



# The dual variational principle and equilibria for a beam resting on a discontinuous nonlinear elastic foundation

M.R. Grossinho<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, St.A. Tersian<sup>c,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Departamento de Matemática, ISEG, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, Rua do Quelhas, 6, 1200 Lisboa, Portugal*

<sup>b</sup> *CMAF, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto, 2, 1699 Lisboa Codex, Portugal*

<sup>c</sup> *Center of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, University of Rousse, 8 Studentska str., 7017 Rousse, Bulgaria*

Received 18 June 1998; accepted 26 July 1998

---

*Keywords:* Nonlinear boundary value problems; Variational methods; Dual action principle; Discontinuous nonlinearities; Nonlinear boundary conditions

---

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the existence of solutions of the nonlinear fourth-order equation

$$u^{(iv)}(x) + g(u(x)) = 0, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (1)$$

under the asymmetric nonlinear boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u''(0) &= -f(-u'(0)), \\ u'''(0) &= -h(u(0)), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

---

\* Corresponding author.

<sup>1</sup> Supported by FCT, PRAXIS XXI, FEDER, under projects PRAXIS/PCEX/P/MAT/36/96 and PRAXIS/2/2.1/MAT/125/94.

<sup>2</sup> Supported by NATO fellowship, INVOTAN, and National Research Foundation in Bulgaria, Grant MM519/95.

$$\begin{aligned} u''(1) &= 0, \\ u'''(1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where  $g$  is a strictly monotonous function that may have some “one-sided” discontinuities and  $f$  and  $h$  exhibit some singularities.

To fix ideas, let  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  and assume that  $g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is strictly increasing and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1) \quad &g \text{ is continuous in } \mathbf{R} \setminus \{a\}, \text{ for some } a \in \mathbf{R}, \\ (g_2) \quad &g(a) = 0 = g(a^-) := \lim_{u \rightarrow a^-} g(u) < g(a^+) := \lim_{u \rightarrow a^+} g(u), \end{aligned}$$

and also that, if  $-\infty < a_0 < 0 < b_0 < +\infty$  and  $-\infty < c_0 < 0 < d_0 < +\infty$ , the functions  $f$  and  $h$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} (f) \quad &f: (a_0, b_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \text{ and } h: (c_0, d_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \text{ are continuous, strictly increasing,} \\ &f(0) = h(0) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{s \rightarrow a_0, b_0} |f(s)| = +\infty \text{ and } \lim_{s \rightarrow c_0, d_0} |h(s)| = +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Problems of this kind appear in the classical bending theory of elastic beams. In fact, it concerns the behaviour of an elastic beam of length 1, when a force is exerted on it by a nonlinear elastic foundation given by the function  $g(u)$  when indented by the displacement field  $u$ . The beam exhibits an asymmetric behaviour at the end points. In fact, at the end point  $x=0$ , it rests on elastic supports, namely a vertical spring, where the force  $u'''(0)$  is a function of the displacement  $u(0)$  through function  $h$  and a torsional spring whose constitutive law relates the bending moment  $u''(0)$  to the rotation  $u'(0)$  through function  $f$ . In the case where  $f$  and  $h$  are constants we have the classical linear spring-type supports. But, in the present case, this dependence is nonlinear and is characterized by the functions  $f$  and  $h$  which have a singular behaviour with respect to the displacement  $u$  at  $x=0$ . The condition  $f(0) = h(0) = 0$  means that the only situation where there is no elastic response on the supports is when the displacement is zero, i.e.,  $u=0$ . At the other end point  $x=1$ , the beam is free (condition (3)). The conditions assumed on  $g$  state that the force exerted on the beam depends in a monotonous way on the displacement field  $u$  but may have an abrupt behaviour when it attains a certain value  $a$ .

From the analytical point of view, there are various approaches to the problems with discontinuous nonlinearities. One of them is the critical point theory for locally Lipschitz functionals (see [5, 7]). Another one is the dual variational method, applied by Ambrosetti and Badiale [3] to elliptic boundary value problems.

In this paper, we follow the idea of [3]. Problem (1)–(3) is solved by using Clarke’s dual action principle. This method enables us to associate, in a sense to be precised later, solutions of Eqs. (1)–(3) to critical points of a functional that is well defined and is of class  $C^1$ , in spite of the singular behaviour of  $f$  and  $h$  and of the discontinuity of  $g$ .

Fourth-order O.D.E. have been considered by several authors and a large literature on the subject is available. We refer to, for example [1, 11, 13, 15] and their references. In those papers, discontinuities are not considered and the boundary conditions are always linear. In [8], Feireisl studies a linear fourth-order time periodic equation with nonlinear boundary conditions using a Rayleigh–Ritz approximation method

to analyse a problem that concerns the slow oscillations of beams on elastic bearings. In [10], the authors use the dual action principal to study the existence of symmetric solutions of a fourth-order O.D.E. with symmetric nonlinear boundary conditions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider the linear problem

$$\begin{aligned} w^{iv} &= v \in L^p(0, 1), \\ w''(0) &= \alpha \in \mathbf{R}, \quad w''(1) = 0, \\ w'''(0) &= \beta \in \mathbf{R}, \quad w'''(1) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and introduce the linear operator  $K$ , such that  $w = Kv$  is the solution of (4) that vanishes at 0 and 1. In Section 3, we introduce a dual action functional and find the relation between its critical points and solutions of Eqs. (1)–(3). In Section 4, we apply the results of Section 3 and prove existence results for the problem (1)–(3) for different types of nonlinearities.

