



Genomic Identification of Two *Phytobacter diazotrophicus* Isolates from a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit in Singapore

Peiyun Hon,^a Karrie K. K. Ko,^{b,c} Jonathan C. W. Zhong,^d Partha P. De,^d Theo H. M. Smits,^e Jiaming Low,^f Shawn Vasoo,^{a,g,h,i}
Clement K. M. Tsui^{a,g,j}

^aInfectious Diseases Research Laboratory, National Centre for Infectious Diseases, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore, Singapore

^bDepartment of Microbiology, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore

^cGenome Institute of Singapore, Agency for Science, Technology and Research, Singapore, Singapore

^dDepartment of Laboratory Medicine, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore, Singapore

^eEnvironmental Genomics and Systems Biology Research Group, Institute of Natural Resource Sciences (IUNR), Zurich University of Applied Sciences (ZHAW), Wädenswil, Switzerland

^fDepartment of Neonatology, National University Hospital, Singapore, Singapore

^gLee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore

^hDepartment of Infectious Diseases, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore, Singapore

ⁱYong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

^jFaculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

ABSTRACT We report the draft genome sequences of two *Phytobacter diazotrophicus* isolates recovered from a swab specimen from the water faucet located in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (ICU), National University Hospital, Singapore. The isolates were misidentified as *Cronobacter sakazakii* and *Klebsiella oxytoca* using biochemical methods. Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) was performed to determine their identity.

Members of the genus *Phytobacter* (order *Enterobacterales*) are isolated from the natural environment and clinical settings (1, 2). They are known as saprobes but increasingly reported in clinical infections (1, 2). Identification of *Phytobacter* strains based on biochemical characteristics is complicated due to taxonomic confusion, and they are often misidentified by automated identification systems in laboratories (1). Here, we report the identification of two *Phytobacter diazotrophicus* isolates using whole-genome sequencing (WGS) data.

Strains 2A and 2B were isolated from a swab specimen taken from the water faucet (i.e., p-trap and water faucet outlet) located in the milk preparation room of a neonatal ICU in National University Hospital, Singapore. Briefly, the ESwarbs (Copan Diagnostics) were placed in the buffer and vortexed for 10 s, and 100 μ L of Amies medium was plated on tryptic soy agar (TSA) sheep blood and MacConkey plates, which were incubated overnight at 35 \pm 2°C. Colonies were identified using the MALDI Biotyper system based on the Microflex LT mass spectrometer (Bruker, USA) and Microbact kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) was performed using Oxoid antimicrobial susceptibility disks (Thermo Fisher). The MICs of antibiotics were interpreted according to the CLSI breakpoints for *Enterobacterales* (3).

Bacteria were cultured on blood agar at 35°C overnight prior to DNA extraction using the MagNA Pure system (Roche, Switzerland). DNA concentrations were measured using the Qubit 4 fluorimeter (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and DNA libraries were constructed using a DNA prep kit and adapters (Illumina, Massachusetts). Sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform to generate 300-bp paired-end reads. The reads were quality trimmed using Trim Galore v.0.6.5 (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/), and the quality was assessed using FastQC v.0.11.9 (<https://github.com/s-andrews/FastQC>). The reads were assembled using SPAdes v.3.9.0 (4). Small contigs (<500 bp) were discarded. The assembly statistics were assessed using QUAST v.5.0.2 (5). Antimicrobial

Editor Catherine Putonti, Loyola University Chicago

Copyright © 2023 Hon et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Clement K. M. Tsui, clement_km_tsui@ncid.sg.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

[This article was published on 11 May 2023 with errors in the text and Acknowledgments. The errors were corrected in the current version, posted on 23 May 2023.]

