ID: 2085080563 Word Count: 3583 Submitted: 1	Similarity Index	Similarity by Source
29125956439_1.pdf By Anonymous	22%	Publications: 13% Student Papers: 11%
2% match (student papers from 17-Feb-2023) Submitted to Universitas Bung Hatta on 2023-02-17		
1% match (Internet from 16-Mar-2023) https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351809404 Consumer Protection Commerce A Legal and Compliance Framework in the Digital Market	<u>i in E-</u>	
1% match (Internet from 06-Mar-2015) http://www.cesmaa.eu/journals/jaes/files/JAES_2014_Winter.pdf		
1% match (Internet from 15-Apr-2023) https://jurnal.stie-aas.ac.id/index.php/jap/article/download/6287/pdf		
1% match (student papers from 21-Apr-2015) Submitted to University of Durham on 2015-04-21		
1% match (student papers from 13-Dec-2022) Submitted to School of Business and Management ITB on 2022-12-13		
1% match (Internet from 05-Oct-2022) http://repository.um.ac.id/17498/		
1% match (Bresar, M "On commutators and derivations in rings", Journal or Bresar, M "On commutators and derivations in rings", Journal of Algebra, 20	0040815	
1% match (Svetlozar T. Rachev, Stoyan V. Stoyanov, Frank J. Fabozzi. "A Pro Measures", Wiley, 2011) <u>Svetlozar T. Rachev, Stoyan V. Stoyanov, Frank J. Fabozzi. "A Probability Met</u> <u>Wiley, 2011</u>		
1% match (Internet from 16-Nov-2022) https://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/complexity/2021/8912024.pdf		
1% match (Internet from 11-Oct-2021) http://etd.aau.edu.et/bitstream/handle/123456789/25643/Admasu%20Mark isAllowed=y&sequence=1	kos%20%202020.pdf?	
1% match (Internet from 01-Oct-2022) http://ijecm.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/81234.pdf		
1% match (KARIN ERDMANN, ANNE HENKE. "On Ringel duality for Schur alg Cambridge Philosophical Society, 2002) KARIN ERDMANN, ANNE HENKE. "On Ringel duality for Schur algebras", Matl Philosophical Society, 2002		-
1% match (Internet from 11-Jun-2019) https://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/full/10.1108/03074351111092148		
1% match (Teräsvirta, Timo. "Nonlinear Models for Autoregressive Condition Models and Their Applications Bauwens/Handbook of Volatility Models and Th <u>Teräsvirta, Timo. "Nonlinear Models for Autoregressive Conditional Heteroske</u> <u>Their Applications Bauwens/Handbook of Volatility Models and Their Applicati</u>	neir Applications, 2012.) edasticity", Handbook of Volati	,
< 1% match (Internet from 25-Nov-2022) https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353924854 The Effect of Addict	ion of Watching Korean Dra	ma Series on Imita
< 1% match (Internet from 08-Jan-2017) http://docplayer.net/13205565-Predicting-stock-volatility-using-after-hours-i actively-traded-stocks.html	information-evidence-from-the	<u>e-nasdaq-</u>
< 1% match (Internet from 25-Nov-2018) http://docplayer.net/43074938-Forecasting-tourist-arrivals.html		
< 1% match (student papers from 03-Aug-2021) Submitted to Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa on 2021-08-03		
< 1% match (student papers from 09-Jan-2021) Submitted to University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia on 2021-01-09		

	Submitted to University of Mauritius on 2022-07-29		
	< 1% match (student papers from 13-Sep-2021) <u>Submitted to University of Southampton on 2021-09-13</u>		
	< 1% match (student papers from 25-Jul-2017) <u>Submitted to CVC Nigeria Consortium on 2017-07-25</u>		
	< 1% match (student papers from 04-Sep-2012) Submitted to University of Keele on 2012-09-04		