## 2. Preliminary results

Consider the Sobolev space  $W^{4,p}(0, 1)$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , with the usual norm, and let  $W^0$  denote its subspace defined by

$$W^0 := \{w \in W^{4,p}(0, 1) : w''(1) = 0, w'''(1) = 0\} \subset L^p(0, 1).$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $L : W^0 \rightarrow L^p(0, 1) \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$  be the linear operator defined by*

$$L(w) = (w^{(iv)}, w''(0), w'''(0)).$$

Then

- (i)  $\text{Ker}(L)$  is a two-dimensional space such that  $\text{Ker}(L) = \text{span}\{1, x\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{Im}(L) = \{(v, \alpha, \beta) \in L^p(0, 1) \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} : \alpha = \int_0^1 xv(x) dx, \beta = -\int_0^1 v(x) dx\}$ ,
- (iii)  $\text{Ker}(L)^0 = \{v \in L^p(0, 1) : (v, 0, 0) \in \text{Im}(L)\}$ , where  $A^0$  denotes the annihilator of the set  $A$  in the duality  $\langle L^p, L^{p'} \rangle$ .

**Proof.** (i) It is easy to see that  $v \in W^0$  satisfies  $w^{(iv)} = 0$ ,  $w''(0) = 0$  and  $w'''(0) = 0$  if  $w = ax + b$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ , which implies the result.

(ii) By definition,  $(v, \alpha, \beta) \in \text{Im}(L)$  if there exists  $w \in W^0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} w^{(iv)} &= v, \\ w''(0) &= \alpha, \quad w''(1) = 0, \\ w'''(0) &= \beta, \quad w'''(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, integrating the equation, it follows that if  $(v, \alpha, \beta) \in Im(L)$  then  $\alpha = \int_0^1 xv(x) dx$  and  $\beta = -\int_0^1 v(x) dx$ . As for the converse statement, given  $(v, \alpha, \beta) \in L^p(0, 1) \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\alpha = \int_0^1 xv(x) dx$ ,  $\beta = -\int_0^1 v(x) dx$ , it is easy to see that the function

$$w = \frac{1}{6} \int_0^x (x - t)^3 v(t) dt + \alpha \frac{x^2}{2} + \beta \frac{x^3}{6}$$

satisfies the above problem, and so,  $(v, \alpha, \beta) \in Im(L)$ .

(iii) It follows easily from (i) and (ii).  $\square$

From Lemma 1, we have

**Proposition 1.** *Given  $v \in L^p(0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ , consider the linear problem*

$$\begin{aligned} w^{(iv)} &= v, \\ w''(0) &= \alpha, \quad w''(1) = 0, \\ w'''(0) &= \beta, \quad w'''(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Then

(i) *Problem (5) has a solution if*

$$\alpha = \int_0^1 xv(x) dx, \quad \beta = -\int_0^1 v(x) dx. \tag{6}$$

(ii) *In the affirmative case,  $w$  is a solution of problem (5) if there are  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$  such that*

$$w(x) = w_0(x) + ax + b$$

where  $w_0(x) = \frac{1}{6} \int_0^x (x - t)^3 v(t) dt + (x^2/2) \int_0^1 tv(t) dt - (x^3/6) \int_0^1 v(t) dt$ .

(iii) *If problem (5) is solvable and  $w(0)$  and  $w'(0)$  are prescribed, the solution is unique and satisfies*

$$w(x) = w_0(x) + w'(0)x + w(0).$$

**Proof.** Associate to problem (5) the linear operator  $L : W^0 \rightarrow L^p(0, 1)$  defined before as

$$L(w) = (w^{(iv)}, w''(0), w'''(0)).$$

By Lemma 1,  $Ker(L)$  consists of the linear functions  $ax + b$ , with  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ . So, the results follow easily from standard computations, Lemma 1 and arguments contained in its proof.  $\square$

Define the linear operator  $K : L^p(0, 1) \rightarrow C[0, 1]$

$$Kv = \frac{1}{6} \int_0^x (x - t)^3 v(t) dt + \frac{x^2}{2} \int_0^1 tv(t) dt - \frac{x^3}{6} \int_0^1 v(t) dt, \tag{7}$$

which associates to every  $v \in L^p(0, 1)$  the unique solution of (5) that satisfies the additional conditions  $w(0) = w'(0) = 0$ . Using the definition of  $K$  and standard arguments of functional analysis, we can easily derive the following result, as in [9].