Received 3 March 2023

Accepted 1 May 2023

TABLE 1 Summary of genome statistics, genetic mechanisms of antibiotic resistance and biochemical identification tests

| Characteristic | Data for strain: | |
|--|---|--|
| | 2A | 2B |
| GenBank accession no. | JAQNCX000000000 | JAQNCW000000000 |
| Genome size (bp) | 5,935,433 | 5,936,610 |
| No. of reads | 2,318,604 | 3,665,674 |
| N_{50} (bp) | 148,979 | 153,602 |
| GC content (%) | 52.65 | 52.65 |
| Avg coverage (×) | 110 | 180 |
| No. of contigs | 135 | 131 |
| No. of CDS ^a | 5,707 | 5,703 |
| Predicted antimicrobial resistance genes (ResFinder) | <i>bla</i> _{SHV-12r} , <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-9} , <i>mcr-9</i> , <i>ant(2'')-Ia</i> , <i>oqxB</i> , <i>oqxA</i> , <i>aadA2</i> , <i>sul1</i> , <i>catA1</i> , <i>dfrA16</i> | <i>bla</i> _{SHV-12r} , <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-9r} , <i>mcr-9</i> , <i>ant(2'')-Ia</i> , <i>oqxB</i> , <i>oqxA</i> , <i>aadA2</i> , <i>sul1</i> , <i>catA1</i> , <i>dfrA16</i> |
| Predicted plasmids | IncHI2, IncHI2A, pKPC-CAV1321, Col440II, Col(pHAD28) | IncHI2, IncHI2A, pKPC-CAV1321, Col440II, Col(pHAD28) |
| Microbact result (%) | | |
| Closest match | <i>Cronobacter sakazakii</i> (93.57) | <i>Cronobacter sakazakii</i> (93.57) |
| Second closest match | <i>Enterobacter amnigenus</i> biogp 1 (6.06) | <i>Enterobacter amnigenus</i> biogp 1 (6.06) |
| MALDI-TOF result (first run [%]) | | |
| Closest match | <i>Cronobacter</i> sp. (1.86) | <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> (1.84) |
| Second closest match | <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> (1.82) | <i>Salmonella</i> sp. (1.8) |
| MALDI-TOF result (second run [%]) | | |
| Closest match | <i>Klebsiella aerogenes</i> (1.84) | <i>Cronobacter</i> sp. (1.9) |
| Second closest match | <i>Cronobacter</i> sp. (1.83) | <i>Cronobacter</i> sp. (1.89) |

^a CDS, coding DNA sequences.

resistance genes were predicted using ResFinder v.3.2 (6) and PlasmidFinder (7) through ABRicate v.0.9.8 (<https://github.com/tseemann/abricate>) based on $\geq 70\%$ coverage and $\geq 90\%$ sequence identity. The genomes were uploaded to the Type (Strain) Genome Server (TYGS) (<https://tygs.dsmz.de>) (8) to determine their relationship with other bacteria. FastANI (9) was used to compute the genetic distances. The genomes were annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline v.4.11 (10). Default parameters were used for all software, unless otherwise specified.

The isolates were determined to be *Cronobacter* sp. (1.86) and *Klebsiella oxytoca* (1.84), respectively (with low confidence scores), using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry and *Cronobacter sakazakii* (93.6%) using Microbact. Owing to the conflicting results from the biochemical methods, WGS data were utilized to resolve the confusion. The isolates were identified as most closely related to *P. diazotrophicus* DSM 17806 (GenBank accession number [GCA_004346725](https://genbank.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/GenBank/FASTA/seqview.fcgi?acc=GCA_004346725)) ($d_0 = 80.8\%$) using TYGS, and they shared 99.9% genomic similarity on average; the genomic and phenotypic information is summarized in Table 1. The two strains whose genomes are reported here possess the beta-lactamase genes *bla*_{CTX-M-9} and *bla*_{SHV-12} (2), consistent with the AST report as extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacterales* members. Noteworthy, the isolates carried *mcr-9*, a variant of *mcr-1*. A BLAST search of the contig (2,002 bp) containing *mcr-9* in strain 2B against NCBI databases indicated 100% identity to the plasmids of multiple *Enterobacterales* isolates, two of which ([CP052871.1](https://genbank.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/GenBank/FASTA/seqview.fcgi?acc=CP052871.1) and [CP050163.1](https://genbank.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/GenBank/FASTA/seqview.fcgi?acc=CP050163.1)) were annotated as replicon type IncHI2. The replicon IncHI2 was also present in strains 2A and 2B (Table 1), though not linked to the *mcr-9*-bearing contig. However, the presence of *mcr-9* in 2A and 2B was not associated with resistance to polymyxin B, as in previous reports (11, 12). These isolates also carried the genes *sul1*, *dfrA16*, *catA1*, *ant(2'')-Ia*, and *aadA2*, which are associated with resistance to the antibiotics trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, chloramphenicol, gentamicin, and streptomycin. Given that the *P. diazotrophicus* strains were resistant to multiple antibiotics and were misidentified using common diagnostic methods, the role of this species in the healthcare environment and human colonization or infection may have been hitherto underrecognized.