	< 1% match (Internet from 28-Sep-2022) https://vdoc.pub/documents/fashion-retail-supply-chain-management-a-systems-optimization-approach-1e929bfsfat0		
	< 1% match (student papers from 24-Mar-2007) Submitted to University of Reading on 2007-03-24		
	< 1% match (student papers from 17-Sep-2013) Submitted to University of Warwick on 2013-09-17		
	< 1% match (Internet from 24-Nov-2022) http://jurnal.stie-mandala.ac.id/index.php/eproceeding/article/download/462/431		
	< 1% match (Internet from 25-Dec-2019) https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/21/7/634/htm		
	< 1% match (Internet from 15-Mar-2023) https://journal.environcj.in/index.php/ecj/onlinefirst/download/1229/992		
	< 1% match (Internet from 13-Jan-2021) https://libraryeproceeding.telkomuniversity.ac.id/index.php/engineering/article/download/1414/1348		
	< 1% match ("Advances in Computing and Data Sciences", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019) "Advances in Computing and Data Sciences", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019		
	< 1% match (Jin Peng. "Chapter 139 Average Value at Risk in Fuzzy Risk Analysis", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2009) Jin Peng. "Chapter 139 Average Value at Risk in Fuzzy Risk Analysis", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2009		
	< 1% match (MW. Hung, CF. Lee, LC. So. "Impact of foreign-listed single stock futures on the domestic underlying stock markets", Applied Economics Letters, 2003) <u>MW. Hung, CF. Lee, LC. So. "Impact of foreign-listed single stock futures on the domestic underlying stock markets", Applied Economics Letters, 2003</u>		
	< 1% match (Internet from 17-Aug-2020) https://mafiadoc.com/pdf-gaussian-processes-for-machine-learning_59c4bce71723dd6ef3077a05.html		
	< 1% match (Internet from 05-Mar-2023) <u>https://research-repository.griffith.edu.au/bitstream/handle/10072/366407/Vlady_2012_02Thesis.pdf?</u> <u>isAllowed=y&sequence=1</u>		
	< 1% match (Internet from 06-Jan-2023) https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-03/documents/proucl_v4.00.04_tech.pdf		
	< 1% match ("GARCH Models for Commodity Markets", Handbook of Multi-Commodity Markets and Products, 2015.) "GARCH Models for Commodity Markets", Handbook of Multi-Commodity Markets and Products, 2015.		
	< 1% match (Amado, Cristina, and Timo Teräsvirta. "Modelling volatility by variance decomposition", Journal of Econometrics, 2013.) <u>Amado, Cristina, and Timo Teräsvirta. "Modelling volatility by variance decomposition", Journal of Econometrics, 2013.</u>		
	< 1% match (Emrah Altun. "A new approach to Value-at-Risk: GARCH-TSLx model with inference", Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation, 2018) <u>Emrah Altun. "A new approach to Value-at-Risk: GARCH-TSLx model with inference", Communications in Statistics -</u> <u>Simulation and Computation, 2018</u>		
	< 1% match (student papers from 21-Jul-2017) Submitted to Hong Kong Baptist University on 2017-07-21		
	< 1% match (Raj S. Dhankar. "Chapter 10 Time Series of Return and Volatility", Springer Science and Business Media LLC 2019) Raj S. Dhankar. "Chapter 10 Time Series of Return and Volatility", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019		
	< 1% match (Sheng-Yung Yang, "Inter-day return and volatility dynamics between Japanese ADRs and their underlying securities", Applied Financial Economics, 2007) <u>Sheng-Yung Yang, "Inter-day return and volatility dynamics between Japanese ADRs and their underlying securities", Applied Financial Economics, 2007</u>		