**Lemma 2.** *Consider the operator  $K$ . Then*

- (i) *There exists  $k > 0$  such that  $|Kv(x)| \leq k\|v\|_p, \forall v \in L^p(0, 1), \forall x \in [0, 1]$ ,*
- (ii)  $0 \leq \int_0^1 Kv.v \, dx \leq k\|v\|_p^2,$
- (iii)  *$K$  is completely continuous.*

### 3. Variational formulation

Using the notation of condition (g<sub>2</sub>) put

$$I_a := [0, g(a^+)]$$

and define the multi-valued function

$$\hat{g}(t) := g(t) \quad \text{if } t \neq a,$$

$$\hat{g}(t) := I_a \quad \text{if } t = a.$$

Considering the function  $\hat{g}^*$  defined by

$$\hat{g}^*(s) := a \quad \text{if } s \in I_a,$$

$$\hat{g}^*(s) := t \quad \text{with } g(t) = s \quad \text{if } s \notin I_a$$

we can say that the multi-valued function  $\hat{g}$  admits an inverse function,  $\hat{g}^*$ , in the following sense:

$$\hat{g}^*(s) = t \quad \text{iff } s \in \hat{g}(t).$$

It is clear that  $\hat{g}^* \in C(\mathbf{R})$  is increasing and its primitive  $G^*(v) = \int_0^v \hat{g}^*(t) \, dt$  is a convex function.

Consider the primitives of the strictly increasing functions  $f$  and  $h$ , respectively,

$$F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) \, ds, \quad H(t) = \int_0^t h(s) \, ds.$$

The functions  $F$  and  $H$  are strictly convex. Let  $F^*$  and  $H^*$  denote their respective Fenchel–Legendre transforms [12]. Then

$$F^*(s) = st - F(t), \quad s = f(t),$$

$$H^*(s) = st - H(t), \quad s = h(t) \tag{8}$$

and  $F^*(s)$  and  $H^*(s)$  are convex functions. By condition (f), the functions  $f$  and  $h$  are invertible. Let  $f^*$  and  $h^*$  denote their respective inverse functions. It follows by Eq. (8), and since  $f(0) = 0 = h(0)$ , that

$$F^*(t) = \int_0^t f^*(s) \, ds, \quad H^*(t) = \int_0^t h^*(s) \, ds.$$

Consider the linear functions  $\alpha, \beta : L^p(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  that to each  $v \in L^p(0, 1)$  associate, respectively,

$$\alpha_v := \alpha(v) = \int_0^1 xv(x) \, dx, \quad \beta_v := \beta(v) = -\int_0^1 v(x) \, dx$$

and let  $J^*$  be the functional defined in  $L^p(0, 1)$  as follows:

$$J^*(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 Kv.v \, dx + \int_0^1 G^*(v) \, dx + F^*(\alpha_v) + H^*(\beta_v).$$

From the definition of  $K, G^*, F^*, H^*$  it follows that  $J^*$  is a  $C^1$  functional, weakly lower semi-continuous and

$$J^{*'}(v)\phi = \int_0^1 Kv\phi \, dx + \int_0^1 \hat{g}^*(v)\phi \, dx + f^*(\alpha_v)\alpha_\phi + h^*(\beta_v)\beta_\phi$$

for all  $\phi \in L^p(0, 1)$ . Then we can state the following result which relates the critical points of  $J^*$  and the solutions of problem (1)–(3). By a solution of (1)–(3), we mean a function  $u \in W^{4,p}(0, 1)$  that satisfies Eq. (1) a.e. in  $(0, 1)$  and the boundary conditions (2) and (3).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $v \in L^p(0, 1)$  be a critical point of  $J^*$ . Then there is  $l \in Ker(L)$  such that  $u = l - Kv$  is a solution of problem (1)–(3).*

**Proof.** Let  $v$  be a critical point of  $J^*$ . Take  $\phi \in Ker(L)^\perp$  arbitrarily. By Lemma 1,  $\alpha_\phi = \beta_\phi = 0$ , and, so,

$$J^{*'}(v)\phi = \int_0^1 (Kv + \hat{g}^*(v))\phi \, dx = 0,$$

which shows that  $Kv + \hat{g}^*(v) \in Ker(L)$ . Then, by Lemma 1, there is a linear function  $l$  such that

$$Kv(x) + \hat{g}^*(v(x)) = l(x).$$

Put

$$u(x) := l(x) - Kv(x) = \hat{g}^*(v(x)). \tag{9}$$

Then we have

$$v(x) \in \hat{g}(u(x)). \tag{10}$$

Let  $\Omega_a = \{x \in [0, 1]: u(x) = a\}$ . If  $x \in [0, 1] \setminus \Omega_a$ , then  $u(x) \neq a$  and by (10) one has  $\hat{g}(u(x)) = g(u(x))$ . This implies

$$-u^{(iv)}(x) = (Kv)^{iv}(x) = v(x) = g(u(x)),$$

that is,

$$u^{(iv)}(x) + g(u(x)) = 0 \quad \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \setminus \Omega_a.$$

