pataky TC. Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) for alpha-based statistical analyses of multi-muscle EMG time-series. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 2015;25:14–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2014.10.018>.
- Sadnicka A, Kassavetis P, Pareés I, Meppelink AM, Butler K, Edwards M. Task-specific dystonia: pathophysiology and management. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2016;87:968–74. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2015-311298>.
- Sadnicka A, Kornysheva K. What's in a Name? Conundrums common to the task-specific disorders. *Mov Disord Clin Pract* 2018;5:573–4. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mdc3.12684>.
- Sadnicka A, Kornysheva K, Rothwell JC, Edwards MJ. A unifying motor control framework for task-specific dystonia. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2018;14:116–24. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneuro.2017.146>.
- Sadnicka A, Rosset-Llobet J. A motor control model of task-specific dystonia and its rehabilitation. In: Ramat S, Shaikh AG, editors. *Prog. Brain Res.*, vol. 249, Elsevier; 2019, p. 269–83. [Chapter 21]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pbr.2019.04.011>.
- Satkunskiene D, Stasiulis A, Zaicenkoviene K, Sakalauskaite R, Rautkys D. Effect of muscle-damaging eccentric exercise on running kinematics and economy for running at different intensities. *J Strength Cond Res* 2015;29:2404–11. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000000908>.
- Smith AM, Malo SA, Laskowski ER, Sabick M, Cooney 3rd WP, Finnie SB, et al. A multidisciplinary study of the "yips" phenomenon in golf: an exploratory analysis. *Sports Med Auckl NZ* 2000;30:423–37. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200030060-00004>.
- Stahl CM, Frucht SJ. Focal task specific dystonia: a review and update. *J Neurol* 2017;264:1536–41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-016-8373-z>.
- Stinear CM, Byblow WD. Impaired modulation of corticospinal excitability following subthreshold rTMS in focal hand dystonia. *Hum Mov Sci* 2004;23:527–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2004.08.022>.
- Wu LJC, Jankovic J. Runner's dystonia. *J Neurol Sci* 2006;251:73–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2006.09.003>.
- Zoffoli L, Ditroilo M, Federici A, Lucertini F. Patterns of trunk muscle activation during walking and pole walking using statistical non-parametric mapping. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 2017;37:52–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2017.09.002>.