	< 1% match (WO-CHIANG LEE. "FORECASTING HIGH-FREQUENCY FINANCIAL DATA VOLATILITY VIA NONPARAMETRIC ALGORITHMS: EVIDENCE FROM TAIWAN'S FINANCIAL MARKETS", New Mathematics and Natural Computation, 2011) <u>WO-CHIANG LEE. "FORECASTING HIGH-FREQUENCY FINANCIAL DATA VOLATILITY VIA NONPARAMETRIC ALGORITHMS:</u> <u>EVIDENCE FROM TAIWAN'S FINANCIAL MARKETS", New Mathematics and Natural Computation, 2011</u>		
	< 1% match () <u>Cabuk, René. "Verification of Possibilities of Prediction Financial Values Using Financial Models", Vysoká škola báňská - Technická univerzita Ostrava, 2019</u>		
	< 1% match (Internet from 15-Dec-2017) http://shishirshakya.blogspot.co.uk/2015/07/garch-model-estimation-backtesting-risk.html		
	< 1% match (Internet from 17-Jan-2023)		

< 1% match (Internet from 23-Sep-2022)

http://www.europeanjournalofsocialsciences.com/issues/PDF/EJSS 57 2 03.pdf

https://worldwidescience.org/topicpages/g/gaeumannomyces+graminis+var.html

Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Mathematics and Mathematics Education (ICMMED 2020) Estimation of average value at risk (AVaR) on sharia joint-stock index using Glosten, Jaggnathan and Runkle (GJR) model Sri Muslihah Bakhtiar1 Ermawati2 Ilham Syata3,* Wahidah Alwi4 Risnawati Ibnas5 Sri Dewi Anugrawati6 1.2.3.4.5,6 Mathematics Department, Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar *Corresponding author. Email: ilham.syata@uinalauddin.ac.id ABSTRACT The average value at risk (AVaR) is a measuring tool used to assess the worst loss experienced by an investor on a portfolio investment at a certain time. Furthermore. AVaR's level of confidence needs to fulfill all the axioms regarding the nature of risk for risk-varse investors. This is because the possibility of an asymmetric volatility response can be overcomed by estimating the risk of loss using the Glosten. Jagganathan. and Runkle (GJR) models. In this study, the stock price data for the period January 1-28 December 2018 were used for the response. Therefore, this study aims to determine the risk estimation of stock price loss using the Average Value at Risk with the Glosten Jagganathan and Runkle models. The results showed that the stock price obtained from the AVaR estimation with a 95% confidence level of 0.1627% may be experienced one day ahead. Keywords: GJR-GARCH, Asymmetric, AVaR 1. INTRODUCTION Investment has a very significant role in the movement of the economy. Economic growth cannot be separated from the influence of capital market developments so that the capital market can facilitate people to invest. An investor will buy several shares in the hope of making a profit. However, investing will be accompanied by risks especially with a large enough investment. One of the measuring tools commonly used by investors and quite popular is Value at Risk (VaR). VaR is used as a measuring tool that can assess the worst loss experienced by an investor in investing in a portfolio at a certain time and level of confidence. VaR has become a commonly used risk measure for financial risk management because the concept is quite simple. easy to calculate. and can be applied directly. However. VaR has several deficiencies that are recognized by experts in the financial sector. among others. VaR only measures the percentile of the distribution of profits or losses without considering any losses that exceed the VaR level. Therefore, we need a measuring tool that can overcome the weaknesses of VaR to provide more accurate results. namely Average Value at Risk (AVaR). AVaR is a measurement tool that has advantages over VaR. One of the advantages of AVaR is that it fulfills all the axioms regarding the nature of risk which is coherent and consistent about investors who are risk-averse [1]. Stock prices that fluctuate every day provide uncertainty to investors who invest in returns that are random and have high volatility or variance is not constant (heteroscedasticity). Heteroscedasticity, which is indicated by the presence of instability in volatility. has led to volatility clustering that is a phenomenon where Copyright © 2021 The Authors. Published by Atlantis Press SARL. This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/. 