Since  $u \in W^{4,p}(0, 1)$ , by the one-dimensional version of a theorem of Stampacchia [14],

$$u^{(iv)}(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_a \tag{11}$$

and according to the fact that  $g(a) = 0$  it follows

$$u^{(iv)}(x) + g(u(x)) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega_a.$$

Hence,  $u$  satisfies

$$u^{(iv)}(x) + g(u(x)) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in [0, 1].$$

Let us see that  $u$  also satisfies the boundary conditions (2) and (3). By definition of  $Kv$ ,  $(Kv)''(1) = (Kv)'''(0) = 0$ , and so it is clear by (9) that  $u$  satisfies (3). As for (2), again by the definition of  $Kv$ ,  $Kv(0) = (Kv)'(0) = 0$ , and it follows by (9) that

$$l(x) = u'(0)x + u(0).$$

Take, now, a test function  $\phi \in L^p(0, 1)$  such that

$$\alpha_\phi = \int_0^1 x\phi \, dx \neq 0, \quad \beta_\phi = - \int_0^1 \phi \, dx = 0.$$

Then by (9)

$$0 = J^{*'}(v)\phi = \int_0^1 l(x)\phi(x) \, dx + f^*(\alpha_v)\alpha_\phi = (u'(0) + f^*(\alpha_v)) \int_0^1 x\phi(x) \, dx$$

which implies  $f^*(\alpha_v) = -u'(0)$  and therefore  $f(-u'(0)) = \alpha_v$ . Since

$$u''(0) = (l - Kv)''(0) = -(Kv)''(0) = -\alpha_v,$$

it follows  $u''(0) = -f(-u'(0))$ . Finally, take a test function  $\phi \in L^p(0, 1)$  such that

$$\alpha_\phi = \int_0^1 x\phi \, dx = 0, \quad \beta_\phi = - \int_0^1 \phi \, dx \neq 0.$$

By

$$0 = J^{*'}(v)\phi = \int_0^1 l(x)\phi(x) \, dx + h^*(\beta_v)\beta_\phi = (u(0) - h^*(\beta_v)) \int_0^1 \phi(x) \, dx,$$

it follows  $h^*(\beta_v) = u(0)$  and therefore  $\beta_v = h(u(0))$ . On the other hand,

$$u'''(0) = (l - Kv)'''(0) = -(Kv)'''(0) = -\beta_v.$$

Then  $u'''(0) = -h(u(0))$ . Hence,  $u$  is a solution of (1)–(3).  $\square$

**4. Existence results**

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $g(t)$  be a function verifying  $(g_1)$  and  $(g_2)$  and let  $\hat{g}$  and  $\hat{g}^*$  be the functions introduced in Section 2. If  $G(t) = \int_0^t g(s) ds$  is such that*

$$\frac{C_1}{p}|t|^p - D_1 \leq G(t) \leq \frac{C_2}{p}|t|^p + D_2,$$

with  $D_1, D_2$  constants and  $p > 1$ , then  $G^*(t) = \int_0^t \hat{g}^*(s) ds$  satisfies the estimates

$$C'_2|t|^{p'} - D_2 + G(a) \leq G^*(t) \leq C'_1|t|^{p'} + D_1 + G(a). \tag{12}$$

**Proof.** Let  $(\alpha_n)$  be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 and such that, for  $t \in [a, a + \alpha_n]$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_n}g(a + \alpha_n)(t - a) \leq g(t).$$

Consider the sequence of increasing continuous functions  $(g_n(t))$  defined as

$$g_n(t) = \begin{cases} g(t), & t \leq a \text{ or } t \geq a + \alpha_n, \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_n}g(a + \alpha_n)(t - a), & a \leq t \leq a + \alpha_n \end{cases}$$

and for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  consider the respective primitive  $G_n(t) = \int_0^t g_n(s) ds$  and the corresponding Legendre–Fenchel transform

$$G_n^*(s) = st - G_n(t), \quad s = g_n(t).$$

We show first that the following assertions hold:

- (A<sub>1</sub>)  $G_n(t) \geq G(t) - (\alpha_n/2)g(a + 1)$ , if  $a \geq 0$ ,
- (A<sub>2</sub>)  $G_n(t) \leq G(t) + (\alpha_n/2)g(a + 1)$ , if  $a < 0$ ,
- (A<sub>3</sub>)  $G_n^*(s) \rightarrow G^*(s) - G(a)$ .