Data availability. The whole-genome shotgun data from this study have been deposited in the DDBJ/ENA/GenBank repositories under accession numbers [JAQNCW010000000](https://genbank.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/GenBank/FASTA/seqview.fcgi?acc=JAQNCW010000000) and [JAQNCX010000000](https://genbank.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/GenBank/FASTA/seqview.fcgi?acc=JAQNCX010000000) and BioProject accession number [PRJNA918442](https://bioproject.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BioProject/seqview.fcgi?acc=PRJNA918442). The versions described in this paper are the first versions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Health Service Development Program (HSDP 19N01), Ministry of Health, Singapore (“Reducing the spread of carbapenemase producing Gram negative bacteria via rapid and direct detection from surveillance and clinical samples”), awarded to S. Vasoo (NCID). J. Low received funding from the National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID) Catalyst Grant to support this work.

We thank Iris Lim and Patrick Tay (DLM, Tan Tock Seng Hospital) and Kee Bee Leng (National University Hospital, Singapore) for technical support and assistance. This research was supported in part through computational resources and services provided by Advanced Research Computing at the University of British Columbia.

REFERENCES

1. Smits THM, Arend LNVS, Cardew S, Tång-Hallbäck E, Mira MT, Moore ERB, Sampaio JLM, Rezzonico F, Pillonetto M. 2022. Resolving taxonomic confusion: establishing the genus *Phytobacter* on the list of clinically relevant Enterobacteriaceae. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 41:547–558. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-022-04413-8>.
2. Pillonetto M, Arend LN, Faoro H, D’Espindula HRS, Blom J, Smits THM, Mira MT, Rezzonico F. 2018. Emended description of the genus *Phytobacter*, its type species *Phytobacter diazotrophicus* (Zhang 2008) and description of *Phytobacter ursingii* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 68:176–184. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijssem.0.002477>.
3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2016. Methods for antimicrobial dilution and disk susceptibility testing of infrequently isolated or fastidious bacteria, 3rd ed. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
4. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* 19:455–477. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021>.
5. Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 29:1072–1075. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086>.
6. Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O, Aarestrup FM, Larsen MV. 2012. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 67:2640–2644. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks261>.
7. Carattoli A, Zankari E, García-Fernández A, Voldby Larsen M, Lund O, Villa L, Møller Aarestrup F, Hasman H. 2014. In silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 58:3895–3903. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02412-14>.
8. Meier-Kolthoff JP, Göker M. 2019. TYGS is an automated high-throughput platform for state-of-the-art genome-based taxonomy. *Nat Commun* 10:2182. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10210-3>.
9. Jain C, Rodriguez-R LM, Phillippy AM, Konstantinidis KT, Aluru S. 2018. High throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. *Nat Commun* 9:5114. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07641-9>.
10. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvermin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44:6614–6624. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569>.
11. Börjesson S, Greko C, Myrenäs M, Landén A, Nilsson O, Pedersen K. 2020. A link between the newly described colistin resistance gene *mcr-9* and clinical Enterobacteriaceae isolates carrying *blaSHV-12* from horses in Sweden. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist* 20:285–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2019.08.007>.
12. Kieffer N, Royer G, Decousser J-W, Bourrel A-S, Palmieri M, Ortiz De La Rosa J-M, Jacquier H, Denamur E, Nordmann P, Poirel L. 2019. *mcr-9*, an inducible gene encoding an acquired phosphoethanolamine transferase in *Escherichia coli*, and its origin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 63:e00965-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00965-19>.