143 volatility will remain at a high level for several periods when volatility is trending up or vice versa and it will remain low for several periods when volatility is trending down. With this problem. a series model that can maintain the heteroscedasticity of data is needed such as the GARCH model [2] The GARCH model can be used to estimate the maximum potential loss that will occur in the future with a certain time and a certain level of confidence. However the effect of asymmetry is often found. This GARCH model is unable to fulfill all asymmetric responses to shocks. This asymmetric response is known as the leverage effect so that the GARCH model is developed to meet the possibility of an asymmetric volatility response. The development of this GARCH Model is the GJR Model introduced by Glosten. Jagannathan. and Runkle in 1993 [3]. Previous research conducted by Komang D[1]on the estimation of AVaR (Average Value at Risk) using the GJR Model and the GARCH Model to estimates the VaR and AVaR values and then compares the performance of the two models. The test results show that the VaR and AVaR with the GJR Model approach provide higher aggressiveness when compared to the GARCH model. The research conducted by Dinul D J [5] who also compared the GJR-GARCH model with the EGARCH model in the risk analysis of Islamic stocks This research states that the measurement of risk by using VaR with the GJR model shows the risk value for the composite index of Islamic stocks is smaller than using VaR-EGARCH. Therefore, based on the explanation before, we think its important to estimate the Average Value at Risk (AVaR) using the Jagganathan and Runkle (GJR) Glosten Model. 2. METHODOLOGY 2.1. GARCH Model The ARCH model is generally used to estimate the volatility introduced by Engle in 1982 whose residuals are not correlated. Then Tim [4] develops the ARCH model into a GARCH model of residual money following the GARCH model (p. q) where q is the ARCH order and p is the GARCH order. This GARCH model has the characteristic of symmetrical volatility to shocks. Or it can be said that as long as the intensity is the same. the volatility response to a shock is the same. whether it is a positive shock (good news) or negative (bad news). The general form of the GARCH model (p.q) ?t2 ??0 ?? $\frac{1?2t}{1?2t}$?1?...?? $\frac{1?2t}{2?2t}$?1?...?? $\frac{1}{2}$ t?q ($\frac{1}{2}$) Where $\alpha 0$ is constant. at is GARCH parameter. at is ARCH parameter. and et is white noise process 2.2. Asymmetric Test The asymmetric test is a property that shows the imbalance of certain conditions or objects. In time series analysis, the asymmetric nature can be interpreted as a "leverage effect" or an imbalance in price movement patterns (high volatility). This condition is very risky in determining decision making. Therefore, it is necessary to perform the test of data asymmetry for determining the presence or absence of asymmetric symptoms in the data by looking at the values of skewness and kurtosis. This skewness is a degree