In fact, consider the following sets:

$$\Phi_n = \left\{ (x, y): \begin{array}{l} a \leq x \leq a + \alpha_n, \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_n}g(a + \alpha_n)(x - a) \leq y \leq g(x) \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\Delta_n = \left\{ (x, y): \begin{array}{l} a \leq x \leq a + \alpha_n, \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_n}g(a + \alpha_n)(x - a) \leq y \leq g(a + \alpha_n) \end{array} \right\}.$$

It is clear that  $\Phi_n \subset \Delta_n$  and, if  $S(\Phi)$  denotes the area of  $\Phi \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ , for  $n$  big enough

$$S(\Phi_n) \leq S(\Delta_n) = \frac{1}{2}g(a + \alpha_n)\alpha_n \leq \frac{\alpha_n}{2}g(a + 1).$$

Then, if  $a \geq 0$ ,

$$G_n(t) = \int_0^t g_n(s) ds \geq \int_0^t g(s) ds - S(\Phi_n) \geq G(t) - \frac{\alpha_n}{2}g(a + 1),$$



and  $(A_1)$  holds. If  $a < 0$ , we can argue in an analogous way and prove  $(A_2)$ . As for  $(A_3)$ , observe that

$$G_n^*(0) \rightarrow -G(a). \tag{13}$$

In fact,  $G_n^*(0) = -G_n(a)$ . If  $a \geq 0$ , then  $G_n^*(0) = -G(a)$  since  $G_n(a) = G(a)$ . If  $a < 0$ , as  $g_n$  is an increasing sequence such that  $g_n(t) \rightarrow g(t)$  and  $\int_a^0 g_n(t) \, ds < \int_a^0 g(t) \, ds$ , by Beppo–Levi theorem,

$$G_n(a) = -\int_a^0 g_n(t) \, dt \rightarrow -\int_a^0 g(t) \, dt = G(a).$$

Therefore, (13) holds. Besides that, it is clear that  $g_n^*$  converges to  $\hat{g}^*$  uniformly and so

$$\int_0^s g_n^*(\xi) \, d\xi \rightarrow \int_0^s \hat{g}^*(\xi) \, d\xi. \tag{14}$$

Hence, using the fact that

$$G_n^*(s) = \int_0^s g_n^*(\xi) \, d\xi + G_n^*(0),$$

we conclude by (13) and (14) that  $(A_3)$  holds.

Then, if  $a \geq 0$ ,  $(A_1)$  combined with the fact

$$G_n(t) \leq G(t) \leq \frac{C_2}{p} |t|^p + D_2$$

implies

$$\frac{C_1}{p} |t|^p - D_1 - \frac{\alpha_n}{2} g(a+1) \leq G_n(t) \leq \frac{C_2}{p} |t|^p + D_2. \tag{15}$$

In an analogous way, if  $a < 0$ ,  $(A_2)$  and the fact that

$$\frac{C_1}{p} |t|^p - D_1 \leq G(t) \leq G_n(t)$$

imply that

$$\frac{C_1}{p} |t|^p - D_1 \leq G_n(t) \leq \frac{C_2}{p} |t|^p + D_2 + \frac{\alpha_n}{2} g(a+1). \tag{16}$$

From (15) and (16) and known properties of Fenchel–Legendre transform we derive that

$$C_2' |t|^{p'} - D_2 \leq G_n^*(t) \leq C_1' |t|^{p'} + D_1 + \frac{\alpha_n}{2} g(a+1), \quad a \geq 0$$

or

$$C_2' |t|^{p'} - D_2 - \frac{\alpha_n}{2} g(a+1) \leq G_n^*(t) \leq C_1' |t|^{p'} + D_1, \quad a < 0.$$

Then, using  $(A_3)$ , by passing to the limit we obtain the estimate

$$C'_2|s|^{p'} - D_2 + G(a) \leq G^*(s) \leq C'_1|s|^{p'} + D_1 + G(a)$$

and the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $g$ ,  $f$  and  $h$  satisfy  $(g_1)$ ,  $(g_2)$  and  $(f)$ , respectively. If  $G(t) = \int_0^t g(s) ds$  is such that*

$$\frac{C_1}{p}|t|^p - D_1 \leq G(t) \leq \frac{C_2}{p}|t|^p + D_2, \quad p > 1,$$

then problem (1)–(3) has a solution.

**Proof.** The result follows by minimization of the functional  $J^*$  as in [9]. By Lemma 3,

$$C'_2|v|^{p'} - D_2 + G(a) \leq G^*(v) \leq C'_1|v|^{p'} + D_1 + G(a)$$

and, since  $F^* \geq 0$ ,  $H^* \geq 0$  and  $\int_0^1 K v.v dx \geq 0$ , we derive

$$J^*(v) \geq C'_2\|v\|_{p'}^{p'} - D_2 + G(a).$$

So  $J^*$  is coercive on  $L^p(0, 1)$ .

Moreover, by the compactness of  $K$ , the convexity and continuity of  $G^*$  and by the continuity of  $F^*$  and  $H^*$ , it follows that  $J^*$  is weakly lower semicontinuous. So  $J^*$  has a critical point in  $L^p(0, 1)$ , which minimizes  $J^*$ , and by Theorem 1 we obtain a solution of (1)–(3).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $g(t)$  be a function verifying  $(g_1)$  and  $(g_2)$  and  $p > 2$ . Let  $\hat{g}$ ,  $\hat{g}^*$ ,  $G(t) = \int_0^t g(s) ds$  and  $G^*(t) = \int_0^t g^*(s) ds$  be the functions introduced in Section 2. If*

$$pG(u) \leq g(u)u + C,$$

then

$$p'G^*(v) \geq g^*(v)v + G(a) + C',$$

where  $C$ ,  $C'$  are positive constants and  $p' = p/(p - 1) < 2$ .

**Proof.** Consider the sequence of increasing continuous functions  $g_n(t)$  defined in the proof of Lemma 3, their respective primitives  $G_n(t) = \int_0^t g(s) ds$  and the assertions  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$  proved there. Suppose that  $a \geq 0$ . If we put

$$\varepsilon(t) := g(t) - g_n(t),$$

it is clear that for  $n$  large enough

$$\varepsilon(t)t \leq g(a + \alpha_n)\chi_{[a, a+\alpha_n]}(t)(a + \alpha_n) \leq g(a + 1)(a + 1) := K,$$

where  $\chi_{[a, a+\alpha_n]}$  is the characteristic function of the set  $[a, a + \alpha_n]$ . Then

$$pG_n(t) \leq pG(t) \leq g(t)t + C = g_n(t)t + \varepsilon(t)t + C \leq g_n(t)t + K + C$$

and using Fenchel–Legendre transform properties, we have

$$p'G_n^*(v) \geq g_n^*(v)v + \frac{K + C}{p - 1}, \tag{17}$$

with  $p' = p/(p - 1) < 2$ .

Suppose now that  $a < 0$ . Then, by (A<sub>2</sub>),

$$pG_n(t) \leq pG(t) + p\frac{\alpha_n}{2}g(a + 1) \leq g(t)t + C + p\frac{\alpha_n}{2}g(a + 1).$$

Using again Fenchel–Legendre transform properties

$$p'G_n^*(v) \geq g^*(v)v + \frac{C}{p - 1} + \frac{p}{p - 1} \frac{\alpha_n}{2}g(a + 1), \tag{18}$$

with  $p' = p/(p - 1) < 2$ . Passing to the limit either in (17) or in (18), we easily obtain

$$p'G^*(v) \geq g^*(v)v + \frac{C}{p - 1} + G(a), \quad p' < 2,$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

We observe that if  $a = 0$  then  $u = 0$  is the unique trivial solution of problem (1)–(3). Our next result, whose assumptions imply that  $a = 0$ , establishes the existence of a nontrivial solution of (1)–(3).

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a decreasing function such that  $\gamma := -g$  satisfies the conditions (g<sub>1</sub>) and (g<sub>2</sub>) (with  $g$  replaced by  $\gamma$ ). Suppose that*

$$\frac{C_1}{p}|u|^p \leq -G(u) \leq \frac{C_2}{p}|u|^p, \tag{19}$$

$$pG(u) \geq g(u)u - C_3, \tag{20}$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  and  $C_3$  are positive constants and  $p > 2$ . Assume that functions  $f$  and  $h$  satisfy condition (f) and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{f(s)} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{h(s)} = 0. \tag{21}$$

Then problem (1)–(3) has a nontrivial solution.

**Proof.** We use arguments similar to those of Theorem 11 [9]. Take  $\gamma = -g$  and let  $\hat{\gamma}$  and  $\hat{\gamma}^*$  be the corresponding functions introduced in Section 2 and  $\Gamma^*(v) = \int_0^v \hat{\gamma}^*(s) ds$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is strictly convex and, by (19) and Lemma 3,

$$C'_2|v|^{p'} + \Gamma(a) \leq \Gamma^*(v) \leq C'_1|v|^{p'} + \Gamma(a). \quad (22)$$

Consider the functional

$$J_1^*(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 K v \cdot v \, dx - \int_0^1 \Gamma^*(v) \, dx + F^*(\alpha_v) + H^*(\beta_v).$$

We will show that  $-J_1^*$  satisfies the conditions of mountain pass lemma.

It is clear that  $J_1^*(0) = \Gamma^*(0) = \Gamma(a)$ . By (21)

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f^*(s)}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{h^*(s)}{s} = 0.$$

Then, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, if  $|s| < \delta$ ,

$$|f^*(s)| < \varepsilon|s|, \quad |h^*(s)| < \varepsilon|s|,$$

and therefore, if  $|\alpha_v| < \delta$ ,  $|\beta_v| < \delta$ ,

$$F^*(\alpha_v) + H^*(\beta_v) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(|\alpha_v|^2 + |\beta_v|^2) < \varepsilon\delta^2.$$

So, as  $|\alpha_v| \leq \|v\|_1$  and  $|\beta_v| \leq \|v\|_1$ , if we take  $\|v\|_1 < \delta$ ,

$$-J_1^*(v) \geq -k\|v\|_{p'}^2 + C'_2\|v\|_{p'}^{p'} + \Gamma(a) - \varepsilon\delta^2$$

and since  $p' < 2$  we conclude that if  $\tau$  is small enough there is  $\rho > 0$  such that if  $\|v\|_{p'} = \tau > 0$  then  $-J_1^*(v) \geq \Gamma(a) + \rho$ .