imbalance in the distribution. If the skewness which measures the asymmetry of the data distribution is not equal to zero then the distribution is said to be asymmetrical (asymmetric) and if the skewness is equal to zero then the data is normally distributed (symmetrical)[5] 2.3. GJR-GARCH Model Glosten. Jagannathan. and Runkle introduced the Asymmetric GARCH (AGARCH) model or better known as the GJR-GARCH. One of the advantages of the GJR-GARCH model is to measure volatility due to the different effects of bad news and good news. GJR- GARCH is an extension of the GARCH modeling technique. where a variable is added to the conditional variance equation to accommodate the possibility of asymmetry in the volatility of a variable as a result of bad news and good news. The GJR model slightly differs from the GARCH model where the GJR model has a part that represents the asymmetry. it's represented by the parameter as in the following equation: rt ?c0?c1rt?1??t (2) Where ?t is the residual of the model. Furthermore ?t2 ? ?<u>0 ? ?1? 2t?1 ? ?2? 2t?2 ? ?3? 2t?3 ? ... ? ? p? 2t?p</u> ? t2 ? ?<u>0 ? ?1? 2t?1 ?</u> ? ?i? 2t?i ? ?1? 2t?1 <u>?? j</u>? 2t? j ? ? It?1? <u>2t?1</u> ? ... ? ? It?k? <u>2t?i</u> (3) Or P Q P ?t2 ??0 ???i?<u>2t?i</u> ???j?2t?j ???It?k?<u>2t?i</u> (4) i?1 j ?<u>1 k ?1 Where</u> zt N (0,1) or zt td and It?1 ? ???10,,??tt??11 ?? 00 With ?0 ? 0 . ?1 ? 0 . ?? ? 0 . ?? ? 0 . ?1 ? ? ? 1 1 . and 2 It?1 is a dummy variable. When γ is positive it means that tomorrow's volatility is more negative if today's residual is negative. GJR-GARCH model is an asymmetric GARCH model so estimating parameters ?0 . ?1 . ? j .and ? i can be done by using the Maximum Likelihood method which assumes the stock price index returns are normally distributed [1]. 2.4. Akaike information Criterion (AIC) Test AIC is used to select the best model. If two models are compared, the smallest AIC model is the best model [6]. The AIC formula is as follows: AIC ? n ln ? a ? 2 2k (5) n where ? a is the maximum likelihood estimate of ? a2 . K 2 is the number of parameters in the model and n is the number of samples. 2.5. Value at Risk (VaR) Value at Risk (VaR) is a measurement to estimate the maximum loss that will be obtained during a certain period in normal market conditions at a certain level of confidence. VaR at the confidence level (1 - a) and the time interval t can be formulated as follows: VaRt (?)? inf{rt : Ft (rt)??} (6) Where Ft is the distribution function of the rate of return on asset return rt at time t. Thus. the VaR for the next day at the confidence level a can be formulated as follows: VaRt ????t??1(?) (7) where ??1(.) is the inverse function of the standard normal cumulative distribution function [7] 2.6. Average Value at Risk (AVaR) Average Value at Risk (AVaR) is the main alternative in measuring risk which serves to reduce problems that occur in VaR. This AVaR is the conditional expectation of the tail of the distribution where VaR is a quantile. The two risk measures are identical if the tail of the distribution of the random variable is missing. AVaR has a coherent nature so it is more interesting to explore from a mathematical point of view. Due to these characteristics. AVaR is better and easier to optimize with linear programming methods when compared to VaR. AVaR with the confidence level a 2 [0; 1] and the function of the return distribution is assumed to have a continuous function. then the equation can be written as follows: $AVZR\alpha(r) = -\alpha 1 \int 0\alpha Er - 1 (r) dr (8) = -E(rt|rt \le VZR\alpha(rt))$ where Fr - 0 is the inverse of a distribution function of a 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION The data used in this study is the combined share