On the other hand, note that

$$(K1)(x) = \frac{1}{6} \int_0^x (x-t)^3 \, dx + \frac{x^2}{2} \int_0^1 t \, dt - \frac{x^3}{6} \int_0^1 dt = -\frac{x^4}{24} + \frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{x^3}{6}$$

and so

$$\int_0^1 (K1)(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{30} > 0.$$

Then, since  $F^* \geq 0$ ,  $H^* \geq 0$ , for  $m \in \mathbf{R}^+$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -J_1^*(m) &\leq -\frac{1}{2}m^2 \int_0^1 (K1)(x) \, dx + \int_0^1 \Gamma^*(m) \, dx - F^*\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) - H^*(-m) \\ &\leq -\frac{m^2}{60} + C'_1|m|^{p'} + \Gamma(a) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $-J_1^* \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence the geometrical conditions of mountain pass theorem are satisfied.

Now we show that the functional  $-J_1^*$  satisfies  $(PS)_c$  condition. Suppose that  $u_n \in L^{p'}(0,1)$  is such that  $-J_1^*(u_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $(-J_1^*)'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Denote by the same symbol  $C$  several constants independent of  $n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} C + C\|u_n\|_{p'} &\geq -J_1^*(u_n) + \frac{1}{2}J_1^{*'}(u_n)u_n \\ &= \int_0^1 (\Gamma^*(u_n) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\gamma}^*(u_n)u_n) \, dx \\ &\quad - (F^*(\alpha_n) - f^*(\alpha_n)\alpha_n) - (H^*(\alpha_n) - h^*(\beta_n)\beta_n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_n := \alpha_{u_n}$  and  $\beta_n := \beta_{u_n}$ .

Since  $f^*$  and  $h^*$  are bounded and  $|\alpha_n| \leq \|u_n\|_1$  and  $|\beta_n| \leq \|u_n\|_1$ , we have

$$|F^*(\alpha_n)| \leq C\|u_n\|_1, \quad |H^*(\alpha_n)| \leq C\|u_n\|_1$$

and

$$|f^*(\alpha_n)\alpha_n| \leq C\|u_n\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |h^*(\beta_n)\beta_n| \leq C\|u_n\|_1.$$

These facts, together with (20) and Lemma 4 (with  $G$  replaced by  $\Gamma$ ), imply that

$$\left(1 - \frac{p'}{2}\right) \int_0^1 \Gamma^*(u_n) \, dx \leq C_a + C\|u_n\|_{p'},$$

where  $C_a$  depends on  $\Gamma(a)$  but is independent of  $n$ . This inequality and (22) show that  $(u_n)$  is bounded, since  $1 < p' < 2$ . Therefore, there is  $\bar{u} \in L^{p'}$  such that (for a subsequence, if necessary)  $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$  weakly in  $L^{p'}$  and, by Lemma 2,

$$Ku_n \rightarrow K\bar{u} \quad \text{in } C^0.$$

Also for subsequences  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha_{\bar{u}}$  and  $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta_{\bar{u}}$ .

If we prove that  $-J_1^*(\bar{u}) = c$  and  $(-J_1^*)'(\bar{u}) = 0$ ,  $(PS)_c$  condition will follow. For that we use a standard argument that we include here briefly for completeness.

Observe first that as for every  $\phi \in L^{p'}(0,1)$

$$\int_0^1 \hat{\gamma}^*(u_n)\phi \, dx = -J_1^{*'}(u_n)\phi + \int_0^1 (Ku_n)\phi \, dx + f^*(\alpha_n)\alpha_\phi + h^*(\beta_n)\beta_\phi,$$

then  $\hat{\gamma}^*(u_n)$  is weakly convergent.

Moreover, as  $\hat{\gamma}^*$  is monotonous we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-J_1^{*'}(u_n)(u_n - \phi) \\ &= -\int_0^1 Ku_n(u_n - \phi) \, dx + \int_0^1 \hat{\gamma}^*(u_n)(u_n - \phi) \, dx - f^*(\alpha_n)(\alpha_n - \alpha_\phi) \\ &\quad - h^*(\beta_n)(\beta_n - \beta_\phi) \\ &= -\int_0^1 Ku_n(u_n - \phi) \, dx + \int_0^1 [\hat{\gamma}^*(u_n) - \hat{\gamma}^*(\phi)](u_n - \phi) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^1 \hat{\gamma}^*(\phi)(u_n - \phi) \, dx - f^*(\alpha_n)(\alpha_n - \alpha_\phi) - h^*(\beta_n)(\beta_n - \beta_\phi) \\
& \geq - \int_0^1 K u_n (u_n - \phi) \, dx + \int_0^1 \hat{\gamma}^*(v)(u_n - \phi) \, dx - f^*(\alpha_n)(\alpha_n - \alpha_\phi) \\
& \quad - h^*(\beta_n)(\beta_n - \beta_\phi).
\end{aligned}$$

As  $u_n$  is bounded,  $J_1^{*'}(u_n)(u_n - \phi) \rightarrow 0$ . So, passing to the limit,

$$0 \geq - \int_0^1 [K\bar{u}(\bar{u} - \phi) - \hat{\gamma}^*(\phi)(\bar{u} - \phi)] \, dx - f^*(\alpha_{\bar{u}})(\alpha_{\bar{u}} - \alpha_\phi) - h^*(\beta_{\bar{u}})(\beta_{\bar{u}} - \beta_\phi).$$

Taking arbitrarily  $w \in L^{p'}(0, 1)$  and  $\lambda > 0$  and making  $\phi = \bar{u} + \lambda w$  in the above inequality, we obtain, after dividing by  $-\lambda$ ,

$$0 \leq - \int_0^1 [(K\bar{u})w - \hat{\gamma}^*(\bar{u} + \lambda w)w] \, dx - f^*(\alpha_{\bar{u}})\alpha_w - h^*(\beta_{\bar{u}})\beta_w.$$

Letting  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  we derive by Lebesgue's theorem

$$- \int_0^1 [(K\bar{u})w - \hat{\gamma}^*(\bar{u})w] \, dx - f^*(\alpha_{\bar{u}})\alpha_w - h^*(\beta_{\bar{u}})\beta_w \geq 0$$

for all  $w \in L^{p'}(0, 1)$ . Since  $w$  was arbitrary it follows immediately that

$$- \int_0^1 [(K\bar{u})w - \hat{\gamma}^*(\bar{u})w] \, dx - f^*(\alpha_{\bar{u}})\alpha_w - h^*(\beta_{\bar{u}})\beta_w = 0,$$

that is,

$$J_1^{*'}(\bar{u}) = 0.$$

Observe also that by the convexity of  $\Gamma^*$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \hat{\gamma}^*(\bar{u})(\bar{u} - u_n) \, dx & \geq \int_0^1 [\Gamma^*(\bar{u}) - \Gamma^*(u_n)] \, dx \geq \int_0^1 \hat{\gamma}^*(u_n)(\bar{u} - u_n) \, dx \\
& = -J_1^{*'}(u_n)(\bar{u} - u_n) + \int_0^1 (Ku_n)(\bar{u} - u_n) \, dx \\
& \quad + f^*(\alpha_n)(\alpha_{\bar{u}} - \alpha_n) + h^*(\beta_n)(\beta_{\bar{u}} - \beta_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the sides of the above inequalities tend to zero we conclude that

$$\int_0^1 \Gamma^*(u_n) \, dx \rightarrow \int_0^1 \Gamma^*(\bar{u}) \, dx$$

and then it follows that  $J_1^*(u_n) \rightarrow J_1^*(\bar{u}) = c$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

The second author thanks the hospitality of CMAF, Universidade de Lisboa, where this work was prepared during his visit according to a NATO fellowship, Comissão INVOTAN.

## References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, *Boundary Value Problems for Higher-Order Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1986.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, *Critical points and nonlinear variational problems*, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, preprint N 118, November 1991.
- [3] A. Ambrosetti, M. Badiale, The dual variational principle and elliptic problems with discontinuous nonlinearities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 140 (1989) 363–373.
- [4] A. Ambrosetti, P. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical points theory and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* 14 (1973) 349–381.
- [5] K.C. Chang, Variational methods for non-differentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 80 (1981) 102–129.
- [6] F. Clarke, A classical variational principal for Hamiltonian trajectories, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 76 (1978) 951–952.
- [7] F. Clarke, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1983.
- [8] E. Feireisl, Non-zero time periodic solutions to an equation of Petrovsky type with nonlinear boundary conditions: slow oscillations of beams on elastic bearings, *Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa* 20 (1993) 133–146.
- [9] M.R. Grossinho, T.M. Ma, Symmetric equilibria for a beam with a nonlinear elastic foundation, *Portugal. Math.* 51 (3) (1994) 375–393.
- [10] M.R. Grossinho, T.M. Ma, Nontrivial solutions for a fourth-order O.D.E with singular boundary conditions, in: Bainov (Ed.), *Proc. 7th Int. Colloquium on Differential Equations*, Bulgaria, VSP, Netherlands, 1996, pp. 123–130.
- [11] C. Gupta, Existence and uniqueness result for the bending of an elastic beam equation at resonance, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 135 (1988) 208–225.
- [12] J. Mawhin, M. Willem, *Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems*, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, vol. 74, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [13] D. O'Regan, Second- and higher-order systems of boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 156 (1991) 120–149.
- [14] G. Stampacchia, Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre a coefficients discontinus, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 15 (1965) 189–258.
- [15] L. Sanchez, Boundary value problems for some fourth-order ordinary differential equations, *Appl. Anal.* 38 (1990) 161–177.