https://www.turnitin.com/newreport printview.asp?eq=0&eb=1&esm=0&oid=2085080563&sid=0&n=0&m=2&svr=38&r=95.73270452387743&lang... 3/4

Turnitin - Originality Report - 29. 125956439 1.pdf

price of sharia stock in the period 1st January 2018 to 28 December 2018. The skewness value is obtained a negative value namely -0.1652389 that is mean the data distribution more and longer on the left which indicates that there is an asymmetrical character data. Furthermore the value of kurtosis is 4.415314. It's means that the data has a high enough volatility because the value is above the value of 3 which causes the symptom of heteroscedasticity in the data. Time series data cannot be separated from the stationarity test of the data to be studied. Therefore a stationary test was performed. Stationarity data testing was carried out through the unit root test statistical test using the Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) method so that the data can be said to be not stationary. The way for making stationary returns can be done by using differencing order 1 to the return. The results of the first-order differencing was plotted in the following figure: Figure 1. The first order differencing data plot of stock returns The data stationarity test used Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) method. From the test results we obtained a p-value of 0.01. This value is smaller than the significant level of 5%. Because the p-value < a than Ho is rejected which means that the data resulting from the first-order differencing is stationary. The stock price index return data is tested for normality to determine whether the data is normally distributed or not. The return data normality test can be done by looking at the normality test chart and through the Jarque-Bera test. The graph of <u>normality test</u> data <u>can be seen in the following figure: Figure</u> 2. <u>Normality test</u> of stock return data Based on <u>the normality test of stock return data</u>. it can be seen that <u>the data spread around</u> the <u>diagonal</u> line and follows the direction of the diagonal line or histogram graph so that the data can be said to be normally distributed. 3.1. ARIMA(p.d.q) Model After identifying the ARIMA model (p. d. q). the ARIMA model parameter estimation process (p. d. q) is carried out. ARIMA model estimates can be seen in the following table 1. Based on table 1 we get that the best ARIMA (p. d. q) model is ARIMA (2.1.2). The criteria for the model are seen based on the smallest AIC value. which is -1273.25 so that the model can be used for the next stage. Table 1. ARIMA (p.d. q) model Model Parameter Estimate SE AIC ARIMA(1.1.0) AR 1 -0.595 ARIMA(1.1.1) AR 1 -0.4184 MA 1 -1 ARIMA(1.1.2) AR 1 0.0272 MA 1 -1.9992 MA 2 0.9998 ARIMA(2.1.0) AR 1 -0.9189 AR 2 -0.5441 ARIMA(2.1.1) AR 1 -0.5883 AR 2 -0.3978 MA 1 -1 ARIMA(2.1.2) AR 1 0.0255 AR 2 -0.1298 MA 1 -1.9945 MA 2 0.9949 ARIMA(3.1.0) AR 1 -1.1161 AR 2 -0.8772 AR 3 -0.36 ARIMA(3.1.1) <u>AR 1 -0</u>.6703 <u>AR 2 0</u>.5185 <u>AR 3 -0</u>.2037 <u>MA 1</u> -1 ARIMA(3.1.2) <u>AR 1 0</u>.0293 <u>AR 2 -0</u>.1288 <u>AR 3 0</u> .0194 <u>MA 1</u> -1.9667 <u>MA 2 0</u>.9972 ARIMA(4.1.0) AR 1 -1.2409 AR 2 -1.1805 AR 3 -0.7467 AR 4 -0.3447 ARIMA(6.1.2) AR 1 0.0302 AR 2 -0.144 AR 3 0.0205 AR 4 -0.0949 AR 5 0.0004 AR 6 -0.0257 0.0498 0.0564 0.0096 0.063 ---------- 0.0521 0.0521 0.0571 0.0571 0.0097 0.0624 0.0622 ----- 0.0581 0.0581 0.0581 0.061 0.0664 0.061 0.0098 0.0633 0.0622 0.0633 ----- ---- 0.0584 0.0851 0.085 0.0583 ... 0.0635 0.0633 0.064 0.0638 0.0632 0.063 -961.66 -1160.4 -1270.9 -1050 -1202.7 -1273.3 -1083.7 -1211.6 -1271.4 -1114.4 ... -1267.6 MA 1 -1.9947 MA 2 0.995 ----- 3.2. Estimation of GARCH parameter GARCH modeling is a model that is done to solve problems with heteroscedastic data or there is an effect of ARCH on the ARIMA(p. d. q) model. Based on the ARIMA (2.1.2) model which is the best ARIMA model. the identification of the GARCH (p. q) model uses the order p and q of 2. namely GARCH (1.1). GARCH (1.2). GARCH (2. 1). GARCH (2.2). The GARCH (p. q) model estimates can be seen in the table 2. Based on table 2. the best GARCH (p.q) model is GARCH (1.1). The criteria for the model were seen based on the smallest AIC value. which was -4.914337 so that the model could be used for the next stage. Table 2. GARCH (p.q) model Model Parameter Estimate S.E AIC <u>GARCH(1.1) GARCH(1.2) GARCH(2.1) GARCH(2.2</u>) μ 2.218E-20 Ø1 -0.7624 θ $1 - 0.1028 \ \omega - 0.1468 \ \alpha 1 - 0.7283 \ \alpha 1 \ 0.00004841 \ \mu \ 2.218E-20 \ \vartheta 1 - 0.767 \ \vartheta 1 - 0.1026 \ \omega - 0.1449 \ \alpha 1 - 0.7309 \ \alpha 1 - 0.7309 \ \alpha 1 - 0.767 \ \vartheta 1 - 0.1026 \ \omega - 0.1449 \ \alpha 1 - 0.7309 \ \alpha 1 - 0.7309 \ \alpha 1 - 0.767 \ \vartheta 1 - 0.1026 \ \omega - 0.1449 \ \alpha 1 - 0.7309 \ \alpha 1 - 0.7309 \ \alpha 1 - 0.767 \ \vartheta 1 - 0.1026 \ \omega - 0.1449 \ \alpha 1 - 0.7309 \ \alpha 1 - 0.7309 \ \alpha 1 - 0.767 \ \vartheta 1 - 0.767 \$ $0.000004422\ \alpha 2\ 0.00000001\ \mu\ 2.218E-20\ \emptyset 1\ -0.767\ \theta 1\ -0.1026\ \omega\ -0.1449\ \alpha 1\ -0.7309\ \alpha 2\ 0.000004422\ \alpha 1\ 0.00000001$ $\mu \ 2.218E - 20 \ \emptyset 1 \ -0.7554 \ \theta 1 \ -0.1065 \ \omega \ -0.1468 \ \alpha 1 \ -0.7203 \ \alpha 2 \ 0.00008777 \ \alpha 1 \ 0.00000001 \ \alpha 2 \ 0.0347 \ 0.00018 \ 0.1405 \ 0.$ 0.07151 0.1263 0.127 0.000106 0.00018 0.137 0.07053 0.1237 0.1236 4.685E-06 0.01082 0.0001832 0.138 0.06874 0.1235 0.1241 ----- 0.04744 0.0001927 0.1444 0.07229 0.1301 0.1314 0.000201 0.07093 0.04767 -4.914337 -4.907323 -4.907323 -4.902317 3.3. GJR-GARCH (p.q) model In the previous best model, the best model ARIMA (2.1.2) GARCH (1.1) has been obtained by looking at the smallest AIC value. so that the model is used in the GJR model. Therefore by using the GJR-GARCH (1.1). GJR- GARCH (1.2). GJR-GARCH (2.1). GJR-GARCH (2.2) models. the estimated results of the GJR-GARCH parameter estimation can be seen in the Following table 3. Estimation of the GJR-GARCH model obtained the best model namely the GJR-GARCH model (1.1) that have been seen from the smallest AIC model so the ARIMA (2.1.2) and GJR GARCH (1.1) models as below a. ARIMA(2.1.2) Model Zt = 2.22d-20 -0.009961*εt*-1 2 + 0.99472*σt*-12 + *It*-1*εt*-12 Table 3. <u>GJR-GARCH (p. q) model</u> Model <u>GJR GARCH(1.1) GJR GARCH</u> <u>.2) GJR GARCH (2.1) GJR GARCH (2.2)</u> Parameter Estimate S.Ε μ 2.22E-20 0.000197 ø1 -0.7334 0.1694 *θ*1 -0.1068 0.07597 ω -0.1678 0.1567 α1 -0.6953 0.1584 α1 1.39E-05 1.23E-05 μ 0.009961 0.01077 ø1 1 0.8295 θ1 0.9472 $0.03718 \ \omega \ 2.22E-20 \ 0.00018 \ \alpha 1 \ -0.767 \ 0.1369 \ \alpha 1 \ -0.1026 \ 0.07053 \ \alpha 2 \ -0.1449 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 4.42E-06 \ -0.769 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 4.42E-06 \ -0.769 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 4.42E-06 \ -0.769 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 4.42E-06 \ -0.769 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 4.42E-06 \ -0.769 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ 0.1233 \ \emptyset 1 \ 0.1236 \ \mu \ -0.7309 \ \mu \ -0.730$ --- θ1 1E-08 ----- ω -0.2291 ----- α1 0.9897 ----- α2 1E-08 ----- α1 2.22E-20 0.000198 μ -0.7401 0.1605 Ø1 -0.1139 0.3575 AIC -4.916514 -4.907323 -4.899601 -4.907323 -4.903036 -4.902317 3.4. Value at Risk Before calculating the Value at Risk value from the GJR GARCH (1.1) model. we calculated the GJR GARCH (1.1) at the 95% confidence level with a = 5% will be used in calculating the GJR GARCH volatility value so that the Z(1-a) value is 1.645. The GJR GARCH (1.1) volatility value is obtained from the square root of the variance as follows: ? t 2 ? 0, 0000139 ? 0.009961? 2t ?? ? 0.99472? 2t ?1 ? It ?1? 2t ?1 ? t ? 0.02039611 then we calculate with the GJR-GARCH (1.1) model as follows: VaRt ? ? ?? <u>t</u> ??1 (?) VaRt ? 2.218e-20 ? (0.02039611)(1.645) VaRt ? 0.03355161 We obtain VaR at a 95% confidence level of 0.03355161 or a percentage of 3.335% of current assets. For example if it is assumed that an investor has a capital of IDR100.000.000 then the maximum loss obtained in the next day is IDR3.335.000. 3.5. Average Value at Risk Estimation of the AVaR value using the GJR GARCH model (1.1) at the 95% confidence level is AVaR ? ?E(rt | rt?1 ? VaR? (rt)) =0.001627795 4. CONCLUSION The results of the calculation of the estimated AVaR value of 0.001627795 at the confidence level. This means that at 5% the risk that cannot be calculated by VaR is calculated by AVaR by showing the risk of loss experienced in the next day of 0.1627% of the current asset. For example assuming an investor has a capital of IDR100.000.000 then the loss that may be experienced in the next day with a 95% confidence level is IDR 162.779.00. REFERENCES [1] Komang D 2015 Estimasi Nilai AVaR Menggunakan Model GJR dan Model GARCH Jurnal Matematika. 5(2) 117-127 [2] Robert E 2001 GARCH 101: The Use of ARCH/GARCH Models in Applied Econometrics J. Econ. Perspect. 15(4) 157-168 [3] Lawrence R G. Ravi G. and David E D 1993 On the Relation between the Expected Value and the Volatility of the Nominal Excess Return on Stocks J. Finance. 48(5) 1779-1801. [4] Tim B 1986 Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity J. Econom. 31(3) 307-327 [5] Dinul D J 2017 Perbandingan Model Glosten. Jagannathan and Runkle-Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heterscedasticity(GJR-GARCH) dan Exponential Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity (EGARCH) dalam Analisis Risiko Saham Syariah. Undergraduate Thesis. Universitas Islam Negeri Sunan Kalijaga Indonesia: Yogyakarta [6] Komang T B M 2015 Model Non liniear NGARCH untuk mengestimasi nilai Value at Risk (VaR) pada IHSG E-Jurnal Matematika 4(2) 59-66 [7] Mohammad F Q 2013 Analisis Portofolio Optimum Saham Syariah dan Prospeknya Menggunakan Value at Risk-Capital Asset Pricing model (VaR- CAPM) dalam rangka Pengembangan Pasar Modal Syariah di Indonesia. [online] Available at: http://digilib.uin-suka.ac.id/11961/. [Accessed 22 October 2020]. Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, volume 550 Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, volume 550 Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, volume 550 Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, volume 550 Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, volume 550 Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, volume 550 Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, volume 550 144 145 146 